Western University Scholarship@Western

Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository

4-25-2012 12:00 AM

Modulation of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Intracellular Trafficking and Signal Transduction

Jessica L. Esseltine The University of Western Ontario

Supervisor Stephen SG Ferguson *The University of Western Ontario*

Graduate Program in Physiology A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree in Doctor of Philosophy © Jessica L. Esseltine 2012

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd

Part of the Cell Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Esseltine, Jessica L., "Modulation of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Intracellular Trafficking and Signal Transduction" (2012). *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*. 473. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/473

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact wlswadmin@uwo.ca.

MODULATION OF G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR INTRACELLULAR

TRAFFICKING

AND SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION

(Spine Title: GPCR Intracellular Trafficking and Signal Transduction) (Thesis format: integrated article)

by

Jessica Lynn Esseltine

Graduate Program

in

Physiology

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of

Philosophy

School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

The University of Western Ontario

London, Ontario, Canada

April, 2012

© Jessica Lynn Esseltine 2012

Abstract

The seven transmembrane-spanning G Protein-coupled Receptor (GPCR) super family is the largest family of cell-surface receptors, comprising greater than 650 members. GPCRs represent the primary targets of most therapeutic drugs. Desensitization, endocytosis and recycling are major mechanisms of receptor regulation and intracellular trafficking of GPCRs is linked to the Rab family of small G proteins. In the present study, we examined whether multiple Rab GTPase regulate receptor trafficking through endosomal cellular compartments as a consequence of their direct association with GPCRs. We find that Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 all bind to the last 10 amino acid residues of the angiotensin II Type 1 (AT₁R) carboxyl-terminal tail. We show that the Rab GTPases compete with one another for receptor binding and that Rab4 effectively displaces Rab11 from the receptor. In contrast, Rab11 overexpression does not prevent Rab4 binding to the AT₁R. Overexpression of wild-type Rab4, but not Rab11, facilitates AT₁R dephosphorylation, and a constitutively active Rab4-Q67L mutant reduces AT_1R desensitization and promotes AT_1R resensitization. We also find that Rab8, a RabGTPase involved in the regulation of secretory/recycling vesicles, modulation of the actin cytoskeleton and cell polarity, interacts with the carboxyl-terminal tail of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1a) and attenuates mGluR1a signalling and endocytosis in a protein kinase C-dependent manner. Finally, we have examined several previously uncharacterised but naturally occurring mutaions in mGluR1a that have been associated with cancer that may alter mGluR1a signalling. We find that mutations found within the ligand binding domain of mGluR1a result in both decreased cell surface expression and basal inositol 1,4,5, trisphosphate formation and bias mGluR1a signalling via the ERK1/2 pathway. Additional mGluR1a mutations localized to the mGluR1a glutamate

binding site, intracellular regulatory domains and Homer binding site also result in changes in mGluR1a subcellular localization, signalling and cell morphology. Taken together, these results indicate that GPCR signalling is significantly modulated by the association of intracellular regulatory proteins that can be influenced by receptor structure.

Key Words

G Protein-Coupled Receptors Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Heterotrimeric G Protein Desensitization Receptor Signalling Phosphorylation Endocytosis Resensitization Plasma Membrane Recycling Intracellular Membrane Compartments Receptor Trafficking Rab GTPase Small G Proteins Receptor Carboxyl-Terminal Tail Domains Human Embryonic Kidney 293 Cells Hippocampal Neurons

Co-Authorship

Data presented in Chapter 2 are published elsewhere. Data presented in Chapters 3 and 4 have been submitted for publication. Ms. Lianne Dale and Dr. Ana Cristina Magalhaes assisted me in creating the Rab4 mutant cDNA constructs used in chapter 2. Mutant AT₁R constructs used in chapter 2 were created by me. All mGluR1 mutant cDNA constructs used in chapter 4 were created by the Oncology group at Eli Lilly and Company and provided to me through collaboration with Dr. Melinda Willard and Dr. Thomas Barber. All other constructs were previously generated by the Ferguson Lab.

I performed all experiments in Chapter 2 with the assistance of Ms. Lianne Dale in both the experimental design and troubleshooting. In Chapter 3 Dr. Fabiola M. Ribeiro contributed Figure 3.4A and 3.5A. Drs. Thomas Barber and Melinda D. Willard of Eli Lilly and Company contributed Figure 4.7 and 4.8B in Chapter 4. Dedication

For William, of course!

Acknowledgements

Steve, thank you for your support, financially and otherwise during my degree. Thank you for the four years I spent as a teaching assistant for phys 3140 and it meant a great deal to me to give your last lecture this year. Thank you for allowing me to travel during my degree, especially for Australia. You provided an excellent atmosphere to foster independent thinking and research, and I owe much of my scientific competence to that atmosphere. I appreciate you recommending me for your collaboration with Eli Lilly and Company—I have gained valuable connections and resources because of that.

Lianne, how can I convey the impact you have had these past five years! Thank you for answering my gazillion questions, for patiently walking me through troubleshooting, endless scientific talks, analyzing and interpreting data, teaching me how to think critically and objectively. Mostly, thank you for being my friend, for laughing with me, and listening. Thank you for sticking up for me and for your unconditional support and advice, especially through the rough times.

Ana, thank you for your advice and guidance. Thank you for keeping me safe in Brazil and wow-thank you for taking me on a family vacation with you! I also appreciate your unconditional love and support as well. You are a brilliant and dedicated scientist and I know you will excel at whatever you do.

Steve and Jane, thank you for writing endless letters of reference for me. Because of the great things you said in them, I was very successful in securing scholarships. And Jane, thank you also for allowing me to give two of your lectures - I really enjoy teaching and found them to be a treat.

Thank you to all the other members of my lab, for teaching me new things every day.

TABLE OF	CONTENTS
----------	----------

ABSTRACT		III
KEY WORDS		V
CO-AUTHORSHI	Р	VI
ACKNOWLEDGI	EMENTS	VIII
LIST OF FIGURE	ES	XV
LIST OF ABBRE	VIATIONS	XVII
CHAPTER 1		1
INTRODUCTION	Ι	1
1.1. THE G P	ROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR SUPERFAMILY	2
1.2. RECEPT	OR SIGNALLING	2
1.2.1.	G Protein-Dependent Signal Transduction Paradigm	2
1.2.2.	G Protein-Independent and Non-Classical Signal Transduction	4
1.2.3.	Agonists and Functional Agonism	5
1.2.4.	Receptor Desensitization	6
1.2.4.1.	Receptor Phosphorylation	7
1.2.2.4.	Second-Messenger-Dependent Protein Kinase	9
1.2.4.2.	G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinases	9
1.2.4.3.	Arrestin	10
1.2.4.4.	Phosphorylation-Independent Desensitization	12
1.2.4.5.	GPCR Down-Regulation	12
1.2.4.6.	GPCR Proteolytic Degradation	13
1.2.4.7.	Transcriptional Downregulation	14
1.3. GPCR TI	RAFFICKING	14
1.3.1.	ER/Golgi to Plasma membrane	14
1.3.2.	Receptor Internalization	15
1.3.2.1.	Clathrin-mediated Endocytosis	17
1.3.3.	Early Endosomal Sorting and Trafficking	17
1.3.3.1.	Recycling Endosomes	18
1.3.3.2.	Lysosomes	19
1.3.4.	Role of the Receptor Carboxyl-Terminal Tail in Trafficking	19
1.4. EFFECT	S OF INTRACELLULAR TRAFFICKING ON GPCR	<u> </u>
SIGNAL	LING	21
1.5. ANGIOT	ENSIN II TYPE 1 RECEPTOR	25

1	1.5.1.	AT ₁ R Signalling	
1	1.5.2.	AT ₁ R Desensitization, Endocytosis and Intracellular Tra	fficking 27
1.6.	THE ME	TABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR FAMILY	
1	1.6.1.	Group I mGluR Signalling	
1	1.6.2.	mGluR1 Desensitization, Endocytosis and Intracellular	Trafficking
1.7.	SMALL (G PROTEINS	
1	1.7.1.	Overview of the Rab Family of Small GTPases	
	1.7.1.1.	Intracellular Localization of Rab Proteins	35
	1.7.1.2.	Structure of Rab Proteins	
	1.7.1.3.	Rab Interacting-Proteins	
	1.7.1.4.	Mechanisms of Rab Action	
1	1.7.2.	Rabs and Receptor Intracellular Trafficking	39
	1.7.2.1.	Rab4	39
	1.7.2.2.	Rab5	41
	1.7.2.3.	Rab7	
	1.7.2.4.	Rab8	
	1.7.2.5.	Rab11	
1	1.7.3.	Rabs and Receptor Signalling	49
	1.7.3.1.	Rab4	49
	1.7.3.2.	Rab5	49
	1.7.3.3.	Rab8	50
	1.7.3.4.	Rab11	51
1.8.	НҮРОТН	ESIS AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES	51
1.9.	REFERE	NCES	53
СНАРТ	FER 2		78
RAB G TYPE I REGU	TPASES B RECEPTO LATES RE	IND AT A COMMON SITE WITHIN THE ANGIOTE OR CARBOXYL-TERMINAL TAIL: EVIDENCE THAT CEPTOR PHOSPHORYLATION, DESENSITIZATION	NSIN II AT RAB4 DN AND
RESEN	SITIZATIO	ON	78
1.1.	INTROD	UCTION	79
2.2.	EXPERIN	IENTAL PROCEDURES	81
2	2.2.1.	Materials	81
2	2.2.2.	DNA Construction	82

222		
4.4.3.	Cell Culture	
2.2.4.	Co-Immunoprecipitation	
2.2.5.	Whole cell phosphorylation	
2.2.6.	Measurement of inositol phosphate formation	
2.2.7.	Statistical Analysis	
2.3. RESUL	LTS	
2.3.1. Rab4, 1	Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 all interact with the AT1R	
2.3.2.	Identification of the AT1R Rab GTPase binding site	
2.3.3.	Rab GTPases compete with each other for association with	1 AT ₁ R
2.3.4.	Rab4 but not Rab11 affects the phosphorylation state and desensitization of AT ₁ R signalling	
2.4. DISCU	JSSION	101
2.5. REFE	RENCES	109
RAB8 MODULA 1 INTRACELI	ATES METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR SUI LULAR TRAFFICKING AND SIGNALLING IN A	BTYPE PKC-
DEPENDENT N	1ANNER	112
3.1. INTRO		
27 EVDEI	DUCTION	113
J.Z. EAPER	DUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES	113 114
3.2. EAPER 3.2.1.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials	113 114 114
3.2.1. 3.2.2.	DDUCTION MENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture	113 114 114 115
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.2. 3.2.3.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture	113 114 114 115 116
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation	113 114 114 115 116 116
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay	113 114 114 115 115 116 116 117
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation	113 114 114 114 115 116 116 117 118
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation ERK Activation	113 114 114 115 115 116 116 117 118 118
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7. 3.2.8.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation ERK Activation Confocal Microscopy	113 114 114 115 115 116 116 117 118 118 118 119
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7. 3.2.8. 3.2.9.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation ERK Activation Confocal Microscopy Calcium Imaging	113 114 114 115 116 116 117 118 118 118 119 120
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7. 3.2.8. 3.2.9. 3.2.10.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation ERK Activation Confocal Microscopy Calcium Imaging Statistical Analysis	113 114 114 115 116 116 117 118 118 118 119 120 120
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7. 3.2.8. 3.2.9. 3.2.10. 3.3. RESUL	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES	113 114 114 115 116 116 117 118 119 120 121
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3. 3.2.3. 3.2.4. 3.2.5. 3.2.6. 3.2.7. 3.2.8. 3.2.9. 3.2.10. 3.3. RESUI 3.3.1.	DDUCTION RIMENTAL PROCEDURES Materials Cell Culture Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture Co-Immunoprecipitation Biotinylation Assay Inositol Phosphate Formation ERK Activation Confocal Microscopy Calcium Imaging Statistical Analysis LTS Agonist-stimulated Rab8 interaction with the mGluR1a C-	113 114 114 115 116 116 116 117 118 117 118 118 119 120 120 121 tail 121

3	.3.3.	Rab8 antagonizes mGluR1a inositol phosphate and calcium signalling	128
3	.3.4.	Rab8 decrease of mGluR1a mediated IP3 signalling is PKC- dependent	133
3.5.	REFEREN	ICES	. 141

CHAPTER 4		146
NATURALLY (METABOTROP INTRACELLUL	DCCURRING PATHOLOGICAL MUTATIONS IN G IC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR ALTER REC AR LOCALIZATION AND SIGNALLING	ROUP I EPTOR 146
4.1. INTRO	DUCTION	147
4.2. EXPER	IMENTAL PROCEDURES	149
4.2.1.	Materials	149
4.2.2.	Cell Culture	150
4.2.3.	ERK Activation and Immuno Blotting	150
4.2.4.	Co-immunoprecipitation	151
4.2.5.	Measurement of inositol phosphate formation	152
4.2.6.	Confocal microscopy	152
4.2.7.	Flow Cytometry	153
4.2.8.	Statistical Analysis	153
4.3. RESUL	TS	154
4.3.1.	Mutations in the cysteine-rich domain contribute to recept dimerization and cell surface expression	or 154
4.3.2.	Mutations in the amino-terminus exhibit altered basal and activated activity	agonist- 159
4.3.3.	R375G displays functional selectivity toward ERK1/2	159
4.3.4.	GRK2 binding to mGluR1a mutants	162
4.3.5.	Attenuated Homer binding to FLAG-mGluR1a P1148L	167
4.4. DISCU	SSION	167
4.5. REFER	ENCES	174

СНАРТ	ER 5	
DISCUS	SSION	
5.1.	SUMMARY	
5.2.	CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FIELD OF GPCR RESEARCH	

5.3.	PHYSIOL	OGICAL RELEVANCE OF TRAFFIC-DEPENDENT	
	SIGNALL	ING OF GPCRS	189
5	3.1.	Deregulated Trafficking and Disease	189
5.	3.2.	Consequence of Altered Rab Protein Expression and Function	190
5.4.	FUTURE I	DIRECTIONS	191
5.5.	REFEREN	CES	198

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Rab4-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling	40
Table 1.2. Rab5-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling	42
Table 1.3. Rab7-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling	45
Table 1.4. Rab8-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling	45
Table 1.5. Rab11-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling	47

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Schematic diagram illustrating the agonist-induced desensitization of GPCRs v phosphorylation.	/ia 8
Figure 1.2. Schematic representation of the GRK family structure.	. 11
Figure 1.3. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis and intracellular trafficking of agonist-activated receptors.	ł . 16
Figure 1.4. GPCR-mediated activation of ERK1/2 signalling.	. 24
Figure 1.5. Snake model identifying amino acid residues of the Angiotensin II Type Receptor.	. 26
Figure 1.6. Schematic depiction of the glutamatergic synapse.	. 29
Figure 1.7. Snake model depicting amino acid residues of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1).	. 30
Figure 1.8. The Ras superfamily of small G proteins.	. 33
Figure 1.9. Rab Family members coordinate all aspects of endocytic trafficking.	. 36
Figure 2.1. Rab4, Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 each co-immunoprecipitate with AT ₁ R.	. 87
Figure 2.2. Co-immunoprecipitation of wild-type, dominant negative and constitutively active Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 GTPases with the AT_1R .	. 89
Figure 2.3. Identification of the Rab GTPase binding site within the AT_1R carboxyl-termir tail.	1al . 92
Figure 2.4. Agonist-stimulated AT ₁ R inositol phosphate formation.	. 95
Figure 2.5. Competition between Rab GTPases for co-immunoprecipitation with FLAG- AT_1R .	. 96
Figure 2.6. Whole cell phosphorylation of AT_1R in the presence and absence of wild-type and mutant Rab4 and Rab11.	. 99
Figure 2.7. Desensitization and resensitization of AT ₁ R-mediated inositol phosphate formation.	102
Figure 3.1. Agonist-dependent co-immunoprecipitation of Rab8 with mGluR1a	122
Figure 3.2. Colocalization of mGluR1 and Rab8 in primary hippocampal neurons	123

Figure 3.3. Live cell imaging of mGluR1a endocytosis in the absence and presence of Rab8.
Figure 3.4. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a endocytosis and cell surface expression 126
Figure 3.5. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a- and mGluR1b-mediated inositol phosphate (IP) formation
Figure 3.6. Effect of Rab8 nucleotide binding mutants on mGluR1a-mediated inositol phosphate formation
Figure 3.7. Effect of Rab8 on DHPG-stimulated Ca ²⁺ -release in hippocampal neurons 132
Figure 3.8. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation
Figure 3.9. PKC inhibition prevents Rab8-dependent attenuation of mGluR1a-mediated IP formation. 135
Figure 4.1. Eight naturally occurring single nucleotide polymorphisms in mGluR1a 157
Figure 4.2. Cell surface expression and intracellular localization of mGluR1a variants 157
Figure 4.3. mGluR1 variants localize to endoplasmic reticulum
Figure 4.4. Mutations in mGluR1 alter basal and agonist-activated inositol phosphate formation
Figure 4.5. Changes in mGluR1-mediated ERK1/2 activation
Figure 4.6. Effect of mGluR1a mutations on GRK2 binding and receptor desensitization . 165
Figure 4.7. mGluR1 P1148L is deficient in Homer1 binding
Figure 4.8. Homer binding mutant mGluR1 P1148L promotes filopodia formation
Figure 5.1. Newly identified roles of Rab family members in GPCR endocytic trafficking and signalling
Figure 5.2. Rab8 coordinates mGluR1a intracellular trafficking and signal transduction 184

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Full Name
AngII	Angiotensin II
AP-2	Adaptor protein-2 complex
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
AT ₁ R	Angiotensin II Type 1 receptor
Barr	Beta arrestin
β ₂ AR	Beta 2 adrenergic receptor
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
cAMP	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
C-tail	Carboxyl-terminal tail
DMEM	Dulbecco's minimal Eagle's medium
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
EEA 1	Earl endosomal antigen 1
EGFP	Enhanced green fluorescence protein
ERK1/2	Extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
G protein	Guanine nucleotide-binding protein
GABA	γ-aminobutyric acid receptor
GAP	GTPase activating protein
GDF	GDP-dissociation factor
GDI	GDP-dissociation inhibitor
GDP	Guanosine diphosphate
GPCR	G protein-coupled receptor
GRK	G protein-coupled receptor kinase
GRP	G protein-coupled receptor phosphatase
GST	Glutathione S-transferase
GTP	Guanosine triphosphate
GTPase	Guanine nucleotide binding protein
HBSS	HEPES-buffered saline solution

HEK 293	Human embryonic kidney 293
IP3	Inositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate
kDa	Kilodalton
LSM	Laser-scanning microscope
МАРК	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MEK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MEM	Eagle's minimal essential medium with
	Earl's salts
mGluR	Metabotropic glutamate receptor
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
optineurin	Optineurin
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
РІЗК	Phosphatidyl inositol-3-OH kinase
РКА	Protein kinase-A
РКС	Protein kinase-C
PLC	Phospholipase C
PLD	Phospholipase D
RGS	Regulator of G protein signalling
RIPA	Radio-immune precipitation assay
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
Rpm	Rotations per minute
RTK	Receptor tyrosine kinase
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
TBS	Tris-buffered saline
TGN	Trans-Golgi network
VSMC	Vascular smooth muscle cells
Y2H	Yeast two-hybrid
YFP	Yellow fluorescence protein

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. THE G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR SUPERFAMILY

The seven transmembrane-spanning G Protein-Coupled Receptor (GPCR) superfamily is the largest family of cell-surface receptors that is comprised of more than 650 receptor proteins. Based on structure-function and crystallographic structure of rhodopsin and, more recently the β 2-adrenergic receptor (β_2 AR), GPCRs are characterised by extracellular amino-terminal regions, followed by seven transmembranespanning domains separated by three extracellular and three intracellular loop domains and finally an intracellular carboxyl-terminal tail (Palczewski et al., 2000; Cherezov et al., 2007; Rasmussen et al., 2011; Tebben and Schnur, 2011). GPCRs are categorized into six classes based on sequence homology, ligand activation and G protein coupling: the largest class A or rhodopsin-like receptors; class B, secretin-like, many of which are regulated by peptide hormones from the glucagon hormone family and class C, the metabotropic glutamate receptors characterized by the large venus fly trap like amino terminus ligand binding pocket; Class D the $G_{\alpha i}$ -associated pheromone receptors; Class E the D. discoïdeum - specific cAMP receptors; and finally the Frizzled/Smoothened family, activated by Wnt ligands (Bockaert and Pin, 1999; Foord et al., 2005; Fredriksson et al., 2003; Kolakowski, 1994; Sharman et al., 2011; Bockaert and Pin, 1999).

1.2. RECEPTOR SIGNALLING

1.2.1. G Protein-Dependent Signal Transduction Paradigm

GPCRs couple to and activate cognate heterotrimeric guanine-nucleotide binding (G) proteins, which in turn transduce the GPCR signal through coupling to downstream effector molecules (Neer, 1995). Heterotrimeric G proteins are comprised of α , β and γ

subunits, which associate with the plasma membrane in a variety of ways, including lipid modification and association with membrane-bound proteins (Casey, 1994). Also called molecular switch proteins, the α subunit of the G protein cycles between the inactive guanosine 5'-diphosphate (GDP) bound state and becomes activated when GDP is exchanged for guanosine 5'-triphosphate (GTP) (Neer, 1995). In addition to the G protein's endogenous GTP hydrolysis activity, several proteins assist the G protein through this cycle including: 1) guanine nucleotide exchange proteins (GEFs), which facilitate the exchange of GDP for GTP, and 2) GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), which enhance GTP hydrolysis and regulators of G protein signalling (RGS) (Siderovski, 2005). The classical GPCR signalling paradigm begins with ligand activation of the GPCR, which induces a conformational change in the receptor, such that it can associate with its cognate heterotrimeric G protein and act as a GEF by exchanging GDP for GTP on the α subunit, thereby activating it. Once activated, the heterotrimeric G protein dissociates into the functional α and the $\beta\gamma$ subunits to target downstream effector molecules.

There are four classes of α subunits (Neer, 1995; Gilman, 1987). G_{α s} activates membrane-bound adenylyl cyclase (AC) stimulating the formation of the second messenger molecule cyclic adenosine 5' monophosphate (cAMP) and the activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase-A (PKA). Conversely, G α_i inhibits AC, decreasing cAMP generation and decreasing PKA activity. G $\alpha_{q/11}$ proteins activate phospholipase C (PLC), which in turn hydrolyzes the membrane phospholipid, phosphatidylinositol 4,5bisphosphate (PtdIns (4,5) P_2 , or PIP₂), into inositol trisphosphate (IP3) and diacylglycerol (DAG). IP3 then activates endoplasmic reticulum localized IP-gated calcium channels, releasing intracellular calcium stores, which triggers protein kinase C (PKC) translocation to the plasma membrane, where it is co-activated by DAG. Finally, $G\alpha_{12/13}$ activates Rho and other small G proteins leading to the rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton (Suzuki et al., 2009). The activation of these second messenger-dependent kinases triggers a phosphorylation cascade of membrane associated, intracellular and nuclear signalling scaffolding proteins and transcription factors leading to immediate and long term functional changes in the cell.

1.2.2. G Protein-Independent and Non-Classical Signal Transduction

In addition to these classical heterotrimeric G protein signalling pathways, $Ga_{q/11}$ coupled receptor-mediated activation of PKC also triggers the phosphorylation of Raf-1, thus activating mitogen activated kinases (MAPK) and extracellular signal-related kinases (ERK) (Luttrell, 2002). ERK activation by GPCRs is also mediated by adaptor molecules such as β -arrestin, transactivation of receptor tyrosine kinases and ion channels, downstream activation of intracellular tyrosine and serine/threonine kinases such as Src and Pyk2 and G $\beta\gamma$ -mediated mechanisms (Luttrell, 2002; van Biesen et al., 1995). For example, Pyk2 uncouples metabotropic glutamate receptor G protein signalling, but facilitates ERK1/2 activation (Nicodemo et al., 2010). Meanwhile, endothelin-1-induced ERK activation and is predominantly regulated by EGFR transactivation (Kodama et al., 2003). Activated MAP kinases then regulate the phosphorylation and activation of transcription factors related to cell growth.

1.2.3. Agonists and Functional Agonism

Ligands are characterized via their affinity for cognate receptors, and their efficacy of signalling and functional responses. Therefore, ligands can be classified as full agonists (eliciting maximal possible receptor response), partial agonists (submaximal response), neutral antagonists (occupies ligand binding region of receptor, yet elicits no signalling response, positive or negative), or inverse agonists (reduced ligandindependent, basal receptor activity) (Kenakin, 2002). Traditionally, ligand functionality was considered to be constant at a given receptor, eliciting the same response regardless of receptor location, and differences in receptor response were considered to be due to differentially expressed signal transduction machinery. For example, several GPCRs, including the vasopressin and angiotensin II receptors have been shown to couple to multiple G proteins generating divergent signal transduction pathways (Gudermann et al., 1996). Additionally, although β -arrestin was traditionally thought only to participate in receptor desensitization and endocytosis, it is now clear that this adaptor molecule can facilitate signal transduction through multiple pathways including MAPK, Src and PI3K (Rajagopal et al., 2010).

However, emerging evidence suggests that ligands can induce unique, ligandspecific receptor conformations resulting in differential activation of signal transduction pathways. Additionally, ligands with the same intrinsic activity can display different relative potency to divergent signalling pathways activated by the same receptor. This phenomenon, sometimes termed functional selectivity or biased agonism has been reviewed by Kenakin and Miller, 2010, Rajagopal et al., 2010 and Kenakin, 2011. Ligand-induced functional selectivity has been well-characterized for dopamine and serotonin receptors. Activation of the 5-HT2C receptor by agonists such as quipazine preferentially activates PLC while other agonists including LSD activate PLA2 (Berg et al., 1998).

One way biased agonists may function is by stabilizing a receptor conformation favourable for association with different downstream molecules. For example, the β AR inverse agonist carvedilol triggers G α s-independent, β -arrestin-dependent EGFR activation leading to downstream ERK phosphorylation while β_2 AR activation by cyclopentylbutanephrine biases the receptor toward β -arrestin-dependent MAPK activation relative to isoproterenol (Azzi et al., 2003; Noma et al., 2007; Drake et al., 2008).

Multiple amino acid residues in various GPCRs have been shown to play a role in functional selectivity. For example, μ -opioid receptor stimulation by different agonists results in site-specific patterns of serine (ser) and threonine (thr) phosphorylation in the second intracellular loop of the receptor, resulting in differential receptor endocytosis patterns and targeting the receptor toward either PKC ϵ or ERK signal transduction pathways (Doll et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2011). Mutation of histidine 393 in the sixth transmembrane domain of the dopamine D2L receptor abolishes the functional selectivity exhibited by the wild type receptor whereas ligand modification at the site of histidine 393 interaction biases the signalling towards ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Tschammer et al., 2011).

1.2.4. Receptor Desensitization

Ligand activation of GPCRs also results in a cascade of events ultimately leading to decreased receptor signalling. This process, termed desensitization, is crucial to prevent aberrant or chronic receptor over-stimulation. There are multiple mechanisms of receptor desensitization ranging from immediate G protein uncoupling to degradation of the receptors and transcriptional down-regulation (Ferguson, 2001).

1.2.4.1. Receptor Phosphorylation

Phosphorylation is the most rapid desensitization response to receptor activation, occurring within seconds to minutes after receptor activation. GPCR phosphorylation occurs mainly at Ser and Thr residues within the carboxyl-terminal tail and third intracellular loop (Ferguson and Caron, 1998; Kohout and Lefkowitz, 2003; Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Pierce et al., 2002). The addition of large, negatively charged phosphates to the intracellular loops and C-tail of receptors desensitizes receptor signalling by interfering with G protein-coupling, as well as facilitating recruitment of adaptor proteins for internalization. Receptor phosphorylation can be carried out both homologously and heterologously by second messenger-dependent protein kinases (PKA and PKC) or homologously by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) (Figure 1.1.). Phosphorylation by either type of kinase can lead to decreased receptor signalling. However, GRK phosphorylation results in desensitization via recruitment of the adaptor molecules called β-arrestins (Benovic et al., 1987; Lohse et al., 1990b; Pippig et al., 1993). β-arrestins associate exclusively with ligand-activated and GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs and functions in two ways to desensitize the receptor: first, β -arrestin physically uncouples the receptor from the G protein and secondly they recruit the endocytic machinery required to facilitate the endocytosis of the receptor.



Figure 1.1. Schematic diagram illustrating the agonist-induced desensitization of GPCRs via phosphorylation. Agonist binding triggers second messenger generation, leading to activation of second messenger-dependent kinases (PKA and PKC). Receptor activation also allows for the recruitment of G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRK), which associate with and phosphorylate exclusively agonist-activated receptors (homologous desensitization). In addition to homologously phosphorylating agonistactivated receptors, second messenger-dependent protein kinases can also phosphorylate non-activated receptors (heterologous desensitization).

1.2.2.4. Second-Messenger-Dependent Protein Kinase

GPCR-stimulated second messenger generation of molecules such as cAMP and ca^{2+} leads to the activation of second messenger dependent kinases, which in turn phosphorylate downstream target proteins. Second messenger dependent kinases PKC and PKA function to transfer the high energy γ phosphate from adenosine 5' triphosphate (ATP) to target serine and threonine residues contained within specific consensus sites of proteins (Taylor et al., 1988). Protein phosphorylation cascades generate short and long term changes in cell signalling and function, however, these kinases can also feedback phosphorylate GPCRs within their intracellular loops and carboxyl terminal tails (Smith, 1998; Eason et al., 1995; Bouvier et al., 1988). However, so long as the necessary consensus sequence is present on the target GPCR, second messenger dependent kinases do not discriminate upon receptor activation state or, indeed receptor type. This process is termed heterologous desensitization (Hausdorff et al., 1989; Lohse et al., 1990a).

1.2.4.2. G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinases

The GRK family of protein kinases contain a central catalytic domain flanked by a carboxyl terminal membrane targeting domain and an amino terminal RGS-like domain hypothesised to be responsible for substrate recognition (Pitcher et al., 1998; Stoffel et al., 1997). The seven GRK family members are sub-classified into three groups based on sequence homology and functional similarity (Figure 1.2). The groups are: 1) visual GRKs, GRK1 (rhodopsin kinase) and GRK7, (Shichi and Somers, 1978; Weiss et al., 1998), 2) GRK2 (β adrenergic receptor kinase 1, β ARK1) and GRK3 (β adrenergic receptor kinase 2, β ARK2) (Benovic et al., 1986; Benovic et al., 1991) and 3) GRK4, GRK5 and GRK6 (Benovic and Gomez, 1993; Kunapuli and Benovic, 1993; Premont et al., 1994; Sallese et al., 1994). The plasma membrane targeting of the different GRK groups is mediated by mechanisms involving their carboxyl-terminal domains. GRK1 and GRK7 are farnesylated at CAAX motifs in their carboxyl termini while GRK2 and GRK3 contain a carboxyl-terminal $\beta\gamma$ -subunit binding pleckstrin homology domain (Pitcher et al., 1998). The GRK5 carboxyl-terminal domain contains a stretch of 46 basic amino acids that allow plasma membrane phospholipid interactions and finally, GRK4 and GRK6 are palmitoylated at cysteine residues (Premont et al., 1996; Shaw, 1996; Stoffel et al., 1998).

1.2.4.3. Arrestin

GRK phosphorylation is usually not sufficient for GPCR desensitization and requires the association of arrestin molecules to agonist-activated, GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs. There are four arrestin family members categorized into two groups; 1) visual and cone arrestin are expressed exclusively in the retina while 2) β -arrestin-1 (arrestin 2) and β -arrestin-2 (arrestin 3) are ubiquitously expressed, though they show enhanced localization in neuronal tissues (Ferguson, 2001; Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Lefkowitz, 1993). Arrestins associate preferentially with agonist-activated, GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs, as opposed to unphosphorylated or second messenger-dependent kinase phosphorylated receptors (Lohse et al., 1990b; Lohse et al., 1992). β -arrestin is an important player in clathrin-mediated GPCR internalization. Once β -arrestin associates with agonist-activated and GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs, it recruits AP2 and clathrin, initiating receptor endocytosis (Ferguson et al., 1996; Goodman et al., 1996; Laporte et al 1999). The role of β -arrestin in GPCR desensitization and endocytosis is discussed in detail in section 1.3.2.1.



Figure 1.2. Schematic representation of the GRK family structure. The aminoterminus contains the conserved regulator of G protein signalling (RGS) homology domain (RH) while the divergent carboxyl-terminal domains participate in GRK plasma membrane targeting. GRK1 and 7 are farnesylated within the CAAX motifs, GRK4 and 6 are palmitoylated and GRK5 contains a 46 basic amino acid stretch that allows association with membrane phospholipids. Finally, GRK2 and 3 contain G $\beta\gamma$ binding motifs similar to pleckstrin homology domains. Figure adapted from Ferguson, 2001.

1.2.4.4. Phosphorylation-Independent Desensitization

Phosphorylation of intracellular residues of agonist activated receptors is the most widely studied and best understood form of receptor desensitization. However, it is now appreciated that phosphorylation-independent mechanisms of receptor desensitization also exist. Phosphorylation-independent desensitization has been shown for the endothelin A and B receptors, as well as the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor, histamine H2 receptor, m3 muscarinic acetylcholine and metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a (mGluR1a) (Dhami et al., 2002; Ferguson, 2007; Fernandez et al., 2011; Reiter et al., 2001; Shibasaki et al., 1999; Willets et al., 2004).

GRK catalytically inactive mutants are sufficient for desensitization of 5HT1B and parathyroid hormone receptors. While GRK2 does phosphorylate agonist activated mGluR1a, physical association between GRK2, Gaq/11 and mGluR1a is sufficient for this receptor's desensitization in a phosphorylation independent manner, partially though the GRK2 RGS homology (RH) domain (Dhami et al., 2002; Flannery and Spurney, 2001; Lembo et al., 1999). Other proteins have been implicated in phosphorylation-independent GPCR desensitization. For example, the huntingtin-binding and Rab8 effector molecule optineurin has been shown to associate with group I mGluRs to physically uncouple the receptor from G protein (Anborgh et al., 2005).

1.2.4.5. GPCR Down-Regulation

In addition to the immediate phosphorylation by GRKs and second messengerdependent kinases and clathrin-mediated internalization of activated receptors, other down-regulation mechanisms are employed to decrease the total cellular compliment of receptors in response to prolonged or repeated agonist stimulation. Receptor downregulation is achieved via proteosomal and lysosomal GPCR degradation, modulation of receptor gene transcription, RNA stability and translation (Tsao and von Zastrow, 2000; Bouvier et al., 1989).

1.2.4.6. GPCR Proteolytic Degradation

Two specific compartments contribute to the proteolytic degradation of GPCRs, the proteosome and lysosome (Hislop et al., 2011; Clague and Urbe, 2010). Proper cell signalling requires selective trafficking of membrane proteins to lysosomes for degradation. A major mechanism of lysosomal targeting involves protein ubiquitination on lysine residues and sorting via the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery (Katzmann et al., 2001). Proteosomal degradation of cytosolic proteins is signalled by polyubiquitination whereas multiple monoubiquitination or polyubiquitination signals membrane proteins for lysosomal sorting and degradation. Ubiquitinylated proteins are not recycled back to the plasma membrane, but instead are sorted into intraluminal vesicles in endosomes, forming multivesicular bodies. When these MVBs fuse with lysosomes, lipases degrade the ILV membrane as well as the transmembrane proteins (Marchese et al., 2008; Katzmann et al., 2002). Mu-opioid (MOR) and $\beta_2 AR$ both undergo agonist-dependent ubiquitination and receptor degradation and $\beta_2 AR$ mutation of Lys residues internalizes normally, but does not downregulate in response to prolonged agonist exposure (Hislop et al., 2011; Xiao and Shenoy, 2011). However, several GPCRs including delta-opioid (DOR) have been shown to target to lysosomes independent of ubiquitination (Tanowitz and Von Zastrow, 2002).

1.2.4.7. Transcriptional Downregulation

Total cell receptor loss involves not only degradation of existing receptor proteins, but also alterations in receptor transcription and translation. Interestingly, GPCR activation of second messenger dependent signalling may function as positive or negative feedback regulators of GPCR gene and protein expression. For example, cAMP activation induces PKA-mediated β_2AR phosphorylation and also decreases the level of β_2AR mRNA, ultimately decreasing receptor population while serotonin treatment of C6 glioma cells leads to a PKC-dependent decrease in 5HT2A receptor mRNA levels (Anji et al., 2001; Bouvier et al., 1989). Other GPCRs, including AT₁R, dopamine D1 and thyroid-stimulating hormone receptors also show agonist-induced decreases in receptor mRNA (Collins et al., 1992).

1.3. GPCR TRAFFICKING

Intracellular trafficking is a crucial mechanism to ensure proper targeting and function of receptor signalling and deregulated trafficking has been shown to play a role in multiple disorders and pathologies. This section will highlight the major steps in receptor intracellular trafficking as it relates to function and signalling.

1.3.1. ER/Golgi to Plasma membrane

As transmembrane proteins, GPCRs are synthesized and processed in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Folding and post-translational modifications such as glycosylation and ubiquitination, oligomerization take place in this compartment as well as association with accessory proteins (Braakman and Bulleid, 2011). Accumulating evidence suggests that receptor dimerization is necessary for correct plasma membrane targeting for some GPCRs and there are reports of dimerization mutations in hormoneactivated GPCRs that result in ER retention. One example of this is heterodimerization of GABA_B receptor subunits, which masks an ER retention sequence in the C-terminus of GABA_B and allows the dimer to reach the plasma membrane (Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). It is becoming clear that proper receptor targeting and function is dependent on dimer formation. For example, the GABA_B receptor requires both GABA_{B1} and GABA_{B2} subunits in order to reach the plasma membrane as well as activate G proteins (Duthey et al., 2002; Pin et al., 2004). Dimerization is also essential for receptor-G protein coupling. GABA_{B1} requires co-expression with GABA_{B2} in order to couple functionally to the Gprotein signalling cascade (Galvez et al., 2001; Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). This is not just due to plasma membrane localization as a mutant form of GABA_{B1} that lacks its ER retention signal and can reach the cell surface on its own still requires GABA_{B2} for functional activity (Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). Additionally, heterodimerization between the α_{1D} - and α_{1B} -adrenoceptors, was shown to be necessary for the proper cellsurface expression of the $\alpha_{1D}AR$ subtype (Hague et al., 2006).

1.3.2. Receptor Internalization

The internalization and intracellular trafficking of GPCRs is a highly regulated process that, in addition to contributing to GPCR desensitization, is also required for receptor dephosphorylation and resensitization (Ferguson, 2001). GPCRs may internalize in either a constitutive manner or in response to agonist activation: once the receptor is desensitized at the cell surface, it must then be internalized into the cell where it is sequestered or targeted for resensitization or degradation (Figure 1.3) (Ferguson, 2001). There are multiple means of receptor internalization employed by the cell including lipid rafts, caveolin, pinocytosis and the most common, clathrin-mediated endocytosis.



Figure 1.3. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis and intracellular trafficking of agonistactivated receptors. Ligand-activated receptors are phosphorylated on intracellular residues by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and bound by the adaptor protein, β -arrestin (β arr). β -arrestin then recruits the clathrin adaptor protein, AP2 as well as clathrin, which assembles to form clathrin-coated pits. The GTPase dynamin severs the pit from the membrane, forming a clathrin coated vesicle. Once internalized, clathrin uncoats from the vesicle, during which arrestin may or may not also dissociate from the receptor. The vesicle is then trafficked to early endosomes, where the acidic pH facilitates agonist dissociation from the receptor and phosphates are removed by G protein-coupled receptor phosphatases (GRP). Internalized receptors may be sequestered in early endosomes, trafficked to the lysosome and degraded or recycled back to the plasma membrane as a fully functional receptor.

1.3.2.1. Clathrin-mediated Endocytosis

Clathrin is a triskelion membrane coat protein that when assembled, forms a polyhedron lattice, which surrounds membrane and encourages invagination and clathrincoated pit formation (Hinrichsen et al., 2006; Kirchhausen and Harrison, 1981). Clathrin coated vesicles transport molecules from the plasma membrane, endosomes and trans-Golgi network. Several adaptor molecules, including adaptor protein-2 (AP-2), epsin and β -arrestin recruit clathrin and other accessory proteins to the site of invagination and facilitate clathrin assembly (Wolfe and Trejo, 2007). β -arrestin is an important player in clathrin-mediated GPCR internalization. Once β -arrestin associates with agonist-activated and GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs, it recruits AP2 and clathrin, initiating receptor endocytosis (Ferguson et al., 1996; Goodman et al., 1996; Laporte et al 1999). Once the clathrin coated pit is formed, the GTPase dynamin severs the pit from the membrane, thus forming a clathrin-coated vesicle (Ungewickell and Hinrichsen, 2007; Praefcke and McMahon, 2004).

1.3.3. Early Endosomal Sorting and Trafficking

Once the coated vesicle is internalized, clathrin disassembles and uncoates the vesicle (McMahon and Boucrot, 2011). Internalized receptor is trafficked to the early endosome where it can be sorted for retention, recycling or degradation. A key determinant of receptor fate occurs in the process of uncoating, when β -arrestin may or may not also dissociate from the internalized receptor (Oakley et al., 2000). Class A receptors, such as the β_2 adrenergic receptor and α_{1b} adrenergic receptor contain few phosphorylation sites and therefore form a transient association with β -arrestin 1 and 2. However, class B receptors, including AT₁ and V2 vasopressin receptors contain multiple

phosphorylation sites and form high affinity, prolonged association with arrestins (Anborgh et al., 2000; Oakley et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 1996). The presence of clusters of phosphorylated Ser and Thr residues in some GPCRs may stabilize the interaction with arrestins (Oakley et al., 2000). β -arrestin dissociation is assumed to allow access for protein phosphatases to cleave phosphates from the receptor, which in turn allows the receptor to recycle and resensitize at the cell surface, but there is no direct experimental evidence to support this assumption (Lefkowitz, 1998). However, if β -arrestins cannot dissociate, phosphatases are unlikely to access the phosphorylated receptor and dephosphorylate and resensitize the receptor (Ferguson and Caron, 1998; Ferguson et al., 1998; Ferguson, 2001; Ferguson, 2007).

1.3.3.1. Recycling Endosomes

Recycling and resensitization of internalized receptors to the plasma membrane represents a much more efficient way to re-initialize signal transduction than novel receptor synthesis. GPCR sorting into the recycling pathway can either occur via a default trafficking mechanism or through a regulated process. Studies of nutrient receptors supported the idea that receptor recycling occurs via a default "bulk" membrane flow from endosomes to the plasma membrane (Gruenberg, 2001; Gruenberg and Stenmark, 2004; Maxfield and McGraw, 2004; Mayor et al., 1993). However, this model does not allow for the dependency of GPCR recycling on specific protein interactions (Anborgh et al., 2000; Cao et al., 1999; Dale et al., 2004; Seachrist et al., 2000). Many GPCRs, including β_2 AR, μ -opioid and endothelin receptors contain specific plasma membrane sorting sequences, necessary for endocytic delivery back to the cell surface (Cao et al., 1999; Paasche et al., 2005; Tanowitz and von Zastrow, 2003). Many GPCR
recycling sequences, including those found on the β_1AR and β_2AR encode motifs recognized by a variety of postsynaptic density 95/disc large/zonula occludens-1 (PDZ)containing proteins. Other protein trafficking molecules, such as Rab small G proteins (discussed in detail below) also differentially regulate receptor recycling.

1.3.3.2. Lysosomes

Lysosomes are acidic intracellular membrane-bound organelles distinguished from endosomes by their lack of mannose-6-phosphate receptor (Luzio et al., 2007). Lysosomes contain lysosomal-associated proteins, lysosomal integral membrane proteins I and II, lysosomal acid phosphatase and acid hydrolases, which function optimally at acidic pH. As mentioned above, a major mechanism for endosome-lysosome trafficking involves the ESCRT machinery targeting ubiquitinated GPCRs to the lysosome. For example, lysines in the third intracellular loop (Lys-263 and Lys-270) and in the carboxyl tail (Lys-348, Lys-372, and Lys-375) of the β_2 AR are involved in ubiquitination and lysosomal degradation (Xiao and Shenoy, 2011). There are, however, multiple other mechanisms of lysosomal targeting, including GPCR-associated sorting proteins (GASP) and sorting nexin-1 (Gaborik and Hunyady, 2004; Tanowitz and von Zastrow, 2002). Additionally, lysosomal targeting may involve Rab5 and/or Rab7 as discussed in detail in later sections (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003).

1.3.4. Role of the Receptor Carboxyl-Terminal Tail in Trafficking

While it is well known that the C-tails of GPCRs mediate receptor desensitization through phosphorylation of serine and threonine residues and the association with β -arrestin, there is also much evidence to support the importance of GPCR C-tails in the coordination of receptor intracellular trafficking. Chimeric receptor constructs

demonstrate specific residues that determine the fate of different receptors. For example, chimeric receptors in which the C-tails of $\beta_2 AR$ and AT_1R were exchanged demonstrated that the C-tail of $\beta_2 AR$ does not form a stable association with β -arrestin, which may account for this receptor's rapid and efficient recycling (Anborgh et al., 2000). Meanwhile, although wild type AT_1R is neither dephosphorylated nor recycled, a chimeric AT_1R containing the β_2AR C-tail does dissociate from β -arrestin and promotes partial receptor recovery.

Many proteins involved in protein scaffolding and/or transport associate with GPCR C-tails. Molecules such as PDZ-containing proteins associate with GPCRs via protein modular domains commonly found in the carboxyl-terminal tail to mediate protein targeting (Cao et al., 1999; Magalhaes et al., 2011; Romero et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2003). The Homer family of proteins contain Enabled/VASP Homology-1 (EVH-1) domains that associate with a proline-rich region in the C-tails of mGluR1/5 and $\alpha_{1D}AR$ (Roche et al., 1999). Homer proteins regulate the targeting of mGluRs to different subcellular compartments, mediate their insertion into the plasma membrane and facilitate receptor activation (Ango et al., 2002; Ciruela et al., 1999; Coutinho et al., 2001; Roche et al., 1999; Tadokoro et al., 1999).

Other protein trafficking molecules have also been shown to associate with the C-tail of GPCRs to mediate receptor localization. For example, Rab5a has been shown to interact with the $AT_{1A}R$ carboxyl-terminal tail and retain the receptor in Rab5a-positive early endosomes (Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004). Rab11 binding to the thromboxane A2 receptor is mediated by residues 335-345 that are localized within the central region of the thromboxane A2 receptor carboxyl-terminal tail and Rab11 binding

to the β_2AR involves a bipartite binding motif, with arginine 333 and lysine 348 representing the essential amino acid residues mediating Rab11 binding to the receptor (Hamelin et al., 2005; Parent et al., 2009).

1.4. EFFECTS OF INTRACELLULAR TRAFFICKING ON GPCR

SIGNALLING

Agonist-activation of signal transduction cascades through GPCRs comprises both G protein-dependent and -independent signalling resulting in parallel signalling cascades and complex signalling networks. Because cells express hundreds of different receptors, a mechanism to organize signal cascades must be put in place. One major mechanism of spatiotemporal signal organization includes protein intracellular trafficking (Jean-Alphonse and Hanyaloglu, 2011). In addition to rapid and effective signal desensitization, the endocytosis and intracellular trafficking of GPCRs can spatially and temporally determine G protein-dependent and independent signalling pathways. Receptors such as the β_2AR , which recycle quickly and efficiently also resensitize to persistent or repeated agonist activation whereas receptors such as AT₁R, which are retained in early endosomes remain desensitized much longer (Anborgh et al., 2000; Oakley et al., 2000). However, chronic GPCR stimulation may cause altered GPCR trafficking away from the recycling to the degradative pathway leading to receptor downregulation (Hislop et al., 2011; Xiao and Shenoy, 2011). Conversely, GPCR recycling has been shown to actually change the signalling of the receptor. For example, β_2 AR endocytosis and recycling switches the receptor's traditional coupling with $G\alpha_s$ to $G\alpha_i$ (Wang et al., 2007).

Membrane trafficking of GPCRs provides novel compartments for G protein signalling. GPCR signalling via heterotrimeric G proteins is traditionally associated with the plasma membrane. However, recent studies indicate that GPCRs may also associate with and signal through their cognate G proteins at intracellular sites. Membrane permeable agonists also suggest that GPCRs such as the V2 vasopressin receptor and estrogen receptor GPR30 signal from the ER/Golgi (Revankar et al., 2005; Robben et al., 2009). Meanwhile, the β_2 AR has been shown to be pre-associated with its heterotrimeric G proteins as well as adenylyl cyclase II in the ER (Dupre et al., 2006; Dupre et al., 2007).

Few GPCRs have been shown to co-localize with their G proteins at the endosomes and display persistent, internalization-dependent G protein signalling. In *S. cerevisiae*, the G α protein translocates to the endosome where it stimulates PI3K, while in mammalian cells, lysophosphtidic acid treatment causes G $\beta\gamma$ to associate with Rab11a, PI3K and PKT at the endosome (Garcia-Regalado et al., 2008; Slessareva and Dohlman, 2006; Slessareva et al., 2006). FRET-based measurement of the cAMP sensitive EPAC molecule showed that parathyroid hormone receptor (PTHR) and thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSHR) internalization is required for sustained G α s signalling from the endosomal compartment (Calebiro et al., 2010a; Calebiro et al., 2010b; Calebiro et al., 2009; Jalnik and Moolenaar, 2010).

It is also well established that GPCRs can mediate non-G protein signalling pathways from endosomes, mainly via GPCR/ β -arrestin complexes, that scaffolds association with MAPK molecules and tyrosine kinases (DeWire et al., 2007). This was first reported in studies inhibiting receptor internalization when overexpression of either

dominant negative β -arrestin or dynamin prohibited β_2 AR-induced ERK activation (Daaka et al., 1998; Lin et al., 1998; Luttrell et al., 1997). It was later shown that β arrestin couples β_2 AR to Src in order to mediate ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Luttrell et al., 1999). Similarly, phosphorylation of protease-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2) is not required for β -arrestin recruitment and internalization, but a phosphorylation-deficient PAR-2 mutant is unable to facilitate β -arrestin-dependent MAPK activation (DeFea et al., 2000). Meanwhile, PAR-2 can increase PI3K activity through a Gαq/calcium-dependent pathway involving PYK2 and Src, while inhibiting PI3K activity through a β-arrestindependent mechanism (Wang and DeFea, 2006). It has been proposed that β -arrestin facilitates ERK activation by recruiting and scaffolding members of the MAPK pathways to internalizing vesicles or endosomes (DeWire et al., 2007; Luttrell et al., 2001; DeFea et al., 2000). Moreover, anchoring activated ERK to endosomes may prevent ERK translocation to the nucleus, thus encouraging cytoplasmic ERK signalling (Figure 1.4). This suggests an important spatial role, as well as temporal control, for GPCR membrane trafficking.

Less well understood are the direct and indirect roles of GPCR signal transduction on regulation of trafficking machinery, though it has been proposed that receptors participate in modulation of their own intracellular trafficking (Seachrist et al., 2002; Yudowski et al., 2009). AT_{1A}R activation causes GTP binding of Rab5a, while PKA activation by β_2 AR regulates Rab4, but not Rab11 recycling pathways and β_2 AR also modulates the Rab8 geranyl-geranylation, altering the ability of Rab8 to associate



Figure 1.4. GPCR-mediated activation of ERK1/2 signalling. A) Agonist-activation of receptors leads to downstream activation of protein kinase-C (PKC), which can activate Raf, initiating the MAP kinase cascade. Phosphorylated and activated ERK then translocates to the nucleus where it regulates gene transcription through phosphorylation of transcription factors. **B)** β -Arrestin associates with agonist-activated, GRK-phosphorylated receptors and acts as a scaffold, recruiting tyrosine kinases such as Src, as well as components of the MAP kinase cascade. β -arrestin-mediated scaffolding retains activated ERK in the cytosol where it phosphorylates target proteins.

with membranes, and thus its activity. Additionally, p38 MAPK activation stimulates the formation of Rab5-guanine dissociation inhibitor (GDI) complexes, thereby increasing endocytosis (Lachance et al., 2011).

1.5. ANGIOTENSIN II TYPE 1 RECEPTOR

The Angiotensin II receptor family includes angiotensin II type 1 (AT_1R), the focus of this thesis, and type 2 (AT_2R) receptors. The AT_1R mediates the cardiovascular effects of the AngII peptide hormone including vasoconstriction, angiogenesis, atherosclerosis, glomerulosclerosis and cardiac cell growth and hypertrophy. AT2 receptors, on the other hand are expressed in the fetus, injured tissue, adult brain and affect vascular tone and growth oppositely to AT1 receptors (de Gasparo et al., 2000).

1.5.1. AT₁R Signalling

The AT₁R is coupled through $G\alpha_{q/11}$ to the activation of phospholipase C β resulting in the formation of diacylglycerol and inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate leading to the release of intracellular calcium stores and the activation of PKC (de Gasparo et al., 2000). AT₁R also couples to $G_{\alpha o/i}$ in some tissues leading to inactivation of adenylyl cyclase and decreased production of cyclic AMP and can also couple to the pertussis insensitive $G\alpha 12/13$, which mediate AngII-induced L-channel activation (de Gasparo et al., 2000). AT₁R can also mediate G protein-independent signalling mechanisms, such as mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPK), JAK/STAT kinases, tyrosine kinase activation (Pyk2, Src) and transactivation of growth factor receptors. Multiple amino acid residues involved in G protein-coupling and phosphorylation have been isolated (Figure 1.5).



Figure 1.5. Snake model identifying amino acid residues of the Angiotensin II Type Receptor. Amino acid residues in the extracellular loop regions are involved in binding the ligand AngII, while residues in the eighth helix are required for G protein-coupling. Multiple serine and threonine residues in the carboxyl-terminal tail have been shown to be phosphorylated and are required for desensitization.

1.5.2. AT₁R Desensitization, Endocytosis and Intracellular Trafficking

Agonist-activation of the AT_1R also results in the attenuation of receptor signalling as the consequence of receptor phosphorylation by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and PKC. Agonist activation and GRK-mediated phosphorylation of the AT_1R facilitates the recruitment of the cytosolic adaptor protein, β -arrestin, which functions to sterically uncouple the AT_1R from the heterotrimeric G protein and targets the AT_1R for clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Freedman et al., 1995; Ferguson et al., 1995; Ferguson et al., 1996; Opperman et al., 1996; Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Ferguson, 2001; Ferguson, 2007). Once internalized, GPCRs may be either sequestered in early endosomes, dephosphorylated and recycled back to the plasma membrane or targeted to lysosomes for degradation (Ferguson, 2001; Gáborik and Hunyady, 2004; Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). In the case of the AT_1R , the receptor is internalized as a complex with β -arrestin and is retained in the early endosomal compartment and is not readily dephosphorylated and recycled (Anborgh et al., 2000).

1.6. THE METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR FAMILY

Glutamate is a major excitatory neurotransmitter whose actions are mediated though two types of receptors: ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (Figure 1.6). Ionotropic glutamate receptors are ligand-gated cation channels subdivided into Nmethyl-*D*-aspartate (NMDA), α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and Kainite receptors based in agonist preference. Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR) are G protein-coupled receptors, which play an important role in processes of synaptic plasticity, such as learning and memory, neuronal development, and neurodegeneration. mGluRs are categorized into three classes based on sequence homology and G protein coupling (Niswinder and Conn, 2010). Group I mGluRs, including mGluR1a, the focus of this thesis, and mGluR5 are primarily located perisynaptically on the postsynaptic cell (Niswiender and Conn, 2010). The prototypic mGluR1 has five splice variants (mGluR1a-e), which differ primarily in the length of the carboxyl terminus (Conn and Pin, 1997). There are no alterations in G protein-coupling between these variants as this is mediated by residues within the second and third intracellular loops as well as the membrane proximal region of the c-tail (Figure 1.7). Group II and III receptors are localized to both presynaptic and postsynaptic terminals and are negatively coupled to adenylyl cyclase and inhibit action of L-, N- and P/Q-type VDCC, thus activating hyperpolarizing potassium currents. Activation of these receptors leads to presynaptic inhibition of the release of neurotransmitters, including glutamate (Conn and Pin, 1997).

1.6.1. Group I mGluR Signalling

Group I mGluRs are coupled through G α q to activate PLC production of IP3 and DAG generation leading to intracellular calcium release and PKC activation. These receptors can also functionally activate a number of G protein-independent signal transduction pathways including tyrosine kinases, mitogen activated kinases, ion channels and other phospholipases. The tyrosine kinase Pyk2 uncouples mGluR G-protein signalling, but facilitates extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) 1/2 activation (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Kim et al., 2008; Niswender and Conn, 2010; Ribeiro et al., 2010). ERK phosphorylates transcription factors such as cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) and Elk-1, facilitating immediate early gene expression (Nicodemo et al., 2010). Via G proteins, mGluRs activate K⁺, Ca²⁺ and nonselective



Figure 1.6. Schematic depiction of the glutamatergic synapse. Depolarization of the presynaptic cell results in the membrane translocation of secretory vesicles, which release glutamate into the synaptic cleft. Presynaptic Group I mGluRs (mGluR1/5) enhance glutamate secretion while presynaptic Group II and III mGluRs inhibit secretion. Glutamate diffuses through the synaptic cleft to activate numerous postsynaptic glutamate receptors, ultimately resulting in increased calcium release in the postsynaptic cell. Ionotropic glutamate receptor channels, including AMPA, NMDA and Kainate-type channels are located in the postsynaptic density while metabotropic glutamate receptors are predominately found perisynaptically.



Figure 1.7. Snake model depicting amino acid residues of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1). The mGluRs possess a large bi-lobed extracellular amino-terminal, which folds to form the characteristic "venus fly trap" domain involved in agonist binding. This agonist-binding domain is linked via a Cysteine-rich region to the heptahelical transmembrane domains, linked by the intracellular loop domains collectively responsible for G protein activation. Ligand binding to a receptor dimer stabilises a closed conformation of the lobes, triggering intracellular signal transduction by stabilising the two receptors in an active conformation. The carboxyl-terminal tail contains numerous serine and threonine residues, which may be phosphorylated by second messenger-dependent kinases as well as G protein-coupled receptor kinases. The C-tail is also involved in the regulation of receptor function through interaction with intracellular proteins including the Homer family and calmodulin. Figure adapted from Dhami and Ferguson, 2006.

cation channels have been shown to mediate long-term potentiation, as well as long-term depression in neurons, involving mGluR1/5 activation or inhibition (respectively) of AMPAR- or NMDAR-mediated transmission (Mao et al., 2008). mGluR activation of phospholipase A2 (PLA2) can occur via PKC or ERK (Rozenjurt, 2007; Stella et al., 1994). PLA2 hydrolyses glycerophospholipids generating arachidonic acid, which can then be converted into pro-inflammatory eicosanoids. Phospholipase D (PLD) is activated in both PKC-dependent and independent manners PLD activation by mGluRs in astrocytes is dependent on PKC and small G proteins of the ARF family (Anwyl, 1999).

1.6.2. mGluR1 Desensitization, Endocytosis and Intracellular Trafficking

Desensitization and endocytosis of mGluRs abandons the GPCR paradigm as mGluR1 undergoes constitutive, as well as agonist-induced endocytosis and mGluR1 desensitization includes phosphorylation-dependent and -independent mechanisms (Ferguson, 2007; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Bhattacharya et al., 2004; Dhami et al., 2005, Dhami et al., 2002). Phosphorylation-independent mGluR desensitization involves the GRK2 RGS homology domain associating with both the receptor second intracellular loop and the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein (Bhattacharya et al., 2004; Dale et al., 2000; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Ferguson, 2001b; Ferguson, 2007). Phosphorylation-dependent mGluR desensitization occurs via receptor phosphorylation by second messenger-dependent kinases (PKC and CamKII) (Dale et al., 2000; Dhami et al., 2002; Dhami et al., 2004). To date, many proteins have been implicated in the desensitization of the mGluRs including GRK2, second messenger dependent kinases, scaffolding and trafficking molecules, such as, the huntingtin-interacting protein optineurin (Anborgh et al., 2005; Mundell et al., 2004; Mundell et al., 2004; Mundell et al., 2005; Mundell et al., 2004; Mundell et al., 200

2003; Schoepp and Johnson, 1988). The endocytosis of mGluR1 is equally complex, with differing partners contributing to constitutive versus agonist-induced internalization and even different endocytic machinery depending on the agonist (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). PKC activation is important for glutamate-induced mGluR1a internalization while mGluR1 constitutive internalization can be mediated by scaffolding RalA along with phospholipase D2 (PLD2) at the receptor (Bhattacharya et al., 2004a).

1.7. SMALL G PROTEINS

The small, monomeric GTP-binding superfamily contains more than 100 members with molecular masses ranging from 20 to 30 kDa, classified into five subfamilies based on structural similarity: Ras, Rho, Ran Arf and Rab family GTPases (Figure 1.8) (Bhattacharya et al., 2004b; Mundell et al., 2003; Exton, 1998). Small G proteins play essential roles in signal transduction, growth regulation, cell motility and intracellular trafficking among other cellular processes. Ras family members (Ras, Rap and Ral) typically regulate cell signalling events that lead to alterations in gene transcription while the Rho family also regulates the actin cytoskeleton (Takai et al., 2001; Wettschureck and Offermanns, 2005; Sah et al., 2000). Ran members regulate microtubule organization and protein transport between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Bos, 1998). The Rab and Arf families control the formation, fusion, and movement of vesicular traffic between different membrane compartments (Bos, 1998).

As guanine nucleotide binding proteins, members of this superfamily cycle between the guanosine triphosphate (GTP) bound "active" state and the guanosine diphosphate (GDP) bound "inactive state" and possess intrinsic GTP hydrolysis activity (Lundquist, 2006; Zerial and McBride, 2001). In addition to their inherent GTPase



Figure 1.8. The Ras superfamily of small G proteins. G proteins (also called GTPases) cycle between the guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-bound active form and the guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-bound inactive forms. In addition to the inherent hydrolyzing activity of G proteins, GTP hydrolysis is assisted by GTPase accelerating proteins (GAP) while the exchange of GDP for GTP is facilitated by guanine exchange factors (GEF). A large class of G protein GEFs include GPCRs themselves. The superfamily of Ras small G proteins are categorized into five subfamilies, including Ras, Raf, Rho, Arf, Rab and Ran. While the Ras subfamily participates mainly in signal transduction events, Arf, Rab and Ran family members facilitate intracellular membrane transport and Rho members associate with the cytoskeleton.

activity, many members of this family associate with accessory proteins including GTPase activating (GAP) proteins, which accelerate GTP hydrolysis, as well as guanine exchange factors (GEF), which facilitate the exchange of GDP for GTP (Bos, 1998; Takai et al., 2001).

1.7.1. Overview of the Rab Family of Small GTPases

Ras-like in Brain (Rab) are the largest group of small Ras-like G proteins comprising 11 members in yeast and over 60 in mammals (Ross, 2008; Rossman et al., 2005). Rab family members regulate all aspects of intracellular membrane trafficking from vesicular targeting, docking and fusion events. They participate in the transport of nascent proteins from the trans-Golgi network (TGN), the exocytosis and endocytosis of proteins, endocytic sorting and lysosomal degradation of membrane bound proteins (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003; Zerial and McBride, 2001; Stenmark, 2009). Rabs reversibly associate with membranes via hydrophobic geranylgeranyl groups attached to two C-terminal Cysteine residues. Rab escort proteins (REPs) capture newly synthesized Rabs and present them to geranylgeranyl transferase before targeting them to the appropriate membrane. GDP dissociation inhibitors (GDIs) recognize Rab-GDP and prevent GDP release, while simultaneously chaperoning geranylgeranylated Rabs in the cytosol and mediating their delivery to membranes or recycling them back to the cytosol. GDI displacement factors (GDFs) recognize specific Rab-GDI complexes and promote GDI release, thereby facilitating the association of Rabs with relevant membrane domains (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011; Pfeffer and Aivazian, 2004).

1.7.1.1. Intracellular Localization of Rab Proteins

A unique and useful characteristic of Rabs is their tendency to occupy distinct and predicable membrane microdomains (Figure 1.9) (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011; Stenmark, 2009; Zerial and McBride, 2001). Rab1 and Rab2 are localized to the endoplasmic reticulum and pre-Golgi area and mediate ER-Golgi trafficking. Rab 6, 33 and 40 are found at the Golgi and mediate intra-Golgi trafficking while Rab8 mediates constitutive biosynthetic trafficking from the trans-Golgi network to the cell surface and has also been implicated in Rab11 mediated recycling (Wang and Wu, 2012; Knodler et al., 2010). Rab32 controls mitochondrial fission while Rab13 assembles epithelial cell tight junctions. Autophagosome formation is regulated by Rabs 33 and 24 (Chua et al., 2011; Marzescot and Zarhaoui, 2005; Alto et al., 2002). Rab3, 26, 27 and 37 regulate exocytic events and Rab27 mediates the translocation of melanosomes to the cell periphery (Fukuda, 2008; Strom et al., 2002). Rab5 is localized to the plasma membrane, early endosomes and phagosomes, where it participates in clathrin-mediated endocytosis of plasma membrane proteins (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). Rab21 is implicated in integrin endocytosis (Pellinen et al., 2006). Rab4 is localized to early endosomes and recycling endosomes and is responsible for "fast" recycling of plasma membrane proteins while Rab11, which is located in the perinuclear region and Rab35 mediates "slow" recycling of plasma membrane proteins (Chua et al., 2010; Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). Rab7 and Rab9 are located in late endosomes and lysosomes and traffic proteins for degradation (Zerial and McBride, 2001). This thesis focuses on the management of GPCRs by Rabs involved in the endocytic pathway including Rab4, Rab5, Rab7, Rab8 and Rab11 and will henceforth focus on these Rab family members (Figure 1.9).



Figure 1.9. Rab Family members coordinate all aspects of endocytic trafficking. Rab5 participates in clathrin-mediated endocytosis and early endosomal trafficking of endocytic vesicles while Rab7 mediates the late endosomal and lysosomal trafficking of proteins for degradation. Rab4 mediates the "fast" recycling route, directly from early endosomes to plasma membrane while Rab11 mediates the "slow" perinuclear recycling route. Rab8 participates in the plasma membrane targeting of nascent proteins and may coordinate with Rab11 for recycling.

1.7.1.2. Structure of Rab Proteins

Like other members of the Ras superfamily of small G proteins, Rabs contain the GTPase fold and COOH-terminal to the fold is the hyper-variable region as well as the CAAX boxes containing two cysteines, which are posttranslationally modified with the addition of a geranylgeranyl group, allowing the Rab to associate with membranes (Ng and Tang, 2008; Stenmark, 2009; Zerial and McBride, 2001). The switch I and II regions of Rabs are the area of nucleotide binding, and both switch regions make contact with the γ phosphate of GTP. Effector molecules likely associate with the heterogeneous switch domains along with the $\alpha 3/\beta 5$ loop (a loop that connects α helix 3 with β sheet 5) that lies adjacent to the switch II domain. Rab GTPases are differentiated from other members of the Ras superfamily by a Rab-specific amino acid sequence F1-F5. Rab GTPases are evolutionarily well conserved with 55-75% identity between orthologs from yeast and mammals (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011; Pfeffer and Aivazian, 2004).

1.7.1.3. Rab Interacting-Proteins

Rabs mediate targeting, docking and fusion of their cognate vesicles via association with effector molecules and Rab interacting proteins (Zerial and McBride, 2001). Membrane tethering complexes often contain GEFs for Rabs that serve to recruit them. For example, the Rab5 effector Rabaptin 5 is complexed with the Rab5 GEF Rabex5, which amplifies Rab5 activation in microdomains of endosomal membranes while Rab5 association with effectors Rabenosyn 5 and Early Endosomal Antigen 1 (EEA1) recruit members of the SNARE complex to coordinate tethering, docking and fusion (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011).

1.7.1.4. Mechanisms of Rab Action

GTP bound Rabs can activate sorting adaptors to sort a receptor into budding vesicles and via recruitment of PI kinases and phosphatases can alter PI composition and trigger uncoating. Rabs can also mediate vesicle transport along actin filaments or microtubules by recruiting motor adaptors or binding directly to motors. For example, the plus-end-directed, actin-based motor protein myosin Vb facilitates protein trafficking in Rab11a-specific recycling vesicles (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011; Pfeffer and Aivazian, 2004; Stenmark, 2009; Zerial and McBride, 2001). Rabs mediate vesicle tethering by recruiting factors that interact with SNARES or their regulators. Finally, following membrane fusion, the Rab hydrolyzes GTP, thus associating with GDP and therefore targeted by a GDI back to the donor membrane and then to a GDF.

Rab activity can be manipulated by specific functional mutations. These mutations are design based on well-characterized amino acid substitutions in the p21ras GTPase. Dominant negative and constitutively active Rab mutations are very useful tools, which are frequently utilized to study the effect of Rab function in regulation of membrane trafficking.

Dominant negative Rab mutations are created by substituting an amino acid in the N-terminal (equivalent to p21ras S17N) or C-terminal (equivalent to p21ras N116I) of the Rab nucleotide binding domain (Millman et al., 2008; Volpicelli et al., 2002). This forces the Rab to be constitutively associated with a guanine nucleotide exchange factor, thus preventing Rab activation and rendering the Rab defective in guanine nucleotide binding.

Constitutively active Rab mutations are created by substituting an amino acid in the GTPase region, equivalent to the p21ras Q61L mutant, which exhibits reduced GTPase activity (Stenmark et al., 1994). Thus, the Rab does not hydrolyse GTP to GDP and its activity is effectively non-regulated.

1.7.2. Rabs and Receptor Intracellular Trafficking

An explosion of Rab-mediated GPCR trafficking studies in recent years has expanded our understanding of the different members of this family of small G proteins affects the intracellular targeting of GPCRs.

1.7.2.1. Rab4

Rab4 is involved in the transport of protein from early endosomes to the plasma membrane via a direct, "fast" recycling route directly from early endosomes (Table 1.1.). Rab4 is involved in the recycling of the AT_1R , somatostatin receptor 3, corticotropin releasing factor 1α receptor and β^2 adrenergic receptor among others (Esseltine et al., 2011; Yudowski et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2006; Odley et al., 2004; Seachrist et al., Although the AT_1R is not known to be efficiently recycled, fluorescence 2000). resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy shows that during the early recycling stage, internalized AT_1Rs are associated with Rab4 in the cytoplasm whereas during the mid-recycling stage, AT₁Rs are associated with both Rab4 and Rab11 in the perinuclear compartments (Esseltine et al., 2011; Hunyady et al., 2002; Seachrist et al., 2000). Internalized CRF1a receptor transits from Rab5-positive early endosomes to Rab4positive recycling endosomes and CRF1 α receptor resensitization is blocked by the overexpression of wild-type Rab5 and Rab4 GTPases (Holmes et al., 2006). Meanwhile, the apelin-13 internalized receptor is rapidly recycled to the cell surface through a Rab4dependent mechanism, while dominant negative Rab4 causes the receptor to be trafficked

Table 1.1.	Rab4-mediated	GPCR	trafficking	and signalli	ng

Receptor	Proposed Function in Receptor Trafficking and Signalling	References
Somatostatin 3	Rapid SSTR3 trafficking	Tower-Gilchrist et al., 2011
Angiotensin II type 1	Facilitates AT ₁ R dephosphorylation, thereby decreasing desensitization and	Hunyady et al., 2002;
	increasing resensitization	Esseltine et al., 2011
Corticotropin releasing	Rab4 overexpression blocked CRF1aR resensitization	Holmes et al., 2006
factor 1 alpha		
Oxytocin receptor		Conti et al., 2009
Mu-opioid receptor	Recycling of phosphorylated MOR	Wang et al., 2008
Apelin	Rab4 rapidly recycled apelin-13 receptor while Rab4DN caused receptor	Lee et al., 2010
	trafficking to lysosomes	
Thyrotropin releasing	Localized with both phosphorylated and dephosphorylated TRH	Jones and Hinkle, 2009
factor		
Glucagon	Facilitates actin- and arrestin-dependent GR recycling	Krilov et al., 2008
CXC chemokine 2	CA RhoB reroutes CXCR2 from Rab11 to Rab4 recycling	Neel et al., 2007
Prostaglandin D2	Specifically recycles DP2 receptor, not CRHT2	Gallant et al., 2007
Neurokinin	Rab4 disruption attenuated NK1R resensitization	Roosterman et al., 2004
Beta 2 adrenergic	β_2 AR activation results in increased Rab4-positive vesicle fusion with PM	Yudowski et al., 2009;
	Transgenic Rab4DN decreased catecholamines response and caused	Seachrist et al., 2000;
	abnormal accumulation of $\beta_2 AR$ in the sarcoplasm	Odley et al., 2004
Beta 1 adrenergic	Transgenic overexpression of Rab4 in the mouse myocardium increased	Filipeanu et al., 2006
	β AR in the plasma membrane and cAMP production leading to cardiac	
	hypertrophy	

to lysosomes (Lee et al., 2010). Somatostatin receptor 3 traffics through Rab4-, and Rab11-containing endosomes and expression of the inactiveRab4/S22N, and Rab11/S25N inhibits receptor trafficking (Tower-Gilchrist et al., 2011). Similarly, Rab4 or Rab11 dominant-negative mutants and small interfering RNA both significantly impair the recycling of the wild-type μ -opioid receptor (Wang et al., 2008).

1.7.2.2. Rab5

Rab5 is one of the more intensely studied members of the large and diverse family of Rab GTPases (Table 1.2.). Enriched at the plasma membrane and early endosomes, Rab5 coordinates the endocytosis of proteins via clathrin-coated vesicles and transport to early endosomes where proteins may be sorted for retention, recycling or degradation (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). Rab5a has been shown to interact with the $AT_{1A}R$ carboxyl-terminal tail and retain the receptor in Rab5a-positive early endosomes (Dale et al., 2004; Seachrist et al., 2002). Co-expression of cannabinoid receptor 2, muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M4 and human NPY receptors with dominant negative Rab5 results in a significant reduction in receptor internalization (Grimsey et al., 2011; Lecat et al., 2011; Volpicelli et al., 2001). Conversely, although dominant-negative Rab5-S34N did inhibit receptor internalization, Rab5 and TRH receptor do not colocalize at the plasma membrane immediately after TRH addition, but overlap extensively by 15 min (Jones and Hinkle, 2009). Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor is internalized through a clathrin- arrestin- and Rab5-dependent pathway and internalized adenosine A (2A) receptors also co-localize with clathrin and Rab5 (Parhamifar et al., 2009; Mundell et al., 2000). Both phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated μ -opioid receptor internalize via Rab5-dependent pathway after agonist stimulation (Wang et al., 2008).

Table 1.2.	Rab5-mediated	GPCR	trafficking	and signalli	ng
			0	0	0

Receptor	Proposed Function in Receptor Trafficking and Signalling	References
Cannabinoid 2	Rab5DN attenuated CB2 internalization	Grimsey et al., 2011
angiotensin II type 1	Rab5a associates with last 10 amino acids and Rab5aDN or truncated	Seachrist et al., 2001; Dale et
	$AT_{1A}R$ prevents $AT_{1A}R$ trafficking into large, hollow cored vesicular	al., 2004; Esseltine et al.,
	structures. AT _{1A} R activation facilitates Rab5a GTP binding.	2011
corticotropin releasing	Rab5 overexpression blocked CRF1 α R resensitization	Holmes et al., 2006
factor		
Melanocortin		Roy et al., 2011
Cysteinyl leukotriene 1	Clathrin, arrestin-3, and Rab5 mediates internalization of CysLT(1)R	Parhamifar et al., 2010
Dopamine 2	Rab5aCA facilitates while Rab5aDN attenuates β-arrestin-mediated D2R	Iwata et al., 2005
_	Endocytosis and ERK1/2 activation	
Neurokinin	Rab5aDN caused retention of the NK1R in early endosomes	Roosterman et al., 2004
CXC chemokine 2	Rab5DN mutant decreases CXCR2 endosomal sequestration	Fan et al., 2003
Endothelin A		Bremnes et al., 2000; Paasche
		et al., 2001
Endothelin B		Bremnes et al., 2000;
Oxytocin		Conti et al., 2009
mu-opioid	Rab5 facilitates phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated MOR	Wang et al., 2008
	internalization	
C5a anaphylatoxin		Cui et al., 2009
chemotactic		
Thyrotropin releasing	Rab5 and phosphorylated TRHR colocalized at 15 min and	Jones and Hinkle, 2009
	dephosphorylated receptor colocalized with Rab4 but not with Rab5.	
	Rab5DN inhibited receptor internalization.	
Platelet activating	PAFR activation triggered signal-regulating kinase-1/MAPK kinase-3/p38	McLaughlin et al., 2008
factor	MAPK assembly with Rab5a and Rab GDI, thus activating Rab5a	
Somatostatin 1		Roosterman et al., 2007
TPbeta	Amino acids 335-344 of the TPbeta C-tail essential for the directing the	Hamelin et al., 2005

	receptor from the Rab5-positive vesicles to the perinuclear recycling	
Adenosine (2B) Neurotensin 1	Involved in β arrestin-1 mediated endocytosis of A(2B)AR	Mundell et al., 2000 Vandenbulcke et al., 2000
Beta 2 adrenergic	Rab5DN impaired β_2 AR internalization and β_2 AR-positive vesicles remained closely associated with plasma membrane. Rab5CA internalized	Seachrist et al., 2000
Ghrelin	$\beta_2 AR$ to enlarged endosomes	Holliday et al., 2007
Human prostacyclin	Rab5a associates with C-tail of hIP in agonist-dependent manner and hIP stimulation triggered Rab5a translocation from cytosolic to membrane fraction	O'Keeffe et al., 2008
Rhodopsin	Dynamin- and Rab5-dependent endocytosis necessary to prevent early onset of rhabdomere degeneration in <i>Drosophila</i> .	Pinal and Pichaud, 2011
M4 muscarinic	Rab5DN inhibits m4 endocytosis while Rab5CA enhances m4 intracellular distribution and produces enlarged vacuoles	Volpicelli et al., 2001
Neuropeptide Y	Facilitates clathrin-mediated endocytosis of NPY receptor	Lecat et al., 2011
Metabotropic glutamate	Rab5b siRNA prevents DHPG-mediated LTD in neurons. Group I mGluRs	Baskys et al., 2005
1	are linked to Rab5b synthesis	

1.7.2.3. Rab7

Rab7 is enriched at late endosomes and lysosomes where it mediates the trafficking of targeted proteins to the lysosome for degradation (Table 1.3.). CXCR2 type 1 PDZ binding motif truncation increases ligand-mediated receptor degradation and Rab7 dominant negative overexpression prevents CXCR2 from trafficking to lysosomes (Baugher and Richmond, 2008; Fan et al., 2003). Rab7 also targets the apelin-13 receptor to lysosomes and Rab7 overexpression can change the fate of $AT_{1A}R$ from Rab5-mediated sequestration to Rab7-mediated degradation (Lee et al., 2010; Dale et al., 2004).

1.7.2.4. Rab8

Rab8 is localized to Golgi, vesicles and membrane ruffles and is involved in trafficking of basolateral proteins in polarized epithelial cells, as well as neurite outgrowth (Table 1.4.) (Ng and Tang, 2008). Rab8 has been shown to regulate ionotropic glutamate AMPA receptor synaptic delivery and recycling in rat hippocampal spines and is documented in the polarized transport of rhodopsin in photoreceptor cells (Brown et al., 2007; Gerges et al., 2004; Moritz et al., 2001; Deretic, 1997). Rab8 has recently been shown to directly associate with different regions of the α_{2B} - and β_2 -adrenergic receptors and a GDP-bound dominant negative Rab8 mutant blocks cell surface expression and ERK1/2 activation of $\alpha_{2B}AR$ but not β_2AR (Dong et al., 2010). Rab8 has also been implicated in non-clathrin mediated endocytosis and is associated with macropinosomes generated at ruffling membrane domains (Hattula et al., 2006).

	Table 1.3.	Rab7-mediated	GPCR	trafficking	and signalling
--	------------	---------------	-------------	-------------	----------------

Receptor	Proposed Function in Receptor Trafficking and Signalling	References
Apelin	Rab7 targeted the receptor to lysosomes	Lee et al., 2010
Angiotensin II type 1	Rab7 associates with last 10 amino acids of AT ₁ R and wild-type Rab7 and	Seachrist et al., 2001; Dale et
	Rab7CA increased AT _{1A} R lysosomal targeting and degradation	al., 2004; Esseltine et al.,
GABA(A)		2011
Prostaglandin EP4	$EP(4)$, γ -secretase and Rab7 co-localised after agonist stimulation in cells	Davis et al., 2010
	and also in the brain of wild-type mice but not of EP(4) receptor null mice.	Hoshino et al., 2009
C5a anaphylatoxin		Cui et al., 2009
CXC chemokine 2	CXCR2 type 1 PDZ binding motif truncation increases ligand-mediated	Fan et al., 2003; Baugher and
	receptor degradation	Richmond 2008
Beta 2 adrenergic	PI3K inhibitors reroute β_2 AR from recycling to degradative pathways	Awwad et al., 2007
Neurotensin 1		Vandenbulcke et al., 2000

Table 1.4. Rab8-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling

Receptor	Proposed Function in Receptor Trafficking and Signalling	References
Alpha 2B adrenergic	DN Rab8 reduced $\alpha_{2B}AR$ plasma membrane expression	Dong et al., 2010
Beta 2 adrenergic	β_2 AR modulates the Rab geranyl-geranylation	Lachance et al., 2011; Dong
	DN Rab8 reduced β_2 AR plasma membrane expression	et al., 2010
CXC chemokine 4	CXCR4-CCR5 homodimer transport from endoplasmic reticulum to	Charette et al., 2011
CCR5	plasma membrane when CD4 co-receptor is present	
Rhodopsin	Rab8DN caused rapid retinal degeneration in Xenopus	Moritz et al., 2001

1.7.2.5. Rab11

Rab11 is another extensively studied protein transport molecule (Table 1.5.). Rab11 is localized to early endosomes and the perinuclear regions and is crucial for recycling and resensitization of many GPCRs and other receptors (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). Like Rab4, Rab11 mediates protein trafficking from endosomes back to the plasma membrane. However, unlike the direct Rab4 route from early endosomes to plasma membrane, Rab11 coordinates a "slow" recycling route through the perinuclear region and Rab11 and has often been shown to coordinate with or be in competition with Rab4 for recycling (Esseltine et al., 2011; Hunyady et al., 2002). For example, Rab11 mediates the recycling of nonphosphorylated MOR, while Rab4 mediates phosphorylated µ-opioid receptor recycling (Wang et al., 2008). Dominant negative Rab11 causes decreased $\beta_2 AR$ membrane expression and mediates recycling of constitutively internalized TPB receptor (Parent et al., 2009; Hamelin et al., 2005; Theriault et al., 2004). Rab11 also participates in M4 muscarinic receptor recycling via myosin Vb, a Rab11a effector (Volpicelli et al., 2002). In addition to recycling, Rab11 has been shown to mediate other antrograde trafficking pathways and along with Rab6, Rab3 and Rab8, Rab11 is also linked to post-Golgi trafficking of rhodopsin and coordinates CXCR4-CCR5 homodimer transport from endoplasmic reticulum to the plasma membrane (Satoh et al., 2005; Deretic, 1997).

Receptor	Proposed Function in Receptor Trafficking and Signalling	References
Somatostatin 3	Regulates slow receptor trafficking	Tower-Gilchrist et al., 2011
Cannabinoid 2	Rab11DN impaired receptor return to plasma membrane	Grimsey et al., 2011
Angiotensin II type 1	Rab11 associates with AT1R C-tail to mediate recycling	Dale et al., 2004; Esseltine et
		al., 2011
Beta 2 adrenergic	β_2 AR modulates the Rab geranyl-geranylation	Lachance et al., 2011
	endoplasmic reticulum to plasma membrane	
	PI3K inhibitors reroute β_2 AR from recycling to degradative pathways	
	DN Rab11 causes decreased β_2 AR membrane expression	Parent et al., 2009
	Actin-dependent recycling of $\beta_2 AR$	Millman et al., 2008
Melanocortin 2		Roy et al., 2011
Human prostacyclin	Rab11a increased recycling of hIP, while Rab11DN impaired recycling.	Wikstrom et al., 2008;
	Interaction between hIP and Rab11a via Val299-Gln320 sequence within	
	the hIP C-tail domain	
CXC chemokine 4	Gα13 and Rho mediate actin-dependent trafficking of CXCR4 into the	Reid et al., 2010
	Rab11 compartment	Kumar et al., 2011
CCR5	CXCR4-CCR5 homodimer transport from ER to PM	Charette et al., 2011
Vasopressin		Innamorati et al., 2001
CXC chemokine 2	RhoB CA mutant reroutes CXCR2 from Rab11 to Rab4 recycling	Fan et al., 2003; Neel et al.,
		2007
LPAR	LPA promotes Rab11a interaction with $G\beta\gamma$, activating PI3K and AKT	Garcia-Regaldo et al., 2008
	Recycling of nonphosphorylated MOR	
Mu-opioid		Liang et al., 2008; Wang et
		al., 2008
Delta-opioid	DN Rab11 abolished the ability of Src blockers to prevent DOR	Archer-Lahlou et al., 2009
	desensitization	
C5a anaphylatoxin		Cui et al., 2009
Glucagon	Facilitates actin- and arrestin-dependent GR recycling	Krilov et al., 2008

Table 1.5. Rab11-mediated GPCR trafficking and signalling

Calcium sensing	DN Rab11a decreased CaR-mediated PTHrP secretion but not CaR- dependent ERK1/2	Reyes-Ibarra et al., 2007
Somatostatin 1		Roosterman et al., 2007
TPbeta	Rab11 interacts directly with the first intracellular loop and the C-tail of	Hamelin et al., 2005;
	TPbeta and mediates recycling of constitutively internalized TPbeta	Theriault et al., 2004
	receptor	
Neurokinin 1	Rab11aDN inhibited NK1R recycling	Roosterman et al., 2004
M4 muscarinic	Regulates M4 recycling via myosin Vb, a Rab11a effector	Volpicelli et al., 2002
Chemoattractant	Specifically recycles CRTH2, not DP2 receptor	Gallant et al., 2007
homologous receptor		
expressed on TH2 cells		
Neurotensin 1		Vandenbulcke et al., 2000
GhrelinR		Holliday et al., 2007
Rhodopsin	Rhodopsin colocalizes with Rab11 and disruption of Rab11 activity	Satoh et al., 2005
	inhibits rhabdomere morphogenesis and rhodopsin-positive vesicles	
	accumulate in the cytosol.	
Endothelin A		Paasche et al., 2001
Endothelin B		Paasche et al., 2001

1.7.3. Rabs and Receptor Signalling

1.7.3.1. Rab4

Previously, it has been shown that the dephosphorylation and resensitization of the β_2AR occurs as the receptor transits between the Rab5-positive early endosome and the Rab4-positive rapid recycling endosome (Seachrist et al., 2000). Transgenic overexpression of Rab4 in the mouse myocardium significantly increased the number of βAR in the plasma membrane and augmented cAMP production at the basal level and in response to isoproterenol stimulation (Odley et al., 2004). Expression of dominant negative Rab4 impaired β_2AR responsiveness to endogenous and exogenous catecholamine and Rab4 inhibition prevented resensitization after isoproterenol-induced *in vivo* adrenergic desensitization (Filipeanu et al., 2006). Moreover, it has been reported that phosphorylated μ -opioid receptor is preferentially recycled through Rab4-positive endosomes (Wang et al., 2008). CRF1 α receptor resensitization was blocked by the overexpression of wild-type Rab5 and Rab4 GTPases and dephosphorylated receptor colocalized with Rab4 but not with Rab5 (Holmes et al., 2006).

1.7.3.2. Rab5

As stated above, dephosphorylation and resensitization of the β_2AR occurs as the receptor transits between the Rab5-positive early endosome and the Rab4-positive rapid recycling endosome and CRF1 α receptor resensitization was blocked by the overexpression of wild-type Rab5 (Holmes et al., 2006; Seachrist et al., 2000). Rab5 has also been shown to be involved in other aspects of GPCR signalling. Interestingly, Purvanov et al., 2010 demonstrate an interaction of *Drosophila* Rab5 and the G protein

Go, *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Purified Rab5 and Go proteins associate with each other and Go contributes to Rab5 activation and endosome fusion. Serotonin, by cooperating with mGluRs, regulates synaptic plasticity through a mechanism dependent on p38 MAPK/Rab5-mediated enhancement of AMPA receptor internalization in a clathrin/dynamin-dependent manner (Zhong et al., 2008). Further studies established a functional link between phosphatidic acid-derived DAG and the activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase and the subsequent phosphorylation of the Rab5 effector EEA1, which has been demonstrated to be required for the induction of MOR endocytosis (McLaughlin et al., 2008). Additionally, Rab5b plays an important role in Group I mGluR-mediated neuroprotection and synaptic plasticity. While DHPG treatment of neurons typically leads to long term depression (LTD) in Rab5b siRNA treated neurons, DHPG no longer causes LTD. Additionally, group I mGluRs are linked to Rab5b synthesis (Baskys et al., 2007; Arnett et al., 2004).

1.7.3.3. Rab8

Rab8 has been shown to coordinate with Rab11 to modulate plasma membrane targeting of receptors. Like Rab11, Rab8 associates with the actin motor protein myosin Vb to regulate trafficking (Roland et al., 2007). β_2AR also modulates the geranyl-geranylation of Rab8, thus facilitating the Rab association with membranes and Rab8 activity (Lachance et al., 2011). Dominant negative or depletion of Rab8 by siRNA significantly attenuates ERK1/2 activation by $\alpha_{2B}AR$ but not β_2AR and inhibits plasma membrane delivery of $\alpha_{2B}AR$ from the TGN (Parent et al., 2009). Our lab has previously demonstrated that the Rab8 effector molecule, optineurin associates with and desensitizes mGluR1 IP3 signalling (Anborgh et al., 2005). Rab8 also coordinates with Rab11 to

mediate the synaptic delivery of AMPARs during long-term potentiation and constitutive receptor cycling (Brown et al., 2007; Gerges et al., 2004). After Rab11 targets AMPAR-containing endosomes from the dendritic shaft into spines, Rab8 directs receptor insertion into the synaptic membrane (Brown et al., 2007).

1.7.3.4. Rab11

In addition to recycling and resensitizing receptors to the plasma membrane, Rab11 has been shown in recent years to participate in many other aspects of receptor signalling. For example, DN Rab11 causes decreased β_2AR membrane expression through decreased receptor recycling, but additionally, β_2AR itself also modulates the geranyl-geranylation of Rab11, thus determining the membrane association state of the Rab and its activity (Lachance et al., 2011). Rab11 also coordinates with other signalling and regulatory molecules including heterotrimeric G proteins and other small G proteins. G α 13 and Rho mediate actin-dependent trafficking of CXCR4 into the Rab11 compartment while a RhoB constitutively active mutant reroutes CXCR2 from Rab11 to Rab4 recycling pathways (Kumar et al., 2011; Reid et al., 2010; Neel et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2003). Additionally, LPA promotes Rab11a interaction with G $\beta\gamma$, causing PI3K recruitment and AKT phosphorylation (Garcia-Regaldo et al., 2008).

1.8. HYPOTHESIS AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

In recent years, our lab and others have provided increasingly convincing evidence that Rab small G proteins comprise a major component of intracellular trafficking machinery and it is now apparent that GPCR trafficking actively affects signal transduction. Rabs directly associate with, and actively direct GPCR signalling through intracellular localization of receptors and signalling molecules. Our research presented here further supports the hypothesis that intracellular trafficking of GPCRs can actively contribute to and alter receptor signal transduction.

The aim of this thesis is to elucidate the role that receptor trafficking plays in signal transduction by addressing the following three questions:

- 1. Do multiple Rab proteins associate with AT₁R to alter receptor desensitization or resensitization?
- 2. Does Rab8 associate with mGluR1 to modulate its intracellular trafficking and signalling?
- 3. What alterations do previously uncharacterized mutations in mGluR1 exhibit in mGluR1 intracellular localization and signal transduction?

The data presented in chapters 2, 3 and 4 of this thesis summarize my research aimed at answering important questions regarding the regulation of two prototypic GPCRs.

1.9. REFERENCES

Alto NM, Soderling J, and Scott JD (2002) Rab32 is an A-kinase anchoring protein and participates in mitochondrial dynamics. J Cell Biol 158:659-68.

Anborgh PH, Godin C, Pampillo M, Dhami GK, Dale LB, Cregan SP, Truant R, and Ferguson SS (2005) Inhibition of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by the huntingtin-binding protein optineurin. J Biol Chem 280:34840-34848.

Anborgh PH, Seachrist JL, Dale LB, and Ferguson SS (2000) Receptor/beta-arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of beta2-adrenergic and angiotensin II type 1A receptors. Mol Endocrinol 14:2040-2053.

Ango F, Robbe D, Tu JC, Xiao B, Worley PF, Pin JP, Bockaert J, and Fagni L (2002) Homer-dependent cell surface expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5 in neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci 20:323-329.

Anji A, Sullivan Hanley NR, Kumari M, and Hensler JG (2001) The role of protein kinase C in the regulation of serotonin-2A receptor expression. J Neurochem 77:589-597.

Anwyl R (1999) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: electrophysiological properties and role in plasticity. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 29:83-120.

Archer-Lahlou E, Audet N, Amraei MG, Huard K, Paquin-Gobeil M, and Pineyro G (2009) Src promotes delta opioid receptor (DOR) desensitization by interfering with receptor recycling. J Cell Mol Med 13: 147-163.

Arnett AL, Bayazitov I, Blaabjerg M, Fang L, Zimmer J, and Baskys A (2004) Antisense oligonucleotide against GTPase Rab5b inhibits metabotropic agonist DHPG-induced neuroprotection. Brain Res 1028:59-65.

Attucci S, Albani-Torregrossa S, Moroni F, and Pellegrini-Giampietro DE (2001) Metabotropic glutamate receptors stimulate phospholipase D via different pathways in the adult and neonate rat hippocampus. Neurochem Res 26:1151-1155.

Awwad HO, Iyer V, Rosenfeld JL, Millman EE, Foster E, Moore RH, and Knoll BJ (2007) Inhibitors of phosphoinositide 3-kinase cause defects in the postendocytic sorting of beta2-adrenergic receptors. Exp Cell Res 313:2586-2596.

Azzi M, Charest PG, Angers S, Rousseau G, Kohout T, Bouvier M, and Piñeyro G (2003) Beta-arrestin-mediated activation of MAPK by inverse agonists reveals distinct active conformations for G protein-coupled receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:11406-11.

Baskys A, Bayazitov I, Zhu E, Fang L, and Wang R (2007) Rab-mediated endocytosis: linking neurodegeneration, neuroprotection, and synaptic plasticity? Ann N Y Acad Sci 1122:313-329.

Baskys A, Fang L, and Bayazitov I (2005) Activation of neuroprotective pathways by metabotropic group I glutamate receptors: a potential target for drug discovery? Ann N Y Acad Sci 1053:55-73.

Baugher PJ, and Richmond A (2008) The carboxyl-terminal PDZ ligand motif of chemokine receptor CXCR2 modulates post-endocytic sorting and cellular chemotaxis. J Biol Chem 283:30868-30878.

Benovic JL, and Gomez J (1993) Molecular cloning and expression of GRK6. A new member of the G protein-coupled receptor kinase family. J Biol Chem 268:19521-19527.

Benovic JL, Kuhn H, Weyand I, Codina J, Caron MG, and Lefkowitz RJ (1987) Functional desensitization of the isolated beta-adrenergic receptor by the beta-adrenergic receptor kinase: potential role of an analog of the retinal protein arrestin (48-kDa protein). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84:8879-8882.

Benovic JL, Onorato JJ, Arriza JL, Stone WC, Lohse M, Jenkins NA, Gilbert DJ, Copeland NG, Caron MG, and Lefkowitz RJ (1991) Cloning, expression, and chromosomal localization of beta-adrenergic receptor kinase 2. A new member of the receptor kinase family. J Biol Chem 266:14939-14946.

Benovic JL, Strasser RH, Caron MG, and Lefkowitz RJ (1986) Beta-adrenergic receptor kinase: identification of a novel protein kinase that phosphorylates the agonist-occupied form of the receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83:2797-2801.

Berg KA, Maayani S, Goldfarb J, and Clarke WP (1998) Pleiotropic behavior of 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptor agonists. Ann N Y Acad Sci 861:104-110.

Bhattacharya M, Babwah AV, Godin C, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Poulter MO, and Ferguson SS (2004) Ral and phospholipase D2-dependent pathway for constitutive metabotropic glutamate receptor endocytosis. J Neurosci 24:8752-8761.
Bhattacharya M, Babwah AV, Ferguson SS (2004) Small GTP-binding protein-coupled receptors. Biochem Soc Trans 32(Pt 6):1040-4.

Bockaert J, and Pin JP (1999) Molecular tinkering of G protein-coupled receptors: an evolutionary success. EMBO J 18:1723-1729.

Bos JL (1998) All in the family? New insights and questions regarding interconnectivity of Ras, Rap1 and Ral. EMBO J 17:6776-6782.

Bouvier M, Collins S, O'Dowd BF, Campbell PT, de Blasi A, Kobilka BK, MacGregor C, Irons GP, Caron MG, and Lefkowitz RJ (1989) Two distinct pathways for cAMPmediated down-regulation of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor. Phosphorylation of the receptor and regulation of its mRNA level. J Biol Chem 264:16786-16792.

Bouvier M, Hausdorff WP, De Blasi A, O'Dowd BF, Kobilka BK, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ (1988) Removal of phosphorylation sites from the beta 2-adrenergic receptor delays onset of agonist-promoted desensitization. Nature 333:370-3.

Braakman I, and Bulleid NJ (2011) Protein folding and modification in the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. Annu Rev Biochem 80:71-99.

Bremnes T, Paasche JD, Mehlum A, Sandberg C, Bremnes B, and Attramadal H (2000) Regulation and intracellular trafficking pathways of the endothelin receptors. J Biol Chem 275:17596-17604.

Brown TC, Correia SS, Petrok CN, and Esteban JA (2007) Functional compartmentalization of endosomal trafficking for the synaptic delivery of AMPA receptors during long-term potentiation. J Neurosci 27:13311-13315.

Calebiro D, Nikolaev VO, Gagliani MC, de Filippis T, Dees C, Tacchetti C, Persani L, and Lohse MJ (2009) Persistent cAMP-signals triggered by internalized G-protein-coupled receptors. PLoS Biol 7:e1000172.

Calebiro D, Nikolaev VO, and Lohse MJ (2010a) Imaging of persistent cAMP signaling by internalized G protein-coupled receptors. J Mol Endocrinol 45:1-8.

Calebiro D, Nikolaev VO, Persani L, and Lohse MJ (2010b) Signaling by internalized G-protein-coupled receptors. Trends Pharmacol Sci 31:221-228.

Cao TT, Deacon HW, Reczek D, Bretscher A, and von Zastrow M (1999) A kinaseregulated PDZ-domain interaction controls endocytic sorting of the beta2-adrenergic receptor. Nature 401:286-290.

Casey PJ (1994) Lipid modifications of G proteins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 6:219-25.

Cavalli V, Vilbois F, Corti M, Marcote MJ, Tamura K, Karin M, Arkinstall S, and Gruenberg J (2001) The stress-induced MAP kinase p38 regulates endocytic trafficking via the GDI:Rab5 complex. Mol Cell 7:421-432.

Charette N, Holland P, Frazer J, Allen H, and Dupre DJ (2011) Dependence on different Rab GTPases for the trafficking of CXCR4 and CCR5 homo or heterodimers between the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane in Jurkat cells. Cell Signal 23:1738-1749.

Cherezov V, Rosenbaum DM, Hanson MA, Rasmussen SG, Thian FS, Kobilka TS, Choi HJ, Kuhn P, Weis WI, Kobilka BK, and Stevens RC (2007) High-resolution crystal structure of an engineered human beta2-adrenergic G protein-coupled receptor. Science 318:1258-1265.

Chua CE, Gan BQ, and Tang BL (2011) Involvement of members of the Rab family and related small GTPases in autophagosome formation and maturation. Cell Mol Life Sci 68:3349-58.

Ciruela F, Soloviev MM, and McIlhinney RA (1999) Co-expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 1alpha with homer-1a/Vesl-1S increases the cell surface expression of the receptor. Biochem J 341 (Pt 3):795-803.

Clague MJ, Urbé S (2010) Ubiquitin: same molecule, different degradation pathways. Cell 143:682-5.

Collins S, Caron MG, and Lefkowitz RJ (1992) From ligand binding to gene expression: new insights into the regulation of G-protein-coupled receptors. Trends Biochem Sci 17:37-39.

Conn PJ, and Pin JP (1997) Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 37:205-37.

Conti F, Sertic S, Reversi A, and Chini B (2009) Intracellular trafficking of the human oxytocin receptor: evidence of receptor recycling via a Rab4/Rab5 "short cycle". Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 296:E532-42.

Coutinho V, Kavanagh I, Sugiyama H, Tones MA, and Henley JM (2001) Characterization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5-green fluorescent protein chimera (mGluR5-GFP): pharmacology, surface expression, and differential effects of Homer-1a and Homer-1c. Mol Cell Neurosci 18:296-306.

Cui, W, Simaan, M, Laporte, S, Lodge, R, and Cianflone, K (2009) C5a- and ASPmediated C5L2 activation, endocytosis and recycling are lost in S323I-C5L2 mutation. Mol Immunol 46:3086-3098.

Daaka, Y, Luttrell, LM, Ahn, S, Della Rocca, GJ, Ferguson, SS, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1998) Essential role for G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis in the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase. J Biol Chem 273:685-688.

Dale, LB, Babwah, AV, Bhattacharya, M, Kelvin, DJ, and Ferguson, SS (2001) Spatialtemporal patterning of metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated inositol 1,4,5triphosphate, calcium, and protein kinase C oscillations: protein kinase C-dependent receptor phosphorylation is not required. J Biol Chem 276:35900-35908.

Dale, LB, Bhattacharya, M, Anborgh, PH, Murdoch, B, Bhatia, M, Nakanishi, S, and Ferguson, SS (2000) G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated desensitization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A protects against cell death. J Biol Chem 275:38213-38220.

Dale, LB, Bhattacharya, M, Seachrist, JL, Anborgh, PH, and Ferguson, SS (2001) Agonist-stimulated and tonic internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a in human embryonic kidney 293 cells: agonist-stimulated endocytosis is beta-arrestin1 isoform-specific. Mol Pharmacol 60:1243-1253.

Dale, LB, Seachrist, JL, Babwah, AV, and Ferguson, SS (2004) Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. J Biol Chem 279:13110-13118.

Davis, KM, Sturt, BL, Friedmann, AJ, Richmond, JE, Bessereau, JL, Grant, BD, and Bamber, BA (2010) Regulated lysosomal trafficking as a mechanism for regulating GABAA receptor abundance at synapses in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Cell Neurosci 44:307-317.

DeFea, KA, Zalevsky, J, Thoma, MS, Dery, O, Mullins, RD, and Bunnett, NW (2000) beta-arrestin-dependent endocytosis of proteinase-activated receptor 2 is required for intracellular targeting of activated ERK1/2. J Cell Biol 148:1267-1281.

de Gasparo M, Catt KJ, Inagami T, Wright JW, and Unger T (2000) International union of pharmacology. XXIII The angiotensin II receptors Pharmacol Rev 52:415-72.

Deretic, D (1997) Rab proteins and post-Golgi trafficking of rhodopsin in photoreceptor cells. Electrophoresis 18:2537-2541.

DeWire SM, Ahn S, Lefkowitz RJ, and Shenoy SK (2007) Beta-arrestins and cell signaling. Annu Rev Physiol 69:483-510.

Dhami, GK, Anborgh, PH, Dale, LB, Sterne-Marr, R, and Ferguson, SS (2002) Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J Biol Chem 277:25266-25272.

Dhami, GK, Dale, LB, Anborgh, PH, O'Connor-Halligan, KE, Sterne-Marr, R, and Ferguson, SS (2004) G Protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 regulator of G protein signaling homology domain binds to both metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a and Galphaq to attenuate signaling. J Biol Chem 279:16614-16620.

Dhami, GK, and Ferguson, SS (2006) Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. Pharmacol Ther 111:260-271.

Doll C, Konietzko J, Pöll F, Koch T, Höllt V, Schulz S (2011) Agonist-selective patterns of μ -opioid receptor phosphorylation revealed by phosphosite-specific antibodies. Br J Pharmacol 164:298-307.

Dong, C, Yang, L, Zhang, X, Gu, H, Lam, ML, Claycomb, WC, Xia, H, and Wu, G (2010) Rab8 interacts with distinct motifs in alpha2B- and beta2-adrenergic receptors and differentially modulates their transport. J Biol Chem 285:20369-20380.

Drake MT, Violin JD, Whalen EJ, Wisler JW, Shenoy SK, and Lefkowitz RJ (2008) beta-arrestin-biased agonism at the beta2-adrenergic receptor. J Biol Chem 283:5669-76.

Dupre, DJ, Baragli, A, Rebois, RV, Ethier, N, and Hebert, TE (2007) Signalling complexes associated with adenylyl cyclase II are assembled during their biosynthesis. Cell Signal 19:481-489.

Dupre, DJ, Robitaille, M, Ethier, N, Villeneuve, LR, Mamarbachi, AM, and Hebert, TE (2006) Seven transmembrane receptor core signaling complexes are assembled prior to plasma membrane trafficking. J Biol Chem 281:34561-34573.

Duthey, B, Caudron, S, Perroy, J, Bettler, B, Fagni, L, Pin, JP, and Prezeau, L (2002) A single subunit (GB2) is required for G-protein activation by the heterodimeric GABA(B) receptor. J Biol Chem 277:3236-3241.

Eason MG, Moreira SP, and Liggett SB (1995) Four consecutive serines in the third intracellular loop are the sites for beta-adrenergic receptor kinase-mediated phosphorylation and desensitization of the alpha 2A-adrenergic receptor. J Biol Chem 270:4681-8.

Exton, JH (1998) Small GTPases minireview series. J Biol Chem 273:19923.

Fan, GH, Lapierre, LA, Goldenring, JR, and Richmond, A (2003) Differential regulation of CXCR2 trafficking by Rab GTPases. Blood 101:2115-2124.

Ferguson, SS (2007) Phosphorylation-independent attenuation of GPCR signalling. Trends Pharmacol Sci 28:173-179.

Ferguson, SS (2001) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev 53:1-24.

Ferguson, SS, and Caron, MG (1998) G protein-coupled receptor adaptation mechanisms. Semin Cell Dev Biol 9:119-127.

Ferguson, SS, Zhang, J, Barak, LS, and Caron, MG (1998) Molecular mechanisms of G protein-coupled receptor desensitization and resensitization. Life Sci 62:1561-1565.

Ferguson, SS, Menard, L, Barak, LS, Koch, WJ, Colapietro, AM, and Caron, MG (1995) Role of phosphorylation in agonist-promoted beta 2-adrenergic receptor sequestration. Rescue of a sequestration-defective mutant receptor by beta ARK1. J Biol Chem 270:24782-24789.

Fernandez, N, Gottardo, FL, Alonso, MN, Monczor, F, Shayo, C, and Davio, C (2011) Roles of phosphorylation-dependent and -independent mechanisms in the regulation of histamine H2 receptor by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J Biol Chem 286:28697-28706.

Filipeanu, CM, Zhou, F, Lam, ML, Kerut, KE, Claycomb, WC, and Wu, G (2006) Enhancement of the recycling and activation of beta-adrenergic receptor by Rab4 GTPase in cardiac myocytes. J Biol Chem 281:11097-11103.

Flannery, PJ, and Spurney, RF (2001) Domains of the parathyroid hormone (PTH) receptor required for regulation by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs). Biochem Pharmacol 62:1047-1058.

Foord, SM, Bonner, TI, Neubig, RR, Rosser, EM, Pin, JP, Davenport, AP, Spedding, M, and Harmar, AJ (2005) International Union of Pharmacology. XLVI. G protein-coupled receptor list. Pharmacol Rev 57:279-288.

Fredriksson, R, Lagerstrom, MC, Lundin, LG, and Schioth, HB (2003) The G-proteincoupled receptors in the human genome form five main families. Phylogenetic analysis, paralogon groups, and fingerprints. Mol Pharmacol 63:1256-1272.

Fukuda M (2008) Regulation of secretory vesicle traffic by Rab small GTPases. Cell Mol Life Sci 65:2801-13.

Gaborik, Z, and Hunyady, L (2004) Intracellular trafficking of hormone receptors. Trends Endocrinol Metab 15:286-293.

Gallant, MA, Slipetz, D, Hamelin, E, Rochdi, MD, Talbot, S, de Brum-Fernandes, AJ, and Parent, JL (2007) Differential regulation of the signaling and trafficking of the two prostaglandin D2 receptors, prostanoid DP receptor and CRTH2. Eur J Pharmacol 557:115-123.

Galvez, T, Duthey, B, Kniazeff, J, Blahos, J, Rovelli, G, Bettler, B, Prezeau, L, and Pin, JP (2001) Allosteric interactions between GB1 and GB2 subunits are required for optimal GABA(B) receptor function. EMBO J 20:2152-2159.

Garcia-Regalado, A, Guzman-Hernandez, ML, Ramirez-Rangel, I, Robles-Molina, E, Balla, T, Vazquez-Prado, J, and Reyes-Cruz, G (2008) G protein-coupled receptorpromoted trafficking of Gbeta1gamma2 leads to AKT activation at endosomes via a mechanism mediated by Gbeta1gamma2-Rab11a interaction. Mol Biol Cell 19:4188-4200.

Gerges, NZ, Backos, DS, and Esteban, JA (2004) Local control of AMPA receptor trafficking at the postsynaptic terminal by a small GTPase of the Rab family. J Biol Chem 279:43870-43878.

Gerges NZ, Backos DS, and Esteban JA (2004) Local control of AMPA receptor trafficking at the postsynaptic terminal by a small GTPase of the Rab family. J Biol Chem 279:43870-8.

Gilman AG (1987) G proteins: transducers of receptor-generated signals. Annu Rev Biochem 56:615-49.

Goodman, OB,Jr, Krupnick, JG, Santini, F, Gurevich, VV, Penn, RB, Gagnon, AW, Keen, JH, and Benovic, JL (1996) Beta-arrestin acts as a clathrin adaptor in endocytosis of the beta2-adrenergic receptor. Nature 383:447-450.

Grimsey, NL, Goodfellow, CE, Dragunow, M, and Glass, M (2011) Cannabinoid receptor 2 undergoes Rab5-mediated internalization and recycles via a Rab11-dependent pathway. Biochim Biophys Acta 1813:1554-1560.

Gruenberg, J (2001) The endocytic pathway: a mosaic of domains. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:721-730.

Gruenberg, J, and Stenmark, H (2004) The biogenesis of multivesicular endosomes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:317-323.

Gudermann T, Kalkbrenner F, and Schultz G (1996) Diversity and selectivity of receptor-G protein interaction. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 36:429-59.

Hague, C, Lee, SE, Chen, Z, Prinster, SC, Hall, RA, and Minneman, KP (2006) Heterodimers of alpha1B- and alpha1D-adrenergic receptors form a single functional entity. Mol Pharmacol 69:45-55.

Hamelin, E, Theriault, C, Laroche, G, and Parent, JL (2005) The intracellular trafficking of the G protein-coupled receptor TPbeta depends on a direct interaction with Rab11. J Biol Chem 280:36195-36205.

Hattula, K, Furuhjelm, J, Tikkanen, J, Tanhuanpaa, K, Laakkonen, P, and Peranen, J (2006) Characterization of the Rab8-specific membrane traffic route linked to protrusion formation. J Cell Sci 119:4866-4877.

Hausdorff, WP, Bouvier, M, O'Dowd, BF, Irons, GP, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1989) Phosphorylation sites on two domains of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor are involved in distinct pathways of receptor desensitization. J Biol Chem 264:12657-12665.

Hinrichsen L, Meyerholz A, Groos S, Ungewickell EJ (2006) Bending a membrane: how clathrin affects budding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8715-20.

Hislop, JN, Henry, AG, and von Zastrow, M (2011) Ubiquitination in the First Cytoplasmic Loop of mu-Opioid Receptors Reveals a Hierarchical Mechanism of Lysosomal Down-regulation. J Biol Chem 286:40193-40204.

Holliday, ND, Holst, B, Rodionova, EA, Schwartz, TW, and Cox, HM (2007) Importance of constitutive activity and arrestin-independent mechanisms for intracellular trafficking of the ghrelin receptor. Mol Endocrinol 21:3100-3112.

Holmes, KD, Babwah, AV, Dale, LB, Poulter, MO, and Ferguson, SS (2006) Differential regulation of corticotropin releasing factor 1alpha receptor endocytosis and trafficking by beta-arrestins and Rab GTPases. J Neurochem 96:934-949.

Hoshino, T, Namba, T, Takehara, M, Nakaya, T, Sugimoto, Y, Araki, W, Narumiya, S, Suzuki, T, and Mizushima, T (2009) Prostaglandin E2 stimulates the production of amyloid-beta peptides through internalization of the EP4 receptor. J Biol Chem 284:18493-18502.

Hunyady, L, Baukal, AJ, Gaborik, Z, Olivares-Reyes, JA, Bor, M, Szaszak, M, Lodge, R, Catt, KJ, and Balla, T (2002) Differential PI 3-kinase dependence of early and late phases of recycling of the internalized AT1 angiotensin receptor. J Cell Biol 157:1211-1222.

Hutagalung, AH, and Novick, PJ (2011) Role of Rab GTPases in membrane traffic and cell physiology. Physiol Rev 91:119-149.

Iwata, K, Ito, K, Fukuzaki, A, Inaki, K, and Haga, T (1999) Dynamin and rab5 regulate GRK2-dependent internalization of dopamine D2 receptors. Eur J Biochem 263:596-602.

Jalink K, and Moolenaar WH (2010) G protein-coupled receptors: the inside story. Bioessays 32:13-6.

Jean-Alphonse F, and Hanyaloglu AC (2011) Regulation of GPCR signal networks via membrane trafficking. Mol Cell Endocrinol 331:205-14.

Jones, BW, and Hinkle, PM (2009) Subcellular trafficking of the TRH receptor: effect of phosphorylation. Mol Endocrinol 23:1466-1478.

Katzmann DJ, Odorizzi G, and Emr SD (2002) Receptor downregulation and multivesicular-body sorting. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3:893-905.

Katzmann DJ, Babst M, and Emr SD (2001) Ubiquitin-dependent sorting into the multivesicular body pathway requires the function of a conserved endosomal protein sorting complex, ESCRT-I. Cell 106:145-55.

Kanumilli, S, Toms, NJ, Venkateswarlu, K, Mellor, H, and Roberts, PJ (2002) Functional coupling of rat metabotropic glutamate 1a receptors to phospholipase D in CHO cells: involvement of extracellular Ca2+, protein kinase C, tyrosine kinase and Rho-A. Neuropharmacology 42:1-8.

Kenakin T (2011) Functional selectivity and biased receptor signaling. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 336:296-302.

Kenakin T (2002) Efficacy at G-protein-coupled receptors. Nat Rev Drug Discov 1:103-10.

Kim, CH, Lee, J, Lee, JY, and Roche, KW (2008) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: phosphorylation and receptor signaling. J Neurosci Res 86:1-10.

Kirchhausen T, and Harrison SC (1981) Protein organization in clathrin trimers. Cell 23:755-61.

Kniazeff, J, Bessis, AS, Maurel, D, Ansanay, H, Prezeau, L, and Pin, JP (2004) Closed state of both binding domains of homodimeric mGlu receptors is required for full activity. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11:706-713.

Knödler A, Feng S, Zhang J, Zhang X, Das A, Peränen J, and Guo W (2010) Coordination of Rab8 and Rab11 in primary ciliogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:6346-51.

Koch, T, Brandenburg, LO, Schulz, S, Liang, Y, Klein, J, and Hollt, V (2003) ADPribosylation factor-dependent phospholipase D2 activation is required for agonist-induced mu-opioid receptor endocytosis. J Biol Chem 278:9979-9985.

Kodama, H, Fukuda, K, Takahashi, E, Tahara, S, Tomita, Y, Ieda, M, Kimura, K, Owada, KM, Vuori, K, and Ogawa, S (2003) Selective involvement of p130Cas/Crk/Pyk2/c-Src in endothelin-1-induced JNK activation. Hypertension 41:1372-1379.

Kohout, TA, and Lefkowitz, RJ (2003) Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and arrestins during receptor desensitization. Mol Pharmacol 63:9-18.

Kolakowski, LF,Jr (1994) GCRDb: a G-protein-coupled receptor database. Receptors Channels 2:1-7.

Krilov, L, Nguyen, A, Miyazaki, T, Unson, CG, and Bouscarel, B (2008) Glucagon receptor recycling: role of carboxyl terminus, beta-arrestins, and cytoskeleton. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 295:C1230-7.

Krupnick, JG, and Benovic, JL (1998) The role of receptor kinases and arrestins in G protein-coupled receptor regulation. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 38:289-319.

Kumar, A, Kremer, KN, Dominguez, D, Tadi, M, and Hedin, KE (2011) Galpha13 and Rho mediate endosomal trafficking of CXCR4 into Rab11+ vesicles upon stromal cell-derived factor-1 stimulation. J Immunol 186:951-958.

Kunapuli, P, and Benovic, JL (1993) Cloning and expression of GRK5: a member of the G protein-coupled receptor kinase family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:5588-5592.

Lachance, V, Cartier, A, Genier, S, Munger, S, Germain, P, Labrecque, P, and Parent, JL (2011) Regulation of beta2-Adrenergic Receptor Maturation and Anterograde Trafficking by an Interaction with Rab Geranylgeranyltransferase: MODULATION OF Rab GERANYLGERANYLATION BY THE RECEPTOR. J Biol Chem 286:40802-40813.

Lecat, S, Ouedraogo, M, Cherrier, T, Noulet, F, Ronde, P, Glasser, N, Galzi, JL, Mely, Y, Takeda, K, and Bucher, B (2011) Contribution of a tyrosine-based motif to cellular trafficking of wild-type and truncated NPY Y(1) receptors. Cell Signal 23:228-238.

Lee, DK, Ferguson, SS, George, SR, and O'Dowd, BF (2010) The fate of the internalized apelin receptor is determined by different isoforms of apelin mediating differential interaction with beta-arrestin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 395:185-189.

Lefkowitz RJ (1998) G protein-coupled receptors. III. New roles for receptor kinases and beta-arrestins in receptor signaling and desensitization. J Biol Chem 273:18677-80.

Lefkowitz, RJ (1993) G protein-coupled receptor kinases. Cell 74:409-412.

Lembo, PM, Ghahremani, MH, and Albert, PR (1999) Receptor selectivity of the cloned opossum G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2) in intact opossum kidney cells: role in desensitization of endogenous alpha2C-adrenergic but not serotonin 1B receptors. Mol Endocrinol 13:138-147.

Liang, YJ, Wu, DF, Stumm, R, Hollt, V, and Koch, T (2008) Membrane glycoprotein M6A promotes mu-opioid receptor endocytosis and facilitates receptor sorting into the recycling pathway. Cell Res 18:768-779.

Lin FT, Daaka Y, and Lefkowitz RJ (1998) beta-arrestins regulate mitogenic signaling and clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor. J Biol Chem. 273:31640-3.

Lohse, MJ, Andexinger, S, Pitcher, J, Trukawinski, S, Codina, J, Faure, JP, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1992) Receptor-specific desensitization with purified proteins. Kinase dependence and receptor specificity of beta-arrestin and arrestin in the beta 2-adrenergic receptor and rhodopsin systems. J Biol Chem 267:8558-8564.

Lohse, MJ, Benovic, JL, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1990a) Multiple pathways of rapid beta 2-adrenergic receptor desensitization. Delineation with specific inhibitors. J Biol Chem 265:3202-3211.

Lohse, MJ, Benovic, JL, Codina, J, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1990b) beta-Arrestin: a protein that regulates beta-adrenergic receptor function. Science 248:1547-1550.

Lundquist, EA (2006) Small GTPases. WormBook 1-18.

Luttrell LM (2002) Activation and targeting of mitogen-activated protein kinases by G-protein-coupled receptors. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 80:375-82.

Luttrell LM, Roudabush FL, Choy EW, Miller WE, Field ME, Pierce KL, and Lefkowitz RJ (2001) Activation and targeting of extracellular signal-regulated kinases by betaarrestin scaffolds. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:2449-54.

Luttrell, LM, Ferguson, SS, Daaka, Y, Miller, WE, Maudsley, S, Della Rocca, GJ, Lin, F, Kawakatsu, H, Owada, K, Luttrell, DK, Caron, MG, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1999) Betaarrestin-dependent formation of beta2 adrenergic receptor-Src protein kinase complexes. Science 283:655-661. Luzio, JP, Pryor, PR, and Bright, NA (2007) Lysosomes: fusion and function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:622-632.

Mace, G, Miaczynska, M, Zerial, M, and Nebreda, AR (2005) Phosphorylation of EEA1 by p38 MAP kinase regulates mu opioid receptor endocytosis. EMBO J 24:3235-3246.

Magalhaes, AC, Dunn, H, and Ferguson, SS (2011) Regulation of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Activity, Trafficking and Localization by Gpcr-Interacting Proteins. Br J Pharmacol Epub ahead of print.

Mao, LM, Zhang, GC, Liu, XY, Fibuch, EE, and Wang, JQ (2008) Group I metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated gene expression in striatal neurons. Neurochem Res 33:1920-1924.

Marchese, A, Paing, MM, Temple, BR, and Trejo, J (2008) G protein-coupled receptor sorting to endosomes and lysosomes. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 48:601-629.

Margeta-Mitrovic, M, Jan, YN, and Jan, LY (2000) A trafficking checkpoint controls GABA(B) receptor heterodimerization. Neuron 27:97-106.

Marzesco AM, and Zahraoui A (2005) Assay of Rab13 in regulating epithelial tight junction assembly. Methods Enzymol 403:182-93.

Maxfield, FR, and McGraw, TE (2004) Endocytic recycling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:121-132.

Mayor, S, Presley, JF, and Maxfield, FR (1993) Sorting of membrane components from endosomes and subsequent recycling to the cell surface occurs by a bulk flow process. J Cell Biol 121:1257-1269.

McLaughlin, NJ, Banerjee, A, Khan, SY, Lieber, JL, Kelher, MR, Gamboni-Robertson, F, Sheppard, FR, Moore, EE, Mierau, GW, Elzi, DJ, and Silliman, CC (2008) Plateletactivating factor-mediated endosome formation causes membrane translocation of p67phox and p40phox that requires recruitment and activation of p38 MAPK, Rab5a, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in human neutrophils. J Immunol 180:8192-8203.

McMahon HT, and Boucrot E (2011) Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12:517-33.

Millman, EE, Zhang, H, Zhang, H, Godines, V, Bean, AJ, Knoll, BJ, and Moore, RH (2008) Rapid recycling of beta-adrenergic receptors is dependent on the actin cytoskeleton and myosin Vb. Traffic 9:1958-1971.

Moritz, OL, Tam, BM, Hurd, LL, Peranen, J, Deretic, D, and Papermaster, DS (2001) Mutant rab8 Impairs docking and fusion of rhodopsin-bearing post-Golgi membranes and causes cell death of transgenic Xenopus rods. Mol Biol Cell 12:2341-2351.

Mundell, SJ, Matharu, AL, Kelly, E, and Benovic, JL (2000) Arrestin isoforms dictate differential kinetics of A2B adenosine receptor trafficking. Biochemistry 39:12828-12836.

Mundell, SJ, Matharu, AL, Pula, G, Roberts, PJ, and Kelly, E (2001) Agonist-induced internalization of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a is arrestin- and dynamin-dependent. J Neurochem 78:546-551.

Mundell, SJ, Pula, G, Carswell, K, Roberts, PJ, and Kelly, E (2003) Agonist-induced internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A: structural determinants for protein kinase C- and G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated internalization. J Neurochem 84:294-304.

Mundell, SJ, Pula, G, McIlhinney, RA, Roberts, PJ, and Kelly, E (2004) Desensitization and internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a following activation of heterologous Gq/11-coupled receptors. Biochemistry 43:7541-7551.

Neel, NF, Lapierre, LA, Goldenring, JR, and Richmond, A (2007) RhoB plays an essential role in CXCR2 sorting decisions. J Cell Sci 120:1559-1571.

Neer EJ (1995) Heterotrimeric G proteins: organizers of transmembrane signals. Cell. 80:249-57.

Ng, EL, and Tang, BL (2008) Rab GTPases and their roles in brain neurons and glia. Brain Res Rev 58:236-246.

Nicodemo, AA, Pampillo, M, Ferreira, LT, Dale, LB, Cregan, T, Ribeiro, FM, and Ferguson, SS (2010) Pyk2 uncouples metabotropic glutamate receptor G protein signaling but facilitates ERK1/2 activation. Mol Brain 3:4.

Niswender, CM, and Conn, PJ (2010) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 50:295-322.

Noma T, Lemaire A, Naga Prasad SV, Barki-Harrington L, Tilley DG, Chen J, Le Corvoisier P, Violin JD, Wei H, Lefkowitz RJ, and Rockman HA (2007) Beta-arrestinmediated beta1-adrenergic receptor transactivation of the EGFR confers cardioprotection. J Clin Invest 117:2445-58.

Oakley, RH, Laporte, SA, Holt, JA, Caron, MG, and Barak, LS (2000) Differential affinities of visual arrestin, beta arrestin1, and beta arrestin2 for G protein-coupled receptors delineate two major classes of receptors. J Biol Chem 275:17201-17210.

Odley, A, Hahn, HS, Lynch, RA, Marreez, Y, Osinska, H, Robbins, J, and Dorn, GW,2nd (2004) Regulation of cardiac contractility by Rab4-modulated beta2-adrenergic receptor recycling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:7082-7087.

O'Keeffe, MB, Reid, HM, and Kinsella, BT (2008) Agonist-dependent internalization and trafficking of the human prostacyclin receptor: a direct role for Rab5a GTPase. Biochim Biophys Acta 1783:1914-1928.

Paasche, JD, Attramadal, T, Kristiansen, K, Oksvold, MP, Johansen, HK, Huitfeldt, HS, Dahl, SG, and Attramadal, H (2005) Subtype-specific sorting of the ETA endothelin receptor by a novel endocytic recycling signal for G protein-coupled receptors. Mol Pharmacol 67:1581-1590.

Paasche, JD, Attramadal, T, Sandberg, C, Johansen, HK, and Attramadal, H (2001) Mechanisms of endothelin receptor subtype-specific targeting to distinct intracellular trafficking pathways. J Biol Chem 276:34041-34050.

Palczewski K, Kumasaka T, Hori T, Behnke CA, Motoshima H, Fox BA, Le Trong I, Teller DC, Okada T, Stenkamp RE, Yamamoto M, and Miyano M (2000) Crystal structure of rhodopsin: A G protein-coupled receptor. Science 289:739-45.

Palczewski, K, McDowell, JH, Jakes, S, Ingebritsen, TS, and Hargrave, PA (1989) Regulation of rhodopsin dephosphorylation by arrestin. J Biol Chem 264:15770-15773.

Parent, A, Hamelin, E, Germain, P, and Parent, JL (2009) Rab11 regulates the recycling of the beta2-adrenergic receptor through a direct interaction. Biochem J 418:163-172.

Parhamifar, L, Sime, W, Yudina, Y, Vilhardt, F, Morgelin, M, and Sjolander, A (2010) Ligand-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of cysteineyl leukotriene receptor 1 triggers internalization and signaling in intestinal epithelial cells. PLoS One 5:e14439.

Pellinen T, Arjonen A, Vuoriluoto K, Kallio K, Fransen JA, Ivaska J (2006) Small GTPase Rab21 regulates cell adhesion and controls endosomal traffic of beta1-integrins. J Cell Biol 173:767-80.

Pfeffer, S, and Aivazian, D (2004) Targeting Rab GTPases to distinct membrane compartments. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:886-896.

Pierce, KL, Premont, RT, and Lefkowitz, RJ (2002) Seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3:639-650.

Pin, JP, Kniazeff, J, Binet, V, Liu, J, Maurel, D, Galvez, T, Duthey, B, Havlickova, M, Blahos, J, Prezeau, L, and Rondard, P (2004) Activation mechanism of the heterodimeric GABA(B) receptor. Biochem Pharmacol 68:1565-1572.

Pippig, S, Andexinger, S, Daniel, K, Puzicha, M, Caron, MG, Lefkowitz, RJ, and Lohse, MJ (1993) Overexpression of beta-arrestin and beta-adrenergic receptor kinase augment desensitization of beta 2-adrenergic receptors. J Biol Chem 268:3201-3208.

Pitcher, JA, Freedman, NJ, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1998) G protein-coupled receptor kinases. Annu Rev Biochem 67:653-692.

Praefcke GJ, and McMahon HT (2004) The dynamin superfamily: universal membrane tubulation and fission molecules? Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:133-47.

Premont, RT, Koch, WJ, Inglese, J, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1994) Identification, purification, and characterization of GRK5, a member of the family of G protein-coupled receptor kinases. J Biol Chem 269:6832-6841.

Premont, RT, Macrae, AD, Stoffel, RH, Chung, N, Pitcher, JA, Ambrose, C, Inglese, J, MacDonald, ME, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1996) Characterization of the G protein-coupled receptor kinase GRK4. Identification of four splice variants. J Biol Chem 271:6403-6410.

Purvanov V, Koval A, Katanaev VL (2010) A direct and functional interaction between Go and Rab5 during G protein-coupled receptor signaling. Sci Signal 3:ra65.

Rajagopal S, Rajagopal K, Lefkowitz RJ (2010) Teaching old receptors new tricks: biasing seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat Rev Drug Discov 9:373-86.

Rang HP (2006) The receptor concept: pharmacology's big idea. Br J Pharmacol 147:S9-16.

Rasmussen, SG, DeVree, BT, Zou, Y, Kruse, AC, Chung, KY, Kobilka, TS, Thian, FS, Chae, PS, Pardon, E, Calinski, D, et al (2011) Crystal structure of the beta2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein complex. Nature 477:549-555.

Ray, K, and Hauschild, BC (2000) Cys-140 is critical for metabotropic glutamate receptor-1 dimerization. J Biol Chem 275:34245-34251.

Reid, HM, Mulvaney, EP, Turner, EC, and Kinsella, BT (2010) Interaction of the human prostacyclin receptor with Rab11: characterization of a novel Rab11 binding domain within alpha-helix 8 that is regulated by palmitoylation. J Biol Chem 285:18709-18726.

Reiter, E, Marion, S, Robert, F, Troispoux, C, Boulay, F, Guillou, F, and Crepieux, P (2001) Kinase-inactive G-protein-coupled receptor kinases are able to attenuate follicle-stimulating hormone-induced signaling. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 282:71-78.

Revankar, CM, Cimino, DF, Sklar, LA, Arterburn, JB, and Prossnitz, ER (2005) A transmembrane intracellular estrogen receptor mediates rapid cell signaling. Science 307:1625-1630.

Reyes-Ibarra, AP, Garcia-Regalado, A, Ramirez-Rangel, I, Esparza-Silva, AL, Valadez-Sanchez, M, Vazquez-Prado, J, and Reyes-Cruz, G (2007) Calcium-sensing receptor endocytosis links extracellular calcium signaling to parathyroid hormone-related peptide secretion via a Rab11a-dependent and AMSH-sensitive mechanism. Mol Endocrinol 21:1394-1407.

Ribeiro, FM, Paquet, M, Cregan, SP, and Ferguson, SS (2010) Group I metabotropic glutamate receptor signalling and its implication in neurological disease. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets 9:574-595.

Robben, JH, Kortenoeven, ML, Sze, M, Yae, C, Milligan, G, Oorschot, VM, Klumperman, J, Knoers, NV, and Deen, PM (2009) Intracellular activation of vasopressin V2 receptor mutants in nephrogenic diabetes insipidus by nonpeptide agonists. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:12195-12200.

Robbins, MJ, Ciruela, F, Rhodes, A, and McIlhinney, RA (1999) Characterization of the dimerization of metabotropic glutamate receptors using an N-terminal truncation of mGluR1alpha. J Neurochem 72:2539-2547.

Roche, KW, Tu, JC, Petralia, RS, Xiao, B, Wenthold, RJ, and Worley, PF (1999) Homer 1b regulates the trafficking of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. J Biol Chem 274:25953-25957.

Roland, JT, Kenworthy, AK, Peranen, J, Caplan, S, and Goldenring, JR (2007) Myosin Vb interacts with Rab8a on a tubular network containing EHD1 and EHD3. Mol Biol Cell 18:2828-2837.

Romero, G, von Zastrow, M, and Friedman, PA (2011) Role of PDZ proteins in regulating trafficking, signaling, and function of GPCRs: means, motif, and opportunity. Adv Pharmacol 62:279-314.

Roosterman, D, Cottrell, GS, Schmidlin, F, Steinhoff, M, and Bunnett, NW (2004) Recycling and resensitization of the neurokinin 1 receptor. Influence of agonist concentration and Rab GTPases. J Biol Chem 279:30670-30679.

Roosterman, D, Kreuzer, OJ, Brune, N, Cottrell, GS, Bunnett, NW, Meyerhof, W, and Steinhoff, M (2007) Agonist-induced endocytosis of rat somatostatin receptor 1. Endocrinology 148:1050-1058.

Ross, EM (2008) Coordinating speed and amplitude in G-protein signaling. Curr Biol 18:R777-R783.

Rossman, KL, Der, CJ, and Sondek, J (2005) GEF means go: turning on RHO GTPases with guanine nucleotide-exchange factors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:167-180.

Roy, S, Roy, SJ, Pinard, S, Taillefer, LD, Rached, M, Parent, JL, and Gallo-Payet, N (2011) Mechanisms of melanocortin-2 receptor (MC2R) internalization and recycling in human embryonic kidney (hek) cells: identification of Key Ser/Thr (S/T) amino acids. Mol Endocrinol 25:1961-1977.

Sah, VP, Seasholtz, TM, Sagi, SA, and Brown, JH (2000) The role of Rho in G proteincoupled receptor signal transduction. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 40:459-489. Sallese, M, Lombardi, MS, and De Blasi, A (1994) Two isoforms of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 4 identified by molecular cloning. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 199:848-854.

Schoepp, DD, and Johnson, BG (1988) Selective inhibition of excitatory amino acidstimulated phosphoinositide hydrolysis in the rat hippocampus by activation of protein kinase C. Biochem Pharmacol 37:4299-4305.

Seachrist, JL, Anborgh, PH, and Ferguson, SS (2000) beta 2-adrenergic receptor internalization, endosomal sorting, and plasma membrane recycling are regulated by rab GTPases. J Biol Chem 275:27221-27228.

Seachrist, JL, and Ferguson, SS (2003) Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis and trafficking by Rab GTPases. Life Sci 74:225-235.

Seachrist, JL, Laporte, SA, Dale, LB, Babwah, AV, Caron, MG, Anborgh, PH, and Ferguson, SS (2002) Rab5 association with the angiotensin II type 1A receptor promotes Rab5 GTP binding and vesicular fusion. J Biol Chem 277:679-685.

Shaw, G (1996) The pleckstrin homology domain: an intriguing multifunctional protein module. Bioessays 18:35-46.

Shibasaki, T, Moroi, K, Nishiyama, M, Zhou, J, Sakamoto, A, Masaki, T, Ito, K, Haga, T, and Kimura, S (1999) Characterization of the carboxyl terminal-truncated endothelin B receptor coexpressed with G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. Biochem Mol Biol Int 47:569-577.

Shichi, H, and Somers, RL (1978) Light-dependent phosphorylation of rhodopsin. Purification and properties of rhodopsin kinase. J Biol Chem 253:7040-7046.

Siderovski DP, and Willard FS (2005) The GAPs, GEFs, and GDIs of heterotrimeric G-protein alpha subunits. Int J Biol Sci 1:51-66.

Slessareva, JE, and Dohlman, HG (2006) G protein signaling in yeast: new components, new connections, new compartments. Science 314:1412-1413.

Slessareva, JE, Routt, SM, Temple, B, Bankaitis, VA, and Dohlman, HG (2006) Activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase Vps34 by a G protein alpha subunit at the endosome. Cell 126:191-203.

Sharman JL, Mpamhanga CP, Spedding M, Germain P, Staels B, Dacquet C, Laudet V, Harmar AJ, and NC-IUPHAR (2011) IUPHAR-DB: new receptors and tools for easy searching and visualization of pharmacological data. Nucl Acids Res 39 (Database Issue): D534-D538.

Smith RD, Baukal AJ, Zolyomi A, Gaborik Z, Hunyady L, Sun L, Zhang M, Chen HC, and Catt KJ (1998) Agonist-induced phosphorylation of the endogenous AT1 angiotensin receptor in bovine adrenal glomerulosa cells. Mol Endocrinol 12:634-44.

Stenmark, H (2009) Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10:513-525.

Stenmark, H, Parton, RG, Steele-Mortimer, O, Lutcke, A, Gruenberg, J, and Zerial, M (1994) Inhibition of rab5 GTPase activity stimulates membrane fusion in endocytosis. EMBO J 13:1287-1296.

Stoffel, RH,3rd, Pitcher, JA, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1997) Targeting G protein-coupled receptor kinases to their receptor substrates. J Membr Biol 157:1-8.

Stoffel, RH, Inglese, J, Macrae, AD, Lefkowitz, RJ, and Premont, RT (1998) Palmitoylation increases the kinase activity of the G protein-coupled receptor kinase, GRK6. Biochemistry 37:16053-16059.

Stoffel, RH, Randall, RR, Premont, RT, Lefkowitz, RJ, and Inglese, J (1994) Palmitoylation of G protein-coupled receptor kinase, GRK6. Lipid modification diversity in the GRK family. J Biol Chem 269:27791-27794.

Strom M, Hume AN, Tarafder AK, Barkagianni E, and Seabra MC (2002) A family of Rab27-binding proteins. Melanophilin links Rab27a and myosin Va function in melanosome transport. J Biol Chem 277:25423-30.

Suzuki N, Hajicek N, and Kozasa T (2009) Regulation and physiological functions of G12/13-mediated signaling pathways. Neurosignals 17:55-70.

Tadokoro, S, Tachibana, T, Imanaka, T, Nishida, W, and Sobue, K (1999) Involvement of unique leucine-zipper motif of PSD-Zip45 (Homer 1c/vesl-1L) in group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptor clustering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:13801-13806.

Takai, Y, Sasaki, T, and Matozaki, T (2001) Small GTP-binding proteins. Physiol Rev 81:153-208.

Tanowitz, M, and von Zastrow, M (2003) A novel endocytic recycling signal that distinguishes the membrane trafficking of naturally occurring opioid receptors. J Biol Chem 278:45978-45986.

Tanowitz, M, and Von Zastrow, M (2002) Ubiquitination-independent trafficking of G protein-coupled receptors to lysosomes. J Biol Chem 277:50219-50222.

Taylor SS, Bubis J, Toner-Webb J, Saraswat LD, First EA, Buechler JA, Knighton DR, and Sowadski J (1988) CAMP-dependent protein kinase: prototype for a family of enzymes. FASEB J 2:2677-85.

Tebben, AJ, and Schnur, DM (2011) Beyond rhodopsin: G protein-coupled receptor structure and modeling incorporating the beta2-adrenergic and adenosine A(2A) crystal structures. Methods Mol Biol 672:359-386.

Theriault, C, Rochdi, MD, and Parent, JL (2004) Role of the Rab11-associated intracellular pool of receptors formed by constitutive endocytosis of the beta isoform of the thromboxane A2 receptor (TP beta). Biochemistry 43:5600-5607.

Tower-Gilchrist, C, Lee, E, and Sztul, E (2011) Endosomal trafficking of the G proteincoupled receptor somatostatin receptor 3. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 413:555-560.

Tschammer N, Bollinger S, Kenakin T, Gmeiner P (2011) Histidine 6.55 is a major determinant of ligand-biased signaling in dopamine D2L receptor. Mol Pharmacol 79:575-85.

Tsao P, and von Zastrow M (2000) Downregulation of G protein-coupled receptors. Curr Opin Neurobiol 10:365-9.

Ungewickell EJ, and Hinrichsen L (2007) Endocytosis: clathrin-mediated membrane budding. Curr Opin Cell Biol 19:417-25.

Urban, JD, Clarke, WP, von Zastrow, M, Nichols, DE, Kobilka, B, Weinstein, H, Javitch, JA, Roth, BL, Christopoulos, A, Sexton, PM, et al (2007) Functional selectivity and classical concepts of quantitative pharmacology. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 320:1-13.

van Biesen, T, Hawes, BE, Luttrell, DK, Krueger, KM, Touhara, K, Porfiri, E, Sakaue, M, Luttrell, LM, and Lefkowitz, RJ (1995) Receptor-tyrosine-kinase- and G beta gammamediated MAP kinase activation by a common signalling pathway. Nature 376:781-784.

Vandenbulcke, F, Nouel, D, Vincent, JP, Mazella, J, and Beaudet, A (2000) Ligandinduced internalization of neurotensin in transfected COS-7 cells: differential intracellular trafficking of ligand and receptor. J Cell Sci 113 (Pt 17):2963-2975.

Volpicelli, LA, Lah, JJ, Fang, G, Goldenring, JR, and Levey, AI (2002) Rab11a and myosin Vb regulate recycling of the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. J Neurosci 22:9776-9784.

Volpicelli, LA, Lah, JJ, and Levey, AI (2001) Rab5-dependent trafficking of the m4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor to the plasma membrane, early endosomes, and multivesicular bodies. J Biol Chem 276:47590-47598.

Wang G, and Wu G (2012) Small GTPase regulation of GPCR anterograde trafficking. Trends Pharmacol Sci 33:28-34.

Wang, F, Chen, X, Zhang, X, and Ma, L (2008) Phosphorylation state of mu-opioid receptor determines the alternative recycling of receptor via Rab4 or Rab11 pathway. Mol Endocrinol 22:1881-1892.

Wang, P, and DeFea, KA (2006) Protease-activated receptor-2 simultaneously directs beta-arrestin-1-dependent inhibition and Galphaq-dependent activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. Biochemistry 45:9374-9385.

Wang, Y, Lauffer, B, Von Zastrow, M, Kobilka, BK, and Xiang, Y (2007) Nethylmaleimide-sensitive factor regulates beta2 adrenoceptor trafficking and signaling in cardiomyocytes. Mol Pharmacol 72:429-439.

Weiss, ER, Raman, D, Shirakawa, S, Ducceschi, MH, Bertram, PT, Wong, F, Kraft, TW, and Osawa, S (1998) The cloning of GRK7, a candidate cone opsin kinase, from coneand rod-dominant mammalian retinas. Mol Vis 4:27.

Wettschureck, N, and Offermanns, S (2005) Mammalian G proteins and their cell type specific functions. Physiol Rev 85:1159-1204.

Wikstrom, K, Reid, HM, Hill, M, English, KA, O'Keeffe, MB, Kimbembe, CC, and Kinsella, BT (2008) Recycling of the human prostacyclin receptor is regulated through a direct interaction with Rab11a GTPase. Cell Signal 20:2332-2346.

Willets, JM, Nash, MS, Challiss, RA, and Nahorski, SR (2004) Imaging of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor signaling in hippocampal neurons: evidence for phosphorylation-dependent and -independent regulation by G-protein-coupled receptor kinases. J Neurosci 24:4157-4162.

Wolfe BL, and Trejo J (2007) Clathrin-dependent mechanisms of G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis. Traffic 8:462-70.

Xia, Z, Gray, JA, Compton-Toth, BA, and Roth, BL (2003) A direct interaction of PSD-95 with 5-HT2A serotonin receptors regulates receptor trafficking and signal transduction. J Biol Chem 278:21901-21908.

Xiao, K, and Shenoy, SK (2011) Beta2-adrenergic receptor lysosomal trafficking is regulated by ubiquitination of lysyl residues in two distinct receptor domains. J Biol Chem 286:12785-12795.

Yudowski, GA, Puthenveedu, MA, Henry, AG, and von Zastrow, M (2009) Cargomediated regulation of a rapid Rab4-dependent recycling pathway. Mol Biol Cell 20:2774-2784.

Zerial, M, and McBride, H (2001) Rab proteins as membrane organizers. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:107-117.

Zhang, J, Ferguson, SS, Barak, LS, Menard, L, and Caron, MG (1996) Dynamin and beta-arrestin reveal distinct mechanisms for G protein-coupled receptor internalization. J Biol Chem 271:18302-18305.

Zheng H, Chu J, Zhang Y, Loh HH, Law PY (2011) Modulating micro-opioid receptor phosphorylation switches agonist-dependent signaling as reflected in PKCepsilon activation and dendritic spine stability. J Biol Chem 286:12724-33.

Zhong, P, Liu, W, Gu, Z, and Yan, Z (2008) Serotonin facilitates long-term depression induction in prefrontal cortex via p38 MAPK/Rab5-mediated enhancement of AMPA receptor internalization. J Physiol 586:4465-4479

CHAPTER 2.

RAB GTPASES BIND AT A COMMON SITE WITHIN THE ANGIOTENSIN II TYPE I RECEPTOR CARBOXYL-TERMINAL TAIL: EVIDENCE THAT RAB4 REGULATES RECEPTOR PHOSPHORYLATION, DESENSITIZATION AND RESENSITIZATION¹

¹ A version of this chapter has been published: Esseltine JL, Dale LB and Ferguson SS (2011) Rab GTPases bind at a common site within the angiotensin II type I receptor carboxyl-terminal tail: Evidence that Rab4 regulates receptor phosphorylation, desensitization and resensitization. Mol Pharm 79:175-84.

1.1. INTRODUCTION

The angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT_1R) is a member of the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily, the largest family of integral membrane receptors and represents an important pharmacological target for drug therapy in hypertension (Hoffman and Lefkowitz, 1996). The AT₁R is coupled through $G\alpha_{a/11}$ to the activation of phospholipase C β resulting in the formation of diacylglycerol and inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate leading to the release of intracellular calcium stores and the activation of PKC. Agonist activation of the AT_1R also results in the attenuation of receptor signalling as the consequence of receptor phosphorylation by GRKs and PKC. Agonist activation and GRK-mediated phosphorylation of the AT₁R facilitates the recruitment of the cytosolic adaptor protein, β -arrestin, which functions to sterically uncouple the AT₁R from the heterotrimeric G protein and targets the AT_1R for clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Benovic et al., 1987; Freedman et al., 1995; Ferguson et al., 1995; Ferguson et al., 1996; Opperman et al., 1996; Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Ferguson, 2001; Ferguson, 2007). Once internalized, GPCRs may be either sequestered in early endosomes, dephosphorylated and recycled back to the plasma membrane or targeted to lysosomes for degradation (Ferguson, 2001; Gáborik and Hunyady, 2004; Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003). In the case of the AT_1R , the receptor is internalized as a complex with β -arrestin and is retained in the early endosomal compartment and is not readily dephosphorylated (Anborgh et al., 2000).

The Rab subfamily of small Ras-like GTPases regulate the intracellular trafficking of proteins between intracellular compartments through their ability to regulate vesicular targeting, docking and fusion (Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003; Gáborik

79

and Hunyady, 2004). Rab protein function is in turn tightly regulated at the level of protein expression, localization, membrane association, and activation. Different Rab isoforms regulate different aspects of intracellular trafficking such as internalization (Rab5), recycling (Rab4 and Rab11) and degradation (Rab7) and different GPCRs are known to preferentially traffic through certain Rab pathways (Seachrist et al., 2000; Hunyady et al., 2002; Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004; Hamelin et al., 2005; Holmes et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008; Parent et al., 2009). For example, Rab5a has been shown to interact with the AT_{1A}R carboxyl-terminal tail and retain the receptor in Rab5a-positive early endosomes. Nevertheless, overexpression of either Rab7 or constitutively active Rab11 can redistribute AT₁R into either Rab7-positive late endosomes or Rab11-positive recycling endosomes, respectively (Seachrist et al., 2000; Dale et al., 2004). Additionally, although AT_1R is not readily dephosphorylated and efficiently recycled, there is evidence to suggest that the receptor can be recycled via both slow (Rab11-mediated) and rapid (Rab4-mediated) pathways (Hunyady et al., 2002; Li et al., 2008). Rab binding to a GPCR is not unique to the AT₁R, as Rab11 has been shown to bind to the β_2 -adrenergic receptor ($\beta_2 AR$), thromboxane A2 receptor and prostacyclin receptor (Seachrist et al., 2002; Hamelin et al., 2005; Parent et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2010). Emerging evidence suggests that Rab interactions with these GPCRs are also critical for regulating both the trafficking and activity of these receptors. For example, previous studies with the β_2 AR have shown that the transit of the receptor from the Rab5positive early endosome to the Rab4-positive recycling endosome is required for the dephosphorylation of the receptor (Seachrist et al., 2000).

In the present study, we have investigated whether other Rab GTPases (Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11) can interact with AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail and compete with Rab5 for binding. We report here that Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 each compete for an overlapping site in the last 10 amino acid residues of the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail and that proline residue 354 and Cysteine residue 355 represent important amino acid residues involved in Rab protein binding. Moreover, we find that overexpression of either wild-type or constitutively active Rab4, but not Rab11, promotes AT₁R dephosphorylation. The overexpression of a constitutively active Rab4 mutant also results in reduced AT₁R desensitization and promotes AT₁R resensitization. Taken together, our data indicate that multiple Rab GTPases are able to associate with their cargo and that the activity of the AT₁R may be regulated by the interaction of different Rab GTPases at the carboxyl-terminal Rab binding site.

2.2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.2.1. Materials

myo-(³H)Inositol and (³²P)orthophosphate were acquired from PerkinElmer Life Sciences (Waltham, MA). Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) resin 200–400 mesh was purchased from BioRad (Mississauga, ON). Rabbit anti-GST, -Rab4 (sc-26562), -Rab5a (sc-312) and - Rab11 (sc-309) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech (Santa Cruz, CA) and goat anti-GST as well as ECL Western blotting detection reagents were purchased from GE Healthcare (Oakville, Ontario, Canada). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-goat IgG secondary antibody was from BioRad (Mississauga, ON). QuikChangeTM site-directed mutagenesis kit was from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). Rabbit anti-FLAG antibody, M2 anti-FLAG agarose and all other biochemical reagents were

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

2.2.2. DNA Construction

An AT₁R mutants lacking the distal 10 amino acids (AT₁R-C1) was generated using the QuikChangeTM Site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) to introduce a stop codon after residue 319 in the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail. Subsequently, primers were designed for mutagenesis such that amino acid residues within the last 10 amino acid residues of the AT₁R tail were mutated in pairs to alanine residues using the QuikChange Site-directed mutagenesis kit.

2.2.3. Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) and 50 μ g/ml gentamicin. Cells seeded in 100 mm dishes were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method as described previously (Ferguson and Caron, 2004). Following transfection (18 h), the cells were incubated with fresh medium and allowed to recover for 24 hrs for co-immunoprecipitation studies. Otherwise, they were allowed to recover for 6-8 hrs and re-seeded into 24-well dishes and then grown an additional 18 hrs prior to experimentation.

2.2.4. Co-Immunoprecipitation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-tagged AT₁R and either GSTtagged Rab4, Rab4-Q67L, Rab4-S22N, Rab5, Rab7, Rab7-Q67L, Rab7-N125I, Rab11, Rab11-Q70L or Rab11-S25N. Following transfection, the cells were incubated for 20 minutes in Hepes balanced salt solution (HBSS) at 37°C with or without 100 nM AngII. The cells were then placed on ice, washed two times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with cold-lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10 μ g/ml leupeptin, and 5 µg/ml aprotinin). The lysates were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cleared supernatant containing 250 µg protein were incubated with 25 µL of FLAG M2-affinity beads (Sigma) for 1h rotating at 4°C to immunoprecipitate FLAG-AT₁R. Following incubation, the beads were washed twice with lysis buffer and twice with PBS, and proteins were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose (BME). membrane and immuno-blotted to identify co-immunoprecipitated GST-tagged Rab proteins using a primary polyclonal rabbit or goat anti-GST antibody (1:1000 dilution, Santa Cruz, GE Healthcare) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:10000, BioRad) or secondary anti-goat (1:2500, BioRad). Receptor and Rab protein expression was determined by immunoblotting 10 µg of protein from each cell lysate used for immunoprecipitation. Proteins were detected using chemiluminescence with the ECL kit from GE Healthcare.

2.2.5. Whole cell phosphorylation

AT₁R phosphorylation was measured as described previously (Anborgh et al., 2000). HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-AT₁R along with either pEGFP (control), GFP-tagged Rab4, Rab4Q67L, Rab4S22N, Rab5, Rab5-S34N, Rab5-Q79L, Rab11, Rab11Q70L or Rab11S25N. Seventy-two hours post transfection cells were rinsed twice and incubated at 37°C for one hour in phosphate-free HBSS (5 mM NaHCO₃, 20 mM HEPES, 11 mM glucose, 116 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4). Cells were then incubated at 37°C for one hour in 100 μ Ci/mL [³²P]orthophosphate, and treated for 10 min with and without 100 nM AngII, rinsed and allowed to recover at 37°C for 0, 20 or 40 min in phosphate-free HBSS. Cells were placed on ice and lysates were collected in the presence of protease inhibitors (0.1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 5 µg/ml aprotinin) and phosphatase-inhibitors (10mM NaF and 10mM Na₄P₂O₇) and incubated with M2 anti-FLAG affinity agarose for 2-3 hours to immunoprecipitate receptor protein. Beads were washed and bound proteins were solubilized in SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Equal amounts of receptor protein, as determined by protein measurement and flow cytometry were separated by SDS-PAGE and receptor phosphorylation was determined via autoradiography at -80°C.

2.2.6. Measurement of inositol phosphate formation

Desensitization of AT₁R signalling of inositol phosphate was measured as described previously (Olivares-Reyes et al., 2001) with some modifications. HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs as described. Fourty-eight hours post-transfection cells were incubated overnight in inositol-free DMEM with 100 μ Ci/mL *myo*-(³H)Inositol. Cells were washed twice and incubated for one hour in warm HBSS then preincubated for 3 min at 37°C in either HBSS (lacking LiCl) alone or with 100 nM AngII (desensitizing stimulus). After a brief acid wash (50 mM glycine, 150 mM NaCl, pH 3.0), cells were washed twice and were then incubated with either 10 mM LiCl alone or 10 mM LiCl with 100 nM AngII for 10 min. The resensitization of AT₁R-mediated IP3 formation was assessed in the same fashion except that desensitized cells were allowed to recover for 30 min prior to the second incubation with either 10 mM LiCl

alone or 10 mM LiCl with 100 nM AngII for 10 min. Cells were placed on ice and the reaction was stopped with 500 μ L of perchloric acid and was neutralized with 400 μ l of 0.72 M KOH, 0.6 M KHCO₃. Total cellular (³H)inositol incorporation was determined in 50 μ l of cell lysate. Total inositol phosphate was purified by anion exchange chromatography using Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) 200-400 mesh anion exchange resin and (³H)inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation system.

2.2.7. Statistical Analysis

Densitometric data were normalized first for protein expression and the maximum value was set to 100, with all other values displayed as percentage thereof. One-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) was performed to determine significance, followed by a post-hoc Tukey multiple comparison test or Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test to determine which means were significantly different (p < 0.05) from one another.

2.3. RESULTS

2.3.1. Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 all interact with the AT1R

Previous research showed direct association between Rab5a and AT₁R, as well as co-localization of the AT₁R in Rab7- and Rab11-positive endosomes following Rab GTPase overexpression (Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004). Thus, we investigated whether Rab binding to the human AT₁R C-tail was either exclusive to Rab5 or was also observed for Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-AT₁R and either GST-tagged Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 or Rab11. We find that similar to what we observed previously for Rab5a, each of the GST-Rab4, GST-Rab7 and GST- Rab11 proteins could be co-immunoprecipitated with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells (Figure 2.1A and 1B). We found that in the absence of agonist treatment significantly more GST-Rab11 and significantly less Rab4 protein could be co-immunoprecipitated with the FLAG-AT₁R, when compared to GST-Rab5 (Figure 2.1A and 1B). Treatment of cells with 100 nM AngII to activate the FLAG-AT₁R resulted in a small and statistically insignificant increase in GST-Rab5 and GST-Rab7 binding to the receptor, but had no effect on the association of either Rab4 or Rab11 (Figure 2.1A and 1B). We also examined whether endogenous Rab4, Rab5 and Rab11 could be co-immunoprecipitated with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells. We found that Rab4 could be co-immunoprecipitated and that agonist stimulation increased Rab4 co-immunoprecipitation with the FLAG-AT₁R by 1.6 ± 0.3 fold (P< 0.05) (Figure 2.1C). However, agonist treatment had no effect upon the co-immunoprecipitation of either Rab5 or Rab11 with the receptor (Figure 2.1D and 1E).

The rat $AT_{1A}R$ was previously shown to preferentially bind to the GDP-bound form of Rab5 (Rab5-S34N) and the GDP-bound form of Rab11 interacted specifically with the thromboxane A2 receptor (Seachrist et al., 2002; Hamelin et al., 2005). We found that wild-type Rab4, dominant-negative Rab4-S22N, and constitutively active Rab4-Q67L did not exhibit a preference for binding to the FLAG-AT₁R (Figure 2.2A). In contrast, constitutively active Rab7-Q67L mutant exhibited preferential binding to the FLAG-AT₁R (Figure 2.2B). Unlike what was previously observed for the thromboxane

Figure 2.1. Rab4, Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 each co-immunoprecipitate with AT_1R . (A) Representative immunoblot showing the co-immunoprecipitation of GST-Rab4, GST-Rab5, GST-Rab7 and GST-Rab11 with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 100 nM AngII treatment for 20 min. (B) Densitometric analysis of GST-Rab4, GST-Rab5, GST-Rab7 and GST-Rab11 co-immunoprecipitated with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 100 nM AngII treatment for 20 min. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 5 independent experiments. Data were normalized for both individual Rab protein expression levels and normalized to maximum Rab protein binding to the AT₁R in each experiment. *p< 0.05 compared to Rab5 co-immunoprecipitated with the AT_1R and correspondingly treated. (C) Immunoblot demonstrating the co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous Rab4 protein with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 100 nM AngII treatment for 20 min. Rab4 co-immunoprecipitated with GFP antibody (Con) is used as a control. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 4 independent experiments. (D) Immunoblot demonstrating the coimmunoprecipitation of endogenous Rab5 protein with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 100 nM AngII treatment for 20 min. Rab5 co-immunoprecipitated with GFP antibody (Con) is used as a control. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 4 independent experiments. (E) Immunoblot demonstrating the co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous Rab11 protein with the FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 100 nM AngII treatment for 20 min. Rab11 co-immunoprecipitated with GFP antibody (Con) is used as a control. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 4 independent experiments.



Figure 2.2. Co-immunoprecipitation of wild-type, dominant negative and constitutively active Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 GTPases with the AT₁R. (A) Representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis showing the coimmunoprecipitation of GST-Rab4 (WT), constitutively active GST-Rab4-Q67L (CA) and dominant-negative GST-Rab4-S22N (DN) with FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells. (B) Representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis showing the co-immunoprecipitation of GST-Rab7 (WT), constitutively active GST-Rab7-Q67L (CA) and dominant-negative GST-Rab7-N125I (DN) with FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells. *p< 0.05 compared to wild-type Rab7 coimmunoprecipitated with the AT_1R . (C) Representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis showing the co-immunoprecipitation of GST-Rab11 (WT), constitutively active GST-Rab11-Q70L (CA) and dominant-negative GST-Rab7-S25N (DN) with FLAG-AT₁R from HEK 293 cells. *p < 0.05 compared to wildtype Rab11 co-immunoprecipitated with the AT₁R. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 3-5 independent experiments. All data were normalized for individual Rab protein expression levels in each experiment.


A2 receptor wild-type Rab11 interacted with the FLAG-AT₁R, but both constitutively active Rab11-Q70L and dominant-negative Rab11-S25N mutants did not effectively interact with FLAG-AT₁R (Figure 2.2C). This observation suggests that GTP hydrolysis is required for Rab11 binding to the AT₁R. Taken together, the data indicated that Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 each bind to the AT₁R but that the association of each of the Rab GTPases was mediated by different activation states of the GTPases.

2.3.2. Identification of the AT1R Rab GTPase binding site

Previously, we demonstrated that the deletion of the last 10 amino acid residues of the rat AT_{1A}R C-tail (AT_{1A}R-C1) resulted in a loss of AT_{1A}R colocalization with GFP-Rab5a (Dale et al., 2004). Therefore, we tested whether the deletion of the distal 10 amino acid residues of the human AT_1R would result in both the loss of Rab5 binding, as well as a loss of Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 binding to a human FLAG-AT₁R-C1 construct. We found that the deletion of the last 10 amino acid residues resulted in a significant decrease in Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 protein that was co-immunoprecipitated with the FLAG-AT₁R-C1 mutant (Figure 2.3A-D). Therefore, we examined which amino acid residues localized with the distal AT₁R C-tail sequence KKPAPCFEVE were required for Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 binding to the receptor by performing alanine scanning mutagenesis of pairs of amino acid residues (Figure 2.3A). We found that Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 binding to FLAG-AT₁R-KK, FLAG-AT₁R-PA, FLAG-AT₁R-FE and FLAG-AT₁R-VE mutant receptors was unaffected by alanine substitutions at the corresponding residues (Figure 2.3A-D). In contrast, Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11 were not co-immunoprecipitated effectively with the FLAG-AT₁R-PC alanine substitution mutant (Figure 2.3A, 2.3B and 2.3D). Although Rab7 binding to the FLAG-AT₁R-PC alanine

Figure 2.3. Identification of the Rab GTPase binding site within the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail. (A) Representative immunoblot showing the coimmunoprecipitation of Rab4 with either the wild-type AT₁R (WT) or AT₁R-C1 (1-349), AT₁R-K350A/K351A (KK), AT₁R-P352A/A353G (PA), AT₁R-P354A/C355A (PC), AT₁R-F356A/E357A (FE), and AT₁R-V358A/E359A (VE) mutants from HEK 293 cells. (B) Representative immunoblot showing the coimmunoprecipitation of Rab5 with either the wild-type AT₁R (WT) or AT₁R mutants from HEK 293 cells. (C) Representative immunoblot showing the coimmunoprecipitation of Rab7 with either the wild-type AT₁R (WT) or AT₁R mutants from HEK 293 cells. (D) Representative immunoblot showing the coimmunoprecipitation of Rab11 with either the wild-type AT₁R (WT) or AT₁R mutants from HEK 293 cells. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 3-5 independent experiments. Data were normalized for both individual Rab protein expression levels and wild-type Rab protein binding to the AT₁R in each experiment. *p< 0.05 compared to wild-type Rab co-immunoprecipitated with the AT₁R.



substitution mutant was reduced, binding was not statistically significantly different from control (Figure 2.3C). None of the alanine substitutions to the AT_1R C-tail affected the coupling of the AT_1R to the activation of IP3 formation (Figure 2.4). Taken together, the data suggested that proline residue 354 and Cysteine residue 355 played an important role in the binding of the Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 GTPases to the AT_1R and that each of these different Rab GTPases bind to the same site on the receptor.

2.3.3. Rab GTPases compete with each other for association with AT₁R

Because Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11 interact with an overlapping site in the AT₁R Ctail and the overexpression of constitutively active Rab7 and Rab11 was previously shown to alter the intracellular trafficking of the receptor (Dale et al., 2004), we examined whether Rab GTPases compete for binding to the AT_1R . We found that the coimmunoprecipitation of GST-Rab5 with the FLAG-AT₁R could be antagonized by the overexpression of increasing amounts of HA-Rab11 protein (Figure 2.5A). Moreover, despite the fact that GST-Rab4 was apparently a weak FLAG-AT₁R-interacting protein, the overexpression of HA-Rab4 effectively prevented GST-Rab11 coimmunoprecipitation with FLAG-AT₁R in an expression-dependent manner (Figure 2.5B). Unexpectedly, increasing expression levels of HA-Rab11 did not result in the attenuation of GST-Rab4 binding to FLAG-AT₁R (Figure 2.5C).

2.3.4. Rab4 but not Rab11 affects the phosphorylation state and desensitization of AT₁R signalling

Because Rab 4, Rab5 and Rab11 GTPases appeared to compete for a common binding site on the carboxyl-terminal tail of the AT_1R , we examined whether the overexpression of wild-type, dominant-negative and constitutively active Rab4, Rab5 and



Figure 2.4. Agonist-stimulated AT_1R **inositol phosphate formation.** Shown is agoniststimulated (100 nM AngII, 10 min) inositol phosphate formation mediated by either the wild-type FLAG-AT₁R (WT) or FLAG-AT₁R-C1 (1-349), FLAG-AT₁R-K350A/K351A (KK), FLAG-AT₁R-P352A/A353G (PA), FLAG-AT₁R-P354A/C355A (PC), FLAG-AT₁R-F356A/E357A (FE), and FLAG-AT₁R-V358A/E359A (VE) mutants from HEK 293 cells. Data represents the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments.

Figure 2.5. Competition between Rab GTPases for co-immunoprecipitation with FLAG-AT₁R. (A) Representative immunoblots and densitometric analysis of the co-immunoprecipitation of GST-Rab5 with FLAG-AT₁R in the absence or presence of increasing amounts of HA-Rab11. *p< 0.05 compared GST-Rab5 coimmunoprecipitated with the AT_1R in the absence of HA-Rab11. (B) Representative immunoblots and densitometric analysis of the coimmunoprecipitation of GST-Rab11 with FLAG-AT₁R in the absence or presence of increasing amounts of HA-Rab4. *p< 0.05 compared to GST-Rab11 coimmunoprecipitated with the AT_1R in the absence of HA-Rab4. **(C)** Representative immunoblots and densitometric analysis of the coimmunoprecipitation of GST-Rab4 with FLAG-AT₁R in the absence or presence of increasing amounts of HA-Rab11. *p< 0.05 compared to GST-Rab4 coimmunoprecipitated with the AT_1R in the absence of HA-Rab11. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 3-5 independent experiments. Data were normalized for both GST-Rab protein expression levels and GST-Rab protein binding to the AT_1R in absence of HA-Rab.



Rab11 mutants might lead to altered AT_1R phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. Consistent with previous studies (Opperman et al., 1996; Anborgh et al., 2000), agoniststimulation of the AT₁R for 10 min effectively promoted the phosphorylation of the AT_1R (Figure 2.6A-C). However, when agonist was washed out for 20 and 40 min, no dephosphorylation of the AT_1R was observed under control conditions (Figure 2.6A-C). In contrast, the overexpression of either wild-type Rab4 or constitutively active Rab4-Q67L significantly reduced the extent of agonist-stimulated AT₁R phosphorylation (Figure 2.6A). Consistent with a role of Rab4 in promoting AT₁R dephosphorylation, overexpression of a dominant-negative Rab4-S22N mutant resulted in a significant increase in agonist-stimulated AT_1R phosphorylation, which was reduced to phosphorylation levels observed in control cells following agonist washout (Figure 2.6A). The overexpression of wild-type Rab5 had no effect on either AT_1R phosphorylation or dephosphorylation (Figure 2.6B). However, the overexpression of either constitutively active Rab5-Q79L or dominant-negative Rab5-S34N appeared to result in a trend towards increased dephosphorylation of the receptor the results did not reach statistical significance. The extent of agonist-stimulated AT_1R phosphorylation when compared to control cells was not altered by the overexpression of either wild-type, dominant-negative Rab11-S25N or constitutively active Rab11-Q67L and none of the Rab11 proteins resulted in AT₁R dephosphorylation following agonist washout (Figure 2.6C).

Given that wild-type Rab4 and constitutively active Rab4-Q67L lead to decreased AT_1R phosphorylation, we examined whether the expression of either wild-type or dominantnegative Rab4, Rab5 and Rab11 would alter the desensitization and resensitization of the AT_1R . To assess AT_1R desensitization, cells were pretreated with 100 nM AngII for 3

Figure 2.6. Whole cell phosphorylation of AT_1R in the presence and absence of wild-type and mutant Rab4 and Rab11. (A) Representative autoradiograph and densitometric analysis of AT_1R phosphorylation in absence (control) and presence of wild-type Rab4 (WT), constitutively active Rab4-Q67L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab4-S22N (DN) mutants. HEK 293 cells expressing FLAG-AT₁R were treated with 100 nM AngII for 10 min, washed and allowed to recover for 0 (desensitization), 20 (resensitized) and 40 (resensitized) min. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 6 independent experiments. *p< 0.05 compared to corresponding control. (B) Representative autoradiograph and densitometric analysis of AT₁R phosphorylation in absence (control) and presence of wild-type Rab5 (WT), constitutively active Rab5-Q79L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab4-S34N (DN) mutants. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 4 independent experiments. p < 0.05 compared to corresponding control. (C) Representative autoradiograph and densitometric analysis of AT_1R phosphorylation in absence (control) and presence of wild-type Rab11 (WT), constitutively active Rab11-Q70L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab11-S25N (DN) mutants. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 4 independent experiments. *p< 0.05 compared to corresponding control.



min in HBSS lacking LiCl (desensitizing stimulus), washed and then treated with and without AngII for 10 min in HBSS containing LiCl. Receptor resensitization of AT₁Rmediated IP3 responses was measured in the same way except that cells were allowed to recover in the absence of agonist for 30 min prior to being subjected to a second round of agonist treatment. The pretreatment of control cells (desensitizing stimulus) reduced AT₁R-stimulated IP3 formation to between $41 \pm 4\%$ and $48 \pm 2\%$ of control (naïve) response when cells were exposed to a subsequent 10 min exposure to AngII (Figure 2.7A-C). The overexpression of constitutively active Rab4-Q67L significantly reduced the extent of AT_1R desensitization and increased the extent of AT_1R resensitization (Figure 2.7A). The overexpression of the constitutively active Rab5-Q67L mutant did not alter AT_1R desensitization, but facilitated the resensitization response (Figure 2.7B). None of the other Rab constructs had any effect on AT_1R desensitization and resensitization. Taken together these results indicate that Rab4 binding, but not Rab11 binding, to the AT_1R carboxyl-terminal tail alters the phosphorylation status of the AT_1R leading to reduced AT₁R desensitization.

2.4. DISCUSSION

In the present study we have investigated whether multiple Rab GTPases might associate with the carboxyl-terminal tail of the AT₁R tail and influence the activity and function of the receptor. We find that Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 each exhibit the capacity to bind to the distal 10 amino acids of the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail and can compete with one another for binding. Previously, we demonstrated that the AT₁R preferentially associated with the GDP-bound form of Rab5. We show here that the AT₁R does not distinguish between GDP- and GTP-bound forms of Rab4, binds

Figure 2.7. Desensitization and resensitization of AT₁R-mediated inositol phosphate formation. (A) HEK 293 cells transfected with $FLAG-AT_1R$ with empty pEBG vector (NT), wild-type Rab4 (WT), constitutively active Rab4-Q67L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab4-S22N (DN) mutants. (B) HEK 293 cells transfected with FLAG-AT₁R with empty pEBG vector (NT), wild-type Rab5 (WT), constitutively active Rab5-Q79L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab4-S34N (DN) mutants. (C) HEK 293 cells transfected with FLAG-AT₁R with empty pEBG vector (NT), wild-type Rab11 (WT), constitutively active Rab11-Q70L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab11-S25N (DN) mutants. Transfected cells were treated either with or without 100 nM AngII for 3 min in the absence of LiCl (desensitizing stimulus) and then either washed and subjected to a second treatment of 100 nM AngII for 10 min in the presence of LiCL (desensitized) or washed and allowed to recover for 30 min prior to a second treatment of 100 nM AngII for 10 min in the presence of LiCL (resensitized). Data were normalized for protein expression and basal IP3 formation and desensitized and resensitized IP3 responses compared to naive control cells that were not subjected to desensitizing stimulus. Data are representative of 5 independent experiments. *p< 0.05 compared to corresponding control.



preferentially to GTP-bound Rab7 and interacts with wild-type Rab11 and does not associate with either constitutively active or dominant negative Rab11 mutants. We have also identified two amino acid residues (proline 354 and Cysteine 355) within the Rab binding domain of the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail that are essential for the association of Rab4, Rab5 and Rab11 but not Rab7. The association of different Rab GTPases with the AT₁R carboxyl-terminal tail has different functional outcomes, with Rab5 promoting the retention of the AT₁R in early endosomes (Seachrist et al., 2002), Rab7 facilitating the trafficking of the AT₁R to lysosomes (Dale et al., 2004) and Rab4 promoting the dephosphorylation and resensitization of the receptor. Taken together, our data indicate that the association of different functional outcomes for AT₁R signalling in tissues that may express differing levels of each of the relevant Rab GTPases as the overexpression of a constitutively active Rab4-Q67L mutant decreases AT₁R desensitization, while facilitating resensitization.

In the current study, we have demonstrated that the domain required for Rab GTPase interactions with AT_1R are identical for Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. Previously, we identified that the Rab5 binding domain resides within the distal 10 amino acids of AT_1R carboxyl-terminal tail and that deletion of this motif resulted in altered AT_1R trafficking to lysosomes as opposed to the retention of the receptor in early endosomes (Dale et al., 2004). We have further defined the critical residues required for Rab GTPase binding to the AT_1R and show that proline 354 and Cysteine 355 are essential for binding Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. Previously, it has been shown that the dephosphorylation and resensitization of the β_2AR occurs as the receptor transits between the Rab5-positive

early endosome and the Rab4-positive rapid recycling endosome (Seachrist et al., 2000). Moreover, it has been reported that phosphorylated μ -opioid receptor is preferentially recycled through Rab4-positive endosomes (Wang et al., 2008). We find here, that the overexpression of a constitutively active Rab4-Q67L mutant decreases both AT₁R phosphorylation and desensitization, while promoting the resensitization of the receptor. Thus, these data are consistent with the hypothesis that the Rab4-positive recycling endosome functions as the compartment in which GPCR dephosphorylation is mediated by phosphatases.

Several GPCRs have now been reported to associate with Rab GTPases including the β_2AR , thromboxane A2 receptor and prostacyclin receptor (Hamelin et al., 2005; Parent et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2010). However, the residues that we have identified to be essential for Rab GTPase binding to the AT_1R are not conserved in any of these GPCRs. Rab11 binding to the thromboxane A2 receptor is mediated by residues 335-345 that are localized within the central region of the thromboxane A2 receptor carboxyl-terminal tail and Rab11 binds α -helix 8 at the proximal end of the prostacyclin receptor. In contrast, Rab11 binding to the β_2AR involves a bipartite binding motif, with arginine 333 and lysine 348 representing the essential amino acid residues mediating Rab11 binding to the receptor (Parent et al., 2009). Thus, to date there is no clearly defined consensus motif for Rab GTPase association with GPCRs. However, previous work from our laboratory using yeast two hybrid screen suggest that the regional of the $AT_{1A}R$ carboxyl-terminal tail that is proximal to the seventh transmembrane spanning domain of the $AT_{1A}R$ may also be involved in Rab5 binding (Seachrist et al., 2002). Thus, the fact that we do not observe complete loss of binding of the Rab GTPases to the carboxyl-terminal tail of the

receptor suggests that secondary residues within the membrane proximal domain of the receptor likely also contribute in part to Rab protein binding.

Rab GTPases not only influence the intracellular trafficking and recycling of GPCRs by directly interacting with these vesicular cargo proteins, but Rab GTPases also indirectly influence the trafficking of receptors between intracellular compartments as a consequence of their intrinsic activity. Following their internalization, many GPCRs have been shown to either recycle to the cell surface via the Rab4-mediated rapid pathway directly from sorting endosomes or via the Rab11-mediated slow pathway from perinuclear recycling endosomes. The recycling of other GPCRs, including the corticotrophin releasing factor receptor 1, somatostatin-3 receptor, vasopressin V2 receptor, neurokinin-1 receptor, chemokine CXC receptor-2, m4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor and protease receptor, are also differentially regulated by Rab4 and Rab11 (Innamorati et al., 2001; Kreuzer et al., 2001; Schmidlin et al., 2001; Signoret et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2002; Volpicelli et al., 2002; Roosterman et al., 2003; Holmes et al., 2006). Thus, potential alterations in individual Rab GTPase protein expression may have profound effects on GPCR activity. This could occur as the consequence of either direct competition for GPCR binding or by increasing the relative efficiency of the intracellular trafficking and membrane fusion of vesicular compartments within the cell that is regulated by the Rab GTPase. Rab GTPase protein expression and activity has been demonstrated to be regulated by a number of different signals. First, Rab1, Rab4 and Rab6 protein expression is altered in dilated cardiomyopathy model of heart failure and overexpression of Rab4 in the heart leads to altered B2AR desensitization and resensitization (Wu et al., 2001; Odley et al., 2004). Second, parasitic infection of

106

cardiomyocytes *in vitro* with the protozoan *Tyranosoma cruzi* results in the downregulation of both Rab7 and Rab11 protein expression (Batista et al., 2006). Finally, insulin is able to stimulate GTP-loading of Rab11 in cardiomyocytes indicating the potential of Rab GTPases to serve as substrates for GPCR activated kinases such as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (Schwenk and Eckel, 2007). Thus, taken together alterations in Rab GTPase expression and activity have the potential to both directly and indirectly influence GPCR signalling under both physiological and pathophysiological conditions suggesting that these proteins may represent targets for the treatment of cardiovascular-related diseases.

In HEK 293 cells, the AT_1R is internalized to and retained in early endosomes, where it remains phosphorylated and does not recycle to the plasma membrane (Anborgh et al., 2000; Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004). We find that the overexpression of different Rab GTPases can specifically alter the intracellular trafficking fate of the AT_1R with Rab7 overexpression favouring the trafficking of the receptor to lysosomes and Rab4 overexpression favouring the dephosphorylation of the receptor. In contrast, although Rab11 effectively interacts with the AT_1R , the interaction of the wild-type Rab11 does not influence the dephosphorylation of the receptor, although it can promote plasma membrane recycling (Dale et al., 2004). Interestingly, Rab4 is able to effectively displace Rab11 binding to the AT_1R , despite the observation that Rab11 is more effectively co-immunoprecipitated with the receptor. Therefore, even small differences in Rab4 expression may lead to profound changes in AT_1R activity. However, Rab binding to the AT_1R , if competitive, should be reciprocal and Rab11 protein expressed at sufficiently high levels should be able to compete for binding. It is possible that in our experiments we have not achieved Rab11 expression that can displace Rab4 from the receptor at complimentary expression levels. Moreover, the overexpression of one Rab protein may shift the receptor from one cellular compartment to another that is not available to the competing Rab protein. It is also possible that Rab GTPases selectively bind to different receptor sites depending upon their activation state, since wild-type Rab7 does not bind to the receptor as effectively as Rab7-Q70L and wild-type Rab7 binding is not significantly impaired when the AT₁R C-tail is truncated. This may explain why we previously observed that truncation of the AT₁R C-tail resulted in the targeting of the receptor to endosomes (Dale et al., 2004). Nevertheless, depending on the complement of Rab GTPases expressed in different tissue and cell types, it is likely that the AT₁R will exhibit differences in its functional regulation ranging from prolonged desensitization associated with impaired dephosphorylation and resensitization to rapid

To date, few GPCRs, including the AT_1R , β_2AR , thromboxane A2 receptor and prostacyclin receptor have been shown to directly associate with members of the Rab family. Emerging evidence suggests that these interactions are critical to proper trafficking and regulation of these receptors. Understanding the role of Rabs in the regulation of GPCR redistribution into different intracellular compartments will serve to improve our understanding of the molecular and physiological consequences of GPCR signalling. It is now evident that multiple small GTP-binding proteins, including Rabs interact with GPCRs and future studies should reveal whether GPCRs either interact with or regulate additional components of the intracellular trafficking machinery.

2.5. **REFERENCES**

Anborgh P.H., Seachrist J.L., Dale L.B., and Ferguson S.S. (2000). Receptor/ β -arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of β_2 -adrenergic and angiotensin II type 1A receptors. Mol. Endocrinol. *14*, 2040-2053.

Batista D.G., Silva C.F., Mota R.A., Costa L.C., Meirelles M.N., Meuser-Batista M., and Soeiro M.N. (2006). Trypanosoma cruzi modulates the expression of Rabs and alters the endocytosis in mouse cardiomyocytes in vitro. J. Histochem. Cytochem. *54*: 605-614.

Benovic J.L., Kuhn H., Weyand I., Codina J., Caron M.G., and Leftowitz R.J. (1987). Functional desensitization of the isolated beta-adrenergic receptor by the β -adrenergic receptor kinase: potential role of an analog of the retinal protein arrestin (48-kDa protein). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. *84*, 8879-8882.

Dale L.B., Seachrist J.L., Babwah A.V., and Ferguson S.S. (2004). Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 13110-1318.

Fan G-H., Lapierre L.A., Goldenring J.R., and Richmond A. (2002). Differential regulation of CXCR2 trafficking by Rab GTPases. Blood. *101*, 2115–2124.

Ferguson S.S., Menard L., Barak L.S., Koch W.J., Colapietro A.M., and Caron M.G. (1995). Role of phosphorylation in agonist-promoted β_2 -adrenergic receptor sequestration. Rescue of a sequestration-defective mutant receptor by β ARK1. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 24782-24789.

Ferguson S.S., Downey W.E. 3^{rd} , Colapietro A.M., Barak L.S., Menard L., and Caron M.G. (1996). Role of β -arrestin in mediating agonist-promoted G protein-coupled receptor internalization. Science. 271, 363-366.

Ferguson S.S. (2001). Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol. Rev. *53*, 1-24.

Ferguson S.S., and Caron M.G. (2004). Green fluorescent protein-tagged β -arrestin translocation as a measure of G protein-coupled receptor activation. Methods. Mol. Biol. 237, 121-126.

Ferguson S.S. (2007). Phosphorylation-independent attenuation of GPCR signalling. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 28, 173-179.

Freedman N.J., Liggett S.B., Drachman D.E., Pei G., Caron M.G., and Lefkowitz R.J. (1995). Phosphorylation and desensitization of the human β_1 -adrenergic receptor. Involvement of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 17953-179561.

Gáborik Z., and Hunyady L. (2004). Intracellular trafficking of hormone receptors. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *15*, 286-293.

Goodman O.B. Jr, Krupnick J.G., Santini F., Gurevich V.V., Penn R.B., Gagnon A.W., Keen J.H., and Benovic J.L. (1996). β -Arrestin acts as a clathrin adaptor in endocytosis of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor. *Nature*. 383, 447-450.

Hamelin E., Thériault C., Laroche G., and Parent J.L. (2005). The intracellular trafficking of the G protein-coupled receptor TP β depends on a direct interaction with Rab11. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 36195-205.

Holmes K.D., Babwah A.V., Dale L.B., Poulter M.O., and Ferguson S.S. (2006). Differential regulation of corticotropin releasing factor 1α receptor endocytosis and trafficking by beta-arrestins and Rab GTPases. J. Neurochem. *96*, 934-949.

Hunyady L., Baukal A.J., Gaborik Z., Olivares-Reyes J.A., Bor M., Szaszak M., Lodge R., Catt K.J., and Balla T. (2002). Differential PI 3-kinase dependence of early and late phases of recycling of the internalized AT1 angiotensin receptor. J. Cell. Biol. *157*, 1211-1222.

Innamorati G., Le Gouill C., Balamotis M., and Birnbaumer M. (2001). The long and the short cycle. Alternative intracellular routes for trafficking of G-protein-coupled receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *276*, 13096–13103.

Kreuzer O.J., Krisch B., Dery O., Bunnett N.W., and Meyerhof W. (2001). Agonistmediated endocytosis of rat somatostatin receptor subtype 3 involves β -arrestin and clathrin coated vesicles. J. Neuroendocrinol. *13*, 279–287.

Krupnick J.G., and Benovic J.L. (1998). The role of receptor kinases and arrestins in G protein-coupled receptor regulation. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. *38*, 289-319.

Li H., Li H.F., Felder R.A., Periasamy A., and Jose P.A. (2008). Rab4 and Rab11 coordinately regulate the recycling of angiotensin II type I receptor as demonstrated by fluorescence resonance energy transfer microscopy. J. Biomed. Opt. *13*, 031206.

Odley A., Hahn H.S., Lynch R.A., Marreez Y., Osinska H., Robbins J., and Dorn G.W. 2^{nd} (2004). Regulation of cardiac contractility by Rab4-modulated b₂-adrenergic receptor recycling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. *101*, 7082-7087.

Olivares-Reyes J.A., Smith R.D., Hunyady L., Shah B.H., and Catt K.J. (2001). Agonistinduced signaling, desensitization, and internalization of a phosphorylation-deficient AT_{1A} angiotensin receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 37761-37768.

Oppermann M., Freedman N.J., Alexander R.W., and Lefkowitz R.J. (1996). Phosphorylation of the type 1A angiotensin II receptor by G protein-coupled receptor kinases and protein kinase C. J. Biol. Chem. *271*, 13266-13272.

Parent A., Hamelin E., Germain P., and Parent J.L. (2009). Rab11 regulates the recycling of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor through a direct interaction. Biochem. J. 418, 163-172.

Reid H.M., Mulvaney E.P., Turner E.C., and Kinsella B.T. (2010). Interaction of the human prostacyclin receptor with Rab11: characterization of a novel Rab11 binding domain (RBD) within a-helix 8 that is regulated by palmitoylation. J. Biol. Chem. Apr 15. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 20395296

Roosterman D., Schmidlin F., and Bunnett N.W. (2003). Rab5a and rab11a mediate agonist-induced trafficking of protease-activated receptor 2. Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Physiol. 284, C1319-1329.

Schmidlin F., Dery O., Defea K.O., Slice L., Patierno S., Sternini C., Grady E.F., and Bunnett N.W. (2001). Dynamin and Rab5a-dependent trafficking and signaling of the neurokinin 1 receptor. J. Biol. Chem. *276*, 25427–25437.

Schwenk R.W., and Eckel J. (2007). A novel method to monitor insulin-stimulated GTP-loading of Rab11a in cardiomyocytes. Cell Signal. *19*, 825-830.

Seachrist J.L., Anborgh P.H., and Ferguson S.S. (2000). β_2 -adrenergic receptor internalization, endosomal sorting, and plasma membrane recycling are regulated by rab GTPases. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 27221-27228.

Seachrist J.L., Laporte S.A., Dale L.B., Babwah A.V., Caron M.G., Anborgh P.H., and Ferguson S.S. (2002). Rab5 association with the angiotensin II type 1A receptor promotes Rab5 GTP binding and vesicular fusion. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 679-685.

Seachrist J.L., and Ferguson S.S. (2003). Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis and trafficking by Rab GTPases. Life Sci. 74, 225-235.

Signoret N., Pelchen-Matthews A., Mack M., Proudfoot A.E., and Marsh M. (2001). Endocytosis and recycling of the HIV coreceptor CCR5. J. Cell Biol. *151*, 1281–1294.

Volpicelli L.A., Lah J.J., Fang G., Goldenring J.R., and Levey A.I. (2002). Rab11a and myosin Vb regulate recycling of the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. J. Neurosci. *22*, 9776–9784.

Wang F., Chen X., Zhang X., and Ma L. (2008). Phosphorylation state of mu-opioid receptor determines the alternative recycling of receptor via Rab4 or Rab11 pathway. Mol. Endocrinol. *22*, 1881-1892.

Wu G., Yussman M.G., Barrett T.J., Hahn H.S., Osinska H., Hilliard G.M., Wang X., Toyokawa T., Yatani A., Lynch R.A., Robbins J., and Dorn GW 2nd. (2001). Increased myocardial Rab GTPase expression: a consequence and cause of cardiomyopathy. Circ. Res. *89*, 1130-113.

CHAPTER 3

RAB8 MODULATES METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR SUBTYPE 1 INTRACELLULAR TRAFFICKING AND SIGNALLING IN A PKC-DEPENDENT MANNER¹

 1 A version of this chapter has been submitted for publication to the Journal of Neuroscience

Contributing Authors: Jessica L. Esseltine, Fabiola M. Ribeiro, Stephen S.G. Ferguson

FMR contributed figures 3.4A and 3.5A. JLE completed all other experiments

3.1. INTRODUCTION

Glutamate is the primary excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain and its actions are mediated though two types of receptors: ionotropic glutamate receptors that are ligand-gated cation channels and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR) that are G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Olney, 1994; Dingledine et al., 1999; Pin et al., 2003). mGluRs play an important role in processes underlying learning and memory, neuronal development, and neurodegeneration (Nakanishi, 1994; Pin et al., 1994; Pin and Duvoisin, 1995; Conn and Pin, 1997; Dale et al., 2002). mGluRs are categorized into three subclasses based on sequence homology and G protein coupling specificity. Group 1 mGluRs include mGluR1 and mGluR5, which are coupled through Gaq to the activation of phospholipase C, which in turn catalyzes the formation of inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate and diacylglycerol, which mediate the release of intracellular calcium stores and the activation of protein kinase C (PKC) (Conn and Pin, 1997; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Niswender and Conn, 2010).

The GPCR desensitization paradigm involves receptor phosphorylation by either second messenger-dependent protein kinases or G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) followed by β -arrestin binding, which functions to uncouple the receptor from the G protein and targets GPCRs for clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Krupnick and Benovic, 1998; Ferguson, 2001). However, Group I mGluR desensitization and endocytosis is mediated by GRK2 in a phosphorylation-independent manner and does not require β -arrestin (Ferguson, 2001; Dhami et al., 2002; Dhami et al., 2004; Dhami et al., 2005; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Ferguson, 2007; Ribeiro et al., 2011). PKC also contributes to the regulation of glutamate-induced mGluR1a internalization whereas constitutive mGluR1a internalization is mediated by RalA and phospholipase D2 (Mundell et al., 2003; Bhattacharya et al., 2004; Mundell et al., 2004).

Optineurin, a protein we have previously shown to contribute to the attenuation of mGluR1a signalling, has also been shown to be a Rab8 effector protein (Hattula and Peränen, 2000; Anborgh et al., 2005). Rab8 is a member of the Rab GTPase family of small G proteins that are involved in regulating the trafficking, docking and fusion of vesicles between intracellular membrane compartments (Zerial and McBride 2001; Seachrist and Ferguson, 2003; Gaborik and Hunyady, 2004). Rab8 is localized to the Golgi apparatus, intracellular membrane vesicles and membrane ruffles and is involved in trafficking of basolateral proteins in polarized epithelial cells, neurite outgrowth, delivery and recycling of the ionotropic glutamate AMPA receptors at synapses and has recently been shown to directly associate with the α_{2B} - and β_2 -adrenergic receptors (ARs) (Deretic, 1997; Gerges et al., 2004; Gerges et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2007; Ng and Tang, 2008; Dong et al., 2010). Since Rab8 has been shown to interact with optineurin, in the present study we have investigated whether Rab8 contributes to the regulation of mGluR1a desensitization and endocytosis. We report that Rab8 interacts with mGluR1a in an agonist-regulated manner to antagonize mGluR1a endocytosis and coordinates the attenuation of mGluR1a-stimulated IP3 formation and release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores in PKC-regulated manner.

3.2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

3.2.1. Materials

myo-[³H]-Inositol was acquired from PerkinElmer Life Sciences (Waltham, MA). Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) resin 200 - 400 mesh was purchased from BioRad (Mississauga, ON, Canada). Goat anti-glutathione-S-transferase (GST) antibodies as well as ECL Western blotting detection reagents were purchased from GE Healthcare (Oakville, ON, Canada). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-goat IgG secondary antibody was obtained from BioRad (Mississauga, ON, Canada). Anti-Gαq/11 rabbit polyclonal antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-mGluR1a rabbit polyclonal antibody was obtained from Upstate (Lake Placid, NY, USA). Rabbit polyclonal phospho-p44/44 MAP kinase (Thr202/Tyr402), p44/44 MAP kinase antibodies were obtained from Cell Signalling Technology (Pickering, ON, Canada). Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit IgG, Zenon Rabbit Alexa Fluor 555 and Fluo-4 AM ester calcium indicators were purchased from Invitrogen/Molecular Probes (Burlington, ON, Canada). Rabbit anti-FLAG antibody, M2 anti-FLAG agarose and all other biochemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada).

3.2.2. Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with 8% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) and 50 μ g/ml gentamicin. Cells seeded in 100 mm dishes were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method as described previously (Ferguson and Caron, 2004). Following transfection (18 h), the cells were incubated with fresh medium and allowed to recover for 24 hrs for co-immunoprecipitation studies. Otherwise, they were allowed to recover for 6-8 hrs and re-seeded into 24-well dishes and then grown an additional 18 hrs prior to experimentation.

3.2.3. Primary Hippocampal Neuronal Culture

Hippocampi from embryonic day 18 CD-1 mice were processed, as described previously (Xie et al., 2000) and maintained in Neurobasal medium supplemented with B27, glutamax and pen/strep. Neurons were transfected at DIV 7-10 using a modified calcium phosphate technique and imaged 24 hr later.

3.2.4. Co-Immunoprecipitation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs as described in the Figure Legends. Following transfection, the cells were incubated for 15 minutes in HEPES balanced salt solution (HBSS) at 37°C with or without 30 µM quisqualate. The cells were then placed on ice, washed two times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with cold-lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 5 µg/ml aprotinin). The lysates were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cleared supernatant containing 250 μ g protein was incubated with 25 μ L of FLAG M2-affinity beads for 1h rotating at 4°C to immunoprecipitate FLAG-mGluR1a. Following incubation, the beads were washed twice with PBS, and proteins were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol (BME). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify coimmunoprecipitated GST-tagged Rab8 protein using a primary goat anti-GST antibody (1:1000 dilution) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-goat (1:2500 dilution). Receptor and Rab8 protein expression was determined by

immunoblotting 10 μ g of protein from each cell lysate used for immunoprecipitation. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence.

3.2.5. Biotinylation Assay

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs as described in the Figure Legends. For cell surface biotinylation 48 hours post transfection cells were incubated in 37°C HBSS for 1 hour and then treated vehicle or 30 µM quisqualate. Cells were then placed on ice, washed in ice-cold HBSS and cell surface proteins labelled with 1.5 mg/ml biotin for 1 hour and biotin was subsequently quenched with 100 mM glycine for 30 min. For internalization experiments, cells were labelled with biotin on ice, quenched and then cells were treated with vehicle or 30 μ M guisgualate for the times indicated in the *Figure Legends* to induce mGluR1a internalization. Cell surface biotin was stripped from the cells using 150 mM MesNa. The cells were then placed on ice, washed two times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with coldlysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 5 µg/ml aprotinin). The lysates were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cleared supernatant containing 250 µg protein was incubated with 35 µL of neutravidin-affinity beads for 1h rotating at 4°C to immunoprecipitate biotin-labeled proteins. Following incubation, the beads were washed twice with PBS, and proteins were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing BME. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify biotinylated mGluR1a proteins using a primary rabbit anti mGluR1a antibody (1:1000 dilution) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit (1:10000 dilution). Receptor and Rab8 protein expression was determined by immunoblotting 10 μ g of protein from each cell lysate used for biotinylation. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence.

3.2.6. Inositol Phosphate Formation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs as described in the *Figure Legends*. 48 hours post-transfection cells were incubated overnight in inositoland glutamate-free DMEM with 100 μ Ci/mL *myo*-[³H]-inositol. For PKC inhibition experiments, cells were washed twice and incubated for one hour in 37°C HBSS then preincubated for 10 min at 37°C with either DMSO (control) alone or with 1 μ M bisindolymaleimide I or 5 μ M chelerythrine chloride. For all other experiments cells were incubated for one hour in 37°C HBSS and were then incubated with 10 mM LiCl alone for 10 min followed by 30 μ M quisqualate treatment for 30 min. Cells were placed on ice and the reaction was stopped with 500 μ L of perchloric acid and neutralized with 400 μ l of 0.72 M KOH, 0.6 M KHCO₃. Total cellular [³H]-inositol incorporation was determined in 50 μ l of cell lysate. Total inositol phosphate was purified by anion exchange chromatography using Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) 200 - 400 mesh anion exchange resin and [³H]-inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation system.

3.2.7. ERK Activation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-mGluR1 and pEGFP (control) or GFP-Rab8. 48 hours post-transfection cells were serum starved overnight in glutamate-free DMEM and stimulated for 0, 1, 5 or 15 min with 30 μ M quisqualate. Cells were lysed and proteins were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing BME.

Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify phosphorylated and total extracellular regulated kinase (ERK1/2) antibody (1:1000 dilution) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-Rabbit antibody (1:10,000 dilution). Receptor and Rab8 protein expression was determined by immunoblotting 10 µg of protein from each cell lysate. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence.

3.2.8. Confocal Microscopy

Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM510 META laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with a Zeiss 63X, 1.4 numerical aperture, oil immersion lens (North York, ON, Canada). HEK293 cells expressing GFP-Rab8 and FLAG-mGluR1a were serum starved for 1 hr at 37°C in HBSS (116 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 11 mM glucose, 5 mM NaHCO₃, 4.7 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4). HEK293 cells were prelabelled with Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated anti-FLAG polyclonal rabbit antibody. Cells were then treated with 30 µM quisqualate and live cells imaged over a 30 min time period at 37°C. Primary mouse hippocampal neurons were transiently transfected with FLAG-mGluR1a, fixed with periodate lysine paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100. Receptor was labelled with rabbit polyclonal anti-FLAG and endogenous Rab8 labelled with mouse monoclonal anti-Rab8a antibody. Colocalization studies were performed using dual excitation (488, 543 nm) and emission (band pass 505-530 nm and long pass 560 nm for Alexa Fluor 488 and 568, respectively) filter sets.

3.2.9. Calcium Imaging

DIV 7-10 hippocampal neurons were transiently transfected with either empty pEGFP (control) or GFP-Rab8 and imaged 24 hr later. Transfected cells were identified via confocal microscopy at 488nm excitation and band pass 505-530 emission after which cells were loaded with 10 μ M fluo-4 for 30 min at room temperature and imaged using the same parameters. Cells were stimulated with 100 μ M DHPG and 1 μ M ionomycin.

3.2.10. Statistical Analysis

Densitometric data were normalized first for protein expression and the control value was set to 100, with all other values displayed as percentage thereof. One-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) was performed to determine significance, followed by a post-hoc Tukey multiple comparison test or Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test to determine which means were significantly different (p < 0.05) from one another.

3.3. RESULTS

3.3.1. Agonist-stimulated Rab8 interaction with the mGluR1a C-tail

Previously, we demonstrated that the Rab8 effector optineurin was an mGluR1a interacting protein by yeast two hybrid and that it played a role in antagonizing mGluR1a G protein signalling (Anborgh et al., 2005). Therefore, in the present study, we investigated whether Rab8 might also interact with mGluR1a. To do this, HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-tagged mGluR1a or FLAG-mGluR1b and GSTtagged Rab8 and the co-immunoprecipitation of GST-Rab8 with either FLAG-mGluR1a or FLAG-mGluR1b was assessed. We found that GST-Rab8 was co-immunoprecipitated with FLAG-mGluR1a in the absence of agonist, but that this association was increased two fold ($92 \pm 23\%$) in response to 30 μ M quisqualate treatment (Figure 3.1A and 3.1B). In contrast, GST-Rab8 co-immunoprecipitation with Flag-mGluR1b (which lacks an extended intracellular C-tail) was reduced to $72 \pm 7\%$ of control FLAG-mGluR1a immunoprecipitation (Figure 3.1A and 3.1B). Agonist treatment did not increase GST-Rab8 co-immunoprecipitation with FLAG-mGluR1b (Figure 3.1A and 3.1B). Therefore, agonist-dependent increases in Rab8 association with mGluR1a required interactions with the extended mGluR1a C-tail.

To determine whether endogenous Rab8 colocalizes with FLAG-mGluR1a, primary hippocampal neurons (DIV 14) were fixed and labelled for FLAG-mGluR1a and endogenous Rab8 protein distribution and imaged using confocal microscopy. Interestingly, while FLAG-mGluR1a and Rab8 share partial overlapping populations in the neuronal cell body (Figure 3.2A), they show little colocalization in neuronal projections in the absence of agonist (Figure 3.2B). However, following the treatment of



Figure 3.1. Agonist-dependent co-immunoprecipitation of Rab8 with mGluR1a. (A) Representative immunoblot and (B) densitometric analysis showing the coimmunoprecipitation of GST-Rab8 with the FLAG-mGluR1a and FLAG-mGluR1b in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 30 μ M quisqualate (Quis) treatment for 15 min. HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding either FLAGmGluR1a or FLAG-mGluR1b along with 2 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding GST-Rab8. 48 h post-transfection cells were stimulated and lysates were collected and FLAGmGluR1 was immunoprecipitated. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and immunoblotted for FLAG-mGluR1 and GST-Rab8. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 3 independent experiments. Data were normalized for both GST-Rab protein expression levels and FLAG-mGluR1a in absence of agonist. *p< 0.05 compared to GST-Rab8 co-immunoprecipitated with the mGluR1a in the absence of agonist.



Figure 3.2. Colocalization of mGluR1 and Rab8 in primary hippocampal neurons. (A) Representative micrographs showing localization of endogenous mGluR1 and Rab8 protein in neuronal cell body. (B) Representative micrographs showing localization of mGluR1 and Rab8 in neuronal projections. DIV 14 neurons were treated with and without 100 μ M DHPG for 30 min, fixed and labelled for endogenous mGluR1a (red) and Rab8 (green). Arrows highlight colocalization. Bars represent 5 μ m. Images represent 3 independent experiments.

hippocampal neurons with 100 μ M (S)-3,5-dihydroxylphenylglycine (DHPG), both FLAG-mGluR1a and Rab8 immunofluorescence exhibited colocalization in the spines of these projections (Figure 3.2B). Thus, agonist treatment appeared to increase FLAG-mGluR1a and endogenous Rab8 association in primary cells.

3.3.2. Rab8 antagonizes mGluR1a endocytosis to increase cell surface expression

Rab8 has been shown to differentially regulate the trafficking of the $\alpha_{2B}AR$ and β_2 AR and contributes to the regulation of the synaptic delivery and recycling of the ionotropic glutamate AMPA receptor (Deretic, 1997; Gerges et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2007; Ng and Tang, 2008; Dong et al., 2010). Therefore, we investigated whether Rab8 overexpression would affect FLAG-mGluR1a intracellular trafficking in HEK 293 cells. Initial experiments using confocal microscopy determined whether Rab8 overexpression resulted in an alteration of cell-surface FLAG-mGluR1a in live HEK 293 cells labelled with Zenon 555 Alexa Fluor-labelled primary mouse FLAG monoclonal antibody. Control cells expressing FLAG-mGluR1a alone showed steady receptor internalization upon treatment with 30 µM quisqualate (Figure 3.3, upper panels). However, in cells overexpressing GFP-Rab8 FLAG-mGluR1a internalization was not observed (Figure 3.3, lower panels). To quantify the extent of FLAG-mGluR1a internalization in the absence and presence of Rab8, we tested FLAG-mGluR1a endocytosis using a cell surface biotyinylation assay following 5 and 15 min exposures to 30 μ M quisqualate. We found that following the 15 min exposure of FLAG-mGluR1a to agonist that FLAG-mGluR1a internalization was significantly reduced in HEK 293 cells overexpressing Rab8, when compared to control cells (Figure 3.4A). When we assessed the overall cell surface expression of FLAG-mGluR1a, found fraction we that the of



Figure 3.3. Live cell imaging of mGluR1a endocytosis in the absence and presence of Rab8. Representative confocal micrograph showing internalization of FLAG-mGluR1a in the presence and absence GFP-Rab8. Live HEK 293 cells transfected 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding FLAG-mGluR1a either with (lower panels) or without (upper panels) 2 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding GFP-Rab8 were labelled with Zenon AlexaFluor 555 on ice, warmed to 37°C and stimulated with 30 μ M Quis for 15 min. Bars represent 5 μ m. Data representative of 3 independent experiments.

Figure 3.4. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a endocytosis and cell surface expression. (A) Representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis of internalized biotinylation of FLAG-mGluR1a. HEK 293 cells transiently expressing 1 µg FLAG-mGluR1a and 2 µg either pEBG (control) or GST-Rab8 placed on ice and cell surface proteins were biotinylated. Cells were then stimulated with 30 µM Quis for 0, 5 or 15 min to induce internalization and cell surface biotin stripped away. Biotin-labelled proteins were collected with neutravidin-conjugated beads, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and immunoblotted for mGluR1a. Data were normalized for protein expression. Data are representative of 6 independent experiments. p < 0.05compared to corresponding control. (B) Representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis of cell surface biotinylation of FLAG-mGluR1a. HEK 293 cells transiently expressing 1 µg FLAG-mGluR1a and 2 µg either pEBG (control) or GST-Rab8 were stimulated with 30 µM Quis for 0, 5 or 15 min, placed on ice and cell surface proteins were biotinylated. Biotin-labelled proteins were collected with neutravidin-conjugated beads, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and immunoblotted for mGluR1a. Data represent changes in cell surface mGluR1 expression. Data were normalized for protein expression and are representative of 6 independent experiments. *p < 0.05 compared to corresponding control.


FLAG-mGluR1a at the cell surface following 15 min agonist treatment was significantly greater than in control cells (Figure 3.4B). Therefore, Rab8 appeared to prevent mGluR1a endocytosis and increase cell surface mGluR1a expression.

3.3.3. Rab8 antagonizes mGluR1a inositol phosphate and calcium signalling

The Rab8 effector molecule, optineurin is known to contribute to phosphorylation-independent G protein-uncoupling and desensitization of mGluR1a (Anborgh et al., 2005). Therefore, we assessed whether Rab8 overexpression would result in altered FLAG-mGluR1a- and FLAG-mGluR1b-stimulated inositol phosphate (IP) formation in HEK 293 cells. We found that the over-expression of Rab8 resulted in a significant reduction in the maximal response for quisqualate-stimulated IP formation in FLAG-mGluR1a expressing cells reducing the maximum response to $70 \pm 7\%$ when compared to control FLAG-mGluR1a expressing cells (Figure 3.5A). However, Rab8 overexpression had no effect on the maximal response for quisqualate-stimulated IP formation in FLAG-mGluR1b expressing cells (Figure 3.5B). Thus, consistent with what was observed for agonist-stimulated association of Rab8 with mGluR1a versus mGluR1b, Rab8 overexpression selectively attenuated mGluR1a and not mGluR1b G protein signalling.

To determine whether Rab8 GDP for GTP exchange was required for the attenuation of FLAG-mGluR1a-stimulated IP formation, we assessed whether FLAG-mGluR1a-stimulated IP formation would be inhibited in the presence of either dominant-negative (Rab8-S22N) or constitutively active (Rab8-Q70L) proteins. We found that the overexpression of wild-type Rab8, Rab8-S22N and Rab8-Q70L resulted in a reduction of FLAG-mGluR1a stimulated IP formation to a similar extent (Figure 3.6A). To determine



Figure 3.5. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a- and mGluR1b-mediated inositol phosphate (IP) formation. Inositol phosphate formation in HEK 293 cells transfected with 1 μ g plasmid cDNA encoding (A) FLAG-mGluR1a or (B) FLAG-mGluR1b with 2 μ g empty pEGFP vector or GFP-Rab8. Transfected cells were treated for 10 min with 10 mM LiC1 and stimulated with 30 μ M Quis for 30 min in the presence of LiC1. Data were normalized for protein expression and basal IP formation. Lower panels show relative mGluR1a and mGluR1b protein expression in GFP and GFP-Rab8 transfected cells. Data are representative of 3-5 independent experiments. *p< 0.05 compared to corresponding control.



Figure 3.6. Effect of Rab8 nucleotide binding mutants on mGluR1a-mediated inositol phosphate formation. (A) Inositol phosphate (IP) formation in HEK 293 cells transfected with 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding FLAG-mGluR1a with 2 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding GFP-tagged Rab8 wild type (WT), constitutively active Rab8-Q67L (CA), and dominant-negative Rab8-S34N (DN) mutants. Transfected cells were treated for 10 min with 10 mM LiCl and stimulated with 30 μ M quisqualate (Quis) for 30 min in the presence of LiCl. Data were normalized for protein expression and basal IP formation. (B) Representative immunoblot showing the co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-tagged Rab8WT, Rab8CA and Rab8DN with the FLAG-mGluR1a in the absence (-) and presence (+) of 30 μ M Quis treatment for 15 min. HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding FLAG-mGluR1a along with 2 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding GFP-tagged Rab8WT, Rab8CA or Rab8DN. 48 h post-transfection cells were stimulated and lysates were collected, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and immunoblotted for mGluR1a and GFP-Rab8. Data represents 3-5 independent experiments.

whether Rab8 associated with the receptor in a nucleotide-specific manner, we also examined the agonist-stimulated co-immunoprecipitation of wild-type Rab8, Rab8-S22N and Rab8-Q70L with FLAG-mGluR1a. Although FLAG-mGluR1a interactions with both wild type Rab8 and constitutively active Rab8-Q70L were increased upon agonist stimulation, agonist did not regulate the association of dominant-negative Rab8-S22N with the receptor (Figure 3.6B). Thus, although Rab8 associated with mGluR1a in a GTP-dependent manner, the Rab8-dependent attenuation of mGluR1a G protein signalling was independent of the nucleotide-binding state of the GTPase.

Because we found that Rab8 significantly attenuated FLAG-mGluR1a-mediated IP formation in HEK 293 cells, we investigated whether Rab8 overexpression might also attenuate DHPG-stimulated Ca²⁺ release mediated by endogenous mGluR1 expressed in primary hippocampal neurons. To assess this, primary mouse hippocampal neurons (DIV7-10) were transiently transfected with either pEGFP (control) or GFP-Rab8. Neurons were imaged to identify cells that were transfected with GFP protein, and GFP protein positive cells were then subsequently loaded with the calcium indicator fluo-4 AM and the same cell imaged for DHPG-mediated for calcium release as evidence by an increase in cellular fluorescence. The treatment of hippocampal neurons with 100 μ M DHPG resulted in an increase in Fluo-4 fluorescence in both untransfected and pEGFP transfected neurons as expected (Figure 3.7). However, in GFP-Rab8 positive neurons DHPG-stimulated increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration, as measured by increased Fluo-4 fluorescence, was attenuated (Figure 3.7). All of the cells exhibited similar responses to challenge with ionomycin indicating that GFP-Rab8 overexpression was not causing a generalized defect in Ca^{2+} regulation (Figure 3.7). In order to investigate



Figure 3.7. Effect of Rab8 on DHPG-stimulated Ca^{2+} -release in hippocampal neurons. Fluorescent intensity analysis of Ca^{2+} release as represented by the fluorescent calcium indicator Fluo-4. DIV7-10 primary mouse hippocampal neurons were transiently transfected with 10 µg of plasmid cDNA encoding either pEGFP (control) or GFP-Rab8. Twenty four hours after transfection neurons were imaged to identify transfected cells, after which they were loaded with 5 µM of the calcium indicator Fluo-4 AM for 30 min at room temperature and the same field of view was imaged for calcium release with the addition of 100 µM DHPG followed by 10 µM ionomycin (iono). Data representative of 3 independent experiments.

whether Rab8 overexpression also affected other aspects of mGluR1a signalling, we examined FLAG-mGluR1a-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in HEK 293 cells (Figure 3.8). We found that agonist-stimulated FLAG-mGluR1a-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation was not affected by the overexpression of Rab8, but that basal ERK1/2 phosphorylation was decreased in cells expressing both FLAG-mGluR1a and Rab8 (Figure 3.8). Therefore, Rab8 appeared to selectively regulate FLAG-mGluR1a-stimulated IP formation in HEK 293 and Ca²⁺ release in response to the activation of endogenous mGluR1 in primary mouse hippocampal neurons.

3.3.4. Rab8 decrease of mGluR1a mediated IP3 signalling is PKC-dependent

Second messenger dependent kinases, such as PKC, can contribute to the desensitization of agonist activated group 1 mGluRs (Schoepp and Johnson, 1988; Herrero et al., 1994; Desai et al., 1999; Francesconi and Duvoisin, 2000; Ferguson, 2001). Because we found that Rab8 expression causes a significant decrease in FLAG-mGluR1a-mediated IP formation, we sought to determine whether PKC-mediated desensitization of mGluR1a signalling might be influenced by Rab8 expression. To test this, HEK 293 cells were pretreated with one of two PKC inhibitors, bisindolylmaleimide-1 (Bis-1) or chelerythrine chloride. We found that the pretreatment of HEK 293 cells with either 1 µM Bis-1 or 5 µM chelerythrine chloride for 10 minutes prevented the Rab8-mediated uncoupling of mGluR1a-stimulated IP formation to DMSO control levels (Figure 3.9). This observation suggested a role for PKC-mediated phosphorylation in the observed Rab8-dependent attenuation of FLAG-mGluR1a IP signalling.



Figure 3.8. Effect of Rab8 on mGluR1a-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Shown is a representative immunoblot and densitometric analysis of p42/44 (ERK1/2) phosphorylation. HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding FLAG-mGluR1a along with 2 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding either pEGFP (control) or GFP-Rab8. Cells were stimulated for 0, 1, 5 or 15 min with 30 μ M Quis, lysates were collected, separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose and phosphorylated ERK1/2 was detected by immunoblot. Data were normalized for total ERK expression. Data represents the mean \pm SD of 3-5 independent experiments.



Figure 3.9. PKC inhibition prevents Rab8-dependent attenuation of mGluR1amediated IP formation. HEK 293 cells were transfected with 1 μ g of plasmid cDNA encoding FLAG-mGluR1a along with 2 μ g empty pEGFP vector (Control) or GFP-Rab8. Cells were pre-incubated for 10 min with either DMSO alone or with either 1 μ M bisindolymaleimide I or 5 μ M chelerythrine chloride followed by 10 mM LiCl for 10 min and then 10 μ M quisqualate for 30 min. Data were normalized for protein expression and basal IP formation. Data are representative of 5 independent experiments. *p< 0.05 compared to control.

3.4. DISCUSSION

To date only a few Rab isoforms including Rab3, Rab8 and Rab23 have been shown to be enriched in the brain and play a role in neurons (Evans et al., 2003; Geppert et al., 1997; Ng and Tang, 2008). Rab8 is essential in several areas of polarized neuronal transport as well as in plasma membrane trafficking in epithelial cells and Rab8 siRNA knockdown prevents maturation of hippocampal neurons in culture (Huber et al., 1995; van Ijzendoorn et al., 2003; Ng and Tang, 2008). Studies now link Rab8 to a variety of different human diseases, including polycystic kidney disease, microvillus inclusion disease and Bardet-Biedl syndrome, emphasizing the physiological importance of protein trafficking in human disease (Nachury et al., 2007; Ng and Tang, 2008). Here we show that Rab8 associates with mGluR1a, but not its alternatively spliced variant, mGluR1b, which lacks an extended carboxyl-terminal tail suggesting that Rab8 binds to the carboxyl-terminal tail of mGluR1a in an agonist-regulated manner. Other Rabs, including Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 have previously been reported to associate with the carboxyl-terminal tail of the angiotensin II type 1 receptor and other GPCRs (Anborgh et al., 2000; Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004; Hamelin et al., 2005; Parent et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2010; Esseltine et al., 2011). Additionally, Rab8 has recently been shown to associate with different regions of the $\alpha_{2B}AR$ and $\beta_{2}AR$ carboxylterminal tails and differentially modulates their trafficking to the cell surface from the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Dong et al., 2010). We find that the association of Rab8 contributes to attenuated mGluR1a endocytosis, increased cell surface expression and functions to uncouple mGluR1a from G protein signalling by a mechanism that requires PKC activity.

Agonist-activated mGluR1a preferentially binds Rab8 wild type and GTP bound constitutively active mutant Rab8Q70L, but not GDP bound dominant negative mutant Rab8-S22N. This differs from the $\alpha_{2B}AR$ and β_2AR , which were recently shown to preferentially bind the GDP bound dominant negative mutant Rab8-S22N (Dong et al., 2010). However, although this study did not investigate whether agonist activation regulated Rab8 interactions with the $\alpha_{2B}AR$ and β_2AR , Rab8 knockdown resulted in attenuated cell surface expression of the $\alpha_{2B}AR$. Rab8 also has documented roles in the intracellular trafficking of other receptors such as the transferrin receptor, and has been shown to drive synaptic delivery of ionotropic glutamate AMPA receptors and their insertion into synaptic membranes (Henry and Sheff, 2008; Brown et al., 2007). However, to date, most studies have focused on the role of Rab8 in regulating receptor delivery to the plasma membrane from areas such as the TGN or recycling endosomes (Deretic, 1997; Gerges et al., 2004; Gerges et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2010). We present data here implicating Rab8 in the inhibition of mGluR1a internalization. Similar to our findings, the Rab8 effector molecule optineurin is also implicated in attenuating the internalization of transferrin receptors (Nagabhushana et al., 2010; Park et al., 2010). Rab5 has a well documented role in facilitating endocytosis of a number of receptors including the angiotensin II type 1 receptor and β_2AR (Seachrist et al., 2000; Seachrist et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004). However, to our knowledge, Rab8 represents the first example of a wild-type Rab protein that contributes to the attenuation of receptor endocytosis.

Interestingly, we find that Rab8 overexpression leads to an increase in cell surface mGluR1a expression. This increase in cell surface expression might be explained by one

137

of two mechanisms: 1) Rab8 overexpression increases cell surface expression by either reducing constitutive mGluR1a internalization or agonist-stimulated internalization of mGluR1a as the consequence of the release of endogenous glutamate into the media by HEK 293 cells. 2) Rab8 overexpression facilitates the movement of receptors from the TGN to the plasma membrane. This would be similar to what was observed for the $\alpha_{2B}AR$, where Rab8 knockdown led to impaired TGN to plasma membrane transport of the receptor (Dong et al., 2010). In hippocampal neurons, endogenous Rab8 along with the mGluR1a redistribute to spine regions after agonist stimulation, suggesting that Rab8 may regulate the localization of mGluR1a to synapse. This might be similar to the reported role of Rab8 in the neuronal trafficking and insertion of the ionotropic AMPAtype glutamate receptor at synapses (Gerges et al., 2004, Gerges et al., 2005).

Our laboratory has previously reported that the Rab8 effector molecule, optineurin associates with mGluR1a resulting in attenuated mGluR1a-stimulated IP formation (Anborgh et al., 2005). In the present study, we find that Rab8 also contributes to the regulation of mGluR1a G protein signalling. We find that Rab8 overexpression specifically contributes to the uncoupling of mGluR1a-, but not mGluR1b-stimulated IP formation. This inability of Rab8 to regulate mGluR1b signalling is correlated with the observations that mGluR1b lacks an extended carboxyl-terminal tail, and that Rab8 does not effectively associate with this mGluR1 variant. Therefore, the association between Rab8 and mGluR1a appears to be crucial for the ability of Rab8 to regulate mGluR1a signalling. We also show that mGluR1a displays no difference in Rab8-mediated IP signal attenuation when co-expressed with Rab8 nucleotide binding mutants, indicating

that Rab8 nucleotide-binding status does not play a role in regulating mGluR1a signalling.

Rab8-mediated attenuation of mGluR1a signalling is reversed by PKC inhibition, suggesting that Rab8 modulates PKC-dependent desensitization of mGluR1a. Similar to the decrease in IP formation found in HEK293 cells following Rab8 overexpression, we also find that GFP-Rab8 overexpression in primary mouse hippocampal neurons significantly reduces intracellular Ca²⁺ release in response to the activation of endogenously expressed group I mGluRs with DHPG. This role for PKC in Rab8-mediated attenuation of mGluR1a signalling is likely dependent upon PKC-dependent mGluR1a phosphorylation (Hermans and Challis, 2001).

Dominant negative or depletion of Rab8 by siRNA significantly attenuates ERK1/2 activation by the $\alpha_{2B}AR$, but not the β_2AR and inhibits plasma membrane delivery of $\alpha_{2B}AR$ from the TGN (Dong et al., 2010). We find here, that although Rab8 overexpression blocks second messenger formation in response to mGluR1a, agonist-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation is unaltered in the presence of the GTPase. However, we did find basal ERK1/2 phosphorylation in mGluR1a expressing cells was significantly attenuated in cells co-expressing Rab8. Thus, Rab8 may selectively contribute to the regulation of agonist-stimulated G protein-signalling, as opposed to regulating G protein-independent signalling mediated by the association of other proteins with mGluR1a such as Pyk2 (Nicodemo et al., 2010). However, the diminished basal ERK1/2 activity may be associated with attenuated basal mGluR1a endocytosis.

In summary, our results establish a novel role for Rab8 in the regulation of mGluR1a endocytosis and signalling. In contrast to what has previously been shown for

other GPCRs and Rabs, this is the first report of a Rab GTPase inhibiting GPCR endocytosis, while simultaneously attenuating receptor signalling. This opens a new and exciting avenue of research to improve our understanding of the molecular and physiological consequences of Rab GTPase-mediated regulation of GPCR signalling.

3.5. REFERENCES

Anborgh PH, Seachrist JL, Dale LB, Ferguson SSG (2000) Receptor/beta-arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of beta2-adrenergic and angiotensin II type 1A receptors. Mol Endocrinol 14:2040-2053.

Anborgh PH, Godin C, Pampillo M, Dhami GK, Dale LB, Cregan SP, Truant R, Ferguson SSG (2005) Inhibition of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by the huntingtin-binding protein optineurin. J Biol Chem 280:34840-34848.

Bhattacharya M, Babwah AV, Godin C, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Poulter MO, Ferguson SSG (2004) Ral and phospholipase D2-dependent pathway for constitutive metabotropic glutamate receptor endocytosis. J Neurosci 24:8752-8761.

Brown TC, Correia SS, Petrok CN, Esteban, JA (2007) Functional compartmentalization of endosomal trafficking for the synaptic delivery of AMPA receptors during long-term potentiation. J Neurosci 27:13311-13315.

Conn PJ, Pin JP (1997) Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 37:205-237.

Dale LB, Babwah AV, Ferguson SSG (2002) Mechanisms of metabotropic glutamate receptor desensitization: role in the patterning of effector enzyme activation. Neurochem Int 41:319-326.

Dale LB, Seachrist JL, Babwah AV, Ferguson SSG (2004) Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. J Biol Chem 279:13110-13118.

Deretic D (1997) Rab proteins and post-Golgi trafficking of rhodopsin in photoreceptor cells. Electrophoresis 18:2537-2541.

Desai MA, Burnett JP, Mayne NG, Schoepp DD. (1999) Pharmacological characterization of desensitization in a human mGlu1 alpha-expressing non-neuronal cell line co-transfected with a glutamate transporter. Br J Pharmacol 118:1558-2564.

Dhami GK, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Sterne-Marr R, Ferguson SSG (2002) Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J Biol Chem 277:25266-25272.

Dhami GK, Dale LB, Anborgh PH, O'Connor-Halligan KE, Sterne-Marr R, Ferguson SSG (2004) G Protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 regulator of G protein signaling homology domain binds to both metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a and Galphaq to attenuate signaling. J Biol Chem 279:16614-16620.

Dhami GK, Babwah AV, Sterne-Marr R, Ferguson SSG (2005) Phosphorylationindependent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 signaling requires g proteincoupled receptor kinase 2 binding to the second intracellular loop. J Biol Chem 280:24420-7.

Dhami GK, Ferguson SSG (2006) Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. Pharmacol Ther 111:260-271.

Dingledine R, Borges K, Bowie D, Traynelis SF (1999) The glutamate receptor ion channels. Pharmacol Rev 51:7-61.

Dong C, Yang L, Zhang X, Gu H, Lam ML, Claycomb WC, Xia H, Wu G (2010) Rab8 interacts with distinct motifs in alpha2B- and beta2-adrenergic receptors and differentially modulates their transport. J Biol Chem 285:20369-20380.

Esseltine JL, Dale LB, Ferguson SSG (2011) Rab GTPases bind at a common site within the angiotensin II type I receptor carboxyl-terminal tail: evidence that Rab4 regulates receptor phosphorylation, desensitization, and resensitization. Mol Pharmacol 79:175-184.

Evans TM, Ferguson C, Wainwright BJ, Parton RG, Wicking C (2003) Rab23, a negative regulator of hedgehog signaling, localizes to the plasma membrane and the endocytic pathway. Traffic 4:869-884.

Ferguson SSG (2001) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev 53:1-24.

Ferguson SSG, Caron MG (2004) Green fluorescent protein tagged β -arrestin translocation as a measure of G protein-coupled receptor activation. Methods Mol Biol 237:121-126.

Ferguson SSG (2007) Phosphorylation-independent attenuation of GPCR signalling. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 28:173-179.

Francesconi A, Duvoisin RM (2000) Opposing effects of protein kinase C and protein kinase A on metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling: selective desensitization of the inositol trisphosphate/Ca²⁺ pathway by phosphorylation of the receptor-G protein-coupling domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:6185-6190.

Gaborik Z, Hunyady L (2004) Intracellular trafficking of hormone receptors. Trends Endocrinol Metab 15:286-293.

Geppert M, Goda Y, Stevens CF, Sudhof TC (1997) The small GTP-binding protein Rab3A regulates a late step in synaptic vesicle fusion. Nature 387:810-814.

Gerges NZ, Backos DS, Esteban JA (2004) Local control of AMPA receptor trafficking at the postsynaptic terminal by a small GTPase of the Rab family. J Biol Chem 279:43870-43878.

Gerges NZ, Brown TC, Correia SS, Esteban JA (2005) Analysis of Rab protein function in neurotransmitter receptor trafficking at hippocampal synapses. Methods Enzymol 403:153-166.

Hamelin E, Theriault C, Laroche G, Parent JL (2005) The intracellular trafficking of the G protein-coupled receptor TPbeta depends on a direct interaction with Rab11. J Biol Chem 280:36195-36205.

Hattula K, Peränen J (2000) FIP-2, a coiled-coil protein, links Huntingtin to Rab8 and modulates cellular morphogenesis. Curr Biol 10:1603-6.

Henry L, Sheff DR (2008) Rab8 regulates basolateral secretory, but not recycling, traffic at the recycling endosome. Mol Biol Cell 19:2059-68.

Herrero I, Miras-Portugal MT, Sanchez-Prieto J (1994) Rapid desensitization of the metabotropic glutamate receptor that facilitates glutamate release in rat cerebrocortical nerve terminals. Eur J Neurosci 6:115-120.

Hermans E, Challiss RA (2001) Structural, signalling and regulatory properties of the group I metabotropic glutamate receptors: prototypic family C G-protein-coupled receptors. Biochem J 359:465-484.

Huber LA, Dupree P, Dotti CG (1995) A deficiency of the small GTPase rab8 inhibits membrane traffic in developing neurons. Mol Cell Biol 15:918-924.

Krupnick JG, Benovic JL (1998) The role of receptor kinases and arrestins in G proteincoupled receptor regulation. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 38:289-319.

Mundell SJ, Pula G, Carswell K, Roberts PJ, Kelly E (2003) Agonist-induced internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A: structural determinants for protein kinase C- and G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated internalization. J Neurochem 84:294-304.

Mundell SJ, Pula G, McIlhinney RA, Roberts PJ, Kelly E (2004) Desensitization and internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a following activation of heterologous Gq/11-coupled receptors. Biochemistry 43:7541-7551.

Nachury MV, Loktev AV, Zhang Q, Westlake CJ, Peranen J, Merdes A, Slusarski DC, Scheller RH, Bazan JF, Sheffield VC, Jackson PK (2007) A core complex of BBS proteins cooperates with the GTPase Rab8 to promote ciliary membrane biogenesis. Cell 129:1201-1213.

Nagabhushana A, Chalasani ML, Jain N, Radha V, Rangaraj N, Balasubramanian D, Swarup G (2010) Regulation of endocytic trafficking of transferrin receptor by optineurin and its impairment by a glaucoma-associated mutant. BMC Cell Biol 11:4.

Nakanishi S (1994) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: synaptic transmission, modulation, and plasticity. Neuron 13:1031-1037.

Ng EL, Tang BL (2008) Rab GTPases and their roles in brain neurons and glia. Brain Res Rev 58:236-246.

Nicodemo AA, Pampillo M, Ferreira LT, Dale LB, Cregan T, Ribeiro FM, Ferguson SS (2010) Pyk2 uncouples metabotropic glutamate receptor G protein signaling but facilitates ERK1/2 activation. Mol Brain 3:4.

Niswender CM, Conn PJ (2010) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 50:295-322.

Olney JW (1994) Excitatory transmitter neurotoxicity. Neurobiol Aging 15:259-260. Padron D, Tall RD, Roth MG (2006) Phospholipase D2 is required for efficient endocytic recycling of transferrin receptors. Mol Biol Cell 17:598-606.

Parent A, Hamelin E, Germain P, Parent JL (2009) Rab11 regulates the recycling of the beta2-adrenergic receptor through a direct interaction. Biochem J 418:163-172.

Park B, Ying H, Shen X, Park JS, Qiu Y, Shyam R, Yue BY (2010) Impairment of protein trafficking upon overexpression and mutation of optineurin. PLoS One 5:e11547. Pin JP, Bockaert J (1995) Get receptive to metabotropic glutamate receptors. Curr Opin Neurobiol 5:342-349.

Pin JP, Duvoisin R (1995) The metabotropic glutamate receptors: structure and functions. Neuropharmacology 34:1-26.

Pin JP, Galvez T, Prezeau L (2003) Evolution, structure, and activation mechanism of family 3/C G-protein-coupled receptors. Pharmacol Ther 98:325-354.

Pin JP, Joly C, Heinemann SF, Bockaert J (1994) Domains involved in the specificity of G protein activation in phospholipase C-coupled metabotropic glutamate receptors. EMBO J 13:342-348.

Reid HM, Mulvaney EP, Turner EC, Kinsella BT. (2010) Interaction of the human prostacyclin receptor with Rab11: characterization of a novel Rab11 binding domain within alpha-helix 8 that is regulated by palmitoylation. J Biol Chem 11:18709-18726.

Ribeiro FM, Pires RG, Ferguson SS (2011) Huntington's disease and Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. Mol Neurobiol 43:1-11.

Schoepp DD, Johnson BG (1988) Selective inhibition of excitatory amino acidstimulated phosphoinositide hydrolysis in the rat hippocampus by activation of protein kinase C. Biochem Pharmacol 37:4299-4305.

Seachrist JL, Anborgh PH, Ferguson SS (2000) beta 2-adrenergic receptor internalization, endosomal sorting, and plasma membrane recycling are regulated by rab GTPases. J Biol Chem 275:27221-27228.

Seachrist JL, Ferguson SS (2003) Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis and trafficking by Rab GTPases. Life Sci 74:225-235.

Seachrist JL, Laporte SA, Dale LB, Babwah AV, Caron MG, Anborgh PH, Ferguson SS (2002) Rab5 association with the angiotensin II type 1A receptor promotes Rab5 GTP binding and vesicular fusion. J Biol Chem 277:679-685.

van Ijzendoorn SC, Mostov KE, Hoekstra D (2003) Role of rab proteins in epithelial membrane traffic. Int Rev Cytol 232:59-88.

Xie C, Markesbery WR, Lovell MA (2000) Survival of hippocampal and cortical neurons in a mixture of MEM+ and B27-supplemented neurobasal medium. Free Radic Biol Med. 28:665-672.

Zerial M, McBride H (2001) Rab proteins as membrane organizers. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:107-17.

CHAPTER 4.

Naturally Occurring Pathological Mutations in Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Alter Receptor Intracellular Localization and Signalling¹

¹A version of this chapter has been submitted for publication in *Molecular Pharmacology*

Figures 4.7 and 4.8B were contributed by MDW, MEL and IHW (Eli Lilly and Company). All other experiments were performed by JLE

Contributing Authors: Jessica L. Esseltine, Melinda D. Willard, Mary E. Lajiness, Isabella H. Wulur, Thomas D. Barber and Stephen S.G. Ferguson

4.1. INTRODUCTION

Metabotropic glutamate receptors mediate the actions of the excitatory neurotransmitter, glutamate. These class C receptors are characterised by a large extracellular amino-terminal glutamate binding region comprised of 2 globular domains, which form a distinctive "venus fly trap" (VFT) (Conn and Pin, 1997). The specific amino-terminal glutamate binding region has been identified as a stretch of 24 amino acids whose mutations affect glutamate affinity (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; O'Hara et al., 1993). Adjacent to the VFT domain is a 70 amino acid Cysteine-rich domain required for allosteric coupling between the VFT and the transmembrane domains (Huang et al., 2011). This region also participates in receptor dimerization via the formation of a Cysteine bridge between receptor pairs, the disruption of which leads to receptor loss of function (Rondard et al., 2008; Romano et al., 2001; Romano et al., 1996).

The second intracellular loop of mGluR is involved in G protein coupling and selectivity (Pin et al., 1994). Group 1 mGluRs (mGluR1 and mGluR5) primarily couple through G α q and also activate G protein-independent signal transduction pathways including mitogen activated kinases (Gerber et al., 2007; Nicodemo et al., 2010). Group I mGluR-mediated ERK1/2 activation can occur via PKC, β -arrestin or through the non-receptor tyrosine kinases Src and Pyk2 (Emery et al., 2010; Nicodemo et al., 2010; Thandi et al., 2002).

Receptor activation is quickly followed by signal desensitization, a tightly regulated process essential to prevent aberrant signalling or chronic receptor overstimulation (Ferguson, 2001a). Desensitization of mGluRs is complex as mGluR1 desensitization includes phosphorylation-dependent and -independent mechanisms

147

(Ferguson, 2007; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Dhami et al., 2005, Dhami et al., 2004; Dhami et al., 2002). GRK2-mediated mGluR desensitization is phosphorylationindependent, whereby the GRK2 RGS homology domain associates with lysine residues 691 and 692 in the receptor second intracellular loop as well as the $G\alpha_{q/11}$ subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein (Dale et al., 2000; Dhami et al., 2005; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Ferguson, 2007). Meanwhile, second messenger-dependent kinases such as PKC mediate phosphorylation-dependent mGluR desensitization in the receptor second intracellular loop as well as carboxyl-terminal tail (Francesconi and Duvoisin, 2000; Ciruela et al., 1999). Threonine 695 within the second intracellular loop is a target for PKC phosphorylation and mutation at this residue specifically disrupts PKC-mediated receptor desensitization (Francesconi and Duvoisin, 2000; Medler and Bruch, 1999).

GPCR C-tails are responsible for association with many regulatory proteins involved in protein scaffolding and/or transport. For example, the Homer family of proteins is comprised of 3 family members each encoding multiple splice variants (Shiraishi-Yamaguchi and Furuichi, 2007). Homers associate with PPxxFR motif in the C-tails of mGluR1/5 via their amino-terminal ENA/VASP homology domains and regulate the subcellular distribution, plasma membrane target and signalling of mGluR1/5 (Ango et al., 2002; Ciruela et al., 1999; Coutinho et al., 2001; Roche et al., 1999; Tadokoro et al., 1999). Homer proteins link mGluR1/5 to the activation of IP3 receptors, ERK1/2 phosphorylation and the modulation of ion channel activity (Mao et al., 2005; Kammermeier et al., 2000; Yamamoto et al., 2005; Ango et al., 2011).

Recently, genetic screening studies of multiple tumour types has identified several naturally occurring pathological mutations in the ligand binding and intracellular

148

regulatory domains of mGluR1a (Kan et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2008; Sjoblom et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2007). In the present study, we have examined the effect of eight mutations identified in lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell cancer including: mutations in the orthosteric glutamate binding region (D44E and A168) and Cysteine-rich region of the amino-terminal domain (R375G and G396V), intracellular loop 2 (R684C, G696W and G668V) and Homer binding motif (P1148L) on mGluR1a signalling. We find that a subset of these mutations result in altered mGluR1a-stimulated G protein-coupling, biased ERK1/2 phosphorylation, intracellular retention in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), as well as lost Homer binding that are associated with altered subcellular localization of mGluR1a

4.2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.2.1. Materials

myo-(³H)Inositol was acquired from PerkinElmer Life Sciences (Waltham, MA). Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) resin 200–400 mesh was purchased from BioRad (Mississauga, ON, Canada). Normal donkey serum was purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA, USA). ECL Western blotting detection reagents were purchased from GE Healthcare (Oakville, ON, Canada). Horseradish peroxidaseconjugated anti-rabbit and anti-goat IgG secondary antibody were obtained from BioRad (Mississauga, ON, Canada) and anti-mGluR1 rabbit polyclonal antibody purchased from Upstate (Lake Placid, NY, USA). Rabbit polyclonal phospho-p42/44 MAP kinase (Thr202/Tyr402), p42/44 MAP kinase antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Pickering, ON, Canada). Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit IgG and Zenon Rabbit Alexa Fluor 555 were purchased from Invitrogen/Molecular Probes (Burlington, ON, Canada). Rabbit anti-FLAG antibody, M2 anti-FLAG agarose and all other biochemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada).

4.2.2. Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with 8% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) and 50 μ g/ml gentamicin. Cells seeded in 100 mm dishes were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method as described previously (Ferguson and Caron, 2004). Following transfection (18 h), the cells were incubated with fresh medium and allowed to recover for 24 hrs for co-immunoprecipitation studies. Otherwise, they were allowed to recover for 6-8 hrs and re-seeded into 12- well or 24-well dishes and then grown an additional 18 hrs prior to experimentation.

4.2.3. ERK Activation and Immuno Blotting

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs described in the *Figure Legends*. Forty-eight hours post-transfection cells were serum starved overnight in glutamine-free DMEM and stimulated for the indicated times with 30 μ M quisqualate. The cells were then placed on ice, washed two times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with cold-lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10 μ g/ml leupeptin, and 5 μ g/ml aprotinin) and phosphatase inhibitors (10 mM NaF, 5 μ M Na₃VO₄). The cells were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cell extracts were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol (BME). Samples were separated by SDS-

PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify phosphorylated (active) and total p42/44 (ERK1/2) (1:1000 dilution, Cell Signalling) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:10000, BioRad). Receptor protein expression was determined by immunoblotting 10 μ g of protein from each cell lysate. Proteins were detected using chemiluminescence with the ECL kit from GE Healthcare.

4.2.4. Co-immunoprecipitation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with cDNAs as described in the Figure Legends. Following transfection, the cells were incubated for 15 min in HEPES balanced salt solution (HBSS) at 37°C with or without 30 µM quisqualate. The cells were then placed on ice, washed two times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with cold-lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 5 µg/ml aprotinin). The lysates were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cleared supernatant containing 250 μ g protein was incubated with 25 μ L of FLAG M2-affinity beads for 1h rotating at 4°C to immunoprecipitate FLAG-mGluR1a. Following incubation, the beads were washed twice with PBS, and proteins were solubilized in a 3X SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol (BME). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify coimmunoprecipitated YFP-tagged GRK2 protein using a primary mouse anti-GFP antibody (1:1000 dilution) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-mouse antibody (1:2500 dilution). Receptor and GRK2 protein expression was

151

determined by immunoblotting 10 μ g of protein from each cell lysate used for immunoprecipitation. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence.

4.2.5. Measurement of inositol phosphate formation

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with cDNAs as described in the *Figure Legend*. Fourty-eight hours post-transfection cells were incubated overnight in inositol- and glutamine-free DMEM with 100 μ Ci/mL *myo*-[³H]-Inositol. For all experiments cells were incubated for one hour in warm HBSS (116 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 11 mM glucose, 5 mM NaHCO₃, 4.7 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4) and were then incubated with 10 mM LiCl alone for 10 min followed by 30 μ M quisqualic acid in LiCl for 30 min. Cells were placed on ice and the reaction was stopped with 500 μ L of perchloric acid and neutralized with 400 μ l of 0.72 M KOH, 0.6 M KHCO₃. Total cellular [³H]-inositol incorporation was determined in 50 μ l of cell lysate. Total inositol phosphate was purified by anion exchange resin and [³H]-inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation system.

4.2.6. Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM- 510 META laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with a Zeiss 63X, 1.4 numerical aperture, oil immersion lens (North York, ON, Canada). For live cell imaging, HEK 293 cells expressing FLAG-mGluR1a constructs were serum starved for 1 hr at 37°C in HBSS. HEK 293 cells were pre-labelled with Zenon Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated with anti-FLAG polyclonal rabbit antibody (Invitrogen). Cells were then either kept on ice or stimulated with 30 µM quisqualate for 30 min at 37°C. For fixed cell imaging, cells were washed three times at room temperature PBS, fixed for 10 min at room temperature with Periodate-Lysine-Paraformaldehyde (PLP) fixative (McLean and Nakone, 1974) followed by 10 min permeablilization with 0.01% Triton X-100. Cells were blocked with 3% normal donkey serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and labelled with Rabbit anti-FLAG polyclonal rabbit antibody followed by donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 antibody. Endoplasmic reticulum was labelled with red fluorescence protein (RFP)-fused lysine-aspartate-glutamate-leucine (KDEL) ER retention sequence. Colocalization studies were performed using dual excitation (488, 543 nm) and emission (band pass 505-530 nm and long pass 560 nm for Alexa Fluor 488 and 568, respectively) filter sets.

4.2.7. Flow Cytometry

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with the cDNAs described in the *Figure Legends*. Fourty-eight hours after transfection cells were placed on ice and washed in ice-cold HBSS. Flag-tagged mGluR1a constructs were labelled with primary rabbit anti-Flag antibody (1:500) followed by secondary goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor-488 antibody (1:500). Cells were incubated for 10 min in 5 μ M EDTA, gently removed from dish by pipetting and fixed in 3.6% formaldehyde final concentration. Cell surface mean fluorescence was assessed by flow cytometry.

4.2.8. Statistical Analysis

Densitometric data were normalized first for protein expression and the control value was set to 100, with all other values displayed as percentage thereof. One-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) was performed to determine significance, followed by a post-hoc Tukey multiple comparison test or Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test to

determine which means were significantly different (p < 0.05) from one another.

4.3. **RESULTS**

4.3.1. Mutations in the cysteine-rich domain contribute to receptor dimerization and cell surface expression

Eight naturally occurring mGluR1 mutations were previously identified in variety of tumour types with four mutations localized to the amino-terminal domain of mGluR1 (D44E, A168V, R375G and G396V) three mutations localized to the mGluR1 second intracellular loop (R684C, G688V and R696W) and one mutation localized to the Homer binding motif (P1148L) (Figure 4.1) (Kan et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2008; Sjoblom et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2007). Initial experiments examined whether the cell surface expression and dimerization of FLAG-mGluR1a was affected by each of the mutations introduced into the coding sequence for mGluR1a. Immunoblot analysis demonstrated that FLAG-mGluR1 mutations R375G and G396V, which are localized at the border of the glutamate binding domain and cysteine-rich regions, exhibited a reduction in dimer formation (Figure 4.2A). Consistent with a loss of mGluR1a dimerization, cell surface expression of FLAG-mGluR1a-R375A and -G396A exhibited reduced cell surface expression as assessed by flow cytometry; with cell surface expression reduced to $39.7 \pm$ 5.1% and 43.4 ± 3.2 % of wild type mGluR1a control transfected cells (Figure 4.2B). The reduction in cell surface FLAG-mGluR1a-R375A and -G396A expression was associated with an increased retention of both receptor mutants in the ER as demonstrated by increased colocalization with the ER marker construct KDEL-GFP (Figure 4.3). Thus, both the R375A and -G396A mutations result in a significant reduction of mGluR1a expression at the cell surface as a consequence of ER retention.

Figure 4.1. Eight naturally occurring single nucleotide polymorphisms in mGluR1a. Eight mutations have been identified within the coding sequence for mGluR1a including: A168V, a mutation in the orthosteric glutamate binding region identified in lung adenocarcinoma; two mGluR1a variants in the cysteinerich region, R375G (identified in squamous cell carcinoma) and G396V (identified in lung adenocarcinoma); three mutations in the second intracellular loop including: the glioblastoma mutation, R684C, the squamous cell carcinoma mutation, G688V, and the colorectal cancer G696W mutation located close to the putative PKC phosphorylation site T695A. A colorectal cancer mutation is located within the Homer binding region in the carboxyl-terminal mGluR1, P1148L.



Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 1a





Figure 4.2. Cell surface expression and intracellular localization of mGluR1a variants. (A) Representative immunoblot of HEK 293 cells expressing 2 μ g Flag-tagged mGluR1 constructs highlighting the monomeric (lower) and dimeric (upper) species of the receptor. (B) HEK 293 cells expressing 2 μ g Flag-tagged mGluR1a constructs were immunolabelled and cell surface mean fluorescence was assessed by flow cytometry. Data were normalized for total protein expression and represent the standard error of the mean of four independent experiments. *, p < 0.001 compared to wild type.



Figure 4.3. mGluR1 variants localize to endoplasmic reticulum. Representative confocal micrographs illustrating receptor intracellular localization (red) and colocalization with the endoplasmic reticulum (green). HEK293 cells expressing 2 μ g Flagtagged mGluR1 constructs were fixed and immunolabelled for total cellular compliment of receptor. Images are representative of 3 independent experiments. Bars represent 5 μ m.

4.3.2. Mutations in the amino-terminus exhibit altered basal and agonist-activated activity

Group I mGluRs activate both IP3 and ERK signal transduction cascades and exhibit high basal activity (Dale et al., 2000). Interestingly, three of the four aminoterminal mGluR1 variants we examined (A168V, R375G, G396V) showed significantly reduced basal IP3 formation (in the absence of agonist) compared to wild type and FLAG-mGLuR1a-R375G exhibited basal IP3 formation that was indistinguishable from non-transfected cells (Figure 4.4A). Quisqualate-mediated activation of FLAG-mGluR1a-A168V (a site located within the glutamate binding region) resulted in a $63 \pm 17\%$ increase in IP3 formation when compared to control FLAG-mGluR1a transfected cells (Figure 4.4B). Quisqualate-stimulated FLAG-mGLuR1a-R375G IP3 formation was comparable to FLAG-mGluR1a transfected cells, despite reduced cell surface expression. However, glutamate-stimulated FLAG-mGLuR1a-R375G IP3 formation was reduced to $29 \pm 4\%$ of FLAG-mGluR1a transfected cells (Figure 4.4C). Therefore, activation of this mutant by glutamate and quisqualate resulted in divergent signalling patterns.

4.3.3. R375G displays functional selectivity toward ERK1/2

To examine whether the mGluR1a mutations associated with various cancer cell lines also affected other mGluR1a-activated cell signalling pathways, we examined whether the mutations influenced the ability of mGluR1a to stimulate ERK1/2 phosphorylation in HEK293 cells. Similarly to what we found for IP3 formation, all four amino-terminal mutations also exhibited decreased basal ERK1/2 phosphorylation, but still displayed a statistically significant increase in ERK1/2 activation in response to Figure 4.4. Mutations in mGluR1 alter basal and agonist-activated inositol phosphate formation. (A) Basal IP3 formation in HEK 293 cells transiently transfected with 2 µg Flag-tagged mGluR1a constructs. Cells were labelled overnight with *myo*-(³H)Inositol in glutamine-free DMEM, incubated for 10 min with 10 mM LiCl followed by (B) 30 µM quisqualic acid or (C) 100 µM glutamate for 30 min. Total cellular (³H)inositol was collected and purified by anion exchange chromatography and [³H]-inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation. Data were normalized for protein expression and represent the standard error of the mean of four independent experiments. *, p < 0.01 compared to wild type with the same treatment.



quisqualate treatment (Figure 4.5A). Interestingly, although FLAG-mGluR1a-R375G demonstrated decreased basal ERK1/2 phosphorylation, quisqualate-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in FLAG-mGluR1-R375G expressing cells resulted in significantly increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation following 1, 5 and 10 min agonist stimulation when compared to wild-type FLAG-mGluR1a (Figure 4.5B). In contrast, ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to the activation of either FLAG-mGluR1a-R696W or - P1148L was significantly reduced when compared to wild-type FLAG-mGluR1a. Therefore, the R375G mutant appeared to be biased towards the activation of the ERK1/2 pathway, whereas the R696W and P1148L mutations were biased for G protein-mediated signalling.

4.3.4. GRK2 binding to mGluR1a mutants

Several of the identified mGluR1a mutations are localized to the second intracellular loop domain of the receptor, including R684C, G688V and G696W, a domain that is important for GRK2 binding and phosphorylation-independent desensitization of the receptor. We find that association of GRK2 with these FLAGmGluR1a variants was unchanged compared to wild-type FLAG-mGluR1a as determined by co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 4.6A). Additionally, these mGluR1 second intracellular loop mutations all continue to be desensitized by GRK2 overexpression (Figure 4.6B). Interestingly, although R696W showed no alterations in IP3 formation, agonist-activation of this mutant failed to induce ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Figure 4.5B). Therefore, mutation in this region does not alter GRK2 association or GRK2-mediated IP3 desensitization, but showed reduced receptor-mediated ERK1/2 activity.
Figure 4.5. Changes in mGluR1-mediated ERK1/2 activation. HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 2 μ g FLAG-mGluR1a constructs. Forty-eight hours post-transfection cells were serum starved overnight in glutamine-free DMEM and stimulated for (A) 15 min or (B) 0, 1, 5 or 15 min with 30 μ M quisqualate. Cell lysates were collected, subjected to SDS-page and immunoblotted for phosphorylated and total p42/44 (ERK1/2). Data were normalized for protein expression and represent the standard error of the mean for 3-5 independent experiments. ns, not significant from unstimulated. *, p < 0.05 compared to wild type.





WТ

Α



P1148L

R696W

R375G

Figure 4.6. Effect of mGluR1a mutations on GRK2 binding and receptor desensitization. (A) HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg Flagtagged mGluR1 constructs along with 1 µg GFP-GRK2. Forty-eight hours after transfection cells were lysed and FLAG-mGluR1a was immunoprecipitated. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted to identify co-immunoprecipitated YFP-tagged GRK2 protein. Receptor and GRK2 protein expression was determined by immunoblotting 10 µg of protein from each cell lysate used for immunoprecipitation. (B) HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg Flag-tagged mGluR1 constructs along with 1 µg empty pEGFP or GFP-GRK2. Cells were labelled overnight with myo-(³H)inositol in glutamine-free DMEM, incubated for 10 min with 10 mM LiCl followed by 30 µM quisqualate for 30 min. Total cellular [³H]-inositol was collected and purified by anion exchange chromatography and (³H)inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation. Data were normalized for protein expression and represent the standard error of the mean of three independent experiments.





4.3.5. Attenuated Homer binding to FLAG-mGluR1a P1148L

The carboxyl-terminus mGluR1a encodes a Homer binding motif and the association of Homers with mGluR1a has been show to modulate both the subcellular localization and signalling of mGluR1a (Brakeman et al., 1997; Wood et al., 2007; Kammermeier, 2008; Bertaso et al., 2010; Ronesi et al., 2008, 2012). The introduction of the P1148L mutations into the C-tail of FLAG-mGluR1a did not affect IP3 formation in response to agonist activation of the receptor (Figure 4.4), but diminished agonist-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation and resulted in a loss of Homer 1b binding to the receptor (Figure 4.5B and 4.7). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments show this mutant exhibits decreased association with Homer 1 compared to wild type mGluR1 (Figure 4.7). Moreover, the P1148L mutation resulted in altered subcellular localization of mGluR1a to membrane ruffles, multinucleated cells and increased filopodia formation in HEK 293 cells, an effect that was not observed for the wild-type receptor (Figure 4.8).

4.4. **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we have characterised the intracellular localization, signalling, association with regulatory molecules and cellular morphology of eight previously unstudied naturally occurring mGluR1 mutations (Kan et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2008; Sjoblom et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2007). A unique characteristic of class C GPCRs is their constitutive dimerization at the cell surface (Kniazeff et al., 2011). Group I mGluRs have been shown to homodimerize through covalent linkage via disulphide bonding at cysteine 140 within the large extracellular amino-terminal domain, although mutation of this residue is not sufficient to inhibit dimerization (Kniazeff et al., 2004; Ray and Hauschild, 2000; Robbins et al., 1999). Here we identify two mGluR1a variants,



Figure 4.7. mGluR1 P1148L is deficient in Homer1 binding. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with HA-Homer1 and empty vector ('-'), or indicated FLAG-tagged mGluR1 constructs. Anti-FLAG was used to immunoprecipitate ('IP') mGluR1 from resultant lysates. 48h post-transfection, co-immunoprecipitated proteins and total lysates resolved by SDS–PAGE were immunoblotted ('IB') with anti-FLAG and anti-HA antibodies.



Figure 4.8. Homer binding mutant mGluR1 P1148L promotes filopodia formation. (A) Representative confocal micrographs illustrating receptor localization and cell morphology. HEK293 cells expressing 2 μ g Flag-tagged mGluR1 constructs were fixed and immunolabelled for total cellular compliment of receptor. Images are representative of 3 independent experiments. Bars represent 5 μ m. (B) Graph scoring the number of cells displaying filopodia. NIH-3T3 cells transiently expressing Flag-mGluR1 wild type or P1148L were fixed and immunolabelled for total cellular compliment of the receptor and number cells displaying filopodia were counted per field of view. 100 cells from three separate experiments were counted randomly and a data represent the average standard error of the mean of three independent experiments.

R375G and G396V, which migrate on a western blot exclusively at the size of the monomer (~140 kDa). The amino-terminal region of these mutations is consistent with other studies, which report covalent linkages are not essential for dimerization of all Group I mGluRs, suggesting that multiple interactions are involved in the dimerization of these receptors (Sato et al., 2003; Romano et al., 2001, 1996). However, it is becoming clear that proper receptor targeting and function of many GPCRs is dependent on dimer formation (Milligan, 2010). For example, the $GABA_B$ receptor requires both $GABA_{B1}$ and GABA_{B2} subunits in order to reach the plasma membrane as well as activate G proteins (White et al., 1998; Duthey et al., 2002; Pin et al., 2004). Heterodimerization of GABA_B receptor subunits masks an ER retention sequence in the carboxyl-terminus of GABA_B (Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). Additionally, heterodimerization between the α_{1D} - and α_{1B} -adrenoceptors, was shown to be necessary for the proper cell-surface expression of the $\alpha_{1D}AR$ subtype (Hague et al., 2006). Dimerization is also essential for receptor-G protein coupling. GABA_{B1} requires co-expression with GABA_{B2} in order to couple functionally to the G protein signalling cascade (Galvez et al., 2001; Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). This is not just due to plasma membrane localization as a mutant form of GABA_{B1} that lacks its ER retention signal and can reach the cell surface on its own still requires $GABA_{B2}$ for functional activity (Margeta-Mitrovic et al., 2000). Our data would support the concept that dimer formation may contribute to appropriate cell surface expression of mGluR1a, as two amino-terminal mutants (R375G and G396V) result in ER retention of mGluR1a and reduced cell surface expression. We also find that these mGluR1a mutants, exhibit significantly reduced basal inositol phosphate formation. However, this reduction in constitutive mGluR1a signalling may be independent of cell

surface expression as another amino-terminal mutation (A168V), which displays the same cell surface expression as wild type, also results in attenuated basal activity.

Group I mGluRs exhibit significant basal G protein activation (Dale et al., 2000). However, three amino-terminal mGluR1a mutations, A168V, R375G and G396V as well as one second intracellular loop mutant (G688V) exhibited a loss of basal activity in HEK 293 cells. The reduction in basal activity for the amino-terminal mGluR1a mutations may be the consequence of altered affinity for glutamate that may be released from the HEK 293 cells to feedback on the receptor. However, inconsistent with this notion is the observation that the mGluR1a-A168V mutant exhibits increased activation of IP3 formation in response to agonist treatment. The intracellular loop mutation G688V also resulted in decreased basal mGluR1a activity without affecting agonist-stimulated responses. However, the rationale for the observed reduction in basal activity of the mGluR1a-G688V variant remains to be determined.

It is well established that mGluRs activate downstream mitogenic pathways such as the ERK1/2 signalling cascade that contributes to alterations in cell proliferation (Rozengurt, 2007). Group I mGluRs activate ERK1/2 in both calcium-dependent and independent manners, the latter involving G $\beta\gamma$ and non-receptor tyrosine kinases such as Src and Pyk2 (Nicodemo et al., 2010). We have identified three mGluR1a mutants with altered ERK activation including mGluR1 R375G, which exhibits markedly increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation upon quisqualate stimulation. Although this mutation does not affect quisqualate-stimulated IP3 formation, glutamate-mediated IP3 formation is significantly impaired for the R375G mutant. Taken together, these observations suggest that mutations localized to the amino-terminal domain of mGluR1a may bias receptor signalling towards the ERK1/2 pathway.

Receptor modulation by regulatory proteins such as GRK2 represents a major mechanism controlling the magnitude and duration of GPCR signal transduction. GRK2mediated attenuation of mGluR1a signalling occurs as the consequence of the concomitant association of the kinase with the second intracellular loop domain of the receptor and $G\alpha_{q/11}$ (Dhami et al., 2004; Dhami et al., 2005; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Ferguson, 2007). We investigated here, the effect of three intracellular loop 2 mutations on the association of GRK2 with mGluR1a. We find that none of the mutations exhibits reduced GRK2 association and that GRK2 overexpression results in normal attenuation of G protein signalling in response to the activation of mGluR1a second intracellular loop mutants. Interestingly, we also find that ERK1/2 activation in response to activation of mGluR1a-R696W is lost, suggesting that this residue may contribute to the regulation of ERK1/2 signalling by the receptor. Our previous studies have shown that Pyk2 binds to the second intracellular loop domain of mGluR1a and may directly contribute to the activation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Nicodemo et al., 2010). Future studies will be required to assess whether this mutation affects Pyk2 binding to mGluR1a.

Of the many regulatory proteins that interact with Group I mGluRs, the Homer family of proteins are predominantly featured as they are synaptically localized and couple Group I mGluRs to the activation of a variety of ion channels at the synapse (Ango et al., 2002; Ciruela et al., 1999; Coutinho et al., 2001; Roche et al., 1999; Tadokoro et al., 1999). Here we show that the naturally occurring mGluR1 variant (P1148L) does not associate with Homer1b, is uncoupled from the activation of ERK1/2

172

phosphorylation and causes enhanced multinucleation and filopodia formation in HEK 293 cells. The loss of agonist-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation by the mGluR1a-P1148L mutant is consistent with recent reports that Homer 1a may contribute in part to the coupling of mGluR1a to the activation of ERK1/2 (Mao et al., 2005; Mao et al., 2008). The mechanism by which the P1148L mutation leads to increased multinucleation and filopodia formation is unclear, but likely relevant to the association of this mutation with a cancer cell line.

In this study, we characterised the signalling and intracellular localization of eight somatic mutations in mGluR1 identified in genome-wide screens of various cancerous tissue samples. We identified two mutations involved in dimerization and plasma membrane targeting as well as several mutations differentially affecting IP3 formation and MAPK signalling of this receptor. For example, we demonstrate that the R375G mutant preferentially couples to ERK1/2 activation while exhibiting decreased basal and glutamate-activated inositol phosphate production. Additionally, the A168V mutant displays decreased basal and increased agonist-mediated IP3 formation. These alterations in the mGluR1a activity may contribute, at least in part, to the phenotype of the cancer cell lines in which they were identified. This study sheds new light on the functionality of different regions of the receptor as well as further defining the residues involved in mGluR1 signalling and the role of mGluR1 signalling in pathologies and may provide an exciting opportunity for developing new mGluR1-targeted treatments.

4.5. **REFERENCES**

Ango F, Robbe D, Tu JC, Xiao B, Worley P.F, Pin JP, Bockaert J, and Fagni L (2002) Homer-dependent cell surface expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5 in neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci 20:323-329.

Bertaso F, Roussignol G, Worley P, Bockaert J, Fagni L, Ango F (2010) Homer1adependent crosstalk between NMDA and metabotropic glutamate receptors in mouse neurons. PLoS One 5:e9755.

Bhattacharya M, Babwah AV, Godin C, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Poulter MO, and Ferguson SS (2004) Ral and phospholipase D2-dependent pathway for constitutive metabotropic glutamate receptor endocytosis. J. Neurosci. 24:8752-8761.

Brakeman PR, Lanahan AA, O'Brien R, Roche K, Barnes CA, Huganir RL, and Worley PF (1997) Homer: a protein that selectively binds metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nature 386:284-288.

Ciruela F, Soloviev MM, and McIlhinney RA (1999) Co-expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 1alpha with homer-1a/Vesl-1S increases the cell surface expression of the receptor. Biochem J 341 (Pt 3):795-803.

Conn PJ, and Pin JP (1997) Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 37:205-237.

Coutinho V, Kavanagh I, Sugiyama H, Tones MA, and Henley JM (2001) Characterization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5-green fluorescent protein chimera (mGluR5-GFP): pharmacology, surface expression, and differential effects of Homer-1a and Homer-1c. Mol Cell Neurosci 18:296-306.

Dale LB, Babwah AV, Bhattacharya M, Kelvin DJ, and Ferguson SS (2001) Spatialtemporal patterning of metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated inositol 1,4,5triphosphate, calcium, and protein kinase C oscillations: protein kinase C-dependent receptor phosphorylation is not required. J Biol Chem 276:35900-35908.

Dale LB, Bhattacharya M, Anborgh PH, Murdoch B, Bhatia M, Nakanishi S, and Ferguson SS (2000) G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated desensitization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A protects against cell death. J Biol Chem 275:38213-38220.

Dhami GK, and Ferguson SS (2006) Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. Pharmacol Ther 111:260-271.

Dhami GK, Babwah AV, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2005) Phosphorylationindependent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 signaling requires g proteincoupled receptor kinase 2 binding to the second intracellular loop. J Biol Chem 280:24420-24427.

Dhami GK, Dale LB, Anborgh PH, O'Connor-Halligan KE, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2004) G Protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 regulator of G protein signaling homology domain binds to both metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a and Galphaq to attenuate signaling. J Biol Chem 279:16614-16620.

Dhami GK, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2002) Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J Biol Chem 277:25266-25272.

Dingledine R, Borges K, Bowie D, and Traynelis SF (1999) The glutamate receptor ion channels. Pharmacol Rev 51:7-61.

Duthey B, Caudron S, Perroy J, Bettler B, Fagni L, Pin JP, and Prezeau L (2002) A single subunit (GB2) is required for G-protein activation by the heterodimeric GABA(B) receptor. J Biol Chem 277:3236-3241.

Emery AC, Pshenichkin S, Takoudjou GR, Grajkowska E, Wolfe BB, Wroblewski JT (2010) The protective signaling of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 Is mediated by sustained, beta-arrestin-1-dependent ERK phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 285:26041-8.

Ferguson SS (2007) Phosphorylation-independent attenuation of GPCR signalling. Trends Pharmacol Sci 28:173-179.

Ferguson SS (2001a) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev 53:1-24.

Ferguson SS (2001b) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev 53:1-24.

Francesconi A, Duvoisin RM (2000) Opposing effects of protein kinase C and protein kinase A on metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling: selective desensitization of the inositol trisphosphate/Ca2+ pathway by phosphorylation of the receptor-G protein-coupling domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:6185-90.

Francesconi A, and Duvoisin RM (1998) Role of the second and third intracellular loops of metabotropic glutamate receptors in mediating dual signal transduction activation. J Biol Chem 273:5615-5624.

Galvez T, Duthey B, Kniazeff J, Blahos J, Rovelli G, Bettler B, Prezeau L, and Pin JP (2001) Allosteric interactions between GB1 and GB2 subunits are required for optimal GABA(B) receptor function. EMBO J 20:2152-2159.

Gerber U, Gee CE, and Benquet P (2007) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: intracellular signaling pathways. Curr Opin Pharmacol 7:56-61.

Hague C, Lee SE, Chen Z, Prinster SC, Hall RA, and Minneman KP (2006) Heterodimers of alpha1B- and alpha1D-adrenergic receptors form a single functional entity. Mol. Pharmacol. 69:45-55.

Huang S, Cao J, Jiang M, Labesse G, Liu J, Pin JP, Rondard P (2011) Interdomain movements in metabotropic glutamate receptor activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:15480-5.

Kammermeier PJ (2008) Endogenous homer proteins regulate metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling in neurons. J Neurosci 28:8560-7.

Kan Z, Jaiswal BS, Stinson J, Janakiraman V, Bhatt D, Stern HM, Yue P, Haverty PM, Bourgon R, Zheng J, (2010) Diverse somatic mutation patterns and pathway alterations in human cancers. Nature 466:869-873.

Kniazeff J, Bessis AS, Maurel D, Ansanay H, Prezeau L, and Pin JP (2004) Closed state of both binding domains of homodimeric mGlu receptors is required for full activity. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11:706-713.

Kniazeff J, Prezeau L, Rondard P, Pin JP, and Goudet C (2011) Dimers and beyond: The functional puzzles of class C GPCRs. Pharmacol Ther 130:9-25.

Krupnick JG, and Benovic JL (1998) The role of receptor kinases and arrestins in G protein-coupled receptor regulation. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 38:289-319.

Mao LM, Zhang GC, Liu XY, Fibuch EE, and Wang JQ (2008) Group I metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated gene expression in striatal neurons. Neurochem Res 33:1920-1924.

Mao L, Yang L, Tang Q, Samdani S, Zhang G, and Wang JQ (2005) The scaffold protein Homer1b/c links metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 to extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase cascades in neurons. J Neurosci 25:2741-2752.

Margeta-Mitrovic M, Jan YN, and Jan LY (2000) A trafficking checkpoint controls GABA(B) receptor heterodimerization. Neuron 27:97-106.

Medler KF, Bruch RC (1999) Protein kinase Cbeta and delta selectively phosphorylate odorant and metabotropic glutamate receptors. Chem Senses 24:295-9.

Milligan G (2010) The role of dimerisation in the cellular trafficking of G-proteincoupled receptors. Curr Opin Pharmacol 10:23-9. Mundell SJ, Pula G, Carswell K, Roberts PJ, and Kelly E (2003) Agonist-induced internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A: structural determinants for protein kinase C- and G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated internalization. J Neurochem 84:294-304.

Mundell SJ, Pula G, McIlhinney RA, Roberts PJ, and Kelly E (2004) Desensitization and internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a following activation of heterologous Gq/11-coupled receptors. Biochemistry 43:7541-7551.

Nicodemo AA, Pampillo M, Ferreira LT, Dale LB, Cregan T, Ribeiro FM, and Ferguson SS (2010) Pyk2 uncouples metabotropic glutamate receptor G protein signaling but facilitates ERK1/2 activation. Mol Brain 3:4.

Niswender CM, and Conn PJ (2010) Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 50:295-322.

O'Hara PJ, Sheppard PO, Thøgersen H, Venezia D, Haldeman BA, McGrane V, Houamed KM, Thomsen C, Gilbert TL, Mulvihill ER (1993) The ligand-binding domain in metabotropic glutamate receptors is related to bacterial periplasmic binding proteins. Neuron 11:41-52.

Olney JW (1994) Excitatory transmitter neurotoxicity. Neurobiol Aging 15:259-260.

Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, Mankoo P, Carter H, Siu IM, Gallia GL, (2008) An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. Science 321:1807-1812.

Pin JP, Galvez T, and Prezeau L (2003) Evolution, structure, and activation mechanism of family 3/C G-protein-coupled receptors. Pharmacol Ther 98:325-354.

Pin JP, Kniazeff J, Binet V, Liu J, Maurel D, Galvez T, Duthey B, Havlickova M, Blahos J, Prezeau L, and Rondard P (2004) Activation mechanism of the heterodimeric GABA(B) receptor. Biochem Pharmacol 68:1565-1572.

Ray K, and Hauschild BC (2000) Cys-140 is critical for metabotropic glutamate receptor-1 dimerization. J Biol Chem 275:34245-34251.

Robbins MJ, Ciruela F, Rhodes A, and McIlhinney RA (1999) Characterization of the dimerization of metabotropic glutamate receptors using an N-terminal truncation of mGluR1alpha. J Neurochem 72:2539-2547.

Roche KW, Tu JC, Petralia RS, Xiao B, Wenthold RJ, and Worley PF (1999) Homer 1b regulates the trafficking of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. J Biol Chem 274:25953-25957.

Romano C, Miller JK, Hyrc K, Dikranian S, Mennerick S, Takeuchi Y, Goldberg MP, O'Malley KL (2001) Covalent and noncovalent interactions mediate metabotropic glutamate receptor mGlu5 dimerization. Mol Pharmacol 59:46-53.

Romano C, Yang WL, O'Malley KL (1996) Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 is a disulfide-linked dimer. J Biol Chem 271:28612-6.

Rondard P, Huang S, Monnier C, Tu H, Blanchard B, Oueslati N, Malhaire F, Li Y, Trinquet E, Labesse G, Pin JP, Liu J (2008) Functioning of the dimeric GABA(B) receptor extracellular domain revealed by glycan wedge scanning. EMBO J 27:1321-32.

Ronesi JA, Collins KA, Hays SA, Tsai NP, Guo W, Birnbaum SG, Hu JH, Worley PF, Gibson JR, Huber KM (2012) Disrupted Homer scaffolds mediate abnormal mGluR5 function in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. Nat Neurosci doi: 10.1038/nn.3033.

Ronesi JA, Huber KM (2008) Homer interactions are necessary for metabotropic glutamate receptor-induced long-term depression and translational activation. J Neurosci 28:543-7.

Rozengurt E (2007) Mitogenic signaling pathways induced by G protein-coupled receptors. J Cell Physiol 213:589-602.

Sato T, Shimada Y, Nagasawa N, Nakanishi S, Jingami H (2003) Amino acid mutagenesis of the ligand binding site and the dimer interface of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1. Identification of crucial residues for setting the activated state. J Biol Chem 278:4314-21.

Schoepp DD, and Johnson BG (1988) Selective inhibition of excitatory amino acidstimulated phosphoinositide hydrolysis in the rat hippocampus by activation of protein kinase C. Biochem Pharmacol 37:4299-4305.

Sjoblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, Parsons DW, Lin J, Barber TD, Mandelker D, Leary RJ, Ptak J, Silliman N, et al. (2006) The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science 314:268-274.

Shiraishi-Yamaguchi Y, Furuichi T (2007) The Homer family proteins. Genome Biol 8:206.

Tadokoro S, Tachibana T, ImanakaT, Nishida W, and SobueK (1999) Involvement of unique leucine-zipper motif of PSD-Zip45 (Homer 1c/vesl-1L) in group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptor clustering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:13801-13806.

Thandi S, Blank JL, Challiss RA (2002) Group-I metabotropic glutamate receptors, mGlu1a and mGlu5a, couple to extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation via distinct, but overlapping, signalling pathways. J Neurochem 83:1139-53.

Tu JC, Xiao B, Yuan JP, Lanahan AA, Leoffert K, Li M, Linden DJ, Worley PF (1998) Homer binds a novel proline-rich motif and links group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with IP receptors. Neuron 21:717-26.

Urban JD, Clarke WP, von Zastrow M, Nichols DE, Kobilka B, Weinstein H, Javitch JA, Roth BL, Christopoulos A, Sexton PM, et al. (2007) Functional selectivity and classical concepts of quantitative pharmacology. J Pharmacol ExpTher 320:1-13.

Wang M, Bianchi R, ChuangSC, Zhao W, and Wong RK (2007) Group I metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent TRPC channel trafficking in hippocampal neurons. J Neurochem 101:411-421.

White JH, Wise A, Main MJ, Green A, Fraser NJ, Disney GH, Barnes AA, Emson P, Foord SM, Marshall FH (1998) Heterodimerization is required for the formation of a functional GABA(B) receptor. Nature 396:679-82.

Wood LD, Parsons DW, Jones S, Lin J, Sjoblom T, Leary RJ, Shen D, Boca SM, Barber T, Ptak J, et al. (2007) The genomic landscapes of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science 318:1108-1113.

CHAPTER 5.

DISCUSSION

5.1. SUMMARY

The aim of this thesis was to elucidate the role that receptor trafficking plays in signal transduction by addressing the following three questions:

- 5. Do multiple Rab proteins associate with AT₁R to alter receptor desensitization or resensitization?
- 6. Does Rab8 associate with mGluR1 to modulate its intracellular trafficking and signalling?
- 7. What alterations do previously uncharacterized single nucleotide polymorphisms in mGluR1 exhibit in intracellular localization and signal transduction?

The data presented in chapters 2, 3 and 4 summarize my findings aimed at answering these important questions pertaining to the role intracellular trafficking plays in GPCR signal transduction (Figure 5.1). In chapter 2 we learned that, in addition to the previously published Rab5a, other Rab GTPases including Rab4, Rab7 and Rab11 associate with overlapping residues of the angiotensin II type 1 receptor C-tail and compete with each other to regulate receptor phosphorylation, desensitization and resensitization. Dale et al., (2004) showed that overexpression of constitutively active Rab7 and Rab11 mutants could override Rab5a-mediated AT_{1A}R retention in early endosomes, and divert the receptor through Rab7 or Rab11 pathways, suggesting that other Rabs may compete with each other for AT_{1A}R trafficking. Here we found that overexpression of Rab4 and Rab11 can each uncouple Rab5 from AT₁R. Additionally, Rab4 can cause Rab11 to dissociate from AT₁R. However, Rab11 was unable to uncouple Rab4 from the receptor. Additionally, Rab4, but not Rab11, facilitates the dephosphorylation of AT₁R, thus decreasing the desensitization and enhancing the



Figure 5.1. Newly identified roles of Rab family members in GPCR endocytic trafficking and signalling. Although Rab5 is well known to participate in clathrinmediated endocytosis, we find here that Rab8 blocks mGluR1a endocytosis. In addition to mediating the "fast" recycling route, Rab4, but not Rab11, facilitates dephosphorylation of AT_1R , thus decreasing desensitization and facilitating resensitization.

resensitization of the receptor. β 2AR dephosphorylation and resensitization occurs as the receptor transits between the Rab5-positive early endosome and the Rab4-positive rapid recycling endosome while dephosphorylated CRF1 α receptor colocalized with Rab4 but not with Rab5 (Seachrist et al., 2000; Holmes et al., 2004). Moreover, it has been reported that phosphorylated μ -opioid receptor is preferentially recycled through Rab4-positive endosomes (Wang et al., 2008). The dephosphorylation of these and other receptors within Rab4 positive recycling vesicles identifies this compartment as a key region of GPCR resensitization. The data presented in chapter 2 support the theory that in addition to regulating receptor trafficking, the association of different Rab GTPases may regulate different functional outcomes for AT₁R signalling.

In chapter 3 we investigated the role of the previously typified exocytic Rab8 in the regulation of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (Figure 5.2). We found that through association with the long C-tail isoform mGluR1a, Rab8 expression resulted in decreased internalization and attenuated IP3 signalling in HEK293 cells and Ca²⁺ signalling in neurons. Multiple Rabs have been shown to be involved in both endocytic and exocytic events. However, this is the first time a Rab has been shown to inhibit internalization. A consequence of this Rab8-mediated internalization attenuation is increased overall cell surface mGluR1 expression. Surprisingly, the increased cell surface expression did not translate into increased receptor signalling, but instead resulted in a PKC-dependent attenuation of signalling via increased phosphorylation. In chapter 3, we present a novel role for Rab8 in attenuating mGluR1a internalization and signalling, which opens a new and exciting avenue of research into the role of Rabs in GPCR regulation.



Figure 5.2. Rab8 coordinates mGluR1a intracellular trafficking and signal transduction. Rab8 associates with mGluR1a upon agonist activation and attenuates receptor internalization, leading to increased mGluR1a plasma membrane expression. While attenuating mGluR-mediated IP3 and calcium signalling, Rab8 has no effect on mGluR-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

Finally, in chapter 4 we look at the subcellular localization and signal transduction of eight previously uncharacterised mGluR1 variants identified in various cancerous tissues. These include four mutations within the amino-terminus, three within the second intracellular loop and one located within the Homer binding region in the carboxylterminal tail. We find that two mutants in close proximity in the amino-terminus, R375G and G396V do not appear to form dimers, exhibit significantly lower plasma membrane expression compared to wild type mGluR1 and co-localize considerably within the ER with an ER marker GFP-KDEL. We show that these mutants along with other aminoterminal mutants exhibit significantly reduced basal IP3 formation as well as decreased basal ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared to wild type mGluR1a. Interestingly, the mGluR1a A168VA mutant, located in the glutamate binding region, displays significantly reduced basal signalling. However, it also displays significantly increased agonist-induced IP3 formation. R375G, on the other hand displays biased agonism and functional selectivity. Quisqualate-induced IP3 formation of the R375G variant shows little difference from wild type mGluR1a, but R375G exhibits significantly attenuated response to the natural ligand glutamate. Consequently, the activation of this receptor variant by different ligands appears to result in divergent signalling patterns. Additionally, mGluR1a R375G also exhibits functionally selective signal transduction in response to quisqualate. Although quisqualate-activated mGluR1a-R375G shows no alterations in IP3 formation compared to wild type, quisqualate treatment induces significantly higher ERK1/2 activation. This finding is especially dramatic considering that this mutant displays only 40% cell surface expression when compared with wild type receptor. We also identified two mGluR1a mutations, which no longer associate with

regulatory molecules. GRK2 remains associated with mutations in the second intracellular loop and GRK2 overexpression continues to mediate mGluR1a desensitization. Additionally, P1148L fails to associate with Homer 1b, is impaired in the activation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation and appears to stimulate significant changes in cellular morphology including increased filopodia formation and multinucleation. The findings presented in chapter 4 opens exciting, previously undocumented roles for mGluR1a regions involved in agonist binding and activity including biased agonism or functional selectivity. Taken together, our findings support the important role that intracellular trafficking and localization plays in receptor function and signal transduction.

5.2. CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FIELD OF GPCR RESEARCH

In recent years, studies from our laboratory and others have provided increasingly convincing evidence that GPCR trafficking actively affects signal transduction and indeed, receptor signal transduction can modulate the activity of proteins controlling the trafficking vesicular cargo (Smythe, 2002). Thus GPCRs represent unique cargo proteins that contribute to the regulation of their own intracellular trafficking. Our research presented here regarding Rab-mediated GPCR alterations in signalling further supports this idea that intracellular trafficking of GPCRs not only passively participates in receptor signalling, but can actively alter receptor signalling.

Seachrist et al. (2002) demonstrated that $AT_{1A}R$ activation causes GTP loading of Rab5a and upon agonist activation, is endocytosed in a Rab5a-dependent manner and sequestered to large, hollow Rab5a-positive early endosomes. Dale et al. (2004) further went to show that concurrent overexpression of either Rab7 or Rab11 overcomes this

Rab5a-mediated $AT_{1A}R$ retention in early endosomes and redirects the receptor to either Rab7-positive late endosomes or Rab11-positive recycling endosomes, respectively. This led to the question we posed in chapter 2: do other Rab GTPases also associate with AT_1R ? If so, do they compete with each other for trafficking of the receptor? Interestingly, we found that Rab4, Rab5, Rab7 and Rab11 all associate with the same amino acid residues of the AT_1R C-tail and compete with each other for association. Internalized AT₁R usually does not readily dephosphorylate nor recycle and the Rab11 "slow" pathway has previously been shown to regulate this receptor's recycling (Anborgh et al., 2000; Hunyady et al., 2002; Dale et al., 2004). However, Li et al., 2008 provided evidence to suggest that in addition to Rab11, the so-called "fast" Rab4 pathway can also regulate AT₁R recycling. Therefore, we sought to determine what recycling pathway AT_1R prefers, and the consequences thereof. We found that indeed AT_1R binds more to Rab11 than Rab4. However, Rab4 can cause dissociation of Rab11 from the receptor but Rab11 is unable to displace Rab4. Additionally, Rab4 expression results in a significant decrease in agonist-mediated AT₁R phosphorylation and thus significantly decreased receptor desensitization, as well as enhanced receptor resensitization. Therefore, although AT₁R normally associates with the Rab11-mediated slow recycling pathway, when presented the oppourtunity, it can alter its trafficking patterns in favour of Rab4-mediated fast recycling, thus altering its activity.

Rab GTPases have well-documented roles in receptor endocytosis and exocytosis. Rab5a participates in clathrin-mediated endocytosis of a number of receptors, including AT_1R , β_2AR , CRF among others and Rab4, Rab11, Rab8 are known to facilitate recycling of GPCRs, including the corticotropin releasing factor receptor 1, somatostatin-

187

3 receptor, vasopressin V2 receptor, neurokinin-1 receptor, chemokine CXC receptor-2, m4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor and protease activated receptor, are also differentially regulated by Rab4 and Rab11 (Innamorati et al., 2001; Kreuzer et al., 2001; Schmidlin et al., 2001; Signoret et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2002; Volpicelli et al., 2002; Roosterman et al., 2003; Holmes et al., 2006; Deretic, 1997; Seachrist et al., 2000; Seachrist et al., 2002; Trischler et al., 1999). Rab8, specifically was recently shown to differentially regulate the TGN to plasma membrane trafficking of $\alpha_2 AR$ and $\beta_2 AR$ and has also been shown to coordinate with Rab11 to regulate the insertion of AMPA-type glutamate receptors into spines of hippocampal neurons (Brown et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2010; Gerges et al., 2004). Interestingly, we uncovered a novel role for Rab8 in the attenuation of internalization of mGluR1a resulting in an increased plasma membrane receptor occupancy. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a Rab protein blocking internalization of a receptor and this finding opens up the possibility of Rabs managing yet another trafficking pathway with which to participate in receptor regulation. Contrary to other reports, which indicate that Rab8 expression facilitates receptor signalling by increased cell surface expression, we found that Rab8-mediated increases in mGluR1a plasma membrane expression resulted in decreased receptor signalling both in HEK 293 cells as well as hippocampal neurons. However, our results are consistent with the role of the Rab8 effector molecule optineurin, which was also found to contribute to mGluR1a desensitization (Anborgh et al., 2005). This study uncovers a novel role of a Rab GTPase in negatively regulating receptor endocytosis and intracellular signal transduction.

The signal transduction of the prototypic mGluR1a has been extensively characterised, including mutagenesis of many putative regulatory and signalling-related regions of the receptor. However, recent high-throughput genetic screening studies have identified several naturally occurring, previously uncharacterised mGluR1 mutations. In chapter 4 we examined these naturally occurring pathological mutations of mGluR1 and found them to play a substantial role in signal transduction and cellular localization. We have studied three mutations in the amino terminus, which display decreased basal signalling, a mutant in the second intracellular loop, which no longer associates with GRK2, yet is still desensitized by it, as well as one mutation in the carboxyl-terminal tail, which no longer associates with the regulatory protein Homer1, displays altered receptor localization, increased filipodia formation and defective ERK1/2 activation. One mutation in the Cysteine-rich region of the amino terminus emerged as a significant player in intracellular trafficking as well as signalling. Specifically, mGluR1 R375G simultaneously exhibited decreased basal IP3 formation while significantly increased agonist-activated ERK1/2 formation. These mutations further our understanding of mGluR1 signal transduction and intracellular localization and provide the possibility of studying previously uncharacterised amino acid residues for the purpose of generating novel ligands with functional selectivity.

5.3. PHYSIOLOGICAL RELEVANCE OF TRAFFIC-DEPENDENT SIGNALLING OF GPCRS

5.3.1. Deregulated Trafficking and Disease

The majority of pharmaceutical interventions specifically target GPCRs due to their critical involvement in all physiological systems and the contribution of receptor perturbations to multiple diseases and disorders such as obesity and diabetes, hypertension, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's and Huntington's diseases among others (Ahren, 2009; Lappano and Maggiolini, 2012; Johnson and Liggett, 2011; Ribeiro et al., 2011; Thathiah and De Strooper, 2011). Mutations in multiple GPCRs, which alter receptor signalling can cause or contribute to disease progression, but it is now becoming apparent that disruption of trafficking pathways can also contribute to deregulation of GPCR signalling and influence disease formation. Because many GPCR ligands do not readily cross the plasma membrane, receptor trafficking to and from the plasma membrane is crucial to cellular responsiveness. For example, a naturally occurring loss of function mutation in the vasopressin receptor is associated with hereditary nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (Rochdi et al., 2010; Barak et al., 2001). This mutant is constitutively phosphorylated and sequestered in arrestin-positive endocytic vesicles. However, disrupting the receptorarrestin complex restores plasma membrane localization and signalling. Altered endocytosis and enhanced receptor activity has been linked to Alzheimer's disease. Inhibition of dynamin-dependent endocytosis has been shown to increase $A\beta$ secretion and a natural variant in the delta-opioid receptor containing phenylalanine at position 27 rather than Cysteine matures more efficiently and has higher stability at the plasma membrane (Chyung and Selkoe, 2003; Sarajarvi et al., 2011). Interestingly, this variant also enhances β and γ -secretase activity leading to amyloid precursor protein accumulation. Therefore, in addition to rapid and effective signal desensitization, the endocytosis and intracellular trafficking of GPCRs can spatially and temporally determine receptor signal transduction and play a role in disease pathology.

5.3.2. Consequence of Altered Rab Protein Expression and Function

As discussed above, the role Rabs play in signal transduction is becoming more evident, especially the direct regulation of target proteins by Rabs, including Rabmediated phosphorylation, ubiquitination and palmitoylation of target proteins. Rabs and their effector proteins are often associated with diseases, including pathogen-induced as well as inherited dysfunctions and are also associated with multiple neurodegenerative disorders. For example, neuronal cell death in Parkinson's disease correlates with Rab5aspecific endocytosis of α -synuclein and dominant-negative Rab5 reduces neuronal cell death due to incomplete endocytosis of α -synuclein (Sung et al., 2001). Rab7 mutations impair GTP hydrolysis and contribute to the hereditary neurological disorder Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2B (Cogli et al., 2009). As noted above, Rab8 may play a role in the etiology of Huntington's disease via association with mutant huntingtin (htt) and optineurin as mutant htt prevents post-Golgi trafficking by disrupting the Rab8/optineurin complex (del Toro et al., 2009). However, Rab11 may also contribute to the pathology of Huntington's disease. Rab11-dependent vesicle formation in fibroblasts is impaired in Huntington patients and Rab11DN-expressing adult mouse brains display similar neurodegeneration to the HD mutant mouse model (Li et al., 2009a, b). It is now apparent that coordination of Rab proteins and their effectors can play a significant part in the onset and development of pathologies and learning more about the role they play in normal and diseased states may lead to better treatment options.

5.4. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The novel discoveries presented in this thesis highlight a number of interesting questions to pursue in the future. Primarily, the precise details of the molecular mechanisms involved in the crosstalk and signal transduction cascades between Rab small G proteins and other GPCRs remain to be elucidated. Additionally, we have shown that Rab4 influences the phosphorylation state of AT_1R . How exactly does Rab4 regulate

the phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of this receptor? Does it block kinase-mediated phosphorylation or does it recruit phosphatases to dephosphorylate the receptor? Does Rab4 function by itself or does it work in conjunction with an effector molecule? We also show that different Rabs compete with each other for association with the receptor and that some Rabs are more effective at displacing others. Therefore, a greater understanding of how to manipulate the Rab-mediated receptor trafficking may enable us to influence receptor signalling. Specifically, if receptors were to be found in cells or tissues endogenously expressing higher levels of different Rabs, would their intracellular trafficking and signalling patterns differ? It would be extremely interesting to look at different GPCRs in endogenous tissues or cells displaying divergent Rab expression patterns to discover whether this significantly alters signal transduction. Further, can we alter the response of certain cells or tissues to stimulus by manipulating the complement of Rabs? In chapter 2 we learned that Rab4, but not Rab11 alters AT₁R agonist-induced phosphorylation, thus modifying receptor desensitization and resensitization. However, we know that as a Class B receptor, AT_1R does not usually become dephosphorylated and recycled back to the cell surface. Therefore, this raises the question of whether AT_1R activity might be manipulated in either whole tissues or whole animals by altering the expression patterns of Rab GTPases. It would also be very exciting, for example, to engineer a transgenic mouse expressing dominant negative Rab4 in vascular smooth muscle cell, to determine whether these animals exhibit differences in vascular tone, contraction both under basal conditions and after stimulation with vasoconstrictors such as AngII. We could further study the signal transduction in isolated cells to identify any altered or aberrant signalling in these cells compared to wild type litter mates.

Investigating the complement of Rabs in different tissue types or diseased tissue and the influence on GPCR regulation would grant us greater understanding to the physiological role Rabs play in signal transduction.

In Chapter 3 we reported how Rab8 modulates the trafficking and signalling of mGluR1a. We need to first isolate all of the players in this regulatory pathway. For example, we know that PKC is involved, as PKC inhibition reverses the Rab8-mediated effect on mGluR1a signalling. However, are there other second messenger-dependent kinases involved or does PKC exert its effect through regulation of some downstream target? Additionally, we identified for the first time a Rab protein that blocks receptor internalization. It is a also unclear what the specific mechanism underlying the Rab8mediated inhibition of mGluR1a signalling is and what the specific purpose of this inhibition may achieve. The consequence of Rab8-mediated attenuation of mGluR1a endocytosis is increased receptor localization at the plasma membrane and the concurrent reduction in mGluR1a-mediated signalling. Our laboratory has shown that mGluR1a also associates with the Rab8 effector molecule, optineurin (Anborgh et al., 2005) and we have found here that Rab8 itself plays a similar role to optineurin in the desensitization of mGluR1. Therefore, it is of interest to determine whether Rab8-mediated attenuation of mGluR1a signalling is either independent of optineurin or works in concert with optineurin. The glaucoma-associated optineurin E50K mutant continues to associate with mGluR1a, however does not associate with Rab8. Presumably, if Rab8 works in concert with optineurin, then expression of this mutant would inhibit the Rab8-mediated mGluR1a desensitization. Conversely, if Rab8 works independently of optineurin, there should be no effect of expressing with mutant on mGluR1a signalling. There are several

other molecules involved in the desensitization and endocytosis of mGluR1, including GRK2, phospholipase D2 and RalA among others (Dhami et al., 2005, 2004, 2002; Bhattacharya et al., 2004). In the future it will be of interest to determine if any of these molecules play a role in Rab8-modulation of mGluR1a trafficking and signal transduction.

It would be most interesting to engineer a Rab8 conditional knockout, or knockdown mouse (as Rab8 null mice have been reported to be lethal 3 weeks after birth, (Sato et al., 2007) in order to determine whether these animals display altered behaviour such as deficiencies in learning or memory, motor skills, or other behavioural characteristics. In addition to being a Rab8 effector molecule, optineurin is also a well known htt binding protein. Huntington's disease is a neurodegenerative disorder caused by an abnormal polyglutamine expansion in the amino-terminus of the huntingtin protein, leading to cell dysfunction and neuronal death (Ribeiro et al., 2011). Optineurin colocalizes with htt in the Golgi apparatus where it participates in post-Golgi trafficking and Rab8 coordinates with optineurin to mediate the post-Golgi transport of proteins (del Toro et al., 2009). Although wild-type htt does not contribute to optineurin-mediated mGluR desensitization, mutant htt synergistically increases optineurin-mediated mGluR desensitization in HEK 293 cells as well as in a knock-in mouse model of HD (HdhQ111/Q111) (Anborgh et al., 2005). As was found for Rab8-mediated desensitization of mGluR signalling, the attenuation of mGluR5 signalling observed in HdhQ111/Q111 mice is PKC dependent (Ribeiro et al., 2010). Therefore, it would be most interesting to further elucidate the role that Rab8 plays in the etiology of HD. Specifically, does Rab8 complex with the receptor, optineurin and htt? Does it preferentially associate with wild type or mutant htt? Finally, it would be exciting to generating a cross between our mutant HdhQ111/Q111 mice with Rab8 knock-down mice to see if we reverse mGluR desensitization in this model and alter the Huntington phenotype.

To our knowledge, this is the first example of a Rab protein blocking internalization of a receptor and this finding opens up the possibility of Rabs managing yet another aspect of receptor trafficking with which to participate in receptor regulation. It would be of significant interest to determine whether other Rabs serve a similar purpose, or whether Rab8 itself inhibits internalization of other receptors. Our findings suggest that, although Rab8 expression leads to an overall increase in cell surface receptors, phosphorylation of those receptors by second messenger-dependent kinases is increased. Thus, Rab may either function to block mGluR1a internalization ultimately to prevent mGluR1a dephosphorylation and resensitization or Rab8 association may block other regulatory molecules from accessing the receptor.

In Chapter 4 we examined several previously uncharacterised naturally occurring pathological mutations of mGluR1a, which we found played a substantial role in modulating the signal transduction and cellular localization of mGluR1a. We first need to delineate the regulatory molecules involved in the altered signal transduction pathways. For example, we know that mGluR1a-G696W no longer associates with GRK2, but is still uncoupled following GRK2 overexpression. Therefore, this begs the question as to just how GRK2 continues to exert its effect on mGluR1a activity. The most probable explanation is that GRK2 continues to associate with G $\alpha_{q/11}$ through its RGS domain and that GRK2 overexpression mediated uncoupling of mGluR1a signalling is the

consequence of its selective interaction with $G\alpha_{q/11}$. A good way to test this would be to repeat the experiment with kinase-dead GRK2 mutants as well as GRK2 mutants deficient in $G\alpha_{q/11}$ binding. We also found that this mGluR1a mutant does not activate ERK1/2. It would also be of value to determine whether the association of other downstream signalling molecules, such as Pyk2 (Nicodemo et al., 2010) are also affected by the mutation, and of course whether this affects mGluR1a signalling via these proteins. In addition, GRK2 overexpression reverses mGluR1a-mediated cell death in HEK 293 cells (Dale et al., 2000). Thus, it is possible that the mGluR1a-G696W mutant may be associated with increased apoptotic cell death.

Homer proteins are post-synaptic density proteins with known functions in receptor trafficking and calcium homeostasis. We have shown that mGluR1a-P1148L no longer associates with Homer 1b, and although IP3 signalling is unaffected, consistent with other reports, mGluR1a-P1148L can no longer activate ERK1/2 phosphorylation. We also found evidence that mGluR1a-P1148L intracellular trafficking and cell morphology is altered. What are the physiological consequences of this altered phenotype? For example, it would be interesting to test whether the increased filopodia formation of mGluR1a-P1178L results in an increase in cell motility.

One mutation in the Cysteine-rich region of the amino terminus emerged as a significant player in intracellular trafficking as well as signalling. Specifically, mGluR1a-R375G activation by quisqualate resulted in divergent signal transduction outcomes, the differential activation of G protein versus ERK1/2 signalling. This "biased agonism" now represents an important target for drug design and focuses on the development of compounds that can stabilize different receptor conformations, thus encouraging signal

transduction through one pathway or another. It would, therefore, be beneficial to study the conformation that this receptor assumes in the presence and absence of different agonist binding. Additionally, R375G simultaneously exhibited unchanged quisqualateinduced IP3 formation as well as significantly increased agonist-activated ERK1/2 formation. We should probe into the consequences of this functionally selective signal transduction to see whether we can manipulate the signal patterns of this receptor variant. For example, increased ERK1/2 activity of mGluR1a-R375G may lead to a change in cell cycle regulation, apoptosis or growth.

As these mGluR1a mutants were originally isolated from human tissue, and specifically diseased tissue, the altered signal transduction portfolios of each raise interesting and potentially crucial questions as to the *in vivo* roles these mGluR1a mutants may play in pathology. To that end, creating transgenic animal models of at least mGluR1a-R375G and -P1148L would enable us to study the signal transduction and intracellular trafficking of these variants in various endogenous cells and tissues as well as to study the organism as a whole to look at development, behaviour and pathologies.

The studies that originally isolated these mutations in mGluR1a also highlighted mutations in a number of other GPCRs, including mGluR3, α_{1a} AR, luteinizing hormone receptor, as well as regulatory molecules GRK1 and Homer2 and downstream effector molecules, PLC β , PLD2 and small G proteins such as Ral, RalGDS, Rab5c, Rab3a (Kan et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2008; Sjoblom et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2007). It would be beneficial to study the mutations in these other molecules, and how they interact with each other to determine their altered signal transduction and intracellular localization mechanisms.

5.5. **REFERENCES**

Ahrén B (2009) Islet G protein-coupled receptors as potential targets for treatment of type 2 diabetes. Nat Rev Drug Discov 8:369-85.

Anborgh, P.H., Godin, C., Pampillo, M., Dhami, G.K., Dale, L.B., Cregan, S.P., Truant, R., and Ferguson, S.S. (2005). Inhibition of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by the huntingtin-binding protein optineurin. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 34840-34848.

Anborgh PH, Seachrist JL, Dale LB, Ferguson SS (2000) Receptor/beta-arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of beta2-adrenergic and angiotensin II type 1A receptors. Mol Endocrinol 14:2040-53.

Barak LS, Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Caron MG (2001) Constitutive arrestin-mediated desensitization of a human vasopressin receptor mutant associated with nephrogenic diabetes insipidus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:93-8.

Bhattacharya M, Babwah AV, Godin C, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Poulter MO, and Ferguson SS (2004) Ral and phospholipase D2-dependent pathway for constitutive metabotropic glutamate receptor endocytosis. J. Neurosci. 24:8752-8761.

Brown, T.C., Correia, S.S., Petrok, C.N., and Esteban, J.A. (2007). Functional compartmentalization of endosomal trafficking for the synaptic delivery of AMPA receptors during long-term potentiation. J. Neurosci. *27*, 13311-13315.

Chyung JH, Selkoe DJ (2003) Inhibition of receptor-mediated endocytosis demonstrates generation of amyloid beta-protein at the cell surface. J Biol Chem 278:51035-43.

Cogli L, Piro F, Bucci C (2009) Rab7 and the CMT2B disease. Biochem Soc Trans 37:1027-31.

Dale LB, Seachrist JL, Babwah AV, and Ferguson SS (2004) Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. J Biol Chem 279:13110-13118.

Dale LB, Bhattacharya M, Anborgh PH, Murdoch B, Bhatia M, Nakanishi S, and Ferguson SS (2000) G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated desensitization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A protects against cell death. J Biol Chem 275:38213-38220.

del Toro D, Alberch J, Lázaro-Diéguez F, Martín-Ibáñez R, Xifró X, Egea G, Canals JM (2009) Mutant huntingtin impairs post-Golgi trafficking to lysosomes by delocalizing optineurin/Rab8 complex from the Golgi apparatus. Mol Biol Cell 20:1478-92.

Deretic, D. (1997). Rab proteins and post-Golgi trafficking of rhodopsin in photoreceptor cells. Electrophoresis *18*, 2537-2541.
Dhami GK, and Ferguson SS (2006) Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. Pharmacol Ther 111:260-271.

Dhami GK, Babwah AV, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2005) Phosphorylationindependent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 signaling requires g proteincoupled receptor kinase 2 binding to the second intracellular loop. J Biol Chem 280:24420-24427.

Dhami GK, Dale LB, Anborgh PH, O'Connor-Halligan KE, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2004) G Protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 regulator of G protein signaling homology domain binds to both metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a and Galphaq to attenuate signaling. J Biol Chem 279:16614-16620.

Dhami GK, Anborgh PH, Dale LB, Sterne-Marr R, and Ferguson SS (2002) Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J Biol Chem 277:25266-25272.

Dong, C., Yang, L., Zhang, X., Gu, H., Lam, M.L., Claycomb, W.C., Xia, H., and Wu, G. (2010). Rab8 interacts with distinct motifs in alpha2B- and beta2-adrenergic receptors and differentially modulates their transport. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 20369-20380.

Fan G-H., Lapierre L.A., Goldenring J.R., and Richmond A. (2002). Differential regulation of CXCR2 trafficking by Rab GTPases. Blood. *101*, 2115–2124.

Gerges, N.Z., Backos, D.S., and Esteban, J.A. (2004). Local control of AMPA receptor trafficking at the postsynaptic terminal by a small GTPase of the Rab family. J. Biol. Chem. *279*, 43870-43878.

Holmes, K.D., Babwah, A.V., Dale, L.B., Poulter, M.O., and Ferguson, S.S. (2006). Differential regulation of corticotropin releasing factor 1alpha receptor endocytosis and trafficking by beta-arrestins and Rab GTPases. J. Neurochem. *96*, 934-949.

Hunyady, L, Baukal, AJ, Gaborik, Z, Olivares-Reyes, JA, Bor, M, Szaszak, M, Lodge, R, Catt, KJ, and Balla, T (2002) Differential PI 3-kinase dependence of early and late phases of recycling of the internalized AT1 angiotensin receptor. J Cell Biol 157:1211-1222.

Innamorati G., Le Gouill C., Balamotis M., and Birnbaumer M. (2001). The long and the short cycle. Alternative intracellular routes for trafficking of G-protein-coupled receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *276*, 13096–13103.

Johnson JA, Liggett SB (2011) Cardiovascular pharmacogenomics of adrenergic receptor signaling: clinical implications and future directions. Clin Pharmacol Ther 89:366-78.

Kreuzer O.J., Krisch B., Dery O., Bunnett N.W., and Meyerhof W. (2001). Agonistmediated endocytosis of rat somatostatin receptor subtype 3 involves β -arrestin and clathrin coated vesicles. J. Neuroendocrinol. *13*, 279–287. Lappano R, Maggiolini M (2012) GPCRs and cancer. Acta Pharmacol Sin doi: 10.1038/aps.2011.183. [Epub ahead of print]

Li X, Standley C, Sapp E, Valencia A, Qin ZH, Kegel KB, Yoder J, Comer-Tierney LA, Esteves M, Chase K, Alexander J, Masso N, Sobin L, Bellve K, Tuft R, Lifshitz L, Fogarty K, Aronin N, DiFiglia M (2009) Mutant huntingtin impairs vesicle formation from recycling endosomes by interfering with Rab11 activity. Mol Cell Biol 29:6106-16.

Li X, Sapp E, Chase K, Comer-Tierney LA, Masso N, Alexander J, Reeves P, Kegel KB, Valencia A, Esteves M, Aronin N, Difiglia M (2009) Disruption of Rab11 activity in a knock-in mouse model of Huntington's disease. Neurobiol Dis 36:374-83.

Li H, Li HF, Felder RA, Periasamy A, Jose PA (2008) Rab4 and Rab11 coordinately regulate the recycling of angiotensin II type I receptor as demonstrated by fluorescence resonance energy transfer microscopy. J Biomed Opt 13:031206.

Ribeiro FM, Pires RG, Ferguson SS (2011) Huntington's disease and Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. Mol Neurobiol 43:1-11.

Ribeiro FM, Paquet M, Ferreira LT, Cregan T, Swan P, Cregan SP, Ferguson SS (2010) Metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated cell signaling pathways are altered in a mouse model of Huntington's disease. J Neurosci 30:316-24.

Rochdi MD, Vargas GA, Carpentier E, Oligny-Longpré G, Chen S, Kovoor A, Gitelman SE, Rosenthal SM, von Zastrow M, Bouvier M (2010) Functional characterization of vasopressin type 2 receptor substitutions (R137H/C/L) leading to nephrogenic diabetes insipidus and nephrogenic syndrome of inappropriate antidiuresis: implications for treatments. Mol Pharmacol 77:836-45.

Roosterman D., Schmidlin F., and Bunnett N.W. (2003). Rab5a and rab11a mediate agonist-induced trafficking of protease-activated receptor 2. Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Physiol. 284, C1319-1329.

Sarajärvi T, Tuusa JT, Haapasalo A, Lackman JJ, Sormunen R, Helisalmi S, Roehr JT, Parrado AR, Mäkinen P, Bertram L, Soininen H, Tanzi RE, Petäjä-Repo UE, Hiltunen M (2011) Cysteine 27 variant of the delta-opioid receptor affects amyloid precursor protein processing through altered endocytic trafficking. Mol Cell Biol 31:2326-40.

Sato T, Mushiake S, Kato Y, Sato K, Sato M, Takeda N, Ozono K, Miki K, Kubo Y, Tsuji A, Harada R, Harada A (2007) The Rab8 GTPase regulates apical protein localization in intestinal cells. Nature 448:366-9.

Seachrist, J.L., Anborgh, P.H., and Ferguson, S.S. (2000). beta 2-adrenergic receptor internalization, endosomal sorting, and plasma membrane recycling are regulated by rab GTPases. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 27221-27228.

Seachrist, J.L., Laporte, S.A., Dale, L.B., Babwah, A.V., Caron, M.G., Anborgh, P.H., and Ferguson, S.S. (2002). Rab5 association with the angiotensin II type 1A receptor promotes Rab5 GTP binding and vesicular fusion. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 679-685.

Schmidlin F., Dery O., Defea K.O., Slice L., Patierno S., Sternini C., Grady E.F., and Bunnett N.W. (2001). Dynamin and Rab5a-dependent trafficking and signaling of the neurokinin 1 receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 25427–25437.

Signoret N., Pelchen-Matthews A., Mack M., Proudfoot A.E., and Marsh M. (2001). Endocytosis and recycling of the HIV coreceptor CCR5. J. Cell Biol. *151*, 1281–1294.

Smythe E (2002) Direct interactions between rab GTPases and cargo. Mol Cell 9:205-6.

Sung, J.Y., Kim, J., Paik, S.R., Park, J.H., Ahn, Y.S., and Chung, K.C. (2001). Induction of neuronal cell death by Rab5A-dependent endocytosis of alpha-synuclein. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 27441-27448.

Thathiah A, De Strooper B (2011) The role of G protein-coupled receptors in the pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 12:73-87.

Trischler, M., Stoorvogel, W., and Ullrich, O. (1999). Biochemical analysis of distinct Rab5- and Rab11-positive endosomes along the transferrin pathway. J. Cell. Sci. *112 (Pt 24)*, 4773-4783.

Volpicelli L.A., Lah J.J., Fang G., Goldenring J.R., and Levey A.I. (2002). Rab11a and myosin Vb regulate recycling of the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. J. Neurosci. *22*, 9776–9784.

CURRICULUM VITAE JESSICA LYNN ESSELTINE

EDUCATION

 Ph.D. in Physiology and Pharmacology 2006-2012 The University of Western Ontario, London Ontario, Canada The Robarts Research Institute, London Ontario, Canada 	
• BSc in Biology, Honors Genetics 2006 The University of Western Ontario, London Ontario, Canada	
RESEARCH EXPERIENCE	
Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology The University of Western Ontario Supervised by Dr. Stephen S.G. Ferguson, The Robarts Research Institute	2006-Present
Summer Student Researcher The Robarts Research Institute. Stephen S.G. Ferguson Lab	2005-2006
Undergraduate Honors Independent Research Project The University of Western Ontario, Honors Genetics. Supervised by Dr. Kathleen Hill	2005-2006
TEACHING	
Teaching assistant for Physiology 314a/3140, "Cellular Physiology" The University of Western Ontario.	2007-2010
Tutor and Examination Proctor. The University of Western Ontario, Biology 281b, "Genetics"	2006

Supervision

- Assisted in the training and education of 2 Master's students, 1 PhD student and 2 Post Doctoral Fellows in the Ferguson Lab.
- Directly supervised a full time summer student in the Ferguson lab.

CURRICULUM VITAE JESSICA LYNN ESSELTINE PAGE 2

AWARDS AND FELLOWSHIPS

Scholarships

 Ontario Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology Ontario Graduate Scholarship 	2010 2009
 Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario Master's Studentship Canadian Institutes for Health Research Strategic Training Program 	2007-2009 2006-2008
 Ontario Graduate Scholarship (Declined) 	2000 2000
Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry Graduate Scholarship	2006-2011
Travel Awards	
• Fifth Canadian Neuroscience Meeting. Quebec City, Quebec, Canada	2011
• Second annual Molecular Pharmacology of G Protein-Coupled Receptors Meeting. Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.	2010
 First annual School of Translational Science. AC Camargo Cancer Hospital. Sao Paulo, Brazil. 	2009
• Ninth annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires. Montreal, Quebec, Canada	2008

PUBLICATIONS

1. Jessica L. Esseltine, Lianne B. Dale and Stephen S.G. Ferguson. (2011) Rab GTPases Bind at a Common Site within the Angiotensin II Type I Receptor Carboxyl-Terminal Tail: Evidence that Rab4 Regulates Receptor Phosphorylation, Desensitization, and Resensitization. *Mol Pharm* **79**; 179-84.

SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPTS

- Jessica L. Esseltine, Melinda D. Willard, Mary E. Lajiness, Isabella H. Wulur, Thomas D. Barber, and Stephen S. G. Ferguson. Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Mutations in Cancer Alter Receptor Intracellular Localization and Signalling. *Mol Pharm, Submitted.* (In Collaboration with Eli Lilly and Company).
- 2. Jessica L. Esseltine, Fabiola Ribeiro and Stephen S.G. Ferguson. Rab8 modulates Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Signalling and Intracellular Trafficking. J. Neurosci. Submitted.

CURRICULUM VITAE JESSICA LYNN ESSELTINE PAGE 3

ORAL PRESENTATIONS

- 1. Jessica Esseltine, Fabiola Ribeiro, and Stephen Ferguson. Rab8 modulates Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Signalling and Intracellular Trafficking. *Invited trainee oral presentation* and poster presentation at the annual Molecular Pharmacology of G Protein-Coupled Receptors meeting, Melbourne Australia. December 2-4, 2010.
- Jessica Esseltine, Fabiola Ribeiro, and Stephen Ferguson. Rab8 modulates Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Signalling and Intracellular Trafficking. *Invited trainee oral presentation* and poster presentation at the 13th annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires October 27-29, 2011.
- 3. Jessica L. Esseltine, Robert Gros, Hubert H. M. Van Tol, Natalie Lavine, and Stephen S. G.Ferguson. Role of G Protein-coupled Receptor Kinase 2 in Regulating Vascular Function. *Invited trainee oral presentation* and poster presentation at the 9th annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires, October 16-18, 2008.
- 4. Esseltine, J., Farmakovski, N., Tarnowski, H., Prtenjaca, A., and Hill, K. Development of a Murine Single-Cell Mutation Load Assay. *Oral presentation* at 19th Annual Ontario Biology Day, March 19, 2006. (B.Sc. work).

ABSTRACTS

- 1. Melinda D. Willard, Jessica L. Esseltine, Mary E. Lajiness, Isabella H. Wulur, Thomas D. Barber, and Stephen S. G. Ferguson. Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Mutations in Cancer Alter Receptor Intracellular Localization and Signalling. Poster presentation at the annual American Association for Cancer Research meeting March 31-April 4, 2012.
- 2. Jessica L. Esseltine, Melinda D. Willard, Mary E. Lajiness, Isabella H. Wulur, Thomas D. Barber, and Stephen S. G. Ferguson. Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Mutations in Cancer Alter Receptor Intracellular Localization and Signalling. Poster presentation at the 13th annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires, October 27-29, 2011.

- **3.** Melinda D. Willard, **Jessica L. Esseltine**, Mary E. Lajiness, Isabella H. Wulur, Thomas D. Barber, and Stephen S. G. Ferguson. Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Mutations in Cancer Alter Receptor Intracellular Localization and Signalling. Poster presentation at the annual American Society of Human Genetics meeting October 11-15, 2011.
- 4. Jessica L. Esseltine, Fabiola Ribeiro, Lianne Dale and Stephen Ferguson. Rab8 modulates Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Signalling and Intracellular Trafficking. Annual Physiology and Pharmacology Research Day. Awarded first place in student poster presentation signal transduction catergory. November 9, 2010
- 5. Jessica L. Esseltine, Dale, LB. and Ferguson, SSG. Regulation of Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor by RabGTPases. *Awarded best integration of physical sciences in biology* at the Canadian Institutes for Health Research strategic training program poster competition, April 8, 2008
- 6. Jessica L. Esseltine, Dale, L., and Ferguson, SSG. Regulation of Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor by RabGTPases. Poster presentation at the 8th annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires, September 27-29, 2007.
- 7. Magalhaes, AC., Holmes, KD., Esseltine, J. and Ferguson, SSG. Modulation of 5HT receptor activity upon CRF stimulation. Poster presentation at the 8th annual joint meeting of Great Lakes G Protein-Coupled Receptor Retreat and le Club des Recepteurs a Sept Domaines Transmembranaires, September 27-29, 2007.
- 8. Jessica L. Esseltine, Dale, L., Magalhaes, AC. and Ferguson, SSG. Direct and Indirect Regulation of Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor by RabGTPases. Poster Presentation at the First Joint Annual Research Day Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario, June 6, 2007.
- **9.** Jessica L. Esseltine, Dale, L., Magalhaes, AC. and Ferguson, SSG. Direct and Indirect Regulation of Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor by RabGTPases. Poster Presentation at the Robarts Research Institute Vascular and Cell Biology Joint Annual Retreat, March 28, 2007.
- **10. Jessica L. Esseltine**, Dale, L., Magalhaes, AC. and Ferguson, SSG. Direct and Indirect Regulation of Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor by RabGTPases. Poster Presentation at the Margaret Moffat Research Day, March 22, 2007.
- **11.** Tarnowski H., Prtenjaca A., **Esseltine J.**, Farmakovski N., Yu J. and Hill K.A. Toward improved analysis of mutation load: a new murine *in situ* mutation detection assay. Poster presentation at Genetic Society of Canada Conference, June 18-21, 2006. (B.Sc. work).