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A recent perusal of Webster's Third New International Dictionary has uncovered a number of pseudo-plurals and quasi-pseudo-plurals that were not included in my article in the last issue of this journal (Word Ways 44.2). These new examples are listed in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively:

Figure 1: English pseudo-plurals:

| Noun A |  | Noun B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIVE: | 'the act of diving' | DIVES | 'a rich man' |
| PE | 'a Hebrew letter' | PeS | 'part of the hind limb of a vertebrate' |
| SPECIE | 'money in coin' | SPECIES | 'a category of biological classification' |
| TAP | 'a light rap' | raps | 'a bugle call' |
| TAW | 'a marble' | raws | 'a whip' |
| TOOT | 'a blast on a wind instrument' | roots | 'a woman, girl' |
| YAW | 'an angular displacement' | YAWS | 'an infectious disease' |

Figure 2: English quasi-pseudo-plurals:

| Noun A |  | Noun B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALA | 'a Sumerian drum' | ALAS | 'an Indonesian people' |
| BU | 'a Japanese coin' | BuS | 'a large motorized vehicle' |
| CRU | 'a French vineyard' | CRUS | 'a part of the leg' |
| DA | 'Brit. dial., father' | DAS | 'Hindu slave' |
| KA | 'the soul of a dead person' | KAS | 'a Dutch cupboard' |
| KAMIA | 'an Indian people of California' | Kamias | 'East Indian evergreen tree' |
| LI | 'a virtue in Confucianism' | LIS | 'a flower' |
| MANA | 'a supernatural force' | MANAS | 'mental perception in Hinduism' |
| MANU | 'a progenitor of humans in Hinduism' | manus | 'an Austronesian language' |
| marque | 'a brand of a product' | marques | 'a marquess in Sp. speaking countries' |
| MONA | 'a West African monkey' | MONAS | 'a genus of flagellates' |
| NAI | 'a barber caste of Hindus' | NAIS | 'a river nymph' |
| NAIA | 'a genus of snakes' | NAIAS | 'a genus of aquatic plants' |
| NAO | 'a sailing ship' | NAOS | 'an ancient temple' |
| PU | 'Scot. var. of pull' | PUS | 'a month of the Hindu calendar' |
| RAIA | 'an Indian prince' | RAJAS | 'on of the three gunas in Hinduism' |
| SANTO | 'a saint' | Santos | 'Brazilian coffee' |
| SI | 'a musical note' | sis | 'sister' |
| TAXI | 'a cab' | TAXIS | 'the restoration of a body part' |

The examples listed above confirm my impressionistic view that (in English) it is easier to find quasi-pseudo-plurals than pseudo-plurals.

As I explained in my earlier article, examples like the ones in Figure 2 do not qualify as pseudoplurals because they are not pronounced as pseudo-plurals. In particular, the final $-S$ in the words in the Noun B column of Figure 2 is pronounced as [s] and not as [z], which is the normal realization for the plural marker -S after a singular word ending in a vowel, e.g. LLAMA~ LLAmAS.

In my earlier article I was only concerned with the pronunciation of the final -S in the word in the Noun B column above, not with the material preceding that letter. I refer henceforth to that part of the word as the 'root'. A close look at the examples in Figure 1 reveals that the root vowel is the same in certain pairs of words, while it is different in others. Consider TAP~TAPS and TOOT-TOOTS. Clearly, TAPS and TOOTS are pseudo-plurals because the -S is pronounced as [s], as it is in true plurals after P or T (e.g. CAPS, CATS). However, the two pairs differ in terms of the pronunciation of the respective root vowels: In TAP~TAPS the vowels are pronounced the same in both members of the pair, but in тоот-TOOTS the vowels are not the same. I refer henceforth to the former as a 'pseudoplural' and to the latter as a 'semi-pseudo-plural'. The same dichotomy holds for quasi-pseudoplurals: In CRU~CRUS and TAXI~TAXIS from Figure 2 we are clearly not dealing with pseudo-plurality because the -S in CRUS and TAXIS is pronounced as [s], but only CRUS is a true 'quasi-pseudo-plural' because the spoken vowel in CRU and CRUS is the same. By contrast, the example TAXIS is 'semi-quasi-pseudo-plural' because the vowels in TAXIS are not pronounced the same as the vowels in TAXI.

The upshot of the preceding discussion is that it is now possible to establish a much more finegrained classification of (quasi)-(pseudo)-plurality:

```
plural:
    DOG~DOGS
pseudo-plural: TAP~TAPS
semi-pseudo-plural: TOOT~TOOTS
quasi-pseudo-plural: CRU~CRUS
semi-quasi-pseudo-plural: TAXI~TAXIS
```

I make no attempt here to calculate the number of 'quasis' in Figures 1 and 2 or in my previous article.

