

**FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR  
UNIVERSALLY PRESTARLIKE FUNCTIONS**

**T. N. Shanmugam <sup>\*</sup>, J. Lourthu Mary <sup>\*\*</sup>**

*This paper is dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Professor Srivastava*

**Abstract**

The universally prestarlike functions of order  $\alpha \leq 1$  in the slit domain  $\Lambda = \mathcal{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$  have been recently introduced by S. Ruscheweyh. This notion generalizes the corresponding one for functions in the unit disk  $\Delta$  (and other circular domains in  $\mathcal{C}$ ). In this paper, we obtain the coefficient inequalities and the Fekete-Szegö inequality for such functions.

*MSC 2010:* 30C45

*Key Words and Phrases:* prestarlike functions, universally prestarlike functions, coefficients, Fekete-Szegö inequality

**1. Introduction**

Let  $H(\Omega)$  denote the set of all analytic functions defined in a domain  $\Omega$ . For domain  $\Omega$  containing the origin  $H_0(\Omega)$  stands for the set of all function  $f \in H(\Omega)$  with  $f(0) = 1$ . We also use the notation  $H_1(\Omega) = \{zf : f \in H_0(\Omega)\}$ . In the special case when  $\Omega$  is the open unit disk  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , we use the abbreviation  $H, H_0$  and  $H_1$  respectively for  $H(\Omega), H_0(\Omega)$  and  $H_1(\Omega)$ .

A function  $f \in H_1$  is called starlike of order  $\alpha$  with  $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  satisfying the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad (1.1)$$

and the set of all such functions is denoted by  $S_\alpha$ . The convolution or Hadamard Product of two functions  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

A function  $f \in H_1$  is called prestarlike of order  $\alpha$  if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}} * f(z) \in S_\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

The set of all such functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ . The notion of prestarlike functions has been extended from the unit disk to other disk and half planes containing the origin. Let  $\Omega$  be one such disk or half plane. Then there are two unique parameters  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\Omega_{\gamma, \rho} = \{w_{\gamma, \rho}(z) : z \in \Delta\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$w_{\gamma, \rho}(z) = \frac{\gamma z}{1 - \rho z}.$$

Note that  $1 \notin \Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$  iff  $|\gamma + \rho| \leq 1$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. (see [2], [3], [4]) Let  $\alpha \leq 1$ , and  $\Omega = \Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$  for some admissible pair  $(\gamma, \rho)$ . A function  $f \in H_1(\Omega_{\gamma, \rho})$  is called prestarlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$  if

$$f_{\gamma, \rho}(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} f(w_{\gamma, \rho}(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha. \quad (1.4)$$

The set of all such functions  $f$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be the slit domain  $\mathcal{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$  (the slit being along the positive real axis).

DEFINITION 1.2. (see [2], [3], [4]) Let  $\alpha \leq 1$ . A function  $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$  is called universally prestarlike of order  $\alpha$  if and only if  $f$  is prestarlike of order  $\alpha$  in all sets  $\Omega_{\gamma, \rho}$  with  $|\gamma + \rho| \leq 1$ . The set of all such functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1. A function  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1-2\alpha}}$  is prestarlike of order  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . When  $\alpha = 0$  the function is universally prestarlike of order 0. When  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  the function  $f(z) = z$  is the only entire function in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ .

EXAMPLE 1.2. A function  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  is universally prestarlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. (see [4]) Let  $\phi(z)$  be an analytic function with positive real part on  $\Delta$ , which satisfies  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) > 0$  and which maps the unit disc  $\Delta$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Then the class  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(\phi)$  consists of all analytic function  $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$  satisfying

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} \prec \phi(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\prec$  denotes the subordination, where  $(D^\beta f)(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\beta} \star f$ , for  $\beta \geq 0$ . In particular, for  $\beta = n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $D^{n+1}f = \frac{z}{n!}(z^{n-1}f)^{(n)}$ . We let  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(A, B)$  denote the class  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(\phi)$ , where  $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ). For suitable choices of A, B,  $\alpha$  the class  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(A, B)$  reduces to several well known classes of functions.  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}^u(1, -1)$  is the class  $S^*$  of starlike univalent functions.

NOTE 1.1. (see [4]) Let  $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-tz}$  where,  $a_k = \int_0^1 t^k d\mu(t)$ ,  $\mu(t)$  is a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $T$  denote the set of all such functions  $F$ . They are analytic in the slit domain  $\Lambda$ .

NOTE 1.2. (see [3]) Let  $\Omega$  be a circular domain containing the origin,  $\alpha \leq 1$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ . Then  $f \star F \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$ .

To prove our result we need the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. (see [2], [4]) Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$  if and only if

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} \in T. \quad (1.6)$$

This admits an explicit representation of the function in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ . If  $f \in H_0$  has all its Taylor coefficients at the origin different from zero we write  $f^{(-1)}$  for the (possibly formal but) unique solution of  $f \star f^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{1-z}$ .

LEMMA 1.1. (see [1]) If  $P_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is an analytic function with positive real part in  $\Delta$ , then

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2, & v \leq 0 \\ 2, & 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ 4v + 2, & v \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

when  $v < 0$ , or  $v > 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $P_1(z)$  is  $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$  or one of its rotations. when  $0 < v < 1$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $P_1(z)$  is  $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$  or one of its rotations. If  $v = 0$ , the equality holds if and only if  $P_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  or one of its rotations. If  $v = 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $P_1(z)$  is the reciprocal of one of the function for which the equality holds in the case of  $v = 0$ . Also the above upper bound can be improved as follows when  $0 < v < 1$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < v \leq 1\right).$$

## 2. Series representation for universally prestarlike functions

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $f$  be an universally prestarlike function of order  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then the function  $f(z)$  has a representation of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) a_k b_{n-k}}{\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) - \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)} \right\}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k - 2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) = \frac{\prod_{m=2}^k (m - 2\alpha)}{(k-1)!}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1) a_1 = 1$$

$$\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k+1-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad b_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu(t) \text{ and } \mu(t) \text{ is a probability measure on } [0, 1].$$

*P r o o f.* By Theorem 1.1,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$  if and only if  $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} \in T$ . Hence,  $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-tz}$ , for some probability measure  $\mu(t)$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad \text{where } b_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu(t).$$

Therefore,

$$D^{3-2\alpha} f = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) a_n z^n,$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k+1-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Now,

$$D^{2-2\alpha} f = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) a_n z^n,$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \frac{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) a_n z^n}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) a_n z^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \quad (2.2)$$

Equating the like of coefficients, we obtain for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ :

$$a_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) a_k b_{n-k}}{\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) - \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)},$$

with  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1) a_1 = 1$ . ■

### 3. Main result

We now establish the Fekete Szegő inequality.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ . If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_nz^n$  is a universally prestarlike function of order  $\alpha$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 - (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{-B_2 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 + (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_1 = \frac{(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{(B_2 + B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}$ .

The result is sharp.

**P r o o f.** If  $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ , then there is a Schwartz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} = \phi(w(z))$ . Define the function  $P_1(z)$  by  $P_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ . Since  $w(z)$  is a Schwartz function, we see that  $ReP_1(z) > 0$  and  $P_1(0) = 1$ . Define the function  $P(z) = \frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ . Now,  $P(z) = \phi\left(\frac{P_1(z) - 1}{P_1(z) + 1}\right)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_1(z) - 1}{P_1(z) + 1} &= \frac{c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots}{2 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1z + z^2 \left[ c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right] + z^3 \left[ c_3 - c_1c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right] + \dots \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, on simplification, we get

$$P(z) = 1 + \frac{B_1c_1z}{2} + \left[ \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots$$

Therefore,

$$1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots = 1 + \frac{B_1c_1z}{2} + \left[ \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots$$

Equating the like coefficients, we get

$$b_1 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now,  $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$ . From equation (2.2), we have

$$1 + [C'(\alpha, 2)a_2 - C(\alpha, 2)a_2] z + [C'(\alpha, 3)a_3 - C(\alpha, 2)C'(\alpha, 2)a_2^2 - C(\alpha, 3)a_3 \\ + (C(\alpha, 2)a_2)^2] z^2 + \dots = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$$

Equating the coefficients of  $z$  and  $z^2$  respectively and simplifying, we get

$$a_2 = b_1 \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{b_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)b_1^2}{3 - 2\alpha}. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying equations (3.1) and (3.2) in (3.3), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2} \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \left[ \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} + (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \right].$$

Now,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \left[ \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} + (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \right] - \mu \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \\ = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \frac{B_1}{2} \left[ c_2 - c_1^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_2}{2B_1} - (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1}{2} + (3 - 2\alpha) \mu \frac{B_1}{2} \right] \right] \\ = \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} [c_2 - c_1^2 v],$$

where

$$v = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_2}{2B_1} - (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1}{2} + (3 - 2\alpha) \mu \frac{B_1}{2} \right].$$

Now by an application of Lemma 1.1, if  $\mu \leq \sigma_1$ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 - (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 \mu}{3 - 2\alpha},$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 \mu}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

Now, if  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

Now, if  $\mu \geq \sigma_2$ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{-B_2 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 + (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha},$$

where

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(B_2 + B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

If  $\mu = \sigma_1$ , then the equality holds in Lemma 1.1, if and only if

$$P_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,$$

or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_2$ , then

$$\frac{1}{P_1(z)} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}}.$$

If  $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$ ,  $P_1(z) = \frac{1 + \lambda z^2}{1 - \lambda z^2}$ . To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the function  $K_\alpha^{\phi_n}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) by

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} K_\alpha^{\phi_n}}{D^{3-2\alpha} K_\alpha^{\phi_n}} = \phi(z^{n-1}),$$

$K_\alpha^{\phi_n}(0) = 0$ ,  $(K_\alpha^{\phi_n})'(0) = 1$  and function  $F_\alpha^\lambda$  and  $G_\alpha^\lambda$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ) by

$$\frac{(D^{3-2\alpha} F_\alpha^\lambda)(z)}{(D^{2-2\alpha} F_\alpha^\lambda)(z)} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right),$$

$F_\alpha^\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $(F_\alpha^\lambda)'(0) = 1$  and similarly,

$$\frac{(D^{3-2\alpha} G_\alpha^\lambda)(z)}{(D^{2-2\alpha} G_\alpha^\lambda)(z)} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right)$$

$G_\alpha^\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $(G_\alpha^\lambda)'(0) = 1$ . Clearly, the functions  $K_\alpha^{\phi_n}, F_\alpha^\lambda, G_\alpha^\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ . Also we write  $K_\alpha^\phi := K_\alpha^{\phi^2}$ . If  $\mu < \sigma_1$  or  $\mu < \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $K_\alpha^\phi$  or one of its rotations. When  $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $K_\alpha^{\phi^3}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_1$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $F_\alpha^\lambda$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_2$  then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $G_\alpha^\lambda$  or one of its rotations. Hence the result follows. ■



REMARK 3.1. If  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ , then in view of Lemma 1.1, Theorem 3.1 can be improved. Let  $\sigma_3$  be given by

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

If  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left( \frac{(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 - [(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2]}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) |a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

If  $\sigma_2 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left( \frac{-(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) |a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

P r o o f. For  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1)|a_2^2| \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \left( \mu - \frac{[(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2]}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 - B_2 - B_1 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \frac{1}{2} v |c_1|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} [ |c_2 - v c_1^2| + v |c_1|^2 ] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.1, we get  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)}$ . Now, for  $\sigma_2 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu)|a_2^2| \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \left( \frac{B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} - \mu \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{-(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 + B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{2} [ |c_2 - v c_1^2| + (1 - v)|c_1|^2 ] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.1, we get  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)}$ .  
Hence the result follows. ■

### References

- [1] W. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. *Proc. Conf. on Complex Analysis* (Eds: F. Li, L. Ren, L. Yang, S. Zhang), Int. Press (1994), 157-169.
- [2] S. Ruscheweyh, Some properties of prestarlike and universally prestarlike functions. *Intern. Conf. Geometric Function Theory, J. Analysis* **15** (2007), 247-254.
- [3] S. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, Universally prestarlike functions as convolution multipliers. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* (2009), 607-617.
- [4] S. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, T. Sugawa, Completely monotone sequences and universally prestarlike functions. *Israel J. Math.* **171** (2009), 285-304.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Anna University Chennai, Chennai – 600025, INDIA*

\* e-mail: shan@annauniv.edu

\*\* e-mail: j\_lourthumary@annauniv.edu

*Received: GFTA, August 27-31, 2010*