Beyond the exome: What's next in diagnostic testing for Mendelian conditions

Monica H. Wojcik, $1,2,3$ $1,2,3$ Chloe M. Reuter, [4](#page-0-2) Shruti Marwaha, 4 Medhat Mahmoud, 5 Michael H. Duyzend,^{[1,2](#page-0-0)[,6](#page-0-3)} Hayk Barseghyan,^{7,[8](#page-0-5)} Bo Yuan,⁹ Philip M. Boone,^{[1,2,](#page-0-0)[6](#page-0-3)} Emily E. Groopman,^{1,2,6} Emmanuèle C. Délot,^{8,[10](#page-0-7)[,11](#page-0-8)} Deepti Jain,¹² Alba Sanchis-Juan,^{1[,6](#page-0-3)} Genomics Research to Elucidate the Genetics of Rare Diseases (GREGoR) Consortium, Lea M. Starita, ^{[13](#page-0-9)[,14](#page-0-10)} Michael Talkowski, ^{[1](#page-0-0)[,6,](#page-0-3)[15,16](#page-0-11)} Stephen B. Montgomery,^{17,[18,19](#page-0-13)} Michael J. Bamshad,^{13[,14,](#page-0-10)[20](#page-0-14)} Jessica X. Chong,^{[13](#page-0-9),20} Matthew T. Wheeler,^{[4](#page-0-2)} Seth I. Berger,^{[21](#page-0-15)} Anne O'Donnell-Luria,^{[1,2](#page-0-0)[,6](#page-0-3)} Fritz J. Sedlazeck,^{[5](#page-0-3)[,22](#page-0-16)} and Danny E. Miller^{[13](#page-0-9),[20](#page-0-14),23,[*](#page-0-17)}

Summary

Despite advances in clinical genetic testing, including the introduction of exome sequencing (ES), more than 50% of individuals with a suspected Mendelian condition lack a precise molecular diagnosis. Clinical evaluation is increasingly undertaken by specialists outside of clinical genetics, often occurring in a tiered fashion and typically ending after ES. The current diagnostic rate reflects multiple factors, including technical limitations, incomplete understanding of variant pathogenicity, missing genotype-phenotype associations, complex gene-environment interactions, and reporting differences between clinical labs. Maintaining a clear understanding of the rapidly evolving landscape of diagnostic tests beyond ES, and their limitations, presents a challenge for non-genetics professionals. Newer tests, such as short-read genome or RNA sequencing, can be challenging to order, and emerging technologies, such as optical genome mapping and long-read DNA sequencing, are not available clinically. Furthermore, there is no clear guidance on the next best steps after inconclusive evaluation. Here, we review why a clinical genetic evaluation may be negative, discuss questions to be asked in this setting, and provide a framework for further investigation, including the advantages and disadvantages of new approaches that are nascent in the clinical sphere. We present a guide for the next best steps after inconclusive molecular testing based upon phenotype and prior evaluation, including when to consider referral to research consortia focused on elucidating the underlying cause of rare unsolved genetic disorders.

Introduction

The evaluation of an individual with a suspected Mendelian genetic condition begins with a careful physical examination, review of family history, and evaluation of existing laboratory data. Together, this information helps the treating clinician decide whether an individual would benefit from genetic testing and whether to order focused or broad testing. Broad approaches to genetic testing, such as exome sequencing (ES), have benefited from access to large collections of control data (gnom $AD¹$ $AD¹$ $AD¹$) and catalogs of pathogenic variation (e.g., $ClinVar^2$ $ClinVar^2$), investment in infrastructure to support clinical genetic services, and

changes in payor policies in the United States. Key advances such as the release of the first draft of the human genome, $3,4$ $3,4$ the use of microarray to identify large deletions or duplications of $DNA₅$ $DNA₅$ $DNA₅$ and the development of ES to identify pathogenic variants at the nucleotide level^{[6](#page-12-5)[,7](#page-13-0)} serve as milestones in the history of genomic medicine. These advances have driven the current era of Mendelian disease diagnostics in which genetic testing can define genetically heterogeneous syndromes that are indistinguishable by clinical findings alone and provide a precise diagnosis. Benefits to identifying the precise genetic diagnosis include opportunities for disease-targeted surveillance or therapy, $8-10$ more accurate genetic counseling about

¹Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA; ²Division of Genetics and Genomics, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA; ³Division of Newborn Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; ⁵Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA; ⁶Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA; ⁷Center for Genetics Medicine Research, Children's National Research Institute, Children's National Hospital, Washington, DC 20010, USA; ⁸Department of Genomics and Precision Medicine, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20037, USA; ⁹Department of Molecular and Human Genetics and Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA; ¹⁰Center for Genetics Medicine Research, Children's National Research and Innovation Campus, Washington, DC, USA; ¹¹Department of Pediatrics, George Washington University, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20037, USA; ¹²Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; ¹³Brotman Baty Institute for Precision Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; ¹⁴Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; ¹⁵Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA; ¹⁶Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA; ¹⁷Department of Biomedical Data Science, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; ¹⁸Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; ¹⁹Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; 20Department of Pediatrics, Division of Genetic Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; ²¹Center for Genetics Medicine Research and Rare Disease Institute, Children's National Hospital, Washington, DC 20010, USA; ²²Department of Computer Science, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005, USA; ²³Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

*Correspondence: dm1@uw.edu

[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2023.06.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2023.06.009)

2023 American Society of Human Genetics.

CNV, copy-number variant; SV, structural variant; SNV, single-nucleotide variant; VUS, variant of unknown significance; ES, exome sequencing; LRS, long-read sequencing; OGM, optical genome mapping; TAT, turnaround time; srGS, short-read genome sequencing; lrGS, long-read genome sequencing; CDG, congenital disorder of glycosylation; IBD, identity by descent; NIPT, noninvasive prenatal testing; US, ultrasound; DD, developmental delay; ID, intellectual disability.

natural history and recurrence risks for a larger number of conditions, $11,12$ $11,12$ and potential for approved or investigational therapies, such as N-of-1 approaches. 13 13 13

As new technologies have enabled greater access to inexpensive, sequencing-based genetic tests, best practice guidelines have been modified to encourage appropriate use and emphasize their strengths. For example, demonstration that the use of chromosomal microarray to detect copy-number variants (CNVs) had clinical utility beyond the characterization of cancer^{[14](#page-13-5)} led to guidelines supporting its use to evaluate individuals with suspected genetic syndromes.^{[15](#page-13-6)} Subsequently, in 2010, the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) modified these guidelines and recommended the use of microarray as a first-tier test for individuals with developmental delay or congenital anoma-lies^{[16](#page-13-7)} and, in 2021, again changed these guidelines to reflect the value of using ES as a first- or second-tier test to make a precise genetic diagnosis in persons with congenital anom-alies, developmental delay, or intellectual disability.^{[17](#page-13-8)}

Current approaches to identify a precise molecular diagnosis in an individual suspected to have a genetic condition might include CNV analysis by microarray to identify large deletions or duplications, a phenotype-informed gene panel, or ES [\(Table 1](#page-1-0)). However, 50%–60% of individuals with a suspected Mendelian condition remain undiagnosed after clinically available comprehensive genetic testing, including ES, although it should be noted that there is substantial variability in the diagnostic rate de-pending on the phenotype.^{[18–21](#page-13-9)} In the critical care setting, such as the neonatal or pediatric ICU, the diagnostic approach may be somewhat different: as time to a precise genetic diagnosis is often of greater utility, broad and comprehensive testing, such as ES, has shown clinical value, with rapid turnaround times favored.^{[22–24](#page-13-10)} However, despite the use of rapid ES or genome sequencing (GS) early in the diagnostic evaluation, the diagnostic rates in cohorts of critically ill infants range from 20% to 60% depending on ascertainment criteria, and some stratification schemes result in higher diagnostic rates than others.[21,](#page-13-11)[24–27](#page-13-12)

Several factors contribute to the varied diagnostic rate in individuals with an underlying Mendelian condition who undergo clinical testing. First, the genetic basis of many Mendelian conditions remains unknown. Second, for conditions for which the underlying gene(s) is known, the test ordered might not interrogate the appropriate gene(s) (e.g.,

single-gene or multi-gene panels), variant type(s) (e.g., short tandem repeat expansion), or epigenetic signature(s) (e.g., methylation status). Third, technical limitations may make it difficult to identify a pathogenic variant (e.g., CNV detection from ES). Fourth, there may not be sufficient information to interpret the pathogenicity of a variant (e.g., variants of unknown significance [VUSs]). Compounding the latter issue is that the interpretation of variants by diagnostic laboratories can vary substantially because of differences in how evidence of pathogenicity of a variant is weighted, 28 28 28 although standardization of classification and data sharing efforts should mitigate this effect. $29-31$ Fifth, incomplete penetrance and challenges associated with distinguishing whether a phenotype is due to largeeffect alleles or the result of complex inheritance patterns (e.g., digenic or oligogenic) makes it difficult to identify the molecular etiology of a phenotype. Finally, the diagnostic rate has historically been dependent on the depth of phenotypic information available at variant adjudication. 32 While overall guidelines for systematic phenotyping do not exist, proposals to use human phenotype ontology terms and phenopackets would provide a standard for phenotype sharing across laboratories, clinicians, and researchers. $33,34$ $33,34$ It should also be recognized that nongenetic explanations, such as infection or toxic exposure, may also be identified in the process of diagnostic evaluation.

Limited options for genome-wide testing exist for individuals who remain without a precise genetic diagnosis after current clinical testing options have been exhausted. GS is increasingly clinically available, and newer technologies, such as RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), optical genome mapping (OGM), and long-read GS (lrGS), are emerging. However, the value of these technologies over current testing strategies has yet to be determined across multiple clinical contexts and settings. Nevertheless, alone or in various combinations, these technologies may offer advantages that complement or perhaps replace conventional genome-wide testing strategies. This begs the question, what is the next best step in evaluating an individual with a suspected Mendelian condition after negative clinical genetic testing? Herein, we discuss testing options when a precise genetic diagnosis cannot be made via conventional testing, provide examples of how emerging technologies could be used to make a precise genetic diagnosis, and provide guidance to clinicians about the use of these technologies.

Exome sequencing and reanalysis

The use of clinical ES has substantially increased diagnostic rates across a broad range of categorical phenotypes and for Mendelian conditions in general, ranging from 25% to 40% depending on the phenotype and setting, $20,35,36$ $20,35,36$ $20,35,36$ and higher diagnostic rates were reported in populations in which consanguinity is common. 37 Thus, while the

diagnostic yield is relatively high, on aggregate, more than 50% of individuals tested remain undiagnosed after clinical ES.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Reanalysis of existing ES data may uncover a pathogenic variant years after the data were generated ([Table 2](#page-4-0)) as a result of new gene discovery for Mendelian conditions, resolution of VUSs as pathogenic, and improvements in bioinformatic variant-calling pipelines. Yields from reanalysis of ES data vary widely depending on the age of the data: those generated 5–10 years ago have a higher yield because of the number of novel disease genes described in the intervening time period and the types of analyses applied. 41 A recent systematic review identified an increased diagnostic yield of approximately 15% across 27 studies and suggested reanalysis 18 months after the original analysis to optimize yield. 42 42 42 Further research is needed in this area to determine best practices.

Diagnoses identified via ES reanalysis can be divided into two broad categories: variants missed by analysis pipelines and variants that were previously identified but not considered diagnostic. The first category often includes variants for which current variant-calling pipelines have limited technical sensitivity and/or reliability, such as indels, noncoding variants in regions flanking the coding segments targeted by the exome sequencing capture kit,^{[50](#page-14-11)} or CNVs,^{41[,51](#page-14-12)} whereas the second category takes into account both laboratory and clinician-focused variant reinterpretation. Indeed, many of the diagnoses made by reanalysis of ES data involve reinterpretation of previously detected variants with new evidence supporting their pathogenicity.^{[41](#page-14-9)[,51–55](#page-14-12)} Such variants may be in a gene whose function was unknown at the time of original analysis or that had limited evidence to support the link between the gene and the condition. Criteria for reporting variants in genes not currently associated with Mendelian disease can vary between clinical laboratories, and therefore, these diagnoses are often found during research reanalysis.⁴³ However, diagnoses found on exome reanalysis may also be in known disease genes not previously thought to explain the phenotype, where the clinical interpretation of a variant has changed due to novel data such as additional clinical information, new variant inheritance information, segregation data from other affected family members, newly published case reports, or an expansion of the phenotype associated with a gene. 53 In addition, reevaluation of the presenting phenotype often aids in reprioritization of variants that were previously identified and may lead to a new clinical diagnosis. Thus, clinician input is often critical to making the diagnosis 56 and can also lead to detection of a second or additional genetic diagnosis, especially in case reports with clinical findings not fully explained by a single Mendelian condition. $41,57$ $41,57$

Exome reanalysis is now formally recommended by the $ACMG⁵⁸$ $ACMG⁵⁸$ $ACMG⁵⁸$ and may be requested by the treating clinician, undertaken in the research setting, 43 or conducted by a clinical laboratory at regular intervals.^{[59](#page-15-4)} The ACMG

CNV, copy-number variant; SV, structural variant; VUS, variant of unknown significance; ES, exome sequencing; LRS, long-read sequencing; T-LRS, targeted longread sequencing; OGM, optical genome mapping; srGS, short-read genome sequencing; lrGS, long-read genome sequencing.

recommends that clinical laboratories prioritize reanalysis ''to maximize the potential clinical impact'' of ES, such as for variants initially classified as a VUS and for reevaluating variants when relevant resources become newly available (e.g., population control genetic databases, published gene-disease relationships, or variant assessment methods).^{[58](#page-15-3)} However, specific policies regarding reanalysis, including frequency and communicating results to clinicians and affected individuals and their families, are left to the discretion of individual laboratories. If exome reanalysis is not revealing, then pooling of exome-negative affected individuals with similar phenotypes can be used in gene discovery efforts. Reanalysis by an independent group, often via research, may also be helpful to identify variants that had been passed over by the team performing the initial analysis.

Several limitations of ES should be considered when deciding whether an individual would benefit from reanalysis or whether other testing should be considered instead

([Table 3\)](#page-5-0). Reanalysis of ES data may not identify mosaic variants underlying a condition, as they are difficult to detect without deeper coverage or sequencing an affected tissue. For example, some lymphatic malformations are due to variants that have allele frequencies less than 1% ⁶⁰ In addition, known pathogenic variants, such as intronic or promoter variants, which fall outside the protein-coding regions, may be missed on ES but identified by gene panels that are deliberately designed to capture regions containing these variants.

Short-read genome sequencing

Compared to ES, short-read GS (srGS) provides a more unbiased sampling of the entire genome, providing a platform that can identify a spectrum of clinically relevant variation and enable the analysis of coding and noncoding variants. This allows for the detection of coding variants in

ES, exome sequencing; CNV, copy-number variant; SV, structural variant; OGM, optical genome mapping; srGS, short-read genome sequencing; lrGS, long-read genome sequencing.

regions poorly covered by ES and improves detection of structural variants (SVs) including CNVs, copy-neutral events such as inversions, and short tandem repeats (STRs). While srGS is increasingly being offered on a clinical basis, the interpretation of variants beyond those that can be identified by ES is limited. This is due to challenges in predicting the pathogenicity of noncoding variants, such as those suspected to affect splicing or gene expression that are less often reported in variant databases.[62](#page-15-6) Similarly, interpreting the pathogenicity of SVs is challenging because of limited data on their population frequencies 63 63 63 and on the predicted phenotype from novel SVs that impact multiple genes. Thus, despite the ability to capture a wider spectrum of pathogenic variation, varying analytical and reporting practices by clinical labs temper the current added utility of srGS. Although best practices for variant reporting have been developed, 64 identification of noncoding variants as well as SVs by lrGS may lead to greater discrepancy in reporting across clinical laboratories than is seen with ES.

Incremental diagnostic yields for srGS vary across studies and can depend on factors such as the characteristics of the cohort selected, age and quality of prior sequencing, unique aspects of the phenotype being studied, or the analytical tools used to call variants. Several studies have demonstrated a modest increase in diagnostic yield (5%– 20%) for srGS when performed after nondiagnostic ES ([Table 2](#page-4-0)). $65-67$ For example, in a cohort of individuals with Alagille syndrome and previously nondiagnostic ES, srGS successfully identified pathogenic variants, including SVs and a noncoding single-nucleotide variant (SNV) . 44,68 44,68 44,68 44,68 We anticipate that adoption of comprehensive variantcalling pipelines by clinical laboratories combined with

expanded variant databases, especially for noncoding variation, is likely to improve diagnostic utility.

Limitations of srGS include increased data generation costs, higher analytical burden, and a lower likelihood of identifying mosaic variants when compared to ES because of lower average coverage. In addition, the availability of clinical srGS is more limited because of payor restrictions. However, because of competition between sequencing manufacturers as well as improvements in informatics, data processing, and sequencing chemistries, srGS is becoming increasingly more cost effective. In fact, it may soon be more cost effective than ES because of the ability to detect multiple types of variants with a single test. $69-71$ As with clinical ES, we anticipate that as more studies show improved diagnostic yield and simplified testing, guidelines may shift toward recommending srGS as a first-tier test, and the majority of payors will follow closely behind.

Targeted and whole-genome long-read sequencing

Long-read sequencing (LRS) technology produces individual DNA or RNA reads ranging from 1 kb to several megabases in length. 72 There are two commercial long-read sequencing technologies currently available, one offered by Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) and the other by Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT).^{[73](#page-15-13)} PacBio sequencing works by monitoring a polymerase as it replicates a circular piece of DNA.⁷⁴ While the technology is error-prone at the base level, high-quality reads can be produced by combining multiple read segments from the same DNA molecule

into a single, high-quality consensus read, which limits the average read length to approximately 15–20 kbp. ONT sequencing works by measuring changes in current as a single-stranded DNA or RNA molecule passes through a protein nanopore. This produces reads with a higher perbase error rate than PacBio HiFi reads, but they can be significantly longer^{[73,](#page-15-13)[75](#page-15-16)} and more rapidly analyzed because signal may be decoded while sequencing.^{[76,](#page-15-17)[77](#page-15-18)} Detection of CpG methylation is possible with both technologies when original DNA molecules are sequenced with no additional modifications during library prepara-tion.^{[78,](#page-16-0)[79](#page-16-1)} In comparison to short reads, long reads map better to repetitive regions of the genome, simplify identification of pathogenic SVs such as repeat expansions, and allow for phasing of variants. $80,81$ $80,81$

To reduce costs and simplify analysis, targeted LRS (T-LRS) of high-priority regions with either a Cas9-based approach on both platforms $82,83$ $82,83$ or adaptive sampling on the ONT platform $45,84,85$ has been shown to be effective in identifying missing variants in specific genes of interest ([Table 2\)](#page-4-0). The benefit of T-LRS in clinical testing has become less certain as the cost of whole-genome LRS (lrGS) falls. This is because preparing samples for targeted sequencing can require additional time, such as for the design of guide RNAs for Cas9-based approaches, shearing of DNA for adaptive sampling, or the need for multiple sequencing runs if coverage is insufficient.

T-LRS requires identification of a candidate region prior to use. For individuals in which no target region has been identified, lrGS represents an agnostic approach to identifying novel genes or loci of interest but with higher cost and increased computational and interpretation burden above even srGS. Despite the challenges with data management, storage, and analysis, both T-LRS and lrGS are expected to have advantages in calling SNVs, in-dels, and SVs over ES or srGS.^{[72](#page-15-12)[,80](#page-16-2)} This is because it is easier to reliably map long reads to complex regions of the genome and to then call variants within these regions; however, variant callers for lrGS are less mature than callers for $ES/srGS$.^{[81](#page-16-3)} LRS allows more SV breakpoints to be estimated with higher resolution and better identification of clinically relevant repeat expansions. $45,67,86$ $45,67,86$ $45,67,86$ Several studies have shown that improvements in variant detection when using long-read technology can facilitate identification of genotype-phenotype associations in genomic regions that could not be analyzed with short reads. $67,87,88$ $67,87,88$ $67,87,88$ $67,87,88$ Limited population-level data exist for SVs, SNVs, and indels in regions refractory to analysis with short reads. Ongoing efforts such as those from the All of Us project and lrGS sequencing of samples from the 1000 Genomes Project will address this limitation but will take several years to complete. In addition, as with srGS data, there are few tools for interpretation of noncoding SNVs, indels, and SVs.

Additional clinically relevant advantages of LRS over short-read sequencing exist. For example, both T-LRS and lrGS have been used for phasing of de novo variants or when parental samples were not available. $45,67$ $45,67$ Because

original DNA molecules are often sequenced, LRS data can be used to simultaneously evaluate both sequence and methylation status with a single data source [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0).^{[78,](#page-16-0)[89](#page-16-9)} Unfortunately, similar to challenges with the large number of SVs identified by LRS, variation associated with methylation status in the population is unknown, leading to a need for databases containing tissue-, age-, and gendermatched control individuals for filtering and analysis.

When to use LRS to evaluate a challenging clinical case remains unclear. Frequently changing pipelines and limited reference datasets (especially from diverse populations) for filtering and prioritizing of variants identified by LRS creates challenges.^{[87,](#page-16-7)90-92} Further benchmarking efforts are also needed to identify sequencing artifacts and to standardize tools before widespread clinical application. The eventual adoption of LRS for clinical use will depend on curation of variants identified by LRS in control populations and side-by-side comparisons of the incremental diagnostic yield of srGS compared to lrGS in nondiagnostic cases. While studies support increased variant detection by lrGS in individuals without a precise genetic diagnosis via $ES^{45,67}$ $ES^{45,67}$ $ES^{45,67}$ and also in medically relevant genes,^{[88](#page-16-8)} further work is needed to assess the clinical utility of the technology compared to existing methods.

Optical genome mapping

Optical genome mapping (OGM) is a technique that works by imaging fluorescent labels that have been enzymatically introduced at canonical sequences on long, megabase-sized, DNA molecules.^{[93](#page-16-11)[,94](#page-16-12)} The pattern of fluorescent labels are compared between the sample and a reference genome for identification of SVs. This allows for the detection of SVs that are challenging to detect with other methods, such as CNVs smaller than 25 kb and balanced events like inversions or translocations ([Table 2\)](#page-4-0). 95 A direct comparison of lrGS, srGS, and OGM on the same sample showed that one in three deletions and three of four insertions larger than 10 kb were detectable only by $OGM₁⁸⁷$ $OGM₁⁸⁷$ $OGM₁⁸⁷$ a result that should be revisited with newer lrGS datasets and SV-calling pipelines.

An early attempt at demonstrating clinical utility of OGM showed 100% concordance with previously detected SVs in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (MIM: 310200), including a 5.1-Mb inversion (which had previously required combined PCR, MLPA, RNA-seq, and srGS to decipher), as well as determination of carrier status in maternal samples.⁹⁶ Several case reports have further highlighted the usefulness of OGM in identifying SVs difficult to detect with other technologies^{46,97-100} and in karyotyping.⁹⁸ Successful application of OGM to resolve haplotypes and size in the 3.3-kb repeat arrays causative of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD, MIM: 158900)^{[101,](#page-16-16)[102](#page-16-17)} has led to the first CLIAapproved application of OGM (PerkinElmer/Bionano Genomics EnFocus FSHD Analysis), with a goal of replacing traditional Southern blots for clinical testing.

While OGM excels as a single technology to detect SVs, large CNVs, and complex rearrangements, there are limitations. Sequence information is not available, and resolution is limited by the spacing of fluorescent tags along the genome and by the resolution of the imaging photocell. Extraction of high-molecular-weight DNA is required for optimal results, similar to some LRS-based approaches. For clinical use, detecting variants with OGM is only the first step. As seen with srGS and lrGS, determining the clinical relevance of SVs remains a major challenge.

RNA sequencing

Though srGS and lrGS can capture a wide variety of variants, interpreting the impact of many intronic and noncoding variants can be challenging despite the development of advanced algorithms such as SpliceAI 103 or Genomiser, 104 leading to potentially pathogenic variants' being missed. Thus, RNA-seq may be used to identify the gene responsible for a disease based on expression or splicing without prior knowledge of underlying variants ([Table 2](#page-4-0)).[105–108](#page-17-2) Combined with DNA variant knowledge, this information can be used to clarify the impact of a candidate splice or other noncoding variant, to identify a missing allele in a known recessive disease, or to identify candidate genes in individuals with nondiagnostic prior testing. RNA-seq can also identify other types of clinically relevant variation, including variants that affect RNA stability, differences in polyadenylation, novel transcripts, or variants in non-protein-coding genes that may not be evaluated by standard analysis pipelines.^{[109](#page-17-3)}

Transcriptome profiles, however, vary greatly depending on the tissue sampled and clinical status of the affected individual. Not all genes or isoforms are expressed in easily accessible tissues, such as blood or fibroblasts, and thus may not be interrogatable by RNA-seq. Despite these limitations, RNA-seq has been used successfully to reclassify VUSs and identify missing pathogenic variants with a diagnostic rate reported between 7.5% and 34% depending on the phenotype studied and the tissue sequenced.^{[105](#page-17-2),110-113} In general, work has shown that more genes are expressed in fibroblasts than from white blood cells (WBCs), however work has shown that these are less relevant for immunological phenotypes $113,114$ $113,114$ and some neurologic phenotypes, where lymphoblastoid cell lines were sufficient. 115 In certain phenotypes, the overall diagnostic rate of RNAseq may be similar to or better than ES. One recent study compared the diagnostic rate of these two methods in a cohort of individuals with neuromuscular disease and found that RNA-seq alone of muscle tissue identified a higher number of pathogenic variants (38.1%, 24/86) than ES alone (34.9%, 22/96).^{[47](#page-14-17)}

Other challenges with widespread implementation of RNA-seq exist beyond tissue specificity of expression and isoform usage. First, detecting differences in expression that may point to potentially causative genes requires

careful selection of control individuals because of both biological variation (e.g., age and environment) as well as variation in experimental protocols and sequencing platforms, 116 which has led some to recommend the development of in-house control sets to address the experimental component.^{[112](#page-17-9)} Second, allele-specific expression (ASE) at a specific locus or across an entire chromosome, such as in affected individuals with skewed X chromosome inactivation, has been proposed as an underlying cause of phenotypic variability or disease severity in rare disease and can be identified with RNA-seq. $117-119$ Unfortunately, predicting ASE from variant-level DNA-sequencing data alone remains challenging 116 and best practices for outlier detection are emerging, thus ASE detection currently relies on inclusion of both RNA-seq and variant-level DNA data to detect transcripts that detect one variant at a higher level than another. 120 Third, gene fusion events have been identified in previously unsolved cases, but identification requires additional analysis steps that are not usually undertaken.¹²¹

While not yet clinically available, long-read RNA-seq of amplicons, cDNA, or original RNA molecules may simplify isoform analysis and permit easier identification of fusion transcripts.^{122–125} For example, one recent study used LRS of amplicons from an individual with Werner syndrome to determine exactly which haplotype was affected by exon skipping, allowing the group to more closely evaluate the other haplotype for pathogenic variants.^{[85](#page-16-18)} Although cDNA sequencing is available on both the PacBio and Nanopore platforms, direct RNA-seq is currently available only on the Nanopore platform.^{126,[127](#page-17-15)} This method can simultaneously assay expression, isoform structure, and RNA modifications, which opens new possibilities in evaluating individuals who remain undiagnosed after extensive evaluation. Several research consortia are exploring long-read RNA-seq after nondiagnostic ES, srGS, and short-read RNA-seq; thus we anticipate ongoing advances in this space over time.

DNA methylation analysis

Multiple Mendelian conditions are caused by dysregulation of the epigenetic machinery, with subsequent alteration of DNA methylation patterns. 128 These conditions are associated with distinct DNA methylation signatures, or ''episignatures,'' which can be used to distinguish between different syndromes.^{[129](#page-17-17)} Episignatures can thus be used to support variant reclassification or to suggest a specific Mendelian condition in individuals with previous nondiagnostic testing [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0).^{[48](#page-14-18)} For example, Aref-Eshgi and colleagues 130 applied genome-wide DNA methylation analysis to develop a computational model to support the diagnosis of fourteen neurodevelopmental conditions with known episignatures, including Coffin-Siris syndrome and other BAFopathies (MIM: 135900, 614607, 614608, 614609, and 615866), CHARGE syndrome (MIM: 214800), and Kabuki syndrome (MIM: 602113 and 300128). Using this model, they were able to resolve 21 (31%) of 67 individuals who presented with ambiguous clinical features and/or genetic findings suspicious for one of these Mendelian conditions, including individuals with no candidate variants found on molecular testing.

While such studies highlight the potential of DNA methylation analysis to resolve undiagnosed affected individuals, there are important limitations. At present, DNA methylation testing is commonly performed with peripheral blood samples, and available reference datasets used to identify episignatures are also generally derived from blood samples. As epigenetic profiles can vary substantially between different tissues, 131 results observed from blood samples may be different with respect to their generalizability for the disease-related tissue of interest. Moreover, there is the need for consensus analytical standards for DNA methylation testing, including correcting for age, sex, environmental influences, and other factors that can impact results of DNA methylation analysis.^{[132](#page-18-2)} In addition, existing DNA methylation array technologies have been noted to have limited ability for detecting low-grade genetic mosaicism $(20%)^{[48](#page-14-18)[,133](#page-18-3)} and can only identify$ Mendelian conditions with previously characterized episignatures, both of which can reduce diagnostic sensitivity. Nonetheless, DNA methylation testing has been shown to resolve as many as 30% of individuals with features suspicious for rare neurodevelopmental conditions, 48 a yield comparable to the solve rates reported for chromosomal microarray (15%–20%) and ES (30%–40%).^{[134](#page-18-4)} This suggests that it may be worth incorporating methylation profiling as part of the first-line diagnostic workup of individuals with specific phenotypes, such as neurodevelopmental disorders, suspected imprinting disorders, repeat expansion disorders, or a VUS in a known methylation gene. Because LRS can concurrently identify methylation status when generating sequence data, we expect episignatures to be incorporated into these analysis pipelines in the future.

Integrating biochemical and proteomic data: Multiomics approaches

Using a combination of data types, such as genomic, transcriptomic, epigenetic, proteomic, metabolomic, or biochemical data, is referred to as a multiomics approach.^{[135](#page-18-5),[136](#page-18-6)} While integrating the large amounts of data that can be generated with any of these approaches seems challenging, the overall idea is straightforward: look for overlapping clues in the data available for variant identification and prioritization. Many consortia studying challenging unsolved cases have used this approach successfully to guide reanalysis efforts. For example, within the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN) an individual was suspected to have 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A lyase deficiency (MIM: [246450\)](https://www.omim.org/entry/246450) (encoded by

HMGCL [MIM: [613898\]](https://www.omim.org/entry/613898)) based on urine organic acid anal-ysis.^{[19](#page-13-14)} Reanalysis of ES data identified a deletion in the first exon of HMGCL and RNA-seq confirmed that HMGCL expression was 50% that of unaffected control individuals. Other groups have shown that enzymatic or metabolomic assays have utility in the interpretation of VUSs identified by panel testing.^{[137](#page-18-7)}

A multiomics approach can be especially useful in affected individuals with suspected mitochondrial disease given the phenotypic heterogeneity that can be observed.^{[138](#page-18-8)[,139](#page-18-9)} Mitochondrial disease can arise from pathogenic changes in genes in either the nuclear DNA or the 16.5-kb mitochondrial genome (mtDNA). While pathogenic variants in the nuclear genome are detectable by regular genomic sequencing platforms such as ES, identifying pathogenic variants in mtDNA may require careful evaluation because of tissue-specific heteroplasmy. Pathogenic variants in mtDNA may directly impact protein-coding genes (e.g., Leber hereditary optic neuropathy [MIM: [619382](https://www.omim.org/entry/619382)]) or tRNA genes (e.g., MELAS: mitochondrial encephalopathy, myopathy, lactic acidosis, and strokelike episodes [MIM: 540000]) or cause large genomic rearrangements of mtDNA (e.g., Kearns-Sayre syndrome [MIM: 530000]). Testing options for mtDNA-specific variants range from conventional technologies such as targeted sequencing, Southern blotting, or array comparative genomic hybridization to high-coverage short-read sequencing to interrogate the entire mtDNA for SNVs/indels and $SVs.¹⁴⁰$ $SVs.¹⁴⁰$ $SVs.¹⁴⁰$ Analysis of mtDNA variations may be combined with biochemical results, such as electron transport chain assays, metabolic profiling, or proteomics, to achieve accurate variant interpretation [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0).

Both targeted and global metabolomic data have been used to either aid in the prioritization of variants identified by ES or to suggest specific genes or pathways for evalua-tion, although the yield of the latter has been low.^{[141](#page-18-11),[142](#page-18-12)} For example, in a retrospective study of 170 affected individuals, untargeted metabolomics contributed toward prioritization of variants from ES in 74 individuals (43.5%) and confirmed clinical diagnosis in 21 affected individuals, yielding a diagnostic rate of 12.3% .^{[142](#page-18-12)} Several software packages have been developed to aid in the integration of metabolic data with existing data types. $143-145$ A major limitation of untargeted metabolomic data is the challenge of finding appropriate control individuals as differences in age, diet, or medication usage can alter clinically relevant metabolomic profiles.

Finally, proteomic analysis can provide valuable insights into the genes or pathways that may be affected in an indi-vidual with a suspected Mendelian condition.^{[146](#page-18-14)} This is especially true in affected individuals where the affected cell or tissue can be easily collected. For example, proteome analysis of individuals with monogenic diseases affecting neutrophil function found that large proteome changes were observed in only some, but not all, known conditions and observed changes did not correlate with transcriptome analysis, demonstrating the power of orthogonal data in

Figure 1. Evaluation of individuals unsolved after ES

Testing paths and options for individuals with clinical findings that cannot be partially or fully explained by a precise genetic diagnosis after exome sequencing are shown. In each path, exome reanalysis should be considered first. Many options are similar among the various paths but are of highest diagnostic yield at different steps of the evaluation process.

elucidating changes in rare conditions. 147 Overall, the integration of different omics technologies that complement one another can provide key clues in individuals that remain undiagnosed after extensive clinical testing. The specific test ordered should be driven by the affected individual's phenotype and candidate variants.

Discussion

Advances in genetic testing provide opportunity and hope for individuals who remain undiagnosed after comprehensive clinical genetic evaluation. However, appropriate application of these technologies, which may only be available in the research setting, remains unclear. Thus, our aim is to both provide an overview of each of these new technologies ([Table 1](#page-1-0)) and to provide a list of options about what next steps in testing exist for individuals who lack a precise genetic diagnosis after ES [\(Figure 1](#page-9-0), [Table 4](#page-10-0)). Although

certain technologies are not yet clinically available, collaboration between clinicians and researchers is essential for rare disease diagnosis, and familiarity with these emerging techniques may facilitate both referral to an appropriate research study or clinical implementation once a new technology is available. Current barriers to widespread clinical implementation of technologies reviewed herein, such as lrGS, OGM, and, to a lesser degree, RNA-seq (which is available clinically, though at a small number of laboratories), include issues with payor reimbursement, which is closely tied to published evidence of clinical utility or noninferiority, and cost of data generation and analysis.

Careful reevaluation of prior genetic and laboratory testing of the individual with a suspected Mendelian condition may itself be high yield. This includes ensuring any prior VUSs are not now explanatory and that any candidate genes have not recently been associated with a similar phenotype. Prior candidate variants or genes should be shared via Matchmaker Exchange 61 to facilitate

Table 4. Clinical and research options for specific classes of variants

AR, autosomal recessive; AD, autosomal dominant; XLD, X-linked dominant; CNV, copy-number variant; SV, structural variant; OGM, optical genome mapping; srGS, short-read whole-genome sequencing; LRS, long-read sequencing; lrGS, long-read whole-genome sequencing; SV, structural variant.

identification of similar case reports that can strengthen associations or phenotype expansions. Exome reanalysis, if possible, should be undertaken at least once, especially if it has been more than 1 year since the initial test or last reanalysis. Easily overlooked tests, such as karyotype or microarray, should also be considered if prior testing may have missed variants that could be identified via these modalities.

Determining the next best step depends on several factors and should be considered on a case-by-case basis. In cases with a candidate gene, such as when a single variant has been identified in a gene associated with a recessive Mendelian condition or no variants were identified in an affected individual with strong biochemical or phenotypic evidence pointing to a single gene or small number of genes associated with a dominant Mendelian condition, then evaluation for a missing variant should be undertaken [\(Figure 1,](#page-9-0) [Table 4\)](#page-10-0). Clinical testing options include targeted RNAseq, methylation analysis if the suspected Mendelian condition is associated with a distinct epigenetic signature, or srGS. Prior to ordering srGS, the provider should confirm whether the testing laboratory will analyze and report variants that are beyond what would typically be reported by ES, such as deep intronic variants, regulatory variants, and SVs. If clinical options are not available, T-LRS (ONT) or lrGS (PacBio or ONT) is potentially the next-best test to be performed on a research basis, as these offer simultaneous evaluation of coding/noncoding SNVs, indels, repeat expansions/constrictions, and SVs that may be missed by

srGS as well as providing variant phasing and methylation changes.[45](#page-14-15)[,85](#page-16-18) However, potential challenges may arise in clinical return of results identified via research, as options for confirmation of a variant detected by lrGS in a CLIAcertified laboratory may be limited. We anticipate that future studies will provide data to better guide the decision-making process in these cases.

For individuals without a clear candidate gene or variant to explore, a broad approach should be taken [\(Figure 1](#page-9-0), [Table 4](#page-10-0)). In these cases, clinicians should consider methylation analysis, srGS, or RNA-seq while keeping in mind that empirical data as to which test has the highest diagnostic yield in this setting is limited. Clinical suspicion and test availability can be a guide, such as ordering methylation analysis for individuals in which a Mendelian condition with a distinct epigenetic signature is suspected. Similarly, srGS may be a better choice than RNA-seq for individuals with isolated neurologic phenotypes, since the variant responsible might be in a gene expressed only in the brain and would therefore be difficult to identify via RNA-seq of readily available tissues (e.g., fibroblasts). Furthermore, only a limited number of clinical laboratories offer untargeted RNA-seq at this time and no systematic evaluation of their results has been undertaken. Given the unproven clinical utility, most payors do not currently reimburse for these tests and institutional policies may dictate whether they can be ordered. Because of these limitations, it may be best to refer the individual to a research program focused on families without a precise genetic diagnosis [\(Table 5\)](#page-11-0).

The options in the decision tree presented here highlight the complexity of evaluating individuals with suspected Mendelian conditions who lack a precise genetic diagnosis [\(Figure 1\)](#page-9-0). Current testing approaches require multiple steps, which may involve repeated clinical visits and require individuals and their caregivers to take time off work, travel long distances, and be subjected to multiple studies and tests. Costs associated with travel and/or time away from work may result in individuals delaying or deferring visits and testing, resulting in a system that is not equitable and that provides no clear benefit to any one participant.¹⁵⁸This leads to a question of whether and how new technologies can be used to simplify the clinical testing process by reducing the number of individual tests required, with the goal of reducing the time to diagnosis and increasing the diagnostic rate. Additionally, dual diagnoses where more than one genetic diagnosis is identified in an individual have been reported in up to 5% of affected individuals and are often challenging to diagnose because of the presentation of a blended phenotype. $159,160$ $159,160$ Finally, broadening the genetic investigation via srGS or lrGS may increase the ability to detect secondary findings or identify additional VUSs, both of which are important points to consider and include in pretest counseling with families. In the near future, we anticipate that a single test, such as srGS, will be used to simplify the evaluation process and reduce inequities in care, with lrGS replacing or supplementing this data as costs fall over time.¹⁶¹

Carefully designed studies will be needed to determine whether one next-best test exists after negative ES or if the choice of what test to pursue is best determined by underlying phenotype or clinical suspicion. These studies will be supported by the collection and biobanking of biospecimens from those individuals affected by rare Mendelian conditions as both resources for benchmarking as well as for understanding novel mechanisms or genes that underpin these unsolved cases. The development of new reference genomes that permit telomere-to-telomere analysis of affected-individual genomes will need to be considered and likely lead to novel gene-phenotype associations in previously inaccessible genomic regions.^{[162,](#page-19-7)[163](#page-19-8)} Over time, the current standard diagnostic evaluation pathway will most likely change, with a focus on simplifying overall testing and evaluation of previously ''challenging'' regions or variants. Thus, we envision a time when a single data source, such as srGS or lrGS, is evaluated in a stepwise fashion, perhaps enhanced by concurrent methylome or transcriptome, or metabolomic analysis that replaces the time-consuming progression of microarray, panel testing, and ES. Both affected individuals and providers may then benefit from simplified testing with decreased time to diagnosis and, ideally, greater understanding of the molecular underpinnings of rare diseases.

Acknowledgments

We thank Angela Miller for assistance with figure preparation. D.E.M. is supported by NIH grant DP5OD033357. M.H.W. is supported by NIH/NICHD K23HD102589 and an early career award from the Thrasher Research Fund. The GREGoR Consortium is funded by the National Human Genome Research Institute of the National Institutes of Health, through the following grants: U01HG011758, U01HG011755, U01HG011745, U01HG011762, U01HG011744, and U24HG011746. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. No human subjects, live vertebrates, or higher invertebrate research was undertaken as part of this manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.H.W., C.M.R., S.M., M.M., M.H.D., H.B., B.Y., E.E.G., E.C.D., D.J., A.S.-J., L.S., M.T., S.B.M, M.T.W., S.I.B., A.O-L., F.J.S., and D.E.M.; writing – original draft: M.H.W., C.M.R., S.M., M.M., M.H.D., H.B., B.Y., E.E.G., E.C.D., D.J., A.S.-J., L.S., M.T., S.B.M., M.T.W., S.I.B., A.O.-L., F.J.S., and D.E.M.; writing – review & editing: all authors.

Declaration of interests

C.M.R. is a consultant for My Gene Counsel. H.B. is a shareholder of Bionano Genomics Inc, Pacific Biosciences Inc, and Illumina Inc. B.Y. has received royalties from UpToDate. A.O.-L. is on the SAB of Congenica. S.B.M. is a consultant for BioMarin, MyOme, and Tenaya Therapeutics. M.E.T. consults for BrigeBio Pharma and receives research funding and/or reagents from Illumina Inc., Levo Therapeutics, and Microsoft Inc. M.T.W. holds stock in Personalis, Inc. F.J.S. has received travel support to speak on behalf of ONT and PacBio. D.E.M. holds stock options in MyOme and is engaged in a research agreement with ONT, is on a scientific advisory board at ONT, and they have paid for him to travel to speak on their behalf.

References

- 1. [Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B.B., Al](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref1)földi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.L., Laricchia, K.M., Ganna, A., [Birnbaum, D.P., et al. \(2020\). The mutational constraint spec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref1)[trum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref1) 581[, 434–443.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref1)
- 2. [Landrum, M.J., Lee, J.M., Benson, M., Brown, G.R., Chao, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref2) [Chitipiralla, S., Gu, B., Hart, J., Hoffman, D., Jang, W., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref2) [\(2018\). ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref2) [and supporting evidence. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref2) 46, D1062– [D1067.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref2)
- 3. [Lander, E.S., Linton, L.M., Birren, B., Nusbaum, C., Zody,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref3) [M.C., Baldwin, J., Devon, K., Dewar, K., Doyle, M., FitzHugh,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref3) [W., et al. \(2001\). Initial sequencing and analysis of the hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref3)[man genome. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref3) 409, 860–921.
- 4. [Venter, J.C., Adams, M.D., Myers, E.W., Li, P.W., Mural, R.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref4) [Sutton, G.G., Smith, H.O., Yandell, M., Evans, C.A., Holt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref4) [R.A., et al. \(2001\). The sequence of the human genome. Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref4)ence 291[, 1304–1351](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref4).
- 5. [Oostlander, A.E., Meijer, G.A., and Ylstra, B. \(2004\). Microar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref5)[ray-based comparative genomic hybridization and its appli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref5)[cations in human genetics. Clin. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref5) 66, 488–495.
- 6. [Ng, S.B., Bigham, A.W., Buckingham, K.J., Hannibal, M.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref6) [McMillin, M.J., Gildersleeve, H.I., Beck, A.E., Tabor, H.K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref6) [Cooper, G.M., Mefford, H.C., et al. \(2010\). Exome sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref6)

[identifies MLL2 mutations as a cause of Kabuki syndrome.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref6) [Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref6) 42, 790–793.

- 7. [Bamshad, M.J., Ng, S.B., Bigham, A.W., Tabor, H.K., Emond,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref7) [M.J., Nickerson, D.A., and Shendure, J. \(2011\). Exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref7) [sequencing as a tool for Mendelian disease gene discovery.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref7) [Nat. Rev. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref7) 12, 745–755.
- 8. [Al-Khatib, S.M., Stevenson, W.G., Ackerman, M.J., Bryant,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [W.J., Callans, D.J., Curtis, A.B., Deal, B.J., Dickfeld, T., Field,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [M.E., Fonarow, G.C., et al. \(2018\). AHA/ACC/HRS guideline](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: Executive sum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8)[mary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) [Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8) 15[, e190–e252](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref8).
- 9. [Mazzanti, A., Maragna, R., Vacanti, G., Monteforte, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref9) [Bloise, R., Marino, M., Braghieri, L., Gambelli, P., Memmi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref9) [M., Pagan, E., et al. \(2018\). Interplay Between Genetic Sub](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref9)[strate, QTc Duration, and Arrhythmia Risk in Patients With](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref9) [Long QT Syndrome. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref9) 71, 1663–1671.
- 10. [Clark, M.M., Stark, Z., Farnaes, L., Tan, T.Y., White, S.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref10) [Dimmock, D., and Kingsmore, S.F. \(2018\). Meta-analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref10) [the diagnostic and clinical utility of genome and exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref10) [sequencing and chromosomal microarray in children with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref10) [suspected genetic diseases. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref10) 3, 16.
- 11. [Reuter, C.M., Kohler, J.N., Bonner, D., Zastrow, D., Fernan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref11)[dez, L., Dries, A., Marwaha, S., Davidson, J., Brokamp, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref11) [Herzog, M., et al. \(2019\). Yield of whole exome sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref11) [in undiagnosed patients facing insurance coverage barriers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref11) [to genetic testing. J. Genet. Couns.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref11) 28, 1107–1118.
- 12. [Zastrow, D.B., Zornio, P.A., Dries, A., Kohler, J., Fernandez, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) [Waggott, D., Walkiewicz, M., Eng, C.M., Manning, M.A., Far](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12)[relly, E., Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Fisher, P.G., Ashley,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) [E.A., Bernstein, J.A., and Wheeler, M.T. \(2017\). Exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) [sequencing identifies de novo pathogenic variants in FBN1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) [and TRPS1 in a patient with a complex connective tissue](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) [phenotype. Cold Spring Harb. Mol. Case Stud.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref12) 3, a001388.
- 13. [Kim, J., Hu, C., Moufawad El Achkar, C., Black, L.E., Douville,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref13) [J., Larson, A., Pendergast, M.K., Goldkind, S.F., Lee, E.A., Ku](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref13)[niholm, A., et al. \(2019\). Patient-Customized Oligonucleo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref13)[tide Therapy for a Rare Genetic Disease. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref13) 381[, 1644–1652.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref13)
- 14. [Shaffer, L.G., Beaudet, A.L., Brothman, A.R., Hirsch, B., Levy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14) [B., Martin, C.L., Mascarello, J.T., Rao, K.W.; and Working](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14) [Group of the Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14) [the American College of Medical Genetics \(2007\). Microarray](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14) [analysis for constitutional cytogenetic abnormalities. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14) Med. 9[, 654–662.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref14)
- 15. [Manning, M., Hudgins, L.; Professional Practice and Guide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref15)[lines Committee; and Guidelines Committee \(2010\). Array](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref15)[based technology and recommendations for utilization in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref15) [medical genetics practice for detection of chromosomal ab](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref15)[normalities. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref15) 12, 742–745.
- 16. [Miller, D.T., Adam, M.P., Aradhya, S., Biesecker, L.G., Broth](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16)[man, A.R., Carter, N.P., Church, D.M., Crolla, J.A., Eichler,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16) [E.E., Epstein, C.J., et al. \(2010\). Consensus statement: chro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16)[mosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16) [individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16) [anomalies. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref16) 86, 749–764.
- 17. [Manickam, K., McClain, M.R., Demmer, L.A., Biswas, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17) [Kearney, H.M., Malinowski, J., Massingham, L.J., Miller, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17) [Yu, T.W., Hisama, F.M.; and ACMG Board of Directors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17)

[\(2021\). Exome and genome sequencing for pediatric patients](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17) [with congenital anomalies or intellectual disability: an evi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17)[dence-based clinical guideline of the American College of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17) [Medical Genetics and Genomics \(ACMG\). Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17) 23, [2029–2037.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref17)

- 18. [Shashi, V., McConkie-Rosell, A., Rosell, B., Schoch, K., Vel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18)[lore, K., McDonald, M., Jiang, Y.H., Xie, P., Need, A., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18) [Goldstein, D.B. \(2014\). The utility of the traditional medical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18) [genetics diagnostic evaluation in the context of next-gener](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18)[ation sequencing for undiagnosed genetic disorders. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18) Med. 16[, 176–182](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref18).
- 19. [Splinter, K., Adams, D.R., Bacino, C.A., Bellen, H.J., Bern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref19)[stein, J.A., Cheatle-Jarvela, A.M., Eng, C.M., Esteves, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref19) [Gahl, W.A., Hamid, R., et al. \(2018\). Effect of Genetic Diag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref19)[nosis on Patients with Previously Undiagnosed Disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref19) [N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref19) 379, 2131–2139.
- 20. [Yang, Y., Muzny, D.M., Xia, F., Niu, Z., Person, R., Ding, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref20) [Ward, P., Braxton, A., Wang, M., Buhay, C., et al. \(2014\). Mo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref20)[lecular findings among patients referred for clinical whole](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref20)[exome sequencing. JAMA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref20) 312, 1870–1879.
- 21. [Meng, L., Pammi, M., Saronwala, A., Magoulas, P., Ghazi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21) [A.R., Vetrini, F., Zhang, J., He, W., Dharmadhikari, A.V., Qu,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21) [C., et al. \(2017\). Use of Exome Sequencing for Infants in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21) [Intensive Care Units: Ascertainment of Severe Single-Gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21) [Disorders and Effect on Medical Management. JAMA Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21) 171[, e173438.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref21)
- 22. [NICUSeq Study Group, Krantz, I.D., Medne, L., Weatherly,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22) [J.M., Wild, K.T., Biswas, S., Devkota, B., Hartman, T., Brunelli,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22) [L., Fishler, K.P., et al. \(2021\). Effect of Whole-Genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22) [Sequencing on the Clinical Management of Acutely Ill In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22)[fants With Suspected Genetic Disease: A Randomized Clin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22)[ical Trial. JAMA Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref22) 175, 1218–1226.
- 23. [Farnaes, L., Hildreth, A., Sweeney, N.M., Clark, M.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref23) [Chowdhury, S., Nahas, S., Cakici, J.A., Benson, W., Kaplan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref23) [R.H., Kronick, R., et al. \(2018\). Rapid whole-genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref23) [sequencing decreases infant morbidity and cost of hospitali](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref23)[zation. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref23) 3, 10–18.
- 24. [Kingsmore, S.F., Cakici, J.A., Clark, M.M., Gaughran, M., Fed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24)[dock, M., Batalov, S., Bainbridge, M.N., Carroll, J., Caylor,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24) [S.A., Clarke, C., et al. \(2019\). A Randomized, Controlled Trial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24) [of the Analytic and Diagnostic Performance of Singleton and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24) [Trio, Rapid Genome and Exome Sequencing in Ill Infants.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24) [Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref24) 105, 719–733.
- 25. [Gubbels, C.S., VanNoy, G.E., Madden, J.A., Copenheaver, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref25) [Yang, S.,Wojcik, M.H., Gold, N.B., Genetti, C.A., Stoler, J., Parad,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref25) [R.B., et al. \(2020\). Prospective, phenotype-driven selection of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref25) [critically ill neonates for rapid exome sequencing is associated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref25) [with high diagnostic yield. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref25) 22, 736–744.
- 26. [Australian Genomics Health Alliance Acute Care Flagship,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26) [Lunke, S., Eggers, S., Wilson, M., Patel, C., Barnett, C.P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26) [Pinner, J., Sandaradura, S.A., Buckley, M.F., Krzesinski, E.I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26) [et al. \(2020\). Feasibility of Ultra-Rapid Exome Sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26) [in Critically Ill Infants and Children With Suspected Mono](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26)[genic Conditions in the Australian Public Health Care Sys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26)tem. JAMA 323[, 2503–2511](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref26).
- 27. [Maron, J.L., Kingsmore, S.F., Wigby, K., Chowdhury, S., Dim](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27)[mock, D., Poindexter, B., Suhrie, K., Vockley, J., Diacovo, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27) [Gelb, B.D., et al. \(2021\). Novel Variant Findings and Chal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27)[lenges Associated With the Clinical Integration of Genomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27) [Testing: An Interim Report of the Genomic Medicine for Ill](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27) [Neonates and Infants \(GEMINI\) Study. JAMA Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27) 175, [e205906.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref27)
- 28. [O'Daniel, J.M., McLaughlin, H.M., Amendola, L.M., Bale,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref28) [S.J., Berg, J.S., Bick, D., Bowling, K.M., Chao, E.C., Chung,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref28) [W.K., Conlin, L.K., et al. \(2017\). A survey of current practices](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref28) [for genomic sequencing test interpretation and reporting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref28) [processes in US laboratories. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref28) 19, 575–582.
- 29. [Harrison, S.M., Dolinsky, J.S., Knight Johnson, A.E., Pesaran,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref29) [T., Azzariti, D.R., Bale, S., Chao, E.C., Das, S., Vincent, L., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref29) [Rehm, H.L. \(2017\). Clinical laboratories collaborate to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref29) [resolve differences in variant interpretations submitted to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref29) [ClinVar. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref29) 19, 1096–1104.
- 30. Balmaña, J., Digiovanni, L., Gaddam, P., Walsh, M.F., Joseph, [V., Stadler, Z.K., Nathanson, K.L., Garber, J.E., Couch, F.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref30) [Offit, K., et al. \(2016\). Conflicting Interpretation of Genetic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref30) [Variants and Cancer Risk by Commercial Laboratories as As](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref30)[sessed by the Prospective Registry of Multiplex Testing.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref30) [J. Clin. Oncol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref30) 34, 4071–4078.
- 31. [Rehm, H.L., Berg, J.S., Brooks, L.D., Bustamante, C.D., Evans,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref31) [J.P., Landrum, M.J., Ledbetter, D.H., Maglott, D.R., Martin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref31) [C.L., Nussbaum, R.L., et al.; ClinGen \(2015\). ClinGen–the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref31) [Clinical Genome Resource. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref31) 372, 2235–2242.
- 32. [Johnson, B., Ouyang, K., Frank, L., Truty, R., Rojahn, S., Mo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref32)[rales, A., Aradhya, S., and Nykamp, K. \(2022\). Systematic use](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref32) [of phenotype evidence in clinical genetic testing reduces the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref32) [frequency of variants of uncertain significance. Am. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref32) Genet. 188[, 2642–2651.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref32)
- 33. Köhler, S., Gargano, M., Matentzoglu, N., Carmody, L.C., [Lewis-Smith, D., Vasilevsky, N.A., Danis, D., Balagura, G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref33) [Baynam, G., Brower, A.M., et al. \(2021\). The Human Pheno](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref33)[type Ontology in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref33) 49, D1207– [D1217.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref33)
- 34. [Jacobsen, J.O.B., Baudis, M., Baynam, G.S., Beckmann, J.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref34) [Beltran, S., Buske, O.J., Callahan, T.J., Chute, C.G., Courtot,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref34) [M., Danis, D., et al. \(2022\). The GA4GH Phenopacket schema](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref34) [defines a computable representation of clinical data. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref34) [Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref34) 40, 817–820.
- 35. [Yang, Y., Muzny, D.M., Reid, J.G., Bainbridge, M.N., Willis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref35) [A., Ward, P.A., Braxton, A., Beuten, J., Xia, F., Niu, Z., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref35) [\(2013\). Clinical whole-exome sequencing for the diagnosis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref35) [of mendelian disorders. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref35) 369, 1502–1511.
- 36. [Retterer, K., Juusola, J., Cho, M.T., Vitazka, P., Millan, F., Gi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref36)[bellini, F., Vertino-Bell, A., Smaoui, N., Neidich, J., Mona](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref36)[ghan, K.G., et al. \(2016\). Clinical application of whole](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref36)[exome sequencing across clinical indications. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref36) 18[, 696–704](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref36).
- 37. [Monies, D., Abouelhoda, M., Assoum, M., Moghrabi, N., Ra](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37)[fiullah, R., Almontashiri, N., Alowain, M., Alzaidan, H., Al](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37)[sayed, M., Subhani, S., et al. \(2019\). Lessons Learned from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37) [Large-Scale, First-Tier Clinical Exome Sequencing in a Highly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37) [Consanguineous Population. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37) 104, [1182–1201.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref37)
- 38. Mainali, A., Athey, T., Bahl, S., Hung, C., Caluseriu, O., Chan, A., Eaton, A., Ghai, S.J., Kannu, P., MacPherson, M., et al. (2023). Diagnostic yield of clinical exome sequencing in adulthood in medical genetics clinics. Am. J. Med. Genet. 191, 510–517. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.63053.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.63053)
- 39. [Seo, G.H., Kim, T., Choi, I.H., Park, J.Y., Lee, J., Kim, S., Won,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref39) [D.G., Oh, A., Lee, Y., Choi, J., et al. \(2020\). Diagnostic yield](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref39) [and clinical utility of whole exome sequencing using an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref39) [automated variant prioritization system, EVIDENCE. Clin.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref39) Genet. 98[, 562–570](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref39).
- 40. [Lee, H., Deignan, J.L., Dorrani, N., Strom, S.P., Kantarci, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref40) [Quintero-Rivera, F., Das, K., Toy, T., Harry, B., Yourshaw,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref40)

[M., et al. \(2014\). Clinical exome sequencing for genetic iden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref40)[tification of rare Mendelian disorders. JAMA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref40) 312, [1880–1887.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref40)

- 41. [Liu, P., Meng, L., Normand, E.A., Xia, F., Song, X., Ghazi, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref41) [Rosenfeld, J., Magoulas, P.L., Braxton, A., Ward, P., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref41) [\(2019\). Reanalysis of Clinical Exome Sequencing Data.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref41) [N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref41) 380, 2478–2480.
- 42. [Tan, N.B., Stapleton, R., Stark, Z., Delatycki, M.B., Yeung, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42) [Hunter, M.F., Amor, D.J., Brown, N.J., Stutterd, C.A., McGil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42)[livray, G., et al. \(2020\). Evaluating systematic reanalysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42) [clinical genomic data in rare disease from single center expe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42)[rience and literature review. Mol. Genet. Genomic Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42) 8, [e1508](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref42).
- 43. [Schmitz-Abe, K., Li, Q., Rosen, S.M., Nori, N., Madden, J.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref49) [Genetti, C.A., Wojcik, M.H., Ponnaluri, S., Gubbels, C.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref49) [Picker, J.D., et al. \(2019\). Unique bioinformatic approach](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref49) [and comprehensive reanalysis improve diagnostic yield of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref49) [clinical exomes. Eur. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref49) 27, 1398–1405.
- 44. [Wojcik, M.H., Thiele, K., Grant, C.F., Chao, K., Goodrich, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref62) [O'Donnell-Luria, A., Lacro, R.V., Tan, W.H., and Agrawal, P.B.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref62) [\(2019\). Genome Sequencing Identifies the Pathogenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref62) [Variant Missed by Prior Testing in an Infant with Marfan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref62) [Syndrome. J. Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref62) 213, 235–240.
- 45. [Miller, D.E., Sulovari, A., Wang, T., Loucks, H., Hoekzema, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref79) [Munson, K.M., Lewis, A.P., Fuerte, E.P.A., Paschal, C.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref79) [Walsh, T., et al. \(2021\). Targeted long-read sequencing iden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref79)[tifies missing disease-causing variation. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref79) 108[, 1436–1449](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref79).
- 46. [Cope, H., Barseghyan, H., Bhattacharya, S., Fu, Y., Hoppman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref94) [N., Marcou, C., Walley, N., Rehder, C., Deak, K., Alkelai, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref94) [et al. \(2021\). Detection of a mosaic CDKL5 deletion and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref94) [inversion by optical genome mapping ends an exhaustive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref94) [diagnostic odyssey. Mol. Genet. Genomic Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref94) 9, e1665.
- 47. Hong, S.E., Kneissl, J., Cho, A., Kim, M.J., Park, S., Lee, J., Woo, S., Kim, S., Kim, J.S., Kim, S.Y., et al. (2022). Transcriptome-based variant calling and aberrant mRNA discovery enhance diagnostic efficiency for neuromuscular diseases. J. Med. Genet. 59, 1075–1081. [https://doi.org/10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2021-108307) [jmedgenet-2021-108307.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2021-108307)
- 48. [Sadikovic, B., Levy, M.A., Kerkhof, J., Aref-Eshghi, E., Schen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref127)[kel, L., Stuart, A., McConkey, H., Henneman, P., Venema, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref127) [Schwartz, C.E., et al. \(2021\). Clinical epigenomics: genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref127)[wide DNA methylation analysis for the diagnosis of Mende](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref127)[lian disorders. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref127) 23, 1065–1074.
- 49. [Frazier, A.E., Compton, A.G., Kishita, Y., Hock, D.H., Welch,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref153) [A.E., Amarasekera, S.S.C., Rius, R., Formosa, L.E., Imai-Oka](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref153)[zaki, A., Francis, D., et al. \(2021\). Fatal perinatal mitochon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref153)[drial cardiac failure caused by recurrent de novo duplications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref153) [in the ATAD3 locus. Med](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref153) 2, 49–73.
- 50. Romero, R., de la Fuente, L., Del Pozo-Valero, M., Riveiro-Álvarez, R., Trujillo-Tiebas, M.J., Martín-Mérida, I., Ávila-Fernández, A., Iancu, I.F., Perea-Romero, I., Núñez-Moreno, [G., et al. \(2022\). An evaluation of pipelines for DNA variant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref43) [detection can guide a reanalysis protocol to increase the diag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref43)[nostic ratio of genetic diseases. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref43) 7, 7.
- 51. [Fung, J.L.F., Yu, M.H.C., Huang, S., Chung, C.C.Y., Chan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref44) [M.C.Y., Pajusalu, S., Mak, C.C.Y., Hui, V.C.C., Tsang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref44) [M.H.Y., Yeung, K.S., et al. \(2020\). A three-year follow-up](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref44) [study evaluating clinical utility of exome sequencing and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref44) [diagnostic potential of reanalysis. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref44) 5, 37.
- 52. Berger, S.I., Miller, I., and Tochen, L. (2022). Recessive GCH1 Deficiency Causing DOPA-Responsive Dystonia Diagnosed

by Reported Negative Exome. Pediatrics 149, e2021052886. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-052886>.

- 53. [Al-Nabhani, M., Al-Rashdi, S., Al-Murshedi, F., Al-Kindi, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref46) [Al-Thihli, K., Al-Saegh, A., Al-Futaisi, A., Al-Mamari, W., Zad](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref46)[jali, F., and Al-Maawali, A. \(2018\). Reanalysis of exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref46) [sequencing data of intellectual disability samples: Yields](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref46) [and benefits. Clin. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref46) 94, 495–501.
- 54. Al-Murshedi, F., Meftah, D., and Scott, P. (2019). Underdiagnoses [resulting from variant misinterpretation: Time for systematic re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref47)[analysis of whole exome data? Eur. J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref47) 62, 39–43.
- 55. [Gordeeva, V., Sharova, E., Babalyan, K., Sultanov, R., Go](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref48)[vorun, V.M., and Arapidi, G. \(2021\). Benchmarking germline](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref48) [CNV calling tools from exome sequencing data. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref48) 11, [14416](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref48).
- 56. [Basel-Salmon, L., Orenstein, N., Markus-Bustani, K., Ruhr](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50)[man-Shahar, N., Kilim, Y., Magal, N., Hubshman, M.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50) [and Bazak, L. \(2019\). Improved diagnostics by exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50) [sequencing following raw data reevaluation by clinical ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50)[neticists involved in the medical care of the individuals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50) [tested. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref50) 21, 1443–1451.
- 57. [Karaca, E., Posey, J.E., Coban Akdemir, Z., Pehlivan, D., Harel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref51) [T., Jhangiani, S.N., Bayram, Y., Song, X., Bahrambeigi, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref51) [Yuregir, O.O., et al. \(2018\). Phenotypic expansion illumi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref51)[nates multilocus pathogenic variation. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref51) 20, [1528–1537.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref51)
- 58. [Deignan, J.L., Chung, W.K., Kearney, H.M., Monaghan, K.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52) [Rehder, C.W., Chao, E.C.; and ACMG Laboratory Quality As](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52)[suranceCommittee \(2019\). Points to consider in the reevalu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52)[ation and reanalysis of genomic test results: a statement of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52) [the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52) [\(ACMG\). Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref52) 21, 1267–1270.
- 59. [Leung, M.L., Ji, J., Baker, S., Buchan, J.G., Sivakumaran, T.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref53) [Krock, B.L., Hutchins, R., Bayrak-Toydemir, P., Pfeifer, J., Cre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref53)[mona, M.L., et al. \(2022\). A Framework of Critical Consider](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref53)[ations in Clinical Exome Reanalyses by Clinical and Labora](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref53)[tory Standards Institute. J. Mol. Diagn.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref53) 24, 177–188.
- 60. [Zenner, K., Jensen, D.M., Dmyterko, V., Shivaram, G.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref54) [Myers, C.T., Paschal, C.R., Rudzinski, E.R., Pham, M.H.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref54) [Cheng, V.C., Manning, S.C., et al. \(2022\). Somatic activating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref54) [BRAF variants cause isolated lymphatic malformations. HGG](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref54) Adv. 3[, 100101](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref54).
- 61. [Boycott, K.M., Azzariti, D.R., Hamosh, A., and Rehm, H.L.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref146) [\(2022\). Seven years since the launch of the Matchmaker Ex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref146)[change: The evolution of genomic matchmaking. Hum. Mu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref146)tat. 43[, 659–667.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref146)
- 62. [Costain, G., Walker, S., Marano, M., Veenma, D., Snell, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref55) [Curtis, M., Luca, S., Buera, J., Arje, D., Reuter, M.S., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref55) [\(2020\). Genome Sequencing as a Diagnostic Test in Children](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref55) [With Unexplained Medical Complexity. JAMA Netw. Open](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref55) 3[, e2018109](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref55).
- 63. Collins, R.L., Brand, H., Karczewski, K.J., Zhao, X., Alföldi, J., [Francioli, L.C., Khera, A.V., Lowther, C., Gauthier, L.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref56) [Wang, H., et al. \(2020\). A structural variation reference for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref56) [medical and population genetics. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref56) 581, 444–451.
- 64. [Austin-Tse, C.A., Jobanputra, V., Perry, D.L., Bick, D., Taft, R.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref57) [Venner, E., Gibbs, R.A., Young, T., Barnett, S., Belmont, J.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref57) [et al. \(2022\). Best practices for the interpretation and reporting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref57) [of clinical whole genome sequencing. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref57) 7, 27.
- 65. [Alfares, A., Aloraini, T., Subaie, L.A., Alissa, A., Qudsi, A.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref58) [Alahmad, A., Mutairi, F.A., Alswaid, A., Alothaim, A., Eyaid,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref58) [W., et al. \(2018\). Whole-genome sequencing offers addi-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref58)

[tional but limited clinical utility compared with reanalysis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref58) [of whole-exome sequencing. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref58) 20, 1328–1333.

- 66. [Lionel, A.C., Costain, G., Monfared, N., Walker, S., Reuter,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59) [M.S., Hosseini, S.M., Thiruvahindrapuram, B., Merico, D., Jo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59)[bling, R., Nalpathamkalam, T., et al. \(2018\). Improved diag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59)[nostic yield compared with targeted gene sequencing panels](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59) [suggests a role for whole-genome sequencing as a first-tier ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59)[netic test. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref59) 20, 435–443.
- 67. Cohen, A.S.A., Farrow, E.G., Abdelmoity, A.T., Alaimo, J.T., Amudhavalli, S.M., Anderson, J.T., Bansal, L., Bartik, L., Baybayan, P., Belden, B., et al. (2022). Genomic answers for children: Dynamic analyses of >1000 pediatric rare disease genomes. Genet. Med. 24, 1336–1348. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2022.02.007) [1016/j.gim.2022.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2022.02.007).
- 68. [Rajagopalan, R., Gilbert, M.A., McEldrew, D.A., Nassur, J.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61) [Loomes, K.M., Piccoli, D.A., Krantz, I.D., Conlin, L.K., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61) [Spinner, N.B. \(2021\). Genome sequencing increases diag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61)[nostic yield in clinically diagnosed Alagille syndrome pa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61)[tients with previously negative test results. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61) 23, [323–330.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref61)
- 69. [Lavelle, T.A., Feng, X., Keisler, M., Cohen, J.T., Neumann, P.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref63) [Prichard, D., Schroeder, B.E., Salyakina, D., Espinal, P.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref63) [Weidner, S.B., and Maron, J.L. \(2022\). Cost-effectiveness of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref63) [exome and genome sequencing for children with rare and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref63) [undiagnosed conditions. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref63) 24, 2415–2417.
- 70. [Incerti, D., Xu, X.M., Chou, J.W., Gonzaludo, N., Belmont,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref64) [J.W., and Schroeder, B.E. \(2022\). Cost-effectiveness of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref64) [genome sequencing for diagnosing patients with undiag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref64)[nosed rare genetic diseases. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref64) 24, 109–118.
- 71. Almogy G, Pratt M, Oberstrass F, Lee L., Mazur D., Beckett N., Barad O., Soifer I., Perelman E., Etzioni Y., et al. Cost-efficient whole genome-sequencing using novel mostly natural sequencing-by-synthesis chemistry and open fluidics platform.Preprint at bioRxiv. Published online August 10, 2022:2022.05.29.493900. doi:10.1101/2022.05.29.493900
- 72. De Coster, W., Weissensteiner, M.H., Sedlazeck, F.J., and Sedlazeck, F.J. (2021). Towards population-scale long-read sequencing. Nat. Rev. Genet. 22, 572-587. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3) [10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3)
- 73. [Logsdon, G.A., Vollger, M.R., and Eichler, E.E. \(2020\). Long](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref67)[read human genome sequencing and its applications. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref67) [Rev. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref67) 21, 597–614.
- 74. [Wenger, A.M., Peluso, P., Rowell, W.J., Chang, P.C., Hall, R.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref68) [Concepcion, G.T., Ebler, J., Fungtammasan, A., Kolesnikov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref68) [A., Olson, N.D., et al. \(2019\). Accurate circular consensus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref68) [long-read sequencing improves variant detection and assem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref68)[bly of a human genome. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref68) 37, 1155–1162.
- 75. [Clarke, J., Wu, H.C., Jayasinghe, L., Patel, A., Reid, S., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref69) [Bayley, H. \(2009\). Continuous base identification for sin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref69)[gle-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing. Nat. Nanotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref69) 4[, 265–270.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref69)
- 76. Galey M, Reed P, Wenger T,Beckman, E., Chang, I.J., Paschal, C.R., Buchan, J.G., Lockwood, C.M., Puia-Dumitrescu, M., Garalde, D.R. and Guillory, J. et al. 3-hour genome sequencing and targeted analysis to rapidly assess genetic risk.Preprint at medRxiv. Published online September 13, 2022:2022.09.09. 22279746. doi:10.1101/2022.09.09.22279746
- 77. [Gorzynski, J.E., Goenka, S.D., Shafin, K., Jensen, T.D., Fisk,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref71) [D.G., Grove, M.E., Spiteri, E., Pesout, T., Monlong, J., Baid,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref71) [G., et al. \(2022\). Ultrarapid Nanopore Genome Sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref71) [in a Critical Care Setting. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref71) 386, 700–702.
- 78. [Simpson, J.T., Workman, R.E., Zuzarte, P.C., David, M., Dursi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref72) [L.J., and Timp, W. \(2017\). Detecting DNA cytosine methyl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref72)[ation using nanopore sequencing. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref72) 14, [407–410.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref72)
- 79. [Flusberg, B.A., Webster, D.R., Lee, J.H., Travers, K.J., Olivares,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref73) [E.C., Clark, T.A., Korlach, J., and Turner, S.W. \(2010\). Direct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref73) [detection of DNA methylation during single-molecule, real](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref73)[time sequencing. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref73) 7, 461–465.
- 80. Mahmoud, M., Gobet, N., Cruz-Dávalos, D.I., Mounier, N., Dessimoz, C., and Sedlazeck, F.J. (2019). Structural variant calling: the long and the short of it. Genome Biol. 20, 246. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1828-7>.
- 81. [Sedlazeck, F.J., Lee, H., Darby, C.A., and Schatz, M.C. \(2018\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref75) [Piercing the dark matter: bioinformatics of long-range](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref75) [sequencing and mapping. Nat. Rev. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref75) 19, 329–346.
- 82. [Gilpatrick, T., Lee, I., Graham, J.E., Raimondeau, E., Bowen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref76) [R., Heron, A., Downs, B., Sukumar, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref76) [Timp, W. \(2020\). Targeted nanopore sequencing with Cas9](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref76) [guided adapter ligation. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref76) 38, 433–438.
- 83. [Walsh, T., Casadei, S., Munson, K.M., Eng, M., Mandell, J.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref77) [Gulsuner, S., and King, M.C. \(2021\). CRISPR-Cas9/long-read](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref77) [sequencing approach to identify cryptic mutations in BRCA1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref77) [and other tumour suppressor genes. J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref77) 58, [850–852.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref77)
- 84. [Stevanovski, I., Chintalaphani, S.R., Gamaarachchi, H., Fer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78)[guson, J.M., Pineda, S.S., Scriba, C.K., Tchan, M., Fung, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78) [Ng, K., Cortese, A., et al. \(2022\). Comprehensive genetic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78) [diagnosis of tandem repeat expansion disorders with pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78)[grammable targeted nanopore sequencing. Sci. Adv.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78) 8, [eabm5386](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref78).
- 85. Miller, D.E., Lee, L., Galey, M., Kandhaya-Pillai, R., Tischkowitz, M., Amalnath, D., Vithlani, A., Yokote, K., Kato, H., Maezawa, Y., et al. (2022). Targeted long-read sequencing identifies missing pathogenic variants in unsolved Werner syndrome cases. J. Med. Genet. 59, 1087–1094. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2022-108485) [org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2022-108485](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2022-108485).
- 86. [Cretu Stancu, M., van Roosmalen, M.J., Renkens, I., Nieboer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref81) [M.M., Middelkamp, S., de Ligt, J., Pregno, G., Giachino, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref81) [Mandrile, G., Espejo Valle-Inclan, J., et al. \(2017\). Mapping](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref81) [and phasing of structural variation in patient genomes using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref81) [nanopore sequencing. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref81) 8, 1326.
- 87. [Chaisson, M.J.P., Sanders, A.D., Zhao, X., Malhotra, A., Por](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref82)[ubsky, D., Rausch, T., Gardner, E.J., Rodriguez, O.L., Guo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref82) [L., Collins, R.L., et al. \(2019\). Multi-platform discovery of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref82) [haplotype-resolved structural variation in human genomes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref82) [Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref82) 10, 1784.
- 88. [Wagner, J., Olson, N.D., Harris, L., McDaniel, J., Cheng, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref83) [Fungtammasan, A., Hwang, Y.C., Gupta, R., Wenger, A.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref83) [Rowell, W.J., et al. \(2022\). Curated variation benchmarks](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref83) [for challenging medically relevant autosomal genes. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref83) [Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref83) 40, 672–680.
- 89. [Lee, I., Razaghi, R., Gilpatrick, T., Molnar, M., Gershman, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref84) [Sadowski, N., Sedlazeck, F.J., Hansen, K.D., Simpson, J.T., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref84) [Timp, W. \(2020\). Simultaneous profiling of chromatin acces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref84)[sibility and methylation on human cell lines with nanopore](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref84) [sequencing. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref84) 17, 1191–1199.
- 90. [Beyter, D., Ingimundardottir, H., Oddsson, A., Eggertsson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85) [H.P., Bjornsson, E., Jonsson, H., Atlason, B.A., Kristmunds](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85)[dottir, S., Mehringer, S., Hardarson, M.T., et al. \(2021\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85) [Long-read sequencing of 3,622 Icelanders provides insight](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85) [into the role of structural variants in human diseases and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85) [other traits. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref85) 53, 779–786.
- 91. Ebert, P., Audano, P.A., Zhu, Q., Rodriguez-Martin, B., Porubsky, D., Bonder, M.J., Sulovari, A., Ebler, J., Zhou, W., Serra Mari, R., et al. (2021). Haplotype-resolved diverse human genomes and integrated analysis of structural variation. Science 372, eabf7117. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf7117) [abf7117.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf7117)
- 92. [Audano, P.A., Sulovari, A., Graves-Lindsay, T.A., Cantsilieris,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref87) [S., Sorensen, M., Welch, A.E., Dougherty, M.L., Nelson, B.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref87) [Shah, A., Dutcher, S.K., et al. \(2019\). Characterizing the Ma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref87)[jor Structural Variant Alleles of the Human Genome. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref87) 176[, 663–675.e19](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref87).
- 93. [Bocklandt, S., Hastie, A., and Cao, H. \(2019\). Bionano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88) [Genome Mapping: High-Throughput, Ultra-Long Molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88) [Genome Analysis System for Precision Genome Assembly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88) [and Haploid-Resolved Structural Variation Discovery. In Sin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88)[gle Molecule and Single Cell Sequencing, Y. Suzuki, ed.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88) [\(Springer Singapore\), pp. 97–118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref88).
- 94. [Lam, E.T., Hastie, A., Lin, C., Ehrlich, D., Das, S.K., Austin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref89) [M.D., Deshpande, P., Cao, H., Nagarajan, N., Xiao, M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref89) [Kwok, P.Y. \(2012\). Genome mapping on nanochannel arrays](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref89) [for structural variation analysis and sequence assembly. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref89) [Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref89) 30, 771–776.
- 95. [Chan, S., Lam, E., Saghbini, M., Bocklandt, S., Hastie, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref90) [Cao, H., Holmlin, E., and Borodkin, M. \(2018\). Structural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref90) [Variation Detection and Analysis Using Bionano Optical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref90) [Mapping. Methods Mol. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref90) 1833, 193–203.
- 96. [Barseghyan, H., Tang, W., Wang, R.T., Almalvez, M., Segura,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref91) E., Bramble, M.S., Lipson, A., Douine, E.D., Lee, H., Délot, [E.C., et al. \(2017\). Next-generation mapping: a novel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref91) [approach for detection of pathogenic structural variants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref91) [with a potential utility in clinical diagnosis. Genome Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref91) 9[, 90.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref91)
- 97. Schnause, A.C., Komlosi, K., Herr, B., Neesen, J., Dremsek, P., Schwarz, T., Tzschach, A., Jägle, S., Lausch, E., Fischer, J., and Gläser, B. (2021). Marfan Syndrome Caused by Disruption of the FBN1 Gene due to A Reciprocal Chromosome Translocation. Genes 12, 1836. [https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12111836.](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12111836)
- 98. [Sabatella, M., Mantere, T., Waanders, E., Neveling, K., Men](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93)[senkamp, A.R., van Dijk, F., Hehir-Kwa, J.Y., Derks, R., Kwint,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93) [M., O'Gorman, L., et al. \(2021\). Optical genome mapping](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93) [identifies a germline retrotransposon insertion in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93) [SMARCB1 in two siblings with atypical teratoid rhabdoid tu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93)[mors. J. Pathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref93) 255, 202–211.
- 99. [Chen, M., Zhang, M., Qian, Y., Yang, Y., Sun, Y., Liu, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref95) [Wang, L., and Dong, M. \(2020\). Identification of a likely](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref95) [pathogenic structural variation in the LAMA1 gene by Bio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref95)[nano optical mapping. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref95) 5, 31.
- 100. [Fahiminiya, S., Rivard, G.E., Scott, P., Montpetit, A., Bacot, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref96) [St-Louis, J., Mitchell, G.A., Foulkes, W.D., Soucy, J.F., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref96) [Gauthier, J. \(2021\). A full molecular picture of F8 intron 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref96) [inversion created with optical genome mapping. Haemo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref96)philia 27[, e638–e640.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref96)
- 101. [Stence, A.A., Thomason, J.G., Pruessner, J.A., Sompallae,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref97) [R.R., Snow, A.N., Ma, D., Moore, S.A., and Bossler, A.D.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref97) [\(2021\). Validation of Optical Genome Mapping for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref97) [Molecular Diagnosis of Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref97)[trophy. J. Mol. Diagn.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref97) 23, 1506–1514.
- 102. [Dai, Y., Li, P., Wang, Z., Liang, F., Yang, F., Fang, L., Huang, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref98) [Huang, S., Zhou, J., Wang, D., et al. \(2020\). Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref98) [optical mapping enables quantitative measurement of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref98) [D4Z4 repeats in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref98) [\(FSHD\). J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref98) 57, 109–120.
- 103. [Jaganathan, K., Kyriazopoulou Panagiotopoulou, S., McRae,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref99) [J.F., Darbandi, S.F., Knowles, D., Li, Y.I., Kosmicki, J.A., Arbe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref99)[laez, J., Cui, W., Schwartz, G.B., et al. \(2019\). Predicting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref99) [Splicing from Primary Sequence with Deep Learning. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref99) 176[, 535–548.e24](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref99).
- 104. Smedley, D., Schubach, M., Jacobsen, J.O.B., Köhler, S., Zemojtel, T., Spielmann, M., Jäger, M., Hochheiser, H., Wash[ington, N.L., McMurry, J.A., et al. \(2016\). A Whole-Genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref100) [Analysis Framework for Effective Identification of Patho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref100)[genic Regulatory Variants in Mendelian Disease. Am. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref100) [Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref100) 99, 595–606.
- 105. Frésard, L., Smail, C., Ferraro, N.M., Teran, N.A., Li, X., Smith, [K.S., Bonner, D., Kernohan, K.D., Marwaha, S., Zappala, Z.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref101) [et al. \(2019\). Identification of rare-disease genes using blood](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref101) [transcriptome sequencing and large control cohorts. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref101) Med. 25[, 911–919](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref101).
- 106. Ferraro, N.M., Strober, B.J., Einson, J., Abell, N.S., Aguet, F., Barbeira, A.N., Brandt, M., Bucan, M., Castel, S.E., Davis, J.R., et al. (2020). Transcriptomic signatures across human tissues identify functional rare genetic variation. Science 369, eaaz5900. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5900>.
- 107. [Pala, M., Zappala, Z., Marongiu, M., Li, X., Davis, J.R., Cu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref103)[sano, R., Crobu, F., Kukurba, K.R., Gloudemans, M.J., Reinier,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref103) [F., et al. \(2017\). Population- and individual-specific regulato](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref103)[ry variation in Sardinia. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref103) 49, 700–707.
- 108. [Lord, J., and Baralle, D. \(2021\). Splicing in the Diagnosis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref104) [Rare Disease: Advances and Challenges. Front. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref104) 12, [689892.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref104)
- 109. Montgomery, S.B., Bernstein, J.A., and Wheeler, M.T. (2022). Toward transcriptomics as a primary tool for rare disease investigation. Cold Spring Harb. Mol. Case Stud. 8, a006198. <https://doi.org/10.1101/mcs.a006198>.
- 110. Cummings, B.B., Marshall, J.L., Tukiainen, T., Lek, M., Donkervoort, S., Foley, A.R., Bolduc, V., Waddell, L.B., Sandaradura, S.A., O'Grady, G.L., et al. (2017). Improving genetic diagnosis in Mendelian disease with transcriptome sequencing. Sci. Transl. Med. 9, eaal5209. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aal5209) [10.1126/scitranslmed.aal5209.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aal5209)
- 111. [Lee, H., Huang, A.Y., Wang, L.K., Yoon, A.J., Renteria, G., Es](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref107)[kin, A., Signer, R.H., Dorrani, N., Nieves-Rodriguez, S., Wan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref107) [J., et al. \(2020\). Diagnostic utility of transcriptome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref107) [sequencing for rare Mendelian diseases. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref107) 22, [490–499.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref107)
- 112. [Kremer, L.S., Bader, D.M., Mertes, C., Kopajtich, R., Pichler,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref108) [G., Iuso, A., Haack, T.B., Graf, E., Schwarzmayr, T., Terrile,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref108) [C., et al. \(2017\). Genetic diagnosis of Mendelian disorders](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref108) [via RNA sequencing. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref108) 8, 15824.
- 113. Murdock, D.R., Dai, H., Burrage, L.C., Rosenfeld, J.A., Ketkar, S., Müller, M.F., Yépez, V.A., Gagneur, J., Liu, P., Chen, S., et al. (2021). Transcriptome-directed analysis for Mendelian disease diagnosis overcomes limitations of conventional genomic testing. J. Clin. Invest. 131, e141500. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI141500) [org/10.1172/JCI141500](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI141500).
- 114. [Aicher, J.K., Jewell, P., Vaquero-Garcia, J., Barash, Y., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref110) [Bhoj, E.J. \(2020\). Mapping RNA splicing variations in clini](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref110)[cally accessible and nonaccessible tissues to facilitate Mende](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref110)[lian disease diagnosis using RNA-seq. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref110) 22, [1181–1190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref110)
- 115. [Rentas, S., Rathi, K.S., Kaur, M., Raman, P., Krantz, I.D., Sar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref111)[mady, M., and Tayoun, A.A. \(2020\). Diagnosing Cornelia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref111) [de Lange syndrome and related neurodevelopmental disor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref111)[ders using RNA sequencing. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref111) 22, 927–936.
- 116. [Zhang, Z., van Dijk, F., de Klein, N., van Gijn, M.E., Franke,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref113) [L.H., Sinke, R.J., Swertz, M.A., and van der Velde, K.J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref113) [\(2021\). Feasibility of predicting allele specific expression](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref113) [from DNA sequencing using machine learning. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref113) 11[, 10606.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref113)
- 117. [Gui, B., Slone, J., and Huang, T. \(2017\). Perspective: Is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref114) [Random Monoallelic Expression a Contributor to Pheno](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref114)[typic Variability of Autosomal Dominant Disorders? Front.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref114) [Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref114) 8, 191.
- 118. [Sun, Y., Luo, Y., Qian, Y., Chen, M., Wang, L., Li, H., Zou, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref115) [and Dong, M. \(2019\). Heterozygous Deletion of the SHOX](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref115) [Gene Enhancer in two Females With Clinical Heterogeneity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref115) [Associating With Skewed XCI and Escaping XCI. Front.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref115) [Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref115) 10, 1086.
- 119. [Tukiainen, T., Villani, A.C., Yen, A., Rivas, M.A., Marshall,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref116) [J.L., Satija, R., Aguirre, M., Gauthier, L., Fleharty, M., Kirby,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref116) [A., et al. \(2017\). Landscape of X chromosome inactivation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref116) [across human tissues. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref116) 550, 244–248.
- 120. [Castel, S.E., Levy-Moonshine, A., Mohammadi, P., Banks, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref117) [and Lappalainen, T. \(2015\). Tools and best practices for data](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref117) [processing in allelic expression analysis. Genome Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref117) 16[, 195.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref117)
- 121. [Oliver, G.R., Tang, X., Schultz-Rogers, L.E., Vidal-Folch, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref118) [Jenkinson, W.G., Schwab, T.L., Gaonkar, K., Cousin, M.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref118) [Nair, A., Basu, S., et al. \(2019\). A tailored approach to fusion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref118) [transcript identification increases diagnosis of rare inherited](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref118) [disease. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref118) 14, e0223337.
- 122. [Byrne, A., Beaudin, A.E., Olsen, H.E., Jain, M., Cole, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref119) [Palmer, T., DuBois, R.M., Forsberg, E.C., Akeson, M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref119) [Vollmers, C. \(2017\). Nanopore long-read RNAseq reveals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref119) [widespread transcriptional variation among the surface re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref119)[ceptors of individual B cells. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref119) 8, 16027.
- 123. [Uapinyoying, P., Goecks, J., Knoblach, S.M., Panchapakesan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref120) [K., Bonnemann, C.G., Partridge, T.A., Jaiswal, J.K., and Hoff](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref120)[man, E.P. \(2020\). A long-read RNA-seq approach to identify](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref120) [novel transcripts of very large genes. Genome Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref120) 30, [885–897.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref120)
- 124. [De Roeck, A., Van den Bossche, T., van der Zee, J., Verheijen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121) [J., De Coster, W., Van Dongen, J., Dillen, L., Baradaran-Her](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121)[avi, Y., Heeman, B., Sanchez-Valle, R., et al.; European](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121) [Early-Onset Dementia EU EOD consortium \(2017\). Delete](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121)[rious ABCA7 mutations and transcript rescue mechanisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121) [in early onset Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121) 134, [475–487.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref121)
- 125. [Nattestad, M., Goodwin, S., Ng, K., Baslan, T., Sedlazeck, F.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref122) [Rescheneder, P., Garvin, T., Fang, H., Gurtowski, J., Hutton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref122) [E., et al. \(2018\). Complex rearrangements and oncogene am](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref122)[plifications revealed by long-read DNA and RNA sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref122) [of a breast cancer cell line. Genome Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref122) 28, 1126–1135.
- 126. [Garalde, D.R., Snell, E.A., Jachimowicz, D., Sipos, B., Lloyd,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref123) [J.H., Bruce, M., Pantic, N., Admassu, T., James, P., Warland,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref123) [A., et al. \(2018\). Highly parallel direct RNA sequencing on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref123) [an array of nanopores. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref123) 15, 201–206.
- 127. [Jain, M., Abu-Shumays, R., Olsen, H.E., and Akeson, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref124) [\(2022\). Advances in nanopore direct RNA sequencing. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref124) Methods 19[, 1160–1164](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref124).
- 128. [Fahrner, J.A., and Bjornsson, H.T. \(2014\). Mendelian disor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref125)[ders of the epigenetic machinery: tipping the balance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref125) [chromatin states. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref125) 15, [269–293.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref125)
- 129. [Aref-Eshghi, E., Rodenhiser, D.I., Schenkel, L.C., Lin, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref126) Skinner, C., Ainsworth, P., Paré, G., Hood, R.L., Bulman,

[D.E., Kernohan, K.D., et al. \(2018\). Genomic DNA Methyl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref126)[ation Signatures Enable Concurrent Diagnosis and Clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref126) [Genetic Variant Classification in Neurodevelopmental Syn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref126)[dromes. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref126) 102, 156–174.

- 130. [Aref-Eshghi, E., Bend, E.G., Colaiacovo, S., Caudle, M., Chak](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128)[rabarti, R., Napier, M., Brick, L., Brady, L., Carere, D.A., Levy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128) [M.A., et al. \(2019\). Diagnostic Utility of Genome-wide DNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128) [Methylation Testing in Genetically Unsolved Individuals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128) [with Suspected Hereditary Conditions. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128) 104[, 685–700.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref128)
- 131. [Laird, P.W. \(2010\). Principles and challenges of genomewide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref129) [DNA methylation analysis. Nat. Rev. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref129) 11, 191–203.
- 132. [Chater-Diehl, E., Goodman, S.J., Cytrynbaum, C., Turinsky,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref130) [A.L., Choufani, S., and Weksberg, R. \(2021\). Anatomy of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref130) [DNA methylation signatures: Emerging insights and applica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref130)[tions. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref130) 108, 1359–1366.
- 133. [Montano, C., Britton, J.F., Harris, J.R., Kerkhof, J., Barnes,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref131) [B.T., Lee, J.A., Sadikovic, B., Sobreira, N., and Fahrner, J.A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref131) [\(2022\). Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling confirms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref131) [a case of low-level mosaic Kabuki syndrome 1. Am. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref131) Genet. 188[, 2217–2225.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref131)
- 134. [Srivastava, S., Love-Nichols, J.A., Dies, K.A., Ledbetter, D.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132) [Martin, C.L., Chung, W.K., Firth, H.V., Frazier, T., Hansen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132) [R.L., Prock, L., et al. \(2019\). Meta-analysis and multidisci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132)[plinary consensus statement: exome sequencing is a first](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132)[tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with neurodeve](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132)[lopmental disorders. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref132) 21, 2413–2421.
- 135. [Stenton, S.L., Kremer, L.S., Kopajtich, R., Ludwig, C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref133) [Prokisch, H. \(2020\). The diagnosis of inborn errors of meta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref133)bolism by an integrative "multi-omics" approach: A perspec[tive encompassing genomics, transcriptomics, and prote](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref133)[omics. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref133) 43, 25–35.
- 136. [Khan, S., Ince-Dunn, G., Suomalainen, A., and Elo, L.L.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref134) [\(2020\). Integrative omics approaches provide biological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref134) [and clinical insights: examples from mitochondrial diseases.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref134) [J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref134) 130, 20–28.
- 137. Almeida, L.S., Pereira, C., Aanicai, R., Schröder, S., Bochinski, T., Kaune, A., Urzi, A., Spohr, T.C.L.S., Viceconte, N., Oppermann, S., et al. (2022). An integrated multiomic approach as an excellent tool for the diagnosis of metabolic diseases: our first 3720 patients. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 30, 1029–1035. Published online May 25. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01119-5) [01119-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01119-5).
- 138. [Alston, C.L., Stenton, S.L., Hudson, G., Prokisch, H., and Tay](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref136)[lor, R.W. \(2021\). The genetics of mitochondrial disease: dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref136)[secting mitochondrial pathology using multi-omic pipe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref136)[lines. J. Pathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref136) 254, 430–442.
- 139. [Labory, J., Fierville, M., Ait-El-Mkadem, S., Bannwarth, S., Pa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref137)[quis-Flucklinger, V., and Bottini, S. \(2020\). Multi-Omics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref137) [Approaches to Improve Mitochondrial Disease Diagnosis:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref137) [Challenges, Advances, and Perspectives. Front. Mol. Biosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref137) 7[, 590842.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref137)
- 140. [Zhang, W., Cui, H., and Wong, L.J.C. \(2012\). Comprehensive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref138) [one-step molecular analyses of mitochondrial genome by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref138) [massively parallel sequencing. Clin. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref138) 58, 1322–1331.
- 141. [Almontashiri, N.A.M., Zha, L., Young, K., Law, T., Kellogg, M.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref139) [Bodamer, O.A., and Peake, R.W.A. \(2020\). Clinical Validation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref139) [Targeted and Untargeted Metabolomics Testing for Genetic Dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref139)[orders: A 3 Year Comparative Study. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref139) 10, 9382.
- 142. [Alaimo, J.T., Glinton, K.E., Liu, N., Xiao, J., Yang, Y., Reid Sut](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref140)[ton, V., and Elsea, S.H. \(2020\). Integrated analysis of metab](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref140)[olomic profiling and exome data supplements sequence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref140)

[variant interpretation, classification, and diagnosis. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref140) Med. 22[, 1560–1566](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref140).

- 143. [Bongaerts, M., Bonte, R., Demirdas, S., Huidekoper, H.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141) [Langendonk, J., Wilke, M., de Valk, W., Blom, H.J., Reinders,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141) [M.J.T., and Ruijter, G.J.G. \(2022 Jul\). Integration of metabo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141)[lomics with genomics: Metabolic gene prioritization using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141) [metabolomics data and genomic variant \(CADD\) scores.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141) [Mol. Genet. Metab.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref141) 136, 199–218.
- 144. [Graham Linck, E.J., Richmond, P.A., Tarailo-Graovac, M., En](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142)[gelke, U., Kluijtmans, L.A.J., Coene, K.L.M., Wevers, R.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142) [Wasserman, W., van Karnebeek, C.D.M., and Mostafavi, S.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142) [\(2020\). metPropagate: network-guided propagation of me](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142)[tabolomic information for prioritization of metabolic disease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142) [genes. NPJ Genom. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref142) 5, 25.
- 145. [Thistlethwaite, L.R., Li, X., Burrage, L.C., Riehle, K., Hacia,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143) [J.G., Braverman, N., Wangler, M.F., Miller, M.J., Elsea, S.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143) [and Milosavljevic, A. \(2022\). Clinical diagnosis of metabolic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143) [disorders using untargeted metabolomic profiling and dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143)[ease-specific networks learned from profiling data. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143) 12[, 6556](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref143).
- 146. [Suhre, K., McCarthy, M.I., and Schwenk, J.M. \(2021\). Ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref144)[netics meets proteomics: perspectives for large population](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref144)[based studies. Nat. Rev. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref144) 22, 19–37.
- 147. [Grabowski, P., Hesse, S., Hollizeck, S., Rohlfs, M., Behrends,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref145) U., Sherkat, R., Tamary, H., Ünal, E., Somech, R., Patıroğlu, [T., et al. \(2019\). Proteome Analysis of Human Neutrophil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref145) [Granulocytes From Patients With Monogenic Disease Using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref145) [Data-independent Acquisition. Mol. Cell. Proteomics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref145) 18, [760–772.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref145)
- 148. [Baynam, G., Pachter, N., McKenzie, F., Townshend, S., Slee,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) [J., Kiraly-Borri, C., Vasudevan, A., Hawkins, A., Broley, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) [Schofield, L., et al. \(2016\). The rare and undiagnosed diseases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) [diagnostic service - application of massively parallel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) [sequencing in a state-wide clinical service. Orphanet J. Rare](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) [Dis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref158) 11, 77.
- 149. [Boycott, K.M., Hartley, T., Kernohan, K.D., Dyment, D.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref155) [Howley, H., Innes, A.M., Bernier, F.P., Brudno, M.; and Care4-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref155) [Rare Canada Consortium \(2022\). Care4Rare Canada: Out](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref155)[comes from a decade of network science for rare disease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref155) [gene discovery. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref155) 109, 1947–1959.
- 150. Lochmüller, H., Badowska, D.M., Thompson, R., Knoers, [N.V., Aartsma-Rus, A., Gut, I., Wood, L., Harmuth, T., Duru](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160)[das, A., Graessner, H., et al.; RD-Connect consortium; Neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160)[rOmics consortium; and EURenOmics consortium \(2018\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160) [RD-Connect, NeurOmics and EURenOmics: collaborative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160) [European initiative for rare diseases. Eur. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160) 26[, 778–785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref160).
- 151. [Salvatore, M., Polizzi, A., De Stefano, M.C., Floridia, G., Bal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref162)[dovino, S., Roccatello, D., Sciascia, S., Menegatti, E., Re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref162)[muzzi, G., Daina, E., et al. \(2020\). Improving diagnosis for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref162) [rare diseases: the experience of the Italian undiagnosed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref162) [Rare diseases network. Ital. J. Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref162) 46, 130.
- 152. [Takahashi, Y., and Mizusawa, H. \(2021\). Initiative on Rare](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref159) [and Undiagnosed Disease in Japan. JMA J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref159) 4, 112–118.
- 153. [Kim, S.Y., Lee, S., Woo, H., Han, J., Ko, Y.J., Shim, Y., Park, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref157) [Jang, S.S., Lim, B.C., Ko, J.M., et al. \(2022\). The Korean undi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref157)[agnosed diseases program phase I: expansion of the nation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref157)[wide network and the development of long-term infrastruc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref157)[ture. Orphanet J. Rare Dis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref157) 17, 372.
- 154. López-Martín, E., Martínez-Delgado, B., Bermejo-Sánchez, E., Alonso, J., SpainUDP Network, and Posada, M. (2018). SpainUDP: The Spanish Undiagnosed Rare Diseases Program. Int

J Environ Res Public Health 15. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15081746) [ijerph15081746](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15081746).

- 155. [Wright, C.F., Fitzgerald, T.W., Jones, W.D., Clayton, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156) [McRae, J.F., van Kogelenberg, M., King, D.A., Ambridge, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156) [Barrett, D.M., Bayzetinova, T., et al.; DDD study \(2015\). Ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156)[netic diagnosis of developmental disorders in the DDD](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156) [study: a scalable analysis of genome-wide research data. Lan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156)cet 385[, 1305–1314.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref156)
- 156. [100,000 Genomes Project Pilot Investigators, Smedley, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163) [Smith, K.R., Martin, A., Thomas, E.A., McDonagh, E.M., Ci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163)[priani, V., Ellingford, J.M., Arno, G., Tucci, A., Vandrovcova, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163) [et al. \(2021\). The 100,000 Genomes Project Pilot Investigators.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163) [100,000 Genomes Pilot on Rare-Disease Diagnosis in Health](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163) [Care — Preliminary Report. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref163) 385, 1868–1880.
- 157. [Cloney, T., Gallacher, L., Pais, L.S., Tan, N.B., Yeung, A., Stark,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref154) [Z., Brown, N.J., McGillivray, G., Delatycki, M.B., de Silva,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref154) [M.G., et al. \(2022\). Lessons learnt from multifaceted diagnostic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref154) [approaches to the first 150 families in Victoria's Undiagnosed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref154) [Diseases Program. J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref154) 59, 748–758.
- 158. [Halley, M.C., Young, J.L., Fernandez, L., Kohler, J.N., Undiag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147)[nosed Diseases Network, Bernstein, J.A., Wheeler, M.T., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147) [Tabor, H.K. \(2022\). Perceived utility and disutility of genomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147) [sequencing for pediatric patients: Perspectives from parents](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147) [with diverse sociodemographic characteristics. Am. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147) Genet. 188[, 1088–1101.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref147)
- 159. [Posey, J.E., Rosenfeld, J.A., James, R.A., Bainbridge, M., Niu,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref148) [Z., Wang, X., Dhar, S., Wiszniewski, W., Akdemir, Z.H.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref148) [Gambin, T., et al. \(2016\). Molecular diagnostic experience](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref148) [of whole-exome sequencing in adult patients. Genet. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref148) 18[, 678–685](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref148).
- 160. [Balci, T.B., Hartley, T., Xi, Y., Dyment, D.A., Beaulieu, C.L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref149) [Bernier, F.P., Dupuis, L., Horvath, G.A., Mendoza-Londono,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref149) [R., Prasad, C., et al. \(2017\). Debunking Occam's razor: Diag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref149)[nosing multiple genetic diseases in families by whole-exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref149) [sequencing. Clin. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref149) 92, 281–289.
- 161. Lowther C, Valkanas E, Giordano JL,Wang, H.Z., Currall, B.B., O'Keefe, K., Collins, R.L., Zhao, X., Austin-Tse, C.A., Evangelista, E. and et al. Systematic evaluation of genome sequencing as a first-tier diagnostic test for prenatal and pediatric disorders. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Published online August 13, 2020:2020.08.12.248526. doi:10.1101/ 2020.08.12.248526
- 162. [Nurk, S., Koren, S., Rhie, A., Rautiainen, M., Bzikadze, A.V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref151) [Mikheenko, A., Vollger, M.R., Altemose, N., Uralsky, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref151) [Gershman, A., et al. \(2022\). The complete sequence of a hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref151)[man genome. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref151) 376, 44–53.
- 163. [Aganezov, S., Yan, S.M., Soto, D.C., Kirsche, M., Zarate, S., Av](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref152)[deyev, P., Taylor, D.J., Shafin, K., Shumate, A., Xiao, C., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref152) [\(2022\). A complete reference genome improves analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref152) [human genetic variation. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(23)00210-0/sref152) 376, eabl3533.