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#### Abstract

This thesis was undertaken to examine the physiological mechanisms that interact to govern the adjustment of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ during the on-transient of moderate-intensity exercise as well as during incremental exercise, using non-invasive measures. Particular emphasis was placed on the information provided by pairing breath-by-breath pulmonary $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ measures with near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)-derived measures to investigate the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery in the determination of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ during various exercise challenges.

The main findings were that: 1) local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery likely plays a ratelimiting role in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (at least when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is greater than $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), even in young, healthy adults; 2) $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ can be reduced by augmenting local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery (with heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise) and increased by impairing local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery (with acute, mild hypoxia); 3) the relative slowing of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ onkinetics response when moderate-intensity exercise is initiated from an elevated baseline WR does not appear to be the result of reduced local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery in older adults; 4) whereas the effects of moderate-intensity work rate (WR) increment were heterogeneous with respect to $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ in those with fast versus slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics, increasing WR increments were associated with increasing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ costs (i.e., functional gain; $\left.G=\Delta \mathrm{VO}_{2} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}\right)$ regardless of the rate of adjustment; this suggests that $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional G may be dissociated; and 5) the appropriateness of a sigmoid regression to characterize the overall $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise (at least for comparative purposes) was challenged, and a 'double-linear' model was proposed as an alternative.
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## CHAPTER I: Introduction

Except during periods of quiet rest, the activities of daily living involve voluntary skeletal muscle contractions (i.e., muscular exercise); these contractions are supported by the breakdown of the high-energy compound, adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Regardless of the specific nature of this exercise - be it a constant load or incrementally more intense with increasing duration - in order for exercise to continue, this ATP must be resynthesized by the body's energy systems. Whereas the non-aerobic energy systems (i.e., ATP-PCr and anaerobic glycolysis) are both capacity-limited, the aerobic energy system (i.e., that relying on the consumption of $\mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) is rate-limited. Of particular interest, therefore, are the factors that limit the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at exercise onset and the factors that govern the maximum rate at which $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ can be consumed in the mitochondria of the exercising muscle.

## $\mathbf{V O}_{2}$ KINETICS

Upon a "step" increase in work rate (WR), there is an instantaneous increase in ATP demand. Yet, the adjustment of oxidative phosphorylation (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics) towards the new steady-state requirement is exponential, rather than immediate (Linnarsson 1974; Whipp and Wasserman 1972). Whether the rate of this adjustment is limited by factors related to insufficient $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to the active muscle fibers during the exercise ontransient (Hughson et al. 2001; Murias et al. 2011b), or factors related to insufficient provision of metabolic substrates other than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Grassi 2001; Poole et al. 2008) resulting from a 'sluggish' activation of the intracellular "metabolic machinery" (termed "metabolic inertia") is controversial and remains somewhat unclear. Recently it has been
proposed that, even within an individual, both an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation and a metabolic substrate provision limitation are possible (Poole and Musch 2010); however, this hypothesis supposes that, for a given exercise intensity transition, only one of these factors can impose a rate-limiting effect.

Different methodological approaches have been used to measure $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics in humans. Grassi et al. (1996) used the thermodilution technique to measure muscle limb blood flow directly and arterial and venous sampling for $a-\mathrm{vO}_{2 \text { diff }}$ in order to derive muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ in the exercising limb. This technique is invasive in nature and yet the venous $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content readings only provide an estimate of active muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction in that the measurement includes blood returning to the venous circulation from both active and inactive fibers. Another technique used commonly to infer the rate of adjustment for muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ requires measurements of [ PCr ] breakdown by 31-phosphorous magnetic resonance spectroscopy ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{MRS}$ ) (McCreary et al. 1996; Rossiter et al. 1999). However, limitations in terms of equipment requirements and exercise modalities during testing are evident.

## NON-INVASIVE EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES IN VO $_{2}$ KINETCIS

## RESEARCH

## Breath-by-breath gas exchange

A viable alternative to these costly and/or invasive techniques is to monitor changes in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics) using breath-by-breath gas exchange measurements. In this sense, the adjustment of pulmonary $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ can provide non-invasive insights into changes in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within the exercising muscle (Rossiter et al. 1999). Indeed, the assessment of changes in $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is the most commonly used technique for measurement of
$\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics because it is non-invasive, relatively accessible for most exercise physiology laboratories, and permits measurement of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ while performing different exercise modalities.

The on-transient $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ signal consists of three distinct phases (Whipp et al. 1982): phase I (i.e., "cardiodynamic phase") is characterized by a rapid increase in $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ resulting from an increase in pulmonary circulation (secondary to an increased cardiac output (Q) and venous return). However, this increase in $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ does not reflect changes in muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (which are reflected by an increase in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction (i.e., greater deoxygenation) in the exercising muscles), but rather, the circulatory time delay between exercise-induced muscle deoxygenation and its reflection in the pulmonary circulation. Phase II (or the "fundamental phase") of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is characterized by a mono-exponential increase in $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ that closely reflects (within $10 \%$ ) the exponential adjustment of muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (Grassi et al. 1996; Rossiter et al. 1999). Finally, Phase III represents the attainment of a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ steady-state during exercise performed in the moderate-intensity domain, and reflects the fact that the ATP requirement is being met through oxidative phosphorylation; when exercise is performed in the heavy- or very heavy-intensity domain (i.e., above the estimated lactate threshold; $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), phase III is characterized by a secondary rise in $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (i.e., " $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ slow component") such that $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ exceeds that predicted by the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$-to-WR relationship in moderate-intensity exercise.

The rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ is described quantitatively by the phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ time constant $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$; this value, which is derived from the exponential regression model used to describe the response profile, represents the time required for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ to attain $63 \%$ of the increase in its amplitude towards its new steady-state (at least during exercise performed in the moderate-intensity domain). Another feature commonly used to describe
the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ on-kinetics response is the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ functional gain $\left(G ; \Delta \mathrm{VO}_{2} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}\right)$, which essentially describes the efficiency (or its inverse) of a given exercise intensity transition.

A number of variables that potentially alter either the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ or functional $G$ responses (or both) have been investigated in order that a better understanding of the factors that govern these responses under "control" conditions might be achieved. These include (but are not limited to) i) aging; ii) pre-transition WR (and metabolic rate) and transition magnitude; iii) heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise; and iv) acute hypoxia; these factors are discussed below.

## Near-infrared spectroscopy

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is a non-invasive optical method used for measuring tissue oxygenation. Measurements are based primarily on the absorption of light at wavelengths in the NIR range ( $700-900 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), because oxygenated hemoglobin $\left(\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right)$ and deoxygenated haemoglobin ( HHb ) display different light absorption characteristics. Specifically, NIR light is transmitted from an emitting diode to a light-detecting optode after passage through tissue, where the penetration depth is approximately half the distance of the inter-optode spacing (Kalliokoski et al. 2006). Because $\mathrm{HbO}_{2}$ and HHb display these different light absorption characteristics, by emitting light at several specific wavelengths in the NIR range of the spectrum and detecting changes in these received signal (i.e., after passing through tissue), precise separation and quantification of changes in these compounds is made possible.

The microcirculation can be isolated because the light emitted into the larger vessels (arteries and veins) is almost completely absorbed by the larger relative molar concentration of haemoglobin $(\mathrm{Hb})$, and thus any detectable changes in absorption can be attributed to the microcirculation. One limitation of the NIRS technique is the
overlapping absorption spectra of muscle myoglobin $(\mathrm{Mb})$ and Hb ; this makes separation of these absorbers difficult. However, the contribution of Mb to light absorption changes is estimated to be $\sim 10 \%$, which lends to the interpretation that light absorption changes with NIRS are attributable mainly to the oxygenation status of Hb (Kalliokoski et al. 2006).

By precisely separating and quantifying changes in $\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (i.e., $\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ from an arbitrary baseline), NIRS has been used to provide an index of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction during exercise in humans. Importantly, Grassi et al. (2003) pointed out the "striking similarities" between increases in [HHb] and decreases in the microvascular partial pressure of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2 \mathrm{mv}}\right)$ during the exercise on-transient. Nevertheless, because the precise contributions of arterial and venous circulations within the microvasculature cannot be known, NIRS does not provide a quantitative estimate of arterio-venous $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content difference $\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2 \text { diff }}\right)$. When paired with measures of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ), NIRS provides insights into the balance between local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization; specific studies in which NIRS was used in this way are discussed below.

## EFFECTS OF AGING ON VO 2 KINETICS

Advanced age has been linked to a slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response at moderate-intensity exercise onset (Babcock et al. 1994; Bell et al. 1999; DeLorey et al. 2004a; Murias et al. 2010a, b; Scheuermann et al. 2002). Whereas $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values of $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{~s}$ are commonly observed in young adults, typically older adults have presented with $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values of $\sim 40 \mathrm{~s}$ or greater. It is important to note that, while older adults tend to have slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics, there are some healthy, young adults with similarly slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics responses (i.e., $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values
upwards of 70 s ), and, likewise, some older adults who have very fast $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics responses (i.e., $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values of $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ). Thus, while aging clearly plays a contributory role in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, aging per se, does not determine an individual's $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics response. Nevertheless, when viewed in the context of slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics being associated with greater reliance on substrate-level phosphorylation, this implies that the elderly may be susceptible to earlier fatigue and reduced exercise tolerance in comparison to younger individuals.

That older adults tend to present with slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics has offered researchers an avenue to explore the physiological mechanisms that determine $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$; that is, identifying the root of this age-related slowing might provide important clues as to the locus of metabolic control at exercise onset. DeLorey et al. (2007) provided a complete review of how aging might affect $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics, and in particular $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. There has been some suggestion that muscle oxidative capacity declines with advancing age (Conley et al. 2000); yet, evidence of a link between (possible) declines in muscle oxidative capacity and an overall slowing of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics response with aging is scant. Thus, much of the DeLorey et al. (2007) review focused on the matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during the exercise on-transient. Age-related adaptations affecting both central and peripheral ("bulk") $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery include reductions in maximal heart rate (HR) (Paterson and Cunningham 1999; Stathokostas et al. 2004), reduced left ventricular function (Lakatta and Levy 2003a; Thomas et al. 1993), and increased total peripheral resistance (Lakatta and Levy 2003b); furthermore, a reduced capillary density (Coggan et al. 1992), altered capillary hemodynamics (Russell et al. 2003) and diminishing endothelial function (Muller-Delp 2006) might contribute to age-related declines in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery during the exercise on-transient.

Most recently, Murias et al. (2010b) used a combination of NIRS-derived muscle deoxygenation $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ to investigate the chief determinant of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in a group of young and older men and women who underwent 12 weeks of endurance training. Briefly, the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal is believed to provide a temporally accurate indication of changes in tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction throughout the exercise on-transient. Thus, by normalizing both the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ signals (in order to remove any confounding effect of the responses' amplitudes), the time course of adjustment for both $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction can be directly compared. Any period during the on-transient during which the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal is in excess of (i.e., and therefore dissociated from) the normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ signal suggests a period of increased reliance on (i.e., an "overshoot") $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction to support a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, and therefore implies a transiently insufficient local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery. Such a mismatch in local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (as indicated by the transiently increased reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction) implies that the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ "driving pressure" (i.e., diffusion gradient) into these active muscle fibers would be reduced. That such an "overshoot" was observed in both young and older men pretraining, but that it was abolished in young and attenuated in older adults with as little as 3 weeks of training suggests that: i) the age-related slowing of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is related to an exaggerated on-transient local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation and ii) endurance training promotes an improved matching of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization in both young and older adults.

## EFFECTS OF PRE-TRANSITION WR AND TRANSITION WR MAGNITUDE ON VO 2 KINETICS

A general, overall slowing of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics response when moderate-intensity exercise was initiated from an elevated pre-transition WR has been reported in young adults (Bowen et al. 2011; Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005); in general, this increase in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ has been associated with a concomitant increase in functional $G$. The origin of this slowing (and increase $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cost) has been the focus of these studies; as a result, three potential explanations have emerged. Hughson and Morrissey (1983) proposed that the slowing was related to an insufficient $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, secondary to a greater contribution of sympathetic activation (which is slower than parasympathetic withdrawal, which would be expected at lower pre-transition WRs). This hypothesis was later supported by MacPhee et al. (2005), based upon findings of a slowed adjustment of leg blood flow (i.e., at the femoral (conduit) artery). A hierarchical recruitment pattern favouring recruitment of the fastest kinetic, most efficient fibers to perform small WR transitions, leaving only those inherently slower kinetic, less efficient fibers to address the demands of a subsequent increase in WR was proposed by Brittain et al. (2001); such a system would allow for an 'intermediate' rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cost (per unit increase in WR) during larger WR transitions. Finally, the influence of a potentially less favourable energetic status (i.e., less negative changes in Gibb's free energy; $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ ) resulting from either an elevated metabolic rate per se (i.e., irrespective of initial WR) (Glancy et al. 2008; Kemp 2008) or the fact that $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ becomes progressively less negative throughout the transient (as [ADP] and [Pi] rise and [PCr] fall
dynamically) and therefore demands an ATP turnover that continues to rise until the steady state is reached was favoured by Bowen et al. (2011).

The effects of initiating moderate-intensity exercise from an elevated pretransition WR have not previously been examined in older individuals. Consideration of this exercise challenge in older adults is relevant because this population tends to have slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics, and thus, may not be as susceptible to further slowing. Supposing that the trend identified in younger adults persists in older adults, important insights into the origin of such a slowing might be gleaned by considering both the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses.

In addition to the effects of pre-transition WR, there has been some suggestion that transition WR magnitude per se may affect both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and the functional $G$. Indeed, the WR independence of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics parameters has been challenged by the findings of several studies that have used a "double step" protocol (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005). As noted above, a feature shared amongst these studies is the observation of a greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values (i.e., slower adjustments) and greater functional $G$ when exercise is initiated from an elevated WR, even within the moderate-intensity domain. Importantly, however, a consistent finding that has received somewhat less attention in the aforementioned studies was the principal cause of the trend (though not always significant) for smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ values (i.e., faster adjustments) and smaller functional G when the WR increment is smaller (where the pretransition WR was constant and relatively low (i.e., rest or 20 W ); ; that is, in each of these studies, a "lower step" (i.e., generally to a WR corresponding to $\sim 45 \%$ of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) was compared to a "full step" (i.e., generally to a WR corresponding to $\sim 90 \%$ of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) where transitions were initiated from identical (low) baseline WRs. Whereas Wilkerson et al.
(2004) have described the effects of transition WR magnitude on phase II $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional $G$ across a broad range of exercise intensity domains (i.e., from $60 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ to $120 \%$ of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ), the effects of WR on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetic parameters within the moderateintensity domain have not yet been thoroughly described.

## EFFECTS OF HEAVY-INTENSITY 'PRIMING’ EXERCISE ON VO $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ KINETICS

Heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise (HVY) is an exercise intervention noted for speeding the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ on-kinetics response to moderate-intensity exercise; its usefulness in this manner was first noted in older (DeLorey et al. 2004b; Scheuermann et al. 2002), but not young adults (Burnley et al. 2000; DeLorey et al. 2004b; Gerbino et al. 1996). More recently, studies from our laboratory have identified a reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ following HVY in young adults as well (Gurd et al. 2006; Gurd et al. 2005; Murias et al. 2011a).

Whereas the HVY intervention clearly affects the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ response, the physiological mechanisms that underlie the altered response remain somewhat unclear. Gurd et al. (2005) reported a reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in association with improved local muscle oxygenation (derived from NIRS) following HVY. This observation was supported by Murias et al. (2011a) who reported that the rate of NIRS-derived muscle deoxygenation $\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\right.$ or $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ depending upon the NIRS system used; a proxy for tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction) was faster than that of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ without HVY, causing a period of greater reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, and thus a transient mismatch in local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (represented as a transient "overshoot" in the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-$ to $-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio); $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was significantly reduced and this transient $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot was abolished with HVY. However, Gurd et al. (2006) reported that the HVY intervention was also associated with elevated activity of the mitochondrial pyruvate
dehydrogenase complex (PDH). Whereas both elevated bulk (i.e., increased heart rate (HR) following HVY and throughout subsequent MOD) and local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and mitochondrial PDH activity have been implicated following HVY, isolating the precise mechanism(s) responsible for the reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ has proven difficult.

## EFFECTS OF ACUTE HYPOXIA ON VO 2 KINETICS

Several studies have attempted to determine the effects of impairing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery on the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics response to moderate-intensity exercise. Indeed, performing exercise in the supine position (MacDonald et al. 1998) and under acute $\beta$-adrenergic blockade (Hughson 1984; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1991) results in a reduced $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and a slowing of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ on-kinetics response. Similarly, impairing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery by acute hypoxia (HYPO), which reduces the arterial partial pressure of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$, has been employed to slow $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during transitions within the moderate-intensity domain (Engelen et al. 1996; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1995; Murphy et al. 1989; Perrey et al. 2005; Xing et al. 1991). In addition to the reduced $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, however, it seems as though acute hypoxic $\left(\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=12 \%\right)$ exposure may also induce a compensatory increase in resting (but not steady-state exercise) HR and leg (i.e., "bulk" femoral conduit artery) blood flow (DeLorey et al. 2004c). Further, exposure to acute hypoxia during the exercise on-transient has been associated with a slowed activation of PDH, which some suggest may play a rate-limiting role in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. While many studies have shown a slowing of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ with acute hypoxia, the precise mechanism responsible for this slowing remains to be elucidated.

## INCREMENTAL EXERCISE TESTING

Since A.V. Hill's famous observation of a 'plateau' in the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response to exercise, despite progressive increases in WR (i.e., the notion of a "maximal $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake rate"; $\left.\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { max }}\right)$, incremental exercise tests have been a common feature in exercise physiology laboratories the world over. Considering that as WR progressively increases, so too does the need for ATP re-synthesis within the exercising muscle, but that there seems to be a maximum rate at which this ATP can be supplied aerobically, a basic question that has arisen is centred on the chief limitation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { max }}$. In light of the fact that both convective and diffusive $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ transport have been implicated as possible limitations to $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \max }$, the pairing of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ measures offers the potential for important insights into the role of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as a limitation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { max }}$.

Several recent studies have attempted to describe the overall $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise using various regression models. Based upon comparisons to a hyperbolic model (which has a theoretical basis in physiology), Ferreira et al. (2007b) concluded that the overall $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise was best described using a sigmoid regression model (based upon laboratory observations). Using similar comparisons between hyperbolic and sigmoid models, this conclusion has been supported in trained individuals (Boone et al. 2009), adolescents (McNarry et al. 2011), various body positions (DiMenna et al. 2010), at different measurement sites within the quadriceps muscle group (Chin et al. In Press) and in response to incremental step exercise (Boone et al. 2010). To date, all attempts to describe the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise have used functions which characterize the overall response (i.e., either the hyperbolic or sigmoid functions); an inherent limitation of this approach is that accurate characterization of one portion of the response may jeopardize the ability to
accurately characterize other portions. Indeed, whereas the sigmoid model used in previous studies presumes (or implies) that the lower and upper curvatures are "symmetrical," DiMenna et al. (2010) illustrated that this was not the case in their data; further, they acknowledged that there is no physiological basis for such a notion and that this particular sigmoid function likely represents a "fit of convenience."

Given the potential uncertainty about whether the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise is being appropriately characterized, at least for comparative purposes, and the physiological implications of inappropriate characterization, it seems that further study on this topic is warranted.

## OVERVIEW OF STUDIES

Although several studies have been conducted in an attempt to determine the mechanisms responsible for limiting the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ during the transition to moderateintensity exercise, as well as the mechanisms responsible for determining the maximum rate at which $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ can be utilized, controversy persists regarding these fundamental issues of exercise physiology. Furthermore, many of these previous studies have failed to take full advantage of NIRS technology so that physiological inferences about $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery could be gleaned from within the exercising muscle. Thus, the present thesis was undertaken to examine the mechanisms explaining the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ during the ontransient of moderate-intensity exercise as well as incremental exercise, with particular emphasis on the information provided by NIRS technology regarding local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery.

Chapter II considers the effect of pre-transition WR on the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetics responses in older adults performing moderate-intensity exercise. It was
hypothesized that: 1) the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ following a small increase in WR within the moderate-intensity domain from an elevated baseline WR would be slower and have a larger $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ functional $G$ than either large or small magnitude changes in WR performed from a low baseline WR; 2) small WR transitions performed from a low baseline WR would result in faster $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics and a smaller $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ functional $G$ than large WR transitions performed from an identical low baseline WR; 3) the adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ would be slower in response to transitions performed from an elevated baseline compared to a lower baseline metabolic and work rate.

Chapter III examines the independent and combined effects of HVY and HYPO on the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetics responses to moderate-intensity exercise. Based on previous results from studies using these interventions, we tested the hypothesis that resolution of potential intracellular metabolic substrate provision or enzyme activation limitations alone would not speed $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$.

In chapter IV the focus was to systematically examine the role of WR increment (when initiated from a constant low WR of 20 W to five different moderate-intensity WRs between 50 and 130 W ) on both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and functional G in a group of healthy, young adults. Further, with the hypothesis of both smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional G during transitions to lower WRs, we sought to investigate the potential mechanism(s) using measures of local muscle deoxygenation (to assess the balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization), and to determine whether this mechanism differed between those individuals who presented with fast compared to slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics.

Finally, chapter V sought to re-examine the profile of muscle deoxygenation during ramp incremental cycling exercise in a group of young men and to assess the physiological implications of the various models and parameter estimates. Specifically,
we examined whether the profile of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of either WR or $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ should be characterized as i) a sigmoid which considers the entire response or ii) three distinct 'phases' in which the predominant rise in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ is approximately linear, as is the 'plateau' which follows.

The overall goal of this thesis was to use non-invasive methodologies to examine the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as a possible limitation to the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at moderate-intensity exercise onset as well as its role as a possible limitation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \max }$.
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## CHAPTER II: Pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake and muscle deoxygenation kinetics are slowed in the upper compared with lower region of the moderate-intensity exercise domain in older men

## INTRODUCTION

An approximately linear relationship exists between work rate (WR) and oxygen consumption during steady state, constant load exercise performed within the moderateintensity domain; yet it is during non-steady state transitions between WRs, when this linear relationship is temporarily challenged (Hughson et al. 2001; Poole et al. 2007; Whipp and Wasserman 1972), where insights can be gained into the control mechanisms governing the delivery and consumption of oxygen $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$. Thus, the study of pulmonary $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ kinetics has become an area of interest for exercise physiologists. Following an abrupt increase in WR within the moderate-intensity domain (i.e., below the lactate threshold), $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ exhibits an exponential increase, after a brief period (i.e., the "cardiodynamic" phase), eventually resulting in the attainment and maintenance of a steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (Whipp and Ward 1990; Whipp and Wasserman 1972). Outside of these tightly controlled laboratory situations however, humans rarely perform prolonged bouts of constant WR exercise, but instead experience frequent fluctuations in exercise intensity and metabolic rate even during activities of daily living. As such, both the WR prior to a transition and the magnitude of the change in WR are practical concerns that have been considered within the literature. Several studies (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005) have demonstrated a slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ adjustment and increased $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cost per unit increase in $\mathrm{WR}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right.$ gain; $\left.\Delta \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}\right)$ in young adults when transitions were performed in the upper (i.e., from an elevated initial WR and
metabolic rate) compared with the lower region of the moderate-intensity exercise domain.

Hughson and Morrissey (1982) proposed a model whereby the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ adjustment observed in transitions performed from a higher initial WR was limited by bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery. Specifically, a rapid withdrawal of parasympathetic neural activity would explain similarly rapid adjustments in the heart rate (HR) response during rest-towork transitions, whereas the relatively slower sympathetic activation during work-towork transitions may underlie the observation of slower HR adjustments. A role for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ transport in limiting the adjustment of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization in the upper region of the moderate-intensity domain was further supported by the findings of MacPhee et al. (2005), who reported slowed femoral (conduit) artery blood flow and slowed HR kinetics in transitions performed from an elevated compared to a lower baseline metabolic and work rate. Whether this suggested relationship between slowed bulk delivery of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and slowed adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ has implications for the matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ distribution within the microvasculature, however, remains unclear. In addition to slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics in the upper region of the moderate-intensity domain, MacPhee et al. also observed a greater mean response time for near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) derived muscle deoxygenation $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$, reflecting the balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization within the microvasculature, possibly suggesting that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery was improved relative to metabolic demand and that a greater reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction was not required to meet the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ requirements of the muscle. This latter observation of a slowed $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ adjustment from an elevated baseline may, in fact, support the proposal of Brittain et al. (2001), that a hierarchical recruitment pattern exists which favours recruitment of the most efficient (i.e., lowest $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain) fibers with inherently fast kinetics during transitions
from low pre-transition WRs, and therefore only less efficient, slower adjusting fibers are available to address the added demands of a subsequent transition to a higher WR within the moderate-intensity domain. It is conceivable that the faster and slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ profiles observed in the lower and upper regions of the moderate-intensity domain respectively are governed by independent physiological mechanisms.

Advanced age has been linked to a slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response during moderateintensity exercise transitions (Babcock et al. 1994; Bell et al. 1999; DeLorey et al. 2004; Murias et al. 2010; Scheuermann et al. 2002). At present it is unknown whether the already slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in older adults are further slowed when transitions are performed from an elevated initial WR as is the case in younger adults. Such a response could potentially result in a greater accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit and disruption to cellular metabolic stability (Zoladz et al. 2006), which may compromise exercise tolerance. From a practical perspective in older adults, it may be that transitioning from near rest, to a lower moderate-intensity (functionally serving as a low-intensity "warm-up") and then to a higher moderate-intensity of exercise may not be beneficial, particularly if the work in the higher ranges of the moderate-intensity domain is performed by fibers that had not previously been recruited; on the other hand, it may also be that this type of incremental "warm-up" exercise may favour improved local muscle blood flow and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the effect of the pretransition WR and metabolic rate (which were not dissociated from one another in the present study), and WR transition magnitude (i.e., a "full step" to $90 \%$ of lactate threshold versus two "half steps" to the same end-exercise WR) on the parameters of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetics in older men. It was hypothesized that: 1) the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ following a small increase in WR within the moderate-intensity domain from an elevated
baseline WR would be slower and have a larger $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain than either large or small magnitude changes in WR performed from a low baseline WR; 2) small WR transitions performed from a low baseline WR would result in faster $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics and a smaller $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain than large WR transitions performed from an identical low baseline $\mathrm{WR} ; 3$ ) the adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ would be slower in response to transitions performed from an elevated baseline compared to a lower baseline metabolic and work rate.

## METHODS

Participants: Seven older men ( $69 \pm 5 \mathrm{yr}$; mean $\pm$ SD; Table 2.1) volunteered and gave written consent to participate in the study. All procedures were approved by The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects. All participants were recreationally active and non-smokers. Additionally, no participants were taking medications that would affect the cardiorespiratory or hemodynamic responses to exercise.

Protocol: On day one, participants reported to the laboratory to perform a ramp incremental test (20-25 W/min) to the limit of tolerance on a cycle ergometer (model: H -300-R Lode; Lode B.V., Groningen, Holland) for determination of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and the estimated lactate threshold $\left(\theta_{\mathrm{L}}\right) . \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ was determined by visual inspection as the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ output $\left(\mathrm{VCO}_{2}\right)$ began to increase out of proportion in relation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ with a systematic rise in minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ whereas minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VCO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PCO}_{2}$ were stable (Beaver et al. 1986).

Subsequent to the incremental test, participants completed four to six square-wave transitions within the moderate-intensity domain in each of two upright leg cycling exercise protocols (Figure 2.1). One of these protocols required participants to perform
transitions consisting of 6 min of baseline cycling at 20 W , followed by 2 equal 6 min step-transitions (lower step, LS; upper step, US) to a final WR corresponding to $90 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ repetitions of this protocol) while the second protocol required participants to perform 6 min transitions from 20 W to a WR corresponding to $90 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ (full step, FS; $\mathrm{n}=$ 4 repetitions of this protocol). Each visit to the laboratory was separated by at least 24 hours.

Measurements: Gas exchange measurements were similar to those previously described (Babcock et al. 1994). Briefly, inspired and expired flow rates were measured using a low dead space ( 90 mL ) bidirectional turbine (Alpha Technologies VMM 110) which was calibrated before each test using a syringe of known volume. Inspired and expired gases were continuously sampled $(50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at the mouth and analyzed for concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ by mass spectrometry (Innovision, AMIS 2000, Lindvedvej, Denmark) after calibration with precision-analyzed gas mixtures. Changes in gas concentrations were aligned with gas volumes by measuring the time delay for a square-wave bolus of gas passing the turbine to the resulting changes in fractional gas concentrations as measured by the mass spectrometer. Data were transferred to a computer, which aligned concentrations with volume information to build a profile of each breath. Breath-bybreath alveolar gas exchange was calculated by using algorithms of Beaver et al. (1981).

HR was monitored continuously by electrocardiogram (three-lead arrangement) using PowerLab (ML132/ML880; ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO). Data were recorded using LabChart v4.2 (ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO) on a separate computer.

Local muscle deoxygenation profiles of the quadriceps vastus lateralis muscle were made with NIRS (Hamamatsu NIRO 300, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu,

Japan). Optodes were placed on the belly of the muscle midway between the lateral epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur. The optodes were housed in an opticallydense plastic holder and secured on the skin surface with tape and then covered with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet, thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light. The thigh was wrapped with an elastic bandage to minimize movement of the optodes.

The physical principles of tissue spectroscopy and the manner in which these are applied have been explained by DeLorey et al. (2003). Briefly, one fiber optic bundle carried the NIR-light produced by the laser diodes to the tissue of interest while a second fiber optic bundle (interoptode spacing $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) returned the transmitted light from the tissue to a photon detector (photomultiplier tube) in the spectrometer. Four laser diodes ( $\lambda$ $=775,810,850$, and 910 nm ) were pulsed in a rapid succession and the light was detected by the photomultiplier tube for online estimation and display of the concentration changes from the resting baseline for oxyhaemoglobin $\left(\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]\right), \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$, and total haemoglobin $\left(\Delta\left[\mathrm{Hb}_{\text {tot }}\right]\right)$. Changes in light intensities were recorded continuously at 2 Hz and transferred to a computer for later analysis. The NIRS-derived signal was zero set with the subject sitting in a resting steady-state on the cycle ergometer prior to the onset of baseline exercise and changes in the concentration are reported as a delta $(\Delta)$ in arbitrary units (a.u.).

Data analysis: $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR data were filtered by removing aberrant data points that lay outside 4 SD of the local mean. Data for each repetition of a similar protocol were then linearly interpolated to 1 s intervals, time-aligned such that time zero represented the first transition and ensemble-averaged to yield a single averaged response for each subject for a given exercise protocol. These averaged responses were further time-averaged into 5 s
bins. The on-transient responses for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR were modelled using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{BSLN}}+\mathrm{A}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(t-\mathrm{TD}) / \tau}\right) ;[\text { Equation } 1]
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}$ represents the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ or HR at any given time $(t)$; $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}$ is the steady state baseline value of Y before an increase in WR; A is the amplitude of the increase in Y above $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }} ; \tau$ represents the time required to attain $63 \%$ of the steady-state amplitude; and TD represents the mathematically generated time delay through which the exponential model is predicted to intersect the baseline. After excluding the initial 20 s of data from the model, while still allowing TD to vary freely (in order to optimize accuracy of parameter estimates), $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were modeled to the end of the 6 min exercise transition; HR data were modeled from the first datum after a transition to the end of the 6 min exercise transition. The model parameters were estimated by least-squares nonlinear regression (Origin, OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA) in which the best fit was defined by minimization of the residual sum of squares and minimal variation of residuals around the Y -axis $(\mathrm{Y}=0)$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{95}\right)$ for the estimated time constant was determined after preliminary fit of the data with $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}, \mathrm{A}$, and TD constrained to the best-fit values and the $\tau$ allowed to vary. In addition, a value for the mean response time (Linnarsson 1974) or effective response time (Whipp and Ward 1990) of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ was estimated using the function described in Equation 1, but with data from the initial 20 s following exercise onset included in the model and TD constrained to 0 s . This approach characterizes the entire response (i.e., Phases I, II and III) and allows for an accurate estimate of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit (Rossiter et al. 1999), computed as the product of $\tau$ ' $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and the amplitude of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response from this alternate model ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ).

The $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile has been described to consist of a time delay at the onset of exercise, followed by an increase in the signal with an "exponential-like" time-course. The time delay for the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response (TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) was determined using second-bysecond data (DeLorey et al. 2003) and corresponded to the time, after the onset of exercise, at which the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal began a systematic increase from its nadir value. Determination of the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was made on individual trials and averaged to yield three values (i.e., LS, US, FS) for each individual. The $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were modeled using Equation 1; the fitting window for the "exponential" response spanned from the end of the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ to 90 s into each transition. As described previously (duManoir et al. 2010), different fitting strategies ranging from 90-180 s into a transition resulted in minimal differences in estimates of $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$. The early exponential increase in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was well-characterized in the 90 s following exercise onset in participants from the present study whereas longer fitting windows risked poorer fitting of the early transient. Baseline $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}\right)$ values were computed as the mean value in the 60 s prior to a transition, and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ for the US were calculated independently from the steady-state predicted by the exponential fit from the LS. Whereas the $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ described the time course for the increase in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$, the overall change of the effective $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ $\left(\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]=\mathrm{TD} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]+\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\right)$ described the overall time course of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ from the onset of each step transition.

Statistics: Data are presented as means $\pm$ SD. Repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to determine statistical significance for the dependent variables. A Tukey post-hoc analysis was used when significant differences were found for the main effects of each dependent variable. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS

Version 16.0, (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was declared when $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.05 .

## RESULTS

Figures 2.2 and 2.3 display the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses, respectively, to each of the two exercise protocols from a representative subject. Group mean parameter estimates for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{HR}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetics are presented in Table 2.2. $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in the US $(53 \pm 17 \mathrm{~s})$ and FS $(44 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s})$ compared to the $\mathrm{LS}(37 \pm 9 \mathrm{~s}) ; \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ for the US also trended towards being greater $(\mathrm{p}=0.05)$ than the FS. The trend of smallest, intermediate and greatest $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values in the LS, FS and US, respectively was observed in six of seven participants (Figure 2.4). The $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ gain in the US $(9.97 \pm 0.41$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{W})$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ than that observed in the FS $(9.13 \pm 0.54 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{W}$; $\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and trended towards being greater $(\mathrm{p}=0.06)$ than in the LS $(9.06 \pm 1.17$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{W})$. By design, the US was initiated from an elevated $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ baseline $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}\right.$; $1.07 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min})$ compared to the $\mathrm{FS}(0.85 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min})$ and $\mathrm{LS}(0.83 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min})$. The steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}\right)$ attained in the US and FS was identical ( $1.32 \pm 0.17$ and $1.32 \pm 0.18 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, respectively), while the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}(1.07 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$; Table 2.2) required by the LS was lower ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit ( $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) was greater ( $\mathrm{p}<$ $0.05)$ in the US $(0.25 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~L})$ compared to the LS $(0.19 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{~L}$; Figure 2.5$)$; this was observed in all seven participants. The 'accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit' $(0.44 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{~L})$, calculated as the sum of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit from the LS and US, was not different from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit calculated for the FS $(0.42 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{~L})$.

The HR response was similar to that of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in that differences in $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$, $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{AMP}}$ and $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{SS}}$ were identified depending on the condition (Table 2.2); yet, $\tau \mathrm{HR}$
remained unchanged across the three different exercise intensity transitions ( $\mathrm{p}=0.11$; Table 2.2).

The adjustment of muscle deoxygenation was slowest ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in the US; specifically, $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in the US $(22 \pm 10 \mathrm{~s})$ compared to the FS (13 $\pm 4 \mathrm{~s})$ or the LS $(11 \pm 5 \mathrm{~s})$, and $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in the US $(36 \pm 12 \mathrm{~s})$ than the FS $(26 \pm 4 s)$ and trended towards being greater $(p=0.07)$ than the LS $(27 \pm 6 s)$. Finally, the index of the steady-state $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ amplitude $\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}}\right)$ to $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ amplitude $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pamp }}\right)$ did not differ amongst the three conditions (LS: $14.3 \pm 11.8$; US: $12.5 \pm 8.9$; FS: $13.1 \pm 9.3$ ).

Table 2.1. Subject Characteristics

|  | Age <br> $(\mathbf{y r s})$ | Mass <br> $(\mathbf{k g})$ | Height <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | $\mathbf{V O}_{\text {2peak }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{L} / \mathbf{m i n})$ | Peak PO <br> $(\mathbf{W})$ | PO @ 90\% $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{L}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbf{W})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2. Parameter estimates for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, HR and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetics for LS, US and FS.

|  | LS | US | FS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{WR}$ (W) | $26 \pm 9$ | $26 \pm 9$ | $52 \pm 17 *{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| End-exercise WR (W) | $46 \pm 9$ | $72 \pm 17 *$ | $72 \pm 17 *$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pBSLN }}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min})$ | $0.83 \pm 0.06$ | $1.07 \pm 0.09 *$ | $0.85 \pm 0.09^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { 2pAMP }}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min})$ | $0.23 \pm 0.08$ | $0.26 \pm 0.09$ | $0.47 \pm 0.18 *^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min})$ | $1.07 \pm 0.09$ | $1.32 \pm 0.17 *$ | $1.32 \pm 0.18 *$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ Gain (mL/min/W) | $9.06 \pm 1.17$ | $9.97 \pm 0.41^{\text {§ }}$ | $9.13 \pm 0.54^{\dagger}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $37 \pm 9$ | $53 \pm 17 *$ | $44 \pm 9$ ** |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $8 \pm 2$ | $8 \pm 3$ | $6 \pm 3 *{ }^{+}$ |
| TD $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $12 \pm 4$ | $6 \pm 11$ | $8 \pm 7$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ (beats/min) | $91.1 \pm 6.4$ | $99.0 \pm 6.2^{*}$ | $90.2 \pm 8.4^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {AMP }}$ (beats/min) | $7.9 \pm 1.5$ | $9.9 \pm 1.4 *$ | $16.6 \pm 3.7 *^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {SS }}$ (beats/min) | $99.0 \pm 6.3$ | $108.9 \pm 6.7^{*}$ | $106.7 \pm 8.2 *^{\dagger}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{HR}$ (s) | $56 \pm 28$ | $69 \pm 22$ | $54 \pm 12$ |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{HR}$ (s) | $6 \pm 4$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 1$ |
| TD HR (s) | $-1 \pm 9$ | $-2 \pm 4$ | $1 \pm 5$ |
| $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ (a.u.) | $-1.1 \pm 2.8$ | $2.1 \pm 3.3 *$ | $-1.0 \pm 2.5^{\dagger}$ |
| $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {AMP }}$ (a.u.) | $2.9 \pm 2.3$ | $2.9 \pm 2.0$ | $5.7 \pm 4.0^{* \dagger}$ |
| $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $11.1 \pm 5.4$ | $22.3 \pm 9.9$ * | $12.5 \pm 3.8^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $2 \pm 1$ | $2 \pm 2$ | $1 \pm 0$ |
| Calculated TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $16 \pm 3$ | $14 \pm 3$ | $13 \pm 1$ |
| $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\mathrm{s})$ | $27 \pm 6$ | $36 \pm 12^{\text {\# }}$ | $26 \pm 4^{\dagger}$ |

Values are mean $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from LS; ${ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from US; ${ }^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{p}=0.05$ from US; ${ }^{\S}, \mathrm{p}$ $=0.06$ from LS; ${ }^{\#}, \mathrm{p}=0.07$ from LS.


Figure 2.1. Schematic diagram of exercise protocols. A) Subjects performed two equal (lower step, LS; upper step, US) six minute step-transitions from 20 W to a WR corresponding to $90 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$. B) Subjects performed a single six minute step-transition (full step, FS) from 20 W to a WR corresponding to $90 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$.
A)

B)


Figure 2.2. $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{o})$ response ( 5 s average) in a representative subject with monoexponentional models superimposed. Residuals to the fitted functions are shown as fluctuating "randomly" around zero error. A) displays a response to the two-step protocol depicted in Figure 1A); B) displays a response to the FS protocol depicted in Figure 1B). Dashed line represents the beginning of new WR.
A)

B)


Figure 2.3. $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\mathrm{O})$ response ( 5 s average) in a representative subject with monoexponentional models superimposed. A) displays a response to the two-step protocol depicted in Figure 1A); B) displays a response to the FS protocol depicted in Figure 1B). Dashed line represents the beginning of new WR.


Figure 2.4. Comparison of individual ( $\circ$ ) and mean $(\bullet) \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values from A) Lower Step vs. Full Step; B) Upper Step vs. Full Step; and C) Upper Step vs. lower step; error bars are SD. The line of identity is represented by the dotted line.


Figure 2.5. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit for LS, US, Accumulated (i.e. LS + US) and FS. *, p $<0.05$ from LS; $\dagger, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from US.

## DISCUSSION

This study investigated the effects of the pre-transition WR and metabolic rate, and WR transition magnitude on the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}, \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and HR during the exercise ontransient in a group of older men. The main findings were as follows: 1) moderateintensity step transitions initiated from an elevated baseline WR and metabolic rate (i.e., US) resulted in a greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain than step transitions initiated from a baseline WR of 20 W (i.e., LS and FS); 2) the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics of the US were accompanied by a slowed adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ in comparison to the LS and FS; 3) the 'accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit' for two equal step transitions did not differ from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit incurred for a single step transition to the same end-exercise WR despite being elevated in the US compared to LS. Collectively, these findings suggest that the physiological response of older adults to these perturbations may comprise: 1) an improved local blood flow or $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability during the US; 2) a systematic, hierarchal recruitment pattern that favours recruitment of highest efficiency, fastest kinetic fibers to perform the work demanded by the LS, with lower efficiency, slower kinetic fibers to address the energy demands of the US, and a mixture of these fibers (with intermediate efficiency and kinetic properties) to perform the work required by the FS; and 3) no net effect on the proportion of energy that is derived through non-aerobic pathways.

The participants tested in the present study were comparable to similar groups of older men tested in our laboratory; the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values in the full step ( $44 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s}$ ), which are greater than those generally reported for healthy younger adults ( $\sim 20-30$ s) (DeLorey et al. 2004; Gurd et al. 2006, 2008; Murias et al. 2010), are similar to pre-training values recently reported ( $43 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s}$ ) by Murias et al. (2010) in a study of older men of the same age and fitness (age: $68 \pm 7 \mathrm{yrs}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}: 2.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Therefore, in agreement with
the stated hypothesis, despite the already slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics in these older men (compared to the $\sim 25 \mathrm{~s} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values observed in younger adults), the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in response to the US was slowed even further and with a larger $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ gain than in either the FS or LS in this group of older men; yet, as stated, the cumulative effect of this slow, inefficient adjustment is negated by the more rapid and efficient response to the LS, such that the accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit did not differ from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit accrued in the FS. These results also agree with those reported in younger individuals who performed similar exercise protocols (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005).

Historically, the major hypotheses presented to explain the primary limitation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics are i) that the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at exercise onset is limited by the availability of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at active muscle sites (Tschakovsky and Hughson 1999), and ii) that $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is limited by a 'sluggish' activation of metabolic pathways and availability of metabolic substrates (other than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) to the mitochondria (previously referred to as a "metabolic inertia" (Grassi 2001; Poole et al. 2007)). The 'double-step' exercise protocol employed in the present study has been used to investigate the mechanisms that govern the rate of adjustment of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (as reflected by the phase II pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake) under conditions of different metabolic demand. Participants in the present study attained identical $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{psS}}$ in both the US and FS; however, the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the US was $\sim 10 \mathrm{~s}$ greater than in the FS, suggesting that even within an individual there may not be a single "rate-limiting factor" that governs this response under differing conditions.

The focus of studies using the 'double-step' protocol has been the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ adjustment in the US compared to the LS. While this slower response was observed in the US compared to the LS in the present study, it also should be emphasized that when
exercise was initiated from the same starting WR (and metabolic rate), $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was appreciably faster in the LS than in the FS. Hughson and Morrissey (1982; 1983) suggested that the reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the LS was likely the result of a faster adjustment of bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery (i.e., faster HR kinetics); this idea was supported by MacPhee et al. (2005) who observed both faster HR and femoral (conduit) artery blood flow kinetics in the LS compared to US during knee-extension exercise. Contrary to these observations in young adults, in the present study no differences were observed in $\tau \mathrm{HR}$ amongst the three conditions in older men. When taken together, the altered $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values across the three conditions, combined with the unaffected $\tau \mathrm{HR}$ estimates reinforces the idea that central, bulk, delivery of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ does not appear to limit the Phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response to moderateintensity exercise. This statement assumes that $\tau \mathrm{HR}$ approximates the time course of adjustment for cardiac output which was not measured.

Brittain et al. (2001) discussed the possibility that higher-efficiency muscle fibers (i.e., smallest $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain) with inherently faster kinetic properties (i.e., smallest $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) may be preferentially recruited over lower-efficiency, slower kinetic fibers. As such, supposing that the most efficient fibers with the fastest kinetics were recruited to perform the work required by the LS , only those fibers with a greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain and $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ would be available to address the additional metabolic demands imposed by the US. In this model, the work demanded by the FS would be accomplished by a mixture of fibers with these properties, thereby resulting in an intermediate $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics profile relative to the LS and US. Indeed, data from the present study could be used to support this suggestion, as the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was fastest in the LS , intermediate in the FS and slowest in the US, while the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain also tended to conform to the proposed model.

The notion that fibre recruitment patterns might underlie the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics (and increased $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain) observed in the US has recently been examined by DiMenna et al. (2010). These authors reported similar phase II $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values when transitioning from a raised metabolic rate (approximating $95 \%$ of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) but not a raised pre-transition WR (i.e., following an incomplete recovery from a prior bout of heavy-intensity exercise) as compared to a control bout of heavy-intensity exercise; this finding was in opposition to the condition in which the transition to heavy-intensity exercise was initiated from both a raised metabolic rate and WR which yielded a markedly slower response. Given that the theoretical model described above would allow for recruitment of muscle fibers from the same pool in both the control condition and the raised metabolic rate condition, but not the raised WR condition, owing to the fact that exercise was initiated from the same pretransition WR in the first two, these results seem to lend support to the notion of a hierarchal recruitment pattern. The impact of these conclusions on the interpretation of the present data is unclear, however, due to the fact that the exercise bouts spanned two different intensity domains. An important consideration, for instance, is the notion that during the initial heavy-intensity exercise bout, the onset of muscle fatigue may occur within the first minute (Sargeant and Dolan 1987), thereby potentially altering recruitment patterns in the subsequent bout.

Another possible explanation for the relatively slowed adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ (and presumably muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) of the US is a potentially less favourable energetic status at the transition onset in comparison to the LS or FS. The elevated pre-transition WR characteristic of the US is associated with a reduced cellular energetic state in active fibers (i.e., reduced $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ and [ PCr ], increased [ADP], and less negative $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {ATP }}$ ) which has the potential to slow the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ on-transient response to any subsequent increase in energy
demand (Barstow et al. 1994; Kemp 2008). Further, that a trend for a greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain was observed in the US compared to LS - two steps of equal WR magnitude, likely requiring the same change in ATP demand - suggests the possibility of a lower P/O ratio of oxidative phosphorylation (ATP produced per atom of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reduced). A reduced $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio would indicate reduced efficiency within the mitochondria during the on-transient of the US; this along with the reduced cellular energetic state in active fibers could potentially lead to both higher $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain values which are consistent with the findings in the present study. However, it also is possible that the ATP requirement for the same change in WR is not the same, but instead was greater in the US compared to LS due to the accumulation of metabolites and fall in free energy release with ATP hydrolysis (Zoladz et al. 2006). In this case, the P/O would be similar in the LS and US, and the greater $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ requirement (perhaps relating to a slower adjustment) for a given change in WR would be a consequence of the greater ATP requirement.

The overall adjustment of muscle deoxygenation (as assessed by $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) was slower in the US compared to either the LS $(\mathrm{p}=0.07)$ or FS $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. The TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ remained unchanged amongst the three transition types, likely indicating that an early increase in local blood flow (and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability) resulting from contributions of the muscle pump and some rapid vasodilation (Tschakovsky and Hughson 1999; Tschakovsky et al. 2004) shared a similar time-course in all conditions. As such, the differences observed in $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ can be explained by underlying differences in the $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response across the three conditions. Other studies from our laboratory have consistently shown $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ values of $\sim 20-25 \mathrm{~s}$ (as was observed in the LS and FS) in older adults during upright cycling (DeLorey et al. 2004; Murias et al. 2010), so the lengthened response observed in the US is intriguing. Whereas differences were observed
with respect to the time-course of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ adjustment, no differences were seen in the steady-state reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ as indicated by the similar $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}} / \mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pAMP }}$ amongst the three conditions; this differs from the greater $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}} / \mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pAMP }}$ in the US compared to LS that was reported by MacPhee et al. (2005) in young adults performing knee-extension exercise. A similar index of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}} / \mathrm{VO}_{\text {2pAMP }}$ amongst the three conditions implies that the steady-state reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given metabolic demand is stable across conditions; so, for example, transitions requiring a greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain are accomplished in part by a greater $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction. However, because the time-course of adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ relative to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ may differ during the on-transient, this relationship can be described as unstable during that period of adjustment. To this end, the present data, reinforce the notion that it is during the non-steady-state on-transient where considering differences in the adjustments of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ may be useful in providing meaningful insights into the physiological mechanisms underlying the regulation of oxidative phosphorylation.

The slower adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ observed in the US may suggest an improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability prior to and throughout the transition relative to metabolic demand. The $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile is thought to mirror the drop in the microvascular $\mathrm{PO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2 \mathrm{mv}}\right)$ at exercise onset, and as such, a slow fall in $\mathrm{PO}_{2 \mathrm{mv}}$ (or $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) might be expected with an attenuated reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction, a consequence of convective $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery being in excess relative to the metabolic demand. Such a scenario is conceivable if the local matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ demand (i.e., microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ distribution) during the steady-state in the LS was poor, thereby creating a condition where adequate $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ supply to active fibers was accomplished by over-perfusing the muscle as a whole. In such a scenario, availability of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ would necessarily be adequate to inactive fibers as well as
active fibers; thus, upon initiation of the US, those fibers that were not recruited to perform the work required of the LS would have to supply all of the metabolic substrates other than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (i.e., ADP, $\mathrm{Pi}, \mathrm{NADH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$). This interpretation of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data has implications for the greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ observed in the US. Given that a slowed $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ adjustment (perhaps reflecting a slowed adjustment of $\mathrm{PO}_{2 \mathrm{mv}}$ and therefore a preserved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ driving pressure) would be expected when convective $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery at exercise onset was in excess of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ demand, the fact that $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the US was greater than that observed in the LS implies that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability may not play a rate-limiting role in the regulation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics under conditions of elevated pre-transition metabolic and work rates. Using this rationale, and particularly when also considering the greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain values observed in the US, the present data support the model proposed by Brittain et al. (2001) which suggests that higher-efficiency muscle fibers (i.e., smallest $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain) with inherently faster kinetic properties (i.e., smallest $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) may be preferentially recruited over lowerefficiency, slower kinetic fibers.

The trend for speeded and slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics in the LS and US (relative to the FS), respectively, persisted when the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ data were modeled with $\mathrm{TD}_{\mathrm{VO}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}$ constrained to 0 s . The product of greater $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ values in the US compared to the LS resulted in a greater $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit in the US. Because the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit closely reflects the contribution of non-oxidative energy sources, an inflated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit would necessarily imply a greater accumulation of metabolic by-product and greater disturbance of intracellular homeostasis. As first reported by Brittain et al. (2001) in younger adults, the 'accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit,' calculated as the sum of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit conferred in the LS and US, did not differ from that observed in the FS in the present study. This finding may
suggest that 'progressive' warm-up activities offer little cardiovascular benefit to older adults when they are performing exercise within the moderate-intensity domain.

It is important to recognize potential limitations associated with the methodology used in the present study. When modeling the on-transient $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response, the confidence in parameter estimates is largely dependent upon the underlying signal-to-noise ratio. Small magnitude WR increments, which are to be expected in older adults, but which were exacerbated in the present study's "double-step" protocol, are likely to give rise to physiological responses with small amplitudes, and as a result, the potentially low signal-to-noise ratio must be considered. In an effort to address potential concerns, several repeats were performed for each of the two exercise protocols (four for FS and six for LS + US). We have recently determined that at least three repetitions are required for young adults exercising in the moderate-intensity domain to effectively improve day-to-day reproducibility of both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (Spencer et al. 2010). Given the smaller amplitude of response in older adults, a fourth repetition was added for FS data, and six repetitions were performed for protocols involving the smaller steps (i.e., LS and US). The consistency of findings within subjects resulted in statistically significant findings when comparing the different step-transitions. Secondly, concerns may arise regarding the appropriate selection of WRs. Although blood lactate measures were not made in the present study, careful inspection of individual data confirmed the absence of a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ slow component (which would be expected had exercise been performed within the heavyintensity domain). For each individual, a 20 s average taken from $\mathrm{t}=4 \tau$ was used to predict end-exercise $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values by dividing by 0.98 (i.e., given that $4 \tau$ represents the time required to attain $\sim 98 \%$ of steady-state amplitude). These 'predicted end-exercise' values were then compared to the observed 20 s end-exercise values. This resulted in
individual differences that ranged from $-0.057 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (indicating a "decrease" from predicted end-exercise values) to $0.026 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (mean $=-0.017 \pm 0.026 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). As such we are confident that all transitions were within the moderate-intensity domain.

In conclusion, this study showed that despite presenting with slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics (in the FS compared to values typically reported for healthy, young adults), the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in older men were slowed even further during exercise transitions in the US, and that compared to the FS, the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics was faster in the LS. Additionally, the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain tended to be greater in the US compared to the LS $(\mathrm{p}=0.06)$ and $\mathrm{FS}(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. Consequently, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit was greater in the US compared to LS, but the overall 'accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit' (from LS and US) was similar to the resultant $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit conferred by the FS. Interestingly, the combination of slowed $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ adjustments that were observed in the US may suggest that local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability does not limit $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in this unique condition. Collectively, these data support the proposed model of preferential recruitment of the most efficient fibers with inherently fast kinetic properties during the LS, and thus only less efficient, slower adjusting fibers are available to meet the demands of the US.
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## CHAPTER III: Regulation of $\mathrm{VO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ kinetics by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ delivery: insights from acute hypoxia and heavy-intensity priming exercise in young men

## INTRODUCTION

The fundamental adjustment of pulmonary oxygen uptake ( $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics) displays an exponential profile during the on-transient to moderate-intensity exercise (MOD). Whether the rate of this adjustment, given by the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ time constant $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$, is limited by factors related to local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability, intracellular factors related to metabolic substrate provision and enzyme activation or a combination of both remains a topic of debate. To this end, Poole et al. (2008) have proposed that there is a "point" beyond which $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ dependent such that the provision of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ becomes limiting and thus $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ is lengthened. While this proposal has received much attention within the literature, it has yet to be demonstrated that provision of 'additional' $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ resolves any potential $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation. In contrast to this possibility, Grassi et al. showed that augmenting convective (1998a) or diffusive (1998b) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery did not speed the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ in isolated in situ canine gastrocnemius muscle during electrically stimulated contractions eliciting $60-70 \%$ of maximal $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$.

While the studies of Grassi et al. (1998a; 1998b) cannot be replicated in exercising humans, one intervention that does appear to improve $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability during the ontransient of MOD in humans is heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise (HVY). To this end, HVY has previously been shown to speed the subsequent MOD on-transient $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response in older (DeLorey et al. 2004a; Scheuermann et al. 2002) and young (Gurd et al. 2006; Gurd et al. 2005) men. Gurd et al. (2005) reported a reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in association with improved local muscle oxygenation (derived from near-infrared spectroscopy;

NIRS) following HVY. This observation was supported by Murias et al. (2011a) who reported that the rate of NIRS-derived muscle deoxygenation $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ depending upon the NIRS system used; a proxy for tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction) was faster than that of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ without HVY , causing a period of greater reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, and thus a transient mismatch in local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (represented as a transient "overshoot" in the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-$ to $-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio); $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was significantly reduced and this transient $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot was abolished with HVY. However, Gurd et al. (2006) reported that the HVY intervention was also associated with elevated activity of the mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase complex $(\mathrm{PDH})$. Whereas both elevated bulk (i.e., increased heart rate (HR) following HVY and throughout subsequent MOD) and local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and mitochondrial PDH activity have been implicated following HVY, isolating the precise mechanism(s) responsible for the reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ has proven difficult. While activation of PDH by administration of dichloroacetate, in the absence of augmented $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, has failed to demonstrate significant reductions in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during upright cycling (Koppo et al. 2004; Rossiter et al. 2003), this does not preclude the possibility that some metabolic substrate provision or enzyme activation limitation other than PDH activation may be responsible for regulating $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. As such, in order to investigate the independent effects of a possible metabolic substrate or enzyme activation limitation, an intervention that 'primes' factors affecting metabolic substrate provision and/or enzyme activation without also priming local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery is required.

Whereas HVY is generally used to speed $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, impairing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery by acute hypoxia (HYPO), which reduces the arterial partial pressure of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$, has been employed to slow $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during transitions within the moderate-intensity domain
(Engelen et al. 1996; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1995; Murphy et al. 1989; Perrey et al. 2005; Xing et al. 1991). In addition to the reduced $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, it seems as though acute hypoxic $\left(\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=12 \%\right)$ exposure may also induce a compensatory increase in resting (but not steady-state exercise) HR and leg (i.e., "bulk" femoral conduit artery) blood flow (DeLorey et al. 2004b). Nevertheless, by combining the HVY intervention (which is expected to augment both convective and diffusive $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery) with HYPO (which is expected to impair $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery by reducing $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ), factors influencing metabolic substrate provision and enzyme activation (in the absence of improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability assuming that the reduced $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ blunts the increased perfusion associated with HVY) are essentially isolated.

The aim of the present study was to examine the separate and combined effects of HVY and HYPO on $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics and the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio during MOD to test the hypothesis that resolution of potential intracellular metabolic substrate provision or enzyme activation limitations alone will not speed $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$.

## METHODS

Participants: 10 young, healthy men $(23 \pm 4 \mathrm{yr}$; mean $\pm$ SD; Table 3.1$)$ volunteered and gave written consent to participate in this study. All procedures were approved by The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects. All participants were recreationally active and non-smokers. Additionally, no participants were taking medications that would affect the cardiorespiratory or hemodynamic responses to exercise.

Protocol: On day one, participants reported to the laboratory to perform a ramp incremental test ( $25 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to the limit of tolerance on a cycle ergometer (model: H-300-

R Lode; Lode B.V., Groningen, Holland) for determination of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and the estimated lactate threshold $\left(\theta_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$; the ramp portion of the protocol was initiated following 4 minutes of cycling at 20 W . $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ was determined by visual inspection as the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ output $\left(\mathrm{VCO}_{2}\right)$ began to increase out of proportion in relation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, with a systematic rise in minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ whereas minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VCO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PCO}_{2}$ were stable (Beaver et al. 1986).

From the results of this ramp test, a moderate-intensity work rate (WR) was selected to elicit a $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ equivalent to $\sim 80 \%$ of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$, and a heavy-intensity WR was selected to elicit a $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ corresponding to an intensity given as the sum of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $50 \%$ of the difference between the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}(\Delta 50 \%)$, as described by Scheuermann et al. (2002). Based on previous findings (Hughson et al. 1995; Yoshida et al. 1989), a WR corresponding to $\sim 80 \%$ of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ was expected to still reside in the moderate-intensity domain when performed in HYPO; this was confirmed in the present study by the identical estimated steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values observed in normoxia and hypoxia (without previous HVY), and thus the absence of a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ slow-component under hypoxic conditions. Thus, following the ramp incremental test and on separate days, subjects completed three repetitions of each of three different exercise protocols (Figure 3.1). For two study conditions, subjects completed three repetitions of MOD1-HVY-MOD2 protocol where each of the 6 minutes (MOD or HVY) leg-cycling bouts were preceded by 6 minutes at 20 W . In previous studies employing this exercise protocol, MOD1 has generally been treated as a control condition, HVY as the intervention and MOD2 as the experimental condition; while this characterization remains true for the present study, this study also sought to examine the effects of HYPO following HVY. Thus, for three of the MOD1-HVY-MOD2 repetitions, MOD2 was
performed in HYPO (i.e., "MOD2+HYPO") by administering hypoxic gas mixture ( $\mathrm{FiO}_{2}$ $=15 \%) 4$ minutes prior to its onset. In order to blind subjects to which testing condition they were completing, a normoxic gas mixture (i.e., "sham"; $\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=20.9 \%$ ) was administered to subjects 4 minutes prior to MOD2 onset in the three normoxic trials (i.e., "MOD2-N"; pilot testing confirmed that the kinetics of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}},[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and heart rate (HR) were unaffected by inspiration of dry normoxic gas compared to room air). In addition to the MOD1-HVY-MOD2 protocols, subjects also completed three repetitions of a HYPO control condition (i.e., "MOD1+HYPO") that involved cycling at 20 W for 6 minutes, followed immediately by 6 minutes at $\sim 80 \%$ of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ with HYPO administered after 2 minutes of baseline cycling in room air. The hypoxic and "sham" gas mixtures were administered by turning a two-way valve so that subjects were breathing from a Douglas bag which was being fed continuously by a compressed gas cylinder containing the desired fractional concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Pilot testing also confirmed that 4 minutes of hypoxic exposure prior to an exercise-intensity transition produced similar kinetic profiles compared to longer (i.e., 20 minute) pre-transition exposures. Each visit to the laboratory was separated by at least 24 hours.

Measurements: Gas exchange measurements were similar to those previously described (Babcock et al. 1994). Briefly, inspired and expired flow rates were measured using a low dead space ( 90 mL ) bidirectional turbine (Alpha Technologies VMM 110) which was calibrated before each test using a syringe of known volume. Inspired and expired gases were continuously sampled ( 50 Hz ) at the mouth and analyzed for concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ by mass spectrometry (Innovision, AMIS 2000, Lindvedvej, Denmark) after calibration with precision-analyzed gas mixtures. Changes in gas concentrations were aligned with gas volumes by measuring the time delay for a square-wave bolus of gas
passing the turbine to the resulting changes in fractional gas concentrations as measured by the mass spectrometer. Data were transferred to a computer, which aligned concentrations with volume information to build a profile of each breath. Breath-bybreath alveolar gas exchange was calculated by using algorithms of Beaver et al. (1981).

HR was monitored continuously by electrocardiogram (three-lead arrangement) using PowerLab (ML132/ML880; ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO) and was calculated (using a 5 s rolling average) based upon the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}$ interval; arterial $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{Sat}}\right)$ was monitored by finger pulse oximetry (Nonin 8600, Plymouth, Minnesota, USA). Data were recorded using LabChart v6.1 (ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO) on a separate computer.

Local muscle deoxygenation ([ HHb$]$ ) of the quadriceps vastus lateralis muscle was monitored continuously with a frequency-domain multi-distance NIRS system (Oxiplex TS, Model 95205, ISS, Champaign, IL, USA). The arrangement for the present study included a single channel consisting of eight laser diodes operating at two wavelengths ( $\lambda=690$ and 828 nm , four at each wavelength) which were pulsed in a rapid succession (frequency modulation of laser intensity was 110 MHz ) and a photomultiplier tube. The lightweight plastic NIRS probe (connected to laser diodes and photomultiplier tube by optical fibers) consisted of two parallel rows of light emitter fibers and one detector fiber bundle; the source-detector separations for this probe were $2.0,2.5,3.0$, and 3.5 cm for both wavelengths. The probe was placed on the belly of the muscle midway between the lateral epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur; it was secured in place with an elastic strap tightened to prevent movement. This allowed for continuous measurement of absolute concentration changes $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ of oxyhaemoglobin ( $\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]$ ) and [ HHb ]. The area of interrogation was covered with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet,
thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light. The thigh was wrapped with an elastic bandage to further minimize intrusion of extraneous light and movement of the probe. NIRS measurements were collected continuously for the entire duration of each trial.

The near-infrared spectrometer was calibrated at the beginning of each testing session following a warm-up period of at least 20 min . The calibration was done with the probe placed on a calibration block (phantom) with absorption $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and reduced scattering coefficients ( $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\prime}$ ) previously measured; thus, correction factors were determined and were automatically implemented by the manufacturer's software for the calculation of the $\mu_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$ for each wavelength during the data collection. Calculation of [ HHb ] reflected continuous measurements of $\mu_{s}$ ' made throughout each testing session (i.e., constant scattering value not assumed). Data were stored online at an output frequency of 25 Hz , but were reduced to 1 s bins for all subsequent analyses within the present study. Data analysis: $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR data were filtered by removing aberrant data points that lay outside 4 SD of the local mean. Data for each repetition of a similar protocol were then linearly interpolated to 1 s intervals, time-aligned such that time zero represented the first transition and ensemble-averaged to yield a single averaged response for each subject for a given exercise protocol. These averaged responses were further time-averaged into 5 s bins. The on-transient responses for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR were modelled using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{BSLN}}+\mathrm{A}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(t-\mathrm{TD}) / \tau}\right) ;[\text { Equation } 1]
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}$ represents the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ or HR at any given time $(t)$; $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}$ is the steady state baseline value of Y before an increase in WR; A is the amplitude of the increase in Y above $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}$ (given as the average Y value in the $75-15 \mathrm{~s}$ "window" prior to a transition); $\tau$ represents the time required to attain $63 \%$ of the steady-state amplitude; and TD
represents the mathematically generated time delay through which the exponential model is predicted to intersect $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}$. After excluding the initial 20 s of data from the model (which, while not necessarily reflecting the exact duration of Phase I $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { p }}$ in each individual, is most likely to avoid inclusion of data points from Phase $\mathrm{IVO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the fitting of Phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (Murias et al. 2011b)), while still allowing TD to vary freely (in order to optimize accuracy of parameter estimates), $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were modeled to $4 \mathrm{~min}(240 \mathrm{~s})$ of the step-transition; this ensured that each subject had attained a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ steady-state, yet did not bias the model fit during the on-transient (Bell et al. 2001). HR data were modeled from exercise onset to the end of the 6 min exercise transition with TD constrained to $\geq 0$ s and baseline fixed as described above (i.e., 60 s average during the $75-15 \mathrm{~s}$ "window" prior to a transition). The model parameters were estimated by least-squares nonlinear regression (Origin, OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA) in which the best fit was defined by minimization of the residual sum of squares and minimal variation of residuals around the Y -axis $(\mathrm{Y}=0)$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{95}\right)$ for the estimated time constant was determined after preliminary fit of the data with $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSLN }}$, A , and TD constrained to the best-fit values and the $\tau$ allowed to vary. In addition, a value for the mean response time (Linnarsson 1974) or effective response time (Whipp and Ward 1990) of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ was estimated using the function described in Equation 1, but with data from the initial 20 s following exercise onset included in the model and TD constrained to 0 s . This approach characterizes the entire response (i.e., Phases I, II and III) and allows for an accurate estimate of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit (Rossiter et al. 1999), computed as the product of $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\cdot 60 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and the amplitude of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response from this alternate model ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ).

Baseline and steady-state $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { Sat }}$ were calculated for each individual in each condition as the mean value observed over the final 60 s at a given work rate (i.e., 20 W baseline, MOD or HVY).

The $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile has been described to consist of a time delay at the onset of exercise, followed by an increase in the signal with an "exponential-like" time-course (DeLorey et al. 2003). The time delay for the [ HHb ] response (TD [HHb]) was determined using second-by-second data and corresponded to the time, after the onset of exercise, at which the $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal began a systematic increase from its nadir value. Determination of the $\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was made on individual trials and averaged to yield condition-specific values for each individual. The $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were ensemble- and timeaveraged (i.e., 5 s bins) as described above for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR and were then modeled using Equation 1; the fitting window for the "exponential" response spanned from the end of the $\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ to 90 s into each transition. As described previously (duManoir et al. 2010), different fitting strategies ranging from $90-180 \mathrm{~s}$ into a transition resulted in minimal differences in estimates of $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. The early exponential increase in [ HHb ] was well-characterized in the 90 s following exercise onset in all conditions within the present study, whereas longer fitting windows risked poorer fitting of the early transient (see below for further explanation). As was the case with both $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and HR , baseline $[\mathrm{HHb}]\left([\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}\right)$ values were fixed as the mean value in the $75-15 \mathrm{~s}$ "window" leading up to a transition. Whereas the $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ described the time course for the increase in [ HHb ], the overall change of the effective $[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}]=\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]+\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]\right)$ described the overall time course of the $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ from the onset of each step transition. The second-by-second $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were normalized for each subject $(0 \%$, representing the 20 W baseline value, and $100 \%$, representing the post-transition steady-
state of the response). The normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was left-shifted by 20 s to account for the phase I-phase II transition so that the onset of exercise coincided with the beginning of phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (Murias et al. 2011b), which has been previously described to coincide with muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within $10 \%$ (Grassi et al. 1996; Rossiter et al. 1999). Data were further averaged into 5 s bins for statistical comparison of possible dissociations in the dynamic adjustments of $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. Additionally, an overall $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio for the adjustment during the exercise on-transient was derived for each individual as the average value from 20-120 s into the transition. The start point was selected to be 20 s to begin the analysis at the time region when the $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ signals meet, reflecting the TD [ HHb ] and early adjustment of [ HHb$]$. During these early seconds of the on-transient, it is very likely that the muscle blood flow response is "bi-phasic" with an initial rapid increase representing the activity of the muscle pump and/or rapid vasodilation (Tschakovsky and Sheriff 2004), followed thereafter by a slower exponential increase related to increased cardiac output and distribution of blood flow to the exercising muscle. Very early in exercise (i.e., those initial $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), the blood flow response (and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery) is sufficient to support early increases in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, and as such, the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio is not attempting to characterize this portion of the response. An end point of 120 s was selected as the time point at which the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio had reached a steady-state value of 1.0 in all subjects. Given the inherent (breath-to-breath) variability in the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response, it is impractical to attempt to identify the precise occasion where the two signals become matched. In this sense, the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio is somewhat "biased" towards a value of 1.0 ; that is, if anything this 120 s end-point is biasing this result towards a lesser, not a greater "overshoot" (which may, in fact, exist). Thus, the 120 s value was chosen to err on the side of caution. While the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO} 2$ ratio is not an
attempt to "re-arrange" the terms in the Fick equation (indeed, $[\mathrm{HHb}] \neq$ arterio-venous $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content difference ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2 \text { diff }}$ ), it does permit insights into the dynamic matching (or mismatching) of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization by identifying when the signals are (temporarily) dissociated. Two specific advantages of the present approach for pairing the [ HHb ] response with the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response are that: i) "actual" rather than modeled data are used; ii) full account of the TD [HHb] (which is a physiological, rather than mathematical in nature) is taken; that is, a ratio of $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}] / \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ignores the effects of $\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]$, and likewise, by computing $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}] / \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2}$, the impact of $\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ is quadrupled (assuming that $4 \tau=$ steady state $)$.

Statistics: Data are presented as means $\pm$ SD. The within-subjects design of the present study demanded the use of repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) to determine statistical significance for the dependent variables; the three distinct interventions (MOD2-N, MOD2+HYPO, MOD1+HYPO) were treated as different measurement occasions. This statistical model was selected over a two-way repeated measures ANOVA, as this latter model necessarily implies that MOD1+HYPO was somehow sequentially linked to (i.e., preceded) MOD2+HYPO; this was not the case. A Tukey post-hoc analysis was used when significant differences were found for the main effects of each dependent variable. Determination of whether an $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio overshoot was significant was based upon a comparison (t-test) of the condition-specific mean with a value of 1.0 (with no associated error). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 18.0, (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was declared when $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## RESULTS

Subject characteristics are displayed in Table 3.1. Kinetic parameter estimates for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, [ HHb ] and HR during MOD1 were similar ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) regardless of which condition it preceded (i.e., MOD2-N or MOD2+HYPO); as such, these responses were merged (i.e., data from all "MOD1" transitions were time aligned, ensemble-averaged, and modeled as described in Methods section) and are henceforth referred to as "Control." This "merging" procedure improved confidence in kinetic parameter estimates; thus, comparisons with the three "experimental conditions" were strengthened. Table 3.2 depicts kinetics parameters for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}},[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio.

Phase II $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}($ Control $=26 \pm 7 \mathrm{~s})$ was reduced $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ during MOD2-N $(20 \pm$ 5 s ), but lengthened ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in both MOD1+HYPO ( $34 \pm 14 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and MOD2+HYPO ( $30 \pm$ 8 s ); $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was similar ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) between MOD1+HYPO and MOD2+HYPO conditions. Figure 3.2 depicts the dispersion of individual $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ values around the group mean for each condition. The MOD2-N and MOD2+HYPO conditions were both associated with an increase in baseline $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}} ; 1.20 \pm 0.16\right.$ and $1.27 \pm 0.21 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, respectively) compared to either Control $\left(1.07 \pm 0.18 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ or MOD1+HYPO $(1.05 \pm$ $\left.0.19 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$; this contributed to the elevated steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pss}}\right)$ response during MOD2 $+\mathrm{HYPO}\left(2.17 \pm 0.23 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ compared to both Control $(2.02 \pm$ $\left.0.23 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ and MOD1+HYPO ( $\left.2.02 \pm 0.21 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$. Importantly, compared to Control ( $638 \pm 144 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the estimated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit was reduced during MOD2-N $(529 \pm$ 196 mL ; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), enlarged during MOD1+HYPO ( $783 \pm 184 \mathrm{~mL}$; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), but was virtually unchanged in MOD2+HYPO ( $643 \pm 193 \mathrm{~mL}$; $\mathrm{p}>0.05$; Table 3.2).

All of MOD2-N, MOD2+HYPO and MOD1+HYPO were associated with larger $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ compared to Control ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); yet, the TD [ HHb ] was shorter in either MOD2-N
or MOD2+HYPO compared to Control $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and MOD1+HYPO ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ). Consequently, the $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was similar across all conditions ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ).

The group mean normalized (i.e., $0-100 \%$ ) adjustments of $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ are illustrated in Figure 3.3. The overall $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio was calculated to quantify the "excess" (relative to the steady-state values) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ during the ontransient of each MOD (i.e., values $>1.0$ represent a period during the on-transient displaying a greater reliance on fractional $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction compared to the steady-state (values $=1.0)$, and reflects a local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization mismatch in the area of the NIRS probe). A modest but significant (i.e., p<0.05 from 1.0) [ HHb$] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot was observed in Control (1.06 $\pm 0.04$; Table 3.2; Figure 3.3). This overshoot was abolished in MOD2-N $(1.00 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from all other conditions; $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ from 1.0), but persisted with both MOD2+HYPO ( $1.09 \pm 0.07$; $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ from Control; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 1.0) and MOD1+HYPO ( $1.10 \pm 0.09 ; \mathrm{p}=0.13$ from Control; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 1.0).

The $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ was lower prior to MOD2-N $(20.1 \pm 10.8 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to Control (24.2 $\pm 12.0 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05)$; MOD1+HYPO was associated with an elevated $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}(25.7 \pm 12.1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to all other conditions $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. Despite these differences in $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$, the steady-state $[\mathrm{HHb}]\left([\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{Ss}}\right)$ asymptote derived from the mono-exponential fit was similar ( $p>0.05$ ) across all conditions. Figure 3.4 displays the normalized (i.e., $0-100 \%$ ) second-by-second group mean [ HHb ] response along with the second-by-second arterial $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{Sat}} ; \%\right)$ for each of the three exercise protocols. The exaggerated drop ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; Table 3.3; Figure 3.4) in $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { Sat }}$ once HYPO was combined with exercise (i.e., MOD1+HYPO or MOD2+HYPO), was essentially mirrored by the gradual and continual increase in the $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response following its early exponential adjustment. That the $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response did not attain a steady-state plateau in HYPO
(i.e., neither MOD1+HYPO nor MOD2+HYPO), as it did during MODs performed under normoxic conditions, confirms the decision to limit the fitting window for [ HHb ] to 90 s into the transitions.

Both the MOD2-N and MOD2+HYPO conditions were associated with elevated baseline HR values ( $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }} ; 109 \pm 13$ and $112 \pm 14 \mathrm{bpm}$, respectively) when compared to Control $(89 \pm 10 \mathrm{bpm})$ and MOD1+HYPO ( $91 \pm 11 \mathrm{bpm} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); that $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ did not differ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) between Control and MOD1+HYPO suggests that the heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise alone was primarily responsible for this trend (Table 3.3); that is, acute hypoxia alone did not elicit an increase in $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$, yet the HVY intervention was consistently associated with elevated $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$. Steady-state $\mathrm{HR}\left(\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{SS}}\right)$ was lower ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in Control conditions ( $117 \pm 12 \mathrm{bpm}$ ) compared to all experimental conditions; the MOD2+HYPO intervention led to a greater $\operatorname{HR}_{\text {SS }}(139 \pm 15 \mathrm{bpm})$ compared to MOD2-N (130 $\pm 15 \mathrm{bpm})$ or MOD1+HYPO (125 $\pm 13 \mathrm{bpm})$. Finally, HR adjusted fastest under Control conditions ( $\tau \mathrm{HR}=28 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05$ for all other conditions), and adjusted faster during MOD1+HYPO ( $34 \pm 14 \mathrm{~s}$ ) compared to MOD2+HYPO ( $44 \pm 18 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); $\tau \mathrm{HR}$ did not differ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) between MOD2-N $(44 \pm 18 \mathrm{~s})$ and MOD2+HYPO.

Table 3.1. Subject Characteristics

|  | Age <br> (yr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> (m) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{VO}_{2 \max } \\ \left(\mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lactate Threshold ( $\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) | Peak PO <br> (W) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOD } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { (W) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HVY } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { (W) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAN | 23 | 79 | 1.81 | 51.9 | 2.2 | 351 | 112 | 225 |
| SD | 4 | 10 | 0.06 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 47 | 18 | 27 |

Table 3.2. Kinetic parameter estimates for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and [HHb]

|  | Control | Intervention |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MOD2-N | MOD1+HYPO | MOD2+HYPO |
| $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $26 \pm 7$ | $20 \pm 5$ * | $34 \pm 14 * \dagger$ | $30 \pm 8 *{ }^{+}$ |
| TD $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ( s$)$ | $12 \pm 6$ | $14 \pm 3$ | $9 \pm 8$ | $10 \pm 8$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $2 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.07 \pm 0.18$ | $1.20 \pm 0.16^{*}$ | $1.05 \pm 0.19^{\dagger}$ | $1.27 \pm 0.21^{*}{ }^{\text { }}$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pAMP }}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $0.95 \pm 0.22$ | $0.89 \pm 0.24 *$ | $0.97 \pm 0.23^{\dagger}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.24{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{psS}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $2.02 \pm 0.23$ | $2.09 \pm 0.19$ | $2.02 \pm 0.21$ | $2.17 \pm 0.23{ }^{*}{ }^{\text { }}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Deficit (mL) | $638 \pm 144$ | $529 \pm 196^{*}$ | $783 \pm 184^{\dagger}$ | $643 \pm 193^{\text {+ }}$ |
| $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $12 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 5^{*}$ | $15 \pm 3^{*}$ | $17 \pm 4^{*}$ |
| TD [ HHb ] (s) | $10 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 3^{*}$ | $10 \pm 2$ | $8 \pm 3$ * |
| $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $22 \pm 6$ | $24 \pm 7$ | $25 \pm 3$ | $24 \pm 5$ |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (s) | $1 \pm 1$ | $1 \pm 1$ | $2 \pm 1$ | $1 \pm 1$ |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $24.2 \pm 12.0$ | $20.1 \pm 10.8 *$ | $25.7 \pm 12.1 *{ }^{+}$ | $22.4 \pm 10.6{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| $\left[^{\mathrm{HHb}}\right]_{\text {AMP }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $9.5 \pm 9.3$ | $16.3 \pm 14.1 *$ | $10.3 \pm 8.3^{\dagger}$ | $14.7 \pm 13.6^{*}$ |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{SS}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $33.8 \pm 19.8$ | $36.4 \pm 21.4$ | $36.1 \pm 19.2$ | $37.1 \pm 21.5$ |
| [ HHb / $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ Ratio | $1.06 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05 *$ | $1.10 \pm 0.09^{\dagger}$ | $1.09 \pm 0.07^{\dagger}$ |

Values are means $\pm \mathrm{SD} ; \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ time constant; TD $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, time delay $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$; $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ baseline; $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pAMP}}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ amplitude; $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pss}}$, steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \tau[\mathrm{HHb}],[\mathrm{HHb}$ ] time constant; TD [ HHb , calculated time delay for $[\mathrm{HHb}] ; \tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}]$, effective response time for $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (calculated as $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]+\mathrm{TD}[\mathrm{HHb}]) ; \mathrm{CI}_{95}[\mathrm{HHb}], 95 \%$ confidence interval for $[\mathrm{HHb}] ;[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{BSLN}}$, $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ baseline; $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}},[\mathrm{HHb}]$ amplitude; $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{ss}}$, steady-state $[\mathrm{HHb}] ;{ }^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from Control; ${ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from MOD2-N; ${ }^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from MOD1+HYPO.

Table 3.3. Kinetic parameter estimates for HR and $\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{Sat}}$

|  |  | Intervention |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | MOD2-N | MOD1+HYPO | MOD2+HYPO |
| $\tau \mathrm{HR}(\mathrm{s})$ | $28 \pm 11$ | $44 \pm 25^{*}$ | $34 \pm 14^{*}$ | $44 \pm 18^{* \ddagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{HR}(\mathrm{s})$ | $2 \pm 1$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $4 \pm 2$ | $4 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}(\mathrm{bpm})$ | $89 \pm 10$ | $109 \pm 13^{*}$ | $91 \pm 11^{\dagger}$ | $112 \pm 14^{* \ddagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {AMP }}(\mathrm{bpm})$ | $28 \pm 8$ | $21 \pm 8^{*}$ | $34 \pm 9^{* \dagger}$ | $27 \pm 9^{\dagger \ddagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {SS }}(\mathrm{bpm})$ | $117 \pm 12$ | $130 \pm 15^{*}$ | $125 \pm 13^{*}$ | $139 \pm 15^{* \dagger \ddagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatBSLN }}(\%)$ | $97 \pm 1$ | $97 \pm 1$ | $91 \pm 3^{* \dagger}$ | $91 \pm 2^{* \dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatSS }}(\%)$ | $97 \pm 1$ | $97 \pm 1$ | $87 \pm 4^{* \dagger \$}$ | $87 \pm 3^{* \AA \$}$ |

Values are means $\pm \mathrm{SD} ; \tau \mathrm{HR}$, HR time constant; $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{HR}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau \mathrm{HR} ; \mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{BSLN}}, \mathrm{HR}$ baseline; $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{AMP}}$, HR amplitude; $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{SS}}$, steady-state $\mathrm{HR} ; \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatBSLN }}$, baseline arterial $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation; $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatSS }}$, steady-state arterial $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation; *, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ from Control; ${ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from MOD2-N; ${ }^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from MOD1+HYPO; ${ }^{\S}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from condition-specific $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatBSLN }}$.


Figure 3.1. Schematic of three exercise protocols: MOD1-HVY-MOD2-N; MOD1+HYPO; and MOD1-HVY-MOD2+HYPO. Gas switch denoted by vertical dashed line.


Figure 3.2. Comparison of individual ( $\circ$ ) and mean ( $\bullet$ ) $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values for MOD2-N vs. Control; MOD1+HYPO vs. Control; and MOD2+HYPO vs. Control. Error bars are SD. The line of identity is represented by the dashed line.
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Figure 3.3. Group mean $( \pm \mathrm{SD})$ adjustments of $[\mathrm{HHb}](0)$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}(\Delta)$ (Panel 1 ; normalized \% response) and $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}(\mathrm{O})$ (Panel 2) during the on-transient to MOD averaged across subjects during Control, MOD2-N, MOD1+HYPO, and MOD2+HYPO. Filled circles in Panel 1 denote a significantly greater percent of adjustment for [ HHb ] compared to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at a given time-point ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Dashed vertical lines denote the beginning and end of mismatch between $(\%)[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$.



Figure 3.4. Normalized (i.e., 0-100\% of MOD1) second-by-second group mean [HHb] response (solid dark line) along with the second-by-second arterial $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{Sat}}\right.$; \%; dashed light line) for each of MOD1-HVY-MOD2-N ( $\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=20.9 \%$ ); MOD1+HYPO $\left(\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=15 \%\right) ;$ and MOD1-HVY-MOD2+HYPO $\left(\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=15 \%\right)$.

## DISCUSSION

This study sought to examine the separate and combined effects of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise (HVY) and acute, mild hypoxia ( $\mathrm{HYPO} ; \mathrm{FiO}_{2}=15 \%$ ) on $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics and the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio during the on-transient of a subsequent bout of moderate-intensity exercise (MOD). The main findings were that: 1) HVY improved the matching of local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (i.e., abolished the significant $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot observed in Control) such that $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was reduced from $\sim 26 \mathrm{~s}$ under control conditions to $\sim 20$ s following HVY; 2) HYPO slowed the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at the onset of MOD; this was associated with a significant overshoot in the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio (implying an appreciable mismatch between local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization); 3) the present data (unchanged $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit and $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot in MOD2+HYPO compared to Control) do not support a role for either augmented metabolic substrate provision nor enzyme activation in the reductions in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ commonly observed with HVY alone; 4) cumulatively, the present study suggests that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery plays a determining role of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ under control conditions in young, healthy humans (when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

Precisely which mechanism(s) limit the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at the onset of MOD in healthy, young humans performing upright cycling exercise remains a topic of debate in current literature. The possible factors most often considered include $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability and metabolic substrate provision and enzyme activation. Poole et al. (2008) have proposed that there is a point beyond which $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ dependent such that the provision of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ becomes limiting and thus $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ is lengthened; yet, this proposal has
yet to be fully confirmed by a demonstration that provision of 'additional' $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ resolves any potential $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation.

In recent years, NIRS-derived $\Delta\left[\mathrm{HHb}\right.$ ( and $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ), a proxy for tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction, has been used to advance understanding of the balance between local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization. Specifically, Murias et al. (2010) demonstrated that speeding of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ following 3 weeks of endurance training in young men was related to an improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ distribution within the peripheral microvasculature. This position was supported by the abolishment of the transient $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot (indicating increased reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction for a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) observed pre-training; importantly, once $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values were reduced to $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$, no further speeding of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics was observed despite 9 weeks of additional training. More recently, we demonstrated in a group of young men that those individuals with the greatest $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values also presented with the largest mismatch between microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization, again represented as the greatest $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot (Murias et al. 2011c). Furthermore, no $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot was observed when data from those with $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}<21 \mathrm{~s}$ were grouped. Most recently (Murias et al. 2011a), we demonstrated that the reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (to $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ) following HVY is well correlated with the abolishment of a modest but significant $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot observed under control conditions. Nevertheless, because a role for augmented intracellular metabolic substrate provision in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was not explicitly precluded by Murias et al. (2011a), the specific role of "enhanced" local muscle (and bulk) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery remain somewhat in question. As a result, we sought to examine both the separate and combined effects of HVY and HYPO on the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during MOD.

Independent effects of heavy 'priming' exercise on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics:
In the present study, under Control conditions, $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was $\sim 26 \mathrm{~s}$ and was associated $(r=0.79)$ with a modest, but significant $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot $(1.06 \pm 0.04)$. Heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise simultaneously abolished this [ HHb$] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot $(1.00 \pm 0.05)$ and reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ to $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ (in MOD2-N). As such, the present study confirms the findings reported previously by Murias et al. (2010; 2011a; 2011c) and directly supports the notion of an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependence/independence "threshold" for the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. Furthermore, the present data suggest that this "threshold" likely resides near $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$. A recent study by Grassi et al. (2011) nicely illustrates the mechanism likely limiting $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ once an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation has been removed (or was absent); that a significantly faster adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ was observed under acute CK inhibition in an in situ, pump-perfused canine skeletal muscle preparation suggests that the CK-catalyzed breakdown of PCr at the onset of exercise attenuates the rise in ADP concentration such that activation of oxidative phosphorylation is slowed. It remains likely that factors related to both metabolic substrate provision and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ transport are interacting to regulate the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$; nevertheless, when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ in young, healthy men, the present data suggest that the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ is primarily limited by provision of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

Importantly, in addition to the abolished $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot in MOD2-N (suggesting an improved matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during the exercise ontransient), the present study also demonstrated higher $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ and $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {SS }}$ values as well as lower $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ in MOD2-N (compared to Control). Whereas the former observations (i.e., increased $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ and $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {SS }}$ ) strongly suggest augmented "bulk" $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery
(though neither cardiac output nor leg blood flow were specifically measured) as a result of the HVY intervention, the latter observation implies improved local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as well. A lower reliance on microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction immediately prior to the onset of MOD2-N (i.e., lower $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ ) necessarily implies enhanced local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, particularly when considering the incomplete recovery of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the 6 minutes following HVY (Table 3.2). Cumulatively, these findings demonstrate that both bulk and local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery were augmented as a result of the HVY intervention (i.e., in MOD2-N). Although markers of intracellular metabolic substrate provision and enzyme activation were not directly measured in the present study, to date the only paper that has shown an association between the HVY intervention and elevated metabolic activity is that of Gurd et al. (2006); importantly, the increased enzyme activity (PDH) was not correlated to changes in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$.

## Independent effects of acute hypoxia on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics:

Acute hypoxia acts to reduce the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by reducing the diffusion gradient across the pulmonary capillary membrane. Depending upon the severity of the hypoxic exposure (i.e., $\mathrm{FiO}_{2}$ ), a compensatory increase in bulk blood flow may be expected; indeed, DeLorey et al. (2004b) reported a trend for increased leg blood flow under hypoxic conditions $\left(\mathrm{FiO}_{2}=12 \%\right)$ in a group of healthy, young individuals. Though neither leg blood flow nor cardiac output were measured in the present study, changes in HR were used to provide insights into changes in bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery; it must be noted that changes in bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery can result from active redistribution, even in the absence of changes to HR. Interestingly, despite a significant reduction in $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatBSLN }}$ (reflecting a drop in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) in MOD1+HYPO compared to Control, no compensatory increase in $H R R_{\text {BSLN }}$ was
observed; cumulatively, these data suggest that bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery was, indeed, reduced by HYPO (during 20 W baseline cycling). Further, the observation of a significantly greater $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ value concomitant with an unchanged $\mathrm{VO}_{\text {2pBSLN }}$ provides strong evidence that in addition to bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery was also reduced prior to the exercise on-transient.

In agreement with several previous studies (Engelen et al. 1996; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1995; Murphy et al. 1989; Perrey et al. 2005; Xing et al. 1991), the present data demonstrate that HYPO slows $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during the on-transient of MOD. In addition to the inflated $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values observed in MOD1+HYPO (34 $\pm 14 \mathrm{~s}$ ) compared to Control ( $26 \pm 7 \mathrm{~s}$ ), this conclusion was further corroborated by the significant increase in the magnitude of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit (from $638 \pm 144 \mathrm{~mL}$ to $783 \pm 184 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with HYPO. Further, the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio tended $(\mathrm{p}=0.13)$ to be greater in MOD1 $+\mathrm{HYPO}(1.10 \pm 0.09)$ than in Control $(1.06 \pm 0.04)$, suggesting that the dynamic matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization was poorer during the MOD1+HYPO exercise on-transient as compared to Control. This interpretation is congruent with other interventions that impair $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery (e.g., $\beta$-adrenergic blockade (Hughson 1984; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1991); exercise in the supine position (MacDonald et al. 1998)).

Parolin et al. (2000) reported that HYPO was associated with a slowed activation of PDH during the exercise on-transient; thus, some may question whether the HYPOrelated slowing of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was a result of diminished $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability or slowed enzyme activation. It could be suggested that the modest but significant slowing of $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (despite unchanged TD $[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) in MOD1+HYPO compared to Control points to a slower intracellular metabolic adjustment; yet, given that $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}]$ is still more
than twice as fast as $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in this experimental condition, a role for insufficient metabolic substrate provision or slowed enzyme activation in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is far from established. Indeed, prior activation of PDH (through administration of dichloroacetate) has failed to demonstrate significant reductions in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during upright cycling (Koppo et al. 2004; Rossiter et al. 2003), and when elevated PDH activity was reported following HVY, the correlation between PDH activity and $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ was nonsignificant (Gurd et al. 2006); thus, evidence for PDH playing a rate-limiting role in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is nonexistent. Notwithstanding the conclusions of Parolin et al. (2000), the trend for a greater $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot observed during MOD1+HYPO compared to Control in the present study suggests that an exaggerated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation was present. Thus, while the present study confirms the findings of many others (Engelen et al. 1996; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1995; Murphy et al. 1989; Perrey et al. 2005; Xing et al. 1991), it also suggests that the HYPO-associated slowing in the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ was related to poorer $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability, and not necessarily a slowed activation of PDH.

## Combined effects of heavy 'priming' exercise and acute hypoxia on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics:

A primary aim of the present study design was to isolate augmented "intracellular factors" related to metabolic substrate provision and enzyme activation, in the absence of improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability. The combination of HYPO and HVY interventions should be expected to isolate any possible metabolic substrate provision or metabolic enzyme activation limitations, in the absence of improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, provided that the HYPO was not too severe; that is, the effects of HYPO (i.e., reduced $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) would act to blunt the increased perfusion that resulted from HVY.

Prior to MOD2+HYPO, the $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ was significantly greater than under Control conditions; yet, the nearly identical $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ responses in MOD2-N and MOD2+HYPO implies that the increase from Control was the result of the HVY intervention, with no added effect of HYPO. Thus, in light of the significantly lower $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { SatBSLN }}$ in MOD2+HYPO compared to MOD2-N, it is clear that the MOD2+HYPO intervention was at least somewhat effective at blunting the overall bulk $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery response compared to the MOD2-N intervention alone. Based solely upon HR (and not cardiac output or leg blood flow measures), it would be imprudent to attempt to compare the bulk delivery under Control and MOD2+HYPO conditions. This is untrue, however, of the local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, where inferences can be drawn by considering the [ HHb ] signals. That $[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}$ was similar between Control and MOD2+HYPO (Table 2, Figure 4) suggests that the increased perfusion (supported by the elevated $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {BSLN }}$ and $H R_{S S}$ ) associated with the HVY intervention was, indeed, essentially "nullified" by the lower $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content resulting from HYPO such that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery was potentially similar to that present in Control.

Given the probable (or at the very least possible) similarities in local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery when considering the Control and MOD2+HYPO conditions, it seems reasonable to conclude that any speeding of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the latter condition (relative to the former) would be the result of augmented metabolic substrate provision or elevated enzyme activity. Indeed, if the HVY intervention alone (i.e., MOD2-N) was acting to speed $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ primarily by improving these "intracellular factors" (rather than by improving $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery), then the addition of HYPO following HVY (i.e., MOD2+HYPO) would not be expected to alter the reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ commonly associated with HVY
alone (i.e., $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ should be similarly reduced in the MOD2-N and MOD2+HYPO conditions). In contrast, however, the present data provided no evidence of an " $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery independent" speeding of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. While $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was, in fact, slowed during MOD2+HYPO (compared to Control), examination of Figure 2C reveals that in 7 of 10 subjects the change in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ from Control was $\leq 3 \mathrm{~s}$. That the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit was virtually identical when comparing the Control and MOD2+HYPO conditions only strengthens support of the present conclusions. Finally, though the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio may "appear" larger in the MOD2+HYPO condition (compared to Control), this difference was not significant; this finding suggests that the dynamic matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization was similar during the on-transients of Control and MOD2+HYPO.

## Conclusions:

The present paper has confirmed previous findings that, when presented independently, HYPO and HVY slow and speed the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, respectively. Specifically, the present data suggest that the HVY intervention causes a reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ by improving the matching of local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery with muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization. Furthermore, this study has shown that the HYPO-related slowing of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ is likely as a result of impaired $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery rather than a slowed activation of PDH. The present study was unable to provide any evidence of an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery independent speeding of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ when these two interventions were combined; that is, 'priming' metabolic substrate provision or enzyme activation in the absence of improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability does not speed the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. As such, this novel condition indirectly implicates a critical role for augmented local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as the underlying cause of speeding of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ when HVY is presented alone. This contention is supported by the abolishment of the
significant $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot in Control compared to MOD2-N and a reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ from $\sim 26 \mathrm{~s}$ to $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$. These findings confirm those of Murias et al. (2011a) and support the notion of an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependence/independence threshold for the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ which resides near $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ (Murias et al. 2010; Murias et al. 2011c). The recent findings of Grassi et al. (2011) suggest that when an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation is either rectified or altogether absent, $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is limited by accumulation of ADP which is governed by the CK-catalyzed breakdown of PCr at exercise onset.

The recent series of studies from our laboratory complement the "tipping point" model (Poole and Musch 2010) by illustrating that 1) impairments in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery appear to cause an additive increase in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ beyond that imposed by the fundamental limitation of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (likely regulated by CK-catalyzed PCr hydrolysis); 2) provision of 'additional' $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (through HVY) is capable of resolving an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitation and is responsible for the reduction in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; 3$ ) this $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependence limitation point exists very near to $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ in a young, healthy population; and 4) young, healthy individuals are susceptible to this $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependence limitation of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ along with the diseased and the elderly.
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## CHAPTER IV: Effect of moderate-intensity work rate increment on phase II $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{VO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and functional gain

## INTRODUCTION

An abrupt increase in work rate (WR) produces an instantaneous increase in adenosine triphosphate (ATP) hydrolysis and thus the requirement for ATP re-synthesis; however, the adjustment of oxidative phosphorylation (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics) towards the new steadystate requirement is exponential, rather than immediate (Linnarsson 1974; Whipp and Wasserman 1972). This exponential adjustment is generally characterized by both its rate (quantified as the phase II pulmonary $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ time constant; $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) and the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ amplitude (i.e., $\Delta \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ from baseline to steady-state $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) or $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ functional gain ( $G=$ $\Delta \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}$ ). Based on early observations of similar $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values (Whipp 1971) in response to different WR transitions within the moderate-intensity domain (i.e., below the estimated lactate threshold; $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) as well as on-/off-transient symmetry (Griffiths et al. 1986; Paterson and Whipp 1991) in response to a single moderate-intensity exercise transition, it was believed that the characteristics of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetic response were independent of WR within the moderate-intensity domain (Linnarsson 1974; Whipp and Wasserman 1972).

The notion that the parameters describing $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics within the moderateintensity domain are "WR independent" has not been thoroughly assessed. The WR independence of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics parameters has been challenged by the findings of several studies that have used a "double step" protocol in both young (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005) and older (Spencer et al. 2011a) adults. A feature shared amongst these studies is the observation of a greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values (i.e., slower adjustments) and greater functional $G$ when exercise is initiated from
an elevated WR, even within the moderate-intensity domain. As such, the primary focus of these studies (as well as that of Bowen et al. (2011)) was to elucidate the underlying cause of this slowing. Importantly, however, a consistent finding that has received somewhat less attention in the aforementioned studies was the principal cause of the trend (though not always significant) for smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ values (i.e., faster adjustments) and smaller functional G when the WR increment is smaller (where the pre-transition WR was constant and relatively low (i.e., rest or 20 W ); that is, in each of these studies, a "lower step" (i.e., generally to a WR corresponding to $\sim 45 \%$ of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) was compared to a "full step" (i.e., generally to a WR corresponding to $\sim 90 \%$ of $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) where transitions were initiated from identical (low) baseline WRs. A concern with these results is the particularly small WR increment of the "lower step" and whether the lower step $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics parameters are accurate given the low signal-to-noise of the data. Wilkerson et al. (2004) have described the effects of WR on phase II $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional G across a broad range of exercise intensity domains (i.e., from $60 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ to $120 \%$ of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ), however, the effects of WR on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetic parameters within the moderate-intensity domain have not yet been thoroughly described. Thus, the purpose of the present study was to systematically examine the role of WR increment (when initiated from a constant low WR of 20 W to five different moderate-intensity WRs between 50 and 130 W ) on both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional G in a group of healthy, young adults. Further, with the hypothesis of both smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional G during transitions to lower WRs, we sought to investigate the potential mechanism(s) using measures of local muscle deoxygenation (to assess the balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization), and to
determine whether this mechanism differed between those individuals who presented with fast compared to slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics.

## METHODS

Subjects: Fourteen young men $(24 \pm 5 \mathrm{yr} ; 80 \pm 12 \mathrm{~kg} ; 180 \pm 6 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ mean $\pm$ SD $)$ volunteered and gave written consent to participate in the study. All procedures were approved by The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects. All subjects were non-smokers and were physically active. Additionally, no subjects were taking medications that would affect the cardiorespiratory or hemodynamic responses to exercise.

Protocol: Initially, subjects reported to the laboratory to perform a ramp incremental test (25 W/min) to the limit of tolerance on a cycle ergometer (model: H-300-R Lode; Lode B.V., Groningen, Holland) for determination of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \theta_{\mathrm{L}}$ was defined as the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ at which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ output $\left(\mathrm{VCO}_{2}\right)$ began to increase out of proportion to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ with a systematic rise in minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ whereas minute ventilation-to- $\mathrm{VCO}_{2}$ ratio and end-tidal $\mathrm{PCO}_{2}$ were stable. Subsequent to the incremental test, subjects returned to the laboratory on 6 occasions during which a total of 4 repetitions of "step" changes in WR were completed per visit. During each visit, subjects completed a pair of successive moderate-intensity leg cycling transitions from a 6 minute baseline WR of 20 W to 6 minute at a WR corresponding to one of $50 \mathrm{~W}, 70 \mathrm{~W}$, $90 \mathrm{~W}, 110 \mathrm{~W}$ or 130 W separated by 6 minutes of 20 W cycling; following 20 minutes of seated recovery, subjects continued on to complete a second pair of identical transitions. For each individual, the step transitions from 20 W to 130 W were performed first to verify that this WR was within the moderate-intensity domain (with the absence of a
$\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ slow component); after this visit, the order of the WR transitions was randomized. For the 20 W to 50 W transitions, a total of 8 repetitions (i.e., 2 visits) were performed, as smaller WR transitions have been associated with reduced signal-to-noise ratios and thus (perhaps) less confidence in parameter estimates; for all other WRs, 4 transitions (i.e., 1 visit) were performed. We have previously shown that the effect of previous moderateintensity transitions on the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { p }}$ kinetics response of subsequent moderate-intensity transitions is negligible (Spencer et al. 2011b). Each visit to the laboratory was separated by at least 24 hours.

Measurements: Gas-exchange measurements were similar to those previously described (Babcock et al. 1994). Briefly, inspired and expired flow rates were measured using a low dead space ( 90 mL ) bidirectional turbine (Alpha Technologies VMM 110) which was calibrated before each test using a syringe of known volume. Inspired and expired gases were continuously sampled $(50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at the mouth and analyzed for concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ by mass spectrometry (Innovision, AMIS 2000, Lindvedvej, Denmark) after calibration with precision-analyzed gas mixtures. Changes in gas concentrations were aligned with gas volumes by measuring the time delay for a square-wave bolus of gas passing the turbine to the resulting changes in fractional gas concentrations as measured by the mass spectrometer. Data were transferred to a computer, which aligned concentrations with volume information to build a profile of each breath. Breath-bybreath alveolar gas exchange was calculated by using algorithms of Beaver et al. (1981).

Local muscle deoxygenation profiles of the quadriceps vastus lateralis muscle were made with NIRS (Hamamatsu NIRO 300, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). Optodes were placed on the belly of the muscle midway between the lateral
epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur. The optodes were housed in an opticallydense plastic holder and secured on the skin surface with tape and then covered with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet, thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light. The thigh was wrapped with an elastic bandage to minimize movement of the optodes.

The physical principles of tissue spectroscopy and the manner in which these are applied have been explained by DeLorey et al. (2003). Briefly, optodes were placed on the belly of the muscle midway between the lateral epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur. The system consisted of both an emission probe that carries NIR light from the laser diodes and detector probe (interoptode spacing $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); optodes were housed in an optically-dense plastic holder and secured on the skin surface with tape and then covered with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet, thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light. The thigh was wrapped with an elastic bandage to minimize movement of the optodes. Four laser diodes $(\lambda=775,810,850$, and 910 nm$)$ were pulsed in a rapid succession and the light returning from the tissue was detected by the photodiode for online estimation and display of the concentration changes from the resting baseline for oxyhaemoglobin $\left(\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]\right)$, deoxyhaemoglobin $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$, and total haemoglobin $\left(\Delta\left[\mathrm{Hb}_{\text {tot }}\right]\right)$. Changes in light intensities were recorded continuously at 2 Hz and transferred to a computer for later analysis. The NIRS-derived signal was zero set with the subject sitting in a resting steady-state on the cycle ergometer prior to the onset of baseline exercise and changes in the concentration are reported as a delta ( $\Delta$ ) in arbitrary units (a.u.).

Data analysis: $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were filtered by removing aberrant data points that lay outside 4 SD of the local mean; the justification for this filtering process was provided by Lamarra
et al. (1987), who demonstrated that "noise" observed within the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ signal conformed to a predictable Gaussian distribution, independent of WR. The data for each transition were linearly-interpolated to 1 s intervals and time-aligned such that time zero represented the onset of exercise. Data from all same-WR transitions were ensembleaveraged to yield five averaged responses for each subject (i.e., one for each WR). These transitions were further time-averaged into 5 s bins to provide five time-averaged responses for each subject. (Ensemble- and time-averaged responses for 20 W to 50 W transitions using only 4 and only 6 transitions were also generated in addition to that generated using all 8 transitions.) Baseline $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}\right)$ was calculated as the average $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ collected in the 2 minutes before an increase in WR. The on-transient responses for $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ were modeled using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}=\mathrm{Y}_{B}+\mathrm{A}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(t-\mathrm{TD}) / \tau}\right) ;[\text { Equation } 1]
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}_{(t)}$ represents the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ for any given time; $\mathrm{Y}_{B}$ is the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at baseline; A is the amplitude of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response; $t$ is a given amount of time; $\tau$ represents the time required to attain $63 \%$ of the steady-state amplitude; and TD represents the time delay.

After excluding the initial 20 s of data (which, while not necessarily reflecting the exact duration of the 'cardiodynamic phase' in each individual, is most likely to avoid inclusion of data points from Phase I $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ in the fitting of Phase II VO $\mathrm{Vp}_{2 p}$ (Murias et al. 2011a)), while still allowing TD to vary freely (in order to optimize accuracy of parameter estimates), $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were modeled from 20 s to $4 \mathrm{~min}(240 \mathrm{~s})$ of the steptransition; this ensured that each subject had attained a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ steady-state $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pss}}\right)$, yet did not bias the model fit during the on-transient (Bell et al. 2001; Murias et al. 2011a). The model parameters were estimated by least-squares nonlinear regression (Origin,

OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA) in which the best fit was defined by minimization of the residual sum of squares and minimal variation of residuals around the Y -axis $(\mathrm{Y}=0)$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval of for the estimated time constant $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{95}\right.$ $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) was determined after preliminary fit of the data with $\mathrm{Y}_{B}, \mathrm{~A}$, and TD constrained to the best-fit values and the $\tau$ allowed to vary.

In order to investigate whether the changes in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional $G$ (and the mechanism(s) underlying these changes) differed between those individuals who presented with fast compared to slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics, the sample was sub-divided into two groups. A "cut-off" of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}=25 \mathrm{~s}$ (during transitions to 130 W ) was selected to separate the two groups.

Five NIRS-derived $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses (i.e., one for each WR) were generated for each subject. The $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile has been described to consist of a time delay at the onset of exercise, followed by an increase in the signal with an "exponential-like" timecourse (DeLorey et al. 2003). The time delay for the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response (TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) was determined using second-by-second data and corresponded to the time, after the onset of exercise, at which the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal began a systematic increase from its nadir value. Determination of the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was made on individual trials and averaged to yield a value for each individual in each of the five "conditions." The $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were modeled from the end of the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ to 90 s of the transition using an exponential model as described in Equation 1. As previously described by duManoir et al. (2010), different fitting strategies (i.e. $90-180$ s) resulted in minimal differences ( $<2$ s) in estimates of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ time constant $(\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ and the early exponential increase in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was well-characterized in the 90 s following exercise onset. The $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$
described the time course for the increase in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$, while the overall change of the effective $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]=\mathrm{TD} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]+\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\right)$ described the overall time course of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ from the onset of exercise.

Additionally, the second-by-second $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were normalized for each subject $(0 \%$, representing the 20 W baseline value, and $100 \%$, representing the posttransition steady-state of the response). The normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was left shifted by 20 s to account for the approximate phase I-phase II transition so that the onset of exercise coincided with the beginning of phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (Murias et al. 2011c), which has been previously described to coincide with muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within $10 \%$ (Grassi et al. 1996). Following normalization and time-alignment, data were further averaged into 5 s bins for statistical comparison of the rate of adjustment for $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. Additionally, an overall $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio for the adjustment during the exercise on-transient was derived for each individual as the average value from 20-120 s into the transition. The start point was selected to be 20 s to begin the analysis at the time region when the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ signals meet, reflecting the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and early adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. An end point of 120 s was selected as the time point at which the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio had reached a steady-state value of 1.0.

Statistics: Data are presented as means $\pm$ SD. Independent samples $t$-tests were used to detect between group differences in subject characteristics. Two-way (WR and group) repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to determine statistical significance for the dependent variables (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetic parameter estimates). Tukey's post-hoc analysis was used when significant differences were detected for the main effects of each dependent variable. Determination of whether a
$\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio overshoot was significant was based upon a comparison (t-test) of the WR- or WR*group-specific mean with a value of 1.0 (with no associated error). Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficients were used to quantify the strength of relationships between variables. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 19.0, (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was declared when $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## RESULTS

Subject characteristics are presented in Table 4.1. Subjects' peak WR was $361 \pm 37$ W, which yielded a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}$ of $4.13 \pm 0.40 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. When the sample ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) was sub-divided into those with Fast $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right.$ at $\left.130 \mathrm{~W}<25 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{n}=6\right)$ and Slower $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right.$ at $130 \mathrm{~W}>25 \mathrm{~s}$; $\mathrm{n}=8) \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics, no between-group differences ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) were observed among any of these characteristics.

Figure 4.1 depicts the mean $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates for each of the five constant load WRs. $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pBSLN }}$ was consistent both amongst WRs and between groups ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). Whereas no between-group differences were observed in the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ amplitude $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pamp }}\right)$ response, there was a significant main effect of $\mathrm{WR}(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ such that each progressive increase in WR yielded a significantly greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pamp }}$ response. A consequence of invariant $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pBSLN }}$ values, along with progressively increasing $\mathrm{VO}_{\text {2pAMP }}$ values with increasing WRs, was a progressively increasing $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}$ response ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; not depicted in Figure 4.1); this response did not differ between Fast and Slower groups ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). There was a significant increase ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in functional $G$ during transitions to increasing WRs within the moderate-intensity domain; this finding was not associated
with any between-group differences ( $p>0.05$ ). When considered as a single group, there was no main effect of WR on $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$; however, both a main effect for group (i.e., Fast vs. Slower, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and a $\mathrm{WR} *$ group interaction ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) were observed. Post-hoc comparisons revealed significantly greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during transitions to 110 and 130 W (by design) in the Slower group, but not for transitions to 50,70 , and 90 W ; these findings suggest a trend for increasing $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values during transitions to a higher WR in the Slower group which was absent in the Fast group (or decreasing $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ values during transitions to a higher WR in the Fast group which was absent in the Slower group); subsequent one-way ANOVA with repeated measures analyses were underpowered to detect significant differences within either group. Transitions to greater WRs were associated with decreased $95 \%$ confidence intervals for $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$.

The effects of transition WR magnitude on $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetic parameter estimates are displayed in Figure 4.2. As was the case with the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response, there was no main effect ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) of WR on baseline $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {BSLN }}\right)$; the between group difference observed $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ is of little consequence, since the $(\Delta)$ units are arbitrary. Greater WR transitions were associated with reduced $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\mathrm{CI}_{95}\right.$ $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] ; \mathrm{p}<0.05)$, as well as greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05) \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ amplitudes $\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {AMP }}\right)$ and steady-state $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{ss}}\right)$ responses; again, the between group differences $\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}}\right.$ and $\left.\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{SS}} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ and WR*group interaction $\left(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\mathrm{AMP}} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ are inconsequential. Modest but significant reductions in both $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ were observed with increasing WR transitions ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); as a result, reductions in $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ were also observed with increasing WR transitions ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Whereas a main effect of group was observed for $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}$ (with Slower having a greater $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$
response), this between group difference was absent in both the TD $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\tau^{\prime} \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses.

No effect of WR was observed with respect to the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ); a main effect of group was identified $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ such that the Slower group had a significantly greater $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio than the Fast group. Furthermore, the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio observed in the Fast group did not differ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) from 1.0 during transitions to any WR. Cumulatively, these findings suggest that whereas the Slower and Fast groups may have differences in their reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction to support a given $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (with greater and lesser "overshoots" in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio, respectively) during the exercise on-transient (and therefore, $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ may be limited by a different mechanism), there is no influence of WR on this relationship.

Table 4.2 illustrates the effects of performing "additional" repetitions of small WR transitions (e.g., 20 W to 50 W ) on $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates. Briefly, no differences $\left(\mathrm{p}>0.05\right.$ ) in any of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pAMP}}, \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}$, functional G and $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ were observed when parameters were derived from the average of 4,6 or 8 repetitions. Performing either 6 or 8 repetitions yielded significant reductions in the $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ compared to performing only 4 ( $p<0.05 ; p=0.13$ between 6 and 8 repetitions). Indeed, as shown in Figure 4.1, even with 8 repetitions the confidence in the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, (and $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ as shown in Figure 4.2) is 2 to 3 fold greater for the 50 W WR versus WRs above 100 W .

Table 4.1. Subject characteristics

|  | ALL $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 4})$ | Fast $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6})$ | Slower $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{8})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age $(\mathrm{yrs})$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 7$ | $22 \pm 2$ |
| Mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $80 \pm 12$ | $76 \pm 6$ | $83 \pm 14$ |
| Height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1.80 \pm 0.06$ | $1.81 \pm 0.07$ | $1.80 \pm 0.05$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $4.13 \pm 0.40$ | $4.28 \pm 0.21$ | $4.03 \pm 0.48$ |
| Peak WR $(\mathrm{W})$ | $361 \pm 37$ | $374 \pm 17$ | $352 \pm 46$ |
| $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $2.41 \pm 0.20$ | $2.43 \pm 0.05$ | $2.39 \pm 0.27$ |
| WR at $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{W})$ | $159 \pm 18$ | $162 \pm 5$ | $158 \pm 24$ |

Values are mean $\pm$ SD.

Table 4.2. $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates for 20 W to 50 W transitions when 4,6 or 8 transitions were ensemble-averaged.

|  | Number of repetitions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pAMP}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.04$ | $0.26 \pm 0.04$ | $0.26 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pSS}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.19 \pm 0.09$ | $1.18 \pm 0.09$ | $1.18 \pm 0.08$ |
| $G\left(\mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right)$ | $8.7 \pm 1.3$ | $8.5 \pm 1.3$ | $8.6 \pm 1.4$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $31.3 \pm 14.1$ | $27.2 \pm 10.6$ | $28.1 \pm 9.3$ |
| $\mathrm{CI} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $8.3 \pm 2.4$ | $6.6 \pm 1.4^{*}$ | $6.1 \pm 1.4^{* \top}$ |
| $\mathrm{TD} \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $5.3 \pm 10.2$ | $10.4 \pm 6.2^{*}$ | $10.2 \pm 5.9^{*}$ |

Values are mean $\pm$ SD. $*, p<0.05$ from 4 repetitions; ${ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}=0.13$ from 6 repetitions.


Figure 4.1. Mean $( \pm \mathrm{SD}) \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates for transitions from 20 W to $50,70,90,110$ and 130 W in All subjects $(\bullet) .{ }^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from $50 \mathrm{~W} ;{ }^{\#}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 70 $\mathrm{W} ;{ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from $90 \mathrm{~W} ;{ }^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 110 W . Fast ( $\Delta$ ) and Slow ( $\square$ ) groups are depicted when main effect of $\operatorname{GROUP}\left({ }^{\$}, \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ or $\mathrm{WR} * \operatorname{GROUP}$ interaction $\left({ }^{\S}, \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ were detected.


Figure 4.2. Mean $( \pm \mathrm{SD}) \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ kinetic parameter estimates for transitions from 20 W to $50,70,90,110$ and 130 W in All subjects $(\bullet)$. , $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ from $50 \mathrm{~W} ;{ }^{\#}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 70
$\mathrm{W} ;{ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from $90 \mathrm{~W} ;^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ from 110 W . Fast ( $\Delta$ ) and Slow (ם) groups are
depicted when main effect of GROUP $\left({ }^{\$}, \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ or WR*GROUP interaction $\left({ }^{\S}, \mathrm{p}<0.05\right)$ were detected.

## DISCUSSION

The present study sought to systematically examine the role of WR increment (when initiated from a constant low WR of 20 W ) on both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional $G$ in a group of healthy, young adults. Further, with the hypothesis of both smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and functional $G$ during transitions to lower WRs, we sought to investigate the potential mechanism, and to determine whether this mechanism differed between those individuals who presented with fast compared to slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics. The main findings of the study were that: 1) during transitions to different WRs within the moderate-intensity domain, no differences in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ were observed in this group of subjects $\left.\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \approx 27 \mathrm{~s}\right) ; 2\right)$ when the sample was sub-divided into two groups, there was an interaction between WR increment and group such that the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses were divergent between the Fast and Slower groups, with only the Slower group showing evidence of conformity to WR-dependent alterations in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ previously reported in the literature (i.e., in studies comparing a "lower step" to a "full step"); 3) the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio was smaller in the Fast compared to Slower group (suggesting that the primary determinant of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ differs between groups), but was not affected by WR (suggesting that the divergent $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses between Fast and Slower groups may not have been the result of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability during the exercise on-transient); 4) functional $G$ was progressively greater during transitions of increasing WR increment; this was true for (and similar between) both the Fast and Slower groups, possibly suggesting that the mechanism(s) controlling the magnitude and the rate of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response to a given WR transition may be dissociated; and 5) performing either 6 or 8 compared to 4 repetitions of small (i.e., 20 W to 50 W ) WR transitions improved the
confidence in the estimation of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, yet, there were no changes in other key $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates.

The present study was designed to elicit like $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{pBSLN}}$ values amongst the different (WR) conditions, as well as progressively greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pamp }}$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { pSS }}$ values during transitions to greater WRs; as such, these findings reported in Figure 5.1 were to be expected. Thus, this discussion attempts to sort out the interrelations among three important findings of the present study, namely: i) divergent $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses between Fast and Slower groups with respect to WR; ii) increasing functional $G$ with increasing WR increments (irrespective of group); and iii) group differences in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio, but with no influence of WR. As mentioned, previous studies examining the effects of either WR increment or pre-transition WR have focused much of their attention on discussing the slower adjustment and greater functional $G$ observed when work is initiated from either an elevated WR (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005; Spencer et al. 2011a) or an elevated metabolic rate (Bowen et al. 2011); nevertheless, these discussions have identified three potential causes for these trends which will form the basis for the present discussion:
i) an insufficient $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, leading to a slowing of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response during the exercise on-transient, was favoured by Hughson and Morrissey (1982) and later supported by MacPhee et al. (2005), but was largely refuted by the findings of Spencer et al. (2011a);
ii) a hierarchical recruitment pattern favouring recruitment of the fastest kinetic, most efficient fibers to perform small WR transitions, leaving only those inherently slower kinetic, less efficient fibers to address the demands of a
subsequent increase in WR was proposed by Brittain et al. (2001); such a system would allow for an 'intermediate’ rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cost (per unit increase in WR) during larger WR transitions;
iii) the influence of a potentially less favourable energetic status (i.e., less negative changes in Gibb's free energy; $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ ) resulting from either an elevated metabolic rate per se (i.e., irrespective of initial WR) (Glancy et al. 2008; Kemp 2008) or the fact that $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ becomes progressively less negative throughout the transient (as [ADP] and [Pi] rise and [PCr] fall dynamically) and therefore demands an ATP turnover that continues to rise until the steady state is reached was favoured by Bowen et al. (2011).

Considering the well-established trend for smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values during "halfsteps" compared to "full-step" WR transitions within the moderate-intensity domain (when performed from a constant, low baseline WR) (Bowen et al. 2011; Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005; Spencer et al. 2011a), the finding of invariant $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values in response to different moderate-intensity WRs in the present study was somewhat unexpected. However, when the present sample was subdivided to create Fast and Slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics groups, their respective responses (with respect to increasing WR increment) were divergent. In this sense, the Slower group tended to conform to the trend established by previous studies. Indeed, in the studies of Brittain et al. (2001) and Spencer et al. (2011a), where 'slow' $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>30\right.$ s) were reported during large WR transitions within the moderate-intensity domain (90\% of $\theta_{L}$ ), significant reductions of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ were reported during smaller WR transitions. In the study of MacPhee et al. (2005), in which the group mean $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in response to a large
moderate-intensity WR increment was $28 \pm 2 \mathrm{~s}$ (i.e., somewhat fast relative to those mentioned immediately above), a trend for faster adjustment (to $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}=24 \pm 3 \mathrm{~s}$ ) during smaller WR increment transitions may be inferred, but this trend did not reach the level of statistical significance. In contrast, in a group with relatively fast $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics, Wilkerson et al. (2004) reported no differences in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values derived from transitions to $60 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}(23.2 \pm 2.1 \mathrm{~s})$ compared to $90 \% \theta_{\mathrm{L}}(21.8 \pm 2.3 \mathrm{~s})$. Thus, in agreement with previous findings (albeit from different studies), the divergent responses between Fast and Slower groups suggest that if or when the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ is already somewhat fast, there may be a reduced potential for further speeding (with smaller WR increments). The subject group of Bowen et al. (2011) might be characterized as "intermediate", neither fast nor slow ( $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}=26 \mathrm{~s}$ ), but did show a significantly reduced $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (to 20 s ) when the WR increment was small compared to large.

Dynamic changes in near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) derived muscle deoxygenation $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ have been used extensively to provide insights into the balance between local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during exercise. During WR transitions in which $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ adjusts more rapidly than $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, what results is a transient period characterized by an increased (relative) reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction to support a given metabolic rate; this temporary dissociation between the adjustments of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ suggests a transient $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery insufficiency. Thus, recent studies from our laboratory (Murias et al. 2010; Murias et al. 2011a; Murias et al. 2011c; Spencer et al. 2012) have used the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio as an index of the matching (and mismatching) of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during the exercise on-transient. Cumulatively, these studies suggest that when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}<\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$, increases in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ are the
result of progressively more severe $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitations. In this light, it is not surprising that the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio was significantly different between the Fast and Slower groups. The present data do not support a role for an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependence limitation of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ in the Fast group (where the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio is not significantly different from 1.0, implying no "mismatch" of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization), but do support such a role in the Slower group (where the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio is significantly greater than 1.0, implying an appreciable "mismatch" between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization); these findings are in agreement with our previous studies on the topic (Murias et al. 2010; Murias et al. 2011a; Murias et al. 2011c; Spencer et al. 2012).

In the present study, the adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (both $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\tau$ ' $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ ) became progressively faster with increasing WR increments, and importantly, became faster than $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ for a given WR. As a result, we expected to observe a significantly greater mismatch between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (as reflected with a greater $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio) as WR increment increased. In fact, changes in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio were not observed. The small changes in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { p }}$ and "noise" in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio may make the index too insensitive to detect differences. Indeed, across all WR the "overall" relationship between the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio and $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was robust $(\mathrm{r}=0.71)$ and even stronger at the higher WRs $(110 \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{r}=0.88 ; 130 \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{r}=0.91)$. Nevertheless, the present data cannot be taken as supporting a role for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as an explanation for the divergent $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses observed in the Fast and Slower groups; that is, implied increases in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ with increasing WR increment (in the Slower group) are likely not related to increasingly insufficient $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery. By essentially 'ruling out' a determining effect of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery on (potentially) changing $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values, what remains are the
hypotheses related to fibre recruitment pattern or altered ATP turnover properties oweing to $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ becoming progressively less negative throughout the transient. The present data do not permit us to speculate which of these two hypotheses are more likely.

In the present study, increasing WR increments were associated with increasing functional $G$; interestingly, whereas the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses in the Fast and Slower groups were different (i.e., main effect of group) and divergent (i.e., WR*group interaction observed), the functional $G$ showed no such influence of group. When considered in light of the group- but not WR-mediated differences in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio, it seems clear that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization dynamics do not explain the altered functional $G$ in response to differing WRs. Again, this leaves either an orderly, hierarchical recruitment pattern or the fact that $\Delta G_{\text {ATP }}$ becomes progressively less negative throughout the transient as possible explanations for the WR-mediated differences in functional $G$. Taken alone, it is difficult to discern whether one of these two hypotheses is more likely to underlie the WR-mediated differences in functional $G$; however, neither hypothesis can presently accommodate both invariant $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and simultaneous changes in functional $G$ during transitions to different moderate-intensity WRs. The present data suggest, perhaps for the first time, that factors which determine $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { p }}$ may be independent from factors which determine the functional $G$ during moderate-intensity WR transitions of different magnitudes.

One potential problem in evaluating the role of WR transitions on the kinetics parameters is that smaller WR transitions are likely to have reduced signal-to-noise ratios, and thus, potentially reduced confidence in parameter estimates. Indeed, the present study confirmed the idea that transitions to progressively greater WRs (i.e., those
with a greater signal-to-noise ratio (Lamarra et al. 1987)) were associated with improved confidence in estimates of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (and $\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$, as reflected by the reduced $\mathrm{CI}_{95}$ for both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\left.\tau \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]\right)$. To this end, Lamarra et al. (1987) were the first to establish that the effect of interbreath fluctuations (i.e., "noise") could be dampened by averaging multiple transitions together when characterizing a signal with an inherently low sampling frequency (i.e., breath-by-breath gas exchange). Given that during small WR transitions, the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ "signal" is essentially fixed, the only available strategy for improving confidence in kinetic parameter estimates is to have subjects perform multiple repetitions in order to reduce the "noise"; however, to date, the precise number of transitions required for accurate characterization of small WR transitions has not been described. The present data illustrate that the inclusion of either 2 or 4 "additional" repetitions of 20 W to 50 W transitions does not significantly affect any of the key $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameters; yet, the inclusion of 2 "additional" (to a total of 6) repetitions significantly reduced the $\mathrm{CI}_{95} \tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, with no further reduction ( $\mathrm{p}=0.13$ ) by ensemble-averaging 8 transitions. These findings add to those previously reported by our laboratory, showing that during transitions of $\sim 100 \mathrm{~W}$ (i.e., 20 W to 120 W ), the day-to-day reproducibility of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was not improved by averaging more than 3 repetitions (Spencer et al. 2011b).

In conclusion, this study presented novel data demonstrating a non-uniform effect of moderate-intensity WR increment on $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, depending upon whether the entire group response was considered or if the divergent responses of the Fast and Slower groups were considered. Furthermore, this study demonstrated that increasing moderate-intensity WR increments elicits an increased functional $G$, regardless of the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response. Neither the divergent $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses nor the functional $G$ appear to be related to the dynamic
matching of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during the exercise on-transient; yet, the observation of invariant $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values with simultaneously increasing functional $G$ in the overall (i.e., not group specific) response suggests that these features of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response may be controlled by separate mechanisms.
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## CHAPTER V: Characterizing the profile of muscle deoxygenation during ramp incremental exercise in young men

## INTRODUCTION

The steady-state relationship between whole body cardiac output $(\mathrm{Q})$ and whole body oxygen consumption $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ is presumed to be linear during constant load exercise when performed across a wide range of exercise intensities, such that a $1 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ increase in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ is accompanied by an approximately $5 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ increase in Q (above the $\sim 5 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ "intercept") (Rowell 1986). Consequently, when plotted as a function of either increasing power output $(\mathrm{PO})$, or increasing $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, the profile of arterial-venous oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ content difference ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$ ) across the same range of exercise intensities would be expected to demonstrate a hyperbolic increase, since $\mathrm{VO}_{2}=\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$. Yet, in contrast to constant load steady-state exercise, the notion of a linear Q -to $-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship has been challenged during ramp incremental exercise with the suggestion that it is, in fact, a$\mathrm{vO}_{2}$ diff that demonstrates linearity as a function of increasing $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (Stringer et al. 1997; Stringer et al. 2005).

Irrespective of the whole body responses of Q and $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$, the adjustments of muscle blood flow and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction during exercise may be different in the periphery as a result of factors contributing to redistribution of blood flow. The use of near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) in exercising humans provides insights into the dynamic balance between local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization within the microvasculature. In particular, changes in tissue deoxygenation $(\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ are considered a proxy for microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction (DeLorey et al. 2003). Most recently, Koga et al. (2011) illustrated the temporal similarities (measured as mean response time; MRT) in changes in microvascular partial pressure of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2 \mathrm{mv}}\right)$ and NIRS-derived [ HHb ] during electrically
stimulated contractions in the rat gastrocnemius. So, whereas the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal is thought to provide insights into the temporal characteristics of changes in microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction during exercise, inferences into temporal changes in $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$ can be reasonably made. While the NIRS derived $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal is not measuring changes in a$\mathrm{vO}_{2}$ diff, and therefore cannot be used as a substitute for $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$ (because the proportional contributions of arterial and venous blood to the overall signal are unknown), the two have been shown to be related (Mancini et al. 1994).

In addition to the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal, virtually all commercially available NIRS systems offer continuous monitoring of tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ saturation (Tissue Oxygenation Index; TOI), which is a ratio of the total oxyhaemaglobin $\left(\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]\right)$ to the sum of $\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}+\mathrm{HHb}\right]$ (Ferrari et al. 2011). Characterization of the TOI signal using spatially resolved spectroscopy (SRS) does not depend upon the optical path length (as determination of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and $\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right]$ do $)$. As such, the TOI signal provides a reliable estimate of the dynamic balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ supply and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumption in the area of interrogation, even in SRS systems (compared to the more costly time-resolved systems).

Ferreira et al. (2007b) were the first to describe the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise by considering a hyperbolic function, based upon the possibility of the linear whole body Q -to- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship persisting within the periphery, and a sigmoid function, based upon laboratory observations. Whereas a hyperbolic response profile of dynamic changes in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (as a function of PO or $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) throughout ramp incremental exercise implies that the relationship between Q and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within the microvasculature is linear, a sigmoid response is purported to offer insights into the nonlinear (i.e., inverse sigmoid) $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{to}-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship within the peripheral
microvasculature. Based upon comparisons to a hyperbolic model, Ferreira et al. (2007b) concluded that the overall $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp exercise was best described using the sigmoid model. Using similar comparisons between hyperbolic and sigmoid models, this conclusion has been supported in trained individuals (Boone et al. 2009), adolescents (McNarry et al. 2011), various body positions (DiMenna et al. 2010), at different measurement sites within the quadriceps muscle group (Chin et al. 2011) and in response to incremental step exercise (Boone et al. 2010).

To date, all attempts to describe the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise have used functions which characterize the overall response (i.e., either the hyperbolic or sigmoid functions); an inherent limitation of this approach is that accurate characterization of one portion of the response may jeopardize the ability to accurately characterize other portions. Indeed, whereas the sigmoid model used in previous studies presumes (or implies) that the lower and upper curvatures are "symmetrical," DiMenna et al. (2010) illustrated that this was not the case in their data; further, they acknowledged that there is no physiological basis for such a notion and that this particular sigmoid function likely represents a "fit of convenience." As such, the purpose of the present paper was to re-examine the profile of muscle deoxygenation during ramp incremental cycling exercise in a group of young men and to assess the physiological implications of the various models and parameter estimates. Specifically, we examined whether the profile of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of either PO or $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ should be characterized as i) a sigmoid which considers the entire response or ii) three distinct 'phases' in which the predominant rise in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ is approximately linear, as is the 'plateau' which follows.

Furthermore, we examined the profile of the TOI response (relative to PO and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) to determine whether it could be characterized by either of the proposed models.

## METHODS

Subjects: Eight young men $(24 \pm 5 \mathrm{yr} ; 82 \pm 10 \mathrm{~kg}$; mean $\pm \mathrm{SD})$ volunteered and gave written consent to participate in the present study. All procedures were approved by The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects. All subjects were recreationally active, non-obese (body mass index $\leq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), non-smokers, and were not taking medications that would affect the cardiorespiratory or hemodynamic responses to exercise.

Protocol: On two separate days, subjects reported to the laboratory to perform a fatiguelimited ramp incremental test ( $20 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{min}$ ) on a cycle ergometer (model: Lode Corival 400; Lode B.V., Groningen, Holland) for determination of peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and peak $\mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\text {peak }}\right)$. Prior to the incremental increase in PO, subjects cycled at 20 W for a period of 4 minutes. Visits were separated by at least 48 hours, but not more than 2 weeks.

Measurements: Local muscle deoxygenation profiles of the quadriceps vastus lateralis muscle were made with NIRS (Hamamatsu NIRO 300, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) throughout exercise.

The physical principles of tissue spectroscopy and the manner in which these are applied have been explained by DeLorey et al. (2003). Briefly, optodes were placed on the belly of the muscle midway between the lateral epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur. The system consisted of both an emission probe that carries NIR light from the laser diodes and detector probe (interoptode spacing $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); optodes were housed in an optically-dense plastic holder and secured on the skin surface with tape and then covered
with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet, thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light. The thigh was wrapped with an elastic bandage to minimize movement of the optodes. Four laser diodes $(\lambda=775,810,850$, and 910 nm$)$ were pulsed in a rapid succession and the light returning from the tissue was detected by the photodiode for online estimation and display of the concentration changes from the resting baseline for $\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right], \quad \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}], \quad$ and total haemoglobin $\left(\Delta\left[\mathrm{Hb}_{\text {tot }}\right]\right)$. Furthermore, TOI $\left(\mathrm{HbO}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{HbO}_{2}+\mathrm{HHb}\right) x 100\right)$ was monitored continuously. The TOI signal reflects the dynamic balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ supply and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumption and it is independent of the optical path length of the NIR photons in the muscle tissue. Changes in light intensities were recorded continuously at 2 Hz and transferred to a computer for later analysis. The NIRS-derived signal was zero set with the subject sitting at rest on the cycle ergometer prior to the onset of baseline (i.e., 20 W ) exercise. Given the uncertainty of the optical path length in the vastus lateralis at rest and during exercise, $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data are presented as normalized delta ( $\% \Delta$; see below for normalization procedures) units; TOI data are presented as \%. Whereas Ferreira et al. (2007a) have illustrated the effects of assuming a constant scattering coefficient (as is the case when deriving the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal using the present equipment), the effects of this assumption should largely be blunted once data are normalized; indeed, both DiMenna et al. (2010) and McNarry et al. (2011) acquired $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profiles using machines that assume a constant scattering coefficient.

Breath-by-breath gas-exchange measurements similar to those previously described (Babcock et al. 1994) were also made continuously during each exercise protocol. Briefly, inspired and expired flow rates were measured using a low dead space ( 90 mL ) bidirectional turbine (Alpha Technologies VMM 110) which was calibrated
before each test using a syringe of known volume. Inspired and expired gases were continuously sampled $(50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at the mouth and analyzed for concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ by mass spectrometry (Perkin Elmer MGA-1100) after calibration with precisionanalyzed gas mixtures. Changes in gas concentrations were aligned with gas volumes by measuring the time delay for a square-wave bolus of gas passing the turbine to the resulting changes in fractional gas concentrations as measured by the mass spectrometer. Data were transferred to a computer, which aligned concentrations with volume information to build a profile of each breath. Breath-by-breath alveolar gas exchange was calculated by using algorithms of Beaver et al. (1981).

Data analysis: Pulmonary $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ data were filtered by removing aberrant data points that lay outside 4 SD of the local mean and then linearly interpolated to 1 s intervals. The second-by-second $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ data from tests one and two were time-aligned and ensemble-averaged to yield a single averaged response for each subject for the ramp incremental exercise protocol; the second-by-second $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were time-aligned and ensemble-averaged in the same manner. As described by Boone et al. (2010), $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were left-shifted by 20 s to account for the circulatory transit delay between muscle and lung; this was undertaken so that changes in "muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ " (represented by $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) were aligned with changes in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal. Though this 20 s value may not precisely match the circulatory time lag in all individuals, our laboratory has recently described the limitations and challenges associated with its determination (Murias et al. 2011), and overall, this 20 s value represents a reasonable estimate for the group tested. These averaged and time-aligned $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses were then normalized such that $0 \%$ represented the respective steady-state values observed during 20 W cycling and
$100 \%$ represented the highest average (i.e., $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]_{\text {peak }}$ ) value observed in any continuous 20 s of exercise. These averaged, normalized responses for each individual were further time-averaged into 10 s bins (for comparisons of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of absolute $\mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right)$ as performed by Ferreira et al. (2007b)) or reduced into 100 equal bins (for comparisons of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of either normalized PO (\%PO; similar to Boone et al. (2009)) or normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ $\left.\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)\right)$. These binning procedures did not affect parameter estimates in any of the subsequent regression analyses, but were required in order to allow for direct comparisons amongst individual subjects despite inter-individual differences in test duration. Except for normalization to baseline and peak values, the same procedures were repeated with the TOI data to generate three distinct TOI profiles (i.e., as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$, and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ ) for each individual.

Two approaches to characterizing the profile of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TOI responses (plotted as a function of $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) were tested and compared in the present study. First, the entire $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TOI response was modeled from the onset of ramp exercise until exercise cessation using the following sigmoid function:

$$
\left.y=f_{0}+A /\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-(-c+d x)}\right) ; \text { equation } 1\right]
$$

where $f_{0}$ represents the baseline $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI; $A$ is the amplitude of the response, $d$ is the slope of the sigmoid, $c$ is a constant that is dependent on $d$ where $c / d$ is the $x$-value corresponding to $50 \%$ of the total amplitude. Secondly, the predominant increase in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI observed throughout the middle portion of the exercise protocol (beginning at the point where the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal began a systematic increase above baseline, and TOI began a systematic decrease below baseline as determined by visual
inspection) and the 'plateau' which followed were characterized by a piecewise equation that included two linear segments (hereafter referred to as 'double-linear'):

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\operatorname{segment} 1(x)=(y 1 *(B P-x)+y 2 *(x-x 1)) /(B P-x l) ; \\
\text { segment } 2(x)=(y 2 *(x 2-x)+y 3 *(x-B P)) /(x 2-B P) \\
f=\text { if }(x \leq B P, \text { segment } 1(x) ; \text { else, segment } 2(x))
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x 1$ and $x 2$ represent the minimum and maximum $x$-values, respectively; $y 1$ and $y 3$ represent the predicted $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI at $x 1$ and $x 2$, respectively; $B P$ represents the $x$ value at the break point between the two segments; and $y 2$ represents the predicted $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI at $B P$ (i.e., $y 2=\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI where segments intersect). Thus, this 'double-linear' analysis yields:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y=m_{1} \cdot x+b_{1} & \text { for } x<B P \\
y=m_{2} \cdot x+b_{2} & \text { for } x>B P
\end{array}
$$

where $m$ represents the slope and $b$ is the $y$-intercept value. The model parameters were estimated by least-squares nonlinear (sigmoid; Origin, OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA) or linear regression ('double-linear’; SigmaPlot 10.0, Systat Software, Inc., Point Richmond, CA, USA) in which the best fit was defined by minimization of the residual sum of squares and minimal variation of residuals around the $y$-axis $(y=0)$.

Statistics: Descriptive data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD. The sigmoid and 'double-linear' models were compared by computing the change in corrected Akaike Information Criterion (Akaike 1974; Burnham and Anderson 2004) scores ( $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ). For each model:

$$
\mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{N} \cdot \ln (\mathrm{RSS} / \mathrm{N})+2 \mathrm{~K}+[(2 \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{~K}+1)) /(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K}-1)]
$$

where N is the number of data points used in the analysis for that subject, RSS is the residual sum of squares from the regression analysis, and K is the number of parameters
in the fitted model +1 . Both the sigmoid and 'double-linear' regressions include four parameters; however, N is variable in the 'double-linear' analyses and when $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI is expressed as a function of $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$. The $\Delta \mathrm{AIC} C_{\mathrm{C}}$ score was computed by subtracting "AIC ${ }_{C}$ 'double-linear" ${ }^{\prime}$ from "AIC Csigmoid "; since the model with the lower AIC $_{C}$ is more likely to be correct, a positive $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ score favours the 'double-linear' model. This technique was chosen for two reasons: i) neither model is nested within the other; ii) there is a possibility of different N within a subject. Considering these circumstances, the F-test would not be appropriate.

## RESULTS

Subjects' $\mathrm{PO}_{\text {peak }}$ was $328 \pm 30 \mathrm{~W}$, which yielded a $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { peak }}$ of $4.4 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Figure 5.1 depicts the second-by-second response of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}], \Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right], \Delta[\mathrm{Hb}]_{\text {total }}$ and TOI during baseline and ramp incremental cycling in a representative subject. Group mean parameter estimates from the sigmoid and linear models of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ are displayed in Table 5.1.

Whereas the sigmoid regression analyses attempted to characterize the overall response by considering all data points for a given response, the 'double-linear' regression analyses describing the predominant increase in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and the 'plateau' that followed considered $90 \pm 8\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right), 87 \pm 12(\% \mathrm{PO})$ and $82 \pm 17\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right)$ data points, with the $B P$ occurring at $77.0 \pm 9.0 \%\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right), 82.6 \pm 4.1 \%(\% \mathrm{PO})$, and $166.0 \pm$ $20.7 \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right)$.

Group mean parameter estimates from the sigmoid and 'double-linear' models of the TOI profile as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ are displayed in Table 5.2. As
with the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data, the 'double-linear' regression analyses did not attempt to describe the portion of the response before a systematic decrease below baseline values was observed.

Individual $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ values for both $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TOI are presented in Table 5.2. With respect to the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response: when plotted as a function of either $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, the 'double-linear' regression was favoured in 7 of 8 subjects compared to the sigmoid regression based upon a positive $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ score; the 'double-linear' characterization of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ profile as a function of $\% \mathrm{PO}$ was favoured in 6 of 8 subjects. Three of the four instances in which the sigmoid regressions were favoured occurred in a single subject, but in each case the $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ score was close to 0 (i.e., $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ $>-4)$. Similarly, the 'double-linear' regression was generally favoured over the sigmoid regression for characterizing the TOI response to incremental exercise. When plotted as a function of either $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, the 'double-linear' was preferred in 6 of 8 subjects; when considered as a function of $\% \mathrm{PO}$ in all 8 subjects, the TOI response was better described with the 'double-linear' model than the sigmoid model.

Estimates of the $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ at which $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]=50 \%$ were not different ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$; Table 5.1) when derived from the 'double-linear' function (i.e., $y_{50}$ ) as compared to the sigmoid regression (i.e., $c / d$ ). Similarly, estimates of the $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ at which the change in $\mathrm{TOI}=50 \%$ of total (i.e., halfway between baseline and end-exercise) were not different ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$; Table 5.2) when derived from the 'doublelinear' function (i.e., $f_{450}$ ) as compared to the sigmoid regression (i.e., $c / d$ ).

Figure 5.2 illustrates both the 'double-linear' and sigmoid regressions as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$, along with the averaged, normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data for three subjects.

These subjects were selected specifically to illustrate responses that demonstrated a brief, intermediate and pronounced 'plateau' at end-exercise, respectively. Similarly, Figure 5.3 shows the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ in the same three subjects.

Figures 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 depict the grand mean response (created by averaging the point-by-point binned responses from each of the 8 subjects) along with the 'doublelinear' and sigmoid modeled responses generated using the mean parameter estimates reported in Table 5.1 when plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, respectively. Though the response in many subjects is reasonably well characterized by a sigmoid regression model (see: Figures 5.2B, 5.2C, 5.3B and 5.3C), Figures 4-6 demonstrate that this approach to modelling data may be inappropriate for the comparative purposes; indeed, regardless of whether plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, these sigmoid models systematically underestimate the grand mean $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response early in exercise, systematically overestimate the grand mean response later in exercise, and are unable to accurately discern the end-exercise 'plateau' in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. In much the same way, Figure 5.7 illustrates the grand mean TOI response with the 'double-linear' and sigmoid models superimposed. Again, the sigmoid model is less accurately characterizing the overall response, particularly early and late in the incremental exercise, regardless of whether the TOI data are presented relative to $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$.

Table 5.1. Parameter estimates for 'double-linear' and sigmoid models of the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ profile plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$

|  |  | \% VO2 | \%PO | $\mathrm{PO}_{\text {ABS }}(\mathrm{W})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m_{1}$ | $1.43 \pm 0.29$ | $1.44 \pm 0.28$ | $0.51 \pm 0.11$ |
|  | $b_{1}$ | $-11.15 \pm 14.44$ | $-21.61 \pm 22.03$ | $-35.30 \pm 23.30$ |
|  | $m_{2}$ | $97.80 \pm 31.81$ | $94.54 \pm 39.12$ | $94.49 \pm 40.89$ |
|  | $b_{2}$ | $0.01 \pm 0.35$ | $0.04 \pm 0.42$ | $0.01 \pm 0.14$ |
|  | $B P$ | $77.0 \pm 9.0$ | $82.6 \pm 4.1$ | $261.4 \pm 30.1$ |
|  | $f_{50}$ | $42.8 \pm 5.2$ \% | $49.1 \pm 5.3$ \% | $166.0 \pm 20.7 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | RSS | $1395 \pm 751$ | $1081 \pm 769$ | $881 \pm 587$ |
|  | Data Points (\#) | $90 \pm 8$ | $87 \pm 12$ | $82 \pm 17$ |
|  | $f_{0}$ | $-22.0 \pm 31.6$ | $-12.8 \pm 16.1$ | $-13.1 \pm 16.6$ |
|  | A | $136.3 \pm 48.6$ | $126.1 \pm 25.0$ | $126.7 \pm 25.9$ |
|  | $c$ | $2.24 \pm 0.89$ | $2.88 \pm 1.01$ | $3.28 \pm 1.17$ |
|  | $d$ | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | $c / d$ | $40.2 \pm 7.0 \%$ | $48.3 \pm 8.0$ \% | $167.0 \pm 21.2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | Projected peak | $114.3 \pm 17.5$ | $113.3 \pm 9.5$ | $113.5 \pm 9.8$ |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | RSS | $1848 \pm 1078$ | $1540 \pm 1016$ | $1407 \pm 817$ |
|  | Data Points (\#) | 100 | 100 | $93 \pm 10$ |

Values are means $\pm \mathrm{SD} ; m_{1}$ and $m_{2}=$ slope of linear regression before and after $B P$, respectively; $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}=y$-intercept of linear regression before and after $B P$, respectively; $B P=$ break point; $f_{50}=x$-value corresponding to $y=50 \% ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=$ coefficient of determination; $\mathrm{RSS}=$ residual sum of squares; $f_{0}=$ baseline (i.e., 20 W ) $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] ; A=$ $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ amplitude $; d=$ slope of sigmoid regression; $c=$ constant dependent upon $d$ where: $c / d=x$-value corresponding to $50 \%$ of $A$; Projected peak $=f_{0}+A$.

Table 5.2. Parameter estimates for 'double-linear' and sigmoid models of the TOI (\%) profile plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$

|  |  | \% $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ | \%PO | $\mathbf{P O}_{\text {abS }}(\mathrm{W})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m_{1}$ | $-0.24 \pm 0.12$ | $-0.25 \pm 0.16$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.04$ |
|  | $b_{1}$ | $68.91 \pm 7.41$ | $71.02 \pm 6.75$ | $71.23 \pm 6.49$ |
|  | $m_{2}$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $b_{2}$ | $52.75 \pm 13.22$ | $56.06 \pm 16.46$ | $56.34 \pm 16.55$ |
|  | $B P$ | $69.6 \pm 15.1 \%$ | $67.7 \pm 17.0$ \% | $229.1 \pm 53.8 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | $f^{450}$ | $37.8 \pm 9.1 \%$ | $42.6 \pm 11.9 \%$ | $148.4 \pm 31.4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $0.93 \pm 0.08$ | $0.93 \pm 0.08$ | $0.94 \pm 0.06$ |
|  | RSS | $105 \pm 61$ | $102 \pm 54$ | $86 \pm 47$ |
|  | Data Points (\#) | $94 \pm 11$ | $95 \pm 2$ | $87 \pm 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㄹ } \\ & \text { Be } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $f_{0}$ | $76.2 \pm 16.1$ | $70.7 \pm 6.9$ | $71.4 \pm 7.9$ |
|  | A | $-27.2 \pm 26.5$ | $-20.9 \pm 14.0$ | $-21.9 \pm 16.4$ |
|  | $c$ | $2.02 \pm 1.24$ | $2.82 \pm 1.36$ | $3.38 \pm 1.64$ |
|  | $d$ | $0.06 \pm 0.03$ | $0.07 \pm 0.03$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | $c / d$ | $30.9 \pm 22.4$ | $43.3 \pm 14.5$ | $149.6 \pm 44.6$ |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $0.97 \pm 0.04$ | $0.97 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | RSS | $127 \pm 56$ | $119 \pm 53$ | $101 \pm 50$ |
|  | Data Points (\#) | 100 | 100 | $91 \pm 10$ |

Values are means $\pm \mathrm{SD} ; m_{l}$ and $m_{2}=$ slope of linear regression before and after $B P$, respectively; $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}=y$-intercept of linear regression before and after $B P$, respectively; $B P=$ break point; $f_{450}=x$-value corresponding to $50 \%$ of $\Delta \mathrm{TOI} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=$ coefficient of determination; $\mathrm{RSS}=$ residual sum of squares; $f_{0}=$ baseline (i.e., 20 W ) $\% \mathrm{TOI} ; A=\mathrm{TOI}$ amplitude; $d=$ slope of sigmoid regression; $c=$ constant dependent upon $d$ where: $c / d=$ $x$-value corresponding to $50 \%$ of $A$; Projected peak $=f_{0}+A$.

Table 5.3. Individual $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}_{C}$ values derived from comparisons between the 'doublelinear' and sigmoid regression models for the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ and TOI profiles plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$.

| $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{\Delta}[\mathbf{H H b}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{\% V O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{\% P O}$ | $\mathbf{P O}_{\mathbf{A B S}}$ | $\mathbf{\% V O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{\% P O}$ | $\mathbf{P O}_{\mathbf{A B S}}$ |
| Subject 1 | 55.4 | 79.4 | 53.1 | 18.5 | 3.9 | 14.1 |
| Subject 2 | 38.6 | 68.0 | 67.1 | 39.0 | 21.6 | 34.3 |
| Subject 3 | 51.2 | 155.5 | 125.6 | -22.8 | 18.7 | -13.0 |
| Subject 4 | 25.4 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Subject 5 | -1.8 | -2.8 | -3.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 4.2 |
| Subject 6 | 104.7 | 92.7 | 113.2 | -6.2 | 4.9 | -14.5 |
| Subject 7 | 44.2 | -35.6 | 56.6 | 8.1 | 21.4 | 12.7 |
| Subject 8 | 52.3 | 107.6 | 87.1 | 72.3 | 50.4 | 56.1 |



Figure 5.1. Second-by-second response of (A) $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (arbitrary units; a.u.); (B) $\Delta\left[\mathrm{HbO}_{2}\right] ;$ (C) $\Delta[\mathrm{Hb}]_{\text {totala }}$; and (D) TOI (\%) during baseline and ramp incremental cycling in a representative subject. Dashed vertical line represents beginning of ( $20 \mathrm{~W} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) ramp protocol.


Figure 5.2. Normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ responses ( O ) as a function of normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ in three representative subjects demonstrating a brief, intermediate and pronounced 'plateau' at end-exercise. Sigmoid and 'double-linear' regression models superimposed on the data; associated regression coefficients are included on each panel (pre- $B P$ above, post- $B P$ below).
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Figure 5.3. Normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ responses (○) as a function of absolute PO (W) in three representative subjects (same subjects as Figure 1). Sigmoid and 'doublelinear' regression models superimposed on the data; associated regression coefficients are included on each panel (pre- $B P$ above, post- $B P$ below).


Figure 5.4. Grand mean normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ response ( O ) as a function of normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ with linear (panel A; pre- $B P$ above, post- $B P$ below) and sigmoid (panel B) regression models superimposed. Regression models were generated using group mean values from Table 1.


Figure 5.5. Grand mean normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ response ( O ) as a function of normalized PO (\%PO) with linear (panel A; pre- $B P$ above, post- $B P$ below) and sigmoid (panel B) regression models superimposed. Regression models were generated using group mean values from Table 1.


Figure 5.6. Grand mean normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$ response (○) as a function of absolute $\mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right)$ with linear (panel A ; pre- BP above, post- BP below) and sigmoid (panel B) regression models superimposed. Regression models were generated using group mean values from Table 1.


Figure 5.7. Grand mean TOI (\%) response (○) as a function of normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right.$; left), normalized PO ( $\% \mathrm{PO}$; middle), and absolute $\mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right.$; right) with linear (Top; pre- $B P$ above, post- $B P$ below) and sigmoid (Bottom) regression models superimposed. Given that the TOI responses were not normalized (as $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were), and thus the inter-individual responses were variable, the mean $( \pm \mathrm{SD})$ end-exercise value $(\bullet)$ is presented. Regression models were generated using group mean values from Table 2.

## DISCUSSION

The present study sought to characterize the profile of normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}](\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}])$, a surrogate for tissue $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction that provides insights into a- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ diff within the muscle microvasculature, as a function of normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}\left(\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ and of absolute and normalized $\mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}\right.$ and $\% \mathrm{PO}$, respectively) during ramp incremental exercise in young men. Further, the profile of TOI, a non-invasive estimation of tissue oxyhaemoglobin saturation, was also characterized in the present study. Individual $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TOI responses were modeled as either a sigmoid (that described the overall response) or a single piecewise 'double-linear' model that considered the predominant increase in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ observed during the ramp protocol and the 'plateau' that followed. It was determined that the 'double-linear' function was favoured over the sigmoid model in $\sim 85 \%$ of cases when $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI were plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ based on a smaller $\mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ score.

Whereas previous investigations into the profile of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (Boone et al. 2009, 2010; DiMenna et al. 2010; Ferreira et al. 2007b; McNarry et al. 2011) (or [HHb]; Chin et al. 2011) response to incremental exercise have relied on comparisons between a sigmoid model and a hyperbolic model, the present study proposes that the latter two (of three) components of the response can be described with a piecewise 'double-linear' function. In each of the previous papers published on this subject, the sigmoid model has proven superior to the hyperbolic model; thus, the present paper is not intended to question the findings or implications of those studies.

In spite of the virtually identical $R^{2}$ values (possibly implying similar model quality) when comparing the 'double-linear' and sigmoid regressions as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, it is clear based upon visual inspection of Figures 5.4-5.6 that the
sigmoid models are less able to accurately characterize the grand mean $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses. Specifically, early in exercise, the sigmoid model systematically underestimates the (grand mean) reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction, and later in exercise, systematically overestimates the reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction. Importantly, when group mean parameters are used to generate a "representative" sigmoid model, it seems incapable of discerning (or depicting) the end-exercise 'plateau' in the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response. Yet, this sigmoid model has been used to draw inferences regarding the rate of adjustment of Q relative to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ in the periphery (Boone et al. 2009; Ferreira et al. 2007b). If the sigmoid regression model was accurately describing these respective responses for each individual, it should be expected that the model generated using the group mean parameters (presented in Table 5.1) would accurately characterize the grand mean response (as is seen with the linear regression models).

A conspicuous feature of the sigmoid function that has not been formally addressed within the literature is that $f_{0} \neq 0 \%$ and the projected peak $\left(f_{0}+A\right) \neq 100 \%$, despite the fact that the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal had previously been normalized to $0-100 \%$ of the response. Indeed, the underlying explanation for the 'poor' sigmoid group mean models (Figure 5.4-5.6) is that in over half of all cases, the projected peak of the response exceeded $110 \%$; in one subject the projected peak consistently exceed $135 \%$ regardless of whether expressed as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ (see: Figures 5.2 A and 5.3 A ). While a "goodness of fit" statistic (e.g., $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) may be important when considering the quality of a model, it is critical to also consider whether the associated parameter estimates are realistically describing the underlying (physiological) response. For example, the sigmoid model displayed in Figure 5.2A included estimates for $f_{0}$ and $A$ of -
96.9 and 250.9 , respectively; the result was a projected peak response of $154 \% \% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. Considering that Figure 5.2A ('double-linear') illustrates the attainment of a brief plateau (at $\sim 100 \%$ of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response; $85 \%$ of the $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response) following the 'increasing linear' portion (i.e., at $B P$ ) of the exercise test, it seems clear that in some subjects the sigmoid model is incapable of discerning the end-exercise response, which may be somewhat independent of the approximately linear increase which preceded it. Indeed, Figures 5.2 and 5.3 indicate that in subjects with lower $B P$ s (as identified using the 'double-linear' regression), lower projected peaks also tended to be observed ( $\mathrm{r}=$ $0.43, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). In aggregate, however, Figures 5.2 and 5.3 primarily reinforce the fact that complex individual physiological responses to the same external stimulus can vary widely, and as a result require careful consideration when being described by mathematical functions.

Whereas characterizing the overall $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise using a sigmoid function offers some potential practical advantages in research (e.g., comparing parameter estimates amongst subjects or conditions), the present study illustrates that this approach does not always characterize the underlying response well in all subjects. Given this uncertainty, it seems reasonable to question whether this model offers a sound basis for comparisons between or among individuals. Since neither $f_{0}$ nor the projected peak (and therefore $A$ ) reflect 0 and $100 \%$ of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response, respectively (as would be expected after normalization), it seems that $d$ (i.e., the 'slope' of the sigmoid regression) and $c$ (i.e., a constant that is dependent on $d$ where $c / d$ is the $x$ value corresponding to $50 \%$ of the total amplitude) are the terms which might provide a basis for inter-individual comparisons. Yet, it should be recognized that estimates of $c / d$
did not differ from " $f_{50}$ " (Table 5.1), which was derived from the 'double-linear' regression. While we acknowledge that each of $f_{0}, A$, and the projected peak are "projections" that do not necessarily reflect the data contained within the bounds of the fitting window, these parameters offer a basis for comparisons between, among or within individuals. That two of the parameters in the sigmoid regression yield 'unrealistic' estimates, and the remaining two parameters yield information that can essentially be gleaned from the 'double-linear' regression, may offer further support for the 'doublelinear' model that has been proposed.

As an alternative to a single sigmoid regression that is intended to describe the overall response, the adjustment of $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ may be comprised of three distinct and separate phases. In the initial brief phase (i.e., "phase A"), which occurs at the onset of the ramp incremental protocol, increases in $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ are accomplished in the absence of any appreciable increase in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. Preliminary analyses (not reported in Results section) determined that this 'delayed increase' in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was not wellcharacterized by a linear function; this may be, at least in part, due to its limited duration (i.e., too few data points to accurately characterize the response). The physiological implications of "phase A" are that there is a period of time early in ramp incremental exercise in which local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery is well-matched with or perhaps even in excess of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization; a similar phenomenon is observed in the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to a square-wave transition in exercise intensity. What follows is a phase characterized by an approximately linear increase in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (i.e., "phase B ") relative to changes in $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$. A steeper (i.e., greater) slope of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-$ to $-\% \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-$ to $-\% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-$ to $-\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ relationship during "phase B " would suggest
an increased reliance on changes in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction and perhaps a decreased reliance on changes in convective $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery relative to metabolic demand. The final phase represents a period of very little change in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ despite continued increases in $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. That the linear slope $\left(m_{2}\right)$ of $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, $\% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ during "phase C" did not significantly differ from 0 (Table 5.1) indicates that there was (or appears to have been) a 'plateau' in the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response at the end of the ramp incremental exercise. Such a plateau in the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]-\mathrm{to}-\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ data necessarily implies that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction (a-vO $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{diff}$ ) has an upper limit during dynamic, rhythmic exercise and that beyond this limit, increases in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within the exercising muscle could only be accomplished by increasing blood flow through the capillaries. However, given the increase in intramuscular pressure during higher intensity contractions (i.e., near end-exercise), it may be unlikely that the blood flow through the capillary increases during this period. Thus, an alternative explanation of this relationship is that the increasing $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (i.e., whole body $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) may simply reflect an increase in the metabolic requirements of other (e.g., respiratory, other leg muscles) regions. Indeed, if capillary blood flow was being continuously increased, one might expect increases in the end-exercise TOI response (supposing that the increase in flow would necessarily implicate an increase in $\mathrm{HbO}_{2}$, since HHb had 'plateaued'); such an increase in the endexercise TOI response was absent (see $m_{2}$, Table 5.2 and Figure 5.7).

Ferreira et al. (2007b) were the first to propose that the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise was best characterized by a sigmoid function. This observation was based upon direct comparisons between the sigmoid function and a hyperbolic function (which would be expected if the linear steady-state relationship between whole body Q and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ were preserved in the microvasculature) when considering $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ as
a function of $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ (see: Figures 5.3 and 5.6). While the profile of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise is surely governed (at least in part) by $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ within an individual, comparisons amongst individuals or groups may be more appropriate if the relative intensity of exercise is considered. For example, directly comparing healthy controls and diseased or elderly subjects at the same absolute work rate (e.g., 150 W ) is likely to provide somewhat misleading conclusions; the healthy controls may be performing exercise within the moderate-intensity domain whereas the diseased or elderly subjects could be approaching their peak. As a result, it is unlikely that $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ provides the basis for insightful inter-individual comparisons of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise.

More recently, Boone et al. (2009, 2010), DiMenna et al. (2010), and McNarry et al. (2011) concluded that a sigmoid model was more appropriate than a hyperbolic model for describing the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise as a function of $\% \mathrm{PO}$. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to consider the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ as well. An important consideration is that early in exercise (i.e., during cycling at very low work rates as discussed by Boone et al. (2009)), or when nearing the end of ramp incremental exercise, the PO -to- $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship can become non-linear. At near-peak work rates, for example, a plateau is observed in the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response in some subjects in spite of continued increases PO. As a result, the proposed "phase C" comprises a greater proportion of the overall response (i.e., a more distinctive 'plateau') when expressed relative to $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ compared to $\% \mathrm{PO}$. In those subjects with relatively brief "phase A" and "phase C" responses (e.g., Figure 5.2A), the error associated with estimates of $c$ and $d$ (i.e., slope) is likely to be much less than that expected in an individual with more substantial "phase A" and "phase C"
responses (where $f_{0}$ and $A$ are more likely to have smaller error terms). Thus, for example, the accuracy of a $c / d$ estimate (and its physiological implications) may be largely dependent upon portions of the overall $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response where little change is occurring.

As was the case with the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ (Table 5.1; Figures 5.4-5.6) response profiles, Figure 5.7 reinforces the notion that parameter estimates generated using a sigmoid regression of TOI may be inappropriate for comparative purposes. Systematic over- and under-estimation of the baseline and end-exercise responses, respectively, call into question whether the amplitude of a given response is accurately being described; if not, then using a potentially misleading value could lead to erroneous conclusions. Furthermore, estimates of " $f_{\Delta 50}$ " and " $c / d$ " did not differ when TOI was expressed as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$. Finally, Table 3 demonstrates that the smaller $\mathrm{AIC}_{\mathrm{C}}$ scores (reflected as positive $\Delta$ ) favoured the 'double-linear' model over the sigmoid regression in $\sim 83 \%$ of all cases. To our knowledge, this is the first study to characterize the TOI response to incremental exercise.

Limitations: In addition to generating differing profiles when expressed as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$, this response may also be susceptible to changes based upon the work rate from which ramp exercise is initiated, as well as the slope of the ramp itself (Boone et al. 2009). Given each of these potential "confounders", it is clear that the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to ramp incremental exercise is complex and often specific to an individual and a given exercise protocol. As a result, any attempt to characterize a wide range of possible response profiles using mathematical modeling is likely to have some associated limitations. Though not observed in the present study, we are aware of subjects
who do not demonstrate a 'plateau' at end-exercise; this response pattern is potentially "problematic" when using either a sigmoid or 'double-linear' regression. In the case of the 'double-linear' regression, simply characterizing the predominant rise in $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ using a single linear regression (and then including it in the average of "phase B") is a possible solution, though a "solution", per se, is not necessary for the sigmoid model.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that a 'double-linear' regression was favoured over a sigmoid regression in $\sim 85 \%$ of cases, regardless of whether the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ or TOI response to ramp incremental exercise was plotted as a function of $\% \mathrm{VO}_{2}, \% \mathrm{PO}$ or $\mathrm{PO}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$. Consideration of $f_{0}$ and $A$ estimates for the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response, and the assumption that the response must be symmetrical, in particular suggest that the sigmoid regression model does not accurately describe the underlying physiological responses in all subjects. As an alternative, the present study proposes that the profile of the $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ and TOI responses during ramp incremental exercise may be more accurately described as consisting of three distinct phases, in which the latter two are approximately linear. Importantly, this paper reinforces the idea that parameter estimates and their physiological implications must be considered when judging model quality.

## REFERENCES

Akaike H (1974) New Look at Statistical-Model Identification. Ieee Transactions on Automatic Control Ac 19:716-723

Babcock MA, Paterson DH, Cunningham DA, Dickinson JR (1994) Exercise on-transient gas exchange kinetics are slowed as a function of age. Med Sci Sports Exerc 26:440-446

Beaver WL, Lamarra N, Wasserman K (1981) Breath-by-breath measurement of true alveolar gas exchange. J Appl Physiol 51:1662-1675

Boone J, Koppo K, Barstow TJ, Bouckaert J (2009) Pattern of deoxy[Hb+Mb] during ramp cycle exercise: influence of aerobic fitness status. Eur J Appl Physiol 105:851-859

Boone J, Koppo K, Barstow TJ, Bouckaert J (2010) Effect of exercise protocol on deoxy[ $\mathrm{Hb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ : incremental step versus ramp exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 42:935942

Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2004) Multimodel inference - understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociological Methods \& Research 33:261-304

Chin LM, Kowalchuk JM, Barstow TJ, Kondo N, Amano T, Shiojiri T, Koga S (2011) The relationship between muscle deoxygenation and activation in different muscles of the quadriceps during cycle ramp exercise. J Appl Physiol 111:1259-1265

DeLorey DS, Kowalchuk JM, Paterson DH (2003) Relationship between pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake kinetics and muscle deoxygenation during moderate-intensity exercise. J Appl Physiol 95:113-120

DiMenna FJ, Bailey SJ, Jones AM (2010) Influence of body position on muscle deoxy[ $\mathrm{Hb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ during ramp cycle exercise. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 173:138-145

Ferrari M, Muthalib M, Quaresima V (2011) The use of near-infrared spectroscopy in understanding skeletal muscle physiology: recent developments. Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci 369:4577-4590

Ferreira LF, Hueber DM, Barstow TJ (2007a) Effects of assuming constant optical scattering on measurements of muscle oxygenation by near-infrared spectroscopy during exercise. J Appl Physiol 102:358-367

Ferreira LF, Koga S, Barstow TJ (2007b) Dynamics of noninvasively estimated microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction during ramp exercise. J Appl Physiol 103:1999-2004

Koga S, Kano Y, Barstow TJ, Ferreira LF, Ohmae E, Sudo M, Poole DC (2011) Kinetics of muscle deoxygenation and microvascular $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ during contractions in rat: Comparison of optical spectroscopy and phosphorescence-quenching techniques. J Appl Physiol

Mancini DM, Bolinger L, Li H, Kendrick K, Chance B, Wilson JR (1994) Validation of near-infrared spectroscopy in humans. J Appl Physiol 77:2740-2747

McNarry MA, Welsman JR, Jones AM (2011) Influence of training and maturity status on the cardiopulmonary responses to ramp incremental cycle and upper body exercise in girls. J Appl Physiol 110:375-381

Murias JM, Spencer MD, Kowalchuk JM, Paterson DH (2011) Influence of phase I duration on phase II $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics parameter estimates in older and young adults. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 301:R218-224

Rowell LB (1986) Circulatory adjustments to dynamic exercise. In: Rowell LB (ed) Human circulation regulation during physical stress. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 213-256

Stringer WW, Hansen JE, Wasserman K (1997) Cardiac output estimated noninvasively from oxygen uptake during exercise. J Appl Physiol 82:908-912

Stringer WW, Whipp BJ, Wasserman K, Porszasz J, Christenson P, French WJ (2005) Non-linear cardiac output dynamics during ramp-incremental cycle ergometry. Eur J Appl Physiol 93:634-639

## CHAPTER VI: Summary, limitations and future directions

## SUMMARY

The overall goal of this thesis was to use non-invasive methodologies to examine the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as a possible limitation to the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at moderate-intensity exercise onset as well as its role as a possible limitation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \text { max }}$. Chapters II, III and IV were each designed to further elucidate the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at the onset of moderate-intensity exercise; Chapter V was somewhat more methodological in its focus, but nevertheless was designed to further understanding of the role that local muscle O 2 delivery may play as a possible limitation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \max }$.

Chapter II considered the effect pre-transition work rate (WR) on $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional $G$ in a group of older men. Previous studies had reported a slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics response and increased functional $G$ when exercise was initiated from an elevated pre-transition WR in young adults (Brittain et al. 2001; Hughson and Morrissey 1982; MacPhee et al. 2005); yet, studies considering this intervention in older adults, who generally tend to have slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics than their younger counterparts (Babcock et al. 1994; Bell et al. 1999; Chilibeck et al. 1996; DeLorey et al. 2004; Murias et al. 2010a, b), are absent from the literature.

The main findings from chapter II were that: 1) moderate-intensity step transitions initiated from an elevated baseline WR and metabolic rate (i.e., upper step; US) resulted in a greater $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and greater $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ gain than step transitions initiated from a baseline WR of 20 W (i.e., lower step and full step; LS and FS); 2) the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics of the US were accompanied by a slowed adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ in comparison to the LS and FS,
suggesting an improved local blood flow or $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability during the US; 3) the 'accumulated $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit' for two equal step transitions did not differ from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit incurred for a single step transition to the same end-exercise WR despite being elevated in the US compared to LS, implying that there was no net effect on the proportion of energy that is derived through non-aerobic pathways.

Based upon previous studies showing a tendency for heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise (HVY) to speed the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ on-kinetics response (Gurd et al. 2006; Gurd et al. 2005; Murias et al. 2011), even in young, healthy individuals, along with the findings of a slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics response under conditions of acute, mild hypoxia (HYPO) (Engelen et al. 1996; Hughson and Kowalchuk 1995; Murphy et al. 1989; Perrey et al. 2005; Xing et al. 1991), we sought to examine the separate and combined effects of HVY and HYPO on the kinetics of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ during the on-transient to moderate-intensity exercise. We expected that by combining these interventions and presenting them to subjects simultaneously, that the likely increase in blood flow resulting from HVY would be blunted by the reduced $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content secondary to a reduced $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ from HYPO; in this case, what would remain is any possible elevated metabolic substrate supply or enzyme activation, but in the absence of concomitant increase in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery. Thus, the study presented in Chapter III tested the hypothesis that resolution of potential intracellular metabolic substrate provision or enzyme activation limitations alone will not speed $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$.

The conclusions from chapter III were as follows: 1) HVY improved the matching of local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (i.e., abolished the significant $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot observed under control conditions) such that $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was reduced from $\sim 26 \mathrm{~s}$ under control conditions to $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ following HVY; 2) HYPO slowed the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ at the onset
of moderate-intensity exercise; this was associated with a significant overshoot in the $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio (implying an appreciable mismatch between local $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization); 3) the present data (unchanged $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ deficit and $[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ overshoot in MOD2+HYPO compared to Control) do not support a role for either augmented metabolic substrate provision nor enzyme activation in the reductions in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ commonly observed with HVY alone; 4) cumulatively, the present study suggests that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery plays a determining role of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ under control conditions in young, healthy humans (when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

In chapter IV, we attempted to address a question that arose, in part, from the study described in Chapter II; notably, a trend had emerged from that study as well as others using the "double-step" protocol, that small WR transitions within the moderateintensity domain appeared to be characterized by faster $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics and with smaller functional $G$ than larger WR transitions (Bowen et al. 2011; Brittain et al. 2001; MacPhee et al. 2005; Spencer et al. 2011). As a result, we sought to systematically examine the role of WR increment (when initiated from a constant low WR of 20 W to five different moderate-intensity WRs between 50 and 130 W ) on both $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and functional $G$ in a group of healthy, young adults. Further, with the hypothesis of both smaller $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ and functional G during transitions to lower WRs, we sought to investigate the potential mechanism(s) using measures of local muscle deoxygenation (to assess the balance between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization), and to determine whether this mechanism differed between those individuals who presented with fast compared to slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics (based upon the findings from the study described in Chapter III).

In chapter IV it was concluded that: 1) during transitions to different WRs within the moderate-intensity domain, no differences in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ were observed in this group of
subjects $\left(\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \approx 27 \mathrm{~s}\right) ; 2$ ) when the sample was sub-divided into two groups (i.e., Fast and Slow $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics), there was an interaction between WR increment and group such that the $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses were divergent between the Fast and Slow groups, with only the Slow group showing evidence of conformity to WR-dependent alterations in $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ previously reported in the literature (i.e., in studies comparing a "lower step" to a "full step"); 3) the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ratio was smaller in the Fast compared to Slow group (suggesting that the primary determinant of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ differs between groups), but was not affected by WR (suggesting that the divergent $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses between Fast and Slow groups may not have been the result of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability during the exercise on-transient); 4) functional $G$ was progressively greater during transitions of increasing WR increment; this was true for (and similar between) both the Fast and Slow groups, possibly suggesting that the mechanism(s) controlling the magnitude and the rate of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response to a given WR transition may be dissociated.

Chapter V was a departure from the study of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics within the moderateintensity exercise domain, but maintained the central theme of using non-invasive methodologies to investigate the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery as a potential limitation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ during incremental exercise to volitional fatigue. Given some uncertainty regarding the appropriateness of a single, sigmoid regression model to describe the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response during incremental exercise (DiMenna et al. 2010), the purpose of the study described in Chapter V was to re-examine the profile of muscle deoxygenation during ramp incremental cycling exercise in a group of young men and to assess the physiological implications of the various models and parameter estimates. Specifically, we examined whether the profile of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response as a function of either PO or
$\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ should be characterized as i) a sigmoid which considers the entire response or ii) three distinct 'phases' in which the predominant rise in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ is approximately linear, as is the 'plateau' which follows.

The key finding from Chapter V was that the 'double-linear' function which described the predominant rise in $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$, as well as the approximately linear 'plateau' which followed, was favoured over the sigmoid model in $\sim 85 \%$ of cases when $\% \Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ was plotted as a function of normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ or PO , or absolute PO . This study should allow for appropriate comparisons of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ responses to incremental exercise, thereby furthering an understanding of the role of local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery throughout incremental exercise, as well as at volitional fatigue.

In summary, this series of studies demonstrated that local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery is likely playing a rate-limiting role in the determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ under "control conditions" when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$, even in healthy, young individuals. This threshold for an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery dependent determination of $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ may be dissociated from changes in functional $G$ with moderate-intensity WR transitions of different intensities, but does not appear to play a role in the slowed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics associated with transitions performed from an elevated WR. Finally, the appropriateness of a sigmoid regression to characterize the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise (at least for comparative purposes) was challenged, and a 'double-linear' model was proposed as an alternative.

## LIMITATIONS

One limitation in this set of studies is related to the use of NIRS in that the area of muscle "interrogation" represents only a small region over the surface of the active muscle (quadriceps) to examine the rate of adjustment of $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$. Although some studies have
shown that the magnitude and time-course of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ signal remain unaltered within different portions of the vastus lateralis muscle (duManoir et al. 2010), heterogeneities have been shown to exist from one site of inspection to another (Koga et al. 2007). Additionally, with the NIRS system used in the studies presented in Chapters II, IV and V, we were restricted to assumptions of the optical path length of the near-infrared light (which may be affected by local blood flow and cellular volume and ionic changes occurring during muscle contractions (Hamaoka et al. 2007)). As such, data were expressed in arbitrary units. Nevertheless, this limitation was minimized by the fact that the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ data were normalized for each individual as a proportion of the full-scale amplitude of the signal from the loadless cycling to steady-state for comparison of its dynamic adjustment.

In the studies in chapters III and IV, observations were made in terms of an improved matching of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$. These observations rely on the interpretation of the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}] / \mathrm{VO}_{2}$ data. We used $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ as a proxy variable for muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction, thus reflecting changes in the $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{vO}_{2 \text { diff. }}$. Changes in the rate of adjustment of the normalized $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (representing muscle $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ ) in relation to the responses of the rate of adjustment of the normalized $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ are likely determined by changes in the rate of adjustment of muscle blood flow. However, no direct measurements of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery were made.

It was proposed that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ distribution within the active muscles plays an important role in the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, especially in those subjects displaying a $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ larger than $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$. Also, it was suggested that the fundamental control of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics may reside intracellularly. However, no measurements were taken during the on-transient
of exercise that could provide with a better understanding of what those intracellular components could be.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The studies described in chapters III and IV suggest that muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ distribution is a key factor determining the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics (at least when $\tau \mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ is larger than $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ). Based upon recent studies which consider spatial heterogeneities in the [ HHb ] response to exercise, a logical progression would be to re-examine the effects of HVY, HYPO and WR increment on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and [ HHb ] kinetics using a multi-channel, timeresolved spectroscopy NIRS (TRS-NIRS) system. This would address concerns about both the limited "area of interrogation" of a single-site NIRS measurement, as well as the use of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, which may not be providing a precise characterization of the adjustment of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ within active muscle fibers. Further, the description of a 'double-linear' regression model to characterize the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}]$ response to incremental exercise in a way that allows for appropriate comparisons opens the door for numerous studies that either attempt to alter local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery during incremental exercise, or compare the responses among populations with suspected local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery differences (e.g., diseased, elderly).
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## APPENDIX II: Copy of letter of information and consent form

## LETTER OF INFORMATION

$\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics and muscle deoxygenation in older adults in the upper compared with lower range of the moderate-intensity exercise domain<br>Principal Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD<br>PhD Student: Matthew D Spencer, MSc

## Purpose of Study:

You are being invited to participate in a research study that examines the rate at which oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is taken up and utilized by the body to generate energy for exercise. During the transition from rest or light-intensity exercise to higher intensities, the rate of adaptation of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake (called " $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics") in the muscle may depend on how rapidly certain enzymes in the muscle are activated or on how quickly blood flow increases to supply $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the active muscle. When this transition occurs from moderate-intensity (rather than rest or light-intensity) to higher intensities, the adaptation has been shown to be slowed in young adults. It is not clear how these transitions from moderate-intensity exercise affect the speed of adaptation in older adults. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine the effects of prior moderateintensity exercise on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics.

Participation in this study involves visits to the research laboratory at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging (Arthur and Sonia Labatt Health Science Centre, Room 313) on a maximum of 13 different occasions, with each visit taking a maximum of 1.5 hours. Prior to beginning the study, you will undergo medical screening and a fatigue-limited exercise stress test under the supervision of a physician.

A total of 8 older male adults will be invited to participate in this study. In order to participate you must be between 60-85 years of age and healthy. You will not be able to participate in the study if you have been previously diagnosed with any respiratory, cardiovascular, metabolic or musculoskeletal disease; or you are currently on medication affecting cardiovascular responses to exercise; or you are a smoker; or you respond to the exercise protocol in an irregular manner or cannot tolerate the exercise protocol. If you are participating in another study at this time, please inform the investigator right away to determine if it is appropriate for you to participate in this study.

## Research Testing Protocol:

During the first visit to the laboratory, you will complete an incremental exercise test to your limit of tolerance until you will be physically unable to continue exercising because the intensity is either too high or too uncomfortable. The exercise will consist of leg cycling on a cycle ergometer (a stationary bicycle) while in the upright, seated position. The test will begin with the exercise intensity being very light and easy (very little resistance). After several minutes
the exercise intensity will increase steadily until you are unable to continue because of fatigue, or until you wish to stop. This visit should last approximately 1 hour.

On each of the remaining approximately 10-12 visits, you will perform exercise on the cycle ergometer, with each test lasting approximately $20-25$ minutes. There are 2 separate conditions in this study, which you will repeat up to 6 times for each condition:

1) Control

You will complete a single-step cycle workload test within the moderate-intensity domain.
2) 'Elevated baseline’

You will complete a double-step cycle workload test within the moderate-intensity domain.

Each testing session will begin with 6 minutes of leg cycling at a very light work rate (i.e., 20 W). During the "single-step" tests, this initial 6 minutes will be followed by an instantaneous increase in work rate to the higher ranges of moderate-intensity exercise for 6 minutes, and back down to the very light work rate for 6 minutes. During the "double-step" tests, the 6 minutes of exercise at a very light work rate will be followed by an "initial step" increase in work rate to the lower ranges of moderate-intensity exercise (light work) for 6 minutes and then a "second step" increase in work rate to the higher ranges of moderate-intensity exercise (moderate work); with this protocol, the increase in work from very light to light is the same as light to moderate. The test will be completed with 6 minutes exercise at the very light work rate. The diagram below may be useful in understanding the two protocols.



At the end of this part of the protocol you will move to a chair and, after a brief period of rest (approximately 10 minutes) you will be asked to perform a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC). The MVC will involve a maximal contraction of your leg where you will try to "push" your foot into the floor as hard as possible until the investigator tells you to relax. This maneuver will allow us to measure the highest and lowest levels of oxygen within your thigh muscle.

## Research Procedures:

During each of the exercise tests you will be required to wear a nose-clip (to prevent you from breathing through your nose) and a rubber mouthpiece (similar to breathing through a snorkel or diving mask); nose-clips and mouthpieces are disinfected before each test. This will enable
us to measure the volume of air that you breathe in and out, and measure the gas concentration in that air.

During each of the exercise tests, the relative oxygenation of your leg muscle will be measured using a technique known as near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), which projects light into a specific location of your leg muscles and measures the amount of light coming out at another location. Two probes will be secured to your leg at approximately midway between your hip and knee. The probes will be kept in place by tape, covered to prevent other light from entering or leaving the area, and bound with a tensor band to minimize movement of the probes.

## Possible Risks and Discomforts:

You may experience some minor discomfort from wearing the nose-clip and rubber mouthpiece, and by having the NIRS probes secured to your leg during the exercise period. These sensations often become less noticeable with time during the exercise.

Any exercise carries a slight risk of a heart attack (less than approximately 6:10,000) or may be uncomfortable if you are unfit or not used to exercise. There may be some minor discomfort during the exercise testing. You may experience increased awareness of breathing, muscle fatigue and soreness, increased sweating, or a general feeling of fatigue or nausea, none of which are unexpected consequences of exercise.

All testing procedures will only be conducted when a lab technician or research assistant that is certified in CPR is present. In the case of an emergency, 911 will be called using the telephone located in the testing laboratory. An automatic external defibrillator is also available within the testing building. If a heavy pressure sensation or pain develops in your chest or down your left arm it is important that you discontinue the exercise immediately and report these sensations to the exercise supervisor, or seek medical attention if you have left the exercise area.

## Benefits of Participation:

This is a basic physiology/biochemistry study and, as such, there will be no direct health-related benefits received as a consequence of participating in the study.

## Confidentiality:

Records from the study are confidential and will be stored securely at the testing facility. Your records are listed according to an identification number rather than by your name. Published reports resulting from this study will never identify you by name and no information that discloses your identity will be released or published. While we will do our best to protect your information, there is no guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Representatives of The University of Western Ontario Health Sciences Research Ethics Board may require access to your study-related records or may follow up with you to monitor the conduct of the research.

## Voluntary Participation:

Participation in this study is voluntary. You are encouraged to ask questions regarding the purpose of the study, specific measures or outcomes of your exercise tests, or overall findings and conclusions from this research study. You may refuse to participate, refuse to answer any questions or withdraw from the study at any time with no penalty. You do not waive any legal rights by signing the consent form.

You will be given a copy of this letter of information once the consent form has been signed. If you have any questions regarding the study please contact Matthew Spencer or Dr. Donald Paterson at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Arthur \& Sonia Labatt Health Sciences Building, The University of Western Ontario, London. If you have any questions about your rights as a research participant or the conduct of the study you may contact The Office of Research Ethics at or by email at

## LETTER OF INFORMED CONSENT

$\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics and muscle deoxygenation in older adults in the upper compared with lower range of the moderate-intensity exercise domain

Principle Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD
PhD Student: Matthew D Spencer, MSc

I have read the Letter of Information, have had the nature of this study explained to me and I agree to participate. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

Participant:

Name (please print)
Signature

Date

Investigator (i.e. Person Responsible for Obtaining Informed Consent):

Name (please print)
Signature

Date

## LETTER OF INFORMATION

# Does prior exercise speed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics even in the presence of acute hypoxia in older and young men? 

Principal Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD
PhD Student: Matthew D Spencer, MSc

## Purpose of Study:

You are being invited to participate in a research study that examines the rate at which oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is utilized by the body to generate energy for exercise. During the transition from rest or light-intensity exercise to higher intensities, the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ use (called " $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics") may depend on how rapidly certain enzymes in the muscle are activated or on how quickly blood flow increases to supply $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the active muscle. In general, $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is slower in older adults compared to young adults. However, in most older adults and even in some young adults, $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics can be made faster if a "heavy-intensity warm-up" is performed first. The theory is that the warm-up exercise may help certain enzymes in the muscle become activated more quickly and the supply of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the muscles may be improved by having a higher rate of blood flow. Furthermore, it has been shown that when people breathe in ("inspire") air that contains a smaller percentage of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (known as "hypoxia") than that found in "normal air" (approximately 21\%) during the transition to higher exercise intensities, the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics are slower (because less $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ gets delivered to the muscle). This study will examine the effect of hypoxia plus heavy-intensity warm-up exercise on $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics with the idea that the hypoxia will effectively "cancel out" the improved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery expected because of the warm-up; this leaves only the possible improvements to the muscle enzymes to affect $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics.

Participation in this study involves visits to the research laboratory at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging (Arthur and Sonia Labatt Health Science Centre, Room 313) on a maximum of 13 different occasions (total time commitment = approximately 8.5 hours). Each exercise visit is expected to take no longer than 45 minutes to complete.

Up to 10 young and 10 older adult men will be invited to participate in this study. In order to participate you must be between 18-40 (young) or 60-85 (older) years of age and healthy. You will not be able to participate in the study if you have been previously diagnosed with any respiratory, cardiovascular, metabolic or musculoskeletal disease; or you are currently on medication affecting cardiovascular responses to exercise; or you are a smoker; or you respond to the exercise protocol in an irregular manner or cannot tolerate the exercise protocol. If you are participating in another study at this time, please inform the investigator right away to determine if it is appropriate for you to participate in this study.

Prior to entry into the investigation all older subjects must undergo a fatigue-limited exercise stress test under the supervision of a physician (Dr. R. Petrella, Medical Director, Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging). This test will be conducted at Parkwood Hospital (London, ON) and is not expected to take longer than approximately 45 minutes. Upon successful completion of this test, and with the approval of the physician, you will be allowed to participate in the investigation. In the event that this test determines that you are not allowed to participate in the investigation, you will be contacted by Dr. Petrella's office and the test outcomes will be described to you.

## Research Testing Protocol:

During the first visit to the laboratory, you will complete an incremental exercise test to your limit of tolerance until you will be physically unable to continue exercising because the intensity is either too high or too uncomfortable. The exercise will consist of leg cycling on a cycle ergometer (a stationary bicycle) while in the upright, seated position. The test will begin with the exercise intensity being very light (very little resistance). After several minutes the exercise intensity will increase steadily until you are unable to continue because of fatigue, or until you wish to stop.

In addition to this test and on 12 separate days, you will perform a series of exercise protocols on the cycle ergometer that involve transitions from very light work (i.e., 20 watts; an intensity similar to slow walking) to moderate intensity (exercise in the moderate domain could theoretically be performed indefinitely and should not produce signs of fatigue) and/or transitions from very light work to heavy intensity (approximately 70\% of your performance on the incremental exercise test). Although more intense than the exercise you performed during the moderate intensity transitions, this exercise intensity is expected to produce fatigue only after approximately 1-2 hours of exercise. These exercise transitions will always appear in the following order:

## "MOD1" - "HVY" - "MOD2"

6 minutes at 20 watts +6 minutes at moderate intensity (called "MOD1")
6 minutes at 20 watts +6 minutes at heavy intensity (called "HVY")
6 minutes at 20 watts +6 minutes at moderate intensity (called "MOD2")


Condition 1: During 4 visits you will complete the whole exercise protocol ("MOD1" - "HVY" "MOD2") while inspiring normal room air, which contains approximately $21 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
Condition 2: During 4 visits you will complete both the "MOD1" and "HVY" protocols while inspiring normal room air. Two (2) minutes after the end of "HVY" you will begin to inspire air that contains approximately $15 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (this will occur during the "very light ( 20 watts)" part of "MOD2"). A valve will allow the researcher switch between having you inspire either directly from the room or from a bag that is filled with the air mixture with less $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.
Condition 3: During 4 visits you will only complete the "MOD1" protocol (and not the "HVY" or "MOD2" protocols), but you will do this while inspiring the air mixture with less $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

Repeat testing of each of the conditions is required in order to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data. During the testing sessions, height and weight measurements will be taken.

## Research Procedures:

During each of the exercise tests you will be required to wear a nose-clip (to prevent you from breathing through your nose) and a rubber mouthpiece (similar to breathing through a snorkel or diving mask); nose-clips and mouthpieces are disinfected before each test. This will enable us to measure the volume of air that you breathe in and out, and measure the gas concentration in that air.

During each of the exercise tests, the oxygenation of your leg muscle will be measured using near-infrared spectroscopy which projects light into a specific location of your leg muscles and measures the amount of light coming out at another location. A small piece of equipment will be placed on your leg approximately midway between your hip and your knee. It will be secured with tape, covered to prevent light from entering or leaving the area, and bound with elastic bandage to minimize movement. You might experience a bit of discomfort by having this equipment secured to your leg during the exercise period. However, this is a non-invasive
procedure. Additionally, oxygenation of your blood will be measured using infrared oximetry (a non-invasive measure similar to that performed by nurses when you go to visit a doctor at the hospital) with the probe clipped onto your earlobe or finger. This procedure is not associated with any risks or discomfort.

Heart rate and rhythm will be continuously monitored by electrocardiogram. One electrode will be placed on each of the following areas: left chest, right chest, and left side under your ribs and connected to an electrocardiograph. The electrodes use adhesive tape to secure to the skin. There are no known risks or discomforts associated with this procedure.

## Possible Risks and Discomforts:

You may experience some minor discomfort from wearing the nose-clip and rubber mouthpiece, and by having the NIRS probes secured to your leg during the exercise period. These sensations often become less noticeable with time during the exercise.

Any exercise carries a slight risk of a heart attack (less than approximately 6:10,000) or may be uncomfortable if you are unfit or not used to exercise. There may be some minor discomfort during the exercise testing. You may experience increased awareness of breathing, muscle fatigue and soreness, increased sweating, or a general feeling of fatigue or nausea, none of which are unexpected consequences of exercise. During the moderate intensity exercise in which you are inspiring the air containing a lower percentage of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, some of these feelings of discomfort may be more apparent, but these feelings are expected to disappear shortly after exercise is stopped.

All testing procedures will only be conducted when a lab technician or research assistant that is certified in CPR is present. In the case of an emergency, 911 will be called using the telephone located in the testing laboratory. An automatic external defibrillator is also available within the testing building and the lab technician and/or research assistant(s) will have been trained in its operation. If a heavy pressure sensation or pain develops in your chest or down your left arm it is important that you discontinue the exercise immediately and report these sensations to the exercise supervisor, or seek medical attention if you have left the exercise area.

Participation in this study requires a time commitment which may be inconvenient for you at some point during the study.

## Benefits of Participation:

This is a basic physiology/biochemistry study and, as such, there will be no direct benefits received as a consequence of participating in the study. If you are interested, the rational for conducting the research and theory and significance of each of the tests will be explained, as will your individual results from each of the tests. You will also have the opportunity to learn about and better understand your physiological responses to an exercise situation.

## Confidentiality:

Records from this study are confidential and will be stored securely at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Sonia Arthur Labatt Health Sciences Building. Your records will be identified by a number rather than your name. The data will be available for analysis within the research group. Published reports resulting from this study will not identify you by name. We would like to keep and use your data in the future for as of yet unknown analyses. There is a check box on the consent form to indicate your choice. You will be able to withdraw your data at any time by contacting the Principal Investigator, Dr, Donald H. Paterson at Representatives of the University of Western Ontario Health Sciences Research Ethics Board may contact you or require access to your study-related records to monitor the conduct of the research. You do not waive any legal rights by signing the consent form.

## Voluntary Participation:

Participation in this study is voluntary. You may refuse to participate, refuse to answer any questions or withdraw from the study at any time with no effect on your academic or employment status.

You will be given a copy of this letter of information and signed consent forms. You do not waive any legal rights by signing the consent form. If you have any questions regarding this study please contact Dr. Donald Paterson at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Sonia and Arthur Labatt Health Sciences Building, The University of Western Ontario, London. If you have any question about the conduct of this study or your rights as a research subject you may contact the Director of the Office of Research Ethics, The University of Western Ontario,

## LETTER OF INFORMED CONSENT

Does prior exercise speed $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics even in the presence of acute hypoxia in older and young men?
Principal Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD
PhD Student: Matthew D Spencer, MSc

I have read the Letter of Information, have had the nature of this study explained to me and I agree to participate. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.
$\square \quad$ I consent to having my data kept for future as of yet unknown analyses.
$\square \quad$ I do not consent to having my data kept for future as of yet unknown analyses.

Participant:

| Name (please print) | Signature |
| :---: | :---: |
| Investigator (i.e. Person Responsible for Obtaining Informed Consent): |  |
| Name (please print) |  |
| Date |  |

## LETTER OF INFORMATION

Do $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics vary in response to differing moderate-intensity power outputs or following acute exercise in young men?<br>Principal Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD<br>PhD Students: Matthew D Spencer, MSc; Juan M Murias, MSc

## Purpose of Study:

You are being invited to participate in a research study that examines the rate at which oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is utilized by the body to generate energy for exercise. During the transition from rest or light-intensity exercise to higher intensities, the rate of adjustment of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ use (called " $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics") may depend on how rapidly certain enzymes in the muscle are activated or on how quickly blood flow increases to supply $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the active muscle. Furthermore, it has been suggested that those who take longer to adjust may be limited differently than those who adjust more quickly. Previous studies have shown that the intensity of the exercise may affect the speed at which the body adjusts. Therefore, the purpose of Phase I of this study is to compare the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics at different moderate intensities of exercise in young, healthy men with either faster or slower kinetics.

Additionally, those individuals found to have slower $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics will be invited to participate in a second phase of testing. By agreeing to participate in Phase I of this study, you are not agreeing to participate in Phase II. If you are eligible for Phase II, you will be asked to provide your consent separately from Phase I. It is believed that in this group of people, the key limitation to $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics may be related to how quickly blood flow increases to supply $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the active muscle once exercise begins. Previous studies in animals have shown that the body's ability to direct $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the active muscle cells may be improved for up to 24 hours following a single exercise session. Since this exercise session would not be expected to elevate the activity of the enzymes in the muscle for the same amount of time, this phase of the study could provide important information about whether $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics is limited by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ supply or not.

Participation in this study involves visits to the research laboratory at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging (Arthur and Sonia Labatt Health Science Centre, Room 313) on a maximum of 15 different occasions, with 7 of these visits designated for exercise testing in Phase I (total time commitment $=8.5$ hours), and the remaining 8 will be dedicated to Phase II of the study (total time commitment $=9.5$ hours). Each exercise visit is expected to take no longer than 75 minutes ( $1 \mathrm{~h}: 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to complete.

Up to 24 adult men will be invited to participate in this study. In order to participate you must be between 18-40 years of age and healthy. You will not be able to participate in the study if you have been previously diagnosed with any respiratory, cardiovascular, metabolic or musculoskeletal disease; or you are currently on medication affecting cardiovascular responses to exercise; or you are a smoker; or you respond to the exercise protocol in an irregular manner
or cannot tolerate the exercise protocol. If you are participating in another study at this time, please inform the investigator right away to determine if it is appropriate for you to participate in this study.

## Research Testing Protocol:

During the first visit to the laboratory, you will complete an incremental exercise test to your limit of tolerance until you will be physically unable to continue exercising because the intensity is either too high or too uncomfortable. The exercise will consist of leg cycling on a cycle ergometer (a stationary bicycle) while in the upright, seated position. The test will begin with the exercise intensity being very light (very little resistance). After several minutes the exercise intensity will increase steadily until you are unable to continue because of fatigue, or until you wish to stop. This visit should last approximately 1 hour.

In addition to this test and on 6 separate days, you will perform a series of transitions from very light work (i.e. 20 watts; an intensity similar to slow walking) to moderate intensity (exercise in the moderate domain could theoretically be performed indefinitely and should not produce signs of fatigue) cycling on the cycle ergometer. These "moderate intensity transitions" will always be completed as a pair, which will last 24 min ( 6 minutes at 20 watts +6 minutes at moderate intensity +6 minutes at 20 watts +6 minutes at moderate intensity). After two transitions are performed, a resting time of approximately 20 minutes will be provided before starting the next two transitions. Note that the second pair will not always be at the same intensity as the first pair. Repeat testing is required in order to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data. The specific intensities that will be tested in this study are 50 (4 pairs), $70,90,110$ and 130 watts (2 pairs each). During the testing sessions, body size measurements (i.e., height and weight) will be taken. Testing for Phase I will take place as follows:

## PHASE I



At the beginning of Phase II of this study you will come to the lab 3 times in a single day (morning, afternoon and night) to perform two pairs of transitions similar to those performed in Phase I, but rather than a pre-determined intensity, the intensity will be specifically prescribed for each individual (based on information from the incremental exercise test). This intensity will be at a level considered to be "moderate" (exercise in the moderate domain could theoretically be performed indefinitely and should not produce signs of fatigue). Following this series of tests, and on a separate day, you will perform 45 minutes of continuous cycling exercise (on the cycle ergometer) in the early morning hours (aiming to finish by 9:00am). This exercise session will be at a higher intensity than your previous testing sessions (approximately $70 \%$ of your performance on the incremental exercise test). Although more intense than the exercise you performed during the moderate-intensity tests, this exercise intensity is expected to produce fatigue only after approximately 1-2 hours of exercise. Following this exercise session,
you will then be asked to return to the laboratory 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours and 48 hours later for moderate-intensity exercise transitions similar to those performed at the start of testing for Phase II. Each of these visits will involve two pairs of transitions, and there will be approximately a 20 minute break between the pairs, just as in Phase I. Testing for Phase II will take place as follows:

## PHASE II (example times used)

Visit 1 - Pre-Exercise Intervention - Friday Moderate Intensity Transitions (9:00am; 4 performed per visit)

Visit 2 - Pre-Exercise Intervention - Friday Moderate Intensity Transitions (3:00pm; 4 performed per visit)

Visit 3 - Pre-Exercise Intervention - Friday Moderate Intensity Transitions (9:00pm; 4 performed per visit)

Visit 4 - Exercise Intervention - Monday 45 min stationary cycling @ $70 \% \mathrm{VO}_{2 \max }$ (8:15am; 4 performed per visit)

Visit 5-6 hours Post-Exercise Intervention - Monday
Moderate Intensity Transitions (3:00pm; 4 performed per visit)
Visit 6-12 hours Post-Exercise Intervention - Monday Moderate Intensity Transitions (9:00pm; 4 performed per visit) $\downarrow$
Visit 7-24 hours Post-Exercise Intervention - Tuesday Moderate Intensity Transitions (9:00am; 4 performed per visit)

Visit 8-48 hours Post-Exercise Intervention - Wednesday Moderate Intensity Transitions (9:00am; 4 performed per visit)

## Research Procedures:

During each of the exercise tests you will be required to wear a nose-clip (to prevent you from breathing through your nose) and a rubber mouthpiece (similar to breathing through a snorkel or diving mask); nose-clips and mouthpieces are disinfected before each test. This will enable us to measure the volume of air that you breathe in and out, and measure the gas concentration in that air.

During each of the exercise tests, the oxygenation of your leg muscle will be measured using near-infrared spectroscopy which projects light into a specific location of your leg muscles and measures the amount of light coming out at another location. A small piece of equipment will be placed on your leg approximately midway between your hip and your knee. It will be secured with tape, covered to prevent light from entering or leaving the area, and bound with elastic
bandage to minimize movement. You might experience a bit of discomfort by having this equipment secured to your leg during the exercise period. However, this is a non-invasive procedure. Additionally, oxygenation of your blood will be measured using infrared oximetry (a non-invasive measure similar to that performed by nurses when you go to visit a doctor at the hospital) with the probe clipped onto your earlobe or finger.

Heart rate and rhythm will be continuously monitored by electrocardiogram. One electrode will be placed on each of the following areas: left chest, right chest, and left side under your ribs and connected to an electrocardiograph. The electrodes use adhesive tape to secure to the skin. There are no known risks or discomforts associated with this procedure.

## Possible Risks and Discomforts:

You may experience some minor discomfort from wearing the nose-clip and rubber mouthpiece, and by having the NIRS probes secured to your leg during the exercise period. These sensations often become less noticeable with time during the exercise.

Any exercise carries a slight risk of a heart attack (less than approximately $6: 10,000$ ) or may be uncomfortable if you are unfit or not used to exercise. There may be some minor discomfort during the exercise testing. You may experience increased awareness of breathing, muscle fatigue and soreness, increased sweating, or a general feeling of fatigue or nausea, none of which are unexpected consequences of exercise.

All testing procedures will only be conducted when a lab technician or research assistant that is certified in CPR is present. In the case of an emergency, 911 will be called using the telephone located in the testing laboratory. An automatic external defibrillator is also available within the testing building. If a heavy pressure sensation or pain develops in your chest or down your left arm it is important that you discontinue the exercise immediately and report these sensations to the exercise supervisor, or seek medical attention if you have left the exercise area.

Participation in this study requires a time commitment which may be inconvenient for you at some point during the study.

## Benefits of Participation:

This is a basic physiology/biochemistry study and, as such, there will be no direct benefits received as a consequence of participating in the study. If you are interested, the rational for conducting the research and theory and significance of each of the tests will be explained, as will your individual results from each of the tests. You will also have the opportunity to learn about and better understand your physiological responses to an exercise situation.

## Confidentiality:

Records from this study are confidential and will be stored securely at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Sonia Arthur Labatt Health Sciences Building. Your records will
be identified by a number rather than your name. The data will be available for analysis within the research group. Published reports resulting from this study will not identify you by name. We would like to keep and use your data in the future, for as of yet unknown analyses. There is a check box on the consent form to indicate your choice. You will be able to withdraw your data at any time by contacting the Principal Investigator, Dr, Donald H. Paterson at Representatives of the University of Western Ontario Health Sciences Research Ethics Board may contact you or require access to your study-related records to monitor the conduct of the research.

## Voluntary Participation:

Participation in this study is voluntary. You may refuse to participate, refuse to answer any questions or withdraw from the study at any time with no effect on your academic status.

You will be given a copy of this letter of information and signed consent forms. You do not waive any legal rights by signing the consent form. If you have any questions regarding this study please contact Dr. Donald Paterson $\square$ at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Sonia and Arthur Labatt Health Sciences Building, The University of Western Ontario, London. If you have any question about the conduct of this study or your rights as a research subject you may contact the Office of Research Ethics, The University of Western Ontario,

## LETTER OF INFORMED CONSENT

Do $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ kinetics vary in response to differing moderate-intensity power outputs or following acute exercise in young men?
Principal Investigator: Donald H Paterson, PhD
PhD Students: Matthew D Spencer, MSc; Juan M Murias, MSc

## PHASE I

I have read the Letter of Information, have had the nature of this study explained to me and I agree to participate. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.
$\square \quad$ I consent to having my data kept for future as of yet unknown analyses.
$\square \quad$ I do not consent to having my data kept for future as of yet unknown analyses.

Participant:

Name (please print)
Signature

Date

Investigator (i.e. Person Responsible for Obtaining Informed Consent):

Name (please print)
Signature

Date

## LETTER OF INFORMATION

## Systemic and local blood flow and oxygen extraction adaptations during incremental cycling tests.

Principal Investigator: Donald H. Paterson, PhD

## Purpose of the Study:

You are being invited to participate in a study that examines the relationship between how much blood is pumped out from the heart to the muscles and how much of the oxygen carried in the blood is used by your active muscles. Healthy young men and women (1840 yr old) are invited to take part of this study.

Participation in this study requires you to visit the research laboratory at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging (Arthur and Sonia Labatt Health Science Centre, Room 313) on two different occasions with each visit separated by at least 48 hs but no longer than 2 weeks. Each one of the testing sessions will not last approximately 50 to 80 minutes.

A total of 16-20 young adults (8-10 men and 8-10 women) will be invited to participate in this study. In order to participate you must be between 18-40 years of age and healthy. You will not be able to participate in the study if you have been diagnosed previously with any respiratory (i.e. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), cardiovascular (i.e. coronary heart disease), metabolic (i.e. diabetes) or neurological (i.e. Parkinson's disease) disease; or you are currently taking prescribed medication that may affect your cardiovascular responses to exercise; or you are a smoker; or you respond to the exercise protocol in an irregular manner (i.e. chest pains, nausea, dizziness, shortness of breath, excessive awareness of breathing, or inability to maintain required pedal cadence represented by the revolutions per minute at which the cycle pedals spin while you exercise); or cannot tolerate the exercise protocol.

## Research Testing Protocol:

The first visit to the laboratory will start with resting measurements of your oxygen consumption (the amount of oxygen your tissues utilize) and cardiac output (the amount of blood expelled by the heart to the rest of the body). After that, you will complete an incremental test on a stationary bicycle, which is a test to measure your maximal aerobic fitness level. In an incremental test the intensity of exercise increases gradually throughout the test until you are physically unable to continue exercising because the intensity is either too high or too uncomfortable. The test will begin with the exercise intensity being very light and easy (very little resistance) and then, the exercise intensity will gradually and continuously increase until you are unable to continue because of fatigue, or until you wish to stop. During this test, cardiac output measurements will be taken at baseline and every second minute throughout the protocol (either at the end of "odd" or "even" minutes), and the oxygenation of your vastus lateralis (the most active
muscle of your leg during cycling exercise) will be monitored continuously. This visit should last less than 1 hour.

During the second visit, you will repeat the same procedures as on day 1 with the only two differences being: 1) Cardiac output measurements during the test will be performed again every second minute but this time at the end of the minute before or after it was done before (i.e., if on day one the measurements were taking at the end of minute $1,3,5$, and so on, on the second visit the measurements will be performed at the end of minute 2 , 4,6 , and so on); 2) At the end of this visit you will move to a chair and, after a brief period of rest (approximately 10 minutes) you will be asked to perform a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC). The MVC will involve a maximal contraction of your leg where you will try to "push" your foot against a resistance as hard as possible until the investigator tells you to relax. This maneuver will allow us to measure the highest and lowest levels of oxygen within your thigh muscle. This visit should last less than 80 minutes.

## Research Procedures:

During each of the exercise tests you will be required to wear a nose-clip (to prevent you from breathing through your nose) and a rubber mouthpiece (similar to breathing through a snorkel or diving mask). This will enable us to measure the air that you breathe in and out. You may experience some initial discomfort from wearing the nose-clip and mouthpiece.

During each of the exercise tests the oxygenation of your leg muscle will be measured using near-infrared spectroscopy which projects light into a specific location of your leg muscles and measures the amount of light coming out at another location. A small piece of equipment will be placed on your leg approximately midway between your hip and your knee. It will be secured with tape, covered to prevent light from entering or leaving the area, and bound with elastic bandage to minimize movement. You might experience a bit of discomfort by having this equipment secured to your leg during the exercise period. However, this is a non-invasive procedure.

During the study, cardiac output (i.e. the amount of blood pumped out by your heart over a given period of time) will be measured non-invasively at rest and during exercise using the acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ open-circuit techniques. You will complete approximately 10 breathing cycles inhaling from a bag containing a known concentration of gases and exhaling to the room.

Heart rate will be continuously monitored by electrocardiogram. One electrode will be placed on each of the following areas: left chest, right chest, and left side under your ribs and connected to an electrocardiograph. The electrodes use adhesive tape to secure to the skin. There are no known risks or discomforts associated with this procedure.

## Possible Risks and Discomforts:

Any exercise carries a slight risk of heart attack or may be uncomfortable if you are unfit or not used to exercise. The risk of a cardiac event (heart attack, dysrhythmias, etc.) in a mixed subject population (healthy low risk and unhealthy high risk patients together) is approximately $6: 10,000$; however, the risk decreases in a previously healthy (i.e. young moderately active) population (adapted from ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription). There might be some minor discomfort during the exercise testing. You may experience increased awareness of breathing, muscle pain and/or fatigue, increased sweating, or a general feeling of fatigue or nausea, all of which are not unexpected consequences of exercise.

## Benefits of Participation:

This is a basic physiology/biochemistry study and, as such, there will be no direct benefits received as a consequence of participating in the study. If you are interested, the rational for conducting the research and theory and significance of each of the tests will be explained, as will your individual results from each of the tests. You will also have the opportunity to learn about and better understand your physiological responses to an exercise situation.

## Other Pertinent Information:

You are encouraged to ask questions regarding the purpose of the study, specific measures or outcomes of your exercise test, or overall findings and conclusions from this research study.

## Confidentiality:

Records from the study are confidential and will be stored securely at the testing facility. They will be available for analysis within the research group. No other agencies or individuals will have access to the collected data. Your records are listed according to an identification number rather than by your name. Published reports resulting from this study will not identify you by name.

## Voluntary Participation:

Participation in this study is voluntary. You may refuse to participate or withdraw from the study at any time with no effect on your future care and/or academic or employment status.

You will be given a copy of this letter of information and signed consent forms. You do not waive any legal rights by signing the consent form. If you have any questions regarding this study please contact Dr. Donald Paterson $\square$ at the Canadian Centre for Activity and Aging, Sonia and Arthur Labatt Health Sciences Building, The University of Western Ontario, London. If you have any question about the conduct of
this study or your rights as a research subject you may contact the Director of the Office of Research Ethics, The University of Western Ontario,
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# Systemic and local blood flow and oxygen extraction adaptations during incremental cycling tests. 

Principal Investigator: Donald H. Paterson, PhD

I have read the Letter of Information, have had the nature of the study explained to me and I agree to participate. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

Participant:

Name (please print)
Signature

Investigator (Person Responsible for Obtaining Informed Consent):

## Name (please print)

Signature

Date
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