UC Santa Cruz UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

Upwelling Enhances Mercury Particle Scavenging in the California Current System.

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6xf9766z>

Journal Environmental Science and Technology, 58(35)

Authors

Cui, Xinyun Adams, Hannah Stukel, Michael [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6xf9766z#author)

Publication Date

2024-09-03

DOI

10.1021/acs.est.4c04308

Peer reviewed

Upwelling Enhances Mercury Particle Scavenging in the California Current System

[Xinyun](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Xinyun+Cui"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Cui,[*](#page-7-0) [Hannah](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hannah+M.+Adams"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) M. Adams, [Michael](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Michael+R.+Stukel"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) R. Stukel, [Yiluan](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yiluan+Song"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Song, Amina T. [Schartup,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Amina+T.+Schartup"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Carl H. [Lamborg](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Carl+H.+Lamborg"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-7-0)

simulations confirmed and quantified that upwelling enhances sinking fluxes of Hg by 41% through elevated primary production. Such an enhanced sinking flux of Hg is biogeochemically important to understand in upwelling regions, as it increases the delivery of Hg to the deep ocean where net conversion to MMHg may take place.

KEYWORDS: *Hg cycling, biogeochemistry, California Current*

the full dynamics of Hg cycling, we modeled Hg inventories and fluxes in the upper ocean under upwelling and non-upwelling scenarios. The model

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Biogeochemical cycling of mercury (Hg) in the ocean is governed by a combination of biotic and abiotic processes that occur within the water column. The distribution of Hg, especially as monomethyl mercury (MMHg), in the ocean has implications for human health, particularly through the consumption of fish.^{[1](#page-7-0)} The California Current System (CCS) is a coastal upwelling biome that supports high primary production and active fisheries.^{[2,3](#page-7-0)} Upwelling brings Hg-enriched water to the mixed layer.^{[4,5](#page-7-0)} However, high primary production^{[6](#page-8-0)} supports substantial export production mediated by sinking particles and hence could potentially return the upwelled Hg to deeper water quickly through scavenging. Moreover, upwelling might also increase elemental Hg $({\rm Hg^0})$ evasion due to elevated biological reduction of inorganic divalent Hg $(Hg^{2+})^{7,8}$ These enhanced sources and sinks of Hg lead to a complex system with behavior that is difficult to predict. In this study, we present measurements and modeling efforts aimed at unraveling this biogeochemical puzzle.

While many studies have shown that upwelling increases the input of Hg species to the mixed layer, $5,9,10$ $5,9,10$ there is evidence suggesting that high primary productivity increases particle scavenging within these regions. Figueiredo et al. proposed that upwelling might be contributing to the Hg fluxes on the southern Brazilian continental shelf.^{[11](#page-8-0)} Zaferani et al. also found high Hg export to sediment in the Peruvian upwelling region

and elevated Hg accumulation rates in Southern Ocean sediment, $12,13$ $12,13$ $12,13$ suggesting high primary productivity increases particle scavenging and settling of Hg. Therefore, particle scavenging appears to stand out as a mechanism for the removal of Hg from the surface ocean within upwelling regions.

An understudied but critical component of the marine Hg cycle is the role of Hg-bearing sinking particles in Hg transformation and movement. Sinking particles appear to develop anaerobic microenvironments in low-oxygen water, which could support increased anaerobic microbial activities, including biotic Hg methylation that converts Hg^{2+} to MMHg.^{14−[23](#page-8-0)} Furthermore, sinking particles act as a pump that transports Hg species from shallow to deeper waters, thereby reducing the availability of Hg to surface food webs while augmenting its availability to the food web at depth.^{[24,25](#page-8-0)} To date, however, there has been little direct examination of the amount and speciation of Hg associated with sinking particles.

© ²⁰²⁴ The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society **¹⁵⁵⁹⁸**

Figure 1. Map of particle sampling stations from the 2021 CCE-LTER Cruise, including upwelling cycle 1 (yellow circle), cycle 2 (yellow square), and open ocean cycle 3 (red triangle). The dashed rectangle represents the modeled domain.

 $124°W$

 $122°W$

 $126°W$

 Hg^0 evasion, another significant sink of Hg in the ocean, could reduce the amount of Hg available for conversion to MMHg. While both biologically mediated and photochemical processes can reduce Hg^{2+} in the water column to Hg^0 , biotic reduction is particularly prevalent in highly productive zones, such as upwelling regions.^{[7](#page-8-0),[8](#page-8-0)} Nevertheless, Soerensen et al.²⁶ indicated that increased ocean productivity may decrease seawater Hg^0 concentrations through enhanced particle scavenging of Hg^{2+} in the Equatorial Pacific upwelling region, complicating our ability to understand and predict the effect of upwelling on Hg biogeochemistry.

 $130°W$

 $128°W$

Here, we collected and quantified suspended particulate, sinking particulate, and dissolved Hg species and used these data to quantify the fluxes of Hg species from the surface to intermediate water. Based on our field observed data, we developed a mass balance model to simulate Hg-related inventories and fluxes in the upper 100 m ocean under two scenarios: upwelling and non-upwelling. This modeling approach enables us to describe and quantify several major Hg-related processes and inventories during the upwelling season within the CCS, providing insights into their responses to changes in the upwelling intensity.

■ **METHODS**

Cruise Overview. The 2021 California Current Ecosystem Long-Term Ecological Research (CCE-LTER) process cruise (P2107; Stukel and Barbeau, Chief Scientists; Figure 1) focused on tracking coastal upwelling filaments off the central California coast from July to August 2021. We conducted three quasi-Lagrangian experiments (hereafter referred to as "cycles").²⁷ The first two cycles (C1 and C2) followed one upwelled water parcel, and the third cycle (C3) followed a non-upwelled parcel located farther offshore in oligotrophic conditions.

Particle Sampling and Collection. Suspended particles were collected using McLane Research *in situ* pumps (WTS-LV) using modified, two-layer filter holders.²⁸ The top filter holder layer was loaded with a 51 *μ*m polyester mesh screen to collect large-sized fraction particles (LSF). The bottom filter holder layer was loaded with a precombusted GF/F glass microfiber filter (Whatman) to collect small-size fraction particles (SSF, 1−51 *μ*m). Filter holders were acid-leached before use, and the GF/F filters were combusted at 450 °C for 4 h. Sinking particles were collected using VERTEX-style particle-interceptor tube sediment traps. 27 Sediment traps were

deployed during each cycle at depths of 100, 150, and 440 m for 3 or 4 days. Trap tubes were deployed with salt brine (filtered seawater +50 g L⁻¹ NaCl). Tubes used for particulate organic carbon (POC) sampling included formaldehyde to prevent microbial activity. After recovery, samples were carefully inspected at 20× magnification, and zooplankton "swimmers" were removed prior to filtration through GF/F filters. For SSF and sediment traps, 2.5 cm diameter filters were stored at −20 °C for further Hg determination. For LSF, 14.2 cm filters were cut in half with ceramic scissors and frozen prior to further analysis.

 120° W

Mercury Species and Carbon Determination. Total Hg (THg) and MMHg in suspended and sinking particles were determined by digesting filter portions in nitric acid (2N, trace metal grade, Fisher) for 4 h at a 60 $^{\circ}$ C constant water bath with intermittent sonication.^{[10](#page-8-0),[29](#page-8-0)} For THg determination, digestates were oxidized with bromine monochloride for at least 2 h and prereduced with hydroxylamine hydrochloride. Subsamples were then reduced with stannous chloride, and the evolved Hg^0 concentrations were determined by dual gold amalgamation cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS) with a Tekran 2600 against both gaseous Hg^0 and aqueous Hg^{2+} standards. MMHg determination used a direct ethylation method.^{[30](#page-8-0)} Digestates were treated with ascorbic acid, buffered with acetate, and neutralized with potassium hydroxide (KOH; 45%) to pH between 4 and 5. Sodium tetraethylborate (1%, in 2% KOH) was added in digestates reacting for 20 min prior to analysis by CVAFS. All reagents above were prepared according to U.S. EPA Method 1630 and 1631 , 31,32 31,32 31,32 The method detection limit for THg and MMHg were 26.5 and 3.3 fM in the SSF and sinking particles and 0.75 and 0.24 fM in the LSF, respectively. The recovery rates typically ranged from 100 to 110% in our lab. All data are available from BCO−DMO (DOI:10.26008/1912/bcodmo.926959.1).

Dissolved THg was analyzed following the same procedure as particulate THg described above, excluding filter digestion. Dissolved Hg^0 was concentrated onto a gold trap by purging seawater samples with Hg-free N_2 . Both THg and Hg⁰ were quantified on board via CVAFS on a Tekran 2600. The sampling depth range is 0 to 200 m. Detailed analytical methods and data are reported in Adams et al.³³

For total carbon (C) mass determination in the SSF and LSF, filters were dried and packed into tin capsules and analyzed for particulate carbon mass and measured using a

Figure 2. Profiles of THg concentration (fM) distribution with depth (m) for the upwelling (yellow) and open ocean (blue) regions. Panel (a) is for THg in the SSF, while panel (b) is for THg in the LSF.

Carlo Erba 1108 elemental analyzer coupled to a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XP IRMS at the University of California, Santa Cruz. The analytical precision of C % is 0.65% in the SSF and 1.1% in the LSF based on the organic standards IU Acetanilide and USGC41. The recovery rate is $100.87 \pm 0.87\%$ $(n = 4)$. Here, we use total C mass as a proxy for POC, since it comprises a significant portion (>90%) of total carbon in the suspended particles. $34,35$

Sinking Flux Calculation. Field-observed Hg and C sinking fluxes (pmol Hg m[−]² d[−]¹ or *μ*mol C m[−]² d[−]¹) are estimated as follows:

$$
F_{\text{sink}} = \frac{M_{\text{sed}}}{A \times t} \tag{1}
$$

where M_{sed} is the amount of Hg species (pmol Hg) or organic carbon (*μ*mol C) from the sediment trap and *A* and *t* represent the sediment trap area (m^2) and deployment duration (d) .

Hg Partitioning Coefficient (K_{OC}) Calculation. Here, we use the partitioning coefficient (K_{OC}) , defined as the apparent affinity of Hg for marine POC. We use particulate and dissolved Hg data to calculate $K_{\rm OC}$ (unit: L kg^{−1}) for the SSF and LSF particles, following the expression as

$$
K_{\rm OC} = \frac{C_{\rm p}}{C_{\rm d}} \times \frac{1}{C_{\rm POC}}\tag{2}
$$

where C_p and C_d represent the concentration (pM) of Hg in particulate and dissolved phases, respectively. C_{POC} represents the concentration (kg L^{-1}) of POC. We use carbon mass as a proxy for suspended solids, as the typical partitioning coefficient calculation $(K_d)^{36,37}$ $(K_d)^{36,37}$ $(K_d)^{36,37}$ requires suspended particulate mass data, which is unavailable in our study. As organic carbon makes up approximately 20−50% of the total particulate mass in the upper 500 m of a continental shelf region, $28,38,39$ $28,38,39$ and estimate of K_d (if one wanted to compare to other values in the literature) can be made from our K_{OC} values by dividing by 2 $-$ 5.

General Model Description. Our model is modified from Liu et al. 40 by explicitly accounting for upwelling-related fluxes and is applied to the coastal upwelling region of the CCS with latitude ranging from 36°N to 36.7°N and longitude from 121.5°W to 123.2°W (about 188 km in cross-shore distance, 77 km in along-shore distance, and 100 m in depth; [Figure](#page-2-0) 1).

We applied a Monte Carlo approach comprised of 1000 trials [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S2) to generate the distributions of key inventories and processes, including dissolved $\mathrm{Hg^{2+}}$, $\mathrm{Hg^{0}}$, particulate Hg^{2+} , evasion, atmospheric deposition, redox reactions, particle scavenging, water exchange, and upwelling input with averaged upwelling velocity determined by the Coastal Upwelling Transport Index (CUTI).^{[41](#page-9-0)} Upwelling input was modeled as the product of the upwelling velocity and Hg concentration below domain depth. We incorporated upwelling input into calculations of evasion, atmospheric deposition, redox reactions, particle sinking, and water exchange to determine the rate of change in Hg^{0} and Hg^{2+} concentrations. Detailed descriptions and equations are provided in [eqs](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1−S5 Riverine input was omitted from our model, as only two small rivers flow into our study domain. Likewise, the influence of atmospheric dust deposition on marine particles was ignored due to the dominance of biogenic particles in this region^{[39](#page-8-0)} (see detailed discussion in the [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf)). Each trial begins with a random selection of parameter values from their respective distributions. The simulation covered 60 days, using an hourly timestep until all monitored inventories and fluxes reached a steady state.

Some parameters were specifically tailored to the CCS based on literature and field observations ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1), while others were adapted from Liu et al.'s model.^{[40](#page-8-0)} Additionally, we performed a comparative analysis with a nonparametric test by repeating the procedure with a modified scenario where the upwelling velocity was 0 m d⁻¹. In this scenario, net primary productivity (NPP), export, and POC values were obtained from the open ocean ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1). The model was evaluated by comparing its output to the field observations obtained during our cruise.

To better constrain modeled Hg inventories and fluxes, we used a sensitivity analysis to assess the stability of model output and robustness of findings in the case of possible bias in parameter estimation. We focused on wind speed and K_{OC} due to their importance in estimating the sinking flux and evasion. We evaluated the changes in model output by changing one parameter at a time while keeping all other parameters unaltered. The selected values were chosen from the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of their respective distributions.

■ **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

1. Elevated Suspended Particulate Hg Species Concentrations and Lower K_{OC} **in the Upwelling Region Compared to the Open Ocean.** Profiles of particulate THg for SSF and LSF are listed in Figure 2. The concentrations vary with depth, but no statistically significant trend is observed (*p*value > 0.05). The THg concentration is much higher in the

Table 1. Range, Mean **±** Standard Deviation of THg and MMHg Concentrations in SSF and LSF for Upwelling and Open Ocean, Respectively*^a*

"NA" indicates that there is no available data.

Figure 3. Profiles of MMHg concentration (fM) and MMHg/THg ratio (%) distribution with depth (m) for the upwelling regions. Panels (a) and (b) in yellow are for MMHg concentrations in the SSF and LS; panels (c) and (d) in purple are for MMHg/THg ratios in the SSF and LSF, respectively. Circles represent SSF, while triangles represent LSF.

SSF (28 to 114 fM) and has a wider concentration range than the LSF (2 to 25 fM) across all stations (Table 1, *p*-value < 0.05) due to higher concentrations of SSF in the water column.[28](#page-8-0) The upwelling regions exhibited higher particulate THg than the open ocean (*p*-value < 0.05). In the upper ocean (above 200 m), the ratio of particulate THg to dissolved THg averaged 14.55% (range: 3−47%), which is higher than the ratios reported in the Equatorial Pacific, 10 indicating that particles are an important phase of Hg in the coastal upper ocean.

For MMHg, we only analyzed particulate data from stations in the upwelling region, with a range of 2−19 fM in the SSF and 0.1−2 fM in the LSF (Table 1, Figure 3a,b). We observed a significantly higher average MMHg to THg ratio in the SSF at 19.17% compared to the LSF at 11.69% (*p*-value < 0.05; Figure 3c,d), suggesting that MMHg production may be favored on SSF particles within the CCS. As noted above, particles may provide an anaerobic environment for Hg methylation; however, there are few studies on the preference of MMHg for particles across the size spectrum.^{[16,23](#page-8-0)} While it

has been reported that LSF can sustain an anaerobic environment for sulfate-reducing bacteria even in an oxygenated water column, 16 our finding suggests that the SSF may serve as an equal or more favorable microenvironment for MMHg production or retention. This is consistent with Hg isotope data that has suggested that microbial methylation of Hg is more likely to occur on SSF than LSF in the Pacific Ocean. 23

Interestingly, MMHg/THg ratios in sediment traps averaged 2.15% ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S2), a much lower ratio than that of SSF and LSF. This discrepancy in ratio may be driven by zooplankton grazing, as zooplankton preferentially bioaccumulate MMHg and excrete inorganic Hg^{2+} ;^{[42](#page-9-0)} thus, the fecal particles they produce^{[43,44](#page-9-0)} are depleted in MMHg relative to ingested prey,^{[23](#page-8-0),[45](#page-9-0)} which are mostly small suspended particles.^{[45,46](#page-9-0)} Because zooplankton fecal pellets typically dominate the sinking flux in the CCS (usually >50% and often >90% during highest flux conditions), $47,48$ $47,48$ this mechanism could explain the decrease in MMHg/THg ratios in sinking particles compared to suspended particles.

Table 2. Sinking Fluxes of THg, MMHg, and C Mass in the Upwelling (Cycles 1 and 2) and Open Ocean (Cycle 3) Regions at Different Depths*^a*

a "NA" indicates that there are no available data.

Figure 4. Simulated Hg budgets in the coastal CCE domain under two scenarios: (a) upwelling with vertical velocity of 1.0 m d[−]¹ and (b) nonupwelling.

The log K_{OC} of THg in the SSF is 7.2 \pm 0.3 in the open ocean and 6.6 ± 0.5 in the upwelling region. This is in line with the previous finding of Cui et al^{37} that the open ocean generally exhibits higher K_d values when compared to the coastal regions (*p*-value < 0.05) due to the so-called particle concentration effect where K_d displays an inverse relationship with particle mass.^{49,[50](#page-9-0)} Notably, the upwelling region shows a higher POC concentration (*p*-value < 0.05), averaging 28.1 μ g/L, compared to the open ocean (7.6 μ g/L), showing a concentration gradient that is consistent with the particle concentration effect on K_{OC} values [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1). Additionally, the value of K_{OC} in the SSF is comparable to that in the LSF in both regions (LSF log K_{OC} , upwelling: 6.6 \pm 0.4; open ocean: 7.42 \pm 0.1) (*t* test; *P*-value > 0.05). This similarity indicates that the SSF and LSF have similar adsorption properties. Further investigations are necessary to explore the adsorption/ desorption kinetics of Hg onto POC, including the characterization of chemical groups within POC and the quantification of binding sites available on POC, to improve understanding of the Hg scavenging process associated with particle composition.

Compared to THg, the mean log K_{OC} for MMHg in the upwelling region was 5.7 \pm 0.6, indicating that MMHg is less strongly scavenged onto particles compared to inorganic Hg (demonstrated by the K_{OC} for THg). This is consistent with previous findings in both fresh and saltwater, where the K_d for MMHg is generally reported to be 10 times lower than that for THg.

2. CCS Upwelling Increases Hg Sinking Flux and Evasion. Sinking fluxes for THg, MMHg, and POC are summarized in Table 2. POC attenuates with depth as a result of substantial remineralization and biological consumption.⁵²

Given the consistently strong affinity of Hg for POC, we would anticipate a similar decreasing trend of Hg sinking fluxes with depth. However, THg sinking fluxes did not attenuate with depth in the upwelling region; they exhibited a maximum at 150 m. This implies that Hg might continue to be scavenged onto particles as they sink. We propose that the loss of POC allows the remaining components in particles to exert greater control on the adsorption to Hg. For example, while the apparent affinity of iron (Fe) oxyhydroxides for Hg is the strongest of any phase, their contribution to overall Hg K_d is relatively small due to their small contribution to overall particulate mass in shallow water. As the POC contribution to sinking particle mass decreases due to remineralization, however, Fe oxides should be expected to become more influential in adsorbing Hg onto particles and raise the overall K_{OC} .^{[37](#page-8-0)} There is preliminary evidence of subsurface particulate Fe maxima from the immediately previous cruise that aligns with our hypothesis (Allison Laubach, personal communication). Additionally, subsurface peaks of particulate Fe have been observed in other continental margins due to lateral transport (e.g., subarctic Pacific).^{[53,54](#page-9-0)} Therefore, Fe might be a key mechanism driving the observed subsurface maxima of Hg in the upwelling region.

The high sinking fluxes of Hg species observed in the CCS are 1 order of magnitude higher than those reported in the Equatorial Pacific. 10 This is consistent with higher productivity in the CCS compared with the Equatorial Pacific. Upwelled deep waters are a major source of nutrients to support primary production and stimulate particle formation and settling.^{[55](#page-9-0)} In the CCS, primary production was reported to have a significant positive relationship with C export.^{[56](#page-9-0)} We observed higher NPP and export in the upwelling region compared to the open

ocean during our cruise [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1). Thus, we would anticipate the high Hg export within CCS upwelling region due to the high affinity of Hg to POC. 37 Similar observations of high Hg sedimentation related to sorption and scavenging have been reported in other upwelling sites, such as the Antarctic and Peruvian upwelling regions, with values at the same order of magnitude as ours.

To test the response of Hg-related processes and species inventories due to upwelling, we developed a box model for our study region in the CCS. Modeled Hg budgets in the upper ocean within the CCS upwelling region are presented in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4a and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S3. Table 3 shows the agreement between

Table 3. Model Simulation Data (Median) and Field Observation Data (Mean) for Sinking Flux, Particulate THg, Dissolved THg, and Elemental Hg Across a Depth Range of ⁰−¹⁰⁰ ^m*^a*

variable	unit	modeled (median)	measured (mean)
sinking flux	pmole m^{-2} d ⁻¹	1115 (440, 2267)	1118 (720, 1517)
LSF THg	fM	26.6 $(2.8, 78.4)$	14.8(9, 25)
dissolved THg	pΜ	0.6(0.3, 0.9)	0.6(0.2, 1.2)
dissolved Hg^0	fM	78 (39, 156)	67(19, 160)
a Modeled values in parentheses represent the 90% prediction interval.			

ed values in parentheses represent the 90% prediction interval and measured values in parentheses represent the range.

the simulated and field-observed values. Simulation results demonstrate that the Hg sinking flux is the predominate removal pathway for Hg, accounting for 96% of Hg sinks from the surface ocean, exceeding the loss from evasion. On the other hand, upwelling supplies 42% of dissolved and particulate Hg species to the surface ocean. Hence, the sinking flux surpasses the upwelling input and drives Hg removal from the surface.

To better understand the effect of upwelling on Hg sinking flux, we ran the model with an upwelling speed of 1.0 m d^{-1} , (eq S3, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf) S1), and no upwelling. In the absence of upwelling, the model predicts a 41% decreased sinking flux ([Figure](#page-5-0) 4b) compared to the 1.0 m d^{-1} upwelling velocity scenario, indicating that the Hg sinking flux has a positive relationship with upwelling. Upwelling promotes NPP resulting in increased particle production, which, in turn, enhances Hg scavenging and subsequent removal. Thus, without upwelling, there is reduced particle production and less scavenging activity. Furthermore, the comparison of results from the two scenarios reveals that upwelling not only influences Hg sinking flux but also plays a significant role in other Hg processes, such as Hg evasion. Our simulations indicate a 45% increase in Hg evasion under upwelling conditions compared to non-upwelling conditions, consistent with previous observations within CCS that Hg evasion is facilitated by upwelling-induced cyclonic eddies.⁵ This is partially due to upwelling supplying additional Hg^0 to the surface ocean, increasing the concentration gradient between the surface ocean and the atmosphere that drives Hg^0 evasion. Additionally, the prevalent biotic reduction rate in highly productive regions increases, increasing Hg^0 concentrations through the reduction of Hg^{2+} , which is also brought to the surface from upwelling.^{[57](#page-9-0)} The intensified sinking and Hg^0 evasion effectively remove substantial amounts of Hg from the mixed layer, ultimately resulting in a lower concentration of THg in the mixed layer.

We tested the sensitivity of model results to a group of parameters that we suspected were the most influential,

Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis of evasion, dissolved Hg²⁺, and sinking flux, to log K_{OC} and wind speed parameters. Values of log K_{OC} and wind speed were selected from the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of their distribution. Box plots display the median, the lower and upper quartiles, the whiskers connecting quartile to nonoutlier maximum/minimum, and the mean represented by orange circle.

including K_{OC} and wind speed ([Figure](#page-6-0) 5). K_{OC} impacts various Hg processes including sinking flux, evasion, and dissolved Hg^{2+} inventory. For instance, across the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile of log K_{OC}, the sinking flux increased by 128%, while evasion decreased by 35%, and the dissolved Hg^{2+} pool decreased by 25%. This is consistent with the idea of competition between particle scavenging and reduction/ evasion, as both processes remove Hg^{2+} from the dissolved pool, but competition is not always apparent as productivity may influence the reduction rate as well. Conversely, wind speed demonstrates a relatively minor effect on the overall Hg cycle as it primarily influences evasion. Specifically, across the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile of wind speed, evasion increased by 19 times, while the dissolved Hg^{2+} pool and sinking flux exhibited a subtle response, with a decrease of 4% each. It is worth noting that while the various parameters have a crucial role in determining the magnitude of Hg processes, they do not alter the fundamental premise that upwelling enhances Hg sinking flux.

3. Possible Implications of Intensified Coastal Upwelling. Coastal upwelling is expected to intensify as a consequence of global warming.^{[6](#page-8-0)[,58](#page-9-0)} Our model suggests a decrease in the amount of bioavailable dissolved Hg^2 in the upper ocean, primarily driven by enhanced particle scavenging and $Hg⁰$ evasion. This reduction in Hg concentration in the mixed layer implies a lower availability of Hg to epipelagic marine organisms, thereby mitigating the potential threat to fish and humans. However, the increased downward export of Hg and C caused by upwelling probably would result in more in situ production of total methylated Hg (MeHg; sum of MMHg and dimethylmercury) in deeper water under lower oxygen conditions, followed by MMHg release to seawater.^{[59](#page-9-0)} Elevated MeHg concentration at depth, observed during a previous cruise in the CCS ,⁵ indicates that the mesopelagic ocean is an important source of MeHg. Therefore, it is crucial to explore the role of the mesopelagic source of MeHg in contributing to surface MeHg levels through upward transport. This will enhance our understanding of how upwelling influences Hg dynamics and its subsequent incorporation into the surface food web.

Overall, intensified upwelling can remove substantial Hg from the surface to deep water as shown by field observation and simulation, likely reducing the impact of Hg on the surface food web and fish, but this effect might be complicated by the upward transport of MeHg generated in the mesopelagic ocean, influencing the food web in the surface ocean.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308?goto=supporting-info).

> Model detailed description (eqs S1−S5, Table S1); fieldobserved data analysis (Table S2, Figure S1); model outputs (Table S3, Figure S2) ([PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308/suppl_file/es4c04308_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

Xinyun Cui − *Department of Ocean Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States;* ● orcid.org/0009-0005-6331-6226; Email: xcui12@ucsc.edu

Carl H. Lamborg − *Department of Ocean Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States*; Email: clamborg@ucsc.edu

Authors

- Hannah M. Adams − *Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0001-7231-3522
- Michael R. Stukel − *Department of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States*
- Yiluan Song − *Department of Environmental Studies, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States; Michigan Institute for Data and AI in Society and Institute for Global Change Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States*
- Amina T. Schartup − *Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0002-9289-8107

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.4c04308?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

X.C., C.H.L., and A.T.S. conceived of the presented idea and designed the study. X.C., H.A., C.H.L., and A.T.S. collected Hg data sets. M.S. provided sediment trap samples and data. Y.C. helped with statistical analysis. X.C. performed the modeling and statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to editing on manuscripts.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the captains and crews of P2107 cruise, as well as the scientific crews who collected the sediment trap samples. We thank Y. He. for assisting with the model simulation, thank M. Despins for providing recovery rates for Hg analysis, and thank Y. Xiang. for offering insights on the particles. We acknowledge the U.S. National Science Foundation (grant #OCE-2023046 to ATS and CHL; grant #OCE-1637632 to the CCE LTER Program), which supported the collection, analysis, and writing of this current work.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Visnjevec, A. M.; Kocman, D.; Horvat, M. Human [mercury](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2482) [exposure](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2482) and effects in Europe. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2014, *33* (6), 1259−1270.

(2) Kahru, M.; Mitchell, B. G. [Influence](https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL014963) of the El Niño-La Niña cycle on [satellite-derived](https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL014963) primary production in the California [Current.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL014963) *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2002, *29* (17), 27. -21−27−24

(3) Ruzicka, J. J.; Brodeur, R. D.; Emmett, R. L.; Steele, J. H.; Zamon, J. E.; Morgan, C. A.; Thomas, A. C.; Wainwright, T. C. [Interannual](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.02.002) variability in the Northern California Current food web [structure:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.02.002) changes in energy flow pathways and the role of forage fish, [euphausiids,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.02.002) and jellyfish. *Progress in Oceanography* 2012, *102*, 19−41. (4) Mann, K. Physical [oceanography,](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1993.1013) food chains, and fish stocks: a

[review.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1993.1013) *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 1993, *50* (2), 105−119. (5) Coale, K. H.; Heim, W. A.; Negrey, J.; Weiss-Penzias, P.; Fernandez, D.; Olson, A.; Chiswell, H.; Byington, A.; Bonnema, A.; Martenuk, S.; Newman, A.; Beebe, C.; Till, C. The [distribution](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.05.012) and speciation of mercury in the California current: [Implications](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.05.012) for mercury [transport](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.05.012) via fog to land. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 2018, *151*, 77−88.

(6) Bakun, A.; Field, D. B.; Redondo-Rodriguez, A. N. A.; Weeks, S. J. Greenhouse gas, [upwelling-favorable](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02094.x) winds, and the future of coastal ocean upwelling [ecosystems.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02094.x) *Global Change Biology* 2010, *16* (4), 1213−1228.

(7) Strode, S. A.; Jaeglé, L.; Selin, N. E.; Jacob, D. J.; Park, R. J.; Yantosca, R. M.; Mason, R. P.; Slemr, F. Air-sea [exchange](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002766) in the global [mercury](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002766) cycle. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2007, DOI: [10.1029/2006GB002766.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002766?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(8) Mason, R. P.; Fitzgerald, W. F. The [distribution](https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(93)90037-4) and [biogeochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(93)90037-4) cycling of mercury in the equatorial Pacific Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 1993, *40* (9), 1897−1924.

(9) Bowman, K. L.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Lamborg, C. H.; Swarr, G. J.; Agather, A. M. [Distribution](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2016.09.005) of mercury species across a zonal section of the eastern [tropical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2016.09.005) South Pacific Ocean (U.S. GEO-[TRACES](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2016.09.005) GP16). *Marine Chemistry* 2016, *186*, 156−166.

(10) Munson, K. M.; Lamborg, C. H.; Swarr, G. J.; Saito, M. A. Mercury species [concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005120) and fluxes in the Central Tropical Pacific [Ocean.](https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005120) *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2015, *29* (5), 656−676.

(11) Figueiredo, T. S.; Albuquerque, A. L. S.; Sanders, C. J.; Cordeiro, L. G.; Silva-Filho, E. V. Mercury [deposition](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.049) during the previous century in an [upwelling](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.049) region; Cabo Frio, Brazil. *Marine pollution bulletin* 2013, *76* (1−2), 389−393.

(12) Zaferani, S.; Biester, H. [Biogeochemical](https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-729-2020) processes accounting for the natural mercury [variations](https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-729-2020) in the Southern Ocean diatom ooze [sediments.](https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-729-2020) *Ocean Science* 2020, *16* (3), 729−741.

(13) Zaferani, S.; Biester, H.Mercury [Accumulation](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.732720) in Marine Sediments − A [Comparison](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.732720) of an Upwelling Area and Two Large River [Mouths](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.732720). *Frontiers in Marine Science* 2021, *8*.

(14) Gascón Díez, E.; Loizeau, J.-L.; Cosio, C.; Bouchet, S.; Adatte, T.; Amouroux, D.; Bravo, A. G. Role of settling [particles](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03260?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on mercury [methylation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03260?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in the oxic water column of freshwater systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50* (21), 11672−11679.

(15) Ortiz, V. L.; Mason, R. P.; Ward, J. E. An [examination](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.07.006) of the factors influencing mercury and [methylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.07.006) particulate distributions, methylation and demethylation rates in [laboratory-generated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.07.006) [marine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.07.006) snow. *Mar Chem.* 2015, *177* (Pt 5), 753−762.

(16) Bianchi, D.; Weber, T. S.; Kiko, R.; Deutsch, C. [Global](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0081-0) niche of marine anaerobic metabolisms expanded by particle [microenviron](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0081-0)[ments.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0081-0) *Nature Geoscience* 2018, *11* (4), 263−268.

(17) Ranchou-Peyruse, M.; Monperrus, M.; Bridou, R.; Duran, R.; Amouroux, D.; Salvado, J.; Guyoneaud, R. [Overview](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450802599227) of mercury [methylation](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450802599227) capacities among anaerobic bacteria including representatives of the [sulphate-reducers:](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450802599227) implications for environmental [studies.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450802599227) *Geomicrobiology Journal* 2009, *26* (1), 1−8.

(18) Kaschak, E.; Knopf, B.; Petersen, J. H.; Bings, N. H.; König, H. Biotic methylation of mercury by intestinal and [sulfate-reducing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.004) bacteria and their potential role in mercury [accumulation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.004) in the tissue of the [soil-living](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.004) Eisenia foetida. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 2014, *69*, 202−211.

(19) Pak, K.-R.; Bartha, R. Mercury methylation and [demethylation](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.3.1013-1017.1998) in anoxic lake [sediments](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.3.1013-1017.1998) and by strictly anaerobic bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1998, *64* (3), 1013−1017.

(20) Blum, J. D.; Popp, B. N.; Drazen, J. C.; Anela Choy, C.; Johnson, M. W. [Methylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1918) production below the mixed layer in the North Pacific [Ocean.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1918) *Nature Geoscience* 2013, *6* (10), 879−884.

(21) Sunderland, E. M.; Krabbenhoft, D. P.; Moreau, J. W.; Strode, S. A.; Landing, W. M. Mercury sources, [distribution,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003425) and [bioavailability](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003425) in the North Pacific Ocean: Insights from data and [models.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003425) *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2009, DOI: [10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003425?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [2008GB003425.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003425?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(22) Janssen, D. J.; Conway, T. M.; John, S. G.; Christian, J. R.; Kramer, D. I.; Pedersen, T. F.; Cullen, J. T. [Undocumented](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1402388111) water column sink for cadmium in open ocean [oxygen-deficient](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1402388111) zones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014, *111* (19), 6888−6893.

(23) Motta, L. C.; Blum, J. D.; Popp, B. N.; Umhau, B. P.; Benitez-Nelson, C. R.; Close, H. G.; Washburn, S. J.; Drazen, J. C. [Mercury](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2208183119) isotopic evidence for the [importance](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2208183119) of particles as a source of mercury to marine [organisms.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2208183119) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2022, *119* (44), No. e2208183119.

(24) Lamborg, C. H.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Bowman, K. L. [An](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0297) [examination](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0297) of the role of particles in oceanic mercury cycling. *Philos. Trans A Math Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2016, *374* (2081), 20150297.

(25) Schartup, A. T.; Soerensen, A. L.; Angot, H.; Bowman, K.; Selin, N. E. What are the likely changes in mercury [concentration](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155477) in the Arctic [atmosphere](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155477) and ocean under future emissions scenarios? *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, *836*, No. 155477.

(26) Soerensen, A. L.; Mason, R. P.; Balcom, P. H.; Jacob, D. J.; Zhang, Y.; Kuss, J.; Sunderland, E. M. [Elemental](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503109p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) mercury [concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503109p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and fluxes in the tropical atmosphere and ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48* (19), 11312−11319.

(27) Stukel, M. R.; Ohman, M. D.; Benitez-Nelson, C. R.; Landry, M. R. Contributions of [mesozooplankton](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10453) to vertical carbon export in a coastal [upwelling](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10453) system. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* 2013, *491*, 47−65.

(28) Lam, P. J.; Lee, J.-M.; Heller, M. I.; Mehic, S.; Xiang, Y.; Bates, N. R. [Size-fractionated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.08.013) distributions of suspended particle concentration and major phase composition from the U.S. [GEOTRACES](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.08.013) Eastern Pacific Zonal [Transect](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.08.013) (GP16. *Marine Chemistry* 2018, *201*, 90−107.

(29) Bowman, K. L.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Lamborg, C. H.; Swarr, G. [Mercury](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.004) in the North Atlantic Ocean: The U.S. [GEOTRACES](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.004) zonal and meridional sections. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 2015, *116*, 251−261.

(30) Munson, K. M.; Babi, D.; Lamborg, C. H. [Determination](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.1) of [monomethylmercury](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.1) from seawater with ascorbic acid-assisted direct [ethylation.](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.1) *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 2014, *12* (1), 1−9.

(31) EPA, U. *Method 1630: Methyl Mercury in Water by Distillation, Aqueous Ethylation, Purge and Trap, and Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry*; EPA: Washington, DC1998.

(32) EPA, U. Method 1631. *Revision E. Mercury in water by oxidation, purge and trap, and cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry*; Office of Water 2002.

(33) Adams, H. M.; Cui, X.; Lamborg, C. H.; Schartup, A. T. Dimethylmercury as a Source of [Monomethylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c01112?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in a Highly [Productive](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c01112?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Upwelling System. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2024, *58* (24), 10591−10600.

(34) Bishop, J. K. B.; Amaral, V. J.; Lam, P. J.; Wood, T. J.; Lee, J.- M.; Laubach, A.; Barnard, A.; Derr, A.; Orrico, C[.Transmitted](https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.837938) Cross-Polarized Light Detection of [Particulate](https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.837938) Inorganic Carbon Concentrations and Fluxes in the Ocean Water [Column:](https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.837938) Ships to ARGO [Floats](https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.837938). *Frontiers in Remote Sensing* 2022, *3*.

(35) Subhas, A. V.; Pavia, F. J.; Dong, S.; Lam, P. J. Global [Trends](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC019470) in the [Distribution](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC019470) of Biogenic Minerals in the Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 2023, DOI: [10.1029/2022JC019470](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC019470?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(36) Bam, W.; Maiti, K.; Baskaran, M.; Krupp, K.; Lam, P. J.; Xiang, Y. Variability in 210Pb and 210Po partition [coefficients](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103749) (Kd) along the US [GEOTRACES](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103749) Arctic transect. *Marine Chemistry* 2020, *219*, No. 103749.

(37) Cui, X.; Lamborg, C. H.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Xiang, Y.; Lam, P. J. The Effect of Particle Composition and [Concentration](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2021.660267) on the [Partitioning](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2021.660267) Coefficient for Mercury in Three Ocean Basins. *Frontiers in Environmental Chemistry* 2021, DOI: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2021.660267?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [fenvc.2021.660267](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2021.660267?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(38) Xiang, Y.; Lam, P. J.; Burd, A. B.; Hayes, C. T. [Estimating](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292) Mass Flux From [Size-Fractionated](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292) Filtered Particles: Insights Into Controls on Sinking Velocities and Mass Fluxes in Recent U.S. [GEOTRACES](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292) [Cruises.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292) *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2022, DOI: [10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [2021GB007292.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007292?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(39) Collins, L. E.; Berelson, W.; Hammond, D. E.; Knapp, A.; Schwartz, R.; Capone, D. [Particle](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.06.008) fluxes in San Pedro Basin, California: A four-year record of [sedimentation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.06.008) and physical forcing. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 2011, *58* (8), 898−914.

(40) Liu, M.; Zhang, Q.; Maavara, T.; Liu, S.; Wang, X.; Raymond, P. A. Rivers as the largest source of [mercury](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00793-2) to coastal oceans [worldwide.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00793-2) *Nature Geoscience* 2021, *14* (9), 672−677.

(41) Jacox, M. G.; Edwards, C. A.; Hazen, E. L.; Bograd, S. J. [Coastal](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014187) [Upwelling](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014187) Revisited: Ekman, Bakun, and Improved Upwelling Indices for the U.S. West [Coast.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014187) *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 2018, *123* (10), 7332−7350.

(42) Mason, R. P.; Reinfelder, J. R.; Morel, F. M. [Uptake,](https://doi.org/10.1021/es950373d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) toxicity, and trophic transfer of [mercury](https://doi.org/10.1021/es950373d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in a coastal diatom. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1996, *30* (6), 1835−1845.

(43) Altabet, M. A.; Small, L. F. [Nitrogen](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90203-W) isotopic ratios in fecal pellets produced by marine [zooplankton.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90203-W) *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1990, *54* (1), 155−163.

(44) Turner, J. T. [Zooplankton](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.08.005) fecal pellets, marine snow, [phytodetritus](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.08.005) and the ocean's biological pump. *Progress in Oceanography* 2015, *130*, 205−248.

(45) Motta, L. C.; Blum, J. D.; Johnson, M. W.; Umhau, B. P.; Popp, B. N.; Washburn, S. J.; Drazen, J. C.; Benitez-Nelson, C. R.; Hannides, C. C. S.; Close, H. G.; Lamborg, C. H. [Mercury](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006057) Cycling in the North Pacific [Subtropical](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006057) Gyre as Revealed by Mercury Stable Isotope [Ratios.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006057) *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2019, *33* (6), 777−794.

(46) Gloeckler, K.; Choy, C. A.; Hannides, C. C. S.; Close, H. G.; Goetze, E.; Popp, B. N.; Drazen, J. C. Stable isotope [analysis](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10762) of [micronekton](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10762) around Hawaii reveals suspended particles are an important nutritional source in the lower [mesopelagic](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10762) and upper [bathypelagic](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10762) zones. *Limnology and Oceanography* 2018, *63* (3), 1168− 1180.

(47) Morrow, R. M.; Ohman, M. D.; Goericke, R.; Kelly, T. B.; Stephens, B. M.; Stukel, M. R. CCE V: Primary [production,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.07.012) [mesozooplankton](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.07.012) grazing, and the biological pump in the California Current [Ecosystem:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.07.012) Variability and response to El Niño. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 2018, *140*, 52−62.

(48) Fender, C. K.; Kelly, T. B.; Guidi, L.; Ohman, M. D.; Smith, M. C.; Stukel, M. R. [Investigating](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00603) particle size-flux relationships and the biological pump across a range of plankton [ecosystem](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00603) states from coastal to [oligotrophic.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00603) *Front. Mar. Sci.* 2019, *6*, 603.

(49) Honeyman, B. D.; Balistrieri, L. S.; Murray, J. W. [Oceanic](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(88)90038-6) trace metal scavenging: the importance of particle [concentration.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(88)90038-6) *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 1988, *35* (2), 227− 246.

(50) Moran, S.; Yeats, P.; Balls, P. On the role of [colloids](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343(95)98840-7) in trace metal [solid-solution](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343(95)98840-7) partitioning in continental shelf waters: a [comparison](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343(95)98840-7) of model results and field data. *Continental Shelf Research* 1996, *16* (3), 397−408.

(51) Balcom, P. H.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Fitzgerald, W. F.; Lamborg, C. H.; O'Connor, J. S. Seasonal [distributions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.09.005) and cycling of mercury and [methylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.09.005) in the waters of New York/New Jersey Harbor [Estuary.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.09.005) *Marine Chemistry* 2008, *109* (1−2), 1−17.

(52) Martin, J. H.; Knauer, G. A.; Karl, D. M.; Broenkow, W. W. [VERTEX:](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90086-0) carbon cycling in the northeast Pacific. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 1987, *34* (2), 267−285.

(53) Lam, P. J.; Bishop, J. K. B.; Henning, C. C.; Marcus, M. A.; Waychunas, G. A.; Fung, I. Y. Wintertime [phytoplankton](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002557) bloom in the subarctic Pacific supported by [continental](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002557) margin iron. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2006, DOI: [10.1029/2005GB002557.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002557?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(54) Lam, P. J.; Bishop, J. K. B. The [continental](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033294) margin is a key source of iron to the [HNLC](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033294) North Pacific Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2008, DOI: [10.1029/2008GL033294](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033294?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(55) Stukel, M. R.; Barbeau, K. A. [Investigating](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087351) the Nutrient Landscape in a Coastal Upwelling Region and Its [Relationship](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087351) to the [Biological](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087351) Carbon Pump. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2020, DOI: [10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087351?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [2020GL087351.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087351?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(56) Kelly, T. B.; Goericke, R.; Kahru, M.; Song, H.; Stukel, M. R. CCE II: Spatial and [interannual](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.08.007) variability in export efficiency and the biological pump in an eastern boundary current [upwelling](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.08.007) system with [substantial](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.08.007) lateral advection. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 2018, *140*, 14−25.

(57) Soerensen, A. L.; Sunderland, E. M.; Holmes, C. D.; Jacob, D. J.; Yantosca, R. M.; Skov, H.; Christensen, J. H.; Strode, S. A.; Mason, R. P. An [improved](https://doi.org/10.1021/es102032g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) global model for air-sea exchange of mercury: High [concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1021/es102032g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) over the North Atlantic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, *44* (22), 8574−8580.

(58) Bakun, A.; Black, B. A.; Bograd, S. J.; Garcia-Reyes, M.; Miller, A. J.; Rykaczewski, R. R.; Sydeman, W. J. [Anticipated](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-015-0008-4) effects of climate change on coastal upwelling [ecosystems.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-015-0008-4) *Current Climate Change Reports* 2015, *1* (2), 85−93.

(59) Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Fitzgerald, W. F. [Bioaccumulation](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-005-0265-7) and trophic transfer of [methylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-005-0265-7) in Long Island Sound. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 2006, *51* (3), 416−424.