

The effects of equine-assisted activities on execution function in children aged 7-8 years: A randomized controlled trial

XiaoDong Cheng¹ \bigcirc | KeXin Zhen² | Yongzhao Fan¹ | Qian Tang³ |

Revised: 21 June 2023

Hao Wu¹

¹School of Kinesiology and Health, Capital University of Physical Education and Sports, Beijing, China

²Department of Physical Education, Beijing Foreign Studies University, Beijing, China

³College of Public Education, Huainan Union University, Huainan, China

Correspondence

Qian Tang, Huainan Union University, Huainan 232038, China. Email: ahtangqian@126.com

Hao Wu, Capital University of Physical

Education and Sports, Beijing 100191, China. Email: wuhao@cupes.edu.cn

Abstract

Background: : This study examines the effects of equine-assisted activity (EAA) training on executive functioning (EF) (inhibitory control, working memory, and cognitive flexibility) in children aged 7-8 years.

Methods: Twenty-Four healthy children aged 7–8 years with a 1:1 ratio of boys to girls were randomly divided into EAA group (EAAG) or control group (CG). The subjects in EAAG were trained for 12 weeks, and CG participated in normal daily activities. All subjects conducted the Flanker, 1-Back, and More-odd shifting tasks at rest and recorded the average reaction times (RTs) and accuracy data of each task.

Results: : After 12 weeks of EAA intervention, EAAG showed a highly significant increase (p < .01) in mean RTs and accuracy in the Flanker and More-odd shifting tasks and a highly significant increase (p < .01) in accuracy only in 1-Back.

Conclusion: : These findings suggest that 12-week EAA training can be effective in improving EF and promoting cognitive performance in children aged 7-8 years.

KEYWORDS

equine-assisted activities, execution function, inhibitory control, working memory, cognitive flexibility, children

1 | INTRODUCTION

Executive function (EF), executive control or cognitive control, is a top-down mental process that requires attention and attentive participation and is considered inappropriate, inadequate, or impossible when automated or operated through instinct or intuition (Burgess & Simons, 2005; Espy, 2004; Miller & Cohen, 2001). Studies have shown that EF includes inhibitory control (IC), working memory (WM), and cognitive flexibility (CF) (Diamond, 2013; Miyake et al., 2000), which play crucial roles in higher level cognitive functions, including reasoning and problem-solving (Amunts et al., 2021). IC refers to the individual's ability to consciously control, inhibit, or override a superior response, or the ability to ignore irrelevant information or distractions in the

environment and focus on relevant information (Miyake et al., 2000). WM is the ability to temporarily retain relevant information and enable an individual to manipulate or further process that information (Baddeley, 2012). CF was the ability to, depending on the task requirements, shift attention or response strategies between mental sets (Miyake et al., 2000). Furthermore, it plays an important role in the performance of children at critical periods of growth and development (McClelland & Cameron, 2019).

Sport is a physical activity with a clear goal, governed by formal rules and concerned with physical movement (Contreras-Osorio et al., 2021). Previous studies have shown a positive association between physical activity and EF (Contreras-Osorio et al., 2021). Xu et al. (2022) found that the high-frequency training group showed

XiaoDong Cheng and KeXin Zhen contributed equally.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Brain and Behavior published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

significant improvements in WM and CF by setting up high-frequency, low-frequency, and control groups (CGs) in a basketball intervention. Similarly, the Ishihara et al. (2017) study showed that tennis game instruction had a positive effect on IC and fitness levels, and that longer coordination training was associated with better WM. In addition, Alesi et al. (2016) suggested that the football group at post-test showed significantly larger gains than the sedentary group on measures of agility, visuospatial WM, attention, planning, and IC. In general, both team sports and individual sports can be effective in improving EF. Therefore, monitoring EF development during childhood is essential.

en Acce

But scarce studies have examined the link between equine-assisted activities (EAAs) and EF performance because few studies to date have attempted to assess EF performance using specific EF assessment tasks. However, studies did imply such a link between EAA and cognitive processes associated with EF. For example, Bass et al. (2009) found improvements in attention skills and distractibility, one of the key skills of EF, when they examined 7-year-old children with autism who participated in a therapeutic equine program. Similarly, Kaiser et al. (2006) found that child-reported levels of inattention, as well as parent-reported levels of inattention and impulsivity, improved following participation in an equine program for sixth- and eighth-grade boys enrolled in a school-based special education program. Recent findings show that children diagnosed with psychiatric disorders have improved general intelligence as measured by the Ravens Progressive Matrices after completing an 8-week equine program (Hession et al., 2014). Such correlational studies provide preliminary support for an association between EAA and EF performances. Unfortunately, most studies have been conducted on children with atypical development, and no studies have been found to correlate with normal children aged 7-8 years. Given that physical activity is associated with the performance of EF. EAA as a physical activity should show a similar association.

In summary, there is a paucity of research on the effects of EAA on EF in normal children. So, the primary hypothesis of this study was a positive effect of 12 weeks of EAA on three sub-components of EFs (IC, WM, and CF) in normal children aged 7–8 years.

2 | METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1 | Subjects and study design

2.1.1 | Subjects

This study was a randomized controlled trial study. The trial starts in early April 2022 and ends in late August 2022. Subjects undergo a 12-week EAA training intervention at the Maple Leaf International School-Xi'an equestrian base. The number of experimental subjects was calculated by G*Power software (Version No.3.1.9.7.; Franz Faul University Kiel, Germany) (Faul et al., 2007). The specific parameters were set as follows: $\alpha = 0.05$, power = 0.85, effect size = 0.35, statistical test = repeated measures, number of groups = 2, and number of measurements = 2. With this condition set, there were two groups of 11 people each. However, considering the accuracy of the experiment and the reliability of the data, 13 people per group were finally selected. However, 2 people did not complete the full training intervention in the actual training, and the final number of people who completed the experiment was 24. Yet, unlike other sports, EAA has certain requirements for subjects. Inclusion criteria included: (i) age 7–8 years with normal intelligence and no cognitive disorders; (ii) right-handed; (iii) in good health, without sports injuries and mental illnesses, and taking no medications; (iv) not enrolled in horseback riding training in the last 6 months; (v) no history of horsehair allergies; (vi) no fear of horses, with boldness, strong will, and high interest in horseback riding. Exclusion criteria included: (i) obesity, BMI \geq 24; (ii) motor impairment and physical disability; (iii) participation in multiple sports training on Saturdays and Sundays; (iv) unwillingness to cooperate with horseback riding–related movements in the experimental intervention; (v) fear of horses.

A total of 24 children aged 7–8 years were recruited from Maple Leaf International School-Xi'an in a 1:1 boy and girl ratio and divided into an EAA group (EAAG) and a CG for relevant training interventions. The EAAG continued to participate in 12 weeks of EAA training, training to try to dislodge other external factors, such as colds, fevers, and sports injuries, whereas CG undertook normal daily physical education school and did not participate in other physical activities of higher intensity. The institutional ethical committee of the Capital University of Physical Education and Sports, Beijing, China approved all procedures and protocols (No. 2021A41). It should be noted that all subjects were accompanied by their parents as they learned about the contents of the training intervention and signed an informed consent form. Specific information for all subjects is shown in Table 1.

2.1.2 | Study design

In this experiment, subjects were numbered and randomized into EAAG and CG by the random function method in Excel 2019, with 12 people in each group, and then a randomized controlled trial design of 2 (group: EAAG, CG)×2 (time: pre-test, post-test). A week before the experiment, the pro-test indicators were tested: demographic variables, Flanker task, 1-Back, and More-odd shifting. At the end of the 12-week intervention, relevant indicators were tested 1 week after the experiment, and the test indicators were consistent with the pro-test. The testing and training timeline are shown in Figure 1. Importantly, during the monitoring process, the room lights were dimmed, and the room environment was quiet. Furthermore, during the test, subjects were asked to keep their posture stable and remain as still as possible.

2.2 Intervention

This experimental protocol was selected from Cook and Frederick's (2016) "Incorporating Play into Hippotherapy A Companion Book to the Brown Pony Series" in improving riders' cognition, social interaction skills, coordination, and neural control is feasible and effective. With 12 weeks of EAA, 2 training times per week, 45–55 min each, the

Brain and Behavior

TABLE 1	Basic subject information.
---------	----------------------------

Group	Number	Age	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
EAAG	12	7-8	127.2 ± 6.2	27.7 ± 3.6	16.5 ± 1.5
CG	12	7-8	128.6 ± 7.2	27.5 ± 3.5	16.2 ± 1.6

Abbreviations: CG, control group; EAAG, equine-assisted activity group.



FIGURE 1 Testing and training timeline.

experimental intervention was conducted from 15:50 to 17:50 p.m. on the first and third of the week. In addition, the experiment was conducted by six MingLiu Horse Club instructors, each responsible for 2–3 children aged 7–8 years. A total of six horses were used in the experiment, each of which was examined by a veterinarian without any health problems and evaluated by a trainer in order to prevent influencing the results of the experiment.

2.3 | Measures

The IC, WM, and CF were evaluated by Flanker task (Zhan et al., 2020), 1-Back (Ji & Wang, 2018), and More-odd shifting (Tian et al., 2021), respectively. The Flanker task, 1-Back, and More-odd shifting were designed using E-Prime 2.0 (Psychology Software Tools Inc.). This task was exhibited on a 15.6-in. monitor that was 80 cm away from the subjects. The congruent and incongruent reaction times (RTs) and accuracy statistics were performed on the test results at the end of the Flanker task and More-odd shifting tests. However, the RTs and accuracy were recorded at the end of the 1-Back test.

2.3.1 | Flanker task

In the experimental task, subjects were required to focus on the "+" sign in the middle of the screen to indicate the start of the task. This is followed by a sequence of five letter combinations according to the letters that appear on the screen for 1000 ms, with the gaze point being the position where the middle arrow is located and the stimulus interval being 1 s. This string of letters may appear as follows: congruent conditions, such as "FFFFFF" and "LLLLLL"; incongruent conditions, such as "LLFLL" and "FFLLFF." The experiment required the subjects to respond to the middle letter as quickly and correctly as possible by pressing the "F" key on the keyboard with the index finger if it was an

"F" and the "L" key if it was an "L." The two conditions were presented equally and randomly, and the formal test consisted of 2 sections, each of which required 60 judgments and 12 practice sessions before the formal test.

2.3.2 | 1-Back

During an experimental task, a prompt sign "+" is displayed in the center of the screen to signal the start of that task. In the experimental task, the five letters of the alphabet "B, D, L, Y, and P" were presented as stimuli, and each letter would appear separately in the center of the display, with a stimulus presentation time of 2 s and a stimulus interval of 2 s. Subjects were asked to look at the letters carefully and press the "F" key if the letter presented was the same as the previous one presented, or the "L" key if different. The formal test was divided into 2 segments; each segment had to be completed 24 times and practiced 12 times before the formal test.

2.3.3 | More-odd shifting

Subjects focused their attention on the computer screen, and subjects judged the numbers 1–9 (but not 5), as required, with a numerical duration of 1- and a 2-s interval between two numbers. The task is divided into three parts: the first part, "large/small" judgment: The screen presents a black number, if the number is less than 5, press the "F" key; if the number is greater than 5, press the "L" key. The second part, "odd/even" judgment: The middle of the screen shows green numbers; if it is an odd number, press the "F" key to react; if it is an even number, press the "L" key to react. The third type is "large/small—odd/even" shifting judgment: If the presented numbers are black, "large/small" judgment; if they are green, "odd/even" judgment. Subjects were asked to press the key response as soon as possible while ensuring accuracy.

TABLE	2 Dem	ographic d	ata differ	ence comparison.
-------	-------	------------	------------	------------------

	EAAG	CG	t	р
Years	7.5 ± 0.5	7.5 ± 0.5	-	-
Height (cm)	127.2 ± 6.2	128.6 ± 7.2	95	.35
Weight (kg)	27.7 ± 3.6	27.5 ± 3.5	.35	.96
BMI (kg/m ²)	16.5 ± 1.5	16.2 ± 1.6	.31	.82

Note: All data were normally distributed (p > .05) with chi-squared (p > .05) before independent samples t-tests were performed. In the test of difference between the two groups, height (cm), 127.6 ± 6.2 in EAAG and 128.6 ± 7.2 in CG, t = -.95, p = .35 > .05; weight (kg), 27.7 ± 3.6 in EAAG and 27.5 ± 3.5 in CG, t = .35, p = .96 > .05; BMI (kg/m²), 16.5 ± 1.5 in EAAG and 16.2 ± 1.6 in CG, t = .31, p = .82 > .05. The data results showed no differences in demographic variables between EAAG and CG pre-test.

Abbreviations: CG, control group; EAAG, equine-assisted activity group.

The formal test was divided into six subsections, using the sequence ABCCBA. The A and B sections do not require shifting, 16 times each; the C section requires 32 shifts, which includes 16 shifts. Before the formal start of the exercise, the A and B segments were practiced 8 times each, and the C segment was practiced 16 times.

2.4 | Statistics and analysis

Descriptive results are reported as means \pm standard deviations. The assumption of normality was verified using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The *t*-test was used to perform the difference test between the EAAG and CG in demographic variables. Statistical analyses of RTS and accuracy associated with the Flanker task, 1-Back, and More-odd shifting were performed using a 2 (group: EAAG, CG)×2 (time: pre-test, posttest) repeated measures ANOVA. In the case of interaction effects at group × time, simple effects tests were performed. Post hoc analyses using the Bonferroni correction were performed where appropriate. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS 26.0; significant differences are indicated by different letters (*p < .05; **p < .01).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 Demographic variables difference examination

Data were investigated and analyzed on individuals in the EAAG and CG samples, where demographic variables included age, height, weight, and BMI, with the purpose of reducing the effect of experimental results due to demographic differences between the two groups, as shown in Table 2.

3.2 | IC

In this study, the Flanker task data before and after the experimental intervention were statistically analyzed using a 2 (group: EAAG, CG) \times 2

(time: pre-test, post-test) repeated measures ANOVA to analyze the changes of EAA on IC in children aged 7–8 years (see Table 3).

In congruent task accuracy (%), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .68, p = .45 > .05, η^2 partial = .01, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 12.83, p = .001 < .01, η^2 partial = .25, and there was also a significant interaction effect of group × time, F(1,46) = 9.81, p = .004 < .01, η^2 partial = .18 (see Table 3). A simple effects analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In addition, in the EAAG, the congruent task accuracies before and after the experiment were 0.84 ± 0.07 and 0.88 ± 0.06, respectively, whereas in the CG, the congruent task accuracies before and after the experiment were 0.85 ± 0.06 and 0.87 ± 0.05, respectively (see Table 4).

In incongruent task accuracy (%), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .51, p = .48 > .05, η^2 partial = .10, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 5.55, p = .03 < .05, η^2 partial = .18, as shown by post hoc test in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. However, there was no significant interaction effect for group × time, F(1,46) = 3.42, p = .07 > .05, η^2 partial = .09 (see Table 3). After 12 weeks of intervention, the EAAGs, before and after the experiment incongruent task accuracy, were 0.84 ± 0.06 and 0.87 ± 0.06 , respectively, whereas the CGs, before and after the experiment incongruent task accuracy, were 0.85 ± 0.07 and 0.86 ± 0.06 , respectively (see Table 4).

In congruent task RTs (ms), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .88, p = .46 > .05, η^2 partial = .19, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 10.98, p = .001 < .01, η^2 partial = .21, and there was also a significant interaction effect of group × time, F(1,46) = 15.68, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .32 (see Table 3). The simple effect analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, the congruent task RTs before and after the experiment were 725.27 ± 105.34 and 682.42 ± 72.55, respectively, whereas in the CG, the congruent task RTs before and after the experiment were 718.63 ± 89.45 and 705.23 ± 71.67, respectively (see Table 4).

In incongruent task RTs (ms), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .87, p = .41 > .05, η^2 partial = .02, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 42.67, p = .000 < .01,

Open Access $WILEY^{-5 ext{ of } 11}$

TABLE 3 Statistical results of Flanker test variance before and after experimental intervention.

	df	F	p	η^2 partial
Congruent task accuracy (%)				
Time	1	12.83	.001**	.25
Time × group	1	9.81	.004**	.18
Error	46			
Group	1	.68	.45	.01
Error	46			
Incongruent task accuracy (%)				
Time	1	5.55	.03*	.18
Time × group	1	3.42	.07	.09
Error	46			
Group	1	.51	.48	.10
Error	46			
Congruent task RTs (ms)				
Time	1	10.98	.001**	.21
Time × group	1	15.68	.000**	.32
Error	46			
Group	1	.88	.46	.19
Error	46			
Incongruent task RTs (ms)				
Time	1	42.67	.000**	.49
Time × group	1	22.21	.000**	.32
Error	46			
Group	1	.87	.41	.02
Error	46			

Abbreviation: RTs, reaction time.

**p* < .05.

***p* < .01.

TABLE 4 Comparison of Flanker data differences between the two groups.

Test name	Group	Pre-test	Post-test
Congruent task accuracy (%)	EAAG	0.84 ± 0.07	0.88 ± 0.06
	CG	0.85 ± 0.06	0.87 ± 0.05
Incongruent task accuracy (%)	EAAG	0.84 ± 0.06	0.87 ± 0.06
	CG	0.85 ± 0.07	0.86 ± 0.06
Congruent task RT (ms)	EAAG	725.27 ± 105.34	682.42 ± 72.55
	CG	718.63 ± 89.45	705.23 ± 71.67
Incongruent task RT (ms)	EAAG	762.17 ± 84.28	689.86 ± 71.39
	CG	752.29 ± 89.68	746.56 ± 91.82

Abbreviations: EAAG, equine-assisted activity group; CG, control group; RTs, reaction times.

 η^2 partial = .49, and there was also a significant group × time interaction effect, *F*(1,46) = 22.21, *p* = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .32 (see Table 3). The simple effect analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (*p* < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, the incongruent task RTs before and after the experiment were 762.17 \pm 84.28 and 689.86 \pm 71.39, respectively, whereas in the CG, the incongruent task RTs before and after the experiment were 752.29 \pm 89.68 and 746.56 \pm 91.82, respectively (see Table 4).

TABLE 5 Statistical results of 1-Back variance before and after experimental intervention.

	Df	F	р	η^2 partial
Accuracy (%)				
Time	1	1.51	.27	.04
Time × group	1	3.46	.06	.09
Error	46			
Group	1	.41	.45	.11
Error	49			
RTs (ms)				
Time	1	66.78	.000**	.64
Time × group	1	61.56	.000**	.56
Error	46			
Group	1	.75	.41	.13
Error	46			

Abbreviation: RTs, reaction times.

**p* < .05.

**p<.01.

TABLE 6 Comparison of 1-Back data differences be	etween the two groups.
---	------------------------

Test name	Group	Pre-test	Post-test
Accuracy (%)	EAAG	0.83 ± 0.05	0.85 ± 0.06
	CG	0.83 ± 0.05	0.83 ± 0.06
RTs (ms)	EAAG	974.82 ± 99.92	825.51 ± 84.87
	CG	978.18 ± 97.81	968.19 ± 82.64

Note: In accuracy (%), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .41, p = .45 > .05, η^2 partial = .11, and no significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 1.51, p = .27 > .05, η^2 partial = .04, and no group × time significant interaction effect, F(1,46) = 3.46, p = .06 > .05, η^2 partial = .09 (see Table 5). In the EAAG, 1-Back accuracies before and after the experiment were 0.83 ± 0.05 and 0.85 ± 0.06 , respectively, whereas in the CG, 1-Back accuracy before and after the experiment were 0.83 ± 0.06 , respectively (see Table 6).

Abbreviations: CG, control group; EAAG, equine-assisted activity group; RTs, reaction times.

3.3 | WM

In this study, the 1-Back data before and after the experimental intervention were statistically analyzed using a 2 (group: EAAG, CG) \times 2 (time: pre-test, post-test) repeated measures ANOVA to analyze the changes of EAA on WM in children aged 7–8 years (see Table 5).

In RTs (ms), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .75, p = .41 > .05, η^2 partial = .13, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 66.78, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .64, and there was also a significant interaction of group × time, F(1,46) = 61.56, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .56, (see Table 5). The simple effects analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, the 1-Back RTs before and after the experiment were 974.82 ± 99.92 and 825.51 ± 84.87, respectively, whereas in the CG, the 1-Back RTs before and after the experiment were 978.18 ± 97.81 and 968.19 ± 82.64, respectively (see Table 6).

3.4 | CF

In this study, the More-odd shifting data before and after the experimental intervention were statistically analyzed using a 2 (group: EAAG, CG) \times 2 (time: pre-test, post-test) repeated measures ANOVA to analyze the changes of EAA on CF in children aged 7–8 years (see Table 7).

In non-shifting task accuracy (%), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = 2.15, p = .12 > .05, η^2 partial = .01, and a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 21.38, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .31, and there was also a significant group × time interaction effect, F(1,46) = 30.17, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .40 (see Table 7). The simple effect analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, the non-shifting task accuracies before and after the experiment were 0.73 ± 0.07 and 0.81 ± 0.06 , respectively, whereas in the CG, the non-shifting task accuracies before and after the experiment were 0.73 ± 0.10 and 0.73 ± 0.09 , respectively (see Table 8).

Brain and Behavior

Open Access $WILEY^{-7 \text{ of } 11}$

TABLE 7 Statistical results of More-odd shifting variance before and after experimental intervention.

Non-shifting accuracy (%) Ime 1 21.38 .000** .31 Time x group 1 30.17 .000** .40 Error 46		df	F	p	η^2 partial
Time 1 21.38 .000** .31 Time x group 1 30.17 .000** .40 Error 46	Non-shifting accuracy (%)				
Time x group 1 30.17 .000** .40 Error 46 .12 .01 Group 1 2.15 .12 .01 Shifting accuracy (%) Time A group 1 42.71 .000** .46 Time X group 1 42.71 .000** .46 Group 1 .001** Fror 46 Group 1 .68 Ime X group 1 Group 1 Ime X group 1 Time X group 1 Time X group 1 Group 1 Time X group 1 <	Time	1	21.38	.000**	.31
Error 46 Group 1 2.15 .12 .01 Error 46	Time × group	1	30.17	.000**	.40
Group 1 2.15 .12 .01 Error 46 .5	Error	46			
Error 46 Shifting accuracy (%) 1 42.71 .000** .46 Time × group 1 30.18 .000** .39 Error 46 .000** .39 Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46 .02 .001** .02 Non-shifting RTs (ms) .001** .20 .20 Time × group 1 1.423 .000** .24 Group 1 1.423 .000** .24 Error 46 .20 .21 .21 Group 1 1.5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .21 .21 .21 Shifting RTs (ms) .24 .24 .24 .24 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .21 .21 .21 Shifting RTs (ms) .24 .21 .21 .21 Group 1 .5.35 .04 .11	Group	1	2.15	.12	.01
Shifting accuracy (%) 1 42.71 .000** .46 Time × group 1 30.18 .000** .39 Error 46 .000** .90 Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46 .001** .00 .00 Non-shifting RTs (ms) .001** .20 .00 .20 Time × group 1 11.65 .001** .20 Group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 .24 .24 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .11 .11 .11 Sport (pr) 1 5.35 .04 .11	Error	46			
Time 1 42.71 .000** .46 Time x group 1 30.18 .000** .39 Error 46 .000** .92 Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46	Shifting accuracy (%)				
Time × group 1 30.18 .000** .39 Error 46 . . Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46 . . . Non-shifting RTs (ms) Time × group 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 . .	Time	1	42.71	.000**	.46
Error 46 Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46 .001** .001** .001** Non-shifting RTs (ms) 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 .001** .24 Error 46 .001** .24 Shifting RTs (ms) .000** .24	Time × group	1	30.18	.000**	.39
Group 1 .68 .40 .02 Error 46 Non-shifting RTs (ms) 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 . .11 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 . . Shifting PTs (ms)	Error	46			
Error 46 Non-shifting RTs (ms) 1 Time 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 .001** .24 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .001** .24 Shifting RTs (ms) .24 .24 .24	Group	1	.68	.40	.02
Non-shifting RTs (ms) 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 .001** .11 Error 46 .01** .11 Error 46 .004 .11 Shifting RTs (ms) .004 .11 .11	Error	46			
Time 1 11.65 .001** .20 Time × group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46	Non-shifting RTs (ms)				
Time x group 1 14.23 .000** .24 Error 46 .11 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .11 Shifting PTs (ms) .11 .11 .11	Time	1	11.65	.001**	.20
Error 46 Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46 .11 .11	Time × group	1	14.23	.000**	.24
Group 1 5.35 .04 .11 Error 46	Error	46			
Error 46	Group	1	5.35	.04	.11
Shifting PTs (me)	Error	46			
	Shifting RTs (ms)				
Time 1 15.54 .000** .26	Time	1	15.54	.000**	.26
Time x group 1 12.19 .001** .21	Time \times group	1	12.19	.001**	.21
Error 46	Error	46			
Group 1 2.54 .11 .05	Group	1	2.54	.11	.05
Error 46	Error	46			

Abbreviation: RTs, reaction times.

**p* < .05.

***p* < .01.

TABLE 8 Comparison of More-odd shifting data differences between the two groups.

Test name	Group	Pre-test	Post-test
Non-shifting accuracy (%)	EAAG	0.73 ± 0.07	0.81 ± 0.06
	CG	0.73 ± 0.10	0.73 ± 0.09
Shifting accuracy (%)	EAAG	0.55 ± 0.08	0.62 ± 0.07
	CG	0.56 ± 0.08	0.57 ± 0.08
Non-shifting RTs (ms)	EAAG	821.61 ± 103.41	738.56 ± 81.64
	CG	844.12 ± 124.53	839.64 ± 119.24
Shifting RTs (ms)	EAAG	998.68 ± 105.23	928.23 ± 84.41
	CG	1004.19 ± 121.45	997.19 ± 115.29

Abbreviations: EAAG, equine-assisted activity group; CG, control group; RTs, reaction time.

In shifting task accuracy (%), there was no significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = .68, p = .40 > .05, η^2 partial = .02, whereas there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 42.71, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .46, and there was also a significant interaction effect of group × time, F(1,46) = 30.18, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .39 (see

Table 7). The simple effects analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, the shifting task accuracy before and after the experiment were 0.55 ± 0.08 and 0.62 ± 0.07 , respectively, whereas in the CG, the shift-

ing task accuracy before and after the experiment were 0.56 \pm 0.08 and 0.57 \pm 0.08, respectively (see Table 8).

en Acce

In non-shifting task RTs (ms), there was a significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = 5.35, p = .04 < .05, η^2 partial = .11, and a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 11.65, p = .001 < .01, η^2 partial = .20, and a similarly significant group × time interaction effect, F(1,46) = 14.23, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .24 (see Table 7). The simple effect analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) pre-and-post test. In the EAAG, in the non-shifting task RTs before and after the experiment were 821.61 ± 103.41 and 738.56 ± 81.64, respectively, whereas in the CG, in the non-shifting task RTs before and after the experiment were 844.12 ± 124.53 and 839.64 ± 119.24, respectively (see Table 8).

In shifting task RTs (ms), there a was significant main effect of group, F(1,46) = 2.54, p = .11 > .05, η^2 partial = .05, but there was a significant main effect of time, F(1,46) = 15.54, p = .000 < .01, η^2 partial = .26, and there was also a significant interaction effect of group × time in the switch task response time, F(1,46) = 12.19, p = .001 < .01, η^2 partial = .21 (see Table 7). The simple effects analysis showed that in the EAAG, there was a highly significant difference (p < .01) preand-post test. In the EAAG, the shifting task RTs before and after the experiment were 998.68 ± 105.23 and 928.23 ± 84.41, respectively, whereas in the CG, the shifting task RTs before and after the experiment were 1004.19 ± 121.45 and 997.19 ± 115.29, respectively (see Table 8).

4 | DISCUSSION

In our study, changes in EF in children aged 7–8 years were explored primarily through a 12-week EAA intervention. To our great surprise, 12 weeks of EAA were effective in improving three cognitive tests: Flanker task, 1-Back, and More-odd shifting. That is, 12 weeks of EAA improved IC, WM, and CF significantly.

In our research, 12 weeks of EAA were effective in reducing RTs and increasing the accuracy of IC, which is consistent with studies by others (Contreras-Osorio et al., 2021). Previous studies have shown that the rate of IC development varies at different stages. Anderson et al. (1991) found that 6-7 years old is the sensitive period of development; after 7 years old slow growth, after 10 years old tends to level off. In addition, the subjects in this study belonged to this age group. However, exercise intensity is an important factor in improving postexercise cognitive performance (Chang et al., 2012), further manifested by improved IC performance (Browne et al., 2016). For example, in a meta-analysis, exercise intensity had a significant effect; the results were positive at 64%-76% or 77%-93% of heart rate max for the prescribed exercise (Chang et al., 2012). Although heart rate was not monitored in this study for riding during exercise, the actual exercise heart rate intensity may be consistent with this intensity. It is important to note that the type of exercise also positively affects IC: chronic exercise (Amatriain-Fernández et al., 2021; Ludyga et al., 2018). Ludyga et al. assigned adolescents aged 12-15 years to an exercise group and a CG. The exercise group performed 20 min of aerobic and coordinated exercise on

study days over an 8-week period and was shown to enhance IC in adolescents (Ludyga et al., 2018). In terms of overall duration, EAA can also be considered chronic exercise. In addition, we found supportive evidence in a study on open- and closed-skill sports (Formenti et al., 2021). It is commonly recognized that closed-skill motor activities are performed in a relatively stable and predictable environment in which motor actions are repetitive and unrelated to the external environment; open-skill physical activity is a dynamic and changing environment, the main feature of which is the motor actions that must be constantly adapted to external stimuli (Di Russo et al., 2010). This change in the context of open-skill movement, in which inappropriate movements must be inhibited, may be associated with greater challenges in motor skills and activation of brain systems involved in EF (particularly the prefrontal cortex) (Lin et al., 2013). This would imply that the cognitive demands in open skills movements (such as EAA), which are characterized by complex motor movements, may contribute to the explanation of the positive effects of exercise on cognitive function (Best, 2010). It is also important to add that EAA can be effective in improving a rider's concentration (Bass et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2013) and, to some extent, aid in the improvement of IC performance.

The results of the study showed an increasing trend in accuracy and a significant decrease in response time in the 1-Back task. Related studies have shown some improvement in WM and EF in complex sports, such as martial arts (Giordano et al., 2021), gymnastics (Hsieh et al., 2017), basketball (Xu et al., 2022), and soccer (Wen et al., 2021), and EAA also have more complex technical requirements, so the effect is significant. Furthermore, Krejci et al. (2015) reported an intervention in hippotherapy for children with cerebral palsy and showed some improvement in the attention and memory of the subjects. This in some way suggests that equestrian sports are known to improve children's attention and memory consistent with the present study. In fact, studies have shown that elementary school students are still in a stage of continuous brain development and their nervous system shows plasticity in both micro and macro aspects, which quietly emerges when the individual's own abilities cannot meet the requirements imposed by the environment (Lövdén et al., 2010). As in this study, the rider's pre-and-post test accuracy scores on the 1-Back task were above the standard of 0.8, but to a certain extent, they also showed a mismatch between their own ability and the difficulty of the task, so the subjects kept improving their WM processing efficiency to try to reach the matching state. In addition, general intelligence gains undergo a similar process, increasing with WM efficiency, and recent brain research has provided some evidence that this may be the result of changes in neural network activity within the brain following WM training (Necka et al., 2021). In addition, there is also some evidence that physical activity may improve white matter integrity in these brain regions (Chaddock-Heyman et al., 2014), which in part facilitates improvements in WM. A systematic review and meta-analysis (Contreras-Osorio et al., 2021) showed that the frequency of the intervention training was effective in improving WM capacity while being consistent in other sports as well (Xu et al., 2022). The frequency of training one to two times per week in this study was consistent with that of previous researchers.

Exercise as a stressor promotes physiological and psychological arousal (Stork et al., 2018) and increases oxygen and blood flow to the brain (Wheeler et al., 2019), optimizing the allocation of cognitive resources and improving the efficiency of cognitive processing (Chang et al., 2017). This study showed that EAAG performed better on a More-odd shifting after an EAA intervention compared to a CG. The results are consistent with previous studies (Kujach et al., 2020). There is also evidence that studies in both open and closed skills movement show lower switching costs in the experimental group compared to the CG, suggesting that the open skill movement pattern helps motorists be better prepared for upcoming movement, temporal and spatial transitions and can better switch from one task to another (Tsai & Wang, 2015). As already explained, EAA can be considered an open skills movement, its horse movement is more complex, requiring the rider to constantly change the technical requirements to adapt to the horse's movement, plus the trainer will constantly change the password to put forward new requirements, which requires the rider to make a quick response to the technical action information learned in the brain according to the changes in the external environment, to quickly switch and adjust the technical action carried out by themselves, further improving the conversion ability.

Previous studies have shown that horse-related activities can increase EF, which is consistent with the present study. First, the horse is motivational and promotes full attention and engagement in the learning situation (Gilboa & Helmer, 2020). Second, the sensory stimulation of ground and riding activities can help regulate the level of physiological arousal and also improve attention and engagement in the activity (Borgi et al., 2016). Finally, the immediate feedback the rider receives from the horse allows for physical and mental selfregulation (So et al., 2017). It provides different reinforcement for the successful performance of a task and reinforces the learning of the "checking" part of the cognitive strategy. Furthermore, this result can be explained that the improvement of EF by physical activity seems to be related to the physiological changes it causes in the brain. Regular physical activity has been linked to positive changes in brain structure and volume, including increases in white matter, parietal gray matter, the hippocampus, and basal ganglia volume (Benedict et al., 2013; Erickson et al., 2009). In addition, physical activity is thought to affect brain neuroplasticity because it increases BDNF synthesis in the hippocampus, which promotes neuronal and synaptic growth and differentiation and protects against neuronal and synaptic transmission (Lista & Sorrentino, 2010). Moreover, studies show that exercise improves blood circulation to the brain and that aggregation in exercise plasma reduces inflammation and improves memory (De Miguel et al., 2021). The influence may be more pronounced in children aged 6-12, when their brains are rapidly developing, especially in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, and subcortical structures like the thalamus, caudate nucleus, nucleus accumbent, and cerebellum (Bidzan-Bluma & Lipowska, 2018). Therefore, we recommend an increase in EAA for children in this age group to achieve better motor cognition.

Although our findings show some significant effects of EAA on the improvement of EF in children aged 7–8 years, some limitations should

be acknowledged. First, our EAAG of subjects were mostly active enthusiasts, and there may be uncontrollable effects of preexisting preferences. Second, the age range was chosen at the stage of 7–8 years old, which is a critical period for cognitive development and has a significant effect; if the age range is increased, this result is not universal. Finally, all our studies have produced behavioral results that do not allow for a deeper explanation of brain neural mechanisms, and useful tools are needed to confirm that cognitive engagement in movement is supporting the development of EF in children.

5 | CONCLUSION

These findings suggest that a 12-week EAA intervention can be effective in improving EF (IC, WM, and CF) and promoting cognitive performance in children aged 7–8 years, as well as being a worthwhile physical activity program.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the first author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

XiaoDong Cheng D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8409-1496

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons. com/publon/10.1002/brb3.3148.

REFERENCES

- Alesi, M., Bianco, A., Luppina, G., Palma, A., & Pepi, A. (2016). Improving children's coordinative skills and executive functions: The effects of a football exercise program. *Perceptual Motor Skills*, 122(1), 27–46. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0031512515627527
- Amatriain-Fernández, S., Ezquerro García-Noblejas, M., & Budde, H. (2021). Effects of chronic exercise on the inhibitory control of children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine Science in Sports*, 31(6), 1196–1208. https://doi.org/10.1111/ sms.13934
- Amunts, J., Camilleri, J. A., Eickhoff, S. B., Patil, K. R., Heim, S., Von Polier, G. G., & Weis, S. (2021). Comprehensive verbal fluency features predict executive function performance. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1–14. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85981-1
- Anderson, S. W., Damasio, H., Jones, R. D., & Tranel, D. (1991). Wisconsin Card Sorting Test performance as a measure of frontal lobe damage. *Journal of Clinical Experimental Neuropsychology*, 13(6), 909–922. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01688639108405107
- Baddeley, A. (2012). Working memory: Theories, models, and controversies. Annual Review of Psychology, 63, 1–29.
- Bass, M. M., Duchowny, C. A., & Llabre, M. M. (2009). The effect of therapeutic horseback riding on social functioning in children with autism. *Journal*

of Autism Developmental Disorders, 39(9), 1261–1267. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10803-009-0734-3

- Bass, M. M., Duchowny, C. A., & Llabre, M. M. (2009). The effects of equine assisted activities on the social functioning of children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(9), 1261–1267. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10803-009-0734-3
- Benedict, C., Brooks, S. J., Kullberg, J., Nordenskjöld, R., Burgos, J., Le Grevès, M., Kilander, L., Larsson, E.-M., Johansson, L., Ahlström, H., Lind, L., & Schiöth, H. B. (2013). Association between physical activity and brain health in older adults. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 34(1), 83–90. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neurobiologing.2012.04.013
- Best, J. R. (2010). Effects of physical activity on children's executive function: Contributions of experimental research on aerobic exercise. *Devel*opmental Review, 30(4), 331–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2010.08. 001
- Bidzan-Bluma, I., & Lipowska, M. (2018). Physical activity and cognitive functioning of children: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health*, 15(4), 800. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph15040800
- Borgi, M., Loliva, D., Cerino, S., Chiarotti, F., Venerosi, A., Bramini, M., Nonnis, E., Marcelli, M., Vinti, C., De Santis, C., Bisacco, F., Fagerlie, M., Frascarelli, M., & Cirulli, F. (2016). Effectiveness of a standardized equine-assisted therapy program for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10803-015-2530-6
- Browne, R. A. V., Costa, E. C., Sales, M. M., Fonteles, A. I., Moraes, J. F. V. N. D., & Barros, J. D. F. (2016). Acute effect of vigorous aerobic exercise on the inhibitory control in adolescents. *Revista Paulista de Pediatria*, 34, 154– 161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpped.2015.08.004
- Burgess, P. W., & Simons, J. S. (2005). Theories of frontal lobe executive function: Clinical applications. *Effectiveness of Rehabilitation for Cognitive Deficits*, 2, 211–232. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/ 9780198526544.003.0018
- Chaddock-Heyman, L., Erickson, K. I., Holtrop, J. L., Voss, M. W., Pontifex, M. B., Raine, L. B., Hillman, C. H., & Kramer, A. F. (2014). Aerobic fitness is associated with greater white matter integrity in children. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *8*, 584. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum. 2014.00584
- Chang, Y. K., Labban, J. D., Gapin, J. I., & Etnier, J. L. (2012). The effects of acute exercise on cognitive performance: A meta-analysis. *Brain Research*, 1453, 87–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2012. 02.068
- Chang, Y.-K., Alderman, B. L., Chu, C.-H., Wang, C.-C., Song, T.-F., & Chen, F.-T. (2017). Acute exercise has a general facilitative effect on cognitive function: A combined ERP temporal dynamics and BDNF study. *Psychophysiology*, 54(2), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12784
- Contreras-Osorio, F., Campos-Jara, C., Martínez-Salazar, C., Chirosa-Ríos, L., & Martínez-García, D. (2021). Effects of sport-based interventions on children's executive function: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Sciences*, 11(6), 755. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11060755
- Cook, R., & Frederick, E. L. (2016). *Incorporating game in hippotherapy a companion book to the brown pony series*. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform.
- De Miguel, Z., Khoury, N., Betley, M. J., Lehallier, B., Willoughby, D., Olsson, N., Yang, A. C., Hahn, O., Lu, N., Vest, R. T., Bonanno, L. N., Yerra, L., Zhang, L., Saw, N. L., Fairchild, J. K., Lee, D., Zhang, H., Mcalpine, P. L., Contrepois, K., ... Wyss-Coray, T. (2021). Exercise plasma boosts memory and dampens brain inflammation via clusterin. *Nature*, 600(7889), 494–499. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04183-x
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
- Di Russo, F., Bultrini, A., Brunelli, S., Delussu, A. S., Polidori, L., Taddei, F., Traballesi, M., & Spinelli, D. (2010). Benefits of sports participation for executive function in disabled athletes. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, 27(12), 2309–2319. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2010.1501

- Erickson, K. I., Prakash, R. S., Voss, M. W., Chaddock, L., Hu, L., Morris, K. S., White, S. M., Wójcicki, T. R., Mcauley, E., & Kramer, A. F. (2009). Aerobic fitness is associated with hippocampal volume in elderly humans. *Hippocampus*, 19(10), 1030–1039. https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20547
- Espy, K. A. (2004). Using developmental, cognitive, and neuroscience approaches to understand executive control in young children. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 26(1), 379–384. https://doi.org/10.1207/ s15326942dn2601_1
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175–191. https://doi.org/ 10.3758/BF03193146
- Formenti, D., Trecroci, A., Duca, M., Cavaggioni, L., D'angelo, F., Passi, A., Longo, S., & Alberti, G. (2021). Differences in inhibitory control and motor fitness in children practicing open and closed skill sports. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82698-z
- Gilboa, Y., & Helmer, A. (2020). Self-management intervention for attention and executive functions using equine-assisted occupational therapy among children aged 6–14 diagnosed with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 26(3), 239–246. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2019.0374
- Giordano, G., Gómez-López, M., & Alesi, M. (2021). Sports, executive functions and academic performance: A comparison between martial arts, team sports, and sedentary children. *International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health*, 18(22), 11745. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph182211745
- Hession, C. E., Eastwood, B., Watterson, D., Lehane, C. M., Oxley, N., & Murphy, B. A. (2014). Therapeutic horse riding improves cognition, mood arousal, and ambulation in children with dyspraxia. *The Journal of Alternative Complementary Medicine*, 20(1), 19–23. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm. 2013.0207
- Hsieh, S.-S., Lin, C.-C., Chang, Y.-K., Huang, C.-J., & Hung, T.-M. (2017). Effects of childhood gymnastics program on spatial working memory. *Medicine Science in Sports Exercise*, 49(12), 2537–2547. https://doi.org/ 10.1249/MSS.00000000001399
- Ishihara, T., Sugasawa, S., Matsuda, Y., & Mizuno, M. (2017). Improved executive functions in 6–12-year-old children following cognitively engaging tennis lessons. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 35(20), 2014–2020. https://doi. org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1250939
- Ji, S., & Wang, H. (2018). A study of the relationship between adverse childhood experiences, life events, and executive function among college students in China. *Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica*, 31(1), 28.
- Kaiser, L., Smith, K. A., Heleski, C. R., & Spence, L. J. (2006). Effects of a therapeutic riding program on at-risk and special education children. *Journal* of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 228(1), 46–52. https://doi. org/10.2460/javma.228.1.46
- Krejčí, E., Janura, M., & Svoboda, Z. (2015). The benefit of hippotherapy for improvement of attention and memory in children with cerebral palsy: A pilot study. Acta Gymnica, 45(1), 27–32. https://doi.org/10.5507/ag. 2015.004
- Kujach, S., Olek, R. A., Byun, K., Suwabe, K., Sitek, E. J., Ziemann, E., Laskowski, R., & Soya, H. (2020). Acute sprint interval exercise increases both cognitive functions and peripheral neurotrophic factors in humans: The possible involvement of lactate. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 13, 1455. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.01455
- Lin, C.-H. J., Chiang, M.-C., Knowlton, B. J., Iacoboni, M., Udompholkul, P., & Wu, A. D. (2013). Interleaved practice enhances skill learning and the functional connectivity of fronto-parietal networks. *Human Brain Mapping*, 34(7), 1542–1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22009
- Lista, I., & Sorrentino, G. (2010). Biological mechanisms of physical activity in preventing cognitive decline. *Cellular Molecular Neurobiology*, 30(4), 493–503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10571-009-9488-x
- Lövdén, M., Bäckman, L., Lindenberger, U., Schaefer, S., & Schmiedek, F. J. P. B. (2010). A theoretical framework for the study of adult cognitive plasticity. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(4), 659.

- Ludyga, S., Gerber, M., Herrmann, C., Brand, S., & Pühse, U. (2018). Chronic effects of exercise implemented during school-break time on neurophysiological indices of inhibitory control in adolescents. *Trends in Neuroscience Education*, 10, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tine.2017.11. 001
- Mcclelland, M. M., & Cameron, C. E. (2019). Developing together: The role of executive function and motor skills in children's early academic lives. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 46, 142–151. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ecresq.2018.03.014
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24(1), 167–202. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex "frontal lobe" tasks: A latent variable analysis. *Cognitive Psychology*, 41(1), 49–100. https://doi.org/10.1006/ cogp.1999.0734
- Nęcka, E., Gruszka, A., Hampshire, A., Sarzyńska-Wawer, J., Anicai, A.-E., Orzechowski, J., Nowak, M., Wójcik, N., Sandrone, S., & Soreq, E. (2021). The effects of working memory training on brain activity. *Brain Sciences*, 11(2), 155. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11020155
- So, W.-Y., Lee, S.-Y., Park3, Y., & Seo, D.-I. (2017). Effects of 4 weeks of horseback riding on anxiety, depression, and self-esteem in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Men's Health*, 13(2), E1–E7. doi:10.22374/1875-6859.13.2.2
- Stork, M. J., Gibala, M. J., & Martin Ginis, K. A. (2018). Psychological and behavioral responses to interval and continuous exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 50(10), 2110–2121. https://doi.org/10. 1249/MSS.00000000001671
- Tian, S., Mou, H., Fang, Q., Zhang, X., Meng, F., & Qiu, F. (2021). Comparison of the sustainability effects of high-intensity interval exercise and moderate-intensity continuous exercise on cognitive flexibility. *International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health*, 18(18), 9631. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189631

- Tsai, C.-L., & Wang, W.-L. (2015). Exercise-mode-related changes in taskswitching performance in the elderly. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 9, 56. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00056
- Ward, S. C., Whalon, K., Rusnak, K., Wendell, K., & Paschall, N. (2013). The association between therapeutic horseback riding and the social communication and sensory reactions of children with autism. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorders*, 43(9), 2190–2198. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10803-013-1773-3
- Wen, X., Yang, Y., & Wang, F. (2021). Influence of acute exercise on inhibitory control and working memory of children: A comparison between soccer, resistance, and coordinative exercises. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 52(2), 101–119.
- Wheeler, M. J., Dunstan, D. W., Smith, B., Smith, K. J., Scheer, A., Lewis, J., Naylor, L. H., Heinonen, I., Ellis, K. A., Cerin, E., Ainslie, P. N., & Green, D. J. (2019). Morning exercise mitigates the impact of prolonged sitting on cerebral blood flow in older adults. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 126(4), 1049–1055. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00001.2019
- Xu, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, K., Feng, M., Duan, T., Chen, Y., Wei, X., Luo, Y., & Ni, G. (2022). Basketball training frequency is associated with executive functions in boys aged 6 to 8 years. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 16, 917385. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2022.917385
- Zhan, Z., Ai, J., Ren, F., Li, L., Chu, C.-H., & Chang, Y.-K. (2020). Cardiorespiratory fitness, age, and multiple aspects of executive function among preadolescent children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1198. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01198

How to cite this article: Cheng, X. D., Zhen, K. X., Fan, Y., Tang, Q., & Wu, H. (2023). The effects of equine-assisted activities on execution function in children aged 7–8 years: A randomized controlled trial. *Brain and Behavior*, *13*, e3148. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.3148