

Electroanalysis of Heavy Metals in the Cork of *Quercus Suber* Tree. Preliminary Study: Electroanalyticity of Pb(II)

J.C.A.C. Ponte e Sousa^{a)*}, J.M. Ginja Teixeira^{a)}, A.M. Neto Vaz^{a),b)}

^{a)} *Departamento de Química, Universidade de Évora. R. Romão Ramalho, 59, 7000-671 Évora.*

^{b)} *CECUL Fundação da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa. Edifício C8,
R. Ernesto de Vasconcelos, 1749-016 Lisboa*

Received 15 November 2002; accepted in revised form 09 January 2003

Abstract

This will enable Pb(II) determination optimisation, regarding environmental aspects of the perpetuation of *Quercus suber* Montado (similar to Forest). It will also allow a more profound toxicological quality control of corks (barks). These two last referred aspects are very related ones, once accepted that the more advanced could the certification of the quality patterns of corks (barks) be, more will be the sustainability of *Quercus suber* ecosystem. Which we believe can be done, amongst other vectors, by studying such an important environmental issue as heavy metals. It is shown that Pb(II) quantification in the cork (bark) of *Quercus suber* tree (Cork Oak) is possible, using Differential Pulse Anodic Stripping Voltammetry (DPASV). The samples digestion was made in a mixture of H₂O₂ and HNO₃ 1:8, in a closed recipient at approximately 90 °C. The measures were made in NaCl 0.1 M, using a Hanging Mercury Drop Electrode, a Glassy-Carbon Rod Counter Electrode, and an Ag/AgCl/KCl 3M reference electrode, after a 180 s deposition step. The results have shown the determination of a coherent stripping potential of -0.405 V (SD=0.0005 V), and a fine linear adjustment after the standard addition method ($R^2 = 0.997$). They have also revealed the interest of further studies. The need to test other electroanalysis requisites was understood, and imposed by the proof of the complex nature of the matrix.

Keywords: cork (bark), *Quercus suber* tree (cork oak), Pb(II), Differential Pulse Anodic Stripping Voltammetry (DPASV), environment.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: joaoponteesousa@clix.pt, jcps@uevora.pt.

Introduction

Portugal holds all maxima regarding production, transformation and disponibilisation of cork (bark) and by products, being *Quercus suber* (cork oak) montado (similar to forest) an amazing wealth source. Given the natural and extensive origin of its production it occupies considerable areas in Portugal, namely in Alentejo (South (particularly South-West) hot and dry Portugal, placed North to Algarve and South to Lisbon). Its main utilisation is as cork, sealing wine bottles. The mineral characterisation of cork (bark), regarding heavy metals, is important at the cork oak level, and at the vegetal community level, likewise at the cork level, assuming, respectively, ecotoxicological and human toxicological importance. The first, making possible to test the hypothesis of correlation between the environmental disponibility of heavy metals and cork oak forest decline. The second, regarding migration of such metals from the sealing cork to the wine [1].

The amount of work about developing analytical techniques for the quantification of cork heavy metals is extremely low [2], being known some results [3,4], which had led to the validation of a method and to laboratorial certification [5]. The present work shows that it is possible to use electroanalytical techniques, namely Differential Pulse Stripping Voltammetry with a Hanging Mercury Drop Electrode.

Experimental

Reagents and Solutions

Were prepared: A supporting electrolyte solution NaCl 0.1 mol.dm^{-3} dissolving NaCl (Merck p. a.) in Milli-Q water. A HNO₃ 0.1 mol.dm^{-3} solution, done by dissolving HNO₃ 65% (Merck p.a.) in Milli-Q water. A Pb(II) $1.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3}$ stock solution, dissolving Pb(NO₃)₂ (Riedel) in HNO₃ 0.01 mol.dm^{-3} . A standard solution Pb(II) $1.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3}$, done diluting the former. Were used as digester reagents: HNO₃ 65% (Merck p. a.) and H₂O₂ 30% p/v (Panreac p. a.).

Instrumentation

Differential Pulse Stripping Voltammetry was made using a potentiostat/galvanostat AUTOLAB/PGSTAT 20 (Eco Chemie) associated to a VA Stand 663 (Metrohm). In this equipment were used: a Hanging Mercury Drop Electrode (HMDE), a Glassy-Carbon Rod Counter Electrode, and an Ag/AgCl/KCl 3M reference electrode. Software: GPES 4.9 (Eco Chemie).

Voltammetric conditions

Pretreatment: Purge time: 5 s. Deposition potential: -0.650 V. Deposition potential time: 180 s. Stirrer off during deposition: No. equilibration time: 30 s. Measurement: Cell off after measurement: Yes. Modulation time: 0.05 s. Interval time: 0.25 s. Potentials: Initial potential: -0.650 V. Final potential: -0.1 V. Step potential: 0.00195 V. Modulation amplitude: 0.03 V. Scanning velocity: 7.8 mV/s.

Other characteristics:

Drop Surface: $0.25 \text{ mm}^2 \pm 10\%$. Agitator rotation velocity: 1500 min^{-1} .
Deaeration time: 10-12 min.

Procedure

Digestion: Several tree cork (with no industrial treatment) digestions were made, according to the following scheme: extraction of cork oak bark faces; granulation with a plastic granulator; weighing 0.1 g of bark powder from granulation; addition of 2 mL HNO₃ and 0.25 mL of H₂O₂; waiting for 2 hours, approximately; digestion in closed recipient (85-90 °C) for 8 hours.

Voltammetries: Quantities of 0.1 mL of standard were added to 1 mL of cork solution and 20 mL supporting electrolyte. Each measurement was made three times in each addition.

Experimental Results

The following results have been achieved, shown in Table 1 and in Figs. 1-4.

Table 1. E and i were measured three times for supporting electrolyte and for each addition. Standard additions were of 0.1 mL 1.1e-5M Pb(II) to 21 mL (20 mL NaCl 0.1M and 1 mL cork solution). E- Peak Potential (Versus Ag/AgCl/KCl 3mol.dm⁻³. In NaCl 0.1 mol.dm⁻³); i- Peak Current Intensity; SD- Standard Deviation; Med- Average Value; Cp- Standard Concentration; $i_{cr} = i (V_{es}+V_a+\Sigma V_p) / (V_{es}+V_a)$ - Corrected Peak Intensity Current; V_{es} - Supporting Electrolyte Volume; V_a - Sample Volume; V_p - Standard Addition Volume.

	E med/V ± 10 ³ SD/V	10 ⁹ i med/A ± 10 ¹⁰ i SD/A	10 ⁹ i _{cr} med/A ± 10 ¹⁰ i _{cr} SD/A	10 ⁸ Cp/M
Supporting Electrolyte	-0,380 ± 0	9,094 ± 1,065	9,094 ± 1,065	0
Cork Solution	-0,405 ± 1	13,95 ± 6,029	13,95 ± 6,029	0
1st Standard Addition	-0,405 ± 1	19,39 ± 1,484	19,49 ± 1,491	5,213
2nd Standard Addition	-0,405 ± 1	25,53 ± 4,148	25,77 ± 4,187	10,38
3rd Standard Addition	-0,404 ± 0	32,37 ± 4,285	32,83 ± 4,346	15,49




Figure 1. Tree cork solution DPASV- The first of three replicas made with the granulated tree cork solution.




Figure 2. Measuring the peak of the first replica made with the granulated tree cork solution.




Figure 3. The peaks evolve in the following order, bottom-up: Supporting electrolyte, cork digestion solution, 1st, 2nd and 3rd standard additions. Three replicas were made for each addition.




Figure 4. Correlation analysis by the Minimum Square Method using data according to Table 1.

Final Comments

Having been achieved the objective of testing the Pb(II) possibility of electroanalysis in such a natural and complex media like non industrially treated cork, it can be concluded the following:

We have found a Pb(II) Redissolution Potential, originated in a cork solution, equal to -0.405 V ($SD=0.0005\text{ V}$), with a HMDE versus Ag/AgCl/KCl 3 mol dm^{-3} in NaCl 0.1 mol dm^{-3} . This is a very acceptable result, knowing that [6] shows for Pb(II) reduction an $E_{1/2}$ Versus SCE, in 0.1 F KCl , of -0.4 mV ; and [7] shows for Pb(II) DPASV in 0.5 M NaCl a potential of approximately -0.4 mV , HMDE versus SCE.

It was obtained a satisfactory linear correlation coefficient with the standard addition method. $R^2=0.997$ when $i_{cr\ med}$ is putt against C_p .

A second peak can be seen at less negative potentials, which we think can be due to Cu(II).

It was shown the capacity of DPASV to qualitatively analyse Pb(II) in a cork solution like that shown here, leading the way to quantitative determination.

These studies will continue. More samples will be analysed, with more repetitions of the measurements and with more essays. Tests will be done to other digester solutions, other supporting electrolytes, other deposition potentials and times, other recipient materials, namely regarding the influence they may have in the final quantification.

Acknowledgements

We thank, very particularly, the initial support given by Eng.a Maria Elisa Soares (Laboratório de Toxicologia da Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade do Porto).

References

1. M.E. Soares, M.A. Borges, M.L. Bastos, M.A. Ferreira, *Ciência Téc. Vitiv.* 11 (1994) 87-99.
2. L. Gil, *Cortiça, Produção, Tecnologia e Aplicação*, INETI, Lisboa. 1998. p. 254.
3. M.E. Soares, M.L. Bastos, M.A. Ferreira, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 8 (1993) 655-657.
4. M.A. Ferreira, M.E. Soares, M.L. Bastos, J.M. Leal Ferreira, *CTCOR Magazine* 1 (1996) 48-57.
5. <http://corkqc.com/ctcorprc.htm>
6. D.T. Sawyer, J.L. Roberts, *Electrochemistry for Chemists*, John Wiley and Sons. 1974. p. 369.
7. A.M. Bond, *Modern Polarographic Methods in Analytical Chemistry*, Marcel Dekker Inc. 1980. pp. 456-457.