

Canadian and International Education / Education canadienne et internationale

Volume 26 | Issue 1

Article 4

10-24-2012

The Czech Experience of the Initiation of Educational Change Since 1989: Is a North American Model Applicable?

Annabelle Maysa

Eleoussa Polyzoi

Sheena Gardner

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/cie-eci>

Recommended Citation

Maysa, Annabelle; Polyzoi, Eleoussa; and Gardner, Sheena (1997) "The Czech Experience of the Initiation of Educational Change Since 1989: Is a North American Model Applicable?," *Canadian and International Education / Education canadienne et internationale*: Vol. 26: Iss. 1, Article 4.

Available at: <http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/cie-eci/vol26/iss1/4>

This Research paper/Rapport de recherche is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Canadian and International Education / Education canadienne et internationale by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact kmarsha1@uwo.ca.

THE CZECH EXPERIENCE OF THE INITIATION OF EDUCATIONAL CHANGE SINCE 1989: IS A NORTH AMERICAN MODEL APPLICABLE?

**Annabelle Mays, Eleoussa Polyzoi and Sheena Gardner
The University of Winnipeg**

ABSTRACT

This article examines the initiation phase of the process of transformation in the Czech Republic since the Velvet Revolution of 1989. It does so within the educational change framework developed by Michael Fullan. The paper argues that his eight-factor model of the initiation of change does have utility for understanding events in a Central European setting where change occurred rapidly. The paper, however, concludes by proposing revisions to Fullan's model, the addition of two factors that account more fully for the initiation of change in cases of rapid socio-political upheaval.

Cet article étudie le stade initial du processus de transformation qui se produit dans la République tchèque depuis la "Révolution de velours" de 1989. L'étude se fait conformément au modèle de changement du système d'enseignement élaboré par Michael Fullan. Il en ressort que son modèle des huit facteurs qui expliquent l'initiation du changement n'est pas sans utilité pour comprendre les événements de l'Europe centrale, région où les changements se sont produits avec rapidité. Néanmoins, certaines modifications au modèle de Fullan sont proposées, dont notamment deux facteurs supplémentaires qui permettraient de mieux tenir compte de l'initiation du changement dans des situations de véritable bouleversement soio-politique.

INTRODUCTION

The Czech experience, a case of "sudden change", presents a unique opportunity to study the educational change process, particularly as has been defined by Fullan (1991). The fact that the phenomenon of educational transformation has been experienced within a more compressed time frame

in Central Europe and under conditions of political revolution, suggests that the Czech experience provides a suitable "laboratory" for the investigation of educational change that is different from that experienced in North America where change occurs within a relatively stable sociopolitical context.

The starting point for this investigation was provided by Prucha (1992) who identifies several major social, political and educational changes which occurred in that country since the Velvet Revolution of 1889:

- (a) political independence of education (change from totalitarian regime to no political governance in education);
- (b) change from Marxist doctrine to philosophical and ideological pluralism in education;
- (c) introduction of school alternatives through privatization;
- (d) decentralization of education;
- (e) change from political criteria, e.g., party membership for educational access, to criteria related to achievement and ability;
- (f) change from Russian to English as a first foreign language; and
- (g) curricular reformation.

These areas formed the framework and background for the researchers' initial thinking about events in the Czech Republic, particularly as they related to the educational system. Prucha's paper, however, while informative about the broad nature of political, social and educational changes that had occurred, does not address the process of change as events unfolded. Fullan, on the other hand, focuses both on the "what" and the "how" of educational change (Fullan, 1991).

One of the tenets central to Fullan's understanding of educational change is that "change is a process, not an event" (1991, p. 49). Most researchers, he notes, acknowledge three broad phases to the process: initiation, implementation, and continuation. Phase one, *initiation*, "consists of the process that leads up to and includes a decision to adopt or proceed with a change." The *implementation* phase, two to three years in length, involves the "first experience of attempting to put an idea or reform into practice." *Continuation* "refers to whether the change gets built in as an ongoing part of the system or disappears by way of a decision to discard or through attrition" (1991, pp. 47-48). The present study focused its data

collection primarily upon the events and process immediately following the Velvet Revolution. It is for this reason that the discussion will confine itself almost exclusively to an examination of the initiation phase of educational transformation in the Czech Republic.

The aim of this paper is to examine the initiation phase of the process of transformation of education in the Czech Republic since the Velvet Revolution of 1989 within the framework of the educational change and reform literature, particularly the work of Fullan. This examination will shed some light on the utility of a model informed primarily by North American cases of change at the school or district level within a relatively stable political system, for understanding the events in a central European setting where change occurred rapidly in many European countries and under conditions of sociopolitical revolution. A revised model is proposed—one that better accounts for the Initiation Phase of educational change in the Czech Republic and arguably in other post-Soviet countries.

METHOD

A three-member research team visited the Czech Republic in May, 1995. Lengthy interviews were conducted with twenty-two key individuals, including senior members of the Ministry of Education, educators from British and American organizations, teacher educators, university researchers, and members of advocacy and school reform organizations. Interviewees were selected for their role within the educational system and its transformation. The research team also visited one state and two private schools where they spoke with teachers, school administrators, parents and students.

The variety of participants interviewed was deliberate in accordance with Fullan's concept of "shared meaning of change". Fullan proceeds from a phenomenological basis to explain the social reality of change, to explain why change works as it does. Central to his concept of educational change is the problem of meaning and he argues it is important to understand both the small picture, "the subjective meaning or lack of meaning for individuals at all levels of the educational system" and the larger picture, "the sociopolitical process" (1991, p. 4).

The data collection was enriched considerably when a number of the interviewees contributed important primary documents related to the

process of educational transformation. These documents described selected innovative programs, provided statistical data on various aspects of the educational system, and described legislative changes that have been introduced in the Czech Republic since 1989.

The authors recognize that the study was based on a restricted period of time and number of interviewees. It is also based on the researchers' perspective and interpretation of events and literature. The sample was broadly based, but by necessity limited geographically to Prague. Despite these limitations, the researchers collected a wealth of information and interviewed many informed, key individuals in the short time available.

Analyses of the data took the form of examining the transcriptions of the taped interviews as well as the extensive notes and documents acquired by the research team. The data were organized and analyzed in order to develop a description of the Czech experiences as a "case" of educational transformation and to assess the extent to which there has been transformation in a number of areas. The data were then matched against models and elements of educational change, identified by Fullan, in order to understand the transformation as a "change" process. A revised model for the initiation of change was developed that better accounts for the Czech experience.

FULLAN'S MODEL OF THE INITIATION OF EDUCATIONAL CHANGE

Fullan notes that there is an infinite range of variables involved in determining whether a change program gets initiated. In his discussion, he concentrates on the factors associated with "planned or action-oriented change" (1991, p. 50) (See Figure 1). He identifies the following eight sources, taken from the literature, which affect initiation:

- (a) external change agents;
- (b) new policy--funds (federal/state/local);
- (c) advocacy from central administration;
- (d) problem-solving and bureaucratic orientations;
- (e) community pressure/support/apathy;
- (f) existence and quality of innovations;
- (g) access to information; and
- (h) teacher advocacy.




Figure 1. Factors Associated with the Initiation of Change

Source: Fullan, M. (1991). *The New Meaning of Educational Change*. Toronto: OISE Press, p. 50.

Fullan suggests that change may be initiated from any combination of these sources (1991, p. 61), implying there is no obvious order to these factors. The following case study addresses the utility of each of these factors for understanding particularly the initiation phase of educational transformation in the Czech Republic.

THE CONTEXT

Education in the Czech Republic before 1989

The Czech Republic is a country with a long tradition of national pride and a history of educational excellence coupled with a predisposition to educational reform. In 1918 Czech independence was achieved with the creation of the First Czechoslovak Republic (1918-1939), a proud moment in the history of a people who value freedom and the right to self-determination. During this period the educational system was internationally recognised, the product of indigenous scholarly writings (e.g., Comenius, Jan Hus), reform-oriented perspectives and solutions to educational problems, all grounded in a distinguished educational tradition and an exposure to the influences of both European and North American thought (Rydl, 1994, p. 258).

The First Republic came to an end with the events that led up to World War II, and following a period of political difficulty, a constitutional *coup d'état* resulted in the country entering a long period of communist rule (1948-1989). The educational system became overlaid with the beliefs of a foreign ideology, Marxist-Leninism, which promoted conformity at the expense of individual development. The application of this doctrine affected the educational system in other ways as well. The educational system became uniform, the curriculum was infused with the Marxist-Leninist ideology, access to higher education was politically based, and professional isolation was the experience of most educators at all levels of the system (Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, 1994; Rydl, 1995). Parents, fearful of spoiling their children's educational and occupational chances, generally, neither openly challenged nor participated in the formal educational process. What many did do, however, within the secrecy of their homes, was to pass along to their children the cultural values and historical perspective of the days of the First Republic (Pilatova,

1995). This practice increased following the Prague Spring of 1968, after the Soviet invasion, which served to disillusion many who had been prepared to give communism a chance.

The Velvet Revolution in November, 1989

In the year 1989 tremendous unrest and change emerged with the phenomenon of glasnost which brought political change to Central and Eastern Europe. By November 1989, the popular movement for democracy had overturned the communist government in Czechoslovakia and *The Velvet Revolution* was well underway. The first post-1989 government was almost exclusively made up of the leaders of the dissident opposition: writers, economists, political scientists, and lawyers. The first president of the new republic, Vaclav Havel, was a poet and playwright. The Velvet Revolution changed the political, economic and social direction of Czechoslovakia. The country experienced a radical political shift "which replaced the totalitarian regime with a democratic and pluralistic social system", followed in short order by "some fundamental changes in the political and economic sphere" (Prucha, 1992, p. 38). Essentially, the major changes were the following: (a) The constitution of Czechoslovakia was modified to ensure legislatively the social order, expunging the influence of the party and the spirit of Marxist-Leninism from its position of power and control in all spheres of Czech society; (b) a pluralistic parliamentary system was restored and; (c) major changes to the economic system, moving from a centrally-planned economy based strictly on state ownership to a free-market economy highlighting privatization of state-run enterprises, free prices and competition, and foreign capital investments were introduced (Prucha, 1992).

These political and economic changes resulted from a major shift in the societal values which emerged from the opportunity provided by the withdrawal of the Soviet influence. This value shift, however, was experienced in other societal institutions as well. In November 1989 the educational system was still characterized by policies reflecting the values of the Marxist-Leninist ideology and a totalitarian approach which largely negated individual rights and differences and institutionalized the concept of "planning" at all levels of the society. The events of late 1989 set the scene for a major contradiction within the educational system. Educational

changes in the form of new legislation were thus initiated to bring greater coherence between societal values expressed in the new political and economic legislation and those reflected in the structure of the educational system. As Amsterdamski and Rhodes (1993, p. 399) so aptly put it, while there is "a widespread and well-founded suspicion of 'master-plans' in the region, there is perhaps a stronger distaste for incoherence" (cited in Farnes, 1996, p. 10).

ANALYSIS OF THE CZECH EXPERIENCE USING FULLAN'S MODEL

At least three features of the Czech case set it apart from typical cases of educational change depicted by Fullan. First, initiation of a process to achieve educational change in the Czech Republic occurred virtually overnight with the enactment of significant legislation; second, it was largely conducted in the absence of any established plan for initiating a desired vision or goal; and third, this process occurred both at the national level and at the level of the school. Fullan presumes that the purpose of educational change is "to help schools accomplish their goals more effectively by replacing some structures, programs and/or practices with better ones" (1991, p. 15). While this need not necessarily be construed as meaning that educational change begins at the local school level as opposed to the national level, or that it begins at the level of the individual institution rather than the society at large, the statement does typify much of Fullan's discussion. Conversely, that educational change occurs at the national level need not entail that the ultimate purpose is not change at the classroom, school or district level. The immediate goals and perspectives are, however, different. The following description of Fullan's eight factors as they apply in the Czech case reveals where the differences lie.

External Change Agents

In the case of the Czech experience, the most logical place to begin in order to understand the process of change is with some understanding of the external change agents. In the case of the Czech Republic, educational change was not simply an innovation or project being introduced at some local level. Fullan classifies external change agents as regional, state or national roles, external to the district (p. 56). In this sense, an examination of the external change agents as a factor affecting initiation in the Czech

experience is potentially problematic since the unit of analysis for educational transformation in the Czech Republic is at the national level, at least for the original impetus for change. One must look therefore outside the Czech Republic for external change agents.

At the state level, several groups of external change agents can be identified in the Czech experience. One could perhaps build the argument that an external agent, glasnost, enabled the emergence of internal change agents in the form of legislators to initiate change. Moreover, what glasnost had begun, the Velvet Revolution accelerated so that increased contact with the rest of the world brought many external change agents. First, there was an influx of Westerners and an increase in the activities of western organizations (Kalous, 1995). For example, funding for educational projects and human resources was provided by PHARE (European Union Program for 11 Central and Eastern European countries), the Soros Foundation, The British Council, the American Information Agency, MENT (Man, Education and New Technologies), Education for Democracy, and the Peace Corps, (Griffiths, 1995; Perclova, 1995). Secondly, there were increased opportunities for contact with western European countries through teacher and student exchanges, conferences and professional development opportunities in other countries. These held the potential for change or transformation at the classroom level (Rydl, 1995). There was also increased access and attention to scholarly literature from around the world by university and other scholars who had the potential to work for change through teaching, participation in reform and advocacy groups, and the development of reform proposals (Spilkova, 1995).

Although the external change agents were noted, documented, and acknowledged by many interviewees in the Czech Republic, it is interesting that a significant group of interviewees did not perceive them as shaping the nature of the changes that occurred. This view that change had come from internal sources emerged in relation to both legislative changes from the top as well as changes initiated by individual teachers and parents, at the grass roots level. The relative influence of external versus internal change agents led to two different views of the future of education in the Czech Republic, as expressed in terms of the proposals submitted to the Ministry.

New Policy--Funds

Fullan acknowledges the important role that the various levels of government can take in initiating major educational change through legislative action. New policies, he notes, "especially if accompanied by funds, stimulate and sometimes require initiation of change at the local level" (1991, p. 58). It has already been noted that subsequent to the Velvet Revolution new political and economic legislation was enacted which set the stage for major changes in the Czech educational system. In some cases educational change was mandated by the legislation while other legislation enabled change to occur as a consequence. The major policy changes include the following.

1. The teaching of Marxist doctrine in primary, secondary and university school curricula was abolished, inadvertently creating a vacuum of suitable instructional materials for teachers to use in history, social science and civic studies (Prucha, 1995). According to some, a new ideology of democracy and tolerance has not yet taken its place (NEMES, 1995).
2. The Proposal for the Innovation of Act No. 29, 1984, of the System of Basic and Secondary Schools, 1990, allowed for the creation of private and religious schools funded from state resources. It has been suggested that these private schools serve as a catalyst for change in the state schools (Ryd1, 1995; Prucha & Walterova, 1992). Although private schools have been in existence for five years, no data currently exist on how they function or how effective they are.
3. The Act of the Czech National Council on the State Administration of Education and School Based Management introduced decentralization in the school system. Decision-making had been centralized in the hands of the Ministry but the new act gave more responsibility to teachers, principals, and local authorities (Prucha & Walterova, 1992).
4. The Act on Higher Education and other legislation accorded autonomy and political independence to institutions of higher education (Prucha & Walterova, 1992).
5. The teaching of Russian as a foreign language was no longer obligatory. English became the first foreign language of choice

among students (Prucha, 1992).

6. In 1990 a new system of funding for schools was also introduced. Funding was shifted from a needs-based approach to normative funding where "money followed the student." Students were free to select the school they attended and as a result schools became very competitive. The system of normative funding has indirectly prompted schools to begin developing alternative curricula and unique programs to attract more students.

These policy changes constitute "change from the top" but what was noticeably absent was an encompassing vision which informed and linked these legislative initiatives (Kalous, 1995). At the same time, however, a concurrent trend was evident at the grass roots level. The newly elected government in June, 1990, recognizing that it had no expertise in educational reform, solicited public participation in the development of proposals for transforming the educational system. These submissions served as a basis for discussion in Parliament and for the creation of subsequent legislation. Between 1990 and 1992, 65-70 proposals were submitted by institutions, organizations, and individuals.

The proposals essentially fell into two camps. Groups such as the Ministry of Education and the Faculty of Pedagogy, Charles University argued for maintaining the form and structure of state schools (Rydl, 1995; Prucha & Walterova, 1992). Reform groups argued for the introduction of autonomous schools, a child-centred curriculum, the humanization of education, a focus on development of critical skills and problem-solving, and diversification of curricular options. The three reform groups whose proposals were most influential were NEMES (Independent Interdisciplinary Group for the Reform of Education and School), an independent, interdisciplinary group with several hundred members including teachers, psychologists, sociologists and economists (NEMES, 1995); IDEA (Independent and Diverse Educational Alternatives), which presented a vision of a "democratic, pluralistic and open educational system" with "effective legislative and economic tools for both the transition period and the final stage" (Botlik, 1994); and PAU (Engaged/Involved Teachers Association), a group of approximately 300 teachers. These community reform groups, which typically spontaneously formed in the first year or two following the revolution, have inspired an

emerging vision through their program initiatives which have not always been embraced by the Ministry of Education.

Advocacy From Central Administration

Fullan states that "initiation of change never occurs without an advocate, and one of the most powerful is the chief district administrator" (1991, p. 54). Within the context of the Velvet Revolution it would be difficult to attribute advocacy to a single role. One could argue, however, that change was advocated for at all levels of society. According to Kalous (1995), the fall of communist rule was like "opening a prison." There was immediate national consensus that change was desirable, indeed expected, after 40 years of totalitarian rule. There was no consensus, however, regarding the direction in which the change should go. One view was to allow the free market to decide the direction of educational change while another suggested a slower, more cautious approach, allowing time for the development of a vision (Kalous, 1995). These views parallel the philosophical differences between Prime Minister Klaus, an economist, and President Havel, a humanist. What can be said, however, is that there were advocates who not only introduced educational change at the highest level of government but who also actively moved to bring about some change, in accordance with Fullan's view of the importance of senior people in the initiation of change.

Bureaucratic and Problem-Solving Orientations

This particular factor, as described by Fullan, speaks to the orientation that units such as school districts bring to adoption decisions, that is, decisions to introduce an innovation or change. Decision-makers may be either bureaucratic (opportunistic), using the opportunity to gain extra financial and human resources or as a symbolic act of appearing to respond to a given situation, or problem-solvers, using the opportunity to solve a problem. Fullan notes that bureaucratically speaking, "the political and symbolic value of initiation of change for schools is often of greater significance than the educational merit and time and cost necessary for implementation follow-through" (1991, p. 61). Further, he argues for the importance of the symbolic value of decisions. "Such decisions may be necessary for political survival, may be needed first steps that set the preconditions for real change

in practice, or may represent the only change possible in certain situations" (1991, p. 61).

There are those in the Czech Republic who take a very cynical view of the role of the government in initiating legislative changes, arguing that only what was needed to survive politically was done; that the government adopted, in the absence of any vision, a band-aid approach to educational change. Conversely, there are those who see the government and its bureaucrats as having facilitated change through the vehicle of legislative and policy changes. There is probably some truth in both views and what cannot be disputed is that decisions were taken that initiated educational change.

Community Pressure Groups

Fullan acknowledges that the role of the community in the initiation process "may be in the form of exerting pressure for a solution to a problem, opposing a potential innovation or adoption, or, doing nothing" (1991, p. 56). In the case of the Czech Republic, the community, in the form of the general populace, joined in the call for legislative reform immediately following the events of November, 1989. As has already been noted, when the Ministry of Education requested proposals on the direction the new educational system should take, 65-70 proposals were submitted. Those from NEMES, PAU and IDEA were taken most seriously. Community input was also sought in the form of proposals for experimental projects. Of the several hundred initiatives proposed, 25 were accepted. The new legislation establishing school-based management sought to encourage parent involvement in education through participation on the newly created school boards, but many of these boards were characterized by apathy rather than pressure for change. It was feared by some that the existence of these boards might discourage change in the classrooms in that parents would be more concerned with their children passing entrance exams to the next level of schooling than encouraging change in the classroom which might be perceived as threatening that very possibility. Thus, the situation in the Czech Republic reveals community responses that varied from group to group and from the local to the broader societal level.

Existence and Quality of Innovations/Access to Information

Interviewees identified three sources of information about innovations relevant to the Czech experience: the collective memory of the educational innovations of the First Republic (1918- 1938); the current influence of innovations from North America and Europe; and the more specific programmatic innovations or proposals by local community groups (NEMES, PAU, and IDEA).

Fullan (1991) suggests that individuals' immediate history with change is an important consideration; however, the Czech experience suggests that we must also take into account the national consciousness. The pre-war school reform in the Czech Republic was influenced by German pedagogy, French educational psychology, and North American Progressive Education (Rydl, 1994, p. 258). In 1930, Czechoslovakia was one of the European leaders in school reform. However, this unique social movement was interrupted by World War II, revived for three years following the war, and then destroyed again by the Communist regime in 1948. Following the Velvet Revolution in 1989, new reform schools reviving those of the early 20th century began to reappear (e.g., Waldorf, Rudolf Steiner, Montessori, and Society of Friends of Jan Hus schools). These innovations, however, were rather isolated (Rydl, 1995).

Prior to 1989, innovations emanating from the West were introduced (e.g., new math in the 1970s [NEMES, 1995]; communicative English language teaching in the 1980s [Repka, 1986]), but innovations visibly connected to the West that were perceived as threatening the political ideology were summarily suppressed. After 1989, cooperative links between Czech state schools and other European and North American schools began to proliferate through teacher exchanges, student travel abroad, and increased access to Western and European literature. Such information served to influence, often in a fragmented manner, an emergent vision supported by the more reform-minded teachers (NEMES, 1995). A number of the interviewees, including faculty at Charles University, members of the community reform groups, and government officials at the Ministry of Education, had all travelled abroad and incorporated ideas from the foreign educational literature into their teaching, development of educational policy, or formulation of experimental school proposals such as the "Live School" developed by Cindr, Cindr, Hausenblas and Havlinova

(1995). Thus there are indeed quality innovations in the Czech Republic that draw on both a former tradition and contemporary ideas from around the world.

Teacher Advocacy

While Fullan observes that teachers as a group, particularly at the school level, have less opportunity than other educators to come into contact with new ideas and less time and energy to follow through on them, he also notes that on a more global level, "national teacher unions have lately become strong advocates of reforms" (1991, p. 55). Certainly, these observations capture the Czech situation. As noted earlier, teachers and other professional educators have combined forces to create reform groups such as NEMES, PAU and IDEA. These groups have been instrumental in creating a grass roots movement promoting a more liberalized, child-centred philosophy of education.

The majority of teachers in the Czech school system, however, are not involved in these grass roots movements. It was estimated that approximately 20 per cent of the teachers support school reform and approximately 40 per cent would be interested in learning about different ways of teaching, but many feel isolated, frustrated and stressed. Primary school teachers who have studied pedagogy tend to be more change-oriented than the secondary school teachers whose training is more specialised and discipline oriented. Although primary teachers are beginning to communicate with secondary teachers, many realize that they do not have a common language (NEMES, 1995). Many competent teachers have unfortunately begun to leave their positions for industry because the challenge of educational transformation is too overwhelming (Rydl, 1995) and the conditions, status, and salaries are not competitive.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS ARISING FROM THE CZECH CASE

The examination of the Czech case of educational change suggests a number of additional factors which could be incorporated into Fullan's model of the initiation phase of the change process for times of social and political revolution such as occurred in post-Soviet-era Eastern European states, factors which would add to its power and applicability. They are: window of opportunity and pre-disposition to change.

Window of Opportunity

Rapid political change in the form of glasnost and the Velvet Revolution created a window of opportunity for the Czech Republic -- the "opening of the prison doors", or the "critical time period", for the changes that occurred very quickly in the two years following November 1989. After forty years of Communist indoctrination, there was an expectation and desire for change to take place speedily. Between 1990-91, educational initiatives were easily introduced and options were flexible. The need for "off with the old", to destroy or rebel against the previous system led to several changes, not all of which might have happened if more planning had taken place or if people had thought more objectively about the consequences. In fact, the concept of "planning", particularly "master planning" (Farnes, 1996) was firmly associated with the communist regime and it, along with other values and "ways of doing things," was rejected after the Velvet Revolution.

However, the Czech experience as it is unfolding strongly suggests that the opportunity presented by the Velvet Revolution is time-bound and that increasingly change is more difficult. According to Rydl (1995) many individuals, organizations and institutions no longer work cooperatively. Many are tired or "burned out" after expending great energy on initial change activities. Each has their own vested interest, based on different philosophical orientations that have become more entrenched with time. "It is becoming increasingly difficult to agree on the basic direction that education should take ... We have lost that critical time period when we were open to change." (Rydl, 1995). Implicit within Rydl's statements is acknowledgement of the importance of the "window of opportunity" for the introduction of change, the acknowledgement that opportunity can be "lost."

Predisposition to Change

A second factor identified from this case and seen to be important to the initiation of change is the predisposition to change. In the Czech case, this predisposition results from past collective and individual experience. The Czech Republic has a long and valued tradition of educational reform and innovation. As a result there exists among the educational community a predisposition to change stemming from a collective memory of a pluralistic, innovative educational system typified by the turn-of-the-century Steiner and Waldorf schools. In addition to this scholarly educational

tradition, there had been some advanced preparation for change (Kaufman, 1966, p. 638) in the form of changes to the math and English curricula, amongst others. Further, there had been expanded access to non-Soviet ideas with increased glasnost which planted seeds of change possibilities. Also inherent in the Czech disposition is a desire for consistency, so there was a great need to resolve the value contradictions that arose between the new hopes for humanitarianism, liberty and democracy and the existing education system which enshrined uniformity and Marxist-Leninist ideology.

On an individual level, the personal experience of people at all levels of the system was also a significant aspect of the predisposition to change. That the totalitarian education system had to be changed was agreed upon, but it was those who had experienced or observed its harmful effects personally who often were the driving forces in the initiation of change. These individuals were propelled by the conviction that the old system was guilty of "damaging children for life" and contained many features that had to be eradicated (Hudcova & Novackova, 1993).

The researchers heard accounts of personal experiences from administrators, educators, and parents of children who for different reasons, disability or giftedness, did not conform to the "norm", failing therefore to thrive under the rigid structure of the communist system. These were the individuals who established private schools for their own children or who advocated for the rights of such children to appropriate education and toward this end promoted organizations such as the "Blue Key School" (Cerna, 1995). These parents and educators knew, from personal experience, that the old system was particularly harmful to some children, but did not necessarily have a vision of what might be put in its place. Their drive, determination and absolute faith in their humanitarian instincts were powerful factors affecting the initiation of change.

It may be that under conditions of planned change, the predisposition to change is assumed, particularly in those parts of the North American educational scene where innovation and change are almost a constant at the school level. However, under conditions of rapid change, characterized by a "window of opportunity", the predisposition to "seize the moment" is critical to the initiation of change.

CONCLUSIONS

In answer to the question posed in the title of this paper, a North American model of educational change can be usefully applied to the Czech experience. However, Fullan's model of the factors that affect the initiation of change does not account for aspects of the Czech case that were perceived to be very significant in initiating change. These include the notion of a window of opportunity and the predisposition to change.

The next step is to examine the implementation phase of the Czech experience within the parameters of Fullan's discussion of educational change. Educational change has been initiated. The next question is to what extent has change been implemented and sustained? To what extent has the educational system of the Czech Republic been transformed? One might hypothesize at this point that features such as a window of opportunity and "off with the old" bring about change that is structural, administrative, quickly effected and implemented to satisfy general public demands in the way that was alluded to earlier in the paper. On the other hand, features such as predisposition to change, resulting from personal experience and advanced preparation might set the stage well for real change in conceptions and role behaviour (Fullan, 1991, p. 38) or transformation (NEMES, 1995) of the way people conceive education and apply the new societal values and principles in the classroom.

The transformation of a whole educational system does not happen overnight.

"It is possible to change 'on the surface' by endorsing certain goals, using specific materials, and even imitating the behaviour without specifically understanding the principles and rationale of the change...It is possible to value and even be articulate about the goals of the change without understanding their implications for practice." (Fullan, 1991, p. 40).

Teachers who have been trained to deliver a highly structured curriculum from the front of the classroom with the objective of producing pupils who do well in examinations are unlikely to be able to change their teaching without retraining and help implementing new methodologies and curricula, no matter how much they wish to do so. Such transformation of the system is a long term process and requires planning. An examination of the implementation phase should also reveal whether the suspicion of "master

plan", a legacy of the Communist era, will diminish as the desire for a coherent system, an inherent national characteristic, increases.

The revised model should be applied to the cases of other Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries as well as other non-European countries that have undergone large-scale societal change through political unrest or peaceful democratization. Such examinations would identify the similarities and differences among the cases, both for the process of initiation and for the subsequent implementation of change. The Czech case, in some respects, has much in common with that of Hungary, Lithuania, and Poland. For example, Marxist-Leninist ideology was removed from the curricula and the teaching of Russian was no longer compulsory in these three countries (Kaufman, 1996, p. 639; Servaite, 1996; Novak-Fabrykowski & Sosnowski, 1995; Tran, 1995).

The initiation of change differed in at least two of the cases. Reform had begun earlier in some areas in Hungary (Kaufman, 1996, p. 638; Farnes, 1996, p. 6) where access to the West had been more readily available, and power had been transferred from the central administration to the individual schools before the revolutionary changes of 1989 (Kaufman, 1996, p. 638). Hungary was ahead of other CEE countries in a number of areas, such as distance education (Farnes, 1996, p. 2, 12). Lithuania, on the other hand, was inadvertently inhibited in its progress by some post-1990 reforms (Farnes, 1996, p. 7). What is particularly interesting about the Lithuanian case is that educational reform was inhibited for at least one other reason. The withdrawal of the Russian influence created the same window of opportunity for reform but the withdrawal of Russian power was more protracted, and violent, thereby changing the nature of the window of opportunity.

In the Czech Republic, the initiation of educational change occurred very rapidly; it was conducted in the absence of any established plan or vision; and the process occurred both at the national level and at the level of the school. The inconsistency between the legacy of the centralized communist educational system with its administrative rigidity, and the emerging democratic structure following the events of 1989, made change inevitable. However, while it was clear that the people desired change, the form and direction of that change were not well defined.

The current exploration has not only extended our understanding of the

initiation of change in the Czech Republic since the Velvet Revolution but also has expanded our theoretical understanding of the factors related to the process of change itself, as addressed in the literature. The current study suggests the need for a modification of Fullan's model to account more fully for the initiation of change in cases of rapid socio-political upheaval, such as that which occurred in the Czech Republic in the aftermath of the Velvet Revolution of 1989.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, Aid to Small Universities Program (Thematic Focus: Social Transition and Transformation in Central and Eastern European). The authors would like to express their gratitude to the participants who generously shared their experiences and insights on the Czech education system, to research assistants Sarah Heselgrave and Marie Pilatova, as well as to the interpreters and translators, Marie Pilatova, Anna Pilatova, and Patricia Havlickova.

REFERENCES

- Botlik, O. (1994). Recent developments of the Czech educational system. In H. Avenarius (Ed.), *Restructuring education and educational administration after the breakdown of socialism: proceedings of the VIIth European Intervisitation Programme from 11th to 17th October 1993 in Berlin* (pp. 222-225). European Forum on Educational Administration. Frankfurt am Main: Deutsches Institut fur Internationale Padagogische Forschung.
- Cerna, M. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Cindr, J., Cindr, P., Hausenblas, O. & Havlinova, M. (1995). Live school: a proposal for basic building blocks of educational programs in elementary schools (1-9). School for Children Foundation: Prague.
- Farnes, N. (1996, April). New structures to reform higher education in Central and Eastern Europe -- the role of distance education. Paper

- presented at the Symposium on Educational Transitions in Former Socialist States, AERA Annual Conference, New York.
- Fullan, M. (1991). *The new meaning of educational change*. (Rev. ed. with S. Stiegelbauer) Toronto: OISE Press.
- Griffiths, M. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Hudcova, H. & Novackova, J. (1993, August). Principles for the implementation of freedom of education in the Czech school. Prague: NEMES.
- Kalous, J. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Kaufman, C. C. (1996, May). Roles, regulations, and relearning: Teaching in a transitional society. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 637-640.
- Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic. (1994, October). *Quality and accountability: The programme of development of the education system in the Czech Republic*. Prague: Author.
- NEMES. (1995, May). [Interview of members of NEMES on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Nowak-Fabrykowski, K. & Sosnowski, A. (1995). Education in Transition: Changes in the Polish School System. *Canadian and International Education*, 24(2) (pp. 55-64).
- Perclova, R. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Pilatova, M. (1995). The endless history of the kingdom of Bohemia. Unpublished manuscript, The University of Winnipeg.
- Prucha, J. (1992, December). Transformation of education in the Czech Republic: Urgent requirements for educational research. Paper presented at the symposium Strukturwandel Deutscher Bildungswirklichkeit, Humboldt-Universität, Berlin..
- Prucha, J. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Prucha, J. & Walterova, E. (1992). *Education in a changing society: Czechoslovakia*. Prague: Authors.
- Repka, R. (1986). The process of ELT reform in Czechoslovakian schools. *ELT Journal*, 40(3), 221-5.

- Rydl, K. (1994). National traditions of democratic education as an important source for the current restructuring in education in the Czech Republic. In H. Avenarius (Ed.), *Restructuring education and educational administration after the breakdown of socialism: proceedings of the VIIth European Intervisitation Programme from 11th to 17th October 1993 in Berlin* (pp. 222-225). European Forum on Educational Administration. Frankfurt am Main: Deutsches Institut für Internationale Pädagogische Forschung.
- Rydl, K. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Servaitė, L. (1996, April). Cultural and educational change in Lithuania since 1990. Paper presented at The University of Winnipeg.
- Spilková, V. (1995, May). [Interview on educational transformation in the Czech Republic with authors, Prague]. Unpublished raw data.
- Tran, D.T. (1995). Education in Transition: Changes in the Polish School System. *Canadian and International Education*, 24(2) (pp. 65-84).