brought to you by 🗓 CORE

Managing Head of Academic Publishing Services, MedPharm Publications (Pty) LTD and of the authors, represented by Professor James Roelofse. Our sincere appreciation is extended for this courtesy.

Patient satisfaction during and following procedural sedation for ambulatory surgery

SADJ November 2015, Vol 70 no 10 p442 - p447

C Lapere¹, J Roelofse², Y Omar³, A du Plessis⁴, A von Backstrom⁵, W Botha⁶, C Cook⁷, G Bosch⁸

Background: Patient satisfaction is multidimensional. The clinician's perspective of a good outcome and the patient's experience of a satisfactory service are often two different end-points. The primary aim of our study was to assess the perioperative experience of patients undergoing procedural sedation. A secondary aim was to create a postoperative questionnaire which could be used as a measurement tool. The questions could also be used as an audit to assist with adherence to quality assurance and clinical governance.

Method: A questionnaire was compiled to attempt to assess the perioperative aspects of procedural sedation. Five hundred consecutive patients undergoing procedural sedation for dental-related outpatient procedures were asked to complete a questionnaire. Patients who didn't complete it were excluded. Ninety-eight per cent of the patients returned the questionnaire and 489 questionnaires were evaluated.

Results: A total of 489 patients were included. Ninetythree per cent of the patients expressed a good (7+/10) overall experience of procedural sedation, and 92.6% indicated that they would recommend it to others.

Conclusion: Our study population showed a high level of satisfaction with their sedation experience. It is suggested that the devised questionnaire could be used successfully in future as an assessment tool or audit of patient satisfaction following procedural sedation for ambulatory surgery.

Keywords: patient satisfaction, postoperative questionnaire, procedural sedation

- 1. **C Lapere:** University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa.
- 2. J Roelofse: University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa, University College, London, UK.
- 3. **Y Omar:** Eastman Dental Institute, Sedation Solutions, London, UK.
- 4. A du Plessis: Eastman Dental Institute, Sedation Solutions, London, UK.
- 5. A von Backstrom: Sedation Solutions, London, UK.
- 6. **W Botha:** Sedation Solutions, London, UK.
- 7. Cook: Sedation Solutions, London, UK.
- 8. G Bosch: Sedation Solutions, London, UK.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of procedural sedation is widely accepted for managing pain and anxiety for procedures outside the operating theatre. Defining patient satisfaction involves a multidimensional approach which includes clinical aspects of care, safety, and patients' perception of a satisfactory outcome.

Procedural sedation is a rapidly expanding field. It is an alternative to general anaesthesia within the scope of widening ambulatory surgery. Patients are often poorly informed of what procedural sedation entails, and want reassurance that even though they are not unconscious, as in the case of general anaesthesia, they will still be comfortable, and without pain and anxiety while in the chair.

Measuring clinical outcomes is limited by a lack of validating instruments. Mortality and length of hospital stay are commonly used variables. However, neither is applicable in the case of elective ambulatory surgery.¹

Patients expect more from an anaesthetic than merely being able to wake up afterwards.² For example, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) after general anaesthesia can increase the cost of the total health care by increasing recovery room time and potential hospital admission. It is equally important that patients are dissatisfied and uncomfortable with PONV.³ Patients report that avoiding PONV is of greater importance than preventing postoperative pain.⁴

Patients are viewed as customers who expect a certain standard of care in a service delivery-driven world.⁴ Patient autonomy gives patients the right to partake in a number of available treatment options.

According to local sedation guidelines,⁵ an annual audit needs to be conducted of the performance of every practice for clinical governance and quality assurance. The primary aim of our study was to assess the peri-operative experience of patients undergoing procedural sedation for ambulatory dental procedures. A secondary aim was to create a postoperative questionnaire which could be used as a measurement tool. The questions can also be used as an audit to assist with adherence to quality assurance and clinical governance within a practice setting.

RESEARCH: REPRINT < 443

Table 1: The post-sedation questionnaire used in the study	
Questions asked	Method of answering
 Why did you opt for conscious sedation? Fear or anxiety about being awake while the surgeon is doing the procedure To avoid experiencing a gag reflex, as conscious sedation also helps to alleviate the gag reflex The length of the procedure is too long to be awake and experience the whole surgery A previous bad experience with surgery under a local or general anaesthetic A previous good experience with sedation It was suggested by the surgeon or dentist There is an advantageous cost benefit with sedation over the other procedures Other 	Tick the appropriate box
 Before sedation: Did you receive printed documentation on sedation beforehand? Did the surgeon explain sedation to you before the procedure? Did the sedationist explain sedation to you before the procedure? Did you feel more comfortable after the sedationist talked to you? Did you feel comfortable having the surgery performed under sedation? In hindsight, do you think that you were adequately prepared for sedation? 	Circle "Yes" or "No" as your response to each subquestion
On a scale of 1–10, what was your experience of the operation under conscious sedation? (1 = "never again" and 10 = "excellent")	Select the appropriate number
 During sedation, did you: Feel uncomfortable at all? Feel as if you were choking? Feel cold at any stage? Have any problems breathing? Experience any pain? 	Circle "Yes" or "No" as your response to each subquestion
 The nature of conscious sedation allows for communication between doctor and patient during the sedation. You were frequently reassured throughout the procedure. Do you remember: The nature of this? The sedationist's intravenous injection in your arm or hand? The local anaesthetic injection by the dentist or surgeon? Follow-up injections of local anaesthetic by the dentist or surgeon? The operation or procedure itself? 	Tick an option: • Not at all • A little • All of it
• How much of your journey home can you remember after the sedation?	Tick an option: • Not at all • A little • All of it
Did you have a headache after your procedure?	Tick an option: • Not at all • Yes, lasting < 4 hours • Yes, lasting > 4 hours
 After the sedation: Did you have to take antibiotics after your surgery? Did you feel nauseous before you left the surgery or clinic? Did you feel nauseous after you left the surgery or clinic? (i.e. within 24 hours of being discharged) Did you vomit before you left the surgery or clinic? Did you vomit after you left the surgery or clinic? (i.e. within 24 hours of being discharged) 	Circle "Yes" or "No" as your response
How soon after surgery did you experience pain at the operation site, if at all?	Tick an option: • No pain • < 1 hour • 1-6 hours • 6-24 hours • > 24 hours
On a scale of 0–10, please rate the degree of pain experienced two hours after your operation was complete? (0 = "no pain at all" and $10 =$ "worst pain")	Select the appropriate number
On returning home, did you suffer from a disturbance in your sleep pattern?	Tick an option:No disturbanceDisburbance for 1 nightDistubance for 2 nights

444 > RESEARCH: REPRINT

Table 1: (Continued)					
Questions asked	Method of answering				
How long did it take before you felt as if you could resume your usual duties?	Tick an option: • The same day • The next day • Within 2 days				
What would be your choice of sedation if you were to undergo a similar procedure again?	Tick an option:Local anaestheticLocal anaesthetic with sedationGeneral anaesthetic				
How would you evaluate the cost of the sedation that you received?	Tick an option: • Modest • Fair • Too expensive • Not applicable				
Do you feel that you would recommend conscious sedation to others?	Circle "Yes" or "No" as your response				

METHOD

The questions were designed based on the entire perioperative experience, starting with the indications and preoperative information given. Questions on the procedure itself, immediate postoperative time and the following 48 hours, based on common side-effects experienced, were also included. The questions were based on existing questionnaires that have been in use at our facility. A total of 28 questions was listed. The questionnaire is included in Table 1 in its entirety.

During August 2014, 500 adult patients who received procedural sedation for dental-related procedures were included in this study. They were asked to complete the questionnaire. A total of 490 patients (98%) returned it. The patients received it in a stamped envelope at the time of their procedure, and were asked to return it via mail or at their first follow-up visit. Patients who failed to complete the questionnaire were excluded. Patients' responses remained confidential and anonymous.

Four hundred and eighty-nine questionnaires remained for analysis. All questions in the remaining eligible questionnaires were assessed separately and a record was kept of unanswered questions. We expressed each unanswered answer as a percentage of the total assessed (489).

Patients underwent procedural sedation, in which advanced techniques were utilised. Advanced sedation techniques are defined as the use of a combination of sedative and/or analgesic drugs administered via any route, or by using intravenous sedation, with the exception of titrated dosages of midazolam, or by target-controlled infusion.⁵ Patients received a combination of titrated midazolam, propofol and a small dose of ketamine immediately before the local anaesthetic injection.

Moderate sedation and analgesia levels were targeted and achieved for patients. This is defined as the druginduced depression of consciousness, during which the patient responds purposefully to verbal commands, either alone or accompanied by light and tactile stimulation. Intervention is not required to maintain a patent airway. Spontaneous ventilation is adequate. Cardiovascular function is usually maintained.

RESULTS

In studies done that utilizes mail to communicate information to and from patients, a response rate of 50% for mailing methods was considered to be adequate for analysis.⁶ Of the 500 patients in this study, 98% returned their questionnaire. The results are summarised in Table 2.

Preoperative experience

Two hundred and fifty-two patients (51.5%) opted for procedural sedation due to fear or anxiety of being awake during the procedure, while 233 patients (47.6%) had been recommended sedation. Four hundred and sixty-three patients (94.7%) felt more comfortable after discussing the procedure with the sedationist.

During sedation

Four hundred and fifteen patients (84.9%) reported that they never felt uncomfortable during the procedure, and 431 (88.1%) that they didn't experience any pain. Of the 489 patients, 315 (64.4%) couldn't remember the local anaesthetic injection.

After the procedure

Fifty patients (10.2%) felt nauseous after they left the clinic, and only 13 patients (2.7%) vomited. Four hundred and fifty-four (93%) patients expressed a good (7+/10) overall experience of procedural sedation. Four hundred and fifty-three (92.6%) patients would recommend it to others.

DISCUSSION

Overall, the patients were very satisfied with their experience of procedural sedation. They were well-informed about the procedure and sedation, as demonstrated by our study results. Patients recovered quickly, with minimal post-sedation sideeffects, which facilitated a speedy return to work and normal duties.

The response rate achieved using a mailed questionnaire is usually less than that obtained using an interviewing method. However, an extremely high response rate was accomplished in our study. This could possibly be explained by our chosen method of collecting the questionnaire. Patients could either mail the envelope back (the envelope was already addressed and stamped), or bring it with them to the first follow-up consultation. Patients

RESEARCH: REPRINT < 445

Table 2: A summary of the result	S											
Why did you opt for conscious sedation? (More than one indication is acceptable)									Results (%)			
Fear or anxiety about being rendered unconscious									51.5			
To avoid experiencing the gag reflex, the norm with general anaesthesia									11.0			
The length of the procedure is relatively short									23.5			
A previous bad experience with surgery under a local or general anaesthetic									14.3			
A previous good experience with sedation									26.2			
It was suggested by the surgeon or dentist										47.	6	
There is an advantageous cost b	enefit with	n sedation	over the c	other proce	edures				0.8			
Other										2.0		
No answer									0.2			
					X	(0)		(0)			(0/)	
Before sedation	1 - 11	a solation d	(-10			NO	(%)	N	No answer (%)		
Did you receive printed documer	tation on	sedation i	Deforenand		96.0		3.3		0.6			
Did the surgeon explain sedation	to you be	etore the p	rocedure	(90).U	(.	7.8		2.0		
Did the sedationist explain sedat	ion to you	before the	e procedu	re?	97	97.5		.4		0.8		
Did you teel more comfortable at	ter the se	dationist ta	aiked to yo	DU?	94.7		3.	3.1		2.0		
Did you teel comfortable having tr	ne surgery	performe	a under se	edation?	97	.5	L.	.8		0.6		
in nindsight, do you think that you	were ade	quately pre	pared for s	sedation?	97	.5	l.	.4		1.0	J	
During sedation												
How was your experience of the	ne operat	ion under	consciou	us sedatio	on? (Rate	e it on a	scale of	1–10)				
1 (never again)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (exc	ellent)	No answer	
Results (%) 0.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	2.0	0.8	6.7	11.0	16.6	59.	3	0.0	
During the sedation, did you:					Yes	Yes (%) No (%		(%)	No answer (%)		/er (%)	
Feel uncomfortable at all?					12	12.0 84		.9)	
Feel as if you were choking?					2	2.0 94		4.7		3.3	3	
Feel cold at any stage?					5	5.9 9		1.0		2.7		
Have any problems breathing?					1	1.4 97		7.3		1.2		
Experience any pain?					11	11.5 88.1		8.1	0.4		1	
The nature of conscious sedat	ion allow	s for com	municatio	on betwee	en docto	r and pa	tient du	ring the s	sedatior	n. You v	were	
De you remember	ut the pro	cedure.		Not of a	SIL (0/.)	A 1;++1	o (9/)		+ (0/)	Noo	nowor(0/)	
The pature of this?				50	all (70)	A little (%)		24.5		ino a		
The rature of this:	ction in v		hand?		9 30.9		0	57.5			0.4	
The local appartiation initiation by	the dept			9.0	л Л	01.9		13.3			1.0	
Follow-up injections of the local anaesthetic by the dentist or surgeon?						13.0		6.5			1.4	
The operation or procedure itself	?			42.	9	48.0		8.8			0.2	
How much of your journey hor	ne can yo	ou remem	ber after	the sedat	ion?						esults (%)	
Not at all											4.0	
A little											23.5	
All of it											71.4	
No answer											1.0	
Did you have a headache after	your pro	cedure?										
Not at all											79.1	
Yes, lasting < 4 hours											13.5	
Yes, lasting > 4 hours										7.1		
No answer											70.2	
After the sedation						Vac	(%)	No.(^(%)	No.a	nswer (%)	
Did you have to take antibiotics a	after vour	surgery?				55	5.8	43	.8		0.4	
Did vou feel nauseous before voi	left the s	surgery or	clinic?			3	.5	94	.9		1.6	
Did vou feel nauseous after vou	eft the su	rgery or cli	nic? (i.e. v	vithin 24 h	ours of	0.		0.4				
being discharged)		10	7	88	.5		1.2					

2.7

95.9

1.4

Did you vomit after you left the surgery or clinic? (i.e. within 24 hours of being discharged) $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{D}}_{\rm{T}}} \right]}_{\rm{T}}}} \right)$

446 > RESEARCH: REPRINT

Table 2: (Continued)						
How soon after surgery did you experience pain at the operation site, if at all?						
No pain	40.3					
< 1 hour	25.8					
1–6 hours	20.0					
6–24 hours	7.8					
> 24 hours	4.9					
No answer	1.0					

Post sedation	1										
On a scale of	0-10, please rate t	he degr	ee of pair	n experier	nced two	hours at	fter your	operati	on was o	complete?	
	0 (no pain at all)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (worst pain)	No ansswer
Results (%)	29.7	11.9	10.6	8.0	5.7	14.7	5.7	5.5	3.0	1.4	1.2
On returning	home, did you suff	er from a	a disturba	ance in yo	ur sleep	pattern?)				Results (%)
Not at all											65.0
Yes, for 1 nigh	t										19.4
Yes, for 2 nigh	ts										11.9
No answer											3.5
On returning	home, how long die	d it take	before y	ou felt as	if you co	uld have	resume	d your u	isual dut	ties?	
Same day											15.7
Next day											44.8
2 days											34.2
No answer											5.3
If you were to	have a similar pro	cedure a	again, wh	at would	be your (choice?					
Local anaesthe	etic										0.4
Local anaesthe	etic with sedation										74
General anaes	thetic										2.7
All of it										71.4	
No answer										1.0	
How would ye	ou evaluate the cos	st of the	sedation	that you	received	?					
Modest											79.1
Fair											13.5
Expensive											7.1
No answer											5.5
Do you feel th	nat you would reco	mmend	consciou	s sedatio	n to othe	ers?					
Yes											92.6
No											2.7
No answer											4.7

had to return for a follow-up, making it easier to track their response to the questionnaire.

Chanthong et al.7 who reviewed 379 abstracts on patient satisfaction and ambulatory surgery, mostly performed under general anaesthetic, reported on a suggestion to create and develop a standard questionnaire to evaluate outcome. It was also proffered that further studies should be conducted and should utilise a rigorous methodological psychometric questionnaire to establish a standardised one to be used as the gold standard.7 Such a questionnaire has not yet been devised. Sparse literature is available on assessments of the perioperative experience of patients undergoing procedural sedation. We believe that our questionnaire covers the most important patient concerns. However, ideally, a validated assessment tool, which is comparable with the Iowa Satisfaction with Anesthesia Scale, is needed;⁸ the difference being that the Iowa Satisfaction scale was developed to assess patient satisfaction during Monitored Anaestesia Care, and not the perioperative period of sedation as in our case.

Chantong *et al.*⁷ noted that certain dimensions should be included in a questionnaire when assessing ambulatory anesthesia, including information on postoperative pain and home care management. The measuring instrument should also include questions on information provision, physical discomfort and emotional support, i.e. relaxed, reassuring and attentive.⁹ Our postoperative questionnaire contains all of these elements.

Eberhart *et al.*¹⁰ considered patient preference by interviewing patients after a preoperative visit where general information and the side-effects of anaesthesia were explained. Avoidance of PONV was a major concern of patients, followed by the desire to experience no or mild pain. The restoration of postoperative vigilance was of minor importance.¹⁰ It was clearly shown in our study that

RESEARCH: REPRINT < 447

patients experienced a very low rate of nausea (10.2%) or vomiting (2.7%) following sedation. Four hundred and fifteen patients (84.9%) reported that they never felt uncomfortable during the procedure, and 431 (88.3%) that they didn't experience any pain.

Few studies, if any, are available in which patient satisfaction and the side-effect profile after procedural sedation is compared.

It is noteworthy that numerous patients in our study did not complete the last few questions, while those on the last page were often overlooked. It could be concluded that the questionnaire contained too many questions. It was evident from our responses given that 361 (74%) patients would opt for the option of local anaesthetic and procedural sedation in a future procedure. However, 107 (22%) of patients didn't answer this question.

A weakness of our study was that the focus was mainly on dental related procedures. It cannot be assumed that the expressed satisfaction would extend to other procedures. Also, the study population only consisted of adult patients.

CONCLUSION

A high level of satisfaction was demonstrated by our study population with their sedation experience. The postoperative sedation questionnaire is a useful tool with which to determine the perioperative experience of patients undergoing procedural sedation.

However, a validated assessment tool which can be used to audit the quality of sedation, train clinicians and establish protocolspecific guidelines for satisfactory procedural sedation is required. A possible suggestion is the identification of 8–10 core questions to be taken from this pilot study and included in a validated assessment tool. Acknowledgements: Gratitude is extended to Sedation Solutions, London, UK, for use of the organisation's patient information. The contributions of administrative support and guidance, given by Daniel St John-Hore and Katie Heffer of Sedation Solutions, are also acknowledged.

Source acknowledgement: This article is reprinted with permission from the South African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia 2015; 1(1): 1-6.

References

- Grocott MPW, Browne JP, Van der Meulen J, et al. The postoperative morbidity survey was validated and used to describe morbidity after major surgery. J Clin Epidemiol. 2007;60(9):919–28. doi: 10.1016/j. jclinepi.2006.12.003.
- 2. Smalhout B, Van Wijk M. Anaesthesia. Oct. 1990;45:679-82.
- Gan TJ, Meyer T, Apfel CC, et al. Consensus guidelines for managing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg. 2003;62–71. doi: 10.1213/01.ANE.0000068580.00245.95.
- Macario A, Weinger M, Carney S, et al. Which clinical anesthesia outcomes are important to avoid? The perspective of patients. Anesth Analg. 1999;89(3):652–8. doi: 10.1097/0000539-199909000-00022.
- Analg A. Guidelines for the safe use of procedural sedation and analgesia for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in adults. S Afr J Anaesthesiol Analg. 2010;16(4 Suppl 1):S1–24.
- 6. Babbie E. Chapter 3 survey. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing; 1990. p. 257–318
- Chanthong P, Abrishami A, Wong J, et al. Systematic review of questionnaires measuring patient satisfaction in ambulatory anesthesia. Anesthesiology. 2009;110(5):1061–7. doi: 10.1097/ ALN.0b013e31819db079.
- Dexter F, Aker J. Development of a measure of patient satisfaction with monitored anesthesia care. Anesthesiology. 1997;87(4):865–73.
- Rhodes L, Miles G. Patient subjective experience and satisfaction during the perioperative period in the day surgery setting: a systematic review. Int J Nurs Pract. 2006;12(4):178–92.
- Eberhart LHJ, Morin AM, Wulf H, et al. Patient preferences for immediate postoperative recovery. Br J Anaesth. 2002;89:760–1. doi: 10.1093/bja/aef261.