#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# Variation in migration behaviors used by Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) breeding across a wide latitudinal gradient

Joanna B. Wong<sup>1,2</sup> · Simeon Lisovski<sup>3</sup> · Ray T. Alisauskas<sup>4,5</sup> · Willow English<sup>6</sup> · Autumn-Lynn Harrison<sup>7</sup> · Dana K. Kellett<sup>4</sup> · Mark Maftei<sup>8</sup> · Avery Nagy-MacArthur<sup>9</sup> · Robert A. Ronconi<sup>10</sup> · Paul A. Smith<sup>11</sup> · Mark L. Mallory<sup>9</sup> · Marie Auger-Méthé<sup>1,12</sup>

Received: 28 October 2021 / Revised: 1 April 2022 / Accepted: 1 April 2022 / Published online: 23 April 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### Abstract

Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) share a few routes to undertake the longest annual migrations of any organism. To understand how the wide spatial range of their breeding colonies may affect their migration strategies (e.g., departure date), we tracked 53 terns from five North American colonies distributed across 30° of latitude and 90° of longitude. While birds from all colonies arrived in Antarctic waters at a similar time, terns nesting in the Arctic colonies migrated back north more slowly and arrived to their breeding grounds later than those nesting in the colony farther south. Arrival dates in Antarctic waters coincided with the start of favorable foraging conditions (i.e., increased ocean productivity), and similarly arrival dates at breeding colonies coincided with the start of local favorable breeding conditions (i.e., disappearance of snow and ice). Larger birds followed a more direct southbound migration route than smaller birds. On both southbound and northbound migrations, daily distances traveled declined as time spent in contact with the ocean increased, suggesting a trade-off between resting/foraging and traveling. There was more unexplained variation in behavior among individuals than among colonies, and one individual had a distinctive stop around Brazil. Terns nesting in the Arctic have a narrow time window for breeding that will likely increase with continuing declines in sea ice and snow. Departing Arctic Terns likely have few clues about the environmental conditions they will encounter on arrival, and their response to environmental changes at both poles may be assisted by large individual variation in migration strategy.

Keywords Migration · Arctic Terns · Individual variation · Phenology · Timing · Latitudinal variation

Marie Auger-Méthé auger-methe@stat.ubc.ca

- <sup>1</sup> Institute for the Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Bird Migration, Swiss Ornithological Institute, 6204 Sempach, Switzerland
- <sup>3</sup> Alfred Wegener Institut Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Polar Terrestrial Environmental Systems, 14473 Potsdam, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Science and Technology Branch, Prairie and Northern Wildlife Research Centre, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Saskatoon, SK S7N 0X4, Canada
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E2, Canada
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biology, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada

- <sup>7</sup> Migratory Bird Center, Smithsonian's National Zoo and Conservation Biology Institute, Washington, DC 20013-7012, USA
- <sup>8</sup> High Arctic Gull Research Group, Bamfield, BC V0R 3A0, Canada
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Biology, Acadia University, Wolfville, NS B4P 2R6, Canada
- <sup>10</sup> Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Dartmouth, NS B2Y 2N6, Canada
- <sup>11</sup> Wildlife Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

#### Introduction

Trans-equatorial migratory seabirds cover vast distances at sea, with the Arctic Tern (Sterna paradisaea) known for the longest migration of any organism (Egevang et al. 2010; Fijn et al. 2013; Wong et al. 2021). Using miniaturized light-level geolocators, researchers have shown that Arctic Terns display seasonally distinct migration strategies (McKnight et al. 2013; Hromádková et al. 2020) and that individuals from distant breeding colonies can share similar migration routes (Wong et al. 2021). However, these studies have primarily focused on inferring population-level movements of Arctic Terns and identifying key marine habitats used in migration (Egevang et al. 2010; Fijn et al. 2013; McKnight et al. 2013; U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2013; Alerstam et al. 2019; Hromádková et al. 2020; Redfern and Bevan 2020; Wong et al. 2021), with less attention paid to the potential effects of latitudinal differences in breeding sites and individuals' physical characteristics on migratory behavior and phenology.

Arctic Terns display important seasonal differences in migration behavior. Southbound migratory behavior appears to be driven primarily by the phenology and distribution of food-rich marine stopover sites, with terns stopping frequently to refuel (McKnight et al. 2013). In contrast, during the northbound migration, terns use strong tailwinds to travel back to their breeding grounds quickly, a pattern presumably driven by a need to secure favorable nesting sites and initiate breeding as early as possible (Hromádková et al. 2020). Many other long-distance migratory birds have faster northbound than southbound migrations and take migration routes that favor foraging or weather conditions (Nilsson et al. 2013; Hahn et al. 2014; Horton et al. 2016).

Arctic Terns from different colonies vary in when they cross important migratory landmarks (Wong et al. 2021), suggesting that breeding location may affect their migratory behavior. The influence of breeding latitude on migratory patterns of birds varies considerably (Fifield et al. 2014; Ramos et al. 2015; Neufeld et al. 2021). Migration distance affects whether a species is likely to use a time- or energy-minimizing strategy (Anderson et al. 2019). Within species, the association between breeding site latitude and migration patterns is less clear. For example, while breeding latitude has no effect on the southbound departure dates of Herring Gulls (Larus smithsoniansus; Anderson et al. 2020), Bar-tailed Godwits (Limosa lapponica baueri) that nest farther north depart later (Conklin et al. 2010). Arctic Terns breed in the Northern hemisphere across a large latitudinal range (~ $40^{\circ}$ , Hatch et al. 2020). We expect that this wide latitudinal range may explain some of the observed variation in migration timing (Wong et al.

2021), especially since breeding and foraging opportunities for birds nesting in the Arctic are more time constrained than those of birds nesting in temperate zones due to factors such as later melt of snow and sea ice farther north (e.g., Mallory and Forbes 2007).

Variation in migratory behavior among individuals is known to have important relationships with the survival and reproduction of birds. For example, a bird's arrival date to its nesting site can be linked to its probability of breeding (Bêty et al. 2004), and the previous or current year's breeding status of an individual can modulate its migration pattern (Catry et al. 2013; Bogdanova et al. 2017). Consequently, understanding individual variation in migratory behavior can provide important insights into the potential for migration patterns to have carry-over effects into the breeding season and the reproductive health of a population. Individual variation in migratory behavior can be inferred from tracking devices through differences in movements (e.g., daily distance covered), behaviors (e.g., contact with water as indication of foraging/resting), and timing (e.g., start date, duration; Phillips et al. 2017).

To understand the factors that explain spatial and temporal variations in Arctic Tern migratory behavior, we deployed geolocators and analyzed the movement tracks and activity patterns of 53 nesting individuals from five breeding colonies across Canada and Alaska, USA. For both southbound and northbound migrations, we determined the factors that best explained: (1) migration-level differences in departure dates, arrival dates, duration, total distance traveled, and time spent with their leg immersed in salt water and (2) daily-level differences in distance traveled and time spent with their leg immersed in salt water. Our study provides a novel examination of how intraspecies differences in breeding site latitude affects migratory behavior, as well as insights into individual migratory variation that is often overlooked by population-level descriptions of migration routes.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### **Data collection**

We tagged 108 nesting Arctic Terns from five breeding colonies (four Arctic colonies and one temperate colony) in North America: Alpine, Alaska (70.35° N, 151.03° W, n=23), Country Island, Nova Scotia (45.06° N, 61.32° W, n=25), East Bay, Nunavut (64.01° N, 82.07° W, n=20), Karrak Lake, Nunavut (67.25° N, 100.25° W, n=10), and Nasaruvaalik Island, Nunavut (75.83° N, 96.30° W, n=30, Fig. 1). In this paper, we refer to the four colonies studied in Alaska, USA and Nunavut, Canada as the "Arctic colonies." We used bownets and attempted to capture nesting

Fig. 1 Locations of the five Arctic Tern (Sterna paradisaea) breeding colonies where usable tags were deployed and retrieved, showing the number of tags with usable light data at each colony. Colony coordinates: Alpine, Alaska, USA (70.35° N, 151.03° W), Country Island, Nova Scotia, Canada (45.06° N, 61.32° W), East Bay, Nunavut, Canada (64.01° N, 82.07° W), Karrak Lake, Nunavut, Canada (67.25° N, 100.25° W), and Nasaruvaalik Island, Nunavut, Canada (75.83° N, 96.30° W)



terns during late incubation (June-July) to minimize the risk of nest abandonment. Birds were first captured in 2017 (see Wong et al. (2021) for details) and fitted with an Intigeo archival light-level geolocator (GLS; model W65 Migrate Technology Ltd., Cambridge, UK; 1 g total mass, <2% of tern's body mass) attached to a Darvic color band on the tarsus. All birds also received a United States Geological Survey/Canadian Wildlife Service (USGS/CWS) metal leg band. The tags were attached with metal contact pins (used to record conductivity and to connect to a computer interface) facing up toward the bird's body to limit abrasion by contact with rocks. Intigeo tags recorded light intensities (lux) once every 5 min, as well as minimum and maximum temperatures (Celsius), number of salt water immersions (dry = 0, wet = 1), and maximum conductivity levels every 4 h (range 0–127). We recaptured tagged terns in 2018 and 2019 following their return to the breeding sites. We recorded multiple morphological measurements for most birds (see Wong et al. 2021) but selected total head length (cm) and body mass (g) as indices of body size. For Arctic Terns, head length differs between sexes and has been used as a proxy of structural size, while mass has been used as a proxy of body condition (Devlin et al. 2004; Mallory et al. 2017; Baak et al. 2020), and although the values of these indices vary among individuals, they did not differ across colonies (Online Resource). While we did not systematically assess the nesting success of the terns we tagged, many of them were observed on their nests after tagging. Some field teams confirmed that none of the tagged birds abandoned their nest (e.g., Alaska), and similarly no abandonment after banding was observed in our previous work on Country and Nasaruvaalik Islands. All research was conducted under approved animal care protocols (Acadia University ACC 04-17; National Zoological Park ACUC Protocols 15-20, 18-06) and scientific permits (e.g., Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) Banding: 10694, Government of Nunavut Wildlife License 2018-038; US Federal Bird Banding Permit: 09700, Alaska Scientific Permits: 17-162, 18-156).

#### **Data processing**

We recovered 58 of the 108 deployed GLS tags, but only 53 of these tags contained usable data: Alpine, AK (n=8), Country Island, NS (n=15), East Bay, NU (n=7), Karrak Lake, NU (n=4), and Nasaruvaalik Island, NU (n=19). While 28 of the 53 tags were recovered in the second year (July 2019; Alpine, AK: n=2; Country Island, NS: n=2; East Bay, NU: n=5; Nasaruvaalik Island, NU: n=19), we only used the data from the first migration cycle for our analyses since the tags recovered in the second year stopped recording data partway through the second migration cycle (October–November 2018). One individual from East Bay, NU and one from Country Island, NS were missing some of the physical measurements and thus any statistical analysis requiring these covariates (see below) excluded these two individuals.

To investigate differences in behaviors along migratory routes, we analyzed the wet/dry readings recorded from legmounted tags. Intigeo tags recorded a 'wet' reading every 30 s and summed these readings across 4-h intervals (up to a maximum of 480 wet readings per 4 h). These wet readings only recorded immersion in salt water, as determined by conductivity levels > 63. Thus, we interpreted these wet readings as the animal landing on, or plunge diving in, the ocean or any behavior resulting in their leg being immersed in salt water. In our analyses, we summed wet readings over a period of 24 h, which we refer throughout as daily numbers of wets. This 24-h period is based on the pre-set times of the loggers rather than based on calendar days. For individuals with extended "dry periods" (i.e., more than one day with zero wet readings), we also explored the raw conductivity data to assess whether some of these dry periods were in fact spent in contact with fresh or brackish water (conductivity levels between 1 and 63).

We used the R packages *TwGeos* (Wotherspoon et al. 2016) and SGAT (Sumner et al. 2009; Lisovski and Hahn 2012; Lisovski et al. 2020) to estimate Arctic Tern locations from the raw light, immersion, and salt water temperature data collected by the tags; see Wong et al. (2021) for details. Briefly, SGAT uses a Bayesian framework to combine tag data with prior information on (i) the twilight error distribution and (ii) the flight speed distribution, as well as (iii) a land and sea surface temperature (SST) mask based on satellite maps from NOAA (Reynolds et al. 2007) to sample the most likely locations of each individual. SST/land masks generally assigned very low probability to terrestrial locations. However, when an individual's tag did not record salt water readings for more than three days, higher probability was assigned to locations on land. In addition, for individuals assessed to be dry for multiple days, we fitted the model without the SST/land mask to visually assess the likelihood of terrestrial locations.

For all of our analyses, we defined southbound and northbound migrations as travel between the breeding colony in the northern hemisphere and the crossing of 54° S. To differentiate foraging trips while at colonies from the start of the southbound migration, we detected when individuals crossed a 300-km buffer around each colony for the last time. We chose a 300-km buffer as it is close to the median daily distance traveled by terns in this study during their southbound migration (293 km day<sup>-1</sup>). We chose 54° S as it removed the wintering behavior of all birds in our study (i.e., it removed multi-day clusters of locations in Antarctica). Thus, migration here excluded movement in the northern breeding grounds and in the Antarctic non-breeding grounds. Travel distances were calculated using the great elliptic distance between two consecutive positions, with the function 'distVincentyEllipsoid' in the R package geosphere (Hijmans et al. 2021). Since positions were predicted at the time of sunset and sunrise, the time of day associated with the locations differed each day. To have consistent measures of distance moved per day and to be able to link distance moved with behavioral measures, we interpolated the daily distance traveled to the pre-set times of the wet readings.

This study focuses on investigating factors that affect the total distance traveled and the duration of the migration. However, impediments to light-based geolocation during the polar summers (i.e., when there is less than 2-h darkness) precluded having reliable locations at the start and end of

the tracks for many individuals (Wong et al. 2021), resulting in truncated migrations. To correct for these data gaps and standardize the treatment of the data for all birds, we estimated the missing distance traveled as the straight-line distance between the last (for southbound, first for northbound) reliable migration location outside the 300-km buffer and the colony. Similarly, we estimated the missing distance traveled in the Southern hemisphere as the straight-line distance between the first (for southbound, last for northbound) location north of 54° S and the closest point on the 54th parallel. To correct and standardize dates of departure/arrival and thus migration duration, we estimated how many days were required to travel that straight-line distance if they traveled 300 km day<sup>-1</sup>. The 300-km speed value we selected could impact our estimated departure/arrival dates and duration of migration and thus any further analyses. To assess the impact of this value on our results, we explored using unique speed values estimated for each individual (Online Resource).

## Potential drivers of migration-level behavioral differences

To investigate differences in migration behaviors for both the southbound and northbound migrations, we examined factors associated with five migration metrics: start date, end date, duration, distance traveled, and mean number of wet readings per day (higher values can be interpreted as an animal spending more time in behaviors that result in its leg-mounted tag being immersed in salt water). We first assessed whether migration metrics differed across colonies using ANOVAs.

To understand the potential drivers of colony and individual differences, we also assessed whether these five migration metrics were related to the latitude of the breeding colony, the total head length (a proxy of structural size), and body mass (a proxy of body condition). Because only a small portion of individuals was genetically sexed, we could not explore sexual differences directly. We hypothesized that individuals spending more time landing, plunge diving, or more generally with their leg immersed in the ocean would take longer to complete their migration. Thus, we additionally assessed whether start date, end date, duration, and distance traveled were associated with the mean number of wet readings per day.

For the independent analysis of each migration metric, we use the R packages *nlme* (Pinheiro et al. 2021) and *MuMIn* (Bartoń 2020) to fit the full range of linear mixedeffect models and to model-average parameter estimates. We checked for correlation between covariates, which were all lower than 0.7 and thus deemed to be independent predictor variables (Dormann et al. 2013). To facilitate comparison of the effects of covariates, we centered and scaled all covariates. To ensure that the effect of colony latitude was not confounded by other potential colony differences (e.g., local habitat conditions) or the ocean taken to complete migration (see Wong et al. 2021), we added ocean/colony as nested random effects.

#### Potential drivers for daily-level movement and behavioral differences

To understand differences in daily migratory behavior, we explored the potential factors associated with the daily distance traveled and daily number of wet readings. We investigated the potential associations of these two metrics with the latitude of the individual's colony, its head length, and its body mass. For daily distance, we were also interested in knowing whether it was associated with the amount of time spent with their legs immersed in salt water. For both southbound and northbound migrations, we used linear mixed-effects models. Since each individual is likely to have similar behaviors on consecutive days, we expected (and observed) autocorrelation in the time series of daily values of distance moved and number of wet readings for each individual. To account for this temporal autocorrelation, we added a correlation structure (using 'corAR1' argument in the *lme* function of the *nlme* R package) to the mixed-effects models (Pinheiro et al. 2021). To account for individual and colony variability in daily movement not explained by our covariates, we used an individual random effect nested within a colony random effect (i.e., colony/ individual). Our original full linear mixed-effects models showed clear trends in the residuals that suggested changes in the variance (e.g., heteroscedasticity; Schielzeth et al. 2020). To account for this violation of model assumptions, we added a power variance structure to the model (using function 'varPower' in the nlme R package; Pinheiro et al. 2021). As for the migration-level analyses, we used the R packages *nlme* and *MuMIn* to fit the full range of daily-level linear mixed-effect models and to modelaverage parameter estimates. Given that daily numbers of wets were count data, we reproduced these analyses using a variety of generalized linear mixed-effect models (for details, see Online Resource). We again checked for correlation between covariates and centered and scaled all covariates.

All means are presented  $\pm$  standard deviation.

#### Results

### Difference in duration between southbound and northbound migrations

For all colonies, Arctic Terns spent more days migrating south than north (Fig. 2A) and, similarly, total distance



**Fig. 2** Differences between the southbound and northbound migrations in terms of duration, total distance traveled (sum of path segments), and average number of times the tag recorded contact with salt water per day. The boxplots display the results from 53 individual Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) tracked from five North American breeding colonies (CI=Country Island, NS, 45° N, n=15; EB=East Bay, NU, 64° N, n=7; KL=Karrak Lake, NU 67° N, n=4; A=Alpine, AK, 70° N, n=8; NI=Nasaruvaalik Island, NU, 76° N, n=19), as well as the overall results for all colonies. Center lines of the boxplots represent the median, and the boxes themselves represent the interquartile range (IQR). Whiskers extend to 1.5 times the IQR, and the black points beyond these whiskers are the outliers

 Table 1
 ANOVA results for the assessment of whether the five colonies of Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) significantly differed in the five migration-level behavioral metrics

Behavioral metric	Southbou	ınd	Northbound		
	F	р	F	р	
Start date	27.24	< 0.0001	12.82	< 0.0001	
End date	0.97*	0.4335	69.41	< 0.0001	
Duration	2.94*	0.0298	19.54*	< 0.0001	
Total distance	2.04	0.1042	13.96	< 0.0001	
Average wets per day	4.43**	0.0040	1.40	0.2498	

See Table A2 for the equivalent results using the Kruskal–Wallis test \*Patterns in the residuals indicate slight deviation from Normality \*\*Levene's test indicates that there may be heteroscedasticity

traveled was longer during the southbound than northbound migration (Fig. 2B). On average, Arctic Terns spent  $90 \pm 9$  days and traveled  $33,806 \pm 2839$  km on their southbound migration, while they spent  $48 \pm 12$  days and traveled  $22,906 \pm 3473$  km on their northbound migration. The total number of wet readings per individual was higher

Fig. 3 Variation in the southbound migration-level behavioral metrics (A, B start date, C, D end date, E, F duration, G, H total distance traveled (sum of path segments), and I, J average number of wet readings per day) and relationship with the factors that may affect them (Lat. = colony latitude, Head = head length, Mass, and Wets = average number of wet readings per day). Panels A, C, E, G, and I represent the model-averaged coefficient estimates and their 95% confidence intervals. Panels B, D, F, H, and J represent the variation in the behavioral metrics for tracked Arctic Terns (Sterna paradisaea) across their different breeding colonies, ordered by colony latitude (CI=Country Island, NS, 45° N; EB = East Bay, NU, 64° N; KL = Karrak Lake, NU,  $67^{\circ}$  N; A = Alpine, AK, 70° N; NI = Nasaruvaalik Island, NU, 76° N)



during the southbound migration compared to northbound migration (southbound: mean =  $30,185 \pm 12,944$  wets; northbound:  $23,368 \pm 9554$  wets). However, the individual variation was large and 21 individuals (40%) had a higher total number of wet readings during their northbound migration. In addition, terns had higher mean number of wet readings per day during their northbound migration compared to their southbound migration (Fig. 2C).

### Potential drivers of migration-level behavioral differences

For the southbound migration, colonies significantly differed in terms of start date, duration, and average wet readings per day (Table 1, Fig. 3B, F, J), but not in terms of end date and total distance traveled (Table 1, Fig. 3D, H). For the northbound migration, colonies significantly differed in terms of start date, end date, duration, and total distance traveled (Table 1, Fig. 4B, D, F, H), but not in terms of average wets per day (Table 1, Fig. 4J). We found only two significant relationships explaining the variation in migration-level behavioral metrics. The first was a negative relationship between head length and total distance traveled during the southbound migration (Fig. 3G), indicating that larger terms covered less distance in their migration to Antarctica. The second was a positive relationship between colony latitude and the end date of the northbound migration (Fig. 4C), indicating that terms breeding at higher latitudes arrived later at their breeding grounds. While not statistically significant, we found similar positive relationships between colony latitude and both duration and distance traveled during the northbound migration (Fig. 4E, G).

Fig. 4 Variation in the northbound migration-level behavioral metrics (A, B start date, C, D end date, E, F duration, G, H distance, and I, J average number of wet readings per day) and relationship with the factors that may affect them (Lat. = colony latitude, Head = head length, Mass, and Wets = average number of wet readings per day). Panels A, C, E, G, and I represent the modelaveraged coefficient estimates and their 95% confidence intervals. Panels B. D. F. H. and J represent the variation in the behavioral metrics for tracked Arctic Terns (Sterna paradisaea) across their different breeding colonies, ordered by colony latitude (CI=Country Island, NS, 45° N; EB = East Bay, NU, 64° N; KL = Karrak Lake, NU,  $67^{\circ}$  N; A = Alpine, AK, 70° N; NI = Nasaruvaalik Island, NU, 76° N)



#### Potential drivers for daily-level movement and behavioral differences

### Individual variation

At the daily level, we found three significant relationships explaining variation in daily distance traveled. The first two were negative relationships between numbers of daily wets and daily distance traveled for both the southbound and northbound migrations (Fig. 5A, C), indicating that on days where terns spent more time with their legs immersed in salt water, they traveled less distance. The third was a negative relationship between colony latitude and daily distance on the northbound migration (Fig. 5C), indicating that terns breeding farther north covered less distance daily. There were no significant relationships explaining variation in the daily number of wets (Fig. 5B, D, Online Resource). While we did not find any significant relationships between individual physical characteristics (head length or mass) and daily migratory behavior (Fig. 5), the standard deviations of the individual random effects suggested substantial individual variation (Table 2). The standard deviations of the individual random effects for the two full models of daily number of wets were larger than the standard deviations of the colony random effects and the standard deviation associated with the residuals (Table 2). These results indicate that there was more unexplained variation among individuals than among colonies and that the variation between individuals accounted for a large part of the overall daily variation in daily wets. Such individual variation can be visualized through differences in movement and patterns in the wet readings (Fig. 6). Fig. 5 Relationships (coefficients and 95% confidence intervals) between daily-level behavioral metrics measured for Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) and the factors that may affect them (Col. lat. = colony latitude, D. wets = daily wets, Head = head size, Mass)



Table 2The standard deviationsof the random effects and errorof the full models for dailymovement and behavioralmetrics of Arctic Terns (Sternaparadisaea)

Response	Covariates	Southbound			Northbound		
		Colony	ID	Residual	Colony	ID	Residual
Daily distance	Colony latitude, daily wets, head length, mass	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.56	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.63
Daily wets	Colony latitude, head length, mass	1.17	123.26	13.83	0.13	151.59	26.82

The random effects for all models were defined as an individual random effect nested with a colony random effect

Such individual variation was exemplified by one individual (BF423 from Nasaruvaalik Island, NU) that exhibited a long period of "dry" travel (i.e., without immersing their leg in salt water) and had movement patterns that suggested it likely spent part of this time in eastern Brazil during its southbound migration (Fig. 7). This presumed period of time on, or near, land was supported by an absence of wet recordings across an 8-d span. When using the SST/land mask to estimate the locations, only two daily locations fell on land (Fig. 7A, B). However, this SST/land mask assigns low probability to land locations and cannot account for higher water temperatures present in shallow and protected waters. When estimating the locations without using the SST/land mask, all eight daily locations associated with zero daily wet readings, and an additional 27 locations, fell on land (Fig. 7C, D). The tag recorded wet readings only when it was immersed in salt water. However, the maximum conductivity data showed that 22 out of 43 readings during this eight-day period were completely dry. The remaining 21 readings were associated with fresh or brackish water, suggesting

that the tag may have been immersed in water from an estuary, lake, or river or was in contact with rain. While this eight-day period comprised only 7% of all days with conductivity data (8 out 116 days), it contained 55% of all conductivity readings exactly equal to zero (i.e., completely dry). The southbound migration of this individual was estimated to be 120 days, the longest estimated southbound migration duration of all birds in our study (mean for all colonies:  $86 \pm 10$  days; mean for Nasaruvaalik Island colony:  $83 \pm 11$  days). Despite departing from the breeding colony at a similar date (August 24) as other Nasaruvaalik Island individuals (mean: August  $22 \pm 4$  days), BF423 was the last individual to arrive at the non-breeding grounds by over 1 month (estimated arrival date of December 23 compared to mean of November  $14 \pm 13$  days for Nasaruvaalik Island colony and November  $10 \pm 10$  days for all colonies). With the exception of one additional individual (BF334), for which the raw conductivity data suggested that water may have infiltrated the tag, all the other individuals immersed their leg in salt water at least a few times each day.



**Fig. 6** Southbound (**A**–**C**) and northbound (**D**–**F**) migration of three Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) demonstrating individual differences in migration behavior. BF426 from Country Island, NS (**A**, **D**),

BF369 from East Bay, NU (**B**, **E**), and BF432 from Karrak Lake, NU (**C**, **F**). The color scale represents the number of wet readings per day. Each triangle represents the breeding colony of the individual



Fig. 7 Southbound migration of BF423, an individual Arctic Tern (*Sterna paradisaea*) that spent extended time around Brazil. Panels **A**, **B** represent the movement track estimated using the SST/land mask, while panels **C**, **D** represent the movement track estimated without the SST/land mask. Panels **A** and **C** show the complete track, while panels **B** and **D** focus on the area around Brazil where there

were multiple days without immersions in salt water. Panel E shows the time series of wet readings of this individual. The color scale represents the number of wet readings per day, specifically the number of wet readings in salt water. The black and white points highlight the days without any readings in salt water

#### Discussion

Collectively, our tracking of Arctic Terns from five colonies across North America confirms that terns generally take a relatively shorter, quicker northbound migration to arrive at colonies at different times, compared to a longer, slower, southbound migration which allows birds from most colonies to arrive in the Antarctic at approximately the same time. However, despite the thousands of kilometers and the tens of degrees of latitude separating colonies, southbound migration behavior was generally similar across colonies, and northbound behavior differed principally for the southernmost colony, while birds from the Arctic colonies exhibited similar migration metrics. In contrast, our results suggest a high level of individual variability in migratory behavior and that larger birds may use more direct southbound routes. Our study is the first to provide evidence suggesting that an individual Arctic Tern can spend an extended period around Brazil, with periods on land and in contact with fresh or brackish water, during migration.

Many bird species, including Arctic Terns, exhibit a slower southbound migration and a faster northbound migration (Egevang et al. 2010; Fijn et al. 2013; Nilsson et al. 2013; Schmaljohann 2018; Alerstam et al. 2019; Hromádková et al. 2020). Rapid northbound migrations and early arrival at breeding sites are generally attributed to competition for, and early selection of, nest sites at the beginning of the breeding season (Bêty et al. 2004; Smith and Moore 2005) and are linked to increased reproduction and chick survival (Smith and Moore 2005), which in turn has important carry-over fitness consequences for birds (Catry et al. 2013; Bogdanova et al. 2017). Arctic Terns prioritize favorable tailwind support over food availability during the northbound migration (Hromádková et al. 2020), suggesting benefits to early arrival at breeding sites. In contrast, slower southbound migration has been attributed to longer time spent at stopover sites, where they rest and refuel (Schmaljohann 2018). For Arctic Terns breeding in Svalbard, Norway, or Alaska, USA, food-rich stopover sites were also the primary driver of their routes during southbound migration (McKnight et al. 2013; Hromádková et al. 2020). Thus, the phenological differences in migration timing observed in this study further support that migration strategies for many birds are seasonally specific and likely driven by spatiotemporal patterns in ocean productivity during their southbound journey and a need to arrive quickly at their breeding grounds during their northbound journey.

While the movement patterns we observed indicate that terns may be foraging and resting more during the southbound migration compared to northbound migration, terns appeared to spend less of their day landing on the ocean or plunge diving during the southbound migration. One interpretation of these results could be that terns undertook a more indirect southbound route, including spending time at important foraging sites (Davies et al. 2021; Wong et al. 2021), but that they spent more time flying in search of food and/or foraging in flight (e.g., via contact dipping, Holbech et al. 2018), thus foraging without immersing their legs in the ocean. Using such foraging behaviors would cause wet readings to underestimate the time birds spend foraging (McKnight et al. 2013). Further exploring terns' foraging behavior during migration, including the importance of contact dipping, would require either direct visual observations or higher quality and resolution movement data such as those collected by GPS and multi-sensor tags (e.g., Liechti et al. 2018; Corbeau et al. 2020).

While the estimated start date of the southbound and northbound migrations differed significantly between colonies, surprisingly there were no significant relationships between the estimated start date and colony latitude, suggesting that other factors may have been more important in driving the start of migration. For southbound migration, the timing of departure from colonies may be more flexible than the timing of arrival at key feeding (refueling) areas to match peak food availability (Egevang et al. 2010; Davies et al. 2021). The estimated end date of the southbound migration did not differ among colonies, suggesting that all birds may be targeting arrival in Antarctica around November, just when the austral summer and broad scale increases in marine productivity begin (Smith Jr et al. 2000; Ducklow et al. 2001; Park et al. 2017). Due to the limitations of lightbased geolocation during polar summers, the start of the southbound migration and the end of the northbound migration were truncated for many individuals, and our correction provided coarse estimates of these dates (Online Resource). As such, further studies may be required to disentangle finer patterns in migration phenology. Such studies would be facilitated by the use of more accurate positioning technologies, including the use of newly developed small and long-lasting GPS units (see Seward et al. 2021 and Morten et al. 2022 for GPS data recorded from Arctic Terns during the breeding period).

The duration, distance traveled, and end date of the northbound migration differed significantly among colonies. These three metrics were all positively related to colony latitude, although only the relationship with end date was significant. In addition, at the daily level, colony latitude had a negative effect on daily distances covered during the northbound migration, suggesting that arrival at breeding colonies may be driven by local conditions, rather than by the distance to colonies. Overall, birds breeding in Country Island, NS traveled farther each day and arrived at their colony at least two weeks earlier than all of the Arctic colonies.

We expected these later arrival dates at Arctic colonies, given that snow melts at nesting sites, and sea-ice breakups in adjacent foraging areas occur in early to mid-June (e.g., Levermann and Tøttrup 2007; Smith et al. 2010; Egevang and Frederiksen 2011; Mallory et al. 2017). Arctic-breeding birds have a small window of time in which to breed (e.g., Mallory and Forbes 2007; Moe et al. 2009; Sauve et al. 2019). In years when melt is very late, thereby leaving nesting areas snow covered, foraging areas ice covered, and nesting islands accessible to land predators by ice, terns often skip breeding (Levermann and Tøttrup 2007; Egevang and Frederiksen 2011; Mallory, unpubl. data). Despite these challenges, terns likely nest at high latitudes due to benefits, such as fewer competitors for nest sites and food supplies, reduced predation pressure (McKinnon et al. 2010; Hatch et al. 2020), and predictable, abundant food resources near many of the nesting colonies (e.g., polynyas; Maftei et al. 2015; Pratte et al. 2017; Baak et al. 2020).

We found that larger birds traveled less total distance during southbound migration than smaller birds. However, there was no such relationship between body size and daily distance traveled. These results, as well as the (non-significant) negative relationship between head size and migration duration, suggest that larger terns used more direct southbound migration routes than smaller ones. Because male Arctic Terns tend to be larger than females and head size can be used to differentiate between sexes (Devlin et al. 2004; Baak et al. 2020), this relationship may indicate sex differences in migration strategy during the southbound migration. Many seabird species demonstrate sex differences in foraging and migration behaviors (Phillips et al. 2017). Various factors could result in sexual differences in the southbound migration behavior of Arctic Terns, one of which could be associated to the known sexual difference in energy stores during the breeding season (Baak et al. 2020).

Individual variation in migration can be observed through differences in movements, space use, and feeding behavior of many seabird species (Phillips et al. 2017). However, evidence for this has not been well documented in Arctic Terns, in part because overall migration routes are similar for individuals from the same colony (Phillips et al. 2017; Wong et al. 2021), and until recently, tags that could capture these fine-scale behavioral differences were too large to be carried by Arctic Terns over long time periods. Nonetheless, for southbound and northbound migrations, our analyses suggest substantial individual variation in migration behavior. The strong fitness benefits that may be conferred through different migration strategies (Bêty et al. 2004; Smith and Moore 2005) and the vast ocean areas spanned by these pelagic seabirds provide high potential for individual variation in migratory behavior. Further understanding this variation will provide us important insights into the spatiotemporal plasticity of Arctic Tern migration patterns, which can in turn help us better predict the resilience of Arctic Terns to environmental changes, such as ocean warming and reduced sea-ice cover (Comiso et al. 2008; Saba et al. 2015).

Our study suggests that an individual Arctic Tern could have spent an extended period on land during its migration (Fig. 7). Previous studies have shown that Arctic Terns make short overland flights during their migration (Duffy et al. 2013; Redfern and Bevan 2020). Redfern and Bevan (2020) showed that the majority of the tagged Arctic Terns breeding on the North Sea coast of the UK arrived and left their colony using an overland passage rather than through coastal routes and reported associated inland sightings of Arctic Terns. Duffy et al. (2013) showed that five Arctic Terns migrating from Alaska likely crossed the Andes to reach the Atlantic Ocean off the coast of Argentina. These overland passages over the Andes lasted only 14.3-35.5 h. In contrast, individual BF423 in this paper appears to have spent eight days on land, with potential trips to fresh/brackish water. In addition, this individual appears to have spent two months using the waters around Brazil. While their occurrence is rare, Arctic Terns have been sighted in Brazil across most months of the year and a broad latitudinal range and include sightings far inland and on beaches (Olmos 2002; Dias et al. 2012). The prolonged stopover the individual in this paper made around Brazil appears to have delayed its arrival in Antarctica by over a month.

Overall, migration appears to be driven mainly by the goal of arriving when the conditions are favorable at both poles, the timing of which will continue to be influenced by climate change. Despite sharing this common goal, our analyses suggest individuals and colonies exhibit considerable variation in migratory behavior. This large variation suggests that there is phenotypic plasticity in migratory behavior of the world's longest migrating organism. The question that now arises is whether this plasticity provides the resilience needed for Arctic Terns to adapt to environmental changes brought on by a warming climate.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-022-03043-2.

Acknowledgements We thank all field technicians for their support in the field and two anonymous reviewers for their comments. Support to purchase the tracking units was provided by the Smithsonian Migratory Bird Center's Migratory Connectivity Project and funded by the ConocoPhillips Charitable Investments Global Signature Program. Environment and Climate Change Canada, Natural Resources Canada (Polar Continental Shelf Program), ArcticNet Networks of Centers of Excellence, ConocoPhillips Alaska, and the Central and Mississippi Flyway Councils provided funding and logistic support to teams deploying geolocators. Financial support to purchase the computing equipment of JBW and MAM was provided by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (John R. Evans Leaders program) and the B.C. Knowledge Development Fund Program. MAM and MLM were supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. JBW was supported by the Author contributions JBW, MLM, and MAM conceived and designed the research based on previously collected data. RTA, WE, ALH, DKK, MM, ANM, RAR, PAS, and MLM designed, planned, and conducted the original field research. JBW, SL, and MAM designed and performed the analyses. JBW, MLM, and MAM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding** Support to purchase the tracking units was provided by the Smithsonian Migratory Bird Center's Migratory Connectivity Project and funded by the ConocoPhillips Charitable Investments Global Signature Program. Environment and Climate Change Canada and Natural Resources Canada (Polar Continental Shelf Program), ConocoPhillips Alaska, and the Central and Mississippi Flyway Councils provided funding and logistic support to teams deploying geolocators. Financial support to purchase the computing equipment of JBW and MAM was provided by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (John R. Evans Leaders program) and the B.C. Knowledge Development Fund Program. MAM and MLM were supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. JBW was supported by the Werner and Hildegard Hesse Fellowship in Ornithology and the Ocean Leaders Graduate Fellowship.

**Data availability** The movement data are available on Movebank (Movebank ID: 727170503).

**Code availability** The analyses used R packages available on CRAN or Github.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no financial or proprietary interests in any material discussed in this article.

Ethical approval All research was conducted under approved animal care protocols (Acadia University ACC 04-17; National Zoological Park ACUC Protocols 15-20, 18-06) and scientific permits (e.g., Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) Banding: 10694, Government of Nunavut Wildlife License 2018-038; US Federal Bird Banding Permit: 09700, Alaska Scientific Permits: 17-162, 18-156).

#### References

- Alerstam T, Bäckman J, Grönroos J et al (2019) Hypotheses and tracking results about the longest migration: the case of the arctic tern. Ecol Evol 9:9511–9531. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5459
- Anderson AM, Duijns S, Smith PA et al (2019) Migration distance and body condition influence shorebird migration strategies and stopover decisions during southbound migration. Front Ecol Evol 7:1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00251
- Anderson CM, Gilchrist HG, Ronconi RA et al (2020) Both short and long distance migrants use energy-minimizing migration strategies in North American herring gulls. Mov Ecol 8:1–12. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40462-020-00207-9
- Baak JE, Provencher JF, Mallory ML (2020) Changes in organ size and nutrient reserves of arctic terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) breeding near a High Arctic polynya. Arctic Antarct Alp Res 52:596–604. https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2020.1827577

- Polar Biology (2022) 45:909-922
- Bartoń K (2020) MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package version 1.43.17. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn. Accessed 26 May 2021
- Bêty J, Giroux JF, Gauthier G (2004) Individual variation in timing of migration: causes and reproductive consequences in greater snow geese (*Anser caerulescens atlanticus*). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 57:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-004-0840-3
- Bogdanova MI, Butler A, Wanless S et al (2017) Multi-colony tracking reveals spatio-temporal variation in carry-over effects between breeding success and winter movements in a pelagic seabird. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 578:167–181. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12096
- Catry P, Dias MP, Phillips RA, Granadeiro JP (2013) Carry-over effects from breeding modulate the annual cycle of a long-distance migrant: an experimental demonstration. Ecology 94:1230–1235. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-2177.1
- Comiso JC, Parkinson CL, Gersten R, Stock L (2008) Accelerated decline in the Arctic sea ice cover. Geophys Res Lett 35:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031972
- Conklin JR, Battley PF, Potter MA, Fox JW (2010) Breeding latitude drives individual schedules in a trans-hemispheric migrant bird. Nat Commun 1:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1072
- Corbeau A, Prudor A, Kato A, Weimerskirch H (2020) Development of flight and foraging behaviour in a juvenile seabird with extreme soaring capacities. J Anim Ecol 89:20–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1365-2656.13121
- Davies TE, Carneiro APB, Tarzia M et al (2021) Multi-species tracking reveals a major seabird hotspot in the North Atlantic. Conserv Lett 14(5):e12824
- Devlin CM, Diamond AW, Saunders GW (2004) Sexing Arctic Terns in the field and laboratory. Waterbirds 27:314–320. https://doi.org/ 10.1675/1524-4695(2004)027[0314:SATITF]2.0.CO;2
- Dias RA, Agne CE, Barcelos-Silveira A, Bugoni L (2012) New records and a review of the distribution of the Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea* Pontoppidan, 1763 (Aves: Sternidae) in Brazil. Check List 8:563–567. https://doi.org/10.15560/8.3.563
- Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S et al (2013) Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography (Cop) 36:27–46. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x
- Ducklow H, Carlson C, Church M et al (2001) The seasonal development of the bacterioplankton bloom in the Ross Sea, Antarctica, 1994–1997. Deep Res II Top Stud Oceanogr 48:4199–4221. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(01)00086-8
- Duffy DC, McKnight A, Irons DB (2013) Trans-Andean passage of migrating Arctic Terns over Patagonia. Mar Ornithol 41:155–159
- Egevang C, Frederiksen M (2011) Fluctuating breeding of Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) in Arctic and high-Arctic colonies in Greenland. Waterbirds 34:107–111. https://doi.org/10.1675/063. 034.0114
- Egevang C, Stenhouse IJ, Phillips RA et al (2010) Tracking of Arctic Terns *Sterna paradisaea* reveals longest animal migration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:2078–2081. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0909493107
- Fifield DA, Montevecchi WA, Garthe S, et al (2014) Migratory tactics and wintering areas of Northern Gannets (*Morus bassanus*) breeding in North America. In: Ornithological monographs No. 79. The American Ornithologists' Union, pp 1–63
- Fijn RC, Hiemstra D, Phillips RA, van der Winden J (2013) Arctic Terns Sterna paradisaea from the Netherlands migrate record distances across three oceans to Wilkes Land, East Antarctica. Ardea 101:3–12. https://doi.org/10.5253/078.101.0102
- Hahn S, Emmenegger T, Lisovski S et al (2014) Variable detours in long-distance migration across ecological barriers and their relation to habitat availability at ground. Ecol Evol 4:4150–4160. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1279

- Hatch JJ, Gochfeld M, Burger J, Garcia E (2020) Arctic Tern (*Sterna paradisaea*). In: Billerman SM (ed) Birds of the world. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca
- Hijmans RJ, Williams E, Vennes C (2021) geosphere: spherical trigonometry. R package version 1.5–14. https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=geosphere. Accessed 14 Oct 2021
- Holbech LH, Gbogbo F, Aikins TK (2018) Abundance and prey capture success of Common Terns (*Sterna hirundo*) and Pied Kingfishers (*Ceryle rudis*) in relation to water clarity in southeast coastal Ghana. Avian Res 9:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40657-018-0116-7
- Horton KG, Van Doren BM, Stepanian PM et al (2016) Seasonal differences in landbird migration strategies. Auk 133:761–769. https:// doi.org/10.1642/AUK-16-105.1
- Hromádková T, Pavel V, Flousek J, Briedis M (2020) Seasonally specific responses to wind patterns and ocean productivity facilitate the longest animal migration on earth. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 638:1–12. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13274
- Levermann N, Tøttrup AP (2007) Predator effect and behavioral patterns in Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) and Sabine's Gulls (*Xema sabini*) during a failed breeding year. Waterbirds 30:417– 420. https://doi.org/10.1675/1524-4695(2007)030[0417:PEABPI] 2.0.CO;2
- Liechti F, Bauer S, Dhanjal-Adams KL et al (2018) Miniaturized multisensor loggers provide new insight into year-round flight behaviour of small trans-Sahara avian migrants. Mov Ecol 6:19. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40462-018-0137-1
- Lisovski S, Hahn S (2012) GeoLight—processing and analysing lightbased geolocator data in R. Methods Ecol Evol 3:1055–1059. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00248.x
- Lisovski S, Bauer S, Briedis M et al (2020) Light-level geolocator analyses: a user's guide. J Anim Ecol 89:221–236. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1365-2656.13036
- Maftei M, Davis SE, Mallory ML (2015) Assessing regional populations of ground-nesting marine birds in the Canadian High Arctic. Polar Res 34:1–9. https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v34.25055
- Mallory ML, Forbes MR (2007) Does sea ice constrain the breeding schedules of High Arctic Northern Fulmars? Condor 109:894– 906. https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/109.4.894
- Mallory ML, Boadway KA, Davis SE et al (2017) Breeding biology of Arctic Terns (*Sterna paradisaea*) in the Canadian High Arctic. Polar Biol 40:1515–1525. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00300-016-2072-1
- McKinnon L, Smith PA, Nol E et al (2010) Lower predation risk for migratory birds at high latitudes. Science 327:326–327. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1183010
- McKnight A, Allyn AJ, Duffy DC, Irons DB (2013) "Stepping stone" pattern in Pacific Arctic Tern migration reveals the importance of upwelling areas. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 491:253–264. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps10469
- Moe B, Stempniewicz L, Jakubas D et al (2009) Climate change and phenological responses of two seabird species breeding in the high-Arctic. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 393:235–246. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps08222
- Morten JM, Burgos JM, Collins L et al (2022) Foraging behaviours of breeding Arctic Terns *Sterna paradisaea* and the impact of local weather and fisheries. Front Mar Sci 8:760670. https://doi.org/10. 3389/FMARS.2021.760670/BIBTEX
- Neufeld LR, Muthukumarana S, Fischer JD et al (2021) Breeding latitude is associated with the timing of nesting and migration around the annual calendar among Purple Martin (*Progne subis*) populations. J Ornithol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-021-01894-w

- Nilsson C, Klaassen RHG, Alerstam T (2013) Differences in speed and duration of bird migration between spring and autumn. Am Nat 181:837–845. https://doi.org/10.1086/670335
- Olmos F (2002) Non-breeding seabirds in Brazil: a review of band recoveries. Braz J Ornithol 10:31–42
- Park J, Kuzminov FI, Bailleul B et al (2017) Light availability rather than Fe controls the magnitude of massive phytoplankton bloom in the Amundsen Sea polynyas, Antarctica. Limnol Oceanogr 62:2260–2276. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10565
- Phillips RA, Lewis S, González-Solís J, Daunt F (2017) Causes and consequences of individual variability and specialization in foraging and migration strategies of seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 578:117–150. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12217
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, et al (2021) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1–153. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme. Accessed 1 Nov 2021
- Pratte I, Boadway KA, Davis SE et al (2017) Diet dichotomy between two migrant seabirds breeding near a high Arctic polynya. R Soc Open Sci. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160982
- Ramos R, Sanz V, Militão T et al (2015) Leapfrog migration and habitat preferences of a small oceanic seabird, Bulwer's petrel (*Bulweria bulwerii*). J Biogeogr 42:1651–1664. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/jbi.12541
- Redfern CPF, Bevan RM (2020) Overland movement and migration phenology in relation to breeding of Arctic Terns Sterna paradisaea. Ibis (Lond 1859) 162:373–380. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ibi.12723
- Reynolds RW, Smith TM, Liu C et al (2007) Daily high-resolutionblended analyses for sea surface temperature. J Clim 20:5473– 5496. https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1824.1
- Saba VS, Griffies SM, Anderson WG et al (2015) Enhanced warming of the Northwest Atlantic Ocean under climate change. J Geophys Res Ocean 121:118–132. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011346
- Sauve D, Divoky G, Friesen VL (2019) Phenotypic plasticity or evolutionary change? An examination of the phenological response of an arctic seabird to climate change. Funct Ecol 33:2180–2190. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13406
- Schielzeth H, Dingemanse NJ, Nakagawa S et al (2020) Robustness of linear mixed-effects models to violations of distributional assumptions. Methods Ecol Evol 11:1141–1152. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 2041-210X.13434
- Schmaljohann H (2018) Proximate mechanisms affecting seasonal differences in migration speed of avian species. Sci Rep 8:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22421-7
- Seward A, Taylor RC, Perrow MR et al (2021) Effect of GPS tagging on behaviour and marine distribution of breeding Arctic Terns *Sterna paradisaea*. Ibis 163:197–212. https://doi.org/10.1111/ IBI.12849
- Smith RJ, Moore FR (2005) Arrival timing and seasonal reproductive performance in a long-distance migratory landbird. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 57:231–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00265-004-0855-9
- Smith WO Jr, Marra J, Hiscock MR, Barber RT (2000) The seasonal cycle of phytoplankton biomass and primary productivity in the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Deep Res II Top Stud Oceanogr 47:3119– 3140. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00061-8
- Smith PA, Gilchrist HG, Forbes MR et al (2010) Inter-annual variation in the breeding chronology of arctic shorebirds: effects of weather, snow melt and predators. J Avian Biol 41:292–304. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2009.04815.x
- Sumner MD, Wotherspoon SJ, Hindell MA (2009) Bayesian estimation of animal movement from archival and satellite tags. PLoS ONE 4:19–22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007324

- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (2013) Field notes entry—geo-locators reveal migratory pathway of Arctic Terns. Online published results. www.fws.gov/FieldNotes/regmap.cfm?arskey=32639. Accessed 12 Nov 2019
- Wong J, Lisovski S, Alisauskas R et al (2021) Arctic Terns from circumpolar breeding colonies share common migratory routes. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13779 (In Press)
- Wotherspoon SJ, Sumner MD, Lisovski S (2016) TwGeos: basic data processing for light-level geolocation archival tags. R package version 0.0–1

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.