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# A DECOMPOSITION OF INTEGER VECTORS. II 

S. CHALADUS, A. SCHIN ZEL

In this paper we shall consider integer vectors $\mathrm{n}=\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right]$ and write for such vectors: $h(\mathbf{n})=\max \left|n_{i}\right|, l(\mathbf{n})=\sqrt{n_{1}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}+\cdots+n_{k}^{2}}$. One of us has recently proved [3] that for every non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{k}(k>1)$ there is a decomposition: $\mathbf{n}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}, u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{k}$ are linearly independent and

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2 h(\mathbf{n})^{(k-2) /(k-1)} .
$$

The exponent $(k-2) /(k-1)$ cannot be improved (see [2], Remark after Lemma 1). It is natural to ask for the best value of the coefficient. We chall answer this question for $k=3$ by proving the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. For every non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ there exist linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$, such that $\mathbf{n}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}, u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})<\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} h(\mathbf{n})} .
$$

Theorem 2. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{3}}$, such that for all non-zero vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ and all $u, v \in \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{n}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}$ implies

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})>\sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3}-\varepsilon\right) h(\mathbf{n})} .
$$

Originally, in the proof of Theorem 1 some computer calculations were used which were kindly performed by Dr. T. Reginska. We thank her for the help.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on geometry of numbers. The inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{n}, \mathrm{m}$ will be denoted by nm , their exterior product by $\mathbf{n} \times \mathrm{m}$, the area of a plane domain $\mathbf{D}$ by $A(\mathbf{D})$.

Lemma 1 . Let $a_{i}, b_{i}$ be real numbers $(i=1,2,3)$ and $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ the three minors of order two of the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{lll}a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\ b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3}\end{array}\right]$ not all equal to 0 . The area of the domain $\mathbf{H}:\left|a_{i} x+b_{i} y\right| \leqslant 1 \quad(i=1,2,3)$ equals

$$
\frac{2\left|M_{1} M_{2}\right|+2\left|M_{1} M_{3}\right|+2\left|M_{2} M_{3}\right|-M_{1}^{2}-M_{2}^{2}-M_{3}^{2}}{M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}},
$$

if each of the numbers $\left|M_{1}\right|,\left|M_{2}\right|,\left|M_{3}\right|$ is less that the sum of the two others, and $4 / \max \left\{\left|M_{1}\right|,\left|M_{2}\right|,\left|M_{3}\right|\right\}$ otherwise.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|M_{1}\right|=\text { abs }\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{3} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|>0, \quad\left|M_{1}\right| \geqq\left|M_{2}\right|=\mathrm{abs}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
b_{2} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right|, \\
\left|M_{1}\right| \geqq\left|M_{3}\right|=\mathrm{abs}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{3} \\
b_{1} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$
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The affine transformation $a_{1} x+b_{1} y=X, \dot{a}_{2} x+b_{2} y=Y$ transforms the domain $\mathbf{H}$ into the domain

$$
\mathbf{H}^{\prime}: \quad|X| \leq 1,|Y| \leq 1 ; \quad\left|\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}} X--\frac{M_{3}}{M_{1}} Y\right| \leq 1 .
$$

If $\left|M_{1}\right|+\left|M_{3}\right|>\mid M_{1}$, the domain $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ is obtained from the square $|X| \leq 1$, $|Y| \leq 1$ by subtracting two rectangular triangles, symmetric to each other with respect to $(0,0)$, with the vertices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pm\left(1,-\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left|M_{1}\right|-\left|M_{2}\right|}{\left|M_{3}\right|}\right), \quad \pm\left(1,-\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}}\right), \\
\pm\left(\frac{\left|M_{1}\right|-\left|M_{3}\right|}{M_{2} \mid},-\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence,

$$
A\left(\mathbf{H}^{\prime}\right)=4-\frac{\left(\left|M_{2}\right|+\left|M_{3}\right|-\mid M_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left|M_{2}\right|\left|M_{3}\right|}
$$

If $\left|M_{\mathbf{2}}\right|+\left|M_{\mathbf{3}}\right| \leqq\left|M_{1}\right|$, then $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ coincides with the square $|X| \leqq 1,|Y| \leqq 1$ and $A\left(\mathbf{H}^{\prime}\right)=4$. Since $A(\mathbf{H})=A\left(\mathbf{H}^{\prime}\right) /\left|M_{1}\right|$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. If $0 \leqq a \leqq b<1$, then the domain

$$
\text { D: }|x| \leqq 1, \quad|y| \leqq 1, \quad|a x+b y| \leqq 1, \quad x^{2}+y^{2}+(a x+b y)^{2} \leqq \frac{3}{2}
$$

contains an ellipse $E$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\mathrm{E})>\pi \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We take

$$
\mathrm{E}: \quad f(x, y)=x^{2}+c\left(\frac{a b}{b^{2}+1} x+y\right)^{2} \leqq 1,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\max \left\{\frac{2}{3}\left(b^{2}+1\right), \frac{\left(b^{2}+1\right)^{2}}{\left(b^{2}+1\right)^{2}-a^{2} b^{2}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to see that $|x| \leqq 1,|y| \leqq 1$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$, we notice that by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{y} f(x, y)=x^{2}, \quad \min _{x} f(x, y)=\frac{c}{c \frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{b^{2}+1}+1} y^{2} \geqq y^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $(x, y) \in E$ we have by (2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}+y^{2}+(a x+b y)^{2} \leqq \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}+1}{b^{2}+1} x^{2}\right.  \tag{4}\\
+ & \left.\frac{2}{3}\left(b^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{a b}{b^{2}+1} x+y\right)^{2}\right) \leqq \frac{3}{2} f(x, y) \leqq \frac{3}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

If for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$ we had $|a x+b y|>1$, it would follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+y^{2}<\frac{1}{2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, by Cauchy-Schwarź inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a x+b y)^{2} \leqq\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)<2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction. Thus, for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a x+b y| \leqq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $A(E)=\pi / \sqrt{c}$ and since by (2) $c<4 / 3$, (1) follows.
Lemma 3. Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3} \backslash\{[0,0,0]\}$. The lattice of integer vectors. $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{n m}=0$ has a basis $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right], \mathbf{b}=\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{n_{3}}{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)}, \quad\left|\begin{array}{l}
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{n_{1}}{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)},  \tag{8}\\
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & a_{1} \\
b_{3} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{n_{2}}{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{n a}=\mathbf{n b}=0$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ are linearly independent, we have

$$
\mathbf{n}=c(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})
$$

for a certain $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Q}$. However, the numbers $\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & a_{2} \\ b_{1} & b_{2}\end{array}\right|,\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{2} & a_{3} \\ b_{2} & b_{3}\end{array}\right|$ and $\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{3} & a_{1} \\ b_{3} & b_{1}\end{array}\right|$ are relatively prime (see e. g. [1, p. 53]); hence, the formulae (8) hold with $\pm$ sign on the right-hand side. Changing if necessary the order of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, we get the lemma.

Lemma 4. For every vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ different from $[0,0,0]$ and $[ \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1]$ for any choice of signs, there exists a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<h(\mathbf{m})<\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} h(\mathbf{n})} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
l(\mathbf{m})<\sqrt{2 h(\mathbf{n})} .
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
0 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq n_{3}>0
$$

If $n_{2}=n_{3}$ we take

$$
\mathbf{m}= \begin{cases}{[1,0,0] \text { if } n_{1}=0} \\ {[0,1,-1] \text { if } n_{1} \neq 0}\end{cases}
$$

and we find (9)-(11) satisfied, unless $n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=1$. Therefore, we may assume besides (12) that $n_{2}<n_{3}$.

In virtue of Lemma 2 the domain
D : $|X| \leqq 1,|Y| \leqq 1,\left|\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}} X+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{3}} Y\right| \leqq 1, X^{2}+Y^{2}+\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}} X+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{3}} Y\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{3}{2}$
contains an ellipse $\mathbf{E}$ with $A(\mathbf{E})>\pi \sqrt{3 / 4}$.
Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ be a basis, the existence of which is asserted by Lemma 3. The substitution

$$
X=\frac{a_{1} x+b_{1} y}{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_{3}}}, \quad Y=\frac{a_{2} x+b_{2} y}{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_{3}}}
$$

transforms $\mathbf{D}$ into the domain
$\mathbf{D}^{\prime}: \quad\left|a_{i} x+b_{i} y\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_{3}} \quad(i=1,2,3), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(a_{i} x+b_{i} y\right)^{2} \leqq 2 n_{3}$.

Hence, $\mathbf{D}^{\prime}$ contains an ellipse $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ with

$$
A\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{4}{3} n_{3}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|^{-1} A(\mathrm{E})>\pi \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \geqq \pi \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}},
$$

by (8). Since the packing constant for ellipses is $\pi / \sqrt{\prime 2}$, it follows that $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ and, hence, $\mathbf{D}^{\prime}$ contains in its interior a point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$ different from ( 0,0 ). Putting $\mathrm{m}=x_{0} \mathbf{a}+y_{0} \mathbf{b}$, we get the assertion of the Lemma.

Lemma 5. If $0 \leq a \leq 1,0 \leq b \leq 1$ and $a+b>1$, the area of the hexagon $|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1,|a x+b y| \leq 1$ is greater than $\left[24 /\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

Proof. In virtue of Lemma 1 the area in question equals

$$
\left(2 a b+2 a+2 b-a^{2}-b^{2}-1\right) / a b
$$

thus, it remains to prove that for $(a, b)$ in the domain

$$
\mathbf{G}: \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1,0 \leq b \leq 1, a+b>1
$$

the following inequality holds

$$
f(a, b)=\left(2 a b+2 a+2 b-a^{2}-b^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)-24 a^{2} b^{2}>0 .
$$

We have $\partial \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{L}_{1} \cup \mathbf{L}_{2} \cup \mathbf{L}_{3}$, where

$$
\mathbf{L}_{1}=\{(a, 1): 0 \leq a \leq 1\}, \mathbf{L}_{2}=\{(1, b): 0 \leq b \leq 1\}, \mathbf{L}_{3}=\{(a, 1-a): 0 \leq a \leq 1\} .
$$

We find $f(a, 1)=a^{2}(a-1)^{3}(a-5)+3 a^{2}$, but for $a \leq 1 a^{2}(a-1)^{3}(a-5) \geq 0$, hence $f(a, 1) \geq 3 a^{2} \geq 0$. In view of symmetry between $a$ and $b, f(1, b) \geq 3 b^{2} \geq 0$.
Moreover, $f(a, 1-a)=8 a^{2}(1-a)^{2}(2 a-1)^{2} \geq 0$. Hence, for $(a, b) \in \partial \mathbf{G}$ we have $f(a, b) \geq 0$ with the equality attained only if $(a, b) \notin \mathbf{G}$ It suffices to show that in the interior of $\mathbf{G}$ the function $f(a, b)$ has no local extremum.

Indeed, putting $g(a, b)=2 a b+2 a-a^{2}-b^{2}-1$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}=2 a g^{2}+2(2 b+2-2 a)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right) g-48 a b^{2}, \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}=2 b g^{2}+2(2 a+2-2 b)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right) g-48 a^{2} b,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}-b \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}=2(a-b)\left[(a+b) g+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)(2-2 a-2 b)\right], \\
b \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}-a \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}=4(b-a)\left[(a+b+1)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right) g-12 a b(a+b)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

The. equations $\partial f / \partial a=\partial f / \partial b=0$ imply $a=b$ or

$$
\begin{gather*}
(a+b) g+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)(2-2 a-2 b)=0  \tag{13}\\
(a+b+1)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right) g-12 a b(a+b)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Eliminating $g$ from the above equations we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)\left[(a+b)^{2}-1\right]-12 a b(a+b)^{2}=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand sides of the equations (13) and (14) are symmetric functions of $a, b$. Expressing them in terms of $s=a+b$ and $p=a b$, then eliminating $p$, we get

$$
s(s-1)(2 s-1)\left(4 s^{2}-s+1\right)=0
$$

For $s=x+y>1$ this is clearly impossible, there remains the possibility $a=b$. However, in that case

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}=16 a^{3}-24 a^{2}+18 a-4=2(2 a-1)^{3}+3(2 a-1)+1>1 .
$$

Lemma 6. For every nonzero vector $\mathbf{n}=\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right] \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ there exist lineary independent vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{p n}=\mathbf{q n}=0$, and
$h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})<\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} l(\mathbf{n})$, if each of the numbers $\left|n_{1}\right|,\left|n_{2}\right|,\left|n_{3}\right|$ is less than the sum of the two others;

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \leq h(\mathbf{n}), \text { otherwise } .
$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq n_{3}>0$.
In virtue of Lemmata 1 and 5 the area $A(K)$ of the domain

$$
\mathrm{K}: \quad|X| \leq 1,|Y| \leq 1,\left|\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}} X-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{3}} Y\right| \leq 1
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A(\mathrm{~K})>\sqrt{\frac{24}{n_{1}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}}} n_{3}, \text { if } n_{1}+n_{2}>n_{3}  \tag{15}\\
A(\mathrm{~K})=4, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $a, b$ be a basis, the existence of which is asserted in Lemma 3. The affine transformation $X=a_{1} x+b_{1} y, Y=a_{2} x+b_{2} y$ transforms the domain $K$ into the domain

$$
\mathbf{K}^{\prime}: \quad\left|a_{i} x+b_{i} y\right| \leq 1 \quad(i=1,2,3)
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\mathbf{K}^{\prime}\right)=A(\mathbf{K}) \frac{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)}{n_{3}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of Minkowski's second theorem there exist two linearly independent integer vectors $\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]$ and $\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right.$ ] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i} x_{j}+b_{i} y_{j}\right| \leq \lambda, \quad(i=1,2,3 ; j=1,2) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(18)

$$
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} A\left(\mathrm{~K}^{\prime}\right) \leq 4
$$

Putting $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{a} x_{1}+\mathbf{b} y_{1}, \mathbf{q}=\mathbf{a} x_{2}+\mathbf{b} y_{2}$, we infer that $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent, satisfy $\mathbf{p n}=\mathbf{q n}=0$ and in virtue of (15), (18)

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \leq \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
<\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} l(\mathbf{n}), \quad \text { if } n_{1}+n_{2}>n_{3} \\
\leq n_{3}, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof of Theorem1. If $\mathbf{n}=\left[\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right]$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{1,-1\}$, it suffices to take $\mathbf{p}=\left[\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, 0\right], \mathbf{q}=\left[0,0, \varepsilon_{3}\right]$. If $\mathbf{n} \neq\left[\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right]$ for every choice of $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$, then by Lemma 4 there exists a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{mn}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by Lemma 6 applied with $n$ replaced by $m$ there exist vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p m}=\mathbf{q m}=0, \quad \operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})=2 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})<\max \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} l(\mathbf{m}), h(\mathbf{m})\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

-The equations (20) and (22) imply that $\mathbf{n}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q} ; u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$, while the inequalities (20) and (22) imply that $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})<[(4 / 3) h(\mathbf{n})]^{1 / 2}$.

It follows that the number $c_{0}(3)$ defined in [5] by the formula

$$
c_{0}(k)=\sup _{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{k} \\ \mathbf{n} \neq 0}} \inf _{\substack{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{k} \\ \operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})=2 \\ n=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}, u, v \in \mathbf{Q}}} h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) h(\mathbf{n})^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}}
$$

satisfies $c_{0}(3) \leq \sqrt{4 / 3}$ and if $c_{0}(3)=\sqrt{4 / 3}$, the supremum occurring in the definition of $c_{0}(k)$ is not attained. By Theorem 2 of [5] there exist vectors $\mathbf{p}_{0}$, $\mathbf{q}_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ linearly independent and such that $\mathbf{n}=u_{0} \mathbf{p}_{0}+v_{0} \mathbf{q}_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $h\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}\right) h\left(\mathbf{q}_{0}\right)$ $<[(4 / 3) h(\mathbf{n})]^{1 / 2}$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 is again based on several lemmata We shall set for $t=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{n}_{t}=\left[\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\left(6 t^{2}+4 t-1\right),\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\left(6 t^{2}+6 t-1\right),\right. \\
\left.\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right)^{2}-\left(2 t^{2}-1\right)\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

and for vectors $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \ldots$ we shall denote the $v$-th coordinate by $m_{v}, p_{v}$ respectively.

Lemma 7. If $\mathbf{n}_{t} \mathbf{m}=0, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}, 0<h(\mathbf{m}) \leq 8 t^{2}+8 t-2$, then we have $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{m}_{i}$ for an $i \leq 6$, where

$$
\mathbf{m}_{1}=\left[\delta t^{2}+6 t-1,-\left(6 t^{2}+4 t-1\right), 0\right], \mathbf{m}_{2}=\left[2 t^{2}+2 t-1,-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right), 2 t^{2}+2 t\right],
$$

$\mathbf{m}_{3}=\left[4 t^{2}+4 t,-\left(2 t^{2}-1\right),-\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\right], \mathbf{m}_{4}=\left[2 t^{2}+2 t+1,2 t^{2}+4 t+1,-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right)\right]$,
$\mathbf{m}_{5}=\left[2,6 t^{2}+8 t+1,-\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)\right](t \neq 1), \mathbf{m}_{6}=\left[6 t^{2}+6 t+1,4 t+2,-\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)\right]$.
Proof. The vectors $\mathrm{m}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ all satisfy the equation $n m_{i}=0$. Since the vectors $\mathbf{m}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are linearly independent, every vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ satisfying $\mathbf{n m}=0$ is of the form $u \mathbf{m}_{1}+v \mathbf{m}_{2}, u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Let $u=a / c, v=b / c, a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z},(a, b, c)=1, c>0$. It follows from $c \mid a m_{1 i}+b m_{2 i}$, $c \mid a m_{1 j}+b m_{2 j}$ that $c \mid(a, b)\left(m_{1 i} m_{2 j}-m_{2 i} m_{1 j}\right)$, hence, $c \mid m_{1 i} m_{2 j}-m_{2 i} m_{1 j} \quad(1 \leq i$ $<j \leq 3$ ).

But $\left(m_{11} m_{23}-m_{21} m_{13}, m_{12} m_{23}-m_{22} m_{13}\right)=m_{23}\left(m_{11}, m_{12}\right)=m_{23}$ and $\left(m_{23}, m_{11}\right.$, $\left.m_{22}-m_{21}, m_{12}\right)=\left(m_{23}, m_{21}, m_{12}\right)=1$, hence, $c=1$ and we get $\mathbf{m}=a \mathbf{m}_{1}+b \mathbf{m}_{2}$. Considering the third coordinate, we find $|b|\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right) \leq 8 t^{2}+8 t-2$, hence, $|b| \leq 3$.

Considering the first coordinate, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a\left(6 t^{2}+6 t-1\right)+b\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)\right| \leq 8 t^{2}+8 t-2 \\
& |a|\left(6 t^{2}+6 t-1\right) \leq 8 t^{2}+8 t-2+|b|\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right) \leq 14 t^{2}+14 t-15
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $|a| \leq 1$ or $a= \pm 2, b=3$. For $a=0$ we get $\mathbf{m}=b\left[2 t^{2}+2 t-1,-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right)\right.$, $\left.2 t^{2}+2 t\right]= \pm \mathbf{m}_{2}$. For $|\vec{a}|=1$ the inequality for the second coordinate

$$
\left|a\left(6 t^{2}+4 t-1\right)+b\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right)\right| \leq 8 t^{2}+8 t-2
$$

gives $b=0$ or $a b<0$. For $a= \pm 1, b=0$ we get $\mathbf{m}= \pm \mathbf{m}_{1}$; for $a= \pm 1, b=\mp 1$ we get $\mathbf{m}= \pm \mathbf{m}_{3}$; for $a= \pm 1, b=\mp 2$ we get $\mathbf{m}= \pm \mathbf{m}_{4}$; for $a= \pm 1, \quad b=\mp 3$ we get $\mathbf{m}= \pm \mathbf{m}_{5}$; for $a= \pm 2, b=\mp 3$ we get $\mathbf{m}= \pm \mathbf{m}_{6}$.

Lemma 8. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{p m} \mathbf{m}_{1}=\mathbf{q} \mathbf{m}_{1}=0$, then $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})>4 t_{i}^{2}+4 t$.

Proof. pm ${ }_{1}=0$ implies $p_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 6 t^{2}+4 t-1, p_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 6 t^{2}+6 t-1$. Hence, $p_{1}=p_{2}=0$ or $\left|p_{2}\right| \geq 6 t^{2}+6 t-1$. Similarly, $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$ or $\left|q_{2}\right| \geq 6 t^{2}+6 t-1$. Since $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent, $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathrm{q}) \geq 6 t^{2}+6 t-1>4 t^{2}+4 t$.

Lemma 9. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ are linearly independent and

$$
\mathbf{p m}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{q m}_{2}=0
$$

then

$$
h(\mathrm{p}) h(\mathrm{q}) \geq \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t
$$

Proof. The equation

$$
\mathrm{pm}_{2}=\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right) p_{1}-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right) p_{\mathrm{a}}+\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right) p_{3}=0
$$

gives $p_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 t^{2}+2 t-1$, hence, $p_{1}=0$ or $\left|p_{1}\right| \geq 2 t^{2}+2 t$. The former possibility gives $\left|p_{3}\right| \geq 2$. Similarly, $q_{1}=0, \mid q_{3} \geq 2$ or $\left|q_{1}\right| \geq 2 t^{2}+2 t$. Since $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent, $p_{1}=q_{1}=0$ is excluded, hence,

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathrm{q}) \geq \min \left\{2\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right),\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)^{2}\right\} \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t .
$$

Lemma 10. If $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{p m}_{3}=\mathbf{q m}_{3}=0$, then $h(\mathrm{p}) h(\mathrm{q}) \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t$.
Proof. The equation

$$
\mathbf{p m}_{3}=\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right) p_{1}-\left(2 t^{2}-1\right) p_{2}-\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right) p_{3}=0
$$

gives $p_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 t^{2}+2 t$, hence $p_{2}=0$. or $\left|p_{2}\right| \geq 2 t^{2}+2 t$. The further proof is similar to that of Lemma 9.

Lemma 11. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}, \mathbf{p m}_{4}=0$, then either $\mathbf{p}=0$ or $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2 t+1$.
Proof. The equation

$$
\mathrm{pm}_{4}=\left(2 t^{2}+\Im t+1\right) p_{1}+\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right) p_{2}-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right) p_{3}=0
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-2 p_{3}\right)+p_{1}+(2 t+1) p_{2}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p_{1}+p_{2}-2 p_{3}=0$, then $p_{1}+(2 t+1) p_{9}=0$ and either $p_{1}=0$ or $\left|p_{1}\right| \geq 2 t+1$.
If $p_{1}+p_{2}-2 p_{3} \neq 0$, then since by (24) $p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \bmod 2$, we obtain

$$
p_{1}+p_{2}-2 p_{3}=2 s, s \in \mathbf{Z} \backslash\{0\}, \quad p_{1}+(2 t+1) p_{2}=-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right) s
$$

Hence, $p_{3}+t p_{2}=-\left(2 t^{2}+2 t+1\right) s$ and

$$
\max \left\{\left|p_{2}\right|,\left|p_{3}\right|\right\} \geq \frac{2 t^{2}+2 t+1}{t+1}>2 t
$$

thus $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2 t+1$.
Lemma 12. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{3}}$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{p m} \mathbf{m}_{5}=\mathbf{q} \mathbf{m}_{5}=0$, then $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})>4 t^{2}+4 t(t \neq 1)$.
Proof. The equation

$$
\mathrm{pm}_{5}=2 p_{1}+\left(6 t^{2}+8 t+1\right) p_{2}-\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right) p_{3}=0
$$

gives

$$
2 p_{1}+(2 t+1) p_{2}+\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)\left(p_{2}-p_{3}\right)=0 .
$$

If $p_{2}=p_{3}$, we get $p_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 t+1$, hence, $\left|p_{1}\right| \geq 2 t+1$. If $p_{2} \neq p_{3}$, we get $(2 t+3) \max \left\{\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|\right\} \geq 6 t^{2}+6 t$, hence,

$$
\max \left\{\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|\right\} \geq \frac{6 t^{2}+6 t}{2 t+3}>3 t-2
$$

and $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3 t-1$. Similarly, $q_{2}=q_{3}$ and $\left|q_{1}\right| \geq 2 t+1$ or $h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3 t-1$. Since $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent, $p_{2}=p_{3}, q_{2}=q_{3}$ is excluded and we get for $t \neq 1$

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geq \min \left\{(2 t+1)(3 t-1),(3 t-1)^{2}\right\} \geq(2 t+1)(3 t-1)
$$

Lemma 13. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{p m}_{6}=\mathbf{q} \mathbf{m}_{6}=0$, then $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t$.
Proof. The equation
gives

$$
\mathbf{p m}_{6}=\left(6 t^{2}+6 t+1\right) p_{1}+(4 t+2) p_{2}-\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right) p_{3}=0
$$

$$
\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{3}\right)+p_{1}+(4 t+2) p_{2}=0 .
$$

If $p_{1}-p_{3}=0$, we get $p_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 4 t+2$, hence, $\left|p_{1}\right| \geq 4 t+2$.
If $\left|p_{1}-p_{3}\right| \geq 2$, we get

$$
(4 t+3) \max \left\{\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|\right\} \geq 2\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right),
$$

hence,

$$
\max \left\{\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|\right\} \geq \frac{12 t^{2}+12 t}{4 t+3}>3 t
$$

and $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3 t+1$. If $p_{1}-p_{3}= \pm 1$, we get $p_{1}+(4 t+2) p_{2}=\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)$, hence either

$$
\left|p_{1}\right| \geqq 4 t+2 \text { or } p_{2}=\left[\mp \frac{\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)}{4 t+2}\right] \text { or } p_{2}=\left[\mp \frac{\left(6 t^{2}+6 t\right)}{4 t+2}\right]+1 .
$$

The last two formulae give the following possible values for $\mp\left[p_{1}, p_{2}\right]$ :

$$
\left[3 t, \frac{3 t}{2}\right],\left[t-1, \frac{3 t+1}{2}\right],\left[-t-2, \frac{3 t+2}{2}\right],\left[-3 t-3, \frac{3 t+3}{2}\right] .
$$

Hence, either $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3 t+2\{t / 2\}$ or $p_{1}-p_{3}= \pm 1$ and $p_{2}=[(3 t+2) / 2]$. Similarly, either $h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3 t+2\{t / 2\}$ or $q_{2}-q_{3}= \pm 1$ and $q_{3}=[(3 t+2) / 2]$. Since $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent it follows that

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geq\left(3 t+2\left\{\frac{t}{2}\right\}\right)\left[\frac{3 t+2}{2}\right] \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 t^{2}+4 t}{\sqrt{\left(4 t^{2}+4 t\right)^{2}-\left(2 t^{2}-1\right)\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)}}=\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}},
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $t$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 t^{2}+4 t>\sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3}-\varepsilon\right) h\left(\mathbf{n}_{t}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we fix such a value of $t$.
If $\mathbf{n}_{t}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}, u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ are linearly dependent, then since $\left(n_{t 1}, n_{t 2}, n_{t 3}\right)=1$, we have either $\mathbf{p}=0$ or $\mathbf{p}=s n_{t}, s \in \mathbf{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, thus $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq h\left(\mathbf{n}_{t}\right)$. and similarly for $q$. It follows that for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0, \mathbf{q} \neq 0$.

$$
h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geqslant h\left(\mathbf{n}_{t}\right)^{2}>\sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3}-\varepsilon\right) h\left(\mathbf{n}_{t}\right)} .
$$

If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are linearly independent, then $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} \neq 0$ and $(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{n}_{t}=0$. On the other hand, either $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t$ or $h(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \leq 2 h(\mathbf{p}) \quad h(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2\left(4 t^{2}+4 t-14\right)$ $=8 t^{2}+8 t-2$. In the latter case in virtue of Lemma 7 we have $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}=\mathbf{m}_{i}$, for na $i \leq 6$. Hence, $p \mathbf{m}_{i}=q \mathbf{m}_{i}=0$ and from Lemmata 8-13 we obtain $\left.h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4 t^{2}+4 t\right)$.

In view of (25) the theorem follows.

Remark. There exist decompositions $\mathbf{n}_{t}=u \mathbf{p}+v \mathbf{q}$ with $h(\mathbf{p}) h(\mathbf{q})=4 t^{2}+4 t$, namely

$$
\mathrm{n}_{t}=\left(6 t^{2}+4 t-1\right)\left[2 t^{2}+2 t, 0,-\left(2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)\right]+\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\left(6 t^{2}+6 t-1\right)[0,1,2]
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{n}_{t}=\left(2 t^{2}+2 t\right)\left(6 t^{2}+4 t-1\right)[1,0,2]+\left(6 t^{2}+6 t-1\right)\left[0,2 t^{2}+2 t, 1-2 t^{2}\right] .
$$
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