

Title	Crystal polymorphism of methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O- (trichloroacetimidoyl)-α-d-glucopyranouronate			
Author(s)	Hayes, John A.; Eccles, Kevin S.; Elcoate, Curtis J.; Daly, Carla A.; Lawrence, Simon E.; Moynihan, Humphrey A.			
Publication date	2013-03			
Original citation	HAYES, J. A., ECCLES, K. S., ELCOATE, C. J., DALY, C. A., LAWRENCE, S. E. & MOYNIHAN, H. A. 2013. Crystal Polymorphism of Methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-(trichloroacetimidoyl)-α-d- glucopyranouronate. Journal of Chemical Crystallography, 43, 138-143. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10870-013-0397-y			
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)			
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10870-013-0397-y Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.			
Rights	© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013. The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10870-013-0397-y			
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/1589			

Downloaded on 2017-02-12T09:32:50Z



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10870-013-0397-y

Crystal polymorphism of methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-*O*-(trichloroacetimidoyl)-α-D-glucopyranouronate

John A. Hayes, Kevin S. Eccles, Curtis J. Elcoate, Carla A. Daly, Simon E. Lawrence and Humphrey A. Moynihan*

Analytical and Biological Chemistry Research Facility / Department of Chemistry, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland

* Corresponding author: Tel. +353 21 4902488; Fax. +353 21 4274097.

E-mail address: <u>h.moynihan@ucc.ie</u>

Polymorphism of a trichloroacetimidate

Crystal polymorphism of methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-(trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate

John A. Hayes, Kevin S. Eccles, Curtis, J. Elcoate, Carla A. Daly, Simon E. Lawrence and Humphrey A. Moynihan*

Structures of two polymorphs of methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-(trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate were determined.



Crystal polymorphism of methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-

$(trichloroacetimidoyl)-\alpha$ -D-glucopyranouronate

John A. Hayes, Kevin S. Eccles, Curtis J. Elcoate, Carla A. Daly, Simon E. Lawrence and Humphrey A. Moynihan* Analytical and Biological Chemistry Research Facility / Department of Chemistry, University

College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland

Abstract

The polymorphism of the glycoside donor methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-

(trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate (**1**) has been investigated. Two polymorphic forms (labelled Forms **I** and **II**) have been elucidated and fully characterised by DSC, PXRD and single crystal analysis, both crystallizing in the space group *P*2₁. Form **I** was obtained by crystallization from a wide range of solvents, while Form **II** was obtained only from ethyl acetate or isopropanol on certain occasions. Unit cell dimensions for Form **I** are *a* 14.0292(12), *b* 8.9641(8), *c* 16.8580(14) Å, β 94.285(2)°, and for Form **II** *a* 11.266(3), *b* 6.8889(17), *c* 13.921(4) Å, β 101.161(6)°. Z' is 2 for Form **I** and 1 for Form **II**. Form **I** displays two moderate intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the unit cell whereas Form **II** shows no moderate hydrogen-bonding motifs. All three molecules in the two polymorphs differ significantly in their conformations, especially with respect to the methyl carboxylate and trichloroacetimidoyl groups.

Keywords: Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-*O*-(trichloroacetimidoyl)-α-D-glucopyranouronate, Polymorphism, Imine hydrogen bonding.

Introduction

Crystal polymorphism can be defined as differing crystal structures formed from the same molecular components. Instances of crystal polymorphism are relatively common in fields in which preparation and characterisation of crystalline solids are core activities [1] while over 16000 entries in the CSD are tagged as polymorphs [2]. In a significant number of cases, polymorphism in molecular crystals in associated with differences in molecular conformations [3]. Crystal polymorphs of the same compound can display differences in key physical properties such as stability, melting point and dissolution rate. Many instances are observed where conditions such as process impurities may induce the nucleation of less stable phases of a system and subsequently metastable polymorphs are observed [4]. For reactive synthetic intermediates such as methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-*O*-(trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate **1**, (Fig. 1), the crystal forms and polymorphs, if observed, are significant in determining the stability of the compounds as materials.

The synthetic utility of trichloroacetimidates [5] as glycoside donors has long been realized and has resulted in increased application in both glycoside formation [5] and glucuronidation [6-8]. The mild conditions required and the high selectivity for β -glycoside formation make trichloroacetimidates highly attractive choices as the main intermediates for many syntheses.

4

Hence, specific trichloroacetimidates, such as 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-1-*O*trichloroacetimidoyl- α -D-glucopyranose [10] and methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-*O* (trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate **1** [6], are stable but reactive compounds. The physical form of such compounds is significant in conferring stability on samples of the pure material and affects practical issues such as isolation and storage. In commercial scale syntheses, the physical properties and stability of intermediate products, including reactive compounds such as glycosylating agents, are important considerations. When such compounds are crystalline solids, issues such as crystal structure and particle morphology are particularly important. These issues are even more challenging for compounds of the complexity and reactivity of trichloroacetimidate **1**. We have investigated the crystal forms of compound **1** and herein report two novel polymorphic forms, denoted Forms **I** and **II**.

<Fig 1 about here>

Experimental

Synthesis

All commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. All solvents were either of HPLC grade or distilled prior to use. Synthesis of the target glycoside donor was as follows [6, 9]:

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl- α , β -glucopyranuronate (12.0 g, 36 mmol) and trichloroacetonitrile (18 mL, 180 mmol) were stirred in dry CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C for 30 min. DBU (1.5 mL, 10 mmol) was added dropwise and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (40:59:1 EtOAc: hexane: Et₃N). Appropriate fractions were pooled and the

solvent removed under reduced pressure to yield a syrup which was triturated with diethyl ether. Recrystallisation with EtOAc:hexane (50:50) yielded 8.5g (65%) of compound **1** as a white solid. mp 108-109 °C, lit. [10] 109-110 °C; IR (KBr) \vee 3320 (N-H), 2958 (C-H), 1755 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (s, 1H, N**H**), 6.64 (d, 1H, *J* 3.6 Hz, H-1), 5.63 (t, 1H, *J* 10 Hz, H-3), 5.27 (t, 1H, *J* 10 Hz, H-4), 5.16 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.6 Hz, 10 Hz, H-2), 4.49 (d, 1H, *J* 10 Hz, H-5), 3.75 (s, 3H, CO₂Me), 2.05 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.04 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.02 (s, 3H, OAc). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.78 (C=O), 169.72 (C=O), 169.47 (C=O), 167.14 (C=O), 160.58 (C=N), 92.64 (C-H), 70.49 (C-H), 69.47 (C-H), 69.10 (C-H), 68.96 (C-H), 53.04 (CO₂Me), 21.04, 20.66, 20.48, 20.39 (3 x OAc and 1 x CCl₃).

Thermal analysis

DSC was performed using a TA Q1000 DSC with RSC 40 cooling system. The sample cell was heated under a nitrogen purge at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, from 25 °C up to a final temperature of 160 °C.

Crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were made on a Bruker APEX II DUO diffractometer using graphite monochromatized Cu K α (1.54178 Å) X-radiation and an Oxford Cryosystems COBRA fitted with an N₂ generator. All calculations involving data collection, structure solution and refinement were made using the APEX2 v2009.3-0 software [11] containing the SHELX suite of programs [12]. Diagrams were prepared using Mercury [13] and PLATON [14] was used for the Cremer-Pople analysis [15]. The absolute configuration was determined from the diffraction data using the Flack parameter [16] within SHELXL-97 [12]. Hydrogen atoms associated with the N atom were located from a difference map and the position and isotropic displacement parameters were refined freely.

All other hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms.

Results and discussion

Samples of compound **1** were recrystallized from the following solvents: methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, acetonitrile, diethyl ether, THF, ethyl acetate and ethyl acetate-hexane mixtures. In each case, solutions were allowed to evaporate at ambient temperature to provide crystals. These recrystallizations generally yielded crystals of the polymorph designated Form **I**. A second polymorph, designated Form **II**, was obtained on some occasions by recrystallization from ethyl acetate by evaporation, or by rapid cooling of isopropanol solutions. However, it should be noted that these methods also more often provided crystals of Form **I**. Crystals of Form **I** were generally of prismatic habit, while those of Form **II** were needle-like (Fig. 2). Crystal structure analysis showed that both forms crystallize in the monoclinic crystal system with space group $P2_1$. Crystallographic information for both forms is summarised in Table 1. Form **I** contains two molecules of compound **1** in the asymmetric unit, while Form **II** contains one. Atomic numbering for all molecules of compound **1** is shown in Fig 3 and Fig **4**. Views of the unit cells for both structures are shown in Fig. 5.

<Fig 2 about here>

<Table 1 about here>

<Fig 3 about here>

<Fig 5 about here>

For both molecules of the asymmetric unit of Form I, two discrete imine NH···O hydrogen bonds are present (Fig 5a), involving both a carbonyl O-atom acceptor of the acetate ester at C3 of an adjacent molecule (N1-H···O16) and a heterocyclic O-atom acceptor (N2-H···O11) (Table 2). This latter motif is similar to that reported in the crystal structure of methyl 2,3-di-*O*-acetyl-4-*O*-levulinoyl-1-*O*-(2,2,2-trichloro-2-iminoethyl-L-idopyranosiduronate [17]. By contrast, Form II is characterised by the absence of hydrogen bonds when forming the crystal lattice. The pyranose rings in both forms are in the ${}^{4}C_{1}$ conformation. Cremer-Pople [15] total puckering amplitudes (*Q*), distortions (θ), phase angles (φ) and puckering amplitudes q_{2} and q_{3} for the two pyranose chairs in Form I and that in Form II are given in Table 3. The values are close to the corresponding values for an ideal cyclohexane chair (Q = 0.63 Å, $\theta =$ 5.0°). They are also similar to values reported for 1,2,3,4-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -Dglucopyranuronic acid monohydrate and 1,2,3,4-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranose [18].

In both forms, the trichloroacetimidate group is in the α anomeric position with the trichloromethyl group in an *anti*-periplanar conformation with respect to the pyranose ring (C-O-C-CCl₃ torsion angles of $-179.2(2)^{\circ}$ and $-177.25(19)^{\circ}$ in Form I, and $-179.6(2)^{\circ}$ in Form II). The imidate NH group is *syn*-periplanar to the anomeric carbon in both forms (C-O-C-NH torsion angles of $1.5(4)^{\circ}$ and $3.3(4)^{\circ}$ in Form I, and $3.1(4)^{\circ}$ in Form II). There is significant variation in the orientation of the imidate group relative to the pyranose ring, with the torsion angle formed by the bond sequence O(pyranose)-C-O-C(imidate) having values of

101.5(2)° and 137.6(2)° in Form I, and 69.2(3)° in Form II. Conformational differences are also observed in the orientations of the carboxymethyl groups in the two forms. In both molecules of Form I, the carboxymethyl carbonyl group oxygen is oriented away from the pyranose ring (O(pyranose)-C-C=O angles of 143.4(2)° and 135.9(3)°), whereas in Form II, the carboxymethyl carbonyl group oxygen is oriented towards the pyranose ring (O(pyranose)-C-C=O angle of -80.7(4)°). The acetoxy groups have essentially the same conformations in all three molecules. By virtue of the observed variation in the conformations of the imidate and carboxymethyl groups, the two forms of compound 1 are an example of conformation polymorphism [3]. In all three conformations, an intramolecular interaction between the imidate N-H group and one of the Cl atoms appears to be present. The bond distances and angles for these are given in Table 4.

<Table 2 about here>

<Table 3 about here>

<Table 4 about here>

DSC scans for samples of both forms are shown in Fig 6. Form **I** showed a melting endotherm at 111.4 °C and no other thermal events. The thermal behaviour of samples of Form **II** is more complex, showing a broad melting endotherm with a maximum at 114.8 °C, with a subsequent small endothermic event at 119.6 °C. It is possible that this is due to the presence or formation of small quantities of a further form. <Fig 6 about here>

In conclusion, full crystal structural analyses have been performed on two polymorphs of the important glucuronidating agent methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-1-*O*-(trichloroacetimidoyl)- α -D-glucopyranouronate **1**. The physical properties and forms of important synthetic intermediate compounds can affect stability and use. In the case of compound **1**, one polymorph, Form **I**, is readily obtainable. Another polymorph, Form **II**, has also been observed and the existence of other forms cannot be ruled out. Actual samples of compound **1** have the potential to exist in one or more of these forms, potentially impacting physical stability over time, the ability to purify, filter and dry batches, and process issues such as dissolution in solvents.

Supplementary data

The crystallographic data Forms **I** and **II** have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC numbers 869321 and 869322. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Acknowledgements

This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of Science Foundation Ireland under Grant Numbers 07/SRC/B1158, 08/RFP/MTR1664 and 05/PICA/B802/EC07.

References

- Bernstein J (2002) Polymorphism in Molecular Crystals. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford.
- 2. Braga D, Grepioni F, Maini L. (2010) Chem Commun 46: 6232
- 3. Bernstein J, Hagler A.T. (1978) J Am Chem Soc 100: 673
- 4. Mukuta T, Lee AY, Kawakami T, Myerson A.S. (2005) Cryst Growth Des 5:1429
- 5. Schmidt R.R. (1986) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 25:212
- 6. Fischer B, Nudelman A, Ruse M, Herzig J, Gottlieb H.E. (1984) J Org Chem 49: 4988
- 7. Stachulski AV, Jenkins G. (1998) Nat Prod Rep 15:173
- 8. Brown RT, Carter NE, Mayalarp SP, Scheinmann F. (2000) Tetrahedron 56:7591
- 9. Hayes JA, Eccles KS, Lawrence SE, Moynihan H.A. (2012) Carbohydr Res 349:108
- 10. Kuszmann J, Medgyes G, Boros S. (2004) Carbohydr Res 339: 1569
- 11. Bruker AXS (2009) APEX2 v2009.3-0; Madison, WI
- 12. Sheldrick GM (2008) Acta Crystallogr A 64: 112

- Macrae CF, Bruno IJ, Chrisholm JA, Edgington PR, McCabe P, Pidcock E, Rodriguez-Monge L, Taylor R, van de Streek J, Wood P.A. (2008) J Appl Crystallogr 41: 466
- 14. Spek A.L. (2009) Acta Cryst D65: 148
- 15. Cremer D, Pople J.A. (1975) J Am Chem Soc 97:1354
- 16. Flack H.D. (1983) Acta Crystallogr A39: 876
- 17. Cai C, Wei G, Du Y. (2010) Acta Cryst E66: 0949
- 18. Baddeley TC, Howie RA, Skakle JMS, Wardell, J.L. (2005) Acta Cryst C61: o711

Table 1 Crystallographic information for the polymorphic forms of compound 1.

1 Form I	1 Form II
$C_{15}H_{18}Cl_3NO_{10}$	$C_{15}H_{18}Cl_3NO_{10}$
478.65	478.65
Monoclinic	Monoclinic
14.0292(12)	11.266(3)
8.9641(8)	6.8889(17)
16.8580(14)	13.921(4)
94.285(2)	101.161(6)
2114.1(3)	1060.0(5)
100(2)	100(2)
$P2_1$	$P2_1$
4	2
0.485	0.483
24125	12970
9277	4182
0.0281	0.0513
0.0402	0.0406
0.0875	0.0903
0.0434	0.0544
0.0893	0.0977
1.025	1.057
0.01(4)	-0.13(6)
	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 1} \ {\rm Form} \ {\bf I} \\ {\rm C}_{15} {\rm H}_{18} {\rm C}_{13} {\rm NO}_{10} \\ {478.65} \\ {\rm Monoclinic} \\ {14.0292(12)} \\ {8.9641(8)} \\ {16.8580(14)} \\ {94.285(2)} \\ {2114.1(3)} \\ {100(2)} \\ {P2_1} \\ {4} \\ \\ \\ 0.485 \\ {24125} \\ {9277} \\ {0.0281} \\ {0.0402} \\ {0.0875} \\ {0.0434} \\ {0.0893} \\ {1.025} \\ {0.01(4)} \\ \end{array}$

Table 2 Hydrogen bonds in Form I

Coordinate	Form I Molecule 1	Form I Molecule 2	Form II
Q / Å	0.560(18)	0.555(3)	0.597(3)
heta / °	6.30(3)	3.40(4)	6.00(3)
arphi / °	280.0(2)	331.0(4)	254.0(3)
q_2 / Å	0.062	0.033	0.062
q_3 / Å	0.557	0.554	0.594

 Table 3 Cremer-Pople ring puckering coordinates [15] calculated using PLATON [14]

<u>N-H…Cl</u>	<u>N-H (Å)</u>	N-H…Cl(Å)	N…Cl(Å)	Angle N-H···Cl (⁰)
Form I Molecule 1	0.81(4)	2.561(4)	3.014(3)	116.70(4)
Form I Molecule 2	0.87(5)	2.570(4)	3.003(3)	111.73(3)
Form II	0.86(4)	2.460(4)	3.030(3)	123.96(3)

 Table 4 Bonds distances and angles for the intramolecular N-H---Cl interactions

List of Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-O-(trichloroacetimidoyl)-α-D-glucopyranouronate (1)

Fig. 2. Crystal habit for (a) polymorphic Form I and (b) Form II

Fig 3. Atomic numbering scheme for the two molecules in the asymmetric unit of Form **I**. Displacement parameters are drawn at the 30% probability level.

Fig. 4. Atomic numbering scheme for the asymmetric unit of Form **II**. Displacement parameters are drawn at the 30% probability level.

Fig.5. Unit cell for (a) polymorphic Form I and (b) Form II

Fig. 6. DSC scans for samples of Form I (red) and Form II (black)

Fig 1













Fig 6

