HORMONE RESEARCH IN PÆDIATRICS

Horm Res Paediatr 2015;84:49–53 DOI: 10.1159/000382017 Received: November 28, 2014 Accepted: March 31, 2015 Published online: May 29, 2015

# Short Children with CHARGE Syndrome: Do They Benefit from Growth Hormone Therapy?

Helmuth G. Dörr<sup>a</sup> Margaret Boguszewski<sup>b</sup> Jovanna Dahlgren<sup>c</sup> David Dunger<sup>d</sup> Mitchell E. Geffner<sup>e</sup> Anita C. Hokken-Koelega<sup>f</sup> Anders Lindberg<sup>g</sup> Michel Polak<sup>h</sup> Raoul Rooman<sup>i</sup> on behalf of KIGS International Board

<sup>a</sup>Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil; <sup>c</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Gothenburg, Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>d</sup>Department of Paediatrics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, UK; <sup>e</sup>The Saban Research Institute of Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, Calif., USA; <sup>f</sup>Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>g</sup>Pfizer Inc., Sollentuna, Sweden; <sup>h</sup>Pediatric Endocrinology, Gynecology and Diabetology, Hopital Universitaire Necker Enfants Malades, Université Paris Descartes, Centre des Maladies Endocriniennes Rares de la Croissance, Paris, France; <sup>i</sup>University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

### **Key Words**

Short stature  $\cdot$  CHARGE syndrome  $\cdot$  Growth  $\cdot$  Growth hormone treatment  $\cdot$  KIGS database

### Abstract

**Aim:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the response to recombinant growth hormone (GH) treatment in short children with CHARGE syndrome. **Patients:** We identified 51 children (28 boys and 23 girls) in KIGS (Pfizer International Growth Database). The median chronological age was 7.6 years at the start of GH therapy and 13.2 years at the latest visit. Evaluation for GH deficiency (n = 33) was based on the following: peak GH level 7.3 µg/l and IGF-l level –2.01 standard deviation score (SDS). Sixteen subjects (9 boys) were followed longitudinally for 2 years. **Results:** Birth length (median SDS, –0.47) and weight (–0.97) were slightly reduced. At the start of GH therapy, height was –3.6 SDS, BMI –0.7 SDS, and the GH dose was 0.26 mg/kg/week. At the latest visit after 2.7 years of GH therapy, height had increased to –2.2 SDS and BMI to –0.5 SDS. In the longitudinal group, height in-

# KARGER 125

© 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel 1663–2818/15/0841–0049\$39.50/0

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/hrp creased from -3.72 SDS at the start of GH therapy to -2.92 SDS after 1 year to -2.37 SDS after 2 years of therapy (start -2 years: p < 0.05), height velocity increased from -1.69 to 2.98 to 0.95 SDS, and BMI and GH dose (mg/kg/week) remained almost unchanged. **Conclusions:** Our data show a positive effect of conventional doses of GH on short-term growth velocity for the longitudinal as well as for the total group, without any safety issues. © 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel

### Introduction

CHARGE is an acronym proposed by Pagon et al. [1] to describe a syndrome (OMIM 214800) with multiple congenital anomalies such as coloboma of the eye, heart malformations, choanal atresia, retardation of growth

Presented in part at the 51st Annual Meeting of the ESPE 2012 in Leipzig.

Prof. Dr. med. Helmuth G. Dörr Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg Loschgestrasse 15, DE–91054 Erlangen (Germany) E-Mail helmuth-guenther.doerr@uk-erlangen.de

	Background			
Birth weight SDS (n = 47) Birth length SDS (n = 36) GH peak, $\mu g/l$ (n = 33) IGF-I SDS (n = 23)	-0.97 (2.77 to 1.16) -0.47 (2.30 to 1.40) 7.30 (2.70 to 15.5) -2.01 (-3.24 to 0.66)			
	At the start of GH therapy	At the last visit		
CA, years $(n = 51)$ Children in puberty Height SDS $(n = 51)$ Height SDS corrected with MPH SDS $(n = 44)$ BMI SDS $(n = 51)$ GH dose, mg/kg/week $(n = 51)$	7.6 (2.2 to 14.7) 3 -3.6 (-5.5 to -2.4) -3.3 (-4.6 to -1.6) -0.7 (-2.6 to 1.3) 0.26 (0.18 to 0.37)	13.2 (4.6 to 18.5) 19 -2.2 (-5.2 to -0.6) -1.7 (-3.5 to -0.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 1.7) 0.28 (0.18 to 0.36)		

**Table 1.** Auxological data of the whole group of 51 children with CHARGE at the start of GH therapy and at the latest visit documented in KIGS

Values are presented as medians (10th-90th percentile). MPH = Midparental height.

and mental development, genitourinary anomalies, and ear malformations [2–6]. CHARGE syndrome is a clinical diagnosis based on major and minor criteria as outlined by Blake et al. [7] and Verloes [4]. Mutations of the chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein gene *CHD7* were reported to be a major cause of CHARGE syndrome [2, 8, 9].

Children with CHARGE syndrome have also endocrine disturbances which affect genital development, puberty and growth. Puberty is often delayed or absent due to hypogonadotropic hypogonadism in combination with anosmia [10–12]. Studies show that postnatal growth is disturbed in 37–72% of affected children [13–15]. It has generally been assumed that short stature is caused by recurrent infections, feeding problems, and/or hospitalizations, and is not due to a hormonal insufficiency. However, growth hormone (GH) deficiency (GHD) has been reported in children with CHARGE syndrome [12, 13, 16, 17].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no published data in the literature on the effects of GH treatment in short children with CHARGE syndrome. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of GH treatment on growth and body mass index (BMI) in children with CHARGE syndrome.

### **Patients and Methods**

The data of 51 children (28 boys and 23 girls) with the diagnosis of CHARGE syndrome were retrieved from the pharmacoepidemiological survey KIGS (Pfizer International Growth Database) of 2012 [18]. We assume that the diagnosis was made by clinical geneticists according to the criteria defined by Blake et al. [7] and Verloes [4]. Data on molecular confirmation of the diagnosis were not recorded in the database. The children were treated with GH (Genotropin<sup>®</sup>) by subcutaneous injections on 6 or 7 days per week. The results of a pharmacological GH stimulation test were documented in 33 patients. The median (10th–90th percentile) peak GH level was 7.3 µg/l (2.7–15.5). Fifteen children had a peak GH of <10 µg/l and were considered GH deficient. The median serum IGF-I level was –2.0 standard deviation score (SDS, –3.2 to 0.7). The median chronological age (CA) at the start of GH therapy was 7.6 years (2.2–14.7), with 3 subjects in puberty. At the latest available visit, the median age was 13.2 years (4.6–18.5), with 19 subjects in puberty. The median starting dose of GH was 0.26 mg/kg/ week. The median duration of GH treatment was 2.7 years (0.35–8.8). Bone age results were not documented.

Of the total group, the longitudinal auxological data of 16 prepubertal children (9 boys and 7 girls) who remained prepubertal for at least 2 years during GH treatment were analyzed. For those children, the median CA at the start of GH therapy was 6.9 years (2.2–12.5).

SDS values for birth data, height, height velocity, and BMI were calculated based on studies from Sweden, Switzerland, and Great Britain [19–21]. The results, where appropriate, are shown as medians (10th–90th percentile) or means (SD). Student's t test was used for comparisons of outcome measures when applicable, otherwise Wilcoxon rang sum test was used, considering differences at <5% level as significant (p < 0.05). Statistical analysis was made by SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA).

### Results

Cross-Sectional Data of the Total Group

In the total group, median (10th–90th percentile) birth length was -0.47 SDS (-2.3 to 1.4) and birth weight

At the start of GH therapy CA, years Height, cm Height SDS Height – MPH SDS	16 16 16 16	6.86 99.2 -3.72	2.17	12.5		
CA, years Height, cm Height SDS Height – MPH SDS	16 16 16 16	6.86 99.2 -3.72	2.17	12.5		
Height, cm Height SDS Height – MPH SDS	16 16 16	99.2 -3.72		12.5	7.52	4.43
Height SDS Height – MPH SDS	16 16	_3 72	/5.5	127.7	102.6	22.4
Height – MPH SDS	16	5.74	-5.63	-2.80	-4.03	1.29
		-3.44	-5.79	-1.95	-3.54	1.26
Height velocity, cm/year	4	3.98	2.72	4.82	3.88	0.91
Height velocity SDS	4	-1.69	-3.36	0.35	-1.60	1.95
BMI SDS	16	-1.32	-3.58	0.60	-1.28	1.41
GH, mg/kg/week	16	0.23	0.17	0.34	0.25	0.08
First year on GH						
CA, years	16	7.91	3.03	13.6	8.53	4.48
Height, cm	16	106.9	83.2	135.6	111.6	21.6
Height SDS	16	-2.92	-5.17	-1.91	-3.29	1.61
Height – MPH SDS	16	-2.50	-5.35	-1.18	-2.81	1.43
Height velocity, cm/year	16	8.82	6.29	10.5	8.92	2.80
Height velocity SDS	16	2.98	-0.31	6.38	2.93	2.69
Delta height SDS (first year vs. start)	16	0.79	0.45	1.14	0.73	0.43
BMI SDS	16	-1.19	-3.58	0.19	-1.42	1.45
GH, mg/kg/week	16	0.24	0.18	0.35	0.26	0.07
Second year on GH						
CA, years	16	8.87	4.17	14.5	9.52	4.42
Height, cm	16	112.1	93.8	141.9	118.8	20.4
Height SDS	16	-2.37	-4.74	-1.63	-2.89	1.83
Height – MPH SDS	16	-2.11	-4.20	-0.72	-2.40	1.54
Height velocity, cm/year	16	7.19	4.82	9.31	7.28	2.10
Height velocity SDS	16	0.95	-0.88	4.74	1.53	3.19
Delta height SDS (second vs. first year)	16	0.46	-0.28	1.04	0.41	0.49
BMI SDS	15	-1.44	-2.73	0.15	-1.26	1.30
GH, mg/kg/week	16	0.24	0.18	0.36	0.26	0.08

Table 2. Longitudinal data of 16 children with CHARGE syndrome in KIGS who remained prepubertal during 2 years of GH therapy

MPH = Midparental neight.

was -0.97 SDS (-2.8 to 1.2). Table 1 shows the auxological data of the children at the start of GH therapy and at the latest visit documented in KIGS. At the start of GH therapy, data on height velocity were only available for 10 patients. Median height velocity was 4.4 cm/year (2.3-10.3).

As shown in table 1, the children were very short at the start of GH treatment (height SDS 90th percentile -2.4). On GH, median height increased from -3.6 to -2.2 SDS at the last documented visit, whereas median BMI SDS remained unchanged. The median duration of GH therapy was 2.7 years. The first-year height velocity during GH treatment was not different between children with and those without GHD.

### Two-Year Longitudinal Data

The longitudinal data of 16 children from the start of GH therapy as well as at 1 and at 2 years on GH treatment are shown in table 2. All children remained prepubertal during the observation period. Peak GH levels were reported for 11 patients (9 with GHD and 2 without GHD); no information was available for 5 patients. Plotting the peak GH levels versus delta height SDS for 1-year prepubertal growth, a weak and not significant correlation was found (r = -0.19). The median (10th-90th percentile) starting dose of GH was 0.23 mg/kg/week (0.17-0.34). Median height SDS increased significantly from -3.7 at the start of GH treatment to -2.4 after 2 years (p < 0.05; fig. 1). Height SDS minus midparental height SDS also



**Fig. 1.** Longitudinal height data (SDS) of 16 prepubertal children with CHARGE syndrome at the start of GH therapy as well as after 1 and 2 years. Box plot with individual height during GH treatment (median value). Box: 25th and 75th percentile; whiskers: 10th and 90th percentile.

increased significantly from -3.4 at the start of GH treatment to -2.1 after 2 years (p < 0.05).

During the 2 years of GH treatment, median height velocity SDS increased from -1.7 to 0.95, and the median BMI SDS remained almost unchanged (-1.3 to -1.4). The median change in height SDS was +0.79 in the first year and +0.46 in the second year of GH treatment. The GH dose remained unchanged during the first 2 years of treatment.

# Adverse Events

Adverse events were reported in 7 children: upper respiratory tract infection (n = 3), viral gastroenteritis (n = 1), chickenpox (n = 1), headache (n = 1), and kyphoscoliosis (n = 1).

# Discussion

This is the first report showing efficacy and safety data on the growth outcomes and adverse events in GH-treated short children with CHARGE syndrome. All children were found to have a substantial improvement in height SDS and height velocity SDS after 1 and 2 years of GH treatment, while BMI SDS remained unchanged. The gain in height SDS (start of treatment vs. latest visit) of the total group was +1.3 SDS. The age at the start of GH therapy was, however, relatively high, and many children entered puberty only after some months on treatment, which could contribute to overestimation of efficacy. However, the same results were found when only a pre-pubertal subgroup was analyzed.

It has been shown that children with CHARGE syndrome usually have a normal birth weight and birth length [13, 22]. The birth data of our group confirm these results. The majority of children with CHARGE syndrome experience decelerated growth pattern during late infancy [10, 13–15, 23]. It has been speculated that the etiology of short stature is multifactorial due to cardiac malformations, infections, feeding problems, gastroesophageal reflux, choanal atresia, and/or recurrent hospitalizations [3, 13] and not due to endocrine disorders such as GHD. The low BMI values, both at the start of and during GH therapy, confirm that nutrition is a major problem in children with CHARGE syndrome.

GHD has been documented in children with CHARGE syndrome [12, 13, 16, 17]. Pinto et al. [12] assessed GH secretion in 25 short children with CHARGE syndrome, and 3 had low peak GH values consistent with GHD. Asakura et al. [16] found GHD in 1 of 7 patients with CHARGE syndrome, and Husu et al. [14] reported GHD in 3 of 9 short children with CHARGE syndrome. In the KIGS cohort, a pharmacological GH stimulation test was documented in only 33 patients, with a low GH result (<10  $\mu$ g/l) in 15 patients. The high incidence of GHD in our population can be explained by a recruitment bias, since the data were extracted from a GH database.

The weak correlation between peak GH levels versus delta height SDS for 1-year prepubertal growth may indicate that endogenous GH status does not appear to play a role in the first-year height response to GH therapy, but the cohort may be too small to be certain. The reported adverse events are harmless and in parallel to previous reports in other GH indications [24]. In order to recommend treatment with GH in these patients, it is necessary to have long-term data on GH and particularly final height data. However, it is important to carry out a careful evaluation of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis in children with CHARGE syndrome.

Our study has several limitations. There might be a selection bias since only very short-statured patients with CHARGE syndrome were selected for GH therapy. Additionally, there were children who were treated with GH without proven GHD. Moreover, we have no genetic confirmation of the diagnosis in our subjects.

In summary, we presented short-term longitudinal outcomes of GH treatment in children with CHARGE syndrome. GH was effective in improving linear growth over the first years of treatment, also when a prepubertal subset was studied. However, long-term data on GH therapy and final height data are unfortunately lacking.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the physicians who contributed patient data to KIGS. We thank Ms. F. Aydin and Mrs. M. Koltowska-Häggström for their support.

#### **Disclosure Statemtent**

H.G.D., M.B., J.D., D.D., M.E.G., A.C.H.-K., M.P., and R.R. were members of the KIGS Steering Committee at the time of the study. A.L. is a full-time employee of Pfizer Inc., Sollentuna, Sweden. This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

#### References

- 1 Pagon RA, Graham JM Jr, Zonana J, Yong SL: Coloboma, congenital heart disease, and choanal atresia with multiple anomalies: CHARGE association. J Pediatr 1981;99:223–227.
- 2 Lalani SR, Safiullah AM, Fernbach SD, Harutyunyan KG, Thaller C, Peterson LE, McPherson JD, Gibbs RA, White LD, Hefner M, Davenport SL, Graham JM, Bacino CA, Glass NL, Towbin JA, Craigen WJ, Neish SR, Lin AE, Belmont JW: Spectrum of CHD7 mutations in 110 individuals with CHARGE syndrome and genotype-phenotype correlation. Am J Hum Genet 2006;78:303–314.
- 3 Blake KD, Prasad C: CHARGE syndrome. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2006;1:34.
- 4 Verloes A: Updated diagnostic criteria for CHARGE syndrome: a proposal. Am J Med Genet A 2005;133A:306–308.
- 5 Jyonouchi S, McDonald-McGinn DM, Bale S, Zackai EH, Sullivan KE: CHARGE (coloboma, heart defect, atresia choanae, retarded growth and development, genital hypoplasia, ear anomalies/deafness) syndrome and chromosome 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a comparison of immunologic and nonimmunologic phenotypic features. Pediatrics 2009; 123:e871-e877.
- 6 Hsu P, Ma A, Wilson M, Williams G, Curotta J, Munns CF, Mehr S: CHARGE syndrome: a review. J Paediatr Child Health 2014;50:504– 511.
- 7 Blake KD, Davenport SL, Hall BD, Hefner MA, Pagon RA, Williams MS, Lin AE, Graham JM Jr: CHARGE association: an update and review for the primary pediatrician. Clin Pediatr 1998;37:159–173.
- 8 Vissers LE, van Ravenswaaij CM, Admiraal R, Hurst JA, de Vries BB, Janssen IM, van der Vliet WA, Huys EH, de Jong PJ, Hamel BC, Schoenmakers EF, Brunner HG, Veltman JA, van Kessel AG: Mutations in a new member

of the chromodomain gene family cause charge syndrome. Nat Genet 2004;36:955-957.

- 9 Jongmans MC, Admiraal RJ, van der Donk KP, Vissers LE, Baas AF, Kapusta L, van Hagen JM, Donnai D, de Ravel TJ, Veltman JA, Geurts van Kessel A, De Vries BB, Brunner HG, Hoefsloot LH, van Ravenswaaij CM: CHARGE syndrome: the phenotypic spectrum of mutations in the chd7 gene. J Med Genet 2006;43:306–314.
- 10 Issekutz KA, Graham JM Jr, Prasad C, Smith IM, Blake KD: An epidemiological analysis of CHARGE syndrome: preliminary results from a Canadian study. Am J Med Genet A 2005;133A:309–317.
- 11 Bergman JE, Bocca G, Hoefsloot LH, Meiners LC, van Ravenswaaij-Arts CM: Anosmia predicts hypogonadotropic hypogonadism in CHARGE syndrome. J Pediatr 2011;158:474– 479.
- 12 Pinto G, Abadie V, Mesnage R, Blustajn J, Cabrol S, Amiel J, Hertz-Pannier L, Bertrand AM, Lyonnet S, Rappaport R, Netchine I: CHARGE syndrome includes hypogonado-tropic hypogonadism and abnormal olfactory bulb development. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:5621–5626.
- 13 Blake K, Kirk JM, Ur E: Growth in CHARGE association. Arch Dis Child 1993;68:508–509.
- 14 Husu E, Hove HD, Farholt S, Bille M, Tranebjaerg L, Vogel I, Kreiborg S: Phenotype in 18 Danish subjects with genetically verified CHARGE syndrome. Clin Genet 2013;83: 125–134.
- 15 Zentner GE, Layman WS, Martin DM, Scacheri PC: Molecular and phenotypic aspects of CHD7 mutation in CHARGE syndrome. Am J Med Genet A 2010;152A:674–686.
- 16 Asakura Y, Toyota Y, Muroya K, Kurosawa K, Fujita K, Aida N, Kawame H, Kosaki K, Adachi M: Endocrine and radiological studies

in patients with molecularly confirmed CHARGE syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:920–924.

- 17 Gregory LC, Gevers EF, Baker J, Kasia T, Chong K, Josifova DJ, Caimari M, Bilan F, McCabe MJ, Dattani MT: Structural pituitary abnormalities associated with CHARGE syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98:E737–E743.
- 18 Wilton P: KIGS: structure and organization; in Ranke MB, Price DA, Reiter EO (eds): Growth Hormone Therapy in Pediatrics – 20 Years of KIGS. Basel, Karger, 2007, pp 1–5.
- 19 Niklasson A, Ericson A, Fryer JG, Karlberg J, Lawrence C, Karlberg P: An update of the Swedish reference standards for weight, length and head circumference at birth for given gestational age (1977–1981). Acta Paediatr Scand 1991;80:756–762.
- 20 Prader A, Largo RH, Molinari L, Issler C: Physical growth of Swiss children from birth to 20 years of age. First Zurich longitudinal study of growth and development. Helv Paediatr Acta Suppl 1989;52:1–125.
- 21 Cole TJ: Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. Arch Dis Child 1995;73:25–29.
- 22 Searle LC, Graham JM Jr, Prasad C, Blake KD: CHARGE syndrome from birth to adulthood: an individual reported on from 0 to 33 years. Am J Med Genet A 2005;133A:344–349.
- 23 Bergman JE, Janssen N, Hoefsloot LH, Jongmans MC, Hofstra RM, van Ravenswaaij-Arts CM: CHD7 mutations and charge syndrome: the clinical implications of an expanding phenotype. J Med Genet 2011;48: 334–342.
- 24 Darendeliler F, Karagiannis G, Wilton P: Headache, idiopathic intracranial hypertension and slipped capital femoral epiphysis during growth hormone treatment: a safety update from the KIGS database. Horm Res 2007;68(suppl 5):41–47.