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#### Abstract

Stability of nonlinear impulsive differential equations with "supremum" is studied. A special type of stability, combining two different measures and a dot product on a cone, is defined. Perturbing cone-valued piecewise continuous Lyapunov functions have been applied. Method of Razumikhin as well as comparison method for scalar impulsive ordinary differential equations have been employed.
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## 1. Introduction

Many problems in the control theory correspond to the maximal deviation of the regulated quantity. Such kind of problems could be adequately modeled by differential equations that contain the maxima operator. At the same time many real processes are characterized by instantaneous changes of their state at certain moments and impulses are involved into the models. In the case when the equations contain maxima operator as well as impulses the equation is called impulsive differential equation with "supremum". Recently some stability problems in terms of two measures for impulsive equations are studied by Lyapunov's second method employing appropriate piecewise continuous Lyapunov's functions.

In the present paper the practical stability of the solutions of impulsive differential equations with "supremum" is studied. A new type of practical stability, combining two different measures ([4]) and dot product on a cone ([1]), is defined. In this paper, differently than the existing up to date results, two different measures are applied to both the given system and the comparison scalar equation. Cone-valued perturbing Lyapunov functions are employed as well as comparison results for scalar impulsive differential equations.

## 2. Preliminary notes and definitions

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be $n$-dimensional Euclidean space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$. Let $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of fixed points in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\tau_{k+1}>\tau_{k}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{k}=\infty$.

Let $r>0$ be a fixed constant.

Denote by $P C(X, Y)\left(X \subset \mathbb{R}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the set of all functions $u: X \rightarrow Y$ that are piecewise continuous in $X$ with points of discontinuity $\tau_{k} \in X$ and $u\left(\tau_{k}\right)=u\left(\tau_{k}-0\right)$.

We denote by $P C^{1}(X, Y)$ the set of all functions $u \in P C(X, Y)$ that are continuously differentiable for $t \in X, t \neq \tau_{k}$.

Consider the system of nonlinear impulsive differential equations with "supremum"

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime}=f\left(t, x(t), \sup _{s \in[t-r, t]} x(s)\right) & \text { for } \quad t \geq t_{0}, \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, \\
x\left(\tau_{k}+0\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(\tau_{k}-0\right)\right) & \text { for } \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, I_{k}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$
Let $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We denote by $x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)$ the solution of system (1), (2) with an initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi\left(t-t_{0}\right), \quad t \in\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \quad x\left(t_{0}+0 ; t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi(0) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Will assume in our further inevestigations that for any initial function $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the solution of the initial value problem (1), (2), (3) exists on $\left[t_{0}-r, \infty\right)$.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote by $(x \bullet y)$ the dot product of both vectors $x$ and $y$.
Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a cone. Consider the set

$$
\mathcal{K}^{*}=\left\{\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:(\varphi \bullet x) \geq 0 \text { for any } x \in \mathcal{K}\right\}
$$

We note $\mathcal{K}^{*}$ is a cone.
We will define the following sets of measures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma= & \left\{h \in C\left([-r, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{K}\right): \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} h(t, x)=0 \text { for each } t \in[-r, \infty)\right\}, \\
\bar{\Gamma}= & \left\{h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \min _{u \in \mathbb{R}} h(u)=0\right\} \\
\tilde{\Gamma}= & \left\{h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \min _{u \in \mathbb{R}} h(t, u)=0 \text { for each } t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right. \text {and } \\
& \left.\quad h\left(t, u_{1}\right) \leq h\left(t, u_{2}\right) \text { for }\left|u_{1}\right| \leq\left|u_{2}\right|, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. Note that any norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a function from $\Gamma$ and any norm in $\mathbb{R}$ is from the both classes $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}$. For example, the function $h^{*}(t, u)=e^{-t}|u| \in \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Let $h_{0} \in \Gamma, \varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}, t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}\left(t_{0}, \phi, \varphi_{0}\right)=\sup \left\{\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}(s, \phi(s))\right): \quad s \in\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right]\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will study practical stability in terms of two measures of impulsive differential equations with "supremum". In the case when cone-valued Lyapunov functions are applied both measures are from the set $\Gamma$. In this case we will introduce the definition of a new type of stability, that combines the ideas of stability in terms of two measures ([4]) and dot product on a cone.

Definition 1. Let the vector $\varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}$, the measures $h, h_{0} \in \Gamma$, and the positive constants $\lambda, A$ be given. System of impulsive differential equations with "supremum" (1),(2) is said to be
(S1) d-practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}, h\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$ if there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that for any $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ inequality $H_{0}\left(t_{0}, \phi, \varphi_{0}\right)<\lambda$ implies $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)<A$ for $t \geq t_{0}$, where the function $H_{0}$ is defined by (4), and $x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)$ is a solution of (1), (2), (3);
(S2) uniformly d-practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}, h\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$, if ( S 1 ) is satisfied for all $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

In our further investigations we will use the following initial value problem for the comparison scalar impulsive ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}=g(t, u), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, \quad u\left(\tau_{k}+0\right)=\xi_{k}\left(u\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots  \tag{5}\\
u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u, u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$
In our further inevestigations we will assume that for any initial point $u_{0}$ the solution $u\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ of the initial value problem (5), (6) exists on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

We will give the definition for practical stability in terms of two measures for the comparison scalar impulsive ordinary differential equation (5).

Definition 2. Let the measures $h^{*} \in \tilde{\Gamma}, h_{0}^{*} \in \bar{\Gamma}$, and the positive constants $\lambda, A$ be given. Impulsive differential equation (5) is said to be
(S3) practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$ if there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that for any $u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ inequality $h_{0}^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)<\lambda$ implies $h^{*}\left(t, u\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)<A$ for $t \geq t_{0}$, where $u\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is a solution of (5), (6);
(S4) uniformly practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$ if (S3) is satisfied for all $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

We will introduce the following class of Lyapunov's functions:
Definition 3. We will say that the function $V(t, x):[-r, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $V=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)$, belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}$ if:

1. $V(t, x) \in P C^{1}\left([-r, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{K}\right)$;
2. For each $k=1,2, \ldots$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exist the finite limits

$$
V\left(\tau_{k}, x\right)=V\left(\tau_{k}-0, x\right)=\lim _{t \uparrow \tau_{k}} V(t, x), \quad V\left(\tau_{k}+0, x\right)=\lim _{t \downarrow \tau_{k}} V(t, x)
$$

3. There exist constants $M_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the following inequality $\left|V_{i}(t, x)-V_{i}(t, y)\right| \leq M_{i}| | x-y \|$ holds.

Let $t \neq \tau_{k}, \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)$, function $V \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\phi \in P C\left([t-r, t], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We define a derivative $\mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V(t, x)$ of the function $V$ along the system (1), (2)
by the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V_{i}(t, \phi(t))= & \frac{\partial V_{i}(t, \phi(t))}{\partial t} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial V_{i}(t, \phi(t))}{\partial x_{j}} f_{j}\left(t, \phi(t), \sup _{s \in[-r, 0]} \phi(t+s)\right) \\
& \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V(t, x)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V_{1}(t, x), \mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V_{2}(t, x), \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V_{n}(t, x)\right)$.
Consider following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\left\{a \in C\left[\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right]: a(s) \text { is strictly increasing and } a(0)=0\right\} \\
& K_{1}=\left\{a \in C\left[\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right]: a \in K \text { and } a(s) \geq s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\rho=$ const $>0, \varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}, h \in \Gamma$. Consider the following set:

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(h, \rho, \varphi_{0}\right)=\left\{(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(t, x)\right)<\rho\right\} .
$$

In the further investigations we will use the following comparison result:
Lemma 1. (Hristova [2]). Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

1. The vector $\varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}$ and function $V \in \mathcal{L}$ are such that
(i) for any number $t \geq 0: t \neq \tau_{k}$ and any function $\psi \in P C\left([t-r, t], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right) \geq\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t+s, \psi(t+s))\right)$ for $s \in[-r, 0)$ the inequality

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet \mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V(t, \psi(t))\right) \leq g\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right)\right)
$$

holds, where $g \in P C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
(ii) $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}+0, I_{k}(x)\right)\right) \leq \xi_{k}\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}, x\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where functions $\xi_{k} \in K_{1}$.
2. The solution $x(t)=x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)$ of the initial value problem (1), (2), (3) is defined for $t \in\left[t_{0}-r, T\right]$, where $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
3. The function $u^{*}(t)=u^{*}\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is the maximal solution of (5) with initial condition $u^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$, which is defined for $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$.

Then the inequality $\sup _{s \in[-r, 0]}\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right) \leq u_{0}$ implies the inequality $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, x(t))\right) \leq u^{*}(t)$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$.

## 3. Main results

We will obtain sufficient conditions for $d$-practical stability in terms of two measures of systems of impulsive differential equations with "supremum".

Theorem 1. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

1. The function $f \in P C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f(t, 0,0) \equiv 0$.
2. The functions $I_{k} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), I_{k}(0)=0,(k=1,2, \ldots)$.
3. The functions $h_{0}, h \in \Gamma, h^{*} \in \tilde{\Gamma}, h_{0}^{*} \in \bar{\Gamma}$.
4. The vector $\varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}$.
5. There exists a function $V \in \mathcal{L}$ such that
(i) $b\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(t, x)\right) \leq h^{*}\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, x)\right)\right)\right.$ and $h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, x)\right)\right) \leq$ $a\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}(t, x)\right)\right.$ for $(t, x) \in[-r, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $a, b \in K$;
(ii) for any number $t \geq 0: t \neq \tau_{k}$ and any function $\psi \in P C\left([t-r, t], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right) \geq\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t+s, \psi(t+s))\right)$ for $s \in[-r, 0)$ the inequality

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet \mathcal{D}_{(1),(2)} V(t, \psi(t))\right) \leq g\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right)\right)
$$

holds, where $g \in P C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), g(t, 0) \equiv 0$.
(iii) $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}+0, I_{k}(x)\right)\right) \leq \xi_{k}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}, x\right)\right)\right)$, for $k=1,2, \ldots$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where functions $\xi_{k} \in K_{1}$.
Then the (uniform) practical stability in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(a(\lambda), b(A))$ of scalar impulsive differential equation (5) implies (uniform) d-practical stability in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}, h\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$ of the system of impulsive differential equations with "supremum" (1), (2) where the positive constants $\lambda, A$ are given.
Proof. Let scalar impulsive differential equation (5) be practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(a(\lambda), b(A))$ Therefore there exists a point $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $h_{0}^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)<a(\lambda)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{*}\left(t, u\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)<b(A) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a function $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}\left(t_{0}, \phi, \varphi_{0}\right)<\lambda \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{0}=\sup _{s \in[-r, 0]}\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)$. From Lemma 1 for $T=\infty$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right) \leq u^{*}\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition 5(i) we obtain for all $s \in[-r, 0]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right) \leq a\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right)<a(\lambda) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequalities (10) and

$$
h_{0}^{*}\left(\sup _{s \in[-r, 0]}\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right) \leq \sup _{s \in[-r, 0]} h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right.
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)<a(\lambda) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition 5(i) and inequalities (7), (9), (11) we get for $t \geq t_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)\right) & \leq h^{*}\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq h^{*}\left(t, u^{*}\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)<b(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)<A .
$$

In the case when Lyapunov function does not satisfy globally the conditions 5 (ii) and 5 (iii) of Theorem 1, we obtain the following sufficient conditions:

Theorem 2. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

1. The conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 1 are satisfied.
2. The functions $h_{0}, h \in \Gamma, h_{0}^{*} \in \bar{\Gamma}, h^{*} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, there exist positive constants $\lambda, A$ and a function $\Psi \in K, \Psi(x) \leq x \operatorname{such}$ that $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(t, x)\right) \leq$ $\Psi\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}(t, x)\right)\right)$ for $(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}\left(h_{0}, \lambda, \varphi_{0}\right)$, and $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(\tau_{k}, x\right)\right)<A$ implies $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(\tau_{k}, I_{k}(x)\right)\right)<A$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots$
3. There exists a function $V(t, x): \mathcal{S}\left(h, A, \varphi_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $V \in \Lambda$ such that
(i) $b\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(t, x)\right)\right) \leq h^{*}\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, x)\right)\right) \quad$ and $h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, x)\right)\right) \leq$ $a\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}(t, x)\right)\right) \quad$ for $\quad(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}\left(h, A, \varphi_{0}\right)$, where $a, b \in K$;
(ii) for any number $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: t \neq \tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ and any function $\psi \in P C\left([t-r, t], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):(t, \psi(t)) \in \mathcal{S}\left(h, A, \varphi_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right)>\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t+s, \psi(t+s))\right)
$$

for $s \in[-r, 0)$ the inequality

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet D_{(1),(2)} V(t, \psi(t))\right) \leq g\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V(t, \psi(t))\right)\right)
$$

holds, where $g \in P C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $g(t, 0) \equiv 0$;
(iii) $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}+0, I_{k}(x)\right)\right) \leq \xi_{k}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(\tau_{k}, x\right)\right)\right)$ for $\left(\tau_{k}, x\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(h, A, \varphi_{0}\right)$, and $k=1,2, \ldots$, where $\xi_{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$.
Then the (uniform) practical stability in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(a(\lambda), b(A))$ of scalar impulsive differential equation (5) implies (uniform) d-practical stability in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}, h\right)$ with respect to $(\lambda, A)$ of the system of impulsive differential equations with "supremum" (1), (2).
Proof. Let scalar impulsive differential equation (5) be practically stable in terms of both measures $\left(h_{0}^{*}, h^{*}\right)$ with respect to $(a(\lambda), b(A))$. Therefore there exists a point $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $h_{0}^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)<a(\lambda)$ implies inequality (7).

Choose a function $\phi \in P C\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that (8) holds.
We will prove that for $t \geq t_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)<A \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inclusion $(t, \phi(t)) \in \mathcal{S}\left(h_{0}, \lambda, \varphi_{0}\right)$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right]$ and conditions 2 and $3(i)$ we get for $s \in\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right]$ the inequalities

$$
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(s, \phi(s))\right) \leq \Psi\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}(s, \phi(s))\right)\right) \leq \Psi\left(H_{0}\left(t_{0}, \phi, \varphi_{0}\right)\right)<\Psi(\lambda) \leq \lambda<A
$$

Assume (12) does not hold for $t>t_{0}$.
Consider the following two cases:
Case 1. Let there exists a point $t^{*}>t_{0}, t^{*} \neq \tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t^{*}, x\left(t^{*} ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)=A \text { and }\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)<A, t \in\left[t_{0}-r, t^{*}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{0}=\sup _{s \in[-r, 0]}\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)$. From Lemma 1 for the function $V(t, x)$ defined on the set $\left\{(t, x) \in\left[t_{0}, t^{*}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h(t, x)\right) \leq A\right\}$ it follows the validity of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right) \leq u^{*}\left(t ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, t^{*}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition $3(i)$ we obtain
$h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right) \leq a\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h_{0}\left(t_{0}+s, \phi\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)\right)\right)<a(\lambda), \quad s \in[-r, 0]$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)<a(\lambda) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequalities (14), (15), the choice of the point $t^{*}$, and condition $3(i)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(A) & =b\left(\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t^{*}, x\left(t^{*} ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right) \leq h^{*}\left(t,\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet V\left(t^{*}, x\left(t^{*} ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \leq h^{*}\left(t, u^{*}\left(t^{*} ; t_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)<b(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The obtained contradiction proves the validity of (12) for $t>t_{0}$.
Case 2. Let there exists a natural number $k$ such that the inequality $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(t, x\left(t ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)<A$ hods for $t \in\left[t_{0}-r, \tau_{k}\right)$ and $\left(\varphi_{0} \bullet h\left(\tau_{k}, x\left(\tau_{k} ; t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)\right)=A$. Then as in Case 1 for $t^{*}=\tau_{k}$ we obtain a contradiction.

The obtained contradictions prove the validity of (12) for $t>t_{0}$.
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