

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

# Сердика

## Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## COMPLEX ANALOGUES OF THE ROLLE'S THEOREM

Bl. Sendov

*Communicated by G. Nikolov*

ABSTRACT. Classical Rolle's theorem and its analogues for complex algebraic polynomials are discussed. A complex Rolle's theorem is conjectured.

**1. Introduction.** The classical theorem of Rolle states that if  $p(x)$  is a real polynomial,  $a, b$  are two different real numbers,  $a < b$ , and  $p(a) = p(b)$ , then there exists  $\xi \in (a, b)$ , such that  $p'(\xi) = 0$ . As linear transformations of the complex plane do not change the geometric relations between the zeros and the critical points of a polynomial, we may consider only the points  $a = -1, b = 1$ . There are many statements that are considered refinements of the classical Rolle theorem. Every such a refinement has the following structure:

*Let  $\mathcal{K}_n$  be the class of real polynomials  $p(x)$  of degree  $n, n \geq 2$ , with  $p(-1) = p(1)$  and  $\alpha_n > 0$ . Then every  $p \in \mathcal{K}_n$  has at least one critical point in the interval  $(-1 + \alpha_n, 1 - \alpha_n)$ .*

There are several refinements of Rolle's theorem in [1, pp. 203-208]. One of them is the classical Laguerre-Cesàro Theorem 6.5.1.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C10.

*Key words*: Complex Rolle's theorem.

**Theorem 1 (Laguerre-Cesàro).** *If  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  with only real zeros and  $a = -1, b = 1$  are two consecutive zeros of  $p(x)$ , then at least one zero of  $p'(x)$  is in the segment  $[-1 + 2/n, 1 - 2/n]$ . The segment  $[-1 + 2/n, 1 - 2/n]$  is the smallest segment with this property.*

It is natural to consider the case when  $\mathcal{K}_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{K}_n$  is the set of all real polynomials with  $p(-1) = p(1)$ . This case was solved by Lubomir Tschakaloff [2], a leading Bulgarian mathematician from the first half of the last century.

**Theorem 2 (L. Tschakaloff).** *Let  $\alpha_m$  be the biggest zero of the Legendre polynomial of degree  $m$ , see (20). If  $p(x)$  is a real polynomial of degree  $n \leq 2m$  and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then at least one zero of  $p'(x)$  is in the open interval  $(-\alpha_m, \alpha_m)$  for  $n > 3$  and in the closed interval  $[\alpha_2, \alpha_2]$  for  $n = 3$ . If  $n = 2$ , the single zero of  $p$  is  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . Moreover, for every  $0 \leq \beta_m < \alpha_m$ , there exists a polynomial of degree  $n \leq 2m$  without zeros in the closed interval  $[-\beta_m, \beta_m]$ .*

As this result of Tschakaloff is missing in the basic reference book [1], it will be presented at the end of this paper.

**1.1. Complex Rolle's theorem.** An analogue of Rolle's theorem for complex polynomials must have the following structure:

*Let  $\Omega$  be a subset of the complex plane  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $p(z)$  is a complex polynomial with  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then there exists  $\zeta \in \Omega$ , such that  $p'(\zeta) = 0$ .*

Call such a domain  $\Omega$ , a **Rolle's domain**. The smallest Rolle's domain is denoted by  $R$ . As the distances between the zeros and the critical points of a polynomial, and the relation  $p(-1) = p(1)$  do not change by the transformations  $z \Rightarrow -z$  and  $z \Rightarrow \bar{z}$ , we consider only domains  $\Omega$ , which are symmetric with respect to both the real and the imaginary axis. We do not know much about the smallest Rolle domain  $R$ . It follows from Theorem 4 below that every Rolle domain obeys

$$\Omega = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{x : x \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)\} \supset R.$$

In this paper we conjecture that

$$R = \left\{ z : |Im(z)| > \frac{1}{\pi} \right\} \cup \{z : |z| < 1\}$$

and prove the inclusion

$$R \supset \left\{ z : |Im(z)| > \frac{1}{\pi} \right\} \cup \{z : |z| < 1\}.$$

**1.2. Refinements of complex Rolle's theorem.** A refinement of the complex Rolle's theorem has the following structure: *For every natural  $n \geq 2$ ,*

let  $K_n$  be the class of complex polynomials of degree  $n$  with  $p(-1) = p(1)$  and  $\Omega_n$  be a subset of the complex plane. If  $p \in K_n$ , then there exists  $\zeta \in \Omega_n$ , such that  $p'(\zeta) = 0$ . In the literature a theorem is usually called an “analogue of Rolle’s theorem for complex polynomials”, when in fact it is a refinement of the Rolle theorem. The reason may be that nontrivial complex Rolle’s theorem does not exist. The book of Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser [1] contains several refinements of the complex Rolle theorem. The most famous one is the Grace-Heawood theorem [1, p. 126].

**Theorem 3 (Grace-Heawood).** *If  $p$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then there exists*

$$\zeta \in D\left(0; \cot \frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \left\{z : |z| \leq \cot \frac{\pi}{n}\right\},$$

such that  $p'(\zeta) = 0$ .

Another refinement of the complex Rolle theorem is the following:

**Theorem 4([1, Theorem 4.3.4, p. 128]).** *If  $p$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then there exists*

$$\zeta \in D\left(-i \cot \frac{\pi}{n-1}; \sin^{-1} \frac{\pi}{n-1}\right) \cup D\left(i \cot \frac{\pi}{n-1}; \sin^{-1} \frac{\pi}{n-1}\right).$$

such that  $p'(\zeta) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.** *For every natural number  $n > 2$ , let  $R_n$  be the smallest domain, such that, for every polynomial  $p(z)$  of degree  $n$  with  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , there exists  $\zeta \in R_n$ , for which  $p'(\zeta) = 0$ .*

It is easy to verify that

$$(1) \quad R_n \subset R_{n+1}$$

and

$$(2) \quad R = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n.$$

The problem to determine  $R_n$  for every natural  $n$  was formulated by L. Tschakaloff [3].

**2. The domains  $R_n$ .** In this section we define disks, which belong to  $R_n$ .

**Definiton 2.** Call a polynomial  $p(z)$  of degree  $n$  with  $p(-1) = p(1)$  **extremal** for  $R_n$  if  $p(z)$  has no critical points inside  $R_n$ .

Let

$$p'(z) = (z-z_1)(z-z_2)\cdots(z-z_{n-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} S_{n-1,n-k-1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) z^k,$$

where  $S_{n-1,k}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1})$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , are the elementary symmetric functions of degree  $k$  of the numbers  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}$  and  $S_{n-1,0}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = 1$ . The condition  $p(-1) = p(1)$  is equivalent to the equation

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{1}{2k+1} S_{n-1,n-2k-1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = 0.$$

The fact that the expression on the left-hand side of (3) is linear in respect to each critical point of  $p(z)$  yields:

**Statement 1.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the polynomial  $p(z)$  to be extremal for  $R_n$ , is that all critical points of  $p(z)$  are on the boundary of  $R_n$ .

It follows from Theorem 3 that the point  $z = i\nu_n$ ,  $\nu = \cot(\pi/n)$  is on the boundary of  $R_n$  and the polynomial

$$(4) \quad g_n(z) = \int_1^z (u - \nu_n i)^{n-1} du$$

is extremal for  $R_n$ . Extremal is also the polynomial

$$g_n^*(z) = \int_1^z (u + \nu_n i)^{n-1} du,$$

and the segment with the end points  $\nu_n i$  and  $-\nu_n i$  is the diameter of  $R_n$  over the imaginary axis. Setting

$$z_1 = -\overline{z_2} = a + bi, \quad z_3 = z_4 = \cdots = z_{n-1} = \nu_n i,$$

in (3), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \left[ \binom{n-3}{2k-2} \nu_n^2 + 2 \binom{n-3}{2k-1} b \nu_n + \binom{n-3}{2k} (a^2 + b^2) \right] \nu_n^{-2k} = 0.$$

Here and in what follows we set  $\binom{n}{k} := 0$  whenever either  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-3}(\varphi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \binom{n-3}{2k} (\tan \varphi)^{2k}, \\ B_{n-3}(\varphi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \binom{n-3}{2k-1} (\tan \varphi)^{2k}, \\ C_{n-3}(\varphi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \binom{n-3}{2k-2} (\tan \varphi)^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality (5) may be represented in the form

$$(6) \quad a^2 + (b - c_n)^2 = r_n^2,$$

with

$$c_n = -\nu_n \frac{B_{n-3}(\pi/n)}{A_{n-3}(\pi/n)}.$$

Since the polynomial  $g_n(z)$ , defined by (4), is extremal for  $R_n$ , then the circumference (6) passes through  $i\nu_n$ . Thus,  $r_n = \nu_n - c_n$ . It is easy to see that

$$A_n(\varphi) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\varphi}{(n+1)\sin\varphi\cos^n\varphi}.$$

Hence, setting  $\varphi = \pi/n$  in the latter, we obtain

$$(7) \quad A_{n-3}(\pi/n) = \frac{2}{n-2} \cos^{4-n} \frac{\pi}{n}, \quad A_{n-2}(\pi/n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \cos^{2-n} \frac{\pi}{n}, \quad A_{n-1}(\pi/n) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the binomial identity

$$\binom{n-3}{2k-1} = \binom{n-2}{2k} - \binom{n-3}{2k}$$

yields

$$(8) \quad B_{n-3}(\varphi) = A_{n-2}(\varphi) - A_{n-3}(\varphi).$$

Setting  $\varphi = \pi/n$  in this identity and using (7), we obtain

$$B_{n-3}(\pi/n) = -\frac{1 + (n-1)\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}}{(n-1)(n-2)\cos^2\frac{\pi}{n}}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$(9) \quad r_n = \frac{n-2}{n-1} \frac{1}{\sin(2\pi/n)}, \quad c_n = \cot \frac{\pi}{n} - r_n.$$

Thus, we have proved the following:

**Statement 2.** *Let  $c_n$  and  $r_n$  be defined by (9). Then*

$$D(-ic_n; r_n) \cup D(ic_n; r_n) \subset R_n.$$

Now we study the diameter of  $R_n$  over the real axis. According to Theorem 4, this diameter is included in the segment  $[-1, 1]$ . Consider the polynomial  $p(z)$  with  $p'(z) = (z+a)(z-a)^{n-2}$ , where  $a$  is real. The condition  $p(-1) = p(1)$  is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \left( \frac{a-1}{a+1} \right)^{n-1} = \frac{(n+1)a - n + 1}{(n+1)a + n - 1}.$$

Equation (10) has only one real positive root  $a_n$ . Moreover,

$$(11) \quad a_n = 1 - \frac{2}{n+1} + O(n^{-n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1.$$

The polynomial  $f(z)$  with  $f'(z) = (z+a_n)(z-a_n)^{n-2}$  is probably extremal in  $R_n$ . This is part of the Conjecture 1. Next we consider the polynomial  $q(z)$  with

$$(12) \quad q'(z) = (z+a_n)(z-u)(z-\bar{u})(z-a_n)^{n-4},$$

where  $u = x + iy$  and  $|u|^2 = x^2 + y^2 = a_n^2$ . The condition  $q(-1) = q(1)$  can be represented as

$$(x - d_n)^2 + y^2 = \rho_n^2,$$

where

$$(13) \quad d_n = \frac{V_n}{U_n}, \quad \rho_n = a_n - \frac{V_n}{U_n},$$

and

$$U_n = \int_{-1}^1 (z+a_n)(z-a_n)^{n-4} dz, \quad V_n = \int_{-1}^1 z(z+a_n)(z-a_n)^{n-4} dz.$$

Calculating these integrals explicitly and having in mind (11), we obtain

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V_n}{U_n} = 0.$$

We may formulate the following:

**Statement 3.** For  $d_n$  and  $\rho_n$  defined by (13), we have

$$D(-d_n; \rho_n) \cup D(d_n; \rho_n) \subset R_n.$$

**Conjecture 1.** For every natural  $n \geq 2$ , the equality

$$R_n = D(-ic_n; r_n) \cup D(ic_n; r_n) \cup D(-d_n; \rho_n) \cup D(d_n; \rho_n)$$

holds.

**3. Proof of Conjecture 1 for small  $n$ .** For  $n = 2$ , Conjecture 1 is trivial. For  $n = 3$ , from (3), we get  $z_1 z_2 + 1/3 = 0$ , or  $R_3 = D(0; 1/\sqrt{3})$ . The result coincide with this of Grace-Heawood theorem. Observe, that from Theorem 2 follows, that the smallest Rolle's interval for real polynomials is  $(-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})$ , the diameter of  $R_3$ . For  $n = 4$ , from (3), we have

$$(15) \quad z_1 z_2 z_3 + \frac{1}{3}(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) = 0.$$

In what follow, we denote by  $DD(i\alpha; r)$  the union of he disks  $D(i\alpha; r)$  and  $D(-i\alpha; r)$ .

**Theorem 5.** With this notation, we have  $R_4 = DD(i/3; 2/3)$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Statement 2 that  $R_4 \supset DD(i/3; 2/3)$ . To prove the inclusion  $R_4 \subset DD(i/3; 2/3)$ , suppose exist  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \notin DD(-1/3; 2/3)$ , that is,

$$\left| z_k - i \frac{\varepsilon_k}{3} \right| > \frac{2}{3}; \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$ ;  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , that obey equality (15). Since every such  $z_k$  is nonzero, it is equivalent to the fact that there are complex numbers  $\zeta_k = 1/z_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  such that

$$\zeta_k \in \Upsilon := D(i, 2) \cap D(-i, 2), \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$



and satisfy  $\zeta_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_2\zeta_3 + \zeta_3\zeta_1 = -3$ . The latter equality is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad \frac{\zeta_3 - \sqrt{3}}{\zeta_3 + \sqrt{3}} = \frac{\zeta_1 + \sqrt{3}\zeta_2 + \sqrt{3}}{\zeta_1 - \sqrt{3}\zeta_2 - \sqrt{3}}.$$

Since the Möbius transformations  $w = (z - \sqrt{3})/(z + \sqrt{3})$  and  $w = (z - \sqrt{3})/(z + \sqrt{3})$  both take the domain  $\Upsilon$  onto the angular domain  $\Delta := \{w : |\arg w - \pi| < \pi/3\}$  and the products of any two complex number from  $\Delta$  lie outside  $\Delta$ , we conclude that (16) cannot hold. We have already proved that

$$(17) \quad DD(ic_n; r_n) = R_n$$

for  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . The relation (17) is not true for  $n \geq 5$ . In Table 1, the values of  $c_n$ ,  $r_n$  and  $l_n$  for several  $n$  are listed, where  $[-l_n, l_n]$  is the segment of the real axis in  $DD(c_n; r_n)$ .

$n$	$c_n$	$r_n$	$l_n$
2	0	0	0
3	0	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{3}$
4	$1/3$	$2/3$	$1/\sqrt{3} = 0.5773\dots$
5	$0.58778\dots$	$0.78859\dots$	$0.5257\dots$
6	$7\sqrt{3}/15 = 0.80829\dots$	$8\sqrt{3}/15 = 0.92376\dots$	$1\sqrt{5} = 0.4472\dots$
7	$1.01064\dots$	$1.06587\dots$	$0.33865\dots$
8	$1 + \sqrt{2}/7 = 1.20203\dots$	$6\sqrt{2}/7 = 1.212183\dots$	$0.14655\dots$
9	$1.4260\dots$	$1.3612\dots$	—
100	$15.79\dots$	$15.65\dots$	—
1000	$159.31\dots$	$158.99\dots$	—
10000	$1591.7083\dots$	$1591.3903\dots$	—

Table 1

From Table 1 we have that  $l_4 > l_5$ , hence for  $n \geq 5$ , the domain  $DD(ic_n; r_n)$  is strictly smaller than  $R_n$ . Observe that for  $n \geq 9$  the double disk  $DD(ic_n; r_n)$  consists of two disjoint disks. In Table 2, the values of  $a_n$ ,  $d_n$  and  $\rho_n$  for several  $n$  are listed.

$n$	$a_n$	$d_n$	$\rho_n$
5	0.66874030...	0	0.66874030...
6	0.71410133...	0.23803378...	0.47606755...
7	0.75001275...	0.16096471...	0.58904804...
8	0.77777704...	0.14345435...	0.63432269...
9	0.80000004...	0.12495000...	0.67505004...
10	0.81818182...	0.11111357...	0.70706725...
100	0.98019802...	0.01010101...	0.97009701...
1000	0.99800200...	0.00119796...	0.99700106...

Table 2

**4. The domain  $R$ .** From (9), we have

$$(18) \quad c_n - r_n = \frac{2}{n-1} \sin^{-1} \frac{2\pi}{n} - \tan \frac{\pi}{n} < \frac{1}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_n - r_n) = \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

It follows from (18):

**Statement 4.** *The inclusion*

$$I_\pi = \{z : |Im(z)| > \pi^{-1}\} \subset R$$

*holds.*

Let  $(\gamma_n, 1/\pi)$  be a point of intersection of the circle  $x^2 + (y - c_n)^2 = r_n^2$  with the line  $y = 1/\pi$ . From (9) we calculate that

$$(19) \quad \gamma_n < \gamma_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \sqrt{1 - \pi^{-2}}.$$

Observe that  $(\sqrt{1 - \pi^{-2}}, 1/\pi)$  is also a point of intersection of the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  with the line  $y = 1/\pi$ . Consider the polynomial

$$p(z) = (z - e^{i\varphi})(z + 1)(z - 1)^{n-2}.$$

The critical points of this polynomial are the zeros  $z_1^{(n)}, z_2^{(n)}$  of the polynomial

$$z^2 + \left( \frac{n-3}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n} e^{i\varphi} \right) z - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{n-3}{n} e^{i\varphi}$$

and  $z_3 = z_4 = \dots = z_{n-1} = 1$ . As

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_1^{(n)} = e^{i\varphi}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_2^{(n)} = -1,$$

we obtain:

**Statement 5.** *The open disk  $D_1 = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  belongs to  $R$ .*

Statements 4 and 5 imply that  $R \supset I_\pi \cup D_1$ .

**Conjecture 2 (Rolle's theorem for complex polynomials).** *If  $p(z)$  is a complex polynomial and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then at least one critical point of  $p(z)$  is in the domain  $I_\pi \cup D_1$  and  $I_\pi \cup D_1$  is the smallest domain with this property, i. e.,  $R = I_\pi \cup D_1$ .*

We formulate a possible generalization of Theorem 1 (Laguerre-Cesàro):

**Theorem 6.** *If  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  with at most one non real zero and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then at least one zero of  $p'(x)$  is in the disk  $D(0, 1 - 2/n)$ . The disk  $D(0, 1 - 2/n)$  is the smallest segment with this property.*

**5. A theorem of L. Tschakaloff.** L. Tschakaloff [2] studied a more general problem, but we shall consider only the case of the Rolle theorem for real polynomials. Let

$$(20) \quad P_0(z) = 1, \quad P_m(x) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} (x^2 - 1)^m; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

be the Legendre polynomials that are orthogonal on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Then, for every real polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $< m$ , we have

$$(21) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p(x) P_m(x) dx = 0.$$

Let  $x_{m,1} < x_{m,2} < \dots < x_{m,m} = \alpha_n$  be the zeros of  $P_m(x)$ . It is known that they are real, distinct, all belong to  $(-1, 1)$ , and are symmetric with respect to the origin,

$$(22) \quad x_{m,k} = -x_{m,m-k+1}; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Moreover, the zeros of two consecutive Legendre polynomials interlace. In particular, we have

$$(23) \quad \alpha_1 = 0 < \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < \alpha_3 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} < \alpha_4 < \dots < 1.$$

Proof of the theorem of Tschakaloff. First we prove the first statement of the theorem. Recall that the Gaussian quadrature formula

$$(24) \quad \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^m A_{m,k} f(x_{m,k})$$

has nodes at the zeros of  $P_m(x)$  and is precise for every real polynomial of degree  $2m-1$ . Moreover the Cotes numbers  $A_{m,k}$  are all positive and symmetric,  $A_{m,k} = A_{m,m-k+1}$ . Thus, if  $p(x)$  is any real polynomial of degree  $2m$  with  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , applying (24) to  $p'(x)$ , we obtain

$$0 = \int_{-1}^1 p'(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^m A_{m,k} p'(x_{m,k}).$$

Therefore, the convex combination of  $p'(x_{m,k})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , is equal to zero in either of the cases:

- $p'(x_{m,k}) = 0$  for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- $m \geq 2$ , there exist indexes  $i < j$  such that  $p'(x_{m,i})p'(x_{m,j}) < 0$  and thus there is  $\xi \in (x_{m,i}, x_{m,j})$  with  $p'(\xi) = 0$ .

In order to prove that  $(x_{m,1}, x_{m,m})$  is the smallest interval that contain a zero of  $p'(x)$ , we investigate some specific polynomials. For even  $n = 2m$ , consider the polynomial  $p(x)$  with

$$p'(x) = (x - \xi) \left[ C + \frac{P_m^2(x)}{(x - \alpha_m)^2} \right], \quad C > 0.$$

This polynomial has only one real critical point, equal to  $\xi$ . From the condition  $p(-1) = p(1)$  and (21), we get

$$-2\xi C + (\alpha_m - \xi) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_m^2(x)}{(x - \alpha_m)^2} dt = 0.$$

The proof of the theorem for  $n = 2m$  follows from the last equality as it holds if and only if  $\xi \in (-\alpha_m, \alpha_m)$ . For even  $n = 2m - 1$ , consider the polynomial  $p(x)$  with

$$p'(x) = (x - \xi) \left[ C + \frac{P_m^2(x)}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_m)^2} \right], \quad C > 0.$$

This polynomial has only one real critical point  $\xi$  provided  $C$  is sufficiently large. The conditions  $p(-1) = p(1)$  and (21) imply

$$-2\xi C + (\alpha_m - \xi) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_m^2(x)}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_m)^2} dt = 0.$$

The proof for  $n = 2m - 1$  follows from the latter equality as it is possible if and only if  $\xi \in (-\alpha_m, \alpha_m)$ .  $\square$

If in Theorem 1 is dropped the condition that  $-1$  and  $1$  are two consecutive zeros of  $p(z)$ , then  $2/n$  may be replaced by a smaller number. We formulate, without proof:

**Theorem 7.** *If  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  with only real zeros and  $p(-1) = p(1)$ , then at least one zero of  $p'(x)$  is in the segment  $[-a_n, a_n]$ , where  $a_n$  is the zero of (10). The segment  $[-a_n, a_n]$  is the smallest segment with this property.*

**Acknowledgments** I am thankful to Dr. D. K. Dimitrov for reading carefully the manuscript and offering improvements. The proof of Theorem 5 is given by Dr. Dimitrov in replacement of my more complicated one. I am grateful also to Dr. P. Marinov for the computer calculations and to Dr. N. Nikolov for reading the final version of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Q. I. RAHMAN, G. SCHMEISSER. Analytic Theory of Polynomials. Oxford Univ. Press Inc., New York, 2002.
- [2] L. TCHAKALOFF. Sur la structure des ensemble linéaires définis par une certaine propriété minimale. *Acta Math.*, **63** (1934), 77–97.
- [3] L. TCHAKALOFF. Sur une généralisation du théoreme de Rolle pour polynomiales. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **202** (1936), 1635–1637.

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
e-mail: acad@sendov.com

Received January 16, 2007