

Journal of Chromatography A, 995 (2003) 161-169

JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A

www.elsevier.com/locate/chroma

Different multidimensional chromatographic approaches applied to the study of wine malolactic fermentation

L. Fernandes^a, A.M. Relva^a, M.D.R. Gomes da Silva^a, A.M. Costa Freitas^{b,*}

^aDepartamento de Química, Centro de Química Fina e Biotecnologia—CQFB, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia/Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Quinta da Torre 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

^bDepartamento de Fitotecnia, Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Mediterrânicas—ICAM, Universidade de Évora, Apt. 94, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal

Received 11 October 2002; received in revised form 7 March 2003; accepted 18 March 2003

Abstract

Different multidimensional chromatographic techniques were used to study wine aroma pattern changes during malolactic fermentation (MLF). Ethyl lactate enantiomeric ratios were determined using on-line multidimensional gas chromatography. The values found agree with a spontaneous MLF. Off-line multidimensional HPLC/GC was used to deconvolute and enrich the sample and ease enantioselective chromatography. Chiral compound enantiomeric ratio changes during MLF were monitored. Evaluation of enantiomeric ratio changes during MLF has never been studied. (R,R), (S,S) and meso-butane-2,3-diol and pentane-2,4-diol (reported in wines for the first time) were submitted to untrained sensory panel tests. All stereoisomers revealed different sensory notes; pentane-2,4-diol showed an aromatic impact. © 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Enantiomer separation; Malolactic fermentation; Multidimensional techniques; Wine; Pentane-2,4-diol

1. Introduction

Multidimensional (MD) separation techniques are a result of combining two or more independent or nearly independent separation techniques [1]. For complex samples, multidimensional techniques can provide a remarkable improvement on physical separation of individual compounds [1]. In conventional MD when the second dimension is a chiral column, two aims are achieved: reduced peak crowding and chiral separation. Off-line multidimensional chromatographic techniques can be used to prepare the sample to a refined separation on a second separation media [2,3]. The application of multidimensional techniques to the analysis of the aroma compound changes during MLF brought about the possibility of analysing the minor compounds and the possibility of their enantiomeric analysis. In wine flavour, terpenes, for instance, represent just 1% of the extract and are known to significantly contribute to the bouquet [4,5]. To achieve complete chemical information on wine volatile compounds, in addition to compound identification, it is important to study the structural configuration and enantiomeric ratio. In fact, the enantiomers of a compound can differ considerably in their odour, quality, and threshold. In

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +351-266-760-800x4334; fax: +351-266-711-163.

E-mail address: afreitas@uevora.pt (A.M. Costa Freitas).

addition, determination of enantiomeric excess (ee) can be used in adulteration detection [6,7]. MLF, the second wine fermentation, is considered as having the main role of reducing wine acidity. Most of the papers that deal with wine aroma changes during MLF are directed to the aroma impact of different compounds [8,9], or the study of bacterial influence [10]. Results are controversial and conclusions are somehow different [7]. No authors have directed their attention, so far, to the enantiomeric changes produced during this fermentation. In fact, little attention has been given to the impact of enantiomers on wine aroma [11]. The use of conventional liquid chromatography to the pre-separation of complex aroma extracts has already been described and used in different types of samples [2,12-15]. Its use as a pre-separation technique prior to GC analysis of volatile compounds has not really been examined.

Off-line multidimensional HPLC/GC has allowed the collection of several fractions that were analysed by GC and/or GC–MS and, whenever needed, by enantioselective GC.

Conventional on-line multidimensional GC was used to determine ethyl lactate enantiomeric excess [16].

2. Experimental

2.1. Wine samples

Wine preparation has been carried out at SOG-RAPE winery in the Dão region (North Portugal). As soon as alcoholic fermentation was achieved, wines were transferred to 50-1 inox containers. Both containers were kept at 18 °C. These containers followed spontaneous MLF. Samples (one 0.75-1 bottle) were taken each weekday (during November and December 1999) until complete MLF (followed by paper chromatography at the winery) and stored at 4 °C. After MLF completion, the remaining wines were transferred to bottles and kept at 4 °C until analysis. Two wines were monitored: 0107 and 0105.

2.2. Sample preparation

Organic acids were extracted by SPE using a LC_{18} SPE cartridge (Supelco, Bellafonte). The eluates

were analysed by HPLC/UV on a Waters instrument model 600E (Waters Corporation, USA) equipped with a Waters 2487 Dual λ detector and a Rheodyne injector with a 20-µl loop. Detection was achieved at 210 nm on an Aminex HPX-87H column (300×7.8 mm) from Bio-Rad. Temperature was kept at 30 °C and flow-rate was 0.6 ml min⁻¹. Eluent was H₂SO₄ 4 m*M*. Quantification was achieved by a calibration curve obtained for the concentration range of $6.25 \times 10^{-4} - 1 \times 10^{-2}$ mol 1⁻¹. Correlation coefficients of 0.9997 and 0.9999 were obtained for the calibration curves of malic and lactic acids, respectively.

Butane-2,3-dione quantification was achieved by SIM-GC–MS analysis of a butane-2,4-dionediacetyl 6,7-dichloro-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline derivative (DCDMQ) according to the method already described by Martineau et al. [17]. The derivatives were analysed by GC-MS using a MD 800 from Fisons. GC separation was achieved using a DB-Wax (J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA) capillary column $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ I.D. using helium as the carrier gas at a pressure of 100 kPa. Initial oven temperature 80 °C for 3 min; temperature incremental rate: 20 °C min⁻¹ up to 200 °C followed by a second ramp of 10 °C min⁻¹ up to 260 °C. Injector temperature: 200 °C. Interface temperature: 250 °C and ion source 200 °C. Detection was achieved by monitoring the fragment ions m/z 74, 109, 144, 185 and 226 for DCDMQ derivative whereas m/z 57, 85, 113, 183 and 268 were used for nonadecane (internal standard). Quantification was accomplished by the area ratio versus concentration ratio using the internal standard method. According to others [17] calibration curves for DCDMQ were linear within the concentrations ratios studied. Within run precision was estimated by injecting the same sample seven times. A relative standard deviation of 0.172% was determined.

2.3. Ethyl lactate analysis

For ethyl lactate analysis, 25 ml of wine was dealcoholized by vacuum distillation until 75% of the initial volume. To this solution 2.5 ml of a solution (1% in water) of the internal standard (methyl lactate) was added and the volume (25 ml) made up with deionised water. From this solution, 10 ml was extracted with 3×10 ml dichloromethane.

The extracts were combined and evaporated under nitrogen until a final volume of about 2 ml. From this solution, 1 μ l was used for GC analysis.

GC analysis was carried out using a 30 m \times 0.25 mm I.D. DB-Wax column (J&W Scientific), a GOW-MAC series 600 GC (GOW-MAC Instrument Co., Shannon, Ireland), and split injection. Oven temperature was kept at 80 °C. Detector and injector temperatures were 280 and 250 °C, respectively.

Ethyl lactate 98% and methyl lactate 98% from Aldrich were used to prepare the calibration curve. Quantification of ethyl lactate was achieved by the internal standard method. Calibration curves were obtained for a concentration range of 9.8–2507 mg 1^{-1} of ethyl lactate using methyl lactate as internal standard in a concentration of 312.4 mg 1^{-1} and dichloromethane as the solvent. The calibration curve is linear with R^2 =0.9996.

2.4. Multidimensional analysis

For enantioselective GC, a 30 m \times 0.25 mm I.D. tailor-made fused-silica capillary column coated with 0.25 µm film thickness of 15% heptakis (2,3-di-Omethyl-6-*O-tert.*-butyldimethylsilyl)-β-cyclodextrin in SE 52 (DiMe) was used. GC conditions were: temperature 65 °C for 5 min and then linearly heated at a rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ to 85 °C and then 6 °C min⁻¹ up to 220 °C with a holding time of 15 min. Carrier gas velocity was adjusted to a hold up time of 60 s for methane at 100 °C. Enantio-multidimensional GC-MS (e-MDGC)-MS, was carried out with a Siemens SiChromat 2.8 double oven gas chromatograph and a GCQ ion trap detector (Finnigan Mat), connected to the main column by the ITD transfer line with an open split interface. The first oven held a pre-column (30 m×0.25 mm I.D. fused-silica capillary coated with SE 52) and was equipped with a flame ionisation detector. Carrier gas helium 2.20 bar; split/splitless injector 250 °C; detector 250 °C; Oven temperature 60 °C linearly increases at a rate of $5 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,\text{min}^{-1}$ to $250 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$. The second oven was equipped with a 30 m \times 0.25 mm I.D. fused-silica capillary, BGB 176 (BGB Analytical Vertrieb, Schloßboeckelheim, Germany) (DiMeß-CD), Oven temperature was 45 °C for 5 min then 5 °C min⁻¹ up to 220 °C. ITD transfer line 250 °C, helium sweeping flow: 1 ml min⁻¹ ion trap source 170 °C; EI 70 eV. Pre and main column were connected via a liveswitching coupling piece (live T piece). Retention time on the pre-column was 6.6 min for ethyl lactate. Cut time interval was 6.3-6.9 min for ethyl lactate. Reproducibility was evaluated by injecting the same sample five times. RSD % was 0.75 for the (*R*)-ethyl lactate and 1.58 for the (*S*)-ethyl lactate.

2.5. Aroma compound analysis

Aroma compounds were extracted as previously described [18]; 50 ml of wine were used to which octan-2-ol was added as internal standard at a concentration of 5 mg 1^{-1} . The wine was extracted three times using 15+5+5 ml of dichloromethane. After extraction, the organic layers were collected in the same flask and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate (E. Merck). The extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporator and gentle nitrogen stream to a final volume of about 100 µl (for direct GC injection) and to 500 µl when previous HPLC prefractionation was carried out.

2.6. Pre-fractionation by HPLC

Four hundred microliters of extract was fractionated in two successive injections on an HPLC Waters model 600E equipped with a UV detector Waters 2487 using a 200-µl sample loop. Fractions were collected every 2 min. The final total volume of sample was 8 ml. The column used was a semipreparative Interchim column (Interchim, Montluçon, France); 250×10 mm packed with Lichrosorb diol (particle size 5 µm). The initial eluent was pentane/diethyl ether (9:1 v/v) increased to 100% ether in 30 min. The column was then equilibrated with methanol for 20 min, reequilibrated with ether for 5 min and again pentane/ether (9+1)v/v) for an extra 5 min. All solvents were HPLC grade. The flow-rate was 2 ml min⁻¹. Fractions were concentrated to about 100 µl before GC analysis.

2.7. Gas chromatographic and gas chromatography-mass spectrometric analysis

Gas chromatographic analysis was carried out with a GOW-MAC series 600 gas chromatograph (GOW-MAC Instrument Co.) equipped with a flame ionisation detector (FID), linked to a PC with Chromeleon (Dionex) software. GC separation was carried out on a DB-Wax 60 m×0.25 mm I.D., film thickness 0.25 μ m (J&W Scientific). Operating conditions were as follows: injector and detector temperature 250 °C; carrier gas, H₂ 1 ml min⁻¹; oven temperature program, 3 min at 50 °C then 2 °C min⁻¹ up to 210 °C and held until the end. Injection volume was between 0.5 and 1.0 μ l. Injection mode: splitless for 1 min.

For enantioselective chromatography, a 30 m \times 0.25 mm I.D. tailor-made fused-silica capillary column coated with 0.25 µm film thickness of 15% heptakis (2,3-di-*O*-methyl-6-*O*-tert.-butyldimethylsilyl)- β -cyclodextrin in SE 52 (DiMe) was used. GC operating conditions were the same, except for a higher GC time. The oven was programmed from 210 to 220 at 10 °C and held until the end. Chromatography was carried out using the same GC apparatus.

GC–MS analysis was carried out using a GC-Trace Thermo Quest Instrument coupled to a MS-Trace Finnigan-Mat (EI, 70 eV) and the same DB-Wax column. Analytical conditions: interface temperature 220 °C; ion source temperature 200 °C. GC operating conditions were identical to those described above, using helium as the carrier gas. The mass spectrometer was scanned either over the range m/z 40–300 or by time-scheduled selected ion monitoring (SIM). SIM was used to achieve better identification and R/S ratio determinations.

2.8. Standards used for stereoisomer identification

(R,R)-Butane-2,3-diol; (S,S)-butane-2,3-diol and *meso*-butane-2,3-diol used for stereoisomer identification were purchased from Sigma with 97, 99 and 97% enantiomeric purity, respectively; ethyl(R)-(-)-hydroxybutyrate was purchased from Aldrich with 98% enantiomeric purity while the *S* compound was also from Aldrich but with 99% enantiomeric purity. Pentane-2,4-diol was also from Aldrich and both stereoisomers had an enantiomeric purity of 99%. For 2-methylbutan-1-ol, only the *S* enantiomer was tested (from Aldrich) with an enantiomeric purity of 99%. (*S*)-Ethyl lactate was from Aldrich with an enantiomeric purity of 98%. All optical purities were confirmed by enantioselective GC analysis.

2.9. Panel tests

To verify aromatic impact, the three stereoisomers of butane-2,3-diol and pentane-2,4-diol were submitted to an untrained sensory evaluation panel. Different aqueous stock solutions were prepared as follows: *meso*-butane-2,3-diol 0.006 μ l ml⁻¹, (*S*,*S*)-butane-2,3-diol and (*R*,*R*)-butane-2,3-diol 0.01 μ l ml⁻¹, and pentane-2,4-diol 0.05 μ l ml⁻¹. Several successive dilutions of these stock solutions were used.

To each panelist, a glass with between 10 and 20 ml of each dilution was given. Panelists were asked to assign a description and note the limit above which aroma could be detected.

3. Results and discussion

The so-called "key turn points" (start, middle and end) of MLF were determined by controlling the evolution of the ratio lactic/malic (L/M) acids, starting just after alcoholic fermentation. This ratio increases with time. After the 17th day, the concentration of malic acid could not be detected for wine 0107, whilst wine 0105 shows a ratio (L/M) of 95 after 21 days. Butane-2,3-dione (a key compound of MLF) [17,19,20] reaches a maximum (around days 12-14), decreasing at the end of MLF when the L/M stabilizes. These results are in good agreement with the evolution followed by the L/M ratio. These two sets of results were used to determine the evolution of MLF. Ethyl lactate increases during MLF from 0.06 to 14.20 mg 1^{-1} for wine 0105 and 0.15 to 47.78 mg 1^{-1} for wine 0107. These results are in agreement with others that concomitantly refer to unpleasant notes when its intensity is too high [21]. The enantiomeric ratio of the produced ethyl lactate was monitored at the start middle and end of MLF, using on-line multidimensional GC (Fig. 1). In fact at the beginning of MLF, while there is a high malic acid concentration, one observes a ratio R/S of 62:38 for the 0107 wine and 78:22 for 0105. However, in both wines, this ratio decreased during MLF reaching the ratios of 53:47 and 57:53 for the respective wines at the end of MLF. Semi-quantitative data has shown that whilst the R enantiomer was reduced by 50–68% (depending on the wine studied)



Fig. 1. Enantio-MDGC–MS (A) of a wine using a 30 m×0.25 mm I.D. fused-silica capillary coated with SE-52 as pre-column and a 30 m×0.25 mm I.D. fused-silica capillary, BGB 176 (BGB Analytic Vertrieb, Schloßböchelheim, Germany) (DiMe β -CD) as main column. Pre and main column were connected to a live-switching coupling piece (live T-piece). The elution orders of the substances studied were assigned using reference materials of definite chirality. Retention time on the pre column was 6.6 min for ethyl lactate. Cut time interval was: 6.3–6.9 min for ethyl lactate (B).

during MLF the *S* enantiomer was raised 85-78%. The high percentage of (*S*)-ethyl lactate found in these samples agrees with the induction of MLF by spontaneous infection [16].

To study the evolution of volatile compounds during MLF, dichloromethane extracts of wine samples were analyzed at previously determined "key turn points". The GC and GC–MS-TIC chromatograms obtained are rather complex and do not show relevant qualitative differences. Volatile compounds were tentatively identified from their mass spectra by comparison with library data (Wiley Spectral library). Table 1 shows the identified compounds in the three stages of MLF studied, an asterisk denotes the chiral compounds. Semi-quantitative results (µg ml^{-1} of wine) were calculated from peak area of components versus area of internal standard [22]; no response factors were used [1,14,22]. We could observe from data on Table 1 that some of the compounds rose and others decreased during MLF. Most, however, did not suffer any change. Belonging to the first group are: 3-hydroxybutan-2-one, ethyl lactate, 3-methylbutan-1-ol, diethyl succinate, 2-phenylethanol, 2-methylpropan-1-ol, both peaks of butane-2,3-diol (*meso*, and the enantiomeric pair *R*,*R* and *S*,*S*), and the acetic and hexanoic acids. Between the groups of compounds that decreased during MLF are the acids and some alcohols; 3- and 2-methylbutan-1-ol, propane-2,3-diol, 2-ethylhexan-1-ol and benzyl alcohol. Others did not show any

L. Fernandes et al. / J. Chromatogr. A 995 (2003) 161-169

Table 1

Semi-quantitative analysis of compounds identified in wine 0107 (total sample) at start, middle and end of MLF

1 Isobutyl acetate 0.0925 0.1762 0.0358 2 Propan-1-ol - - 0.1736 3 2. Methylpropan-1-ol 1.0598 1.0072 3.1346 4 Isopentyl acetate 0.6170 0.9458 0.0528 5 Butan-1-ol 0.0525 0.0579 0.0528 6 3 + 2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{*d} 4.7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3.5Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{*d} 0.6879 1.4029 1.9251 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0221 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.0000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.8113* 16 <td< th=""><th>Peak no.</th><th>Compound name</th><th>Begin FML</th><th>Middle FML</th><th>End FML</th></td<>	Peak no.	Compound name	Begin FML	Middle FML	End FML
2 Propan-1-ol - - 0.1736 3 2-Methylpropan-1-ol 1.0598 1.0072 3.1346 4 Isopentyl acetate 0.6170 0.9458 0.0539 5 Butan-1-ol 0.0525 0.0579 0.0528 6 3+2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{n-d} 4.7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b,d} 0.6879 1.4029 1.9251 9 Ethyl lactate ^{-d} 0.7390 1.5524 0.2321 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.0488 0.0342 0.0222 11 3-Ethroxypropan-1-ol 0.0488 0.0301 0.000 13 Octan-2-ol* 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 14 Ethyl boctanoate 0.0164" 0.0222 - 15 Acetic acid 0.2827" 0.4004" 0.8137 16 Furfural - - - 0.0042 17	1	Isobutyl acetate	0.0925	0.1762	0.0358
3 2-Methylpropan-1-ol 10598 10072 3.1346 4 Isopentyl acetate 0.6170 0.9458 0.0539 5 Butan-1-ol 0.0525 0.0579 0.0528 6 3+2-Methylbutan-1-ol ¹⁻⁴ 4.7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanote 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b,d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0222 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164" 0.0200" 0.0448" 15 Acetic acid 0.2827" 0.4004" 0.8113" 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol** 0.0550 0.0730 0.0321 20 <	2	Propan-1-ol	_	_	0.1736
4 Isopentyl acetate 0.6170 0.9458 0.0539 5 Butan-1-ol 0.0525 0.0579 0.0528 6 3+2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{b-d} 4,7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b-d} 0.6879 1.4029 1.9251 9 Ethyl lactate ^{b-d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.0458 0.0312 0.02256 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0488 0.0301 0.0020' 0.0448' 12 Hex.3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.001 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b-d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 19 Ethyl -sbydtutanoate ^{A-d} 0.0356 0.0732 <td>3</td> <td>2-Methylpropan-1-ol</td> <td>1.0598</td> <td>1.0072</td> <td>3.1346</td>	3	2-Methylpropan-1-ol	1.0598	1.0072	3.1346
5 Butan-1-ol 0.0525 0.0579 0.0528 6 3 +2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{h,d} 4.7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0313 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{h,d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.00021 12 Hex.3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0006 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0144* 0.0200* 0.0448* 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.8113* 16 Furfural - - 0.0041 17 Isopentil hexanote 0.0311 0.0204* - 18 2-Ethylhoxn-1-ol ^{h,d} 0.0650 0.0138 - 21 (<i>R,R</i>) + (<i>S,S</i>) Butane-2,3-diol ^{h,d} 1.4645 1.2329 2.9051	4	Isopentyl acetate	0.6170	0.9458	0.0539
6 3+2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{b.d} 4.7099 4.4395 2.2246 7 Ethyl hexanoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b.d} 0.6879 1.4029 1.925 9 Ethyl lactate ^{b.d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2346 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0222 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164* 0.0200* 0.0448* 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.8113* 16 Furfural - - 0.0041 0.0321 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 -	5	Butan-1-ol	0.0525	0.0579	0.0528
7 Ethyl hexnoate 0.0683 0.0863 0.0333 8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{bd} 0.6879 1.4029 1.9251 9 Ethyl lactate ^{1,d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0222 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164" 0.0200" 0.04448" 15 Acetic acid 0.2827" 0.4004" 0.8113" 16 Furfural - - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b,d} 0.0852 0.0650 0.0321 20 Berazldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R,R</i>) + (<i>S,S</i>) Butane-2.3-diol ^{b,d} 1.4645 1.2329 2.0051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.00966 0.0732 0.0092 <	6	3+2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^{b,d}	4.7099	4.4395	2.2246
8 3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b.d} 0.6879 1.4029 1.9251 9 Ethyl lactate ^{b.d} 0.7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0092 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0100 1.000 13 Octan-2-ol ^a 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164 ^a 0.0200 ^a 0.0448 ^c 15 Acetic acid 0.2827 ^a 0.4004 ^a 0.8113 ^c 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 1.0012 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b.d} 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R.N</i> + (<i>S.S</i>) Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 1.4454 1.2329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081	7	Ethyl hexanoate	0.0683	0.0863	0.0333
9 Ethyl lactare ^{b.d} 0,7390 1.3524 2.3211 10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0222 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164* 0.0200* 0.0448* 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.8113* 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol**d 0.8532 0.0688 0.0061 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate*d 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R,R</i>) + (<i>S,S</i>) Butane-2,3-diol*d 1.4445 1.2329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0052 23	8	3-Hydroxybutan-2-one ^{b,d}	0.6879	1.4029	1.9251
10 Hexan-1-ol 0.2867 0.2546 0.2015 11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0225 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164* 0.0200* 0.0448* 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.0413* 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol** 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate**d 0.0550 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.128 - 21 (<i>R</i> , <i>P</i>) + (<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>) Butane-2, 3-diol**d 1.4645 1.3229 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 23 2-Methylpropanoic acid 0.1902 0.1888 0.1151	9	Ethyl lactate ^{b,d}	0.7390	1.3524	2.3211
11 3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol 0.0438 0.0342 0.0222 12 Hex-3-en-1-ol 0.0081 0.0101 0.0096 13 Octan-2-ol* 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164* 0.0200* 0.0448* 15 Acetic acid 0.2827* 0.4004* 0.8113* 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylkexan-1-ol**d 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R,R</i>) + (<i>S,S</i>) Butane-2,3-diol**d 1.4645 1.2329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 23 2-Methylpropanoic acid 0, 1902 0.1888 0.1151 24 meso Butane-2,3-diol**d 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25 Propane-1,2-diol* 0.0351 0.027 0.0367 26 Butane-4-lactone* 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477	10	Hexan-1-ol	0.2867	0.2546	0.2015
12Hex-3-en-1-ol0.00810.01010.009613Octan-2-ol*1.0001.0001.00014Ethyl octanoate0.0164*0.0200*0.044*15Acetic acid0.2827*0.4004*0.8113*16Furfural0.004017Isopentil hexanoate0.03110.0242-182-Ethylhexan-1-ol*d0.08320.06880.006119Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate*d0.0500.07300.032120Benzaldehyde0.01560.0128-21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol*d1.46451.23292.905122Octan-1-ol0.00670.00810.0050232-Methylpropanoic acid0.19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol*d0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol*0.09660.07320.092226Butane-4-lactone*0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.5741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3622342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.01760.0291-36N-Isopentylacetamide <td< td=""><td>11</td><td>3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol</td><td>0.0438</td><td>0.0342</td><td>0.0222</td></td<>	11	3-Ethoxypropan-1-ol	0.0438	0.0342	0.0222
13 Octan-2-ol ^a 1.000 1.000 1.000 14 Ethyl octanoate 0.0164° 0.0200° 0.0448° 15 Acetic acid 0.2827° 0.4004° 0.8113° 16 Furfural - - 0.0040° 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b,d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b,d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R,R</i>) + (<i>S,S</i>) Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 1.4645 1.2329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.00524 23 2-Methylpropanoic acid 0.1902 0.1888 0.1151 24 meso Butane-2, aciol ^{b,d} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25 Propane-1, 2-diol ^a 0.9066 0.0752 0.0052 26 Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0524 27 N-Ethylacetamide 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477	12	Hex-3-en-1-ol	0.0081	0.0101	0.0096
14Ethyl octanoate 0.0164° 0.0200° 0.0448° 15Acetic acid 0.2827° 0.4004° 0.8113° 16Furfural 0.0040° 0.0141° 17Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 -182-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{h.d.} 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d.} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 -21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d.} 1.4645 1.2329 2.9051 22Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0052 232-Methylpropanoic acid 0.1902 0.1888 0.1151 24meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d.} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.0966 0.0732 0.0092 26Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0524 27N-Ethylacetamide 0.0571 0.2877 0.3367 28Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477 29Ethyl decanoate 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31Diethyl succinate 0.5974 1.2272 1.2225 323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.4544 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid<	13	Octan-2-ol ^a	1.000	1.000	1.000
15 Acetic acid 0.2827° 0.4004° 0.8113° 16 Furfural - - 0.0040 17 Isopentil hexanoate 0.0311 0.0242 - 18 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b,d} 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b,d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 1.4645° 1.2329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 23 2-Methylpropanoic acid $0,1902$ 0.1888 0.1151 24 meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25 Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.0966 0.0732 0.0052 26 Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0547 27 N-Ethylacetamide 0.0371 0.2287 0.0367 28 Butanoic acid 0.0138 0.0084 - 30 3-Methylbutano	14	Ethyl octanoate	0.0164 [°]	0.0200°	0.0448°
16Furfural0.004017Isopentil hexanoate0.03110.0242-182-Ethyl hexan-1ol ^{b.d} 0.08320.06880.006119Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.06500.07300.032120Benzaldehyde0.01560.0128-21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 1.46451.23292.905122Octan-1-ol0.00670.00810.0050232-Methylpropanoic acid0, 19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol ^{b.d} 0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38 </td <td>15</td> <td>Acetic acid</td> <td>0.2827°</td> <td>0.4004°</td> <td>0.8113[°]</td>	15	Acetic acid	0.2827°	0.4004°	0.8113 [°]
17Isopentil hexanote 0.0311 0.0242 $-$ 182-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b.d} 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 $-$ 21 $(R,R)+(S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 1.4645 1.2329 2.9051 22Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 23 2 -Methylpropanoic acid $0,1902$ 0.1888 0.1151 24meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.0966 0.0732 0.0092 26Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0524 27N-Ethylacetamide 0.0571 0.0287 0.3677 28Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477 29Ethyl decanoate 0.0138 0.0084 -30 3 -Methylbutanoic acid 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31Diethyl succinate 0.5974 1.2272 1.2225 32 3 -(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 34 2 -Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.0976 0.0521^c 0.215^c 36 N -Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol $0.$	16	Furfural	_	_	0.0040
18 2-Éthylhexan-1-ol ^{b.d} 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 19 Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.0650 0.0730 0.0321 20 Benzaldehyde 0.0156 0.0128 - 21 (<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>) + (<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>) Butane-2, 3-diol ^{b.d} 1.4645 1.32329 2.9051 22 Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 23 2-Methylpropanoic acid 0, 1902 0.1888 0.1151 24 meso Butane-2, 3-diol ^{b.d} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25 Propane-1, 2-diol ⁴ 0.0966 0.0732 0.0052 26 Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0287 0.0367 28 Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477 29 Ethyl decanoate 0.0138 0.0084 - 30 3-Methylbutanoic acid 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31 Diethyl succinate 0.5774 1.2212 1.2225 32 3-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33 Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.	17	Isopentil hexanoate	0.0311	0.0242	_
19Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b.d} 0.06500.07300.032120Benzaldehyde0.01560.0128-21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 1.46451.23292.905122Octan-1-ol0.00670.00810.0050232-Methylpropanoic acid0, 19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylactamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl vybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.0688 </td <td>18</td> <td>2-Ethylhexan-1-ol^{b,d}</td> <td>0.0832</td> <td>0.0688</td> <td>0.0061</td>	18	2-Ethylhexan-1-ol ^{b,d}	0.0832	0.0688	0.0061
20Benzaldehyde0.01560.0128-21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 1.46451.23292.905122Octan-1-ol0.00670.00810.0050232-Methylpropanoic acid0, 19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.0	19	Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate ^{b,d}	0.0650	0.0730	0.0321
21 $(R,R) + (S,S)$ Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 1.46451.23292.905122Octan-1-ol0.00670.00810.0050232-Methylpropanoic acid0, 19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b.d} 0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3462342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196 ^c 0.0202 ^c 0.2159 ^c 36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.247682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0886 ^c 0.0521 ^c 0.0229 ^c 40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Eithenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.1454<	20	Benzaldehyde	0.0156	0.0128	_
22Octan-1-ol 0.0067 0.0081 0.0050 232-Methylpropanoic acid $0, 1902$ 0.1888 0.1151 24meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 0.3498 0.2347 1.2214 25Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.0966 0.0732 0.0092 26Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0524 27N-Ethylacetamide 0.0571 0.0287 0.0367 28Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477 29Ethyl decanoate 0.0138 0.0084 -303-Methylbutanoic acid 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31Diethyl succinate 0.5774 1.2272 1.2225 323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.00270 0.0091 -36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedicate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0886 0.06688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 <td>21</td> <td>(R,R) + (S,S) Butane-2,3-diol^{b,d}</td> <td>1.4645</td> <td>1.2329</td> <td>2.9051</td>	21	(R,R) + (S,S) Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d}	1.4645	1.2329	2.9051
232-Methylpropanoic acid0, 19020.18880.115124meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d} 0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196 ^c 0.0202 ^c 0.2159 ^c 36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869 ^c 0.0521 ^c 0.0229 ^c 40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.4582	22	Octan-1-ol	0.0067	0.0081	0.0050
24meso Butane-2,3-diol0.34980.23471.221425Propane-1,2-diol0.09660.07320.009226Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427N-Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.066880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.4582	23	2-Methylpropanoic acid	0, 1902	0.1888	0.1151
25Propane-1,2-diol ^d 0.0966 0.0732 0.0092 26Butanoic acid 0.1011 0.0913 0.0524 27N-Ethylacetamide 0.0571 0.0287 0.0367 28Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.8326 0.7557 0.8477 29Ethyl decanoate 0.0138 0.0084 -303-Methylbutanoic acid 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31Diethyl succinate 0.5974 1.2272 1.2225 323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.45311 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.0196° 0.020° 0.2159° 36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 -38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582	24	meso Butane-2,3-diol ^{b,d}	0.3498	0.2347	1.2214
26Butanoic acid0.10110.09130.052427 N -Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.458244Ne(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide0.25020.2332-	25	Propane-1,2-diol ^d	0.0966	0.0732	0.0092
27 N -Ethylacetamide0.05710.02870.036728Butane-4-lactone ^b 0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.002700.0091-36 N -Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869 ^c 0.0521 ^c 0.0229 ^c 40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006143Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.458244 N -(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide0.25020.2332-	26	Butanoic acid	0.1011	0.0913	0.0524
28Butane-4-lactone0.83260.75570.847729Ethyl decanoate0.01380.0084-303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.4582	27	<i>N</i> -Ethylacetamide	0.0571	0.0287	0.0367
29Ethyl decanoate 0.0138 0.0084 $-$ 303-Methylbutanoic acid 0.2301 0.2292 0.1010 31Diethyl succinate 0.5974 1.2272 1.2225 323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.0196° 0.0202° 0.2159° 36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $-$ 38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	28	Butane-4-lactone ^b	0.8326	0.7557	0.8477
303-Methylbutanoic acid0.23010.22920.101031Diethyl succinate0.59741.22721.2225323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08860.04690.040343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.458244N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide0.25020.2332-	29	Ethyl decanoate	0.0138	0.0084	_
31Diethyl succinate 0.5974 1.2272 1.2225 323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol 0.1309 0.1368 0.0390 33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.0196° 0.0202° 0.2159° 36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $-$ 38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	30	3-Methylbutanoic acid	0.2301	0.2292	0.1010
323-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol0.13090.13680.039033Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate0.22360.45310.3362342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08320.06880.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.403343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.458244N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide0.25020.2332-	31	Diethyl succinate	0.5974	1.2272	1.2225
33Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate 0.2236 0.4531 0.3362 342-Phenylethyl acetate 0.1128 0.1454 0.0139 35Hexanoic acid 0.0196° 0.0202° 0.2159° 36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $-$ 38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	32	3-(Methylthio) propan-1-ol	0.1309	0.1368	0.0390
342-Phenylethyl acetate0.11280.14540.013935Hexanoic acid0.0196°0.0202°0.2159°36N-Isopentylacetamide1.90761.57341.783437Benzyl alcohol0.02700.0091-38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.04763.662439Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869°0.0521°0.0229°40Octanoic acid0.43970.41360.3104414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol0.08860.04690.006142Decanoic acid0.08860.04690.403343Monoethyl succinate4.14545.33079.458244N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide0.25020.2332-	33	Ethyl 4-hydroxybutanoate	0.2236	0.4531	0.3362
35Hexanoic acid 0.0196° 0.0202° 0.2159° 36N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $-$ 38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	34	2-Phenylethyl acetate	0.1128	0.1454	0.0139
36 N-Isopentylacetamide 1.9076 1.5734 1.7834 37 Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $ 38$ Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39 Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40 Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 41 4 -Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42 Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.4033 43 Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 $N-(2-Phenylethyl)$ acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	35	Hexanoic acid	0.0196°	0.0202°	0.2159°
37Benzyl alcohol 0.0270 0.0091 $-$ 38Phenylethyl alcohol 2.4768 2.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0882 0.0688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.4033 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 $N-(2-Phenylethyl)$ acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	36	N-Isopentylacetamide	1.9076	1.5734	1.7834
38Phenylethyl alcohol2.47682.0476 3.6624 39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.4033 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 $N-(2-Phenylethyl)$ acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	37	Benzyl alcohol	0.0270	0.0091	_
39Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d 0.0869° 0.0521° 0.0229° 40Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 414-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 -	38	Phenylethyl alcohol	2.4768	2.0476	3.6624
40 Octanoic acid 0.4397 0.4136 0.3104 41 4-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42 Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43 Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 -	39	Diethyl hydroxybutanedioate ^d	0.0869°	0.0521°	0.0229°
41 4-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol 0.0832 0.0688 0.0061 42 Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43 Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 -	40	Octanoic acid	0.4397	0.4136	0.3104
42 Decanoic acid 0.0886 0.0469 0.0403 43 Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 $N_{c}(2\text{-Phenylethyl})$ acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 $-$	41	4-Ethenyl-2-methoxyphenol	0.0832	0.0688	0.0061
43 Monoethyl succinate 4.1454 5.3307 9.4582 44 $N_{c}(2\text{-Phenylethyl})$ acetamide 0.2502 0.2332 -	42	Decanoic acid	0.0886	0.0469	0.0403
44 N -(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide 0 2502 0 2332 -	43	Monoethyl succinate	4.1454	5.3307	9.4582
	44	N-(2-Phenylethyl) acetamide	0.2502	0.2332	-

^a Internal standard.

^b Identified with standards. ^c Areas calculated by SIM. ^d Chiral compounds.

relevant change. In order to simplify and resolve coelution problems, an off-line multidimensional chromatography approach was used where the first separation was achieved by HPLC (first dimension) followed by a second separation by either normal GC-MS or enantio GC-MS. HPLC chromatography

allowed the fractionation of the extract by compound families, 22 fractions were collected. The analysis of these fractions by GC-MS allowed further identification of an additional 38 compounds (Table 2). This method acknowledged: (a) the identification of a large number of compounds that could not be identified before, probably, because they coeluted with major compounds; (b) the identification of trace compounds; (c) the separation of compounds that normal GC, using Carbowax-coated columns was not able to separate, like 3-methylbutan-1-ol and 2methylbutan-1-ol. During fractionation, the first appeared in fraction 11 whereas the second came in fraction 10. To the aroma of 3-methylbutan-1-ol, oily and whiskey aromas have been assigned [23,24] whilst the 2-methylbutan-1-ol has not been referred as having any aromatic signature.

The chiral compounds identified (Table 1) deserved special attention. The biosynthesis of these compounds is often enantioselective [6], and the quantification of the enantiomeric ratio can be used to improve the knowledge of the aroma and quality of wines. In addition, determination of enantiomeric excess (ee) can be used to detect aromatisation with

Table 2

Alcohols	Acids	
Glycerol	Hexadecanoic acid	
Pentan-1-ol	Esters	
Pentan-2-ol ^a	Ethyl acetate	
2-Methylbutan-1-ol ^a	Ethyl pyruvate	
3-Methylbutan-1-ol ^a	Propane-1,3-diol, diacetate	
cis Hex-3-en-1-ol	Diethyl malonate	
trans Hex-3-en-1-ol	Hexyl acetate	
3-Methylpentan-1-ol	Butane-1,4-diol, diacetate	
4-Methylpentan-1-ol	Octyl acetate	
Heptan-1-ol	Lactones	
2,6-Dimethoxyphenol	Pentane-4-lactone	
Nonan-1-ol	Aldehydes	
Nonan-2-ol	Phenylethanal	
3-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-indol	Nonanal	
Decan-1-ol	Terpenes	
Pentane-2,4-diol	Linalool ^a	
Acids	Nerol ^a	
Propanoic acid	Geraniol	
2-Methylbutanoic acid	Citronelol	
Pentanoic acid	Others	
trans Hex-2-enoic acid	Dimethyl sulfone	
Heptanoic acid	Furfurol	

¹Identification by standard coelution.

synthetic chiral aroma substances. Among the chiral compounds identified: butane-2,3-diol; ethyl 3-hydroxy butyrate; 3-hydroxybutan-2-one; pentane-2,4diol and 2-methylbutan-1-ol were submitted to enantioselective GC. The identification of the different stereoisomers was obtained by either coinjection with pure enantiomeric standards or biosynthetic deduction as proposed further for 3-hydroxybutan-2one. Quantification to assess enantiomeric ratios during MLF was achieved by means of enantioselective GC-MS-SIM using the following characteristic m/z ions: 76, 117, 88 and 71, respectively for butane-2,3-diol, ethyl 3-hydroxybutyrate, 3-hydroxybutan-2-one and pentane-2,4-diol. Quantification was not necessary for 2-methylbutan-1-ol because only the S enantiomer was found during MLF. Fig. 2 shows the enantiomeric ratio of these compounds at the three key points of MLF. For ethyl 3-hydroxybutyrate, we could not observe quantitative enantiomeric changes during MLF, the aroma related to this compound is fresh, fruity and grape-like [23,24]; 3-hydroxybutan-2-one and butane-2,3-diol on the other hand showed a different behaviour. To assess the order of elution for the three stereoisomers of butane-2,3-diol, each enantiomeric standard were separately injected. The order of elution was as follows, R,R followed by the S,S and the meso compound come at the end. For 3-hydroxybutan-2one, no enantiomeric forms were available, however, following Prelog's rule, the yeast-reduction of butane-2,3-dione produced (S)-3-hydroxybutan-2one, further reduction yields the corresponding 2,3butanediol predominantly in the anti-configuration, which is in accordance with our results, where the meso form rose in the early stage of MLF. It seems natural to conclude that the (S)-3-hydroxybutan-2one is the enantiomer that concomitantly decreases. This result is in accordance with similar orders of elution found by others [25] for compounds having similar structures. Special attention has been addressed to pentane-2,4-diol, which was only identified at the end of MLF (fraction 18). This compound has never been noted as part of wine aroma nor, to the best of our knowledge, as part of the aroma of fruit. Its identification was carried out by GC-MS spectral analysis, retention time, and injection of a standard under similar conditions. Elution order of the three stereoisomers was determined



Fig. 2. Ratio of selected isomers found along the three stages of MLF.

by e-GC–MS. Literature [26] notes that (R,R)-pentane-2,4-diol can be obtained by enantioselective reduction of acetylacetone (pentane-2,4-dione) from the resting cells of methanol yeast, *Candida boidini*, a wild yeast that can also be present during wine processing. Although contamination cannot be completely excluded, the fact that the compound was present in two different wines virtually excluded this assumption. Pentane-2,4-dione and 4-hydroxypentan-2-one were also detected in the three stages of MLF studied. These compounds are not listed in Tables 1 and 2 since these just refer to compounds previously cited in the literature.

To demonstrate the impact of enantioseparation, water solutions of the three stereoisomers of butane-2,3-diol and pentane-2,4-diol were sniffed by an untrained panel. Descriptors used were not suggested or confirmed by positive assignment. Results clearly show that each stereoisomer of butane-2,3-diol has a different aromatic note. Since pentane-2,4-diol has never been noted as part of wine aroma, its odorant impact was also tested; two-thirds of the panelists attributed an aroma descriptor and still recognized the aroma at a concentration of $6 \ \mu l \ l^{-1}$.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Professor A. Mosandl, Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, for the use of the MDGC–MS apparatus and Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia for financial support as POCTI/AGR/ 11116/98.

References

- [1] W. Bertsch, J. High Resolut. Chromatogr. 12 (1999) 647.
- [2] D. Barron, Z. Lebensm.-Unters.-Forsch. 193 (1991) 454.

- [3] V. Ferreira, R. López, A. Escudero, J.F. Cacho, J. Chromatogr. A 806 (1998) 349.
- [4] V. Ferreira, M. Sharman, J.F. Cacho, J. Dennis, J. Chromatogr. A 731 (1996) 247.
- [5] A. Rapp, Nahrung 42 (1998) 351.
- [6] H.D. Belitz, W. Gorsch, Food Chemistry, Springer, Heidelberg, 1999, p. 329.
- [7] D.R. Davis, D. Wibowo, R. Eschenbruch, T.H. Lee, Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 36 (1985) 290.
- [8] T. Henick-Kling, T.E. Acree, S.A. Krieger, M. Laurent, W.D. Edinger, Wine East, 3rd Biotechnology Dossier, Vignevini, 1994, pp. 120–138.
- [9] M.H. Laurent, T. Henick-Kling, T.E. Acree, Vitic. Enol. Sci. 49 (1994) 3.
- [10] F. Tateo, M. Bononi, F. Trambaiolo, S. Cunial, in: P. Sandra (Ed.), Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium on Capillary Chromatography, Hüethig Verlag, Heidelberg, 1995, p. 1122.
- [11] G. de Revel, N. Martin, L. Pripis-Nicolau, A. Lonvaud-Funel, A. Bertrand, J. Agric. Food Chem. 47 (1999) 4003.
- [12] M.S.F. Ross, J. Chromatogr. 118 (1976) 273.
- [13] M.S.F. Ross, J. Chromatogr. 160 (1978) 199.
- [14] J.E. Newbery, M.P. Lopez de Haddad, J. Chromatogr. 260 (1983) 173.

- [15] H. Komae, N. Hayashi, J. Chromatogr. 114 (1975) 258.
- [16] A. Kaunzinger, M. Wust, H. Grobmiller, S. Burow, U. Hemmrich, A. Dietrich, T. Beck, U. Hener, A. Mosandl, A. Rapp, Z. Lebensm.-Unters.-Forsch. 203 (1996) 499.
- [17] B. Martineau, T. Henick-Kling, T. Acree, Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 46 (1995) 385.
- [18] C. Cocito, G. Gaetano, C. Delfini, Food Chem. 52 (1995) 311.
- [19] B. Martineau, T. Acree, T. Henick-Kling, Biotechnol. Tech. 8 (1994) 7.
- [20] Y. Hayasaka, E. Bartowsky, J. Agric. Food Chem. 47 (1999) 612.
- [21] T. Henick-Kling, Malolactic fermentation, in: G.H. Fleet (Ed.), Wine Microbiology and Biotechnology, Harwood Academic, Chur, 1993, p. 289.
- [22] E. Valero, M.J. Villasenor, J. Sanz, I. Martinez Castro, Chromatographia 52 (2000) 340.
- [23] Flavors and Fragrances, 2001, Aldrich, International Edition.
- [24] T. Acree, H. Arn, in http://www.nysaes.cornell.edu/flavornet/index.html
- [25] A. Dietrich, Ph.D. Thesis, Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, 1996.
- [26] S. Matsumura, Y. Kawai, Y. Takahashi, K. Toshima, Biotechnol. Lett. 16 (1994) 485.