

Morton, N. S., and Hammer, G. B. (2009) Editorial. *Paediatric Anaesthesia*, 19(S1). iii-iv.

Copyright © 2009 Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge

Content must not be changed in any way or reproduced in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder(s)

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/40934/

Deposited on: 06 February 2015

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow http://eprints.gla.ac.uk

Editorial

NEIL S. MORTON MD FRCA FRCPCH FFPMRCA AND GREGORY B. HAMMER MD

No aspect of the practice of pediatric anesthesia is more essential than airway management. Pediatric anesthesiologists are the _go to_ specialists when infants and children with difficult airways present anywhere in the hospital. To our advantage, rapid technical advances have taken place during the past decades and the number of tools available to assist us in providing and maintaining a secure and stable airway has increased significantly. Until the 1970s tracheal intubation with a conventional laryngoscope or blind nasal intubation were the mainstays of establishing an artificial airway. The choice of endotracheal tubes was limited. During the past 20 years a remarkable assortment of equipment and novel techniques to facilitate optimal airway management have been developed. These include supraglottic airways, direct and fiberoptic laryngoscopes and transtracheal devices. Improved imaging of the airway prior to initiation of airway management, with CT and MRI, for example, and during airway manipulation and instrumentation, using fiberoptic cameras and portable video displays, is now widely used. While new developments in airway management have helped us improve the quality of care of our patients, new challenges have also arisen. Which techniques should we learn, teach and employ? Which endotracheal tubes should be utilized – uncuffed or cuffed, old or new design? Which of our patients need preoperative imaging of

the airway and/or sleep studies? What are the risks of newer interventions, including novel airway devices and laser instruments? In this supplement dedicated to the pediatric airway we hope to present practical information and perspectives that will assist the reader in their daily practice. Management of the pediatric airway begins with an understanding of developmental anatomy. The important differences between adults and children are clearly set out by Adewale (1). Assessment of the pediatric airway is largely clinical for most cases (1) but modern imaging can give stunningly clear information as illustrated in the second article by Eslamy and Newman (2). Anatomy also affects equipment design, both for visualizing the airway (3,4) and also for securing the airway (5). A myriad of airway devices are now available for elective (6) and emergency use (7) and these are critically reviewed in this issue. The use of cuffed tracheal tubes in pediatric anesthesia is now much more common and the advantages and disadvantages are debated (8). When assessment reveals a difficult pediatric airway (1), it is important to have a clear management plan and an approach is clearly explained by Walker (9). For most practitioners such cases will be relatively rare but all will encounter children with upper airway obstruction (10),

obstructive sleep apnea (11), airway foreign body (12) and airway infections (13) so the reviews of these topics are particularly helpful. When managing the pediatric airway, we do need to be cognizant of _doing no harm_ and this is well illustrated by the review of iatrogenic damage by Holzki (14). The management of inhalation burn injuries is described by Fidkowski et al. (15). Laser therapy for airway lesions is now routine in many children_s hospital airway services and the physics of lasers, safety aspects and anesthesia techniques are clearly described by Best (16).

After airway surgery, good postoperative care is vital to ensure a quality outcome and aspects of postoperative management are reviewed in detail by Hammer (17). This supplement concludes fittingly with a personal view from a lifetime of experience by Josef Holzki (18). He freely admits that he has spent a lot of time looking down telescopes and that can give a narrow view of the world! However, in this article there is a great deal to provoke thought and discussion.

We hope that all our readers will find something of interest and use for their clinical practice in this special supplement and we are delighted that all our authors have produced world-class illustrated articles on such an important topic for all pediatric anesthetists.

References

1 Adewale L. Anatomy and assessment of the pediatric airway. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 3–10.

2 Eslamy H, Newman B. Imaging of the pediatric airway. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 11–25.

3 Scott J, Baker P. How did the Macintosh laryngoscope become so popular? Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 26–31.

4 Doherty J, Froom S, Gildersleve G. Pediatric laryngoscopes and intubation aids old & new. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 32– 39.

5 Leong L, Black A. The design of paediatric tracheal tubes. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 40–47.

6 White M, Cook T, Stoddart P. A critique of elective pediatric supraglottic airway devices. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 57–67. 7 Cote C. Pediatric transtracheal and cricothyrotomy airway devices for emergency use: which are appropriate for infants and children? Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 68–78.

8 Weber T, Orliaguet G, Salvi N et al. Pro-con debate: cuffed vs non-cuffed endotracheal tubes for pediatric anesthesia. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 48–56.

9 Walker R, Ellwood J. The management of difficult intubation in children. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 79–89.

10 Bruce I, Rothera M. Upper airway obstruction in children. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 90–101.

11 Lerman J. A disquisition on obstructive sleep apnea in children. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 102–110.

12 Litman R, Zur K. Airway foreign body retrieval: surgical and anesthetic perspectives. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 111–119.

13 Jenkins I, Saunders M. Infections of the airway. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 120–132.

14 Holzki J, Laschat M, Puder C. Iatrogenic damage to the pediatric airway. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 133–148. 15 Fidowski CW, Fuzaylov G, Sheridan RL. Inhalation burn injury in children. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 149–156. 16 Best C. Anesthesia for laser surgery of the airway in children. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 157–167.

17 Hammer G. Sedation and analgesia in the pediatric intensive care unit following laryngotracheal reconstruction_. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 168–180.

18 Holzki J, Laschat M, Puder C. Personal view: stridor is not a scientifically valid outcome measure for assessing airway injury. Pediatr Anesth 2009; 19(S1): 181–198. Accepted 5 March 2009 iv EDITORIAL

_