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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is Eulerian-connected if for any $u$ and $v$ in $V(G), G$ has a spanning $(u, v)$-trail. A graph $G$ is edge-Eulerian-connected if for any $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ in $E(G), G$ has a spanning ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail. For an integer $r \geqslant 0$, a graph is called $r$-Eulerian-connected if for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$, and for any $u, v \in V(G), G$ has a spanning ( $u, v$ )-trail $T$ such that $X \subseteq E(T)$. The $r$-edge-Eulerianconnectivity of a graph can be defined similarly. Let $\theta(r)$ be the minimum value of $k$ such that every $k$-edge-connected graph is $r$-Eulerian-connected. Catlin proved that $\theta(0)=4$. We shall show that $\theta(r)=4$ for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant 2$, and $\theta(r)=r+1$ for $r \geqslant 3$. Results on $r$-edge-Eulerian connectivity are also discussed. (C) 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We follow the notation of Bondy and Murty [1], except that graphs have no loops. A graph $G$ is Hamiltonianconnected if for every pair of vertices $u, v$ of $G$, there is a Hamiltonian $(u, v)$-path in $G$. For a graph $G$, a trail is a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k-1}, v_{k-1}, e_{k}, v_{k}$ such that all the $e_{i}$ 's are distinct and $e_{i}=v_{i-1} v_{i}$ for all $i$. Let $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. A trail in $G$ whose first edge is $e^{\prime}$ and whose last edge is $e^{\prime \prime}$ is called an ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail. For $u, v \in V(G)$, a $(u, v)$-trail of $G$ is a trail in $G$ whose origin is $u$ and whose terminus is $v$. A trail $H$ is called a dominating trail of $G$ if every edge of $G$ is incident with at least one vertex of $H$ in $G$. A trail $H$ is called a spanning trail if $V(H)=V(G)$. If $u=v$, then a $(u, v)$-trail in $G$ is a closed trail, which is also called a Eulerian subgraph of $G$. A graph is called supereulerian if it has a spanning closed trail. The collection of all supereulerian graphs is denoted by $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{L}$.

A graph $G$ is Eulerian-connected if for any $u, v$ in $V(G)$ (including the case $u=v$ ), $G$ has a spanning ( $u, v$ )-trail. A graph is called $r$-Eulerian-connected if for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$, and for any $u, v \in V(G), G$ has a spanning
$(u, v)$-trail $T$ such that $X \subseteq E(T)$. For an integer $r \geqslant 0$, the collection of all $r$-Eulerian-connected graphs is denoted by $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{L}(r)$. Obviously, $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{L}(r) \subseteq \mathscr{S} \mathscr{L}$ for all $r \geqslant 0$.

A graph $G$ is edge-Eulerian-connected if for any $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ in $E(G), G$ has a spanning ( $\left.e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail. A graph is called $r$-edge-Eulerian-connected if for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$ and for any $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G), G$ has a spanning $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail $T$ such that $X \subseteq E(T)$. For an integer $r \geqslant 0$, the collection of all $r$-edge-Eulerian-connected graphs is denoted by $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{E}(r)$.

Many studies have been done on Eulerian graphs (see [7]). For the literature on the subject of supereulerian graphs, see surveys [3,6]. Harary and Nash-Williams [9] demonstrated the relationship between Eulerian subgraphs and Hamiltonian cycles in the line graph of $G$. Zhan [14] studied $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trails of a graph $G$ for the Hamiltonian connectivity of the line graph of $G$. In the study of spanning trails of graphs [2], Catlin introduced the concept of collapsible graphs. For a graph $G$, let $\mathrm{O}(G)$ be the set of odd degree vertices of $G$ and let $R$ be an even subset of $V(G)$. A subgraph $H_{R}$ of $G$ is called a spanning $R$-trail if $H_{R}$ is a spanning connected subgraph such that $\mathrm{O}\left(H_{R}\right)=R$. A graph $G$ is collapsible if for every even subset $R \subseteq V(G), G$ has a spanning $R$-trail. We will regard an empty set as an even subset and $K_{1}$ as both collapsible and supereulerian. The collection of all collapsible graphs is denoted by $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{L}$. By the definition of collapsible graphs, we have:

Proposition A. Let G be a collapsible graph. Then each of the following holds
(i) $G$ is supereulerian.
(ii) $G$ is Eulerian-connected.

Proof. For any vertices $u, v \in V(G)$. Let $R=\emptyset$ if $u=v$, or $R=\{u, v\}$ if $u \neq v$. Since $G$ is collapsible, it has a spanning subgraph $H_{R}$ such that $\mathrm{O}\left(H_{R}\right)=R$. Therefore, $H_{R}$ is a spanning Eulerian subgraph of $G$ if $R=\emptyset$, or $H_{R}$ is a $(u, v)$-spanning trail of $G$.

Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and let $R$ be an even subset of $V(G)$. A spanning $R$-trail $H_{R}$ of $G$ such that $X \subseteq E\left(H_{R}\right)$ is called $a$ spanning ( $R, X$ )-trail, and denoted by $H_{R}(X)$. A graph is called strongly $r$-Eulerian-connected if for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$ and for any even subset $R \subseteq V(G), G$ has a spanning $R$-trail $H_{R}$ such that $X \subseteq E\left(H_{R}\right)$ (i.e. $G$ has a $\left.H_{R}(X)\right)$. The collection of all strongly $r$-Eulerian-connected graphs is denoted by $\mathscr{S} \mathscr{E}(r)$.

For an integer $r$, define $\mathscr{L}(r)$ to be the family of graphs such that $G \in \mathscr{L}(r)$ if and only if for any subset $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r, G$ has an spanning Eulerian subgraph $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$. Define $f(r)$ to be the minimum value of $k$ such that every $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ is in $\mathscr{L}(r)$. In [12], Lai found $f(r)$ for all the values of $r$ (see Corollary 3.6). Let $\theta(r)$ be the minimum value of $k$ such that every $k$-edge-connected graph is in $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{L}(r)$ and let $\psi(r)$ be the minimum value of $k$ such that every $k$-edge-connected graph is in $\mathscr{S} \mathscr{E}(r)$. Since $\mathscr{S} \mathscr{E}(r) \subseteq \mathscr{E} \mathscr{L}(r) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r) \leqslant \theta(r) \leqslant \psi(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi(r)$ be the minimum value of $k$ such that every $k$-edge-connected graph is in $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{E}(r)$. In this paper, we will determine the values of $\theta(r), \psi(r)$, and $\xi(r)$ for all $r \geqslant 0$.

In the next section, we will present Catlin's reduction method and some preliminary results which are needed in our proofs. Our main results are in Sections 3 and 4. We will present our results on $r$-Eulerian-connected graphs, and give the values of $\theta(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ for all $r \geqslant 0$. Section 4 contains results on the $r$-edge-Eulerian connected graphs.

## 2. Catlin's reduction method and preliminary results

Let $H$ be a connected subgraph of $G$. The contraction $G / H$ is obtained from $G$ by contracting each edge of $H$ and deleting the resulting loops. In [2], Catlin showed that every graph $G$ has a unique collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint maximal collapsible subgraphs $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(H_{i}\right)=V(G)$. The reduction of $G$ is obtained from $G$ by contracting each of $H_{i}$ into a vertex $v_{i}$ for all $i$, and is denoted by $G^{\prime}$. Each $H_{i}$ is called a preimage of $v_{i}$ in $G$, and $v_{i}$ is called the contraction image of $H_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. A vertex $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ is called a trivial contraction if its preimage in $G$ is $K_{1}$. A graph $G$ is reduced if $G$ is the reduction of some graph. Let $F(G)$ be the minimum number of edges that must be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph has 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Theorem 2.1 (Catlin [2]). Let $G$ be a graph, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G$. Each of the following holds.
(i) $G$ is supereulerian if and only if $G^{\prime}$ is supereulerian.
(ii) $G$ is collapsible if and only if $G^{\prime} \cong K_{1}$
(iii) $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|+F\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|-2$.

In [10], Jaeger proved that a graph with two edge-disjoint spanning trees is supereulerian. In [2], Catlin proved that if $G$ has two edge-disjoint spanning trees, then $G$ is collapsible. It is well known now that a $2 k$-edge-connected graph has $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees $[8,11,13]$. Thus, we have:

Theorem 2.2. If $G$ is 4-edge-connected, then $G$ is collapsible.
In [4], Catlin proved:
Theorem 2.3 (Catlin [4]). Let $G$ be a graph and let $k \geqslant 1$ be an integer. The following are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is $2 k$-edge-connected;
(ii) For any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant k, G-X$ has $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Corollary 2.4 (Catlin [4]). Let $G$ be a graph and let $k \geqslant 1$ be an integer. The following are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is $(2 k+1)$-edge-connected;
(ii) For any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant k+1, G-X$ has $k$-edge-disjoint spanning trees.

The following theorems will be needed in our proofs.
Theorem 2.5 (Catlin et al. [5]). Let $G$ be a connected graph. If $F(G) \leqslant 2$, then either $G$ is collapsible, or the reduction of $G$ is in $\left\{K_{2}, K_{2, t}: t \geqslant 1\right\}$.

Let $e$ be an edge in $G$. Edge $e$ is subdivided when it is replaced by a path of length 2 whose internal vertex, denoted by $v(e)$, has degree 2 in the resulting graph. The process of taking an edge $e$ and replacing it by that path of length 2 is called subdividing $e$. Let $G$ be a graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X$. Then $V\left(G_{X}\right)=V(G) \cup\{v(e)$ for each $e \in X\}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an integer. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $R$ be an even subset of $V(G)$. Then each of the following holds
(i) $G$ has a spanning $(R, X)$-trail $H_{R}(X)$ if and only if $G_{X}$ has a spanning $R$-trail. In particular, $G$ has a spanning closed trail $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ if and only if $G_{X}$ is supereulerian.
(ii) If $G_{X}$ is collapsible, then $G_{X}$ has a spanning $R$-trail.
(iii) Let $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ with $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=\emptyset$. Then $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right)$.
(iv) If $G$ has $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, then for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant 2 k-2, F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant 2$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from the definitions of collapsibility and $G_{X}$.
(iii) Let $p=F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right)$. Let $E_{p}$ be the $p$ edge set such that $\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}+E_{p}$ has 2-edge-disjoint spanning trees $\left(T_{1}\right.$ and $\left.T_{2}\right)$. Let $X_{1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{s}\right\}$ and each $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$. By the definition of $G_{X}$, we know that $G_{X}$ can be obtained from $\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}$ by joining each pair of $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ by a path $P_{i}=u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}$ where $v\left(e_{i}\right)$ is a new vertex. Therefore, $T_{1}+\bigcup_{i=1}^{s}\left\{u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $T_{2}+\bigcup_{i=1}^{s}\left\{v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}\right\}$ are two edge-disjoint spanning trees in $G_{X}+E_{p}$, and so $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant p=F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right)$.
(iv) Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$ be $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G$. Without lost of generality, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left|X \cap E\left(T_{k}\right)\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k \geqslant 2,|X| \leqslant 2 k-2, T_{i}$ 's are edge-disjoint, and by (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p}\right\}$ where $p \leqslant 2 k-2$, and let $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$. Since $G_{X}$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing $e_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p), V\left(G_{X}\right)=V(G) \cup\left\{v\left(e_{i}\right): 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\right\}$, and $E\left(G_{X}\right)=(E(G)-X) \cup\left\{u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right), v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}\right.$ : $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\}$.

Case $1 .\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=0$.
Then $T_{1}+\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}\left\{u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $T_{2}+\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}\left\{v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}\right\}$ are two edge-disjoint spanning trees in $G_{X}$ and so $F\left(G_{X}\right)=0 \leqslant 2$.
Case 2. $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=1$.
By (2) and (3), $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|=0$ and $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=1$. Let $e_{2}=u_{2} v_{2}$ be the edge in $X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)$. Then $T_{2}^{\prime}=T_{2}-$ $e_{2}+\left\{u_{2} v\left(e_{2}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) v_{2}\right\} \bigcup_{i \neq 2}^{p}\left\{v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}\right\}$ is a spanning tree in $G_{X}$. To obtain another spanning tree which covers $v\left(e_{2}\right)$, we can add an edge $e^{\prime}=u_{1} v\left(e_{2}\right)$ to $G_{X}$. Then $T_{1}^{\prime}=T_{1}+\left\{e^{\prime}\right\} \bigcup_{i \neq 2}^{p}\left\{u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a spanning tree in $G_{X}+e^{\prime}$. Therefore, $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ are two edge-disjoint spanning trees in $G_{X}+e^{\prime}$. This shows that $F\left(G_{X}\right)=1 \leqslant 2$.

Case 3. $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=2$.
By (2) and (3), either $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|=\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=1$, or $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|=0$ and $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=2$. We prove $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant 2$ for the case $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|=\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=1$ here. The case $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|=0$ and $\left|X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=2$ can be proved similarly.

Let $e_{1} \in X \cap E\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $e_{2} \in X \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)$. Then $T_{1}^{\prime}=T_{1}-e_{1}+\left\{u_{1} v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{1}\right) v_{1}\right\} \bigcup_{i=3}^{p}\left\{u_{i} v\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a tree containing $V\left(G_{X}\right)-v\left(e_{2}\right)$, and $T_{2}^{\prime}=T_{2}-e_{2}+\left\{u_{2} v\left(e_{2}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right) v_{2}\right\} \bigcup_{i=3}^{p}\left\{v\left(e_{i}\right) v_{i}\right\}$ is a tree containing $V\left(G_{X}\right)-v\left(e_{1}\right)$. Therefore, adding two new edges $e^{\prime}=u_{1} v\left(e_{2}\right)$ and $e^{\prime \prime}=v\left(e_{1}\right) v_{2}$ to $G_{X}$, we have two edge-disjoint spanning trees $T_{1}^{\prime}+e^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}+e^{\prime \prime}$ in $G_{X}+\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. This shows that $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant 2$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a graph with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geqslant 3$, and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X$. If the reduction of $G_{X}$ is $K_{2, t}$, then each of the following holds.
(i) Every degree 2 vertex in $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in $X$.
(ii) $|X| \geqslant t \geqslant \kappa^{\prime}(G)$, and $X$ is an edge cut of $G$.
(iii) There is a subset $X_{1} \subseteq X$ with $t=\left|X_{1}\right|$ such that each path between the two vertices of degree $t$ in $K_{2, t}$ is obtained by subdividing an edge in $X_{1}$. Furthermore, $G_{X}-X_{1}$ has only two collapsible components (say $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ ) such that $V\left(G_{X}\right)=V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right) \bigcup_{e \in E_{1}}\{v(e)\}$, and $G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ is obtained by contracting $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ (i.e. $\left.G_{X}^{\prime}=\left(G_{X} / H_{1}\right) / H_{2}=K_{2, t}\right)$.

Proof. Let $E\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(K_{2, t}\right)=\left\{u w_{i}, w_{i} v\right\}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant t)$ where each $w_{i}$ is a degree 2 vertex in $G_{X}^{\prime}$. Note that $w_{i}$ is a trivial contraction, and (i) holds. Otherwise the two edges incident with $w_{i}$ will form an edge-cut of $G$, contrary to that $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geqslant 3$. Hence, each path $u w_{i} v$ is obtained by subdividing an edge in $X$ and so $t \leqslant|X|$.

Let $E^{\prime}=\left\{u w_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t\right\}$. Then $E^{\prime}$ is an edge-cut of $G_{X}^{\prime}$. Since each path $u w_{i} v$ in $G_{X}$ is obtained by subdividing an edge $e \in X \subseteq E(G)$, we have an edge set $X_{1} \subseteq X$ such that each edge in $X_{1}$ corresponding to a path $u w_{i} v$ in $G_{X}$, and $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|E^{\prime}\right|=t$. Therefore, $X_{1}$ is an edge cut in $G$. Since $X_{1} \subseteq X, X$ is an edge-cut of $G$ and $|X| \geqslant\left|E^{\prime}\right|=t \geqslant \kappa^{\prime}(G)$.

Note $V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{u, v, w_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t\right\}$ where $d(u)=d(v)=t$. Let $H_{1}$ be the preimage of $u$, and let $H_{2}$ be the preimage of $v$. Therefore, $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is obtained by subdividing each edge in $X_{1}$, and then contracting $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Statement (iii) is proved.

Lemma 2.8. Let $G$ be an $r$-edge-connected graph $(r \geqslant 4)$. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X$. Let $G_{X}^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{X}$ and let $V_{r}$ be the set of vertices of degree less than $r$ in $G_{X}^{\prime}$. Let $D_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right): d(v)=i\right\}(i \geqslant 2)$. If $F\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$, then each of the following holds:
(i) each vertex in $V_{r}$ has degree 2 (i.e. $V_{r}=D_{2}$ ) and $\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant|X|$.
(ii) $(r-4)\left|V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|+10 \leqslant(r-2)\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant(r-2)|X|$.
(iii) $10+(r-4)\left|D_{r}\right|+(r-3)\left|D_{r+1}\right|+\cdots+\leqslant 2\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant 2|X|$.

Proof. Since the degree of each vertex $u$ in $V_{r}$ is less than $r, u$ must be a trivial contraction in $G_{X}^{\prime}$. Otherwise, the edges incident with $u$ will form an edge cut with size less than $r$, contrary to $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geqslant r$. Therefore, $V_{r} \subseteq V\left(G_{X}\right)-V(G)$,
a subset of the vertices obtained in the process of subdividing each edge in $X$. Thus each vertex in $V_{r}$ has degree 2 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant|X| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c=\left|V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Since $F\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$, by (iii) of Theorem 2.1,

$$
\left|E\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|=2\left|V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|-2-F\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2 c-5 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)} d(v)=2\left|E\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant 4 c-10 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{X}\right) \geqslant 2, \delta\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 2$. Then by (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|V_{r}\right|+r\left(c-\left|V_{r}\right|\right) \leqslant 2\left|V_{r}\right|+\sum_{v \notin V_{r}} d(v)=\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)} d(v)=2\left|E\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant 4 c-10 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4), (6), and $c=\left|V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r-4)\left|V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)\right|+10 \leqslant(r-2)\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant(r-2)|X| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6), and $V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)=V_{r} \bigcup_{i=r} D_{i}$,

$$
2\left|V_{r}\right|+r\left|D_{r}\right|+(r+1)\left|D_{r+1}\right|+\cdots \leqslant 4\left(\left|V_{r}\right|+\left|D_{r}\right|+\left|D_{r+1}\right|+\cdots\right)-10 .
$$

Hence,

$$
10+(r-4)\left|D_{r}\right|+(r-3)\left|D_{r+1}\right|+\cdots \leqslant 2\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant 2|X| .
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $G$ be a graph and let $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(G)$ and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $X_{0}=X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let $G_{X_{0}}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X_{0}$. Let $v\left(e_{1}\right)$ and $v\left(e_{2}\right)$ be the two vertices subdividing $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, respectively. Then
(i) If $G_{X_{0}}$ has a spanning $\left(v\left(e_{1}\right), v\left(e_{2}\right)\right)$-trail, then $G$ has a spanning $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail containing $X$.
(ii) If $G_{X_{0}}$ is collapsible, then $G$ has a spanning $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail containing $X$.

Proof. Follows from the definitions of collapsibility and $G_{X_{0}}$.

## 3. The $r$-Eulerian-connected graphs

The Petersen graph and many other 3-edge-connected graphs have no spanning closed trails. Thus, for any $r \geqslant 0$, $\psi(r) \geqslant \theta(r) \geqslant 4$. By Theorem 2.2, we know that $\psi(0)=\theta(0)=4$. The following example shows that for $r \geqslant 3$, $\psi(r) \geqslant \theta(r) \geqslant r+1$.

Example 1. Let $r \geqslant 3$ be an integer, and let $n$ and $m$ be two integers such that $n \geqslant r+1$ and $m \geqslant r+1$. Let $G_{1}=K_{n}$ with $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$, and let $G_{2}=K_{m}$ with $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. Define the graph $G$ to be the graph obtained from $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by connecting $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with the new edge set $X=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ where $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then $G$ is an $r$-edge-connected graph. If $r$ is even, then we choose $u$ from $G_{1}$, and $v$ from $G_{2}$. If $r$ is an odd integer, then we choose $u$ and $v$ both from $G_{1}$. Then $G$ has no spanning $(u, v)$-trails containing all the edges of $X$. This example also shows that $G$ has no spanning ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trails containing all the edges of $X$ for some pair of $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. See Fig. 1 below for the case $r=4$ where $X=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ and $G_{1} \cong G_{2} \cong K_{5}$. This shows that $\psi(r) \geqslant \theta(r) \geqslant r+1$. In the following, we will show that $\psi(r)=\theta(r)=r+1$.

This example suggests the following necessary condition for $r$ Eulerian-connected graphs, and the lower bounds for $\psi(r), \theta(r)$ and $\xi(r)$.


Fig. 1.

Theorem 3.0. Let $r \geqslant 3$. Then $\psi(r) \geqslant \theta(r) \geqslant r+1$ and $\xi(r) \geqslant r+1$. Furthermore, if $G$ is an $r$-Eulerian-connected graph, then $G$ is $(r+1)$-edge-connected.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that the edge-connectivity of $G$ is $k \leqslant r$. Let $X$ be an edge cut with $|X|=k$ and let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two components of $G-X$. If $|X|=k$ is even, we can choose a vertex $u$ from $H_{1}$ and a vertex $v$ from $H_{2}$. Then $G$ has no spanning $(u, v)$ trail that contains $X$, a contradiction. If $|X|=k$ is odd, then we can choose a vertex $u$ from $H_{1}$. Since $X$ has odd number of edges, $G$ does not have a closed trail that starts and ends at $u$ containing $X$, a contradiction again.

For a real number $x$, let $\lfloor x\rfloor$ be the largest integer that is less than or equal to $x$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $r \geqslant 4$ be an integer and let $k=\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $G$ be an $r$-edge-connected graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r+k-2$. it Then one of the following holds:
(i) $G_{X}$ is collapsible, or
(ii) $X$ is an edge cut of $G$ and $|X| \geqslant r$.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r+k-2$. Define $G_{X}$ as before and assume that $G_{X}$ is not collapsible. We will show that the reduction $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is $K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant 2$ first. Consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $r$ is even. Then $r=2 k$, and $|X| \leqslant 3 k-2$.
Since $|X| \leqslant 3 k-2$, we can choose a subset $X_{1}$ of $X$ and let $X_{2}=X-X_{1}$, such that $\left|X_{1}\right| \leqslant k$ and $\left|X_{2}\right| \leqslant 2 k-2$. By Theorem 2.3, $G-X_{1}$ has $k$-edge-disjointed spanning trees. Then by Lemma 2.6(iv), $F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right) \leqslant 2$. By Lemma 2.6 (iii), $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right) \leqslant 2$. Since $G_{X}$ is not collapsible, by Theorem $2.5, G_{X}^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{2}, K_{2, t}\right\}(t \geqslant 1)$. Since $G$ is $r$-edge-connected $(r \geqslant 4), G_{X}$ is 2-edge-connected. Therefore, $G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}(t \geqslant 2)$.

Case 2. $r$ is odd. Then $r=2 k+1$ and $|X| \leqslant 3 k-1$.
Let $X_{1}$ be a subset of $X$ and let $X_{2}=X-X_{1}$ such that $\left|X_{1}\right| \leqslant k+1$ and $\left|X_{2}\right| \leqslant 2 k-2$. By Corollary $2.4, G-X_{1}$ has $k$-edge-disjointed spanning trees. By Lemma 2.6(iii) and (iv), $F\left(G_{X}\right) \leqslant F\left(\left(G-X_{1}\right)_{X_{2}}\right) \leqslant 2$. Using the same argument for the case 1 above, we have $G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}(t \geqslant 2)$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.7, Theorem 3.1 is proved.
From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have the following:
Theorem 3.1'. Let $r \geqslant 4$ be an integer and let $k=\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $G$ be an $r$-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r+k-2$ and let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing every edge in $X$. Let $G_{X}^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{X}$. Then exactly one of the following holds
(i) $G_{X}$ is collapsible, or
(ii) $G_{X}$ can be contracted to $K_{2, t}$ (i.e. $G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ ) in such a way that each degree vertex in $K_{2, t}$ is a trivial contraction and $r \leqslant t \leqslant|X|$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $r \geqslant 4$ be an integer and let $k=\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $G$ be an $r$-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r+k-2$. Then one of the following holds
(i) for any even subset $R \subseteq V(G)$, G has a spanning $R$-trail $H_{R}$ such that $X \subseteq E\left(H_{R}\right)$, or
(ii) $X$ is an edge cut of $G$ and $|X| \geqslant r$.

Proof. For a given edge set $X \subseteq E(G)$, by Lemma 2.6(ii), if $G_{X}$ is collapsible, then $G$ has a spanning $(R, X)$-trail for any even subset $R \subseteq V(G)$. Theorem 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. Let $r \geqslant 4$ be an integer, and let $k=\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $G$ be an $r$-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r+k-2$. If $X$ is not an edge cut of $G$, then $G$ has a spanning ( $R, X$ )-trail for any even subset $R \subseteq V(G)$.

Proof. Following Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.6 immediately.
Corollary 3.4. Let $r \geqslant 3$. Then $G$ is strongly $r$-Eulerian-connected if and only if $G$ is $(r+1)$-edge-connected.
Proof. The necessary condition follows from Theorem 3.0. For the sufficient condition, let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$. Then $|X|<\kappa^{\prime}(G)=r+1 . X$ is not an edge cut of $G$ and by Theorem 3.2, the statement holds.

Theorem 3.5. Let $r \geqslant 0$. Then

$$
\psi(r)=\theta(r)= \begin{cases}4 & \text { if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 2 \\ r+1 & \text { if } r \geqslant 3\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since there exist 3-edge-connected graphs which are not supereulerian, $\psi(r) \geqslant \theta(r) \geqslant 4$ for $r \geqslant 0$. By Theorem 3.1, if $G$ is 4 -edge-connected, then any edge set $X$ with $|X| \leqslant 2$ can not be an edge cut of $G$. Therefore $G_{X}$ is collapsible, and so $\theta(r)=\psi(r) \leqslant 4$ if $r \leqslant 2$. For $r \geqslant 3$, it follows from Corollary 3.4 that $\psi(r)=\theta(r)=r+1$.

Corollary 3.6 (Lai [12]). Let $r \geqslant 0$ be an integer. Then

$$
f(r)= \begin{cases}4, & 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 2 \\ r+1, & r \geqslant 3 \text { and } r \text { is odd } \\ r, & r \geqslant 4 \text { and } r \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since there exist 3-edge-connected graphs that are not supereulerian, $f(r) \geqslant 4$. Since $f(r) \leqslant \theta(r)$, by Theorem 3.1, $f(r)=4$ if $r \leqslant 2$. For $r \geqslant 3$, if $r$ is odd, Example 1 with an odd number $r$ shows that $f(r) \geqslant r+1$. By Theorem 3.1, since $f(r) \leqslant \theta(r) \leqslant r+1, f(r)=r+1$ if $r$ is odd. If $r$ is even, by Theorem 3.1', for any $r$-edge-connected graph $G$ and any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$, either $G_{X}$ is collapsible or the reduction $G_{X}^{\prime} \cong K_{2, r}$. Since $K_{2, r}$ is supereulerian when $r$ is even and all collapsible graphs are supereulerian, $G_{X}$ is supereulerian. Then by Lemma 2.6(i), $G$ has a spanning Eulerian subgraph $H$ with $X \subseteq E(H)$. Therefore, $f(r)=r$ if $r$ is even.

Corollary 3.6 implies that if $G$ is 4-edge-connected, then for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant 4, G$ has a spanning Eulerian subgraph $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$. Here we have:

Theorem 3.7. Let $G$ be 4-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant 5$. Let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X$. Let $D_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \mid d(v)=i\right\}(i \geqslant 2)$. Then one of the following holds
(i) $G_{X}$ is collapsible, or
(ii) $X$ contains an edge cut $X_{1}$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|=t \geqslant 4$ such that $G-X_{1}$ has only two components ( $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ ), which are collapsible. Furthermore, $G_{X}$ is contractible to $K_{2, t}$ by contracting $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ into the two degree $t$ vertices in $K_{2, t}$, or
(iii) $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is an Eulerian graph with $V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)=D_{2} \cup D_{4}$ and $\left|D_{2}\right|=5$.

Proof. Let $G_{X}^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{X}$. If $G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{1}$, then $G_{X}$ is collapsible and we are done for this case. In the following we will assume that $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is not trivial. Since $G$ is 4-edge-connected, $G_{X}$ is 2-edge-connected. Since $\kappa\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \kappa\left(G_{X}\right)$, $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is 2-edge-connected.

Case 1. $F\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2$.
By Theorem 2.5, and $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{X}\right) \geqslant 2, G_{X}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ for some $t \geqslant 2$. By Lemma 2.7, $|X| \geqslant t \geqslant 4$. Hence, (ii) of Theorem 3.7 holds.

Case 2. $F\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$.
Since $G$ is 4-edge-connected and $|X| \leqslant 5$, by (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.7, $V_{r}=D_{2}$ and

$$
10+\left|D_{5}\right|+\cdots+\leqslant 2\left|V_{r}\right| \leqslant 2|X| \leqslant 10 .
$$

This implies that $\left|D_{i}\right|=0$ for all $i \geqslant 5$ and $\left|D_{2}\right|=5$. Therefore, each vertex in $V\left(G_{X}^{\prime}\right)$ has degree 2 or 4 . Hence, $G_{X}^{\prime}$ is Eulerian and $\left|D_{2}\right|=5$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $G$ be a 4 -edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant 5$. Let $G_{X}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X$. Then either $G$ has a spanning Eulerian subgraph $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$, or $G_{X}$ is contractible to $K_{2,5}$ in such a way that each path between the two vertices of degree 5 is obtained by subdividing an edge in $X$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 2.9.

## 4. The $r$-edge-Eulerian-connected graphs

We will need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.0. Let $G$ be a 3-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and let $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. Let $X_{0}=X \cup\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and let $G_{X_{0}}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X_{0}$. Suppose that $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ where $t \geqslant 3$. If $t>|X|$, then $G$ has a spanning ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$.

Proof. Let $u$ and $v$ be the two vertices in $K_{2, t}$ with $d(u)=d(v)=t$. By Lemma 2.7, there is an edge set $X_{1} \subseteq X_{0}$ such that each length 2 path between $u$ and $v$ in $K_{2, t}$ is obtained by subdividing an edge in $X_{1}$. Then $\left|X_{1}\right|=t$. Let $E_{1}=E\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(K_{2, t}\right)$. By Lemma 2.7, $G_{X_{0}}-E_{1}$ has two collapsible subgraphs ( $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ ) such that $V\left(G_{X_{0}}\right)=V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right) \bigcup_{e \in X_{1}}\{v(e)\}$. Let $e^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime} y_{0}^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and let $x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(H_{2}\right)$. Since $t>|X|$, at least one of the edges in $\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is included in $X_{1}$. For each $e \in\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}, P_{e}$ is defined as a path obtained by subdividing edge $e$.
For each $H_{i},(i=1,2)$, define

$$
U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{i}\right)=\left\{v \in V\left(H_{i}\right): v \text { is incident with odd number of edges in } E_{1}-\left\{P_{e^{\prime}}, P_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Note that $\left|U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right|$ is odd if and only if $\left|U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)\right|$ is odd. Since $H_{i}$ is collapsible, for any even subset $R_{i} \subseteq V\left(H_{i}\right)$, there is a spanning connected subgraph $\Gamma_{i}$ with $\mathrm{O}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=R_{i}(i=1,2)$. In the following we will show that a spanning $\left(v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$-trail $\Gamma$ can be constructed from $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ by adding all the edges in $E_{1}$ and an edge $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ to connect $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ (or an edge $e_{\Gamma_{2}}$ to connect $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, or both) such that $\mathrm{O}(\Gamma)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$.

Case 1. Both $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ are in $X_{1}$.
Note that $G$ may not be simple and we may have three possible situations:
(a) $x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}=y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$,
(b) $x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime} \neq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$,
(c) $x_{0}^{\prime} \neq x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime} \neq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.

The following Tables 1-3 show the selections of the even subset $R_{i} \subseteq V\left(H_{i}\right)$ for $\Gamma_{i}$ and $e_{\Gamma_{i}}(i=1,2)$ for all possible cases.

For each case with the selection of $R_{1}, R_{2}, e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $e_{\Gamma_{2}}$, define

$$
\Gamma=G_{X_{0}}\left[E\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cup E\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup E_{1} \cup\left\{e_{\Gamma_{1}}, e_{\Gamma_{2}}\right\}\right] .
$$

By the definition of $\Gamma, V(\Gamma)=V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cup V\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \bigcup_{e \in X_{1}}\{v(e)\} \cup\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, and $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ have degree 1 in $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma_{i}$ is a connected spanning subgraph of $H_{i}, V\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=V\left(H_{i}\right)(i=1,2) . \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are connected by the paths in $E_{1}$, and $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are connected to $\Gamma_{i}$ by $e_{\Gamma_{i}}$. Thus, $V(\Gamma)=V\left(G_{X_{0}}\right)$ and $\Gamma$ is a connected spanning subgraph

Table 1
When $x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}=y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, let $x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime}=y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$

| $\left\|U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right\|$ | $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odd | $x_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}$ |
| Even |  | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |

Table 2
When $x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime} \neq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, let $x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$

| $\left\|U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right\|$ | $x_{0}$, and $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odd | $x_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Even |  | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |

Table 3
When $x_{0}^{\prime} \neq x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{0}^{\prime} \neq y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$

| $\left\|U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)\right\|$ | $x_{0}^{\prime}$, and $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odd | $x_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}^{\prime}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)-x_{0}^{\prime}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Even | $x_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right), x_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ |  | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime \prime} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right), x_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $\left(U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-\left\{x_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime \prime} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), x_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $\left(U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime \prime} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right), x_{0}^{\prime \prime} \notin U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime \prime} v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |

of $G_{X_{0}}$. To show that $\mathrm{O}(\Gamma)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, we can check each case listed in Tables 1-3. For instance, with the cases in Table 1, if $v \notin R_{1} \cup R_{2}, v$ has even degree in $\Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma_{2}$ or $v$ has degree 2 as a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in $X_{1}$. If $v \in R_{1}$ and $v \neq x_{0}$ (or $v \in R_{2}$ and $v \neq y_{0}$ ), then since odd number of edges incident with $v$ in $E_{1}$ are added, $v$ has an even degree in $\Gamma$. If $v=x_{0}$ (or $y_{0}$ ), by the definition of $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $e_{\Gamma_{2}}, x_{0}$ has an even degree in $\Gamma$. Hence, $\mathrm{O}(\Gamma)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, and $\Gamma$ is a spanning $\left(v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$-trail in $G_{X_{0}}$. By Lemma 2.9, $G$ has a spanning $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail containing $X$.

Case 2. One of $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ is in $X_{1}$ (say $e^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ ).
Since $e^{\prime \prime} \notin X_{1}$, we may assume that the path obtained by subdividing $e^{\prime \prime}$ is in $H_{1}$. Then $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) \in V\left(H_{1}\right)$. For this case, we only need to choose $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ to connect $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ in $\Gamma$.

For each case in Table 4, define

$$
\Gamma=G_{X_{0}}\left[E\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cup E\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup E_{1} \cup\left\{e_{\Gamma_{1}}\right\}\right] .
$$

Therefore, $\Gamma$ is a spanning connected subgraph of $G_{X_{0}}$ such that $\mathrm{O}(\Gamma)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$. The Lemma is proved.
In [14], Zhan proved the following:
Theorem 4.1 (Zhan [14]). If $G$ is a 4-edge-connected graph, then for any edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(G)$ there is a spanning $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail in $G$.

Table 4
$e^{\prime} \in X_{1}$, and $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) \in V\left(H_{1}\right)$

| $\left\|U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right\|$ | $x_{0}^{\prime}$, and $y_{0}^{\prime}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $e_{\Gamma_{1}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odd | $y_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)-y_{0}^{\prime}$ | $v\left(e^{\prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}$ |
|  | $y_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right) \cup\left\{y_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ | $v\left(e^{\prime}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}$ |
| Even | $x_{0}^{\prime} \in U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $\left(U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right)-\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ | $U_{0}\left(H_{2}\right)$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  | $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin U_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)$ | $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}, v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ | $x_{0}^{\prime} v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ |  |

Theorem 4.1 can be improved.
Theorem 4.2. Let $r \in\{3,4\}$. If $G$ is an $(r+1)$-edge-connected graph, then for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r-1$, and for any $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(G), G$ has a spanning ( $e_{1}, e_{2}$ )-trail $H$ in $G$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$.

Proof. Let $X_{0}=X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let $G_{X_{0}}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X_{0}$. Since $r \in\{3,4\}$, $k=\lfloor(r+1) / 2\rfloor=2$. Then $\left|X_{0}\right| \leqslant|X|+2 \leqslant r+1=(r+1)+k-2$. By Theorem 3.1', either $G_{X_{0}}$ is collapsible or $G_{X_{0}}$ is contractible to $K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant r$. If $G_{X_{0}}$ is collapsible, then by Lemma 2.9, $G$ has a spanning ( $e_{1}, e_{2}$ )-trail containing $X$. If $G_{X_{0}}$ is contractible to $K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant 4$, since $t \geqslant r>|X|$, by Lemma 4.0, $G$ has a spanning ( $e_{1}, e_{2}$ )-trail containing the edge set $X$.

For graphs with edge-connectivity at least 5, we have
Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be an $(r+1)$-edge-connected graph $(r \geqslant 4)$. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant r$. Then $G$ is an $r$-edge-Eulerian-connected.

Proof. Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ be two arbitrary edges in $G$ and let $X_{0}=X \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let $G_{X_{0}}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X_{0}$.

Case 1. $r \geqslant 5$.
Then $r+1 \geqslant 6$, and so $k=\lfloor(r+1) / 2\rfloor \geqslant 3$. Then $\left|X_{0}\right| \leqslant|X|+2 \leqslant r+2 \leqslant(r+1)+k-2$. By Theorem 3.1', either $G_{X_{0}}$ is collapsible or $G_{X_{0}}$ is contractible to $K_{2, t}$ with $\left|X_{0}\right| \geqslant t \geqslant(r+1)$. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 4.0, both cases imply that $G$ has a spanning ( $e_{1}, e_{2}$ )-trail $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$. Theorem 4.3 is proved for this case.

Case 2. $r=4$.
Then $G$ is 5-edge-connected and $\left|X_{0}\right| \leqslant 6$. Let $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{X_{0}}$. If $F\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2$, then $G_{X_{0}}$ is either collapsible or contractible to $K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant(r+1)$ and so we are done. Next we assume that $F\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$.
Claim. If $v \in D_{2} \subseteq V\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$, then the degree of each of the two neighbors of $v$ is greater than 2 .
Since $\delta(G) \geqslant \kappa^{\prime}(G) \geqslant 5$, each vertex of degree 2 in $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ is obtained by subdividing an edge in $X_{0}$. If a degree vertex has a neighbor which is also degree, then this will contradict to the definition of $G_{X_{0}}$.

By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right|+10 \leqslant 3\left|D_{2}\right| \leqslant 3\left|X_{0}\right| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|D_{2}\right| \leqslant 5$, then by (8), $\left|V\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|D_{2}\right| \leqslant 5$, contrary to the claim above. Therefore, $\left|D_{2}\right|=\left|X_{0}\right|=6$. By (8) and $\left|D_{2}\right|=6$,

$$
\left|V\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant 8 .
$$

Therefore, $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ is a 2-edge-connected graph with 6 vertices of degree 2 and at most two vertices of degree at least 5 . By the claim above, vertices of degree 2 are not adjacent to each other. Therefore, $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}=K_{2,6}$, contrary to $F\left(G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$. The theorem is proved.

Let $r$ be an integer. Theorem 4.2 shows that if $G$ is 4-edge-connected, then $G$ is 2-edge-Eulerian-connected. If $r \geqslant 4$ and if $G$ is $(r+1)$-edge-connected, then $G$ is $r$-edge-Eulerian-connected. Combining Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 3.0, we have:

Corollary 4.4. Let $r \geqslant 0$ be an integer. Then

$$
\xi(r)= \begin{cases}4, & 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 2 \\ r+1, & r \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

Remark. The case $\xi(3)$ is still open. Theorem 4.2 implies that if $G$ is 5 -edge-connected, then $G$ is 3 -edge-Eulerianconnected, and so $\xi(3) \leqslant 5$. We conjecture that $\xi(3)=4$. The following theorem provides some supports for this conjecture.

Theorem 4.5. Let $G$ be a 4-edge-connected graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leqslant 3$. For any two adjacent edges $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}, G$ has a spanning $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$.

Proof. Let $X_{0}=X \cup\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Let $G_{X_{0}}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge in $X_{0}$. Let $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the two vertices obtained in the process of subdividing $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$. If $G_{X_{0}}$ is collapsible, then $G_{X_{0}}$ has a spanning connected subgraph $H$ such that $\mathrm{O}(H)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma 2.9, $G$ has a spanning $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail containing $X$. We are done in this case. Next, we assume that $G_{X_{0}}$ is not collapsible.

Let $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G_{X_{0}}$. By Theorem 3.7, either $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant 4$ or $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ is Eulerian with $V\left(G_{X_{0}}\right)=$ $D_{2} \cup D_{4}$ and $\left|D_{2}\right|=5$, where $D_{i}$ is the set of vertices of degree $i$ in $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$. If $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}=K_{2, t}$ with $t \geqslant 4$, then by Lemma 4.0, $G$ has a spanning ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail $H$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$. We are done for this case.

For the case that $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}$ is Eulerian, let $v$ be the vertex incident with both $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$. Let $e_{1}=v\left(e^{\prime}\right) v$ and $e_{2}=v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) v$. Then $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is connected. Otherwise, $\left\{e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is an edge cut of $G$, contrary to that $G$ is 4-edge-connected. Therefore, $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is a connected graph with only two odd degree vertices at $v\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and $v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $U_{4}=\{u \in$ $D_{4}: u$ is a non-trivial contraction\}. For each vertex $u \in U_{4}$, let $H(u)$ be the preimage of $u$ in $G_{X_{0}}$. Then $H(u)$ is collapsible. Let

$$
V_{u}=\left\{x \in V(H(u)): x \text { is incident with odd number of edges in } G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right\}
$$

Since $d(u)$ in $G_{X_{0}}^{\prime}-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is even, $\left|V_{u}\right|$ is even or 0 . Since $H(u)$ is collapsible, $H(u)$ has a spanning connected subgraph $\Gamma_{u}$ such that $O\left(\Gamma_{u}\right)=V_{u}$. Let $E_{0}=E\left(G_{X_{0}}\right)-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and let

$$
\Gamma=G_{X_{0}}\left[\bigcup_{u \in U_{4}} E\left(\Gamma_{u}\right) \cup E_{0}\right]
$$

Then $\Gamma$ is a spanning connected subgraph of $G_{X_{0}}$ such that $\mathrm{O}(\Gamma)=\left\{v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$. Therefore, $G_{X_{0}}$ has a spanning ( $v\left(e^{\prime}\right), v\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ )-trail. By Lemma 2.9, $G$ has a spanning ( $\left.e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail containing $X$. The proof is complete.
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