

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

ON SOME SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR HIGH BREAKDOWN POINT OF ML ESTIMATORS* *

Maya Marintcheva

High breakdown point estimators $LME(k)$ and $LTE(k)$ for location and scale are obtained for symmetrical exponentially decreasing density family.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a defined on p -dimensional Euclidean space E^p multivariate density family: $f(x, \mu, S) = \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{\det(S)}} \varphi((x - \mu)' S^{-1} (x - \mu))$, with fixed shape function φ , where μ and S denote location and scale correspondingly. Vandev [1] developed a breakdown point technique for the robustified LME and LTE estimators. Their breakdown point is not less than $\frac{n-k}{n}$, i.e. they are $\frac{n-k}{n}$ -robust, for k , chosen by the user within some reasonable range of values. Vandev and Neykov [2] studied the connection of the finite sample breakdown point, dimension of the Gaussian distribution and the notion of d -fullness, introduced in [1]. Now following the technique [3], a high breakdown point for LME and LTE is obtained for $\varphi(z) = O(e^{-\alpha z^\beta})$; α is a positive constant and β lies between 0 and 1. A contra example in case of $\varphi(z) = 1/z^p$ demonstrates the need of exponential decrease for the theory.

2 Basic Definitions

Definition 1 Estimators $LME(k)$ and $LTE(k)$ of the unknown parameter θ , for $k > \frac{n}{2}$ are defined as:

$$LME(k)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \arg \min_{\theta} (-\ln f(x_{l(k)}, \theta)),$$

*The author owes a dept of gratitude to Prof. D. Vandev for his remarks and directions

*This paper is partly financed by I-625/96 of Bulgarian Ministry of Education Science and Technologies

$$LTE(k)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \arg \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^k [-\ln f(x_{l(i)}, \theta)],$$

where $f(x_{l(1)}, \theta) \geq f(x_{l(2)}, \theta) \geq \dots \geq f(x_{l(n)}, \theta)$ are the ordered density values.

Definition 2 The real valued function $g(z)$ defined on a topological space Z is called subcompact, if its Lebesgue sets $L(M) = \{z : g(z) \leq M\}$ are compact or empty for every positive constant M .

Definition 3 A finite set F of n functions is called d -full, if for any subset of cardinality $d > 0$ from F , the supremum of all functions in this subset is a subcompact function. [1]

Theorem 1 If $\frac{1}{2}(n + d) \leq k \leq n - d$, then $LME(k)$ and $LTE(k)$ are $(n - k)$ -robust. [1]

Lemma 1 (a standard Linear Algebra fact) Let α_i are the eigenvalues of S , and there exist real constants α and β , such that $\alpha \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta$. Then $\alpha \leq \|S\| \leq \beta$.

Lemma 2 If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are positive real numbers and $H = \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i - \ln \lambda_i)$, then $e^{-H} \leq \lambda_i \leq eH/(e - 1)$. [3]

3 Results

Lemma:* Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a set of independent observations in E^p over a random variable ξ with density function: $f(x, \mu, S) = \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{\det(S)}} \varphi((x - \mu)'S^{-1}(x - \mu))$, and let F be the finite set: $F = \{-\ln f(x_1, \mu, S), -\ln f(x_2, \mu, S), \dots, -\ln f(x_n, \mu, S)\}$. Then:

$$LME(k)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \arg \min_S (-\ln f(x_{l(k)}, \mu, S)), \text{ and}$$

$$LTE(k)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \arg \min_S \sum_{i=1}^k (-\ln f(x_{l(i)}, \mu, S));$$

both have a breakdown point not less than $\frac{n - k}{n}$, for:

$$\frac{1}{2}(n + p + 1) \leq k \leq n - p - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = O(e^{-\alpha z^\beta}) : \quad \alpha > 0, 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Contra – example:

Let choose a function $\varphi(z) = 1/z^p$ that does not satisfy the assumption to be $O(e^{-\alpha z^\beta})$. In

this case we show that $A = \left\{ S : \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} \{-\ln f(x_i, \mu, S)\} \leq K \right\}$ contains matrices S with eigenvalues that can not be separated from the zero point. Therefore A is not a compact set [5], we have not $(p + 1)$ -fullness and Theorem1 is not applicable.

*These robust estimators are useful tools for variety of theories including Teletraffic theory.

$$A = \left\{ S : \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det S) - \ln \frac{1}{\left(\max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} (x_i - \mu) t S^{-1}(x_i - \mu) \right)^p} \leq K \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ S : \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det S) + p \ln \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} ((x_i - \mu) t S^{-1}(x_i - \mu)) \leq K \right\}$$

$$\max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} ((x_i - \mu) t S^{-1}(x_i - \mu)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \mu) t S^{-1}(x_i - \mu)) \Rightarrow$$

$$A \subset A_1 = \left\{ S : \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det S) + p \ln \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \mu) t S^{-1}(x_i - \mu)) \leq K \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ S : -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\det S^{-1}) + p \ln \text{Tr}(BS^{-1}) \leq K \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ S : -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\det BS^{-1}) + p \ln \text{Tr}(BS^{-1}) \leq K_1, \text{ where: } K_1 = K - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det B) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ S : -\ln \sqrt{\det(BS^{-1})} + \ln(\text{Tr}(BS^{-1}))^p \leq K_1 \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ S : \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \right)^p / \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i} \leq K_2 \right\}.$$

$K_2 = e^{K_1}$ and $\lambda_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ are the eigenvalues of BS^{-1} , so we have that:

$$\det(BS^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \text{ and } \text{Tr}(BS^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i. \text{ For } \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = \lambda :$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \right)^p / \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i} = \frac{p^p \lambda^p}{\lambda^{\frac{p}{2}}} = p^p \lambda^{\frac{p}{2}}, \text{ which ever can be made smaller than } K_2 \text{ for } \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

4 Proof

Conclusions follow from [1] and [3] if only $(p + 1)$ -fullness of F is obtained. Considering definitions 1-3 and Theorem 1, it only remains to show that for any constant K :

$$A = \left\{ S : \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} \{-\ln f(x_i, \mu, S)\} \leq K \right\}$$

is compact or empty. As closeness is easy to obtain [3] we concentrate on proving that A is bounded. It is shown by means of expanding A until a bounded set A_4 is achieved. As $A \subset A_4$, A is bounded too.

$$A = \left\{ S : \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det S) - \ln \varphi \left(\max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} ((x_i - \mu)' S^{-1} (x_i - \mu)) \right) \leq K + \ln C^p = K_1 \right\};$$

We need the following inequalities (1),(2) and denotations (3),(4).

$$(1) \quad \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}} ((x_i - \mu)' S^{-1} (x_i - \mu)) \geq \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \mu)' S^{-1} (x_i - \mu))$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \mu)' S^{-1} (x_i - \mu)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \bar{x})' S^{-1} (x_i - \bar{x}))$$

$$(3) \quad B = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})'$$

$$(4) \quad \text{Tr}(BZ) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} ((x_i - \bar{x})' Z (x_i - \bar{x})), \quad Z = S^{-1}.$$

$$A \subset A_1 = \left\{ S : -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\det BZ) - \ln \varphi \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (x_i - \bar{x})' S^{-1} (x_i - \bar{x}) \right) \leq K_2 \right\},$$

where: $K_2 = K_1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det B$.

We choose a constant $k = [(1 - \beta) \ln p - \ln \alpha - \ln \beta] / \beta$. Let γ_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ be the eigenvalues of BZ and let consider: $\lambda_i = (e^{-k} \gamma_i)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ which is equivalent to $\gamma_i = \lambda_i^\beta e^k$. In terms of λ_i we have that:

$$\det(BZ) = \prod_{i=1}^p \gamma_i = e^{pk} \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta, \quad \text{Tr}(BZ) = \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i = e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta,$$

and $A_1 = \left\{ S : \sqrt{\det(BZ)} \cdot \varphi(\text{Tr}(BZ)) \geq L \right\}$, $L = -K_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : \sqrt{e^{pk} \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta} \cdot \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) \geq L \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta} \cdot \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) \geq L_1, \text{ where: } L_1 = L e^{-\frac{pk}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : -\ln \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta} - \ln \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) \leq L_2, \text{ where: } L_2 = -\ln L_1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : -\frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \leq \beta \ln \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) + L_3, \text{ where: } L_3 = \beta \cdot L_2 \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} + \beta \ln \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) + L_3 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2}$, we enlarge A_1 to A_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} + \beta \ln \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) + L_3 \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : H \leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} + \beta \ln \varphi \left(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) \right) + 2L_3 \right\}; \\
 H &= \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} - \ln \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} = \sum_{i=1}^p \left(\lambda_i^{\beta^2} - \ln \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Once again A_2 enlarges to A_3 according to: $0 \leq r \leq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^p y_i^r \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i \right)^r \frac{1}{p^{r-1}}$

[4], substituted for $y_i = \lambda_i^\beta, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $r = \beta$:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{\beta^2} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}}$$

$$A_3 = \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : H \leq 2 \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}} + \beta \ln \varphi \left(e^k \cdot \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right) \right] + L_3 \right\}$$

Now we remember that: $\varphi(z) = O(e^{-\alpha z^\beta}), \varphi(z) \leq Ae^{-\alpha z^\beta} \iff \ln \varphi(z) \leq \ln A - \alpha z^\beta$, for any constant $A > 0$. For $z = e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta : \ln \varphi(e^k \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta) \leq \ln A - \alpha \cdot e^{k\beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta$ and A_3 goes into A_4 , where:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : H \leq 2 \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}} + \beta \ln A - \alpha \beta e^{k\beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta \right] + 2L_3 \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : H \leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^\beta \right)^\beta (p^{1-\beta} - \alpha \beta e^{k\beta}) + L_4, \text{ where: } L_4 = 2\beta \ln A + 2L_3 \right\} \\
 &= \{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p : H \leq L_4 \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because of the special choice of k : $k = [(1 - \beta) \ln p - \ln \alpha - \ln \beta]/\beta$, we have that:

$$p^{1-\beta} - \alpha\beta e^{k\beta} = p^{1-\beta} - \alpha\beta e^{\beta[(1-\beta) \ln p - \ln \alpha - \ln \beta]/\beta} = p^{1-\beta} - \alpha\beta(p^{1-\beta})/(\alpha\beta) = 0.$$

Finally $A \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset A_4$ is obtained. As from Lemma 2 and $H \leq L_4$ we have that: $e^{-L_4} \leq \lambda_i \leq \frac{eL_4}{e-1}$, when apply Lemma 1, we obtain that A is bounded.

Bibliography

- [1] D. L. VANDEV. A Note on the Breakdown Point of the Least Median and Least Trimmed Estimators. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **16** (1993), 117-119.
- [2] D. L. VANDEV, N. M. NEYKOV. Robust Maximum Likelihood in the Gaussian Case. In: *New Directions in Statistical Data Analysis and Robustnes*, 1993, 259-264.
- [3] D. L. VANDEV, M. Z. MARINTCHEVA. On High Breakdown Point Estimators of Scale and Location in the Multidimensional Case. SDA'95, September, Varna, 1995, 23-27.
- [4] A. MARSHALL, J. OLKIN. *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Application*, Moskwa, MIR, 1983, 107-10 (in Russian).
- [5] G. P. KLIMOV. *Applied Mathematical Statistics*, Sofia, Science and Art, 1975 (in Bulgarian).

*Dept Telecommunication
 Institute of Mathematics
 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
 Acad. G.Bontchev str., bl. 8
 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
 e-mail: telecom@math.bas.bg*