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# A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE NEGATIVE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION 

Nikolay Kolev, Leda Minkova

Only a few characterizations have been obtained in literatute for the negative binomial distribution (see Johnson et al., Chap. 5, 1992). In this article a characterization of the negative binomial distribution related to random sums is obtained which is motivated by the geometric distribution characterization given by Khalil et al. (1991). An interpretation in terms of an unreliable system is given.

Consider a sequence $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ of non-negative integer-valued independent identically distributed random variables (iid. r.v.'s) defined by

$$
p_{k}=P\left(X_{1}=k\right) \geq 0, \quad k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}=1
$$

and let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ be another sequence of non-negative integer-valued iid. r.v.'s, independent of the sequence $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$, given by

$$
q_{k}=P\left(Y_{1}=k\right) \geq 0, \quad k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{k}=1, \quad \text { with } \quad q_{0}<1 .
$$

We call $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ the truncating process. Let us define the r.v.'s $N_{0}=0$,

$$
N_{i}=\inf \left\{k>N_{i-1}: X_{k}<Y_{k}\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, r
$$

and $Z_{0}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\{\sum_{j=1+N_{i-1}}^{N_{i}-1} Y_{j}+X_{N_{i}}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The r.v. $Z_{r}$ represents the total truncated sum until the moment when for $r$-th time, $r \geq 1$, the truncating process $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ has greater jump than the corresponding jump of the process $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$.

Let us consider the following unreliable system described by Dimitrov et al. (1991): during a process operating time a flow of implicit breakdowns with constant intensity arise in a random way, leading to incorrect final results. In such cases, it is profitable to introduce a strategy for making intermediate correctness test control and copies to remember the process states at some chosen moments. If the implicit breakdown is discovered by the test, the process continues from the last successful copied state. The tests and copies control schedule helps to economize the total process duration.

Consider the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}, k \geq 1\right\}$ of time intervals between consecutive copies and two independent renewal processes $\left\{\beta_{k}, k \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{k}, k \geq 1\right\}$, being testing and copying time durations, correspondingly. Next define

$$
X_{k}= \begin{cases}\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k}+\gamma_{k}, & \text { if no breakdown is discovered by the test } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
Y_{k}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if no breakdown is discovered by the test } \\ \alpha_{k}+\beta_{k}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

for $k \geq 1$. Now, it is clear that $Z_{r}$ defined by (1) can be interpreted as the total time duration of the unreliable server until the successful finish of the service, if the corresponding time duration without breakdowns is previously known.

Let us denote by $G_{U}(s)=E\left[s^{U}\right]$ the probability generating function of any integervalued r.v. $U,|s| \leq 1$. Under the above notations the following theorem is true.

Theorem 1 The distribution of $Z_{r}$ is determined by its probability generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{Z_{r}}(s)=\left[\frac{G_{1}(s)}{1-G_{2}(s)}\right]^{r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{1}(s)=E\left[s^{X_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} s^{k} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} q_{m},  \tag{3}\\
G_{2}(s)=E\left[s^{Y_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{k} s^{k} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} p_{m} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\mathbf{I}(\bullet)$ means the indicator function.
Proof. Consider the decomposition of $G_{Z_{r}}(s)$ after the first jump of the processes $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$. Then

$$
G_{Z_{r}}(s)=E\left[s^{Z_{r}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)\right]+E\left[s^{Z_{r}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)\right]
$$

At first, let us suppose that $X_{1}<Y_{1}$. In this case $Z_{r}=X_{1}+T_{1}$, where $T_{1}$ means the total truncated sum after the first jump of both processes. The truncating process
$\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is independent of the process $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ by assumption. Then, the r.v. $T_{1}$ is independent of $X_{1}$ and $T_{1}$ has the same distribution as $Z_{r-1}$. Therefore

$$
E\left[s^{Z_{r}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)\right]=E\left[s^{X_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)\right] E\left[s^{Z_{r-1}}\right]=G_{1}(s) G_{Z_{r-1}}(s)
$$

We obtain the relation (3) by the following equations

$$
G_{1}(s)=E\left[s^{X_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\left(X_{1}=k\right) s^{k} P\left(X_{1}<Y_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} s^{k} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} q_{m} .
$$

Similarly, if $X_{1} \geq Y_{1}$, we have $Z_{r}=Y_{1}+T_{2}$, where $T_{2}$ is the total truncated sum after the first jump. Since after the first jump $X_{1}$ dominates $Y_{1}$, the r.v. $T_{2}$ is independent of $Y_{1}$ and has the same distribution as $Z_{r}$. Then

$$
E\left[s^{Z_{r}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)\right]=E\left[s^{Y_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)\right] E\left[s^{Z_{r}}\right]=G_{2}(s) G_{Z_{r}}(s)
$$

In this case

$$
G_{2}(s)=E\left[s^{Y_{1}} \mathbf{I}\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\left(Y_{1}=k\right) s^{k} P\left(X_{1} \geq Y_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{k} s^{k} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} p_{m}
$$

as was stated by (4).
Combining both cases we have

$$
G_{Z_{r}}(s)=G_{1}(s) G_{Z_{r-1}}(s)+G_{2}(s) G_{Z_{r}}(s)
$$

The last equation is fulfilled for any integer $r \geq 1$, and using it iteratively we obtain

$$
G_{Z_{r}}(s)=\left[\frac{G_{1}(s)}{1-G_{2}(s)}\right]^{r} G_{Z_{0}}(s)
$$

By convention $G_{Z_{0}}(s)=1$, since $Z_{0}=0$ and therefore (2) is derived.
Corollary. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be geometrically distributed with parameter $p \in(0,1)$. For any truncating process $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ with $q_{0}<1$, the distribution of $Z_{r}$ is negative binomial with parameters $p$ and $r$.

Proof. In this case $p_{k}=(1-p) p^{k}, k=0,1, \ldots$ and from (3) and (4) we have

$$
G_{1}(s)=\frac{1-p}{1-p s} G_{Y_{1}}(p s) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{2}(s)=1-G_{Y_{1}}(p s)
$$

Substituting the last two expressions in (2) we obtain

$$
G_{Z_{r}}(s)=\left(\frac{1-p}{1-p s}\right)^{r}
$$

which is the probability generating function of the negative binomial distribution.
Remark. We acknowledge that the last two proofs are highly influenced by the corresponding proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 in Khalil et al. (1991), correspondingly. The statement of the Theorem 1 can be obtained also from Theorem 1 in Khalil et al. (1991). In fact, if we write $Z_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} U_{i}$, with

$$
U_{i}=\sum_{j=1+N_{i-1}}^{N_{i}-1} Y_{j}+X_{N_{i}}
$$

then the r.v.'s $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{r}$ are independent and with the same distribution.
The following characterization theorem of the negative binomial distribution in terms of random sums is obtained as a direct consequence of the above results.

Theorem 2 Let us consider the geometric truncating process $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ with parameter $q \in(0,1)$. Then the r.v. $Z_{r}$ given by (1), is negative binomial distributed with parameters $p$ and $r$ iff $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are geometrically distributed with parameter $p$.

Let us note, that the necessary part of the Theorem 2 is true even if the truncating process $\left\{Y_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is not geometric, as it was shown by the Corollary.
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