

1-27-2024

Advancing Cognitive Accessibility: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Inclusivity

Rukiya Deetjen-Ruiz
Zayed University

Marjorie P Daniel
Zayed University, marjorie.daniel@zu.ac.ae

Jennie Telus
Higher College of Technology

Lodz Deetjen
The Learning Nest Academy, USA

Follow this and additional works at: <https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works>



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#), and the [Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Deetjen-Ruiz, Rukiya; Daniel, Marjorie P; Telus, Jennie; and Deetjen, Lodz, "Advancing Cognitive Accessibility: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Inclusivity" (2024). *All Works*. 6408.
<https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/6408>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ZU Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Works by an authorized administrator of ZU Scholars. For more information, please contact scholars@zu.ac.ae.

Advancing Cognitive Accessibility: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Inclusivity

Type: Mini-Review

Received: January 23, 2024

Published: January 27, 2024

Citation:

Dr. Rukiya Deetjen-Ruiz., et al. "Advancing Cognitive Accessibility: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Inclusivity". PriMera Scientific Engineering 4.2 (2024): 58-61.

Copyright:

© 2024 Dr. Rukiya Deetjen-Ruiz., et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dr. Rukiya Deetjen-Ruiz^{1*}, Marjorie P Daniel², Dr. Jennie Telus³ and Lodz Deetjen Ed.S⁴

¹*Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, UAE*

²*M.S. Ed., B.A. Ed., B.S. Psych, Zayed University, Dubai, UAE*

³*Higher College of Technology*

⁴*M.S. Ed., B.A, The Learning Nest Academy, USA*

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Rukiya Deetjen-Ruiz, Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, UAE.

Abstract

This editorial examines the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing cognitive accessibility for neurodiverse individuals. It explores the evolution from conventional assistive technologies to sophisticated AI-driven solutions, highlighting how these advancements are reshaping inclusivity in education and the workplace. The piece critically analyzes the benefits and challenges of AI in this context, considering ethical implications, user-centered design, and the need for equitable access. It concludes with a call to action for continued innovation and collaboration in developing AI technologies that truly cater to the diverse needs of neurodiverse individuals.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence in Education; Cognitive Accessibility; Neurodiversity in Learning; AI Ethical Considerations; Inclusive Educational Technology

Introduction

In the dynamic realm of educational technology, the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) heralds a new era of possibilities, particularly for individuals diagnosed with cognitive disabilities, behavior disabilities, and pervasive developmental disorders. The transformative potential of AI in this context is not merely an incremental advancement; it represents a paradigm shift in how educators and practitioners approach cognitive accessibility (Chrysochoou et al., 2022). This shift is characterized by a move from traditional assistive tools, which often offer static, one-size-fits-all solutions, to dynamic, AI-driven systems capable of adapting to the unique needs of each individual (Attree et al., 2009). The implications of this transition are profound, promising a future where barriers to learning and communication are significantly reduced, if not entirely dismantled. (Jesse, 2023).

Recent research in this field has begun to illuminate the myriad ways in which AI can enhance inclusivity. Studies have shown that AI-driven technologies, such as adaptive learning platforms and personalized content delivery systems, can significantly improve engagement and learning outcomes for neurodiverse individuals (Deetjen-Ruiz et al., 2023). These technologies are not just tools for learning; they are gateways to new modes of interaction and understanding for those who have traditionally been marginalized by conventional educational and communicative methods (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022). Furthermore, the integration of AI into assistive technologies has opened new avenues for research and innovation, challenging developers and educators alike to rethink the paradigms of accessibility and inclusivity (Gollasch et al., 2023).

However, the journey towards fully realizing the potential of AI in enhancing cognitive accessibility is not without its challenges. As educators and practitioners explore the capabilities of AI, questions arise regarding ethical considerations, the potential for algorithmic bias, and the need for equitable access to these advanced technologies (Baker, Ryan & Hawn, Aaron. (2021). Algorithmic bias in AI systems is a critical ethical concern, especially when these systems are employed in educational settings for neurodiverse populations. AI algorithms, by their very nature, learn from data. According to Dieterle et al. (2022), if the data used to train these algorithms are not representative of the diverse range of cognitive abilities and experiences, there is a risk that the AI system will perpetuate and even amplify existing biases. For instance, an AI tool designed to assist in the learning process of students with dyslexia might be less effective if it is predominantly trained on data from neurotypical individuals. This could lead to a system that does not adequately address or even misinterprets the needs of dyslexic learners. Research by Deho et al. (2024) emphasizes the importance for AI diagnostic tools to be trained on diverse datasets to ensure accurate and unbiased assessments. Addressing the ethical challenges in AI systems extends beyond mitigating algorithmic bias; and encompasses the crucial need for transparency. In educational contexts, it is imperative that both educators and learners are thoroughly informed about the decision-making processes and inherent limitations of AI tools. Such transparency is not only foundational for building trust but also essential for the effective integration of these tools into educational practices.

The potential of AI to enhance cognitive accessibility should extend beyond affluent, urban, or Western-centric educational settings. As Sanders and Scanlon (2021) highlight, equitable access to these technologies is a fundamental human rights issue. Without targeted efforts to bridge this gap, the digital divide could deepen, leaving neurodiverse learners in socio-economically disadvantaged regions at a significant disadvantage. The challenge of equitable access goes beyond simply providing technology; it demands comprehensive educator training and robust learner support systems to ensure effective utilization of AI tools. Addressing the dual concerns of transparency and equitable access is crucial for fully realizing AI's potential in education, especially in fostering cognitive accessibility for neurodiverse populations. Moreover, fairness in access to AI tools is critical, particularly for those from diverse and underprivileged backgrounds. The persistence of the digital divide underscores the urgency of ensuring that AI in education does not widen existing disparities. Achieving equitable access involves more than just technological deployment; it necessitates cultivating an environment where all students, irrespective of their socio-economic status, can reap the benefits of AI-enhanced learning. This approach includes equipping under-resourced schools with necessary support and resources, and designing AI tools that are culturally sensitive and inclusive. Porayska-Pomsta and Rajendran (2019) stress concerns on the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to AI development, one that encompasses not only technological expertise but also a deep understanding of the diverse needs and experiences of neurodiverse individuals. As the field of education inevitably embarks on exploring uses for AI applications, it is crucial to maintain a focus on creating solutions that are not only effective but also empathetic and inclusive.

AI-driven tools aimed at supporting cognitive accessibility, have emerged as a beacon of hope, offering unprecedented opportunities for individuals with cognitive disabilities. These tools, ranging from adaptive learning platforms to personalized content delivery systems, have the potential to revolutionize the educational landscape for neurodiverse individuals (Deetjen-Ruiz et al., 2023). For instance, AI-powered applications designed for learners with ADHD can provide customizable learning experiences, allowing for adjustments in pace and content delivery that align with their unique attention spans and learning styles. This adaptability is crucial in maintaining engagement and ensuring effective learning outcomes. Because the integration of AI in education extends beyond mere tool usage and it is equally important for schools to educate students about how these AI tools function, including their potential lim-

itations and biases. This understanding is particularly vital for neurodivergent learners, who may be more susceptible to the nuances of AI interactions. According to Porayska-Pomsta (2023) educators must ensure that students are not only users of AI technology but also informed participants in the digital world. This approach fosters a deeper understanding of technology and its implications, preparing students for a future where AI is ubiquitous.

A recent study by advances the domain of autism diagnosis through the implementation of deep learning techniques, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), using facial image analysis (Reddy & A., 2023) It compares three pre-trained CNN models—VGG16, VGG19, and EfficientNetB0—leveraging a Kaggle dataset for feature extraction and binary classification, with EfficientNetB0 demonstrating the highest diagnostic accuracy of 87.9%. The research emphasizes the potential of transfer learning and data augmentation in enhancing the precision of ASD detection, underscoring the critical role of high-dimensional pattern recognition and the utility of accessible datasets in refining diagnostic algorithms for neurodiverse conditions. While AI tools offer significant benefits, the risk that they could inadvertently reinforce disability biases cannot be overstated. This system, accessible via mobile applications and designed for ease of use, underscores the importance of AI tools being sensitively attuned to the complexities of neurodiverse conditions (Shahamiri & Thabtah, 2020). It is also crucial to emphasize that such AI-driven diagnostic tools should not replace but rather augment the expertise of human assessors, who are essential in validating final diagnoses. Given the difficulty for even experts to diagnose ADHD-at-Risk or ADHD with other disorders; if an AI tool is not adequately trained to recognize the diverse manifestations of ADHD spectrum disorders, it could lead to misinterpretations or misdiagnoses (Choi et al., 2018). Educators and developers must be vigilant in ensuring that AI tools are designed and implemented in a way that is sensitive to the varied needs of neurodiverse individuals. This requires a commitment to continuous learning and adaptation, as well as a deep understanding of the lived experiences of those with cognitive disabilities.

Remaining in the sphere of AI in education, the principles of transparency, accountability, and privacy form the cornerstone of ethical and effective implementation. Transparency is crucial, particularly in how AI tools are utilized in assessments. It is imperative for both students and educators to understand the role of AI in evaluating performance and providing feedback, ensuring that the educational process maintains its integrity and trustworthiness. This transparency is key to guaranteeing fair assessments and clear comprehension of evaluation criteria. Simultaneously, accountability plays a pivotal role in the deployment of AI tools within educational settings. Schools bear the responsibility of rigorously testing these tools, not only for technical performance but also for their pedagogical effectiveness and adherence to ethical standards. Lučić et al. (2022) push for the development of comprehensive guidelines and frameworks that address critical issues such as data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and the potential for bias, thereby ensuring responsible and ethical use of AI in education. Robust cybersecurity measures are essential to protect sensitive student data which is a that challenge extends beyond technical solutions to encompass ethical responsibility. Schools and developers must collaborate to forge secure digital environments, enabling students to engage in learning without concerns over privacy infringement. This triad of transparency, accountability, and privacy forms the bedrock of a responsible approach to integrating AI in educational contexts, ensuring that these advanced tools serve the diverse needs of all learners in a safe, fair, and ethical manner.

The exploration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing cognitive accessibility, particularly for neurodiverse individuals such as those with ADHD, dyslexia, and autism spectrum disorders, has reached a pivotal juncture. This editorial has underscored the transformative potential of AI in tailoring educational experiences to the unique needs of these students. Emerging trends, like AI-driven adaptive learning systems, promise not only to make education more accessible but also to enhance learning by catering to individual strengths and challenges. However, the full realization of these advancements' hinges on a synergistic collaboration between AI developers, educators, and cognitive disability experts. This interdisciplinary approach, fostering ongoing dialogue and knowledge exchange, is crucial for developing AI tools that are both technologically advanced and sensitive to the diverse needs of neurodiverse students.

As we look towards the future, the integration of AI into education holds the potential to profoundly influence societal perceptions of neurodiversity. The prevalence of AI-driven tools in educational settings can normalize neurodiversity and promote a more inclusive

understanding of cognitive differences. This shift is not confined to the classroom; it extends to broader societal implications, demonstrating the value and potential of neurodiverse individuals. The successful implementation of AI in education can challenge stereotypes and misconceptions, leading to a society that values cognitive diversity. Therefore, this field beckons further scholarly research to explore new trends, address challenges, and harness AI's full potential in education. Researchers are encouraged to delve into this evolving subject, contributing to a body of knowledge that fosters both technological advancement and a more inclusive, empathetic society.

References

1. Attree EA, Turner MJ and Cowell NA. "Virtual Reality Test Identifies the Visuospatial Strengths of Adolescents with Dyslexia". *Cyberpsychology & Behavior* 12.2 (2009) 163-168.
2. Baker RS and Hawn A. "Algorithmic Bias in Education". *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education* 32.4 (2021): 1052-1092.
3. Choi M., et al. "Robot-Assisted ADHD screening in diagnostic process". *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems* 95.2 (2018): 351-363.
4. Chrysochoou M, Zaghi AE and Syharat CM. "Reframing neurodiversity in engineering education". *Frontiers in Education* 7 (2022).
5. Deetjen-Ruiz R., et al. "Applying Ant colony optimisation when choosing an individual learning trajectory". In *Lecture notes in networks and systems* (2023): 587-594.
6. Deetjen-Ruiz R., et al. "Using a digital educational environment to monitor student learning results". In *Lecture notes in networks and systems* (2023): 363-370.
7. Deho OB., et al. "When the past != the future: Assessing the Impact of Dataset Drift on the Fairness of Learning Analytics Models". *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies* (2024): 1-14.
8. Dieterle E, Dede C and Walker ME. "The cyclical ethical effects of using artificial intelligence in education". *AI & Society* (2022).
9. Gollasch D., et al. "Designing Technology for Neurodivergent Self-determination: Challenges and opportunities". In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (2023): 621-626.
10. Hosseini M., et al. "Deep Learning for Autism diagnosis and Facial Analysis in children". *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 15 (2022).
11. Jesse T. "Creating Neuro-Inclusive learning environments". In *Advances in higher education and professional development book series* (2023): 79-99.
12. Lučić A., et al. "Reproducibility as a mechanism for teaching fairness, accountability, confidentiality, and transparency in artificial intelligence". *Proceedings of the ... AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 36.11 (2022): 12792-12800.
13. Porayska-Pomsta K. "A manifesto for a Pro-Actively Responsible AI in education". *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education* (2023).
14. Porayska-Pomsta K and Rajendran G. "Accountability in human and artificial intelligence Decision-Making as the basis for diversity and educational inclusion". In *Perspectives on rethinking and reforming education* (2019): 39-59.
15. Reddy P. "Diagnosis of Autism in Children Using Deep Learning Techniques by Analyzing Facial Features". *Eng. Proc* 59 (2023): 198.
16. Salas-Pilco SZ, Xiao K and Hu X. Correction: Salas-Pilco et al. "Artificial Intelligence and Learning Analytics in Teacher Education: A Systematic Review". *Educ. Sci.* 2022, 12, 569. *Education Sciences* 13.9 (2023): 897.
17. Salas-Pilco SZ, Xiao K and Oshima J. "Artificial Intelligence and New Technologies in Inclusive Education for Minority Students: A Systematic review". *Sustainability* 14.20 (2022): 13572.
18. Sanders CK and Scanlon E. "The digital divide is a human rights issue: Advancing social inclusion through social work advocacy". *Journal of Human Rights and Social Work* 6.2 (2021): 130-143.
19. Shahamiri SR and Thabtah F. "Autism AI: a New Autism Screening System Based on Artificial Intelligence". *Cognitive Computation* 12.4 (2020): 766-777.