University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

[ScholarWorks @ UTRGV](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/)

[School of Earth, Environmental, and Marine](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/eems_fac) [Sciences Faculty Publications and](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/eems_fac) **Presentations**

[College of Sciences](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/cos)

9-15-2017

Climate controls over the net carbon uptake period and amplitude of net ecosystem production in temperate and boreal ecosystems

Zheng Fu

Paul C. Stoy

Yiqi Luo

Jiquan Chen

Jian Sun

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/eems_fac](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/eems_fac?utm_source=scholarworks.utrgv.edu%2Feems_fac%2F382&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Earth Sciences Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/153?utm_source=scholarworks.utrgv.edu%2Feems_fac%2F382&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Environmental Sciences Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/167?utm_source=scholarworks.utrgv.edu%2Feems_fac%2F382&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Marine Biology](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1126?utm_source=scholarworks.utrgv.edu%2Feems_fac%2F382&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1126?utm_source=scholarworks.utrgv.edu%2Feems_fac%2F382&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Authors

Zheng Fu, Paul C. Stoy, Yiqi Luo, Jiquan Chen, Jian Sun, Leonardo Montagnani, Georg Wohlfahrt, Abdullah F. Rahman, Serge Rambal, and Christian Bernhofer

Version of Record:<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168192317301685> Manuscript_ea850b786d59fb30a9a5598937ad085a

- 23 ¹¹CEFE UMR 5175, CNRS Université de Montpellier Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier -
- 24 EPHE, F-34293, Montpellier cedex 5, France
- 25 ¹²Universidade Federal de Lavras, Departamento de Biologia, CP 3037, CEP 37200000, Lavras,
- 26 MG, Brazil
- ¹³27 Institute of Hydrology and Meteorology, Chair of Meteorology, Technische Universität Dresden,
- 28 01062 Dresden, Germany
- 29

30 ***Corresponding author**

- 31 Shuli Niu, Ph.D.
- 32 Address: Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic
- 33 Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- 34 Phone: 86-10-6488-8062
- 35 Fax: 86-10-6488-9399
- 36 Email: sniu@igsnrr.ac.cn

37 **Abstract**

38 The seasonal and interannual variability of the terrestrial carbon cycle is regulated by the interactions 39 of climate and ecosystem function. However, the key factors and processes determining the 40 interannual variability of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) in different biomes are far from clear. 41 Here, we quantified yearly anomalies of seasonal and annual NEP, net carbon uptake period (CUP), 42 and the maximum daily NEP (NEP_{max}) in response to climatic variables in 24 deciduous broadleaf 43 forest (DBF), evergreen forest (EF), and grassland (GRA) ecosystems that include at least eight 44 years of eddy covariance observations. Over the 228 site-years studied, interannual variations in 45 NEP were mostly explained by anomalies of CUP and NEP_{max}. CUP was determined by spring and 46 autumn net carbon uptake phenology, which were sensitive to annual meteorological variability. 47 Warmer spring temperatures led to an earlier start of net carbon uptake activity and higher spring 48 and annual NEP values in DBF and EF, while warmer autumn temperatures in DBF, higher autumn 49 radiation in EF, and more summer and autumn precipitation in GRA resulted in a later ending date of 50 net carbon uptake and associated higher autumn and annual NEP. Anomalies in NEP_{max} s were 51 determined by summer precipitation in DBF and GRA, and explained more than 50% of variation in 52 summer NEP anomalies for all the three biomes. Results demonstrate the role of meteorological 53 variability in controlling CUP and NEP_{max}, which in turn help describe the seasonal and interannual 54 variability of NEP.

55

56 **Key words**: net ecosystem productivity; interannual variation; net carbon uptake period; maximum 57 carbon uptake amplitude; phenology; climate

58 **1. Introduction**

59 Climate controls the terrestrial carbon cycle by regulating plant physiological processes, including 60 phenology. Climate thus determines both ecosystem carbon uptake capacity as well as the length of 61 the carbon uptake period, which are important determinants of ecosystem carbon sequestration 62 (Falge et al., 2002b; Gu et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016). It is far from clear how 63 climatic or meteorological changes impact net ecosystem production (NEP) by changing carbon 64 uptake phenology and physiology, given that models are largely unable to simulate the interaction 65 between climate and ecosystem carbon dynamics to date (IPCC, 2013). 66 Previous studies on the relationship between carbon uptake phenology and NEP primarily 67 focus on growing season length (GSL). A longer GSL due to climate warming (Delpierre et al., 2015; 68 Linderholm, 2006; Peñuelas and Filella, 2001) usually stimulates NEP (Baldocchi, 2008; Baldocchi 69 and Wilson, 2001; Churkina et al., 2005; Dragoni et al., 2011; Richardson et al., 2013). Yet other 70 studies have found no relationship between GSL and NEP (Dunn et al., 2007), or lower NEP with 71 longer GSL (Hu et al., 2010b; Piao et al., 2007; Sacks et al., 2007). The reasons for this disparity are 72 twofold; gross ecosystem productivity may be offset by concurrent increases in ecosystem 73 respiration as NEP is the difference between the two, and longer GSLs may increase the likelihood 74 of drought limitations to productivity. 75 With the advantage of quasi-continuous measurements of the net $CO₂$ exchange by the eddy 76 covariance method, strong relationships between net carbon uptake period (CUP) and annual NEP 77 have been characterized (Baldocchi et al., 2001; Baldocchi et al., 2005; Richardson et al., 2010; 78 Richardson et al., 2013; Wu and Chen, 2013; Wu et al., 2013). Overall, annual NEP is more strongly

80 climate controls on GSL have been well studied (Chmielewski and Rötzer, 2001; Delpierre et al.,

79 correlated with CUP than GSL (Piao et al., 2007; White and Nemani, 2003; Wu et al., 2013). While

81 2015; Matsumoto et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 2013), our understanding of climate controls over 82 CUP and thus NEP across different ecosystems is still limited.

83 In addition to the CUP, the maximum daily ecosystem NEP (NEP_{max}, Fig. 1A) is another 84 strong predictor of annual NEP, especially in temperate and boreal ecosystems that have obvious 85 seasonal dynamics (Falge et al., 2002b; Xia et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016). With the same CUP, 86 ecosystems that have a higher NEP_{max} tend to have larger annual NEP (Fig. 1D) (Churkina et al., 87 2005). Although a longer CUP may increase annual NEP, associated warmer and drier summers may 88 suppress summer NEPmax, potentially offsetting any annual NEP increase (e.g. Fig.1E) (Angert et al., 89 2005; Ciais et al., 2005; Cleland et al., 2007). Moreover, a longer CUP may decrease annual NEP 90 because an earlier onset of the growing season may result from a shallow snowpack or increased 91 transpiration, leaving less available water in the soil in summer and limiting plant growth later in the 92 growing season (Hu et al., 2010a; Kljun et al., 2006; Sacks et al., 2007). Niemand et al. (2005) 93 linked phenology observations to flux measurements in a Norway spruce forest and found that 94 earlier spring phenology correlated well with increased NEP only when the drought year of 2003 95 was excluded, suggesting that water availability influences the relationship between CUP and annual 96 NEP. These results indicate that the effects of summer water limitation on NEP $_{\text{max}}$ may potentially 97 offset positive spring warming influences on spring NEP, leading to smaller changes in annual NEP 98 than otherwise expected (Fig. 1E). In addition, autumn warming may also advance the ending of 99 carbon uptake and decrease autumn NEP, resulting in a small change in annual NEP in response to 100 climate warming (Fig. 1F). We tested the hypothesis that, by separating annual NEP variability into 101 CUP and NEP_{max}, we can better disentangle how meteorological drivers impact NEP variability in 102 deciduous broadleaf forests (DBF), evergreen forests (EF), and grasslands (GRA) that experience 103 pronounced seasonality in temperate and boreal climate zones.

104 In this study, we analyzed eddy covariance-measured $CO₂$ flux and micrometeorological 105 variables from 24 flux tower sites that have long-term (multi-year) quasi-continuous measurements. 106 The specific questions addressed in this study include: (1) how are CUP and NEP_{max} related to 107 annual NEP in different biomes; (2) what are the climate factors that determine NEP_{max} and the 108 beginning (BDOY) and end (EDOY) of the CUP; and (3) how are seasonal NEP anomalies related to 109 annual NEP anomalies?

110

111 Fig. 1. Hypothesized changes in the regulation of annual NEP by net carbon uptake period (CUP) 112 and the maximum daily net ecosystem productivity (NEP_{max}), and their roles in regulating annual 113 NEP changes. Panel A defines the terminology used throughout the manuscript. Red lines in 114 subsequent panels represent the change in a hypothetical warmer year *versus* the mean seasonal 115 pattern in black. Panels (B) and (C) represent the phenological regulations by advancing net carbon 116 sink beginning day (BDOY) or by delaying net carbon sink ending day (EDOY); (D) represents a 117 change in NEP_{max}; (E) represents the larger spring NEP with an advancing BDOY but smaller 118 summer NEP by decreasing NEP_{max}; and (F) represents larger spring NEP by early beginning of

- 119 BDOY, but smaller autumn NEP with earlier EDOY. We only showed the representative scenarios 120 rather than all possible interactions between NEP_{max}, BDOY and EDOY.
- 121

122 **2. Data and Methods**

123 **2.1. Site selection and data processing**

124 Surface-atmosphere CO₂ flux and micrometeorological data used in this analysis were downloaded

125 from standardized files of the FLUXNET LaThuille database released in 2007 (Baldocchi, 2008;

126 Baldocchi et al., 2001). The data have been quality-controlled and gap-filled by consistent methods

127 (Moffat et al., 2007; Papale et al., 2006; Reichstein et al., 2005). From the available 253 sites, we

128 identified and examined temperate and boreal ecosystems (38-62 °N, -125-24 °E; Table A1) that

129 have clear seasonal dynamics. We only chose sites that have eight or more years of data for a total of

130 24 sites with 228 site-years to investigate the interannual variability of climate and CUP and NEP_{max},

131 as well as seasonal and annual NEP (Table A1). Daily data for each site were used to calculate the

132 seasonal and annual values of incoming solar global radiation (R_g) , air temperature (T_a) ,

133 precipitation (PPT), and daily CO₂ fluxes (i.e., NEP, also called the net ecosystem exchange, NEE).

134 These sites were divided into three groups based on International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme

135 (IGBP) land cover classifications: deciduous broadleaf forests (DBF), evergreen forests (EF), and

136 grasslands (GRA).

137 For each year, we calculated the seasonal and annual mean values of T, PPT, R_g , and NEP.

138 The whole year was separated into the climatological seasons of winter (December–February),

139 spring (March–May), summer (June–August), and autumn (September–November) in order to study

140 seasonal changes in NEP in response to changes in CUP and NEP_{max}. When the missing daily data

141 exceeded 10% of the entire season (or year), the seasonal (or yearly) value was indicated as missing.

- 142 On average, for the 24 sites, 8% of the years were rejected due to insufficient data. The years 143 rejected varied from 7% (US-Ha1) to 45% (IT-Col) among sites.
- 144

145 **2.2. Definition and analysis**

146 We used a 10-day moving average to determine the beginning (BDOY) and ending (EDOY) day of 147 positive ecosystem NEP for each year for each site (Fig. 1A, Table A2). Consistently, BDOY 148 occurred in spring and EDOY appears in autumn at all the sites. We defined the net carbon uptake 149 period (CUP) as the number of days between the BDOY and EDOY (Fig. 1A). Daily ecosystem 150 carbon uptake exceeds carbon release during the CUP. CUP is related to, but need not be identical to, 151 GSL. For example, the beginning of CUP may start later than leaf onset when the leaves 152 photosynthesize at a rate that outweighs ecosystem respiration. The CUP ends when ecosystem 153 respiration is higher than photosynthesis although plant growth and $CO₂$ uptake may continue. GSL 154 in most cases will be longer than CUP because the balance between total ecosystem respiration and 155 photosynthesis determines CUP (Churkina et al., 2005). The maximum daily NEP (NEP_{max}) is 156 defined as the maximum value of daily NEP, which was derived from the moving average curves of 157 NEP seasonal dynamics (Fig. 1A). Interannual variation as a yearly anomaly was calculated for each 158 site-year for each variable.

159

160 **2.3. Data analysis**

161 To examine the relationships between climate anomalies and the anomalies of BDOY, EDOY, and 162 NEPmax, as well as the anomalies in seasonal and annual NEP, we analyzed the data using simple 163 linear regression. For testing the inequality of regression slopes among different vegetation types, 164 the slopes between BDOY and EDOY anomalies, and seasonal or annual NEP anomalies for

165 different seasons, we calculated t* using t*= $(b_1 - b_2) / \sqrt{(s_1^2 + s_2^2})$, where b_1 and b_2 are regression 166 slopes, and s_1 and s_2 are the standard errors of regression slopes for the vegetation types or different 167 seasons. The null hypothesis is that the slopes b_1 and b_2 are not significantly different at α =0.05. We 168 also used structural equation models to partition the total effect of variables on annual NEP into 169 direct and indirect effects (Schumacker and Lomax, 2004; Vargas et al., 2010). A path model was 170 developed to relate the anomalies of NEP to the anomalies of CUP and NEP_{max}, as well as the 171 anomalies of seasonal climate factors in different ecosystems. The model was fitted using the 172 'lavaan' package (Rosseel, 2012) in R3.0.2 for Windows.

173

174 **3. Results**

- 175 **3.1. CUP and NEPmax in controlling NEP**
- 176 Across all the site-years, annual NEP anomalies were positively correlated with anomalies of CUP
- 177 and NEPmax across all three ecosystem types (*P*<0.001, Fig. 2A). The slope between CUP and NEP
- 178 was significantly higher in DBF (5.5 g C m⁻² per day) and GRA (3.6 g C m⁻² per day) than in EF (1.9
- 179 g C m⁻² per day, Fig. 2A). Across all site-years, annual NEP increased by 107 g C m⁻² per unit
- 180 increase of NEP_{max} (g C m⁻² d⁻¹) (Fig. 2B). The relationship between NEP_{max} and NEP was similar
- 181 between DBF (123.2 g C m⁻²) and EF (112.9 g C m⁻²) per unit increase of NEP_{max} (g C m⁻² d⁻¹), and
- 182 both were higher than that in GRA (56.3 g C m⁻²) (Fig. 2B). NEP_{max} and CUP in combination
- 183 explained 73, 54, 63% of the variation in annual NEP anomalies in DBF, EF, and GRA, respectively,
- 184 as quantified using the structural equation models (Fig. A1).

185

186 Fig. 2. (A) The changes in annual NEP anomalies with the anomalies of net carbon uptake period 187 (CUP), and (B) the anomalies of maximum daily net ecosystem productivity (NEP_{max}) in temperate 188 and boreal deciduous broadleaf (DBF), evergreen forest (EF) and grassland (GRA) ecosystems. The 189 numbers in the legends are r values; all are significant at *P*<0.001.

190

191 **3.2. Spring phenology and NEP**

192 BDOY was significantly correlated with spring temperature in DBF and EF (Fig. 3A), but not with 193 spring precipitation or radiation in any biome (*P* > 0.05). BDOY advanced by 2.6 and 3.7 days per 194 degree increase of spring temperature for DBF and EF, respectively (Fig. 3A). Early BDOY led to 195 an increase in spring NEP in the three biomes, and annual NEP in DBF and EF (Fig. 3B and C). For 196 example, spring NEP increased by 4.0, 0.8 and 1.6 g C m⁻² per day advance of BDOY for DBF, EF,

197 and GRA, respectively (Fig. 3B), while annual NEP increased by 6.6 and 2.1 g C m⁻² per day

198 advance of BDOY for DBF and EF, respectively (Fig. 3C). All the spring climate factors in

199 combination explained 37% and 16% of the variation in BDOY in DBF and EF, respectively, but

200 explained only 3% in GRA (Fig. A1).

202 Fig. 3. (A) Spring phenology (net carbon sink beginning day, BDOY) in response to spring 203 temperature change, and its contribution to spring (B) and annual (C) NEP anomalies in temperate

- 204 and boreal deciduous broadleaf (DBF), evergreen forest (EF) and grassland (GRA) ecosystems. The 205 numbers in the legends are r values; all are significant at $P \le 0.01$.
- 206

207 **3.3. Autumn phenology and NEP**

- 208 EDOY anomalies were positively correlated with autumn temperature anomalies in DBF (*P* < 0.001,
- 209 Fig. 4A), with autumn radiation anomalies in EF (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 4B), and with summer and autumn
- 210 precipitation anomalies in GRA (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 4C). EDOY was later by 4.1 days per degree increase
- 211 of autumn temperature in DBF (Fig. 4A), by 8 days per 100 MJ $m⁻²$ increase of radiation in EF, and
- 212 by 2.3 days per 10 mm increase of summer or autumn precipitation in GRA. Later EDOY led to an
- 213 increase of autumn and annual NEP in the three biomes (Figs. 4D, E). Autumn NEP increased by 2.8,
- 214 0.8 and 0.5 g C m⁻² per extra day of EDOY for DBF, EF and GRA, respectively (Fig. 4D), while
- 215 annual NEP increased by 6.2, 1.6 and 2.6 g C m⁻² per day, respectively (Fig. 4E). The autumn
- 216 climate factors in combination explained 21% and 48% of the variation in EDOY in DBF and GRA,
- 217 respectively, but explained only 10% in EF (Fig. A1).

219 Fig. 4. (A-C) Significant relationships between meteorological variables and the day at which 220 ecosystem net CO2 uptake ends (EDOY) in temperate and boreal deciduous broadleaf (DBF), 221 evergreen forest (EF) and grassland (GRA) ecosystems. The contributions of EDOY anomalies to 222 autumn (D) and annual (E) NEP anomalies. The numbers in the legends are r values; all are 223 significant at *P*<0.05.

224

225 **3.4. NEPmax and NEP**

226 NEPmax had no significant relationship with summer temperature or radiation in any biome (*P* >0.05), 227 but was negatively correlated with summer precipitation anomalies in DBF and positively correlated 228 with summer precipitation anomalies in GRA (Fig. 5A). NEP_{max} anomalies were related to summer 229 NEP anomalies for all the three biomes with similar slopes (Fig. 5B). Summer climate factors in 230 combination explained most (62%) of the variation of NEP_{max} in GRA but explained only 12% and 5% 231 in DBF and EF (Fig. A1).

232

233 Fig. 5. (A) The relationship between summer precipitation (PPT) and maximum daily net ecosystem 234 productivity (NEP_{max}) in temperate and boreal deciduous broadleaf (DBF) and grassland (GRA) 235 ecosystems. (B) The contribution of NEP_{max} anomalies to summer NEP anomalies at all three study 236 biomes. The numbers in the legends are r values; all are significant at *P*<0.05.

238 **3.5. Relationships among seasonal NEP**

239 Spring NEP anomalies were positively correlated with the summer, autumn, and winter NEP 240 anomalies in DBF and EF, and the slope of this relationship did not differ between biomes (Figs. 6A, 241 B, C). Summer NEP anomalies were correlated with autumn NEP anomalies in DBF and EF, and 242 with winter NEP anomalies in EF (Figs. 6D, E). Autumn NEP anomalies were positively correlated 243 with winter NEP anomalies only in EF (Fig. 6F). There were no significant relationships between 244 seasonal NEP anomalies in GRA.

246 Fig. 6. Relationships among the seasonal net ecosystem productivity (NEP) anomalies in temperate 247 and boreal deciduous broadleaf (DBF), evergreen forest (EF) and grassland (GRA) ecosystems. (A)

248 The relationships between spring NEP anomaly and summer NEP anomaly; (B) the relationships 249 between spring NEP anomaly and autumn NEP anomaly; (C) the relationships between spring NEP 250 anomaly and winter NEP anomaly; (D) the relationships between summer NEP anomaly and autumn 251 NEP anomaly; (E) the relationships between summer NEP anomaly and winter NEP anomaly; (F) 252 the relationships between autumn NEP anomaly and winter NEP anomaly. The numbers in the 253 legends are r values; all are significant at *P*<0.05.

254

255 **4. Discussion**

257 discussion of the relationships between CUP, NEP_{max}, and NEP on the annual and seasonal bases.

258 **4.1. The relationship between climate and the beginning of the net carbon uptake period**

256 We first discuss relationships between meteorological variables and the CUP, followed by a

259 Our results show that interannual variability in ecosystem net carbon uptake phenology was sensitive

260 to climate factors. Spring temperature drives the variability in BDOY, with an average advance of

261 $2.6 - 4.5$ days ${}^{\circ}C^{-1}$ in warmer years (Fig. 3). The advancement of vegetation phenology in response

262 to temperature is well documented in previous studies (Jeong et al., 2011; Julien and Sobrino, 2009;

263 Keenan et al., 2014; Menzel and Fabian, 1999; Menzel et al., 2006; Piao et al., 2006; Schwartz et al.,

264 2006). In an analysis of direct observations of plant phenology over Europe for 1971–2000, Menzel

265 *et al.* (2006) detected an average advance of 0.25 days year⁻¹ in spring onset. Using satellite-

266 measured normalized difference vegetation index, Jeong et al. (2011) demonstrated that the start of

267 the growing season advanced by 5.2 days during 1982–1999 over the Northern Hemisphere due to

268 observed warming. Most of these previous studies used satellite-derived data to directly infer

269 vegetation phenological parameters (Jeong et al., 2011; Julien and Sobrino, 2009).

293 more summer and autumn precipitation in GRA (Fig. 5). Our results indicate that precipitation and 294 radiation, in addition to temperature, play important roles in regulating the EDOY in temperate and 295 boreal ecosystems. More precipitation could improve soil water supply that enables longer carbon 296 gain into autumn, while higher radiation may enable more net carbon gain as days are getting shorter 297 and radiation is often limiting in autumn (Niu et al., 2011).

298

299 **4.3. The joint roles of CUP and NEPmax in regulating NEP**

300 The interannual variation in NEP was attributed to yearly anomalies in CUP and NEPmax (Figs. 2), 301 which in combination explained 54–73% of changes in annual NEP in the three biomes (Fig. A1). In 302 line with our findings, Xia et al. (2015) and Zhou et al. (2016) recently proposed that more than 90% 303 of annual gross primary productivity (GPP) can be explained by the combination of growing season 304 length and the maximum daily GPP in temperate, boreal, and arctic ecosystems. These findings 305 highlighted the important role of GPP_{max} and phenology in controlling production and emphasize the 306 importance of extending such an analysis to account for NEP in addition to GPP.

307 We found that an early onset of net carbon uptake enhanced spring and annual NEP (Fig. 3), 308 while a delay of EDOY increased autumn and annual NEP (Fig. 4), indicating the importance of 309 CUP in regulating carbon sequestration in temperate and boreal ecosystems. The observed link 310 between CUP and annual NEP in temperate and boreal ecosystems are consistent with the results of 311 Baldocchi *et al*. (2001), Baldocchi (2008), and Wu and Chen (2013). Compared to previous studies, 312 this study emphasized different sensitivities between climate and NEP among biomes and between 313 seasons. Spring, autumn, and annual NEP changed more in DBF than in EF and GRA with the same 314 change in BDOY or EDOY. This suggests a higher sensitivity of NEP to phenology changes in DBF 315 than other biomes. Some previous studies also reported that ecosystem productivity in DBF was

316 more sensitive than EF to carbon uptake period (Churkina et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2013). Using flux 317 data, Wu et al. (2013) quantified that a one-day increase in CUP led to a 3.3 and 1.5 $\text{g C m}^2 \text{ y}^{-1}$ 318 increase in annual NEP in DBF and EF, respectively. These results indicate that DBF tends to have a 319 higher productivity during the growing season than EF due to the fundamental differences in 320 physiological strategies between the two biomes (Barr et al., 2009; Falge et al., 2002a; Givnish, 2002; 321 Richardson et al., 2009). Deciduous broadleaf tree species evolved millions of years after conifers 322 and are much more efficient in assimilation per unit leaf surface—the luxury of shedding leaves in 323 winter. DBF are well known for having a higher maximum photosynthetic capacity, which is be 324 offset by a shorter vegetation period (Roser et al., 2002; Schulze et al., 1977). The observed 325 differences between EF and DBF in phenology-productivity relationships have ecological 326 implications, especially in the light of climate change effects on phenology, as phenology models 327 should predict ecosystem response to climate change in different ways for different biomes. 328 In addition to CUP, NEP_{max} also contributed to the interannual variability of ecosystem NEP, 329 especially during summer (Fig. 5). Our results showed that summer precipitation was related to 330 NEPmax in GRA and DBF, indicating that increases in annual NEP due to increases in CUP may be 331 offset by the influence of summer precipitation on NEP_{max}. These results suggest that CUP and 332 NEPmax may play different roles in regulating the variability of annual NEP, depending on their 333 interactions.

334

335 **4.4. Seasonal NEP contributions to annual NEP anomalies**

336 NEP anomalies in different seasons were related to annual NEP anomalies as expected (Fig. 6). 337 Spring phenology was more strongly related to spring NEP than to annual NEP, suggesting that 338 annual fluxes are much more variable because they are affected by weather events in other seasons.

339 For example, in grasslands, although early onset of BDOY led to an increase of spring NEP, annual 340 NEP showed no relationship with BDOY anomalies (Fig. 3). This is possibly due to the limitations 341 of summer precipitation, which led to lower summer NEP (Fig. 1E). The insignificant relationship 342 between spring NEP anomalies and NEP anomalies in other seasons in GRA (Fig. 6) also indicates 343 that the depression of NEP in other seasons may exceed the legacy effects of spring NEP on later 344 seasons. DBF and EF showed strong lag effects of spring NEP on the summer/autumn NEP (Fig. 6), 345 suggesting that spring phenology plays an important role in determining the seasonal and annual 346 NEP in these two biomes. Flux anomalies in other seasons may also partly contribute additional 347 variability to the annual NEP.

348 The various relationships between NEP anomalies in different seasons indicate that the 349 interannual variability of NEP can only be better understood by fully addressing the different 350 responses of seasonal NEP to climate and meteorological variability. If we connect annual NEP 351 anomalies to climate factors only at annual time scales, we might miss many significant relationships 352 between seasonal NEP and climate factors at the ecosystem scale. Temperature is the main factor 353 controlling the interannual variability of terrestrial NEP at the global scale (Jung et al., 2017; Wang 354 et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014), as the ecosystem and regional effects of precipitation compensate 355 for each other (Ahlström et al., 2015; Poulter et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2005). Understanding the links 356 between ecosystem and global scale responses to climate variability is an important avenue of future 357 research, and we must extend our understanding of the controls over NEP to tropical and subtropical 358 ecosystems to do so from the "bottom up" using ecosystem-scale observations.

359

360 **5. Conclusions**

 361 By analyzing eddy covariance $CO₂$ fluxes from 24 flux tower sites, this study examined the 362 responses of CUP and NEPmax to climate factors in temperate and boreal ecosystems with 363 pronounced seasonality. Spring temperature was the primary controlling factor for BDOY, but the 364 controlling factors for EDOY were different among biomes. Summer precipitation was the main 365 factor effecting NEPmax for DBF and GRA. Because these critical phenology and physiology-366 relevant variables were controlled by different seasonal environmental factors, there existed complex 367 relationships among NEP anomalies in different seasons. This study provides a fundamental 368 understanding of the role of ecosystem level phenology and physiology in regulating ecosystem 369 carbon sequestration across temperate and boreal ecosystems, and future studies should extend our 370 understanding to regions with less-pronounced seasonality to improve our understanding of the 371 relationship between climate and ecosystem carbon exchange.

372

373 **Acknowledgements**

374 We thank the FLUXNET site PIs for contributing data, the agencies and institutions that funded 375 long-term measurements at these sites, and the networks to which the sites belong, particularly 376 AmeriFlux and CarboEuropeIP. This work used eddy covariance data acquired by the FLUXNET 377 community and in particular by the following networks: AmeriFlux (U.S. Department of Energy, 378 Biological and Environmental Research, Terrestrial Carbon Program (DE‐FG02‐04ER63917 and 379 DE - FG02 - 04ER63911)), AfriFl, AsiaFlux, CarboAfrica, CarboEuropeIP, CarboItaly, CarboMont, 380 ChinaFlux, Fluxnet - Canada (supported by CFCAS, NSERC, BIOCAP, Environment Canada, and 381 NRCan), GreenGrass, KoFlux, LBA, NECC, OzFlux, TCOS‐Siberia, USCCC. We acknowledge 382 the financial support to the eddy covariance data harmonization provided by CarboEuropeIP, FAO -

383 GTOS‐TCO, iLEAPS, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, National Science Foundation, 384 University of Tuscia, Université Laval and Environment Canada and US Department of Energy and 385 the database development and technical support from Berkeley Water Center, Lawrence Berkeley 386 National Laboratory, Microsoft Research eScience, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, University of 387 California - Berkeley, University of Virginia. This work was financially supported by the National 388 Natural Science Foundation of China (31625006), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China 389 (2013CB956300), CAS Strategic Priority Research Program (XDA05050702), and the "Thousand 390 Youth Talents Plan". US-UMB site were supported by the Midwestern Regional Center of NICCR 391 (DE-FC02-06ER64158), as part of the FASET project and NSF grant DEB-0911461. PCS 392 acknowledges contributions from the U.S. National Science Foundation (1552976, 1632810) and the 393 USDA National Institutes of Food and Agriculture Hatch project 228396. ZF gratefully 394 acknowledges the China Scholarship Council for the financial support of a 24-month study at 395 Montana State University.

References

- Ahlström, A. et al., 2015. The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the land CO2 sink. Science, 348(6237): 895-899.
- Angert, A. et al., 2005. Drier summers cancel out the CO2 uptake enhancement induced by warmer springs. P Natl Acad Sci USA, 102(31): 10823-10827.
- Baldocchi, D., 2008. TURNER REVIEW No. 15.'Breathing'of the terrestrial biosphere: lessons learned from a global network of carbon dioxide flux measurement systems. Australian Journal of Botany, 56(1): 1- 26.
- Baldocchi, D. et al., 2001. FLUXNET: a new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 82(11): 2415-2434.
- Baldocchi, D.D. et al., 2005. Predicting the onset of net carbon uptake by deciduous forests with soil temperature and climate data: a synthesis of FLUXNET data. International Journal of Biometeorology, 49(6): 377-387.
- Baldocchi, D.D. and Wilson, K.B., 2001. Modeling CO 2 and water vapor exchange of a temperate broadleaved forest across hourly to decadal time scales. Ecological Modelling, 142(1): 155-184.
- Barr, A., Black, T.A. and McCaughey, H., 2009. Climatic and phenological controls of the carbon and energy balances of three contrasting boreal forest ecosystems in western Canada, Phenology of ecosystem processes. Springer, pp. 3-34.
- Bauerle, W.L. et al., 2012. Photoperiodic regulation of the seasonal pattern of photosynthetic capacity and the implications for carbon cycling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(22): 8612- 8617.
- Chmielewski, F.-M. and Rötzer, T., 2001. Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 108(2): 101-112.
- Churkina, G., Schimel, D., Braswell, B.H. and Xiao, X., 2005. Spatial analysis of growing season length control over net ecosystem exchange. Global Change Biology, 11(10): 1777-1787.
- 422 Ciais, P. et al., 2005. Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. Nature, 437(7058): 529-533.
- Cleland, E.E., Chuine, I., Menzel, A., Mooney, H.A. and Schwartz, M.D., 2007. Shifting plant phenology in response to global change. Trends Ecol Evol, 22(7): 357-365.
- Delpierre, N. et al., 2015. Temperate and boreal forest tree phenology: from organ-scale processes to terrestrial ecosystem models. Annals of Forest Science: 1-21.
- Dragoni, D. et al., 2011. Evidence of increased net ecosystem productivity associated with a longer 429 vegetated season in a deciduous forest in south - central Indiana, USA. Global Change Biology, 17(2): 886-897.
- Dunn, A.L., Barford, C.C., Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M.L. and Daube, B.C., 2007. A long-term record of carbon exchange in a boreal black spruce forest: means, responses to interannual variability, and decadal trends. Global Change Biol, 13(3): 577-590.
- Falge, E. et al., 2002a. Seasonality of ecosystem respiration and gross primary production as derived from FLUXNET measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 113(1): 53-74.
- Falge, E. et al., 2002b. Phase and amplitude of ecosystem carbon release and uptake potentials as derived from FLUXNET measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 113(1): 75-95.
- Givnish, T.J., 2002. Adaptive significance of evergreen vs. deciduous leaves: solving the triple paradox. Silva Fennica, 36(3): 703-743.
- Gu, L. et al., 2008. The 2007 Eastern US spring freeze: Increased cold damage in a warming world? BioScience, 58(3): 253-262.
- Gu, L. et al., 2009. Characterizing the seasonal dynamics of plant community photosynthesis across a range of vegetation types, Phenology of ecosystem processes. Springer, pp. 35-58.
- Hu, F.S. et al., 2010a. Tundra burning in Alaska: Linkages to climatic change and sea ice retreat. J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 115.
- Hu, J., Moore, D.J., Burns, S.P. and Monson, R.K., 2010b. Longer growing seasons lead to less carbon sequestration by a subalpine forest. Global Change Biology, 16(2): 771-783.
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
- Jeong, S.-J., Ho, C.-H., Gim, H.-J. and Brown, M.E., 2011. Phenology shifts at start vs. end of growing season in temperate vegetation over the Northern Hemisphere for the period 1982–2008. Global Change Biology, 17(7): 2385-2399.
- Julien, Y. and Sobrino, J., 2009. Global land surface phenology trends from GIMMS database. Int. J. Remote Sens., 30(13): 3495-3513.
- Jung, M. et al., 2017. Compensatory water effects link yearly global land CO2 sink changes to temperature. Nature, 541(7638): 516-520.
- Keenan, T.F. et al., 2014. Net carbon uptake has increased through warming-induced changes in temperate forest phenology. Nature Climate Change, 4(7): 598-604.
- Kljun, N. et al., 2006. Response of net ecosystem productivity of three boreal forest stands to drought. Ecosystems, 9(7): 1128-1144.
- Linderholm, H.W., 2006. Growing season changes in the last century. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 137(1): 1-14.
- Matsumoto, K., Ohta, T., Irasawa, M. and Nakamura, T., 2003. Climate change and extension of the Ginkgo biloba L. growing season in Japan. Global Change Biology, 9(11): 1634-1642.
- Menzel, A. and Fabian, P., 1999. Growing season extended in Europe. Nature, 397(6721): 659-659.
- Menzel, A. et al., 2006. European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. Global change biology, 12(10): 1969-1976.
- Moffat, A.M. et al., 2007. Comprehensive comparison of gap-filling techniques for eddy covariance net carbon fluxes. Agr Forest Meteorol, 147(3-4): 209-232.
- Monson, R.K. et al., 2005. Climatic influences on net ecosystem CO2 exchange during the transition from wintertime carbon source to springtime carbon sink in a high-elevation, subalpine forest. Oecologia, 146(1): 130-147.
- Niemand, C., Kostner, B., Prasse, H., Grunwald, T. and Bernhofer, C., 2005. Relating tree phenology with annual carbon fluxes at Tharandt forest. Meteorol Z, 14(2): 197-202.
- 474 Niu, S. et al., 2011. Seasonal hysteresis of net ecosystem exchange in response to temperature change:
475 patterns and causes. Global Change Biology, 17(10): 3102-3114. patterns and causes. Global Change Biology, 17(10): 3102-3114.
- 476 Papale, D. et al., 2006. Towards a standardized processing of Net Ecosystem Exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty estimation. Biogeosciences, 3(4): 571-583.
- Peñuelas, J. and Filella, I., 2001. Respoonses to a warming world. Science, 294(5543): 793.
- Piao, S., Fang, J., Zhou, L., Ciais, P. and Zhu, B., 2006. Variations in satellite-derived phenology in China's temperate vegetation. Global Change Biology, 12(4): 672-685.
- Piao, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Viovy, N. and Demarty, J., 2007. Growing season extension and its impact on terrestrial carbon cycle in the Northern Hemisphere over the past 2 decades. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21(3): n/a-n/a.
- Poulter, B. et al., 2014. Contribution of semi-arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the global carbon cycle. Nature, 509(7502): 600-3.
- Reichstein, M. et al., 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biology, 11(9): 1424-1439.
- Richardson, A.D. et al., 2010. Influence of spring and autumn phenological transitions on forest ecosystem productivity. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1555): 3227- 3246.
- Richardson, A.D. et al., 2009. Influence of spring phenology on seasonal and annual carbon balance in two contrasting New England forests.
- Richardson, A.D. et al., 2013. Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 169: 156-173.
- Roser, C. et al., 2002. Net CO2 exchange rates in three different successional stages of the "Dark Taiga" of central Siberia. Tellus B, 54(5): 642-654.
- Rosseel, Y., 2012. lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. Journal of Statistical Software, 48(2): 1-36.
- Sacks, W.J., Schimel, D.S. and Monson, R.K., 2007. Coupling between carbon cycling and climate in a high-elevation, subalpine forest: a model-data fusion analysis. Oecologia, 151(1): 54-68.
- Schulze, E.D., Fuchs, M.I. and Fuchs, M., 1977. Spacial Distribution of Photosynthetic Capacity and Performance in a Mountain Spruce Forest of Northern Germany .1. Biomass Distribution and Daily Co2 Uptake in Different Crown Layers. Oecologia, 29(1): 43-61.
- Schumacker, R.E. and Lomax, R.G., 2004. A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling. Psychology Press.
- Schwartz, M.D., Ahas, R. and Aasa, A., 2006. Onset of spring starting earlier across the Northern Hemisphere. Global Change Biology, 12(2): 343-351.
- Stockli, R. and Vidale, P.L., 2004. European plant phenology and climate as seen in a 20-year AVHRR land-surface parameter dataset. Int. J. Remote Sens., 25(17): 3303-3330.
- Tucker, C.J. et al., 2001. Higher northern latitude normalized difference vegetation index and growing season trends from 1982 to 1999. International Journal of Biometeorology, 45(4): 184-190.
- Vargas, R. et al., 2010. Ecosystem CO2 fluxes of arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal dominated vegetation types are differentially influenced by precipitation and temperature. New Phytologist, 185(1): 226-236.
- Wang, W. et al., 2013. Variations in atmospheric CO2 growth rates coupled with tropical temperature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(32): 13061-13066.
- Wang, X. et al., 2014. A two-fold increase of carbon cycle sensitivity to tropical temperature variations. Nature, 506(7487): 212-5.
- White, M.A. and Nemani, R.R., 2003. Canopy duration has little influence on annual carbon storage in the deciduous broad leaf forest. Global change biology, 9(7): 967-972.
- Wu, C. and Chen, J.M., 2013. Deriving a new phenological indicator of interannual net carbon exchange in contrasting boreal deciduous and evergreen forests. Ecological Indicators, 24: 113-119.
- Wu, C. et al., 2013. Interannual variability of net ecosystem productivity in forests is explained by carbon flux phenology in autumn. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 22(8): 994-1006.
- Xia, J. et al., 2015. Joint control of terrestrial gross primary productivity by plant phenology and physiology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(9): 2788-2793.
- Zeng, N., Mariotti, A. and Wetzel, P., 2005. Terrestrial mechanisms of interannual CO2variability. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19(1): n/a-n/a.
- Zhou, S. et al., 2016. Explaining inter-annual variability of gross primary productivity from plant phenology and physiology. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 226-227: 246-256.
-

532 **Appendix A**

535 $*P$ Positive value indicates north latitude. **Negative value indicates west longitude. ¹ vegetation, ² precipitation. DBF:

536 deciduous broadleaf forest; EF: evergreen forest; GRA: grassland.

538 carbon uptake for each study site during the study period.

537 Table A2. The mean and variability of the beginning date (BDOY) and ending date (EDOY) of net

540
541

Fig. A1. The structure equation modeling results of the relationship between the anomalies in 542 environmental factors and the anomalies in net carbon uptake period (CUP) and the maximum daily 543 net ecosystem productivity (NEP_{max}) attributes of NEP in temperate and boreal deciduous broadleaf 544 (DBF), evergreen forest (EF) and grassland (GRA) ecosystems. Blue arrows indicate significant 545 positive relationships while red arrows indicate significant negative relationships (*P*<0.05). Black

- 546 dashed arrows indicate insignificant relationships (*P*>0.05). Arrow width is proportional to the
- 547 strength of the relationship. Numbers adjacent to arrows are path coefficients and indicative of the
- 548 effect size of the relationship. The proportion of variance explained (R^2) appears alongside every
- 549 response variable in the model. BDOY and EDOY indicate the beginning and ending date of net
- 550 carbon uptake, respectively. T, PPT, and PAR indicate temperature, precipitation, and radiation,
- 551 respectively. Sp, su, and au indicate spring, summer, and autumn, respectively.

References

- Aubinet, M. et al., 2001. Long term carbon dioxide exchange above a mixed forest in the Belgian Ardennes. Agr Forest Meteorol, 108(4): 293-315.
- Black, T.A. et al., 2000. Increased carbon sequestration by a boreal deciduous forest in years with a warm spring. Geophys Res Lett, 27(9): 1271-1274.
- Dolman, A.J., Moors, E.J. and Elbers, J.A., 2002. The carbon uptake of a mid latitude pine forest growing on sandy soil. Agr Forest Meteorol, 111(3): 157-170.
- Dunn, A.L., Barford, C.C., Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M.L. and Daube, B.C., 2007. A long-term record of carbon exchange in a boreal black spruce forest: means, responses to interannual variability, and decadal trends. Global Change Biol, 13(3): 577-590.
- Flanagan, L.B., Wever, L.A. and Carlson, P.J., 2002. Seasonal and interannual variation in carbon dioxide exchange and carbon balance in a northern temperate grassland. Global Change Biol, 8(7): 599-615.
- Gielen, B. et al., 2010. Decadal water balance of a temperate Scots pine forest (Pinus sylvestris L.) based on measurements and modelling. Biogeosciences, 7(4): 1247-1261.
- Goldstein, A.H. et al., 2000. Effects of climate variability on the carbon dioxide, water, and sensible heat fluxes above a ponderosa pine plantation in the Sierra Nevada (CA). Agr Forest Meteorol, 101(2-3): 113-129.
- Gough, C.M., Vogel, C.S., Schmid, H.P., Su, H.B. and Curtis, P.S., 2008. Multi-year convergence of biometric and meteorological estimates of forest carbon storage. Agr Forest Meteorol, 148(2): 158-170.
- Granier, A. et al., 2000. The carbon balance of a young Beech forest. Funct Ecol, 14(3): 312-325.
- Grunwald, T. and Bernhofer, C., 2007. A decade of carbon, water and energy flux measurements of an old spruce forest at the Anchor Station Tharandt. Tellus B, 59(3): 387-396.
- Hollinger, D.Y. et al., 2004. Spatial and temporal variability in forest-atmosphere CO2 exchange. Global Change Biol, 10(10): 1689-1706.
- Humphreys, E.R. et al., 2006. Carbon dioxide fluxes in coastal Douglas-fir stands at different stages of development after clearcut harvesting. Agr Forest Meteorol, 140(1-4): 6-22.
- Knohl, A., Schulze, E.D., Kolle, O. and Buchmann, N., 2003. Large carbon uptake by an unmanaged 250-year-old deciduous forest in Central Germany. Agr Forest Meteorol, 118(3-4): 151-167.
- Monson, R.K. et al., 2002. Carbon sequestration in a high-elevation, subalpine forest. Global Change Biol, 8(5): 459-478.
- Montagnani, L. et al., 2009. A new mass conservation approach to the study of CO2 advection in an alpine forest. J Geophys Res-Atmos, 114: Doi 10.1029/2008jd010650.
- Pilegaard, K. et al., 2003. Field measurements of atmosphere-biosphere interactions in a Danish beech forest. Boreal Environ Res, 8(4): 315-333.
- Rambal, S., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J.M., Cavender-Bares, J. and Rocheteau, A., 2004. The growth respiration component in eddy CO2 flux from a Quercus ilex mediterranean forest. Global Change Biol, 10(9): 1460-1469.
- Rey, A. et al., 2002. Annual variation in soil respiration and its components in a coppice oak forest in Central Italy. Global Change Biol, 8(9): 851-866.
- Schmid, H.P., Grimmond, C.S.B., Cropley, F., Offerle, B. and Su, H.B., 2000. Measurements of CO2 and energy fluxes over a mixed hardwood forest in the mid-western United States. Agr Forest Meteorol, 103(4): 357-374.
- Suni, T. et al., 2003. Long-term measurements of surface fluxes above a Scots pine forest in Hyytiala, southern Finland, 1996-2001. Boreal Environ Res, 8(4): 287-301.
- Urbanski, S. et al., 2007. Factors controlling CO2 exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest. J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 112(G2): -.
- 599 Van Dijk, A.I.J.M. and Dolman, A.J., 2004. Estimates of CO2 uptake and release among European forests
600 based on eddy covariance data. Global Change Biol, 10(9): 1445-1459.
- 600 based on eddy covariance data. Global Change Biol, 10(9): 1445-1459. 601 Wohlfahrt, G. et al., 2008. Seasonal and inter-annual variability of the net ecosystem CO2 exchange of a
602 temperate mountain grassland: Effects of weather and management. J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113 temperate mountain grassland: Effects of weather and management. J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113(D8):
-
- 603 -.
604 Xu, L.K. ar 604 Xu, L.K. and Baldocchi, D.D., 2004. Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a Mediterranean 605 annual grassland in California. Agr Forest Meteorol, 123(1-2): 79-96. annual grassland in California. Agr Forest Meteorol, 123(1-2): 79-96.