

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF QUASI-LINEAR SINGULAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

A. A. M. Hassan, S. M. Amer

Communicated by I. D. Iliev

ABSTRACT. An existence theorem is proved for a class of quasi-linear singular integro-differential equations with Cauchy kernel.

1. Introduction. Many classes of singular integral and integro-differential equations are currently used in several fields of applied engineering mechanics like elasticity, plasticity, viscoelasticity and fracture mechanics. Non-linear singular integro-differential equations are further applied in other fields of engineering mechanics like structural analysis. Such structural analysis problems are reduced to the solution of a non-linear singular integro-differential equations connected with the behavior of the stress fields. These types of singular integral equations and singular integro-differential equations form the latest high technology on the solution of very important problems of solid and fluid mechanics and therefore

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 45F15, 45G10, 46B38.

Key words: Quasi-linear singular integro-differential equations, Schauder's fixed point theorem, Sobolev space.

in recent years special attention is given to them [1]–[3], [10, 11, 15]. We refer to Ladopoulos E. G. [8] for many applications of singular integral equations and singular integro-differential equations in Engineering and Science. Also, we refer to [4, 5, 12], [15]–[18] for the methods of solution and many other applications.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of solution of a class of quasi-linear singular integro-differential equation with Cauchy kernel in the form:

$$(1.1) \quad A(s, u(s))u'(s) - B(s, u(s))\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{u'(\tau)}{\tau - s} d\tau = g(s, u(s)),$$

under the initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u(r) = 0,$$

where Γ is a closed smooth contour and r is a fixed point on Γ .

Our discussion is based on the applicability of Schauder's fixed point theorem [6, 7, 14, 15, 18] to our problem.

Throughout the paper $L_p(\Gamma)$, ($1 < p < \infty$), means the Banach space of all measurable functions f on Γ , with the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L_p} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{p^{-1}},$$

and $\mathcal{W}_p^1(\Gamma)$, ($1 < p < \infty$), means the Sobolev space of all functions $u \in L_p(\Gamma)$ which possess $u' \in L_p(\Gamma)$ [9].

We shall seek the solution $u(s)$ of equation (1.1) in the Sobolev space \mathcal{W}_p^1 , under the following conditions:

(I) The functions $A(s, u(s))$, $B(s, u(s))$ and $g(s, u(s))$ are continuous and positive on the region:

$$D = \{(s, u) : s \in \Gamma, |u| < \infty\}. \text{ We assume:}$$

$$(1.3) \quad A^2(s, u) - B^2(s, u) \geq 1,$$

for each (s, u) belongs to D .

(II) The functions $A(s, u(s))$, $B(s, u(s))$ and $g(s, u(s))$ satisfy the following Holder-Lipschitz conditions:

$$(1.4) \quad |A(s_2, u_2) - A(s_1, u_1)| \leq \gamma_1(|s_1 - s_2|^\delta + |u_1 - u_2|),$$

$$(1.5) \quad |B(s_2, u_2) - B(s_1, u_1)| \leq \gamma_2(|s_2 - s_1|^\delta + |u_2 - u_1|)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad |g(s_2, u_2) - g(s_1, u_1)| \leq \gamma_3(|s_2 - s_1|^\delta + |u_2 - u_1|).$$

where $\gamma_i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are positive constants and $0 < \delta < 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $A(s, u(s)), B(s, u(s))$ and $g(s, u(s))$ satisfy conditions (1.3)–(1.6). Then equation (1.1) under the initial condition (1.2), has at least one solution u in the space \mathcal{W}_p^1 .*

It is worthwhile to notice that our problem (1.1) and (1.2) is a generalization of the problem discussed by Wolfersdorf L. V. in [18].

We shall solve our problem through two steps. The first step, in Section 2, we write the function $u'(s)$ in the form of an integral equation in the unknown function $u(s)$, and we discuss some properties of the kernel of a fixed point equation in $u(s)$. The second step, in Section 3, is to prove the existence theorem for our problem (1.1), (1.2).

2. Reduction to fixed point equation. In this section we obtain some results which give us the possibility to handle the fixed point equation with the help of Schauder’s fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *If condition (I) is satisfied, then the quasi-linear singular integro-differential equation (1.1) reduces to a fixed point equation under the initial condition (1.2).*

Proof. Let us consider the analytic function

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{u'(\sigma)}{\sigma - z} d\sigma, \quad \Phi^-(\infty) = 0$$

which for the following Sokhotski formulae [5] hold:

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi^{\pm}(s) = \pm \frac{1}{2} u'(s) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{u'(\tau)}{\tau - s} d\tau.$$

Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi^+(s) + \Phi^-(s) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{u'(\tau)}{\tau - s} d\tau \\ \text{and} \\ \Phi^+(s) - \Phi^-(s) = u'(s). \end{cases}$$

Substituting the expressions from equations (2.3) into (1.1), we get the following boundary value problem (B.V.P.):

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi^+(s) = \left(\frac{A(s, u(s)) + B(s, u(s))}{A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s))} \right) \Phi^-(s) + \frac{g(s, u(s))}{A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s))}.$$

From the theory of linear singular integral equations [5], the index χ of equation (1.1) is the index of the problem (2.4) and it is given by $\chi = \text{ind} \left(\frac{A(s, u(s)) + B(s, u(s))}{A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s))} \right)$. Assuming that $\chi = 0$, hence we can put:

$$(2.5) \quad C(s) = \frac{A(s, u(s)) + B(s, u(s))}{A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s))} = \frac{X^+(s)}{X^-(s)}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.6) \quad X(z) = \exp(M(z)) \quad \text{with} \quad M(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\ln C(\tau)}{\tau - z} d\tau,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad X^+(s) = \sqrt{C(s)} \exp(M(s)) \quad \text{and} \quad X^-(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C(s)}} \exp(M(s)).$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.4), we get:

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\frac{\Phi^+(s)}{X^+(s)} \right) = \left(\frac{\Phi^-(s)}{X^-(s)} \right) + \frac{g(s, u(s))}{(A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s)))X^+(s)}.$$

From [5], the B.V.P. (2.8) has the following solution:

$$\Phi(z) = (X(z)) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(\tau, u(\tau))}{[A(\tau, u(\tau)) - B(\tau, u(\tau))]X^+(\tau)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\tau - z} \right) d\tau \right).$$

Hence,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi^+(s) &= \frac{g(s, u(s))}{2(A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s)))} + \\ &+ \frac{X^+(s)}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g(v, u(v))}{X^+(v)(A(v, u(v)) - B(v, u(v)))(v - s)} dv \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi^-(s) &= \frac{-X^-(s)g(s, u(s))}{2X^+(s)(A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s)))} + \\ &+ \frac{X^-(s)}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g(v, u(v))}{X^+(v)(A(v, u(v)) - B(v, u(v)))(v - s)} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi^+(s) - \Phi^-(s) &= \frac{g(s, u(s))}{2(A(s, u(s)) - B(s, u(s)))} \left(1 + \frac{X^-(s)}{X^+(s)} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{(X^+(s) - X^-(s))}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g(v, u(v))}{X^+(v)(A(v, u(v)) - B(v, u(v)))(v - s)} dv. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) and (2.7), we have:

$$(2.12) \quad X^+(s) - X^-(s) = \left(\frac{2B(s, u(s))}{\sqrt{A^2(s, u(s)) - B^2(s, u(s))}} \right) \exp(M(s)).$$

From (2.3), (2.5), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain:

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u'(s) &= \frac{A(s, u(s))g(s, u(s))}{f^2(s, u(s))} + \\ &+ \frac{B(s, u(s)) \exp(M(s))}{\pi i f(s, u(s))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v - s} \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{cases} \mu(v, u(v)) = X^+(v)(A(v, u(v)) - B(v, u(v))) \\ f(s, u(s)) = \sqrt{A^2(s, u(s)) - B^2(s, u(s))}. \end{cases}$$

Integrating the expression (2.13), and applying the initial condition (1.2), we can see that our original problem turns to a fixed point equation for $u(s)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u(s) &= \int_r^s \left\{ \frac{A(\sigma, u(\sigma))g(\sigma, u(\sigma))}{f^2(\sigma, u(\sigma))} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{B(\sigma, u(\sigma)) \exp(M(\sigma))}{\pi i f(\sigma, u(\sigma))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v - \sigma} \right) dv \right\} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The above integral equation can be written as the following fixed point equation:

$$(2.15) \quad Su = u,$$

where S is the operator defined as follows:

$$(2.16) \quad (Su)(s) = \int_r^s T(\sigma, u(\sigma))d\sigma,$$

with the kernel function:

$$(2.17) \quad T(s, u(s)) = \frac{A(s, u(s))g(s, u(s))}{f^2(s, u(s))} + \frac{B(s, u(s)) \exp(M(s))}{\pi i f(s, u(s))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv.$$

where $s \in \Gamma$. Hence the theorem is verified. \square

Lemma 2.1. *The kernel function $T(s, u(s))$ given by (2.17) is bounded in the space L_p , $p > 1$.*

Proof. We shall estimate the kernel $T(s, u(s))$ for $p > 1$ as follows:

$$(2.18) \quad \|T(s, u(s))\|_p \leq \|N_1(s)\|_p + \|N_2(s)\|_p$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad N_1(s) = \frac{A(s, u(s))g(s, u(s))}{f^2(s, u(s))}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad N_2(s) = \frac{B(s, u(s)) \exp(M(s, u(s)))}{\pi i f(s, u(s))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv.$$

By using condition **(I)** and from [7, 13], we have:

$$(2.21) \quad \|N_1(s)\|_p \leq \|A(s, u(s))\|_{p_1} \|g(s, u(s))\|_{p_2},$$

where

$$p_1^{-1} + p_2^{-1} = p^{-1},$$

$$(2.22) \quad \|A(s, u(s))\|_{p_1} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} |A(s, u(s))|^{p_1} ds \right)^{p_1^{-1}}$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \|g(s, u(s))\|_{p_2} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} |g(s, u(s))|^{p_2} ds \right)^{p_2^{-1}}.$$

By using the conditions (1.4)–(1.6), we obtain:

$$(2.24) \quad |A(s, u(s))| \leq \eta_1 \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_1 = \gamma_1 R + \sigma_1, \quad \sigma_1 = \max_{s \in \Gamma} |A(s, 0)|.$$

Therefore, from (2.22) and (2.24), we have:

$$(2.25) \quad \|A(s, u(s))\|_{p_1} \leq \eta_1 L^{p_1^{-1}}$$

where

$$L = |\Gamma| = \int_{\Gamma} ds \quad \text{is the length of } \Gamma.$$

Similarly,

$$(2.26) \quad |g(s, u(s))| \leq \eta_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_2 = \gamma_3 R + \sigma_2, \sigma_2 = \max_{s \in \Gamma} |g(s, 0)|.$$

Therefore, from (2.23) and (2.26), we have:

$$(2.27) \quad \|g(s, u(s))\|_{p_2} \leq \eta_2 L^{p_2^{-1}}.$$

Substituting from (2.25) and (2.26) into (2.21), we have:

$$(2.28) \quad \|N_1(s)\|_p \leq Q_1 \equiv \text{const},$$

where

$$Q_1 = \eta_1 \eta_2 L^{p^{-1}}.$$

Also, by using condition **(I)** and [13], we obtain:

$$(2.29) \quad \|N_2(s)\|_p \leq \left\| B(s, u(s)) \exp(M(s)) \left(\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv \right) \right\|_p \leq \\ \leq \|B(s, u(s))\|_{q_1} \|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_2} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv \right\|_{q_3},$$

where, $q_1^{-1} + q_2^{-1} + q_3^{-1} = p^{-1}$.

To obtain a bound for the norm given in (2.29), we carry out the calculation in three steps:

(a) Estimation of $\|B(s, u(s))\|_{q_1}$. As in (2.24) it is easy to see that:

$$(2.30) \quad |B(s, u(s))| \leq \eta_3 \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_3 = \gamma_2 R + \sigma_3, \quad \sigma_3 = \max_{s \in \Gamma} |B(s, 0)|.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.31) \quad \|B(s, u(s))\|_{q_1} \leq \eta_3 L^{q_1^{-1}}.$$

(b) Estimation of $\|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_2}$. Since by [4],

$$\|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_2} \leq (1 + \|M(s)\|_{q_2}) \exp(\|M(s)\|_{q_2}),$$

where, from (2.6) and [5],

$$(2.32) \quad \|M(s)\|_{q_2} = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\ln C(\tau)}{\tau - z} d\tau \right\|_{q_2} \leq \rho_1 \|C(\tau)\|_{q_2} \leq \rho_1 (\eta_1 + \eta_3)^2 L^{q_2^{-1}},$$

one obtain

$$(2.33) \quad \|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_2} \leq (1 + \rho_1 \Lambda L^{q_2^{-1}}) \exp(\rho_1 \Lambda L^{q_2^{-1}}),$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\eta_1 + \eta_3)^2.$$

(c) **Estimation of** $\left\| \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv \right\|_{q_3}$. By using equations (2.7), (2.14) and condition (I), we get:

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv \right\|_{q_3} &\leq \rho_2 \frac{\|g(s, u(s))\|_{q_3}}{\|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_3}} \leq \\ &\leq \rho_2 \eta_2 L^{q_3^{-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\|\exp(M(s))\|_{q_3}} \right) \leq \rho_2 \eta_2 L^{q_3^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.31), (2.33) and (2.34) into (2.29), we obtain:

$$(2.35) \quad \|N_2(s)\|_p \leq \Omega L^{p^{-1}} = Q_2,$$

where

$$\Omega = \left[\rho_2 \eta_2 \eta_3 (L^{-q_2^{-1}} + \rho_1 \Lambda) \exp(\rho_1 \Lambda L^{q_2^{-1}}) \right].$$

Finally, substituting from (2.28) and (2.35) into (2.18), we have:

$$(2.36) \quad \|T(s, u(s))\|_p \leq Q,$$

where $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 \equiv \text{const}$. Lemma 2.1. is proved. \square

3. Existence theorem. For non-negative constants R and α , let us define the following compact set:

$$(3.1) \quad K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta} = \left\{ u \in C_0(\Gamma), |u| \leq R, |u(s_2) - u(s_1)| \leq \alpha |s_2 - s_1|^\delta, s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma \right\},$$

where $C_0(\Gamma)$ is the space of all continuous functions $u(s)$ that defined on Γ such that $u(r) = 0$. We are going to prove some assertions about the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$, its image under the operator S , i.e. $S(K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta})$ and the continuity of the operator S defined in (2.23). It is easy to see that the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$ is a convex set.

In fact, we shall show that the operator S defined by (2.26) transforms the function u into a function which belongs to the class $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$.

Therefore, for each $u \in K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$, let us have:

$$(3.2) \quad (Su)(s) = v(s), \quad v(s) \in C_0(\Gamma),$$

where

$$(Su)(s) = \int_r^s T(\sigma, u(\sigma))d\sigma.$$

Then, for any $s \in \Gamma$, we have from (2.26) and (2.43) that:

$$(3.3) \quad |v(s)| \leq \int_{\Gamma} |T(\sigma, u)|d\sigma \leq \|T(s, u(s))\|_p L^{\kappa^{-1}} \leq R,$$

where $R = QL^{\kappa^{-1}}$ and $p^{-1} + \kappa^{-1} = 1$.

Now, we evaluate $|v(s_2) - v(s_1)|$ for $p > 1$, $p^{-1} + \kappa^{-1} = 1$, $\kappa < \delta^{-1}$, $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} |v(s_2) - v(s_1)| &\leq \left| \int_r^{s_1} T(\sigma, u)d\sigma - \int_r^{s_2} T(\sigma, u)d\sigma \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} T(\sigma, u)d\sigma \right| \leq \|T(s, u)\|_p |s_2 - s_1|^{\kappa^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\|T(s, u)\|_p \leq Q \leq \alpha$, the operator S maps the whole space $C_0(\Gamma)$ into its convex compact subset $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$. In particular, S maps $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$ into itself. Then all the transformed functions $v(s)$ belong to the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$. Hence, the following lemma is valid.

Lemma 3.1. *Let the functions $A(s, u(s))$, $B(s, u(s))$ and $g(s, u(s))$ satisfy conditions (1.3)–(1.6). Then, for arbitrary $u \in K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$, the transformed points $(Su)(s) = v(s)$ belong to the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$.*

Lemma 3.2. *The operator S , defined in (3.2), which transforms the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$ into itself, is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of elements of the set $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$ converges uniformly to the element $u \in K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$.

Let us assume that:

$$C_n(s) = C(s, u_n(s)) = \frac{A(s, u_n(s)) + B(s, u_n(s))}{A(s, u_n(s)) - B(s, u_n(s))},$$

$$M_n(s) \equiv M(s, u_n(s)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\ln C(\tau, u_n(\tau))}{\tau - s} d\tau,$$

$$X_n^\pm(s) = X^\pm(s, u_n(s)),$$

$$\mu(v, u_n(v)) = X_n^+(v)(A(v, u_n(v)) - B(v, u_n(v)))$$

and

$$f(s, u_n(s)) = \sqrt{A^2(s, u_n(s)) - B^2(s, u_n(s))}.$$

We consider the following difference:

$$|v_n(s) - v(s)| \leq \int_r^s |T(\sigma, u_n(\sigma)) - T(\sigma, u(\sigma))| d\sigma,$$

where:

$$|T(s, u_n(s)) - T(s, u(s))| \leq |(N_n^1)(s)| + |(N_n^2)(s)|,$$

such that:

$$(N_n^1)(s) = \frac{A(s, u_n(s))g(s, u_n(s))}{f^2(s, u_n(s))} - \frac{A(s, u(s))g(s, u(s))}{f^2(s, u(s))}$$

and

$$(N_n^2)(s) = \frac{B(s, u_n(s)) \exp(M_n(s))}{\pi i f(s, u_n(s))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u_n(v))}{\mu(v, u_n(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv -$$

$$- \frac{B(s, u(s)) \exp(M(s))}{\pi i f(s, u(s))} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{g(v, u(v))}{\mu(v, u(v))} \right) \left(\frac{1}{v-s} \right) dv.$$

Now, we show that: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n(s) - v(s)| = 0$.

Since $\|u_n\|$ is uniformly bounded, using the conditions (1.3)–(1.6), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A(s, u_n(s)) - A(s, u(s))| = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B(s, u_n(s)) - B(s, u(s))| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g(s, u_n(s)) - g(s, u(s))| = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(N_n^1)(s)| = 0.$$

In the following, we estimate $(N_n^2)(s)$. To carry out our investigation we consider

$$|I_n| = |M(s, u_n(s)) - M(s, u(s))| :$$

$$|I_n| = |M(s, u_n(s)) - M(s, u(s))| \leq \frac{1}{2} |(\ln C(s, u_n(s)) - \ln C(s, u(s)))| +$$

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\ln C(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - \ln C(s, u_n(s))}{\tau - s} - \frac{\ln C(\tau, u(\tau)) - \ln C(s, u(s))}{\tau - s} \right) d\tau \right|.$$

Since $A(s, u(s))$ and $B(s, u(s))$ are uniformly continuous, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |C(s, u_n(s)) - C(s, u(s))| = 0,$$

therefore

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sqrt{C(s, u_n(s))} - \sqrt{C(s, u(s))} \right| = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\ln C(s, u_n(s)) - \ln C(s, u(s))| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| = 0.$$

The difference in the right hand side of the inequality (3.5) tends uniformly to zero as n tends to ∞ .

To handle the integral in the same inequality (3.5), we draw a circle of center z and radius ω , so small that inside the circle lies the single arc of the curve Γ .

We decompose this integral into two parts $I_n^l(s) + I_n^{\Gamma-l}(s)$ where l is the part of Γ inside the circle and $\Gamma - l$ is the remaining part.

By using equation (2.5) and condition (1.3), we have:

$$|C(s, u(s))| = \left| \frac{(A(s, u(s)) + B(s, u(s)))^2}{A^2(s, u(s)) - B^2(s, u(s))} \right| \leq (A(s, u(s)) + B(s, u(s)))^2$$

Due to the continuity of the functions $A(s, u(s))$, $B(s, u(s))$ and from the inequalities (1.4), (1.6), we obtain:

$$|C(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - C(s, u_n(s))| \leq \tilde{\gamma}(1 + \alpha_u)|\tau - s|^\delta,$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = 2(\eta_1 + \eta_3)(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$.

Therefore, we get the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_l \frac{\ln C(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - \ln C(s, u_n(s))}{\tau - s} d\tau \right| \leq \tilde{\gamma}(1 + \alpha_u) \int_l |\tau - s|^{\delta-1} |d\tau|$$

$$\leq 2\tilde{\gamma}m(1 + \alpha_u) \int_0^\omega t^{\delta-1} dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

$\varepsilon \equiv$ small positive number,

where

$$t = |\tau - s|, \quad |d\tau| = mdt; \quad m \equiv \text{positive constant, (see [5])},$$

and

$$\omega \leq \left(\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{6\tilde{\gamma}m(1 + \alpha_u)} \right)^{\delta^{-1}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_l \frac{\ln C(\tau, u(\tau)) - \ln C(s, u(s))}{\tau - s} d\tau \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Then

$$|I_n^l(s)| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since the point $s \in l$ lies outside the arc of integration $\Gamma - l$ and $I_n^{\Gamma-l}(s)$ are continuous, then we can select a positive integer J_ε such that, for each s , the inequality

$$\left| I_n^{\Gamma-l}(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

holds for each $n > J_\varepsilon$. Hence it follows that:

$$|I_n| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n > J_\varepsilon$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |M(s, u_n(s)) - M(s, u(s))| = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\exp M(s, u_n(s)) - \exp M(s, u(s))| = 0.$$

From (2.7), (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain:

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n^+(s) - X^+(s)| = 0,$$

therefore,

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(s, u_n(s)) - \mu(s, u(s))| = 0.$$

By using the properties of the convergent sequence, we have:

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(N_n^2)(s)| = 0.$$

Hence, by using (3.4) and (3.11), we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n(s) - v(s)| = 0.$$

Then, the operator S is continuous. Lemma 3.2 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From the preceding lemmas and Arzela-Ascoli theorem [9, 15], the image of $K_{R,\alpha}^{0,\delta}$ is compact. Therefore, we can use Schauder's fixed point theorem to show that the operator S has at least one fixed point. Our main result (Theorem 1.1.) is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. AMER. Existence results for a class of nonlinear singular integral equations with shift. *Il nuovo cimento B* **120** 3 (2005), 313–333.
- [2] S. M. AMER. On the solvability of nonlinear singular integral and integro-differential equations of Cauchy type (II). *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt.* **78**, (2003) 91–110.
- [3] S. M. AMER, NAGDY. On the solution of a certain class of nonlinear singular integro-differential equations with Carleman shift. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **12** (2001), 1473–1484.
- [4] V. A. DZHURAE. Methods of Singular Integral Equations. J. Wilay, N.Y., 1992.
- [5] F. D. GAKHOV. Boundary Value Problems. Dover Publ., N.Y., 1990.
- [6] D. H. GRIFFEL. Applied Functional Analysis. John Wiley and Sons, 1981.
- [7] P. JUNGHANNS, U. WEBER. On the solvability of nonlinear singular integral equations. *ZAA* **12** (1993), 683–698.
- [8] E. G. LADOPOULOS. Singular Integral Equations. Linear and Non-linear Theory and its Applications in Science and Engineering, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [9] L. A. LUSTERINK, V. I. SOBOLEV. Elements of Functional Analysis. English transl. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1985.

- [10] MARIA MEEHAN, DONAL O'REGAN. Existence theory for nonlinear Fredholm and Volterra integral equations on half-open intervals. *Nonlinear Anal.* **35** (1999), 355–387.
- [11] MARIA MEEHAN, DONAL O'REGAN. Positive solutions of singular integral equations. *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **12**, 3, (2000).
- [12] N. I. MUSKHELISHVILI. *Singular Integral Equations*, 2nd ed. Dover, 1992.
- [13] NASSER-EDDINE TATAR. On the integral inequality with a kernel singular in time and space. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**, 4 (2003), Paper No. 82, 9 p., electronic only.
- [14] O. ZUBELEVICH. A generalization of Schauder's theorem and its application to Cauchy-Kovalevskaya problem. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* (2003), Paper No. 55, 6 p., electronic only.
- [15] W. POGORZELSKI. *Integral Equations and their Applications*, Vol. 1. Oxford Pergamon Press and Warszawa, PWN, 1966.
- [16] L. V. WOLFERSDORF. A class of nonlinear singular integral and integro-differential equations with Hilbert kernel. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* **4**, 5 (1985), 385–401.
- [17] L. V. WOLFERSDORF. Monotonicity methods for nonlinear singular integral and integro-differential equations. *ZAMM* **63** (1983), 249–259.
- [18] L. V. WOLFERSDORF. On the theory of nonlinear singular integral equations of Cauchy type. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **7** (1985), 493–517.

A. A. M. Hassan, S. M. Amer

Dept. of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Zagazig University

Zagazig– Egypt

e-mail: amrsammer@hotmail.com

Received August 29, 2006

Revised April 8, 2007