

Title	General approach to the analysis of plasmonic structures using spectroscopic ellipsometry
Author(s)	Verre, R.; Modreanu, Mircea; Ualibek, O.; Fox, Daniel J.; Fleischer, K.; Smith, C.; Zhang, Hongzhou; Pemble, Martyn E.; McGilp, J. F.; Shvets, I. V.
Publication date	2013-06
Original citation	VERRE, R., MODREANU, M., UALIBEK, O., FOX, D., FLEISCHER, K., SMITH, C., ZHANG, H., PEMBLE, M., MCGILP, J. F. & SHVETS, I. V. 2013. General approach to the analysis of plasmonic structures using spectroscopic ellipsometry. Physical Review B, 87, 235428. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235428
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235428 http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235428 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
Rights	©2013 American Physical Society.
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/1348

Downloaded on 2017-02-12T07:00:39Z



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Development of a general formalism to analyze plasmonic structures using spectroscopic ellipsometry

R. Verre,^{*,†} M. Modreanu,[‡] O. Ualibek,^{,†} D. Fox,^{,†} K. Fleischer,^{,†} C. Smith,^{,†} H. Zhang,^{,†} M. Pemble,^{,‡} J. F. McGilp,^{,†} and I. V. Shvets^{,†}

Centre for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures and Nanodevices (CRANN) and School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland, and Tyndall Institute, Unversity of Cork, IRELAND

E-mail: rverre@tcd.ie

Abstract

3	Spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) has been used to measure the full optical response
4	of plasmonic structures. Firstly, the simple case of an anisotropic thin plasmonic layer
5	supported on a transparent substrate is analysed by introducing a quantity named
6	anisotropic surface excess function (ASEF). Such a quantity can be directly extracted
7	from the experiment and simulated using either analytical or numerical methods. Af-
8	terwards, the formalism has been generalised using a transfer matrix method. In this
9	way effects on the ellipsometric spectra of thick plasmonic films, anisotropic substrates,
10	plasmonic structures grown on top of a multilayer system are described in terms of

1

2

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

 $^{^\}dagger {\rm Centre}$ for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures and Nanodevices (CRANN) and School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2 , Ireland

[‡]Tyndall Institute, Unversity of Cork, IRELAND

changes in the effective dielectric function of the system. The analysis developed here 11 has been supported by experimental evidences obtained by measuring the response of 12 anisotropic NP arrays grown at glancing angle. The agreement between theory and 13 experiment is clear, suggesting that SE can be conveniently employed to measure the 14 spectroscopic response of plasmonic structures. It is also demonstrated how the figure 15 of merit of the plasmonic resonances can be greatly improved, with particular measure-16 ment configurations, using SE. This can increase the sensitivity of any refractive index 17 based plasmonic sensor. Finally, compared to normal transmission spectroscopies, SE 18 can easily measure the out-of-plane response of the plasmonic systems, providing a 19 much more stringent test for the suitability of certain models to simulate the far field 20 response of a plasmonic system. 21

²² Introduction

The interaction between electromagnetic waves and free electrons in a low-dimensional metallic structure results in surface plasmon effects.^{1,2} These can be considered as the normal excitation modes of the systems and have been the subject of tremendous interest due to their application as biological sensor,³⁻⁶ enhancement effects⁷⁻⁹ and solar cell applications.¹⁰⁻¹² When a metal nanoparticle (NP) is excited the electrons are highly localised and decay rapidly within the structures, resulting in localised plasmon resonances (LPRs).

LPRs manifest themselves readily by strong absorption/reflection peaks whose positions 29 depend on the shape, size and aspect ratio of the NPs, on the property of the surround-30 ing medium and on the relative interaction between the NPs.¹³ Their behaviour is usually 31 analysed using conventional spectroscopic methods in the far field, but recently their charge 32 distribution has been also addressed using more specific characterisation methods such as 33 cathodoluminescence, 14,15 transmission electron microscopy 16 and electron energy loss spec-34 troscopy.¹⁷ The main limitation of conventional absorption spectroscopies is it allows one to 35 monitor only in-plane properties of the plasmonic structures due to the inherited difficulties 36

in the measurement geometry. To overcome this problem Spectroscopic Ellipsometry (SE) 37 can be utilised as it can analyse changes in the polarization state of a linearly polarized 38 beam reflected at oblique angles of incidence.^{18,19} Recently, SE analysis has been extended 39 to magnetic²⁰ or anistropic samples²¹ using generalised ellipsometry. Scattering and depo-40 larization properties of the samples can also be addressed and separated by normal specular 41 reflection. However, SE spectra are difficult to analyse as the response of the whole system 42 needs to be modelled and compared with experiment to address the properties of the samples 43 investigated.¹⁸ For this reason, the number of studies of plasmonic structures using SE is 44 still limited.²²⁻²⁶ Previously it was demonstrated by our group²⁷ that a quantity, named 45 Anisotropic Surface Excess Function (ASEF), could be extracted from the experiment and 46 the response of ultrathin plasmonic layer (<10 nm) directly analysed. The great advantage 47 of ASEF is it depends only on the optical properties of the plasmonic layer alone, rendering 48 easy the modelling of the nanocomposite layer alone.^{27,28} However, the hypothesis for the 49 validity of this approach appears to be limited to very specific cases. 50

At the same time many theories have been developed to describe the optical properties 51 of plasmonic structures. The first attempt dates back to the beginning of the last century, 52 when Mie calculated the absorption cross section of spherical NPs in a host matrix based on 53 the development of electromagnetic potentials in spherical harmonics.¹ For a metallic sphere, 54 with dimensions much smaller than the exciting light, the polarization of the NP leads to a 55 simple dipole behaviour placed at the centre of the sphere. However, this behaviour can be 56 strongly modified. For example, the elongation of a sphere along certain directions lowers 57 the symmetry of the system, resulting in the formation of multiple resonance peaks, cor-58 responding to the different excitation modes of NP. Interaction with a substrate produces 59 image charge effects that modify the optical response of the system. The work by Yam-60 aguchi^{29,30} qualitatively reproduced the experiment. However, as recently pointed out,³¹ 61 more refined theories are required to quantitatively model the experimental behaviour. Also 62 electromagnetic interactions between closely spaced NPs produce a shift in the resonance 63

position and, for particular NP arrangements, Fano modes are also found which result in 64 sharp resonances.^{5,32–35} More complicated phenomena arise when irregularly shaped NPs 65 are simulated. In this case many different charge excitation patterns can be formed due 66 to changes in the susceptibility of the NP itself.³⁶ Only numerical methods, such as finite 67 element methods, ^{37,38} finite-difference time-domain³⁹ or discrete dipole approximation^{40,41} 68 can reproduce the behaviour of such systems. Depending on the system analysed a suitable 69 model then needs to be applied and compared with experiment. SE can be utilised within 70 this framework to test the validity of the approach chosen. Furthermore, as the out-of-plane 71 resonances are also measured, they can no longer be treated as a free parameter and a 72 modelling of the full optical response of the system is required. 73

In this article a detailed study of LPRs with SE will be performed. The plasmonic layer will be produced using an alternative self-assembled method based on glancing angle of incidence on a stepped template. ^{42–45} Adatoms are sent at shallow angles of just a few degrees onto a faceted c-plane Al₂O₃ and part of the surface is shadowed by the presence of the steps. Adatoms are only deposited in the exposed areas and spontaneously rearrange into NP arrays (see Figure 1). The technique is simple and large homogeneous areas covering the entire



Figure 1: A schematic view of the deposition technique: a flux of collimated adatoms is sent towards the surface at a glancing angle of incidence and coalesces on the step of a patterned surface, forming NP arrays.

79

⁸⁰ surface are realised. Furthermore, the technique has been shown to be largely independent ⁸¹ of the deposited metal type as NP arrays of different materials have been produced using

this method.⁴⁶ During the manuscript the capabilities of extracting the plasmonic response 82 of the system using the ASEF approach will be tested on the samples grown and then 83 reproduced with a dipolar method previously developed.⁴⁷ This will provide a basis for the 84 understanding of the various spectra measured. Afterwards, a more general formalism will 85 be introduced and effects which induce changes in the optical properties in the whole will be 86 systematically analysed. It will be also be demonstrated the striking advantage of using SE 87 when compared with simple absorption spectroscopy in monitoring changes in the refractive 88 index of the medium surrounding the probed NPs. Sharper resonances can be measured and 89 increases in the figure of merit (FOM) of the resonance up to 15 times have been measured. 90 This could improve the detection limit of refractive index based sensors. This study will 91 open new exciting possibilities in the analysis of the plasmonic response of structures using 92 spectroscopic ellipsometry. 93

⁹⁴ ASEF and plasmonic modelling

In general, an analytical expression representing the properties of a single layer cannot 95 be directly extracted from SE experiment. Multiple internal reflections modify the final 96 expression of the pseudo-dielectric function $\langle \varepsilon_j \rangle$ of the system considered as a whole 97 homogeneous medium. A simple additivity of each layer hence does not hold any more. The 98 case of plasmonic nanostructure is even more complicated, as the layer is not homogeneous. 99 However, if the dimension of the structures involved (roughness and NP dimensions) are 100 much smaller than the wavelength of the exciting light, the nanocomposite layer can be 101 treated as a continuous and homogeneous layer with an effective dielectric function ε_L which 102 in turn can be anisotropic. 103

In a three phase approach (substrate, plasmonic layer and air), if the nanocomposite layer is thin, the substrate response is isotropic and the optical axes are aligned with the symmetry axis of the system, the picture can be incredibly simplified. In this case, the ¹⁰⁷ additivity between the response of each layer can be assumed to hold to the first order and ¹⁰⁸ the ASEF can be extracted directly from the experiment as^{28,48,49}

$$\xi_j = \frac{i\lambda(\varepsilon_b - 1)}{4\pi\varepsilon_b\sqrt{\varepsilon_b - \sin^2\Theta}} (\langle \varepsilon_j \rangle - \varepsilon_b).$$
(1)

In Eq. (1) λ is the vacuum wavelength in nm, Θ is the angle of incidence, ε_b is the substrate dielectric function and air is considered as the surrounding medium.

¹¹¹ Usually, ellipsometric observables are expressed in terms of the complex ratio ρ . However, ¹¹² the psuedo-(effective) dielectric function for the whole bulk system appearing in Eq. (1) can ¹¹³ be extracted from the raw measurements by

$$<\varepsilon>=\sin^2\Theta+\sin^2\Theta\tan^2\Theta(\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho})^2.$$
 (2)

Once the substrate dielectric function is known, ASEF can hence be extracted directly from the experiment using Eq. (1).

The advantage of introducing the ASEF relies on its simplicity as it depends on the response of the (anisotropic) plasmonic layer alone, which can then be modelled by 28

$$\xi_j = d[(\Delta \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_b \Delta \frac{1}{\varepsilon_z}) + (\frac{1}{\varepsilon_b} - \frac{\cos^2 \Theta}{\sin^2 \Theta})(\Delta \varepsilon_j - \Delta \varepsilon_k)].$$
(3)

where j, k = (x, y). The coefficients in Eq. (3) are defined by

$$\Delta \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{L,j} - \varepsilon_b \qquad \Delta \frac{1}{\varepsilon_z} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{L,z}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_b}$$
(4)

and d is the effective thickness of the homogeneous NP layer. The nanocomposite response can then be extracted by Eq. (1) and any suitable model capable of calculating the dielectric function of the plasmonic layer can be directly compared with the experiment using Eq. (3). The problem of analysing the response of the whole system has been reduced in this case to ¹²³ the determination of the plasmonic layer dielectric function.

To compare the theory with experiment, the system investigated in this manuscript has been modelled as collection of supported identical ellipsoids placed on a rectangular lattice interacting through dipolar forces. Due to the small dimensions involved, the quasistatic approximation is assumed to be valid. Under this hypothesis an expression for ε_L reads as^{27,47}

$$\varepsilon_{L,i} = \varepsilon_{cap} \left(1 + \frac{N\alpha_i}{1 + \alpha_i \beta_i} \right) \qquad i = x, y$$
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{L,z}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{cap}} \left(1 - \frac{N\alpha_z}{1 + \alpha_z \beta_z} \right) \tag{5}$$

where ε_{cap} is the homogeneous dielectric function of the capping material, N is the number 124 of NPs per unit area and α is the polarizability of an isolated ellipsoid. The β coefficient 125 represents the interaction effects and takes into account both NP interactions and image 126 charge effects. In order to take into account the effect of the steps, the capping medium 127 dielectric function has been considered as a mixture between air and substrate in a 1:1 128 ratio.⁴⁷ In the rest of this manuscript the in-plane directions will be referred as x (along the 129 NP rows) and y (perpendicular to the rows), while the out-of plane direction will be defined 130 as z (see Sketch in Figure 3). Using Eq. (3) and Eq. (5) the ASEF of the plasmonic layer 131 can then be modelled and directly compared with experiment. In the next section it will 132 be demonstrated the utility of the ASEF approach to model and understand the full optical 133 response of the whole system. 134

Results and discussion

The SEM of the in-plane structure deposited at glancing angle is shown in Figure 2 A. The structure appears as a collection of ordered NP arrays aligned along the step edges as previously reported. The in-plane semi-axes ($R_x = 12 \text{ nm}$ and $R_y = 10 \text{ nm}$) are well below



Figure 2: (A) Scanning electron micrograph of the Ag NP arrays deposited at a glancing angle of 6°. Rows of NP arrays are clearly visible along the step edge of the stepped template. Low magnification and high magnification transmission electron microscope (TEM) of a section of the same samples are shown in B and C respectively.

the diffraction limit and the plasmonic layer can therefore be considered as an homogeneous 139 anisotropic layer. As the centre-to-centre distance L_x , is 27 nm the inter-particle separation 140 $(\sim 3 \text{ nm})$ is much smaller than the average NP diameter, a strong enhancement of the electric 141 field is expected to take place in the interstitial space between NPs. In order to fully 142 characterize the sample, the out-of-plane morphology has been also analysed by TEM (see 143 Figure 2 B and C (NOT YET PRESENT)), revealing the NPs appear as truncated ellipsoids 144 of height H = 17 nm. Details of the exact growth mechanism of such structures can be found 145 elsewhere.⁴⁷ 146

The response of the structures grown have been first analysed by SE using the same angle 147 of incidence $\Theta = 61^{\circ}$, and by changing the azimuth of the sample in the plane of incidence by 148 an angle φ (Figure 3). This incidence angle was first chosen as it close to the Brewster angle 149 of the Al₂O₃ substrate in the visible region. In this case, as discussed in our earlier paper on 150 the plasmonic response of similar structures, 2^{27} the ratio between the p and s components is 151 maximised and the measurements are simplified. The measured azimuthal real and imaginary 152 response of the structure are shown in Figure 3 A and B respectively. Superimposed onto 153 the substrate behaviour additional features are clearly visible. The ASEF extracted from 154 the experiment using Eq. (1) and modelled by Eq. (3) and Eq. (5) are shown in Figure 3 155



Figure 3: Real (A) and imaginary (B) parts of the pseudo-dielectric function $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ of Ag NP arrays grown at glancing angle for different azimuthal angle rotations φ . The intensity of the *x* resonance at 2.2 eV follows a \cos^2 dependency (inset in Fig. A). Both experimental (dotted lines) and simulated (continuous) ASEF for the parallel ($\varphi = 0^{\circ}$) and perpendicular ($\varphi = 90^{\circ}$) measurement configurations are also shown (C and D). An analysis of the ASEF suggests that the peaks observed in the real part of the pseudo-dielectric functions are related to the resonances of the plamsonic layer (see the imaginary part of ε_L in the inset).

C and D. A semi-quantitative agreement is clearly observable. The slight disagreement in 156 the position of the y resonance could be explained by sample disorder. In the real system, 157 double chains can be sometimes be observed and they can influence the peak position of the 158 plasmonic response, shifting the y resonance towards the IR. Also, as the truncated NPs are 159 modelled as ellipsoids supported on the substrate, mismatch between theory and experiment 160 can be expected. The disagreement observed is then related to limitations in the model 161 utilised rather than incorrect analysis of the results. However, we want to stress here that 162 no fitting parameter has been introduced in the simulation and that the simulated spectra 163 are obtained using only morphological parameters measured by micrographic analysis. 164

From Figure 3 A, for the measurement configuration parallel to the array ($\varphi = 0^{\circ}$), a 165 positive peak can be observed at $\sim 2.2 \,\mathrm{eV}$, together with a minima present at higher energies. 166 As previously discussed²⁷ and suggested by the theory (see the dielectric function of the layer 167 in the inset of Figure 3 C and D) the positive feature in the imaginary part of the ASEF is 168 related to resonances along the x direction, while the minima at higher energy corresponds to 169 the z resonance. The opposite sign in the out-of-plane z resonance is due to the discontinuity 170 of the perpendicular component of the electric field in Maxwell Bounduary conditions.²⁹ By 171 rotating the sample towards the measurement configuration perpendicular to the array (φ 172 = 90°) the intensity of the 2.2 eV decreases monotonically following a $\sim \cos^2(\varphi)$ dependency 173 (see inset in Figure 2 A). The behaviour can be explained by rotating the sample symmetry 174 axis along the optical axis. In this case the rotation of the layer dielectric tensor in Eq. (5) 175 can be expressed by the matrix 176

$$A(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi & 0\\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

¹⁷⁷ Defining now A^T as the transpose of A and remembering that the dielectric tensor of the ¹⁷⁸ plasmonic layer upon in-plane rotation can be expressed as $A^T \varepsilon_L A$, the cos² behaviour for the x resonance measured is reproduced.

It is important at this point to note that, comparing the normal bulk values, for thin 180 plasmonic films and transparent substrates as the one here analysed, the absorptive com-181 ponent of the plasmonic layer is present in the real part of the pseudo-dielectric function. 182 This reversal between $Re[\langle \varepsilon \rangle]$ and $Im[\varepsilon_L]$ can be easily explained by the imaginary term 183 i present in Eq. (1). We want to stress that the validity of the ASEF approach here utilised 184 is constrained to a ultra-thin plasmonic layers and isotropic bulk responses.²⁸ However, un-185 der these assumptions, a direct comparison between theory and experiment can be directly 186 achieved by assessing the response of the anisotropic thin plasmonic layer along the sample 187 anisotropic main axis ($\varphi=0^{\circ}$ and 90°) at the substrate Brewster angle. In this way it is 188 possible to minimize mixing between p and s components of the reflection coefficients (hence 189 mixing of the response of both x and y resonances) and the resonances can be immedi-190 ately attributed to the in-plane and out-of-plane resonances along the symmetry directions 191 of the system. Clearly, the measurement for isotropic samples are further simplified as the 192 dependency on the azimuthal rotation angle is removed. 193

¹⁹⁴ Extension to a more general formalism

¹⁹⁵ In the previous section it was shown that the ASEF approach can be successfully applied to ¹⁹⁶ the analysis of ultrathin plasmonic layers. It was also shown that the simulation reproduced ¹⁹⁷ the results even when the optical axis were not aligned with the principal axis of the sys-¹⁹⁸ tem, provided an opportune rotation of the dielectric tensor was performed. However, the ¹⁹⁹ validity of the ASEF approach is severely constrained to very specific cases. For example, ²⁰⁰ for multilayer structures or thick plasmonic layers, the formalism previously introduced is ²⁰¹ no longer accurate.

In this section a more general formalism, based on a transfer matrix approach developed by Schubert is applied.^{50,51} Following his approach, an analytical expression for the

transmission matrix of the whole system can be obtained and the complex Fresnel reflection 204 coefficient for p and s polarized light derived. The reflection ratio $\rho = r_p/r_s$ can then be cal-205 culated, an expression for the pseudo dielectric function obtained using Eq. (2) and compared 206 with experiment. The only input parameters required for the application of this method are 207 the dielectric function of each layer and the respective thickness. Any method which allows 208 one to model the dielectric function of the plasmonic layer can then be compared directly 209 with the response measured by SE. For example, if absorption spectra are obtained from 210 some numerical simulation, one could calculate the imaginary part of the dielectric function 211 of the plasmonic layer through the optical theorem⁵² and extract the real part through the 212 Kremers-Kronig relationship. In the following the effect of different factors on the spectra 213 as measured by SE will be discussed and a direct comparison with experiment whenever 214 possible. 215

The validity of the approach has been first verified for parallel and perpendicular con-216 figuration by comparing the simulations with the Ag spectra measured at different angles 217 of incidence. The resonances appear in the real part of the pseudo dielectric function, so 218 the real component only for the configurations parallel and perpendicular to the arrays are 219 reported here. From Figure 4 a strong agreement between experiment and theory can be 220 noticed and a similar one was observed also for the imaginary component. First, one can 221 notice that the pseudo-dielectric function of the whole system depends heavily on the angle 222 of incidence as the optical path in changed. As the sample is anisotropic, the reflection is 223 different along the two orthogonal in-plane directions. In this case, by varying the angle of 224 incidence, different p and s components are probed, resulting in a strong change in the reflec-225 tion ratio (and hence in the pseudo dielectric function). For the perpendicular configuration 226 (Figure 4 B and D), one can observe that the y resonance peak shift is correctly reproduced 227 for various angle of incidence but the changes in intensity are not. The disagreement is as 228 before due to a shift between the simulated y resonance and the measured one, rather than 229 an incorrect analysis of the SE results. 230



Figure 4: Real part of the pseudo-dielectric function for the measurement configuration parallel (A) and perpendicular (B) to the array at different angles of incidence. The simulated pseudo-dielectric functions for the two cases calculated using the transfer matrix formalism are shown in (C) and (D) respectively. The x resonance peak at ~2.2 eV observed in (A) and (C) for 45° angle of incidence has an intensity of ~16.

Of particular interest is the fact that for $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$ measurement configuration (Figure 4 231 C) the intensity of the positive peak at 2.2 eV increases by a factor of 3 when going from 232 $\Theta = 61^{\circ}$ to closer $\Theta = 45^{\circ}$ and at the same time the resonance shows an even sharper peak. 233 This effect is well reproduced by the simulation. The strong increase in the resonance profile 234 is related to the presence of a singularity in the imaginary part of r_s whenever the angle of 235 incidence is close to $\Theta \sim 35^{\circ}$. In case of anisotropic samples, the possibility of measuring 236 such sharp resonances could be of great interest as the figure of merit (FOM) of the sharp 237 resonance structure is greatly increased in this case. In particular, for $\Theta = 45^{\circ}$ an increase of 238 the FOM by factor ~ 15 if compared with $\Theta = 70^{\circ}$ incidence angle has been realised. For this 239 particular system, the simulations suggest that the FOM can be even further increased if the 240 measurement angle is closer to the singularity for r_s at $\Theta \sim 35^{\circ}$. These results suggest that 241 the sensitivity of any refractive index plasmon-based biosensor can be theoretically increased 242 by choosing the appropriate incidence parameters during a SE measurements. 243

As a representative example, in Figure 5 a comparison is shown between the simu-244 lated absorption and SE spectra when the NP arrays are surrounded by different dielec-245 tric media. During the simulations the absorption profiles were obtained using the relation 246 $A_{\text{abs},x} \propto \text{Im}[\varepsilon_{L,x}]/\lambda$.⁵² As expected, an increase in the refractive index surrounding the NPs 247 red shifts in the x resonances position. However, a much sharper profile is obtained for the 248 pseudo-dielectric function. This result potentially opens a new route for sensing applica-249 tions using SE. Furthermore, as SE is based on measurements of only relative changes in 250 the dielectric function of the medium, a larger accuracy can be achieved if compared with 251 standard transmission measurements. Similar enhancement have been recently reported for 252 in-plane symmetric system once the phase of the complex ratio ρ is measured.²⁵ 253

The transfer formalism developed by Schubert can be also adapted to other optical characterization method and different materials (see supporting information for reflectance anisotropy spectroscopy measurements and SE measurements on Au NP arrays). Also, the theory presented here could be extended to magnetically active systems and core-shell struc-



Figure 5: Simulation of the absorption along the NP arrays (A) and of the pseudo-dielectric function (B) in the parallel configuration at 45° the NP array system upon changes of the dielectric medium covering the particles. Red shift in the x resonance can be observed for increasing the dielectric medium. However, the FOM simulated using SE can be greatly increased when compared with normal absorption spectroscopy.

²⁵⁸ tures. ^{51,53}

Based on the results reported so far, we believed that the transfer matrix approach here 259 proposed is a suitable and convenient method to simulate and analyse the spectroscopic 260 response of plasmonic structures. In the following possible additional effects which could 261 influence the response of the system are discussed using the simulation developed. Figure 6 262 and Figure 7 show the SE spectra for the different cases of increasing layer thickness and 263 different substrates (Si rather than Al₂O₃) respectively. In order to facilitate the analysis, 264 during these simulations the plasmonic layer was assumed to be in-plane isotropic (R_x = 265 $R_y = 20 \,\mathrm{nm}$ and $R_z = 7.5 \,\mathrm{nm}$) and with particles spacing large enough to disregard any 266 inter-particle coupling $(N = 7 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{NP/m^2})$. The self-image charge contribution produced 267 by a the presence of a thin Al_2O_3 layer underneath the NPs is still taken into account. 268 With these parameters, the dielectric function of the layer for the two examples is shown 269 in Figure 6 A. As the sample is in-plane isotropic, $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ is now independent either on the 270 azimuthal rotation angle φ and on the angle of incidence Θ . However, it can be easily seen 271 in that changes in the plasmonic films film thickness result in a strong modification of the SE 272 response. As previously discussed when an ASEF was introduced, for an ultra-thin structure 273 the maximum in $\operatorname{Re}[\langle \varepsilon \rangle] \propto \operatorname{Im}[\varepsilon_L]$. The relation is valid whenever the plasmonic film d is 274



Figure 6: (A) Simulated imaginary part of the dielectric function of supported isotropic spheroids along the x and z direction. The contour plot of the real and imaginary part of the calculated psuedo-dielectric function for different plasmonic layer thickness d are shown in B and C respectively. The vertical dotted line shows the position of the x resonance and it is used as a guideline.

²⁷⁵ thin, i.e.

$$d \ll \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon_{L,j} - \sin^2\theta}}.$$
(7)

Figure 6 now allows to a more precisely quantification of Eq. (7):even for an effective plas-276 monic layer of $5 \,\mathrm{nm}$ a mixture between the real and imaginary component takes place and 277 the ASEF approximation is no longer valid. Larger layer thickness results in a complex 278 behaviour which can hardly relate directly to the plasmonic features but it can be addressed 279 using our transfer matrix approach. Modifications also take place when a non transparent 280 substrate is used. For example, in the case of Si substrates, it is shown that the plasmonic 281 features of ultrathin films are hardly visible as the substrate is strongly dispersive. Further-282 more, the overall signal lowers when larger film thicknesses are modelled. The opposite trend 283 is expected for transparent non dispersive substrates such as Al_2O_3 . 284

The results shown suggest that the SE response originated by plasmonic structures de-285 pends on many contributing factors, such as NP morphologies, material compositions and 286 substrate dielectric function and the overall response can be heavily modified by changes in 287 any of them. However, the unknown parameters can be treated as fitting parameters and the 288 modelling achieved using self-consistent methods. Similar to standard ellipsometric results 289 of multilayer structures, an initial guess of the pseudo-dielectric function can be introduced 290 and compared with the experimental results. Then by varying the unknown parameters a 291 match with experiment can be obtained. In this case, clearly, analytical simulations such as 292 the one here introduced are much more suited for fast convergence. 293

294 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated and developed a methodology to investigate the plasmonic response of complex NP material systems. All the simulations were directly compared with experiment performed by measuring the spectral response of anisotropic NP arrays grown at glancing angles. First, a quantity named Anisotropic surface Excess Function



Figure 7: Pseudo dielectric function of isotropic spheroids supported on substrates Al_2O_3 (A) and Si (B) substrates for different plasmonic thickness. In the inset the bare substrate dielectric function in the two cases are shown.

(ASEF) is introduced and it is demonstrated how the plasmonic response of layer can be
 directly observed.

Afterwards, the formalism is further developed utilising a transfer matrix method. Different effects which can lead to a modification in the response of the system are discussed and a clear route for a complete analysis outlined. The approach can be adapted to thick NP layers, anisotropic systems, magnetically active systems, core-shell structures and different classes of material.

The results here show striking advantages. First, as SE can easily measure both the inplane and out-of-plane response, this technique provides a more stringent test for the validity of any simulation method. Second, it is demonstrated here that in the case of anisotropic layers the resonance FOM can be greatly increased at a particular angle of incidence. In this way the sensitivity of any biological sensor based on the detection of changes of the dielectric medium surrounding the plasmonic NPs can be incredibly improved.

312 Methods

Noble metals NP arrays have been produced by glancing angle deposition on a single crystal 313 c-plane Al₂O₃ templates. The substrates were off-cut 6° along the [1210] direction and 314 polished on one side. To produce the stepped surfaces 54,55 two samples were annealed at 315 1400°C in atmosphere for 16 h. AFM analysis confirmed the texturing of the template with 316 a measured periodicity $L_y = 130 \,\mathrm{nm}$. Each sample was then loaded in a ultra high vacuum 317 chamber (base pressure 2×10^{-8} mBar) and either Ag or Au was deposited at 6° with respect 318 to the normal. During the deposition a calibrated rate at normal incidence of 2.5nm/min 319 was utilised and the samples were exposed for 20 minutes. The deposition was performed at 320 room temperature. 321

The in-plane morphology was imaged by a field emission ULTRA scanning electron microscope (SEM) by Carl Zeiss. SE measurements were recorded with a Woollam M2000 varible angle spectroscopic ellipsometry system, equipped with a rotating compensator and a high speed CCD camera. The measurements were performed between 245-1600 nm taking for points. The sample was aligned at each angle of incidence and rotated manually around the surface normal. The estimated error in the accuracy of the rotation angle was established to be $\pm 5^{\circ}$.

After measurements, Ag NP sample was capped with a 50 nm Si_3N_4 layer. The deposition was performed using a Plasma Enhanced chemical vapour deposition using 5% SiH₄ and NH₃ as precursors (1:6 ratio). During the process a growth temperature of 300°C was utilised using an RF frequency of 187.5 kHz for a total growth time of 6.15 minutes.

In order to analyse the out-of-plane morphology of the Ag capped sample, TEM outof-plane sections were prepared using a Carl Zeiss Auriga CrossBeam FIB-SEM as already described before. Once prepared, the section was imaged by a Titan TEM operating at 300 kV. The substrate was aligned to the [1010] zone axis for imaging. In all cases the images were acquired in bright-field mode.

338 Acknowledgement

This work has been funded by Science Foundation of Ireland, Contract No.06/IN.1/I91 and conducted under the framework of the INSPIRE programme, funded by the Irish Government's Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions, Cycle 4, National Development Plan 2007-2013. O. Ualibek would like to thank the Government of Rep. of Kazakhstan under the Bolashak programme.

³⁴⁴ Supporting information

The transfer matrix approach developed in the manuscript can be easily used also to simulate the optical response measured with other spectroscopic techniques and can be utilised with different materials. In this section the response of Ag NP arrays measured with RAS will be compared with simulations. RAS measures the difference in reflectance (Δr) at a normal incidence between two orthogonal directions in the surface plane (x, y) normalized to the mean reflectance (r):⁵⁶

$$\frac{\Delta r}{r} = \frac{2(r_x - r_y)}{r_x + r_y},\tag{8}$$

where r are the complex Fresnel reflection amplitudes. As before, the x and y indexes in 351 Eq. (8) refer to the in-plane directions along and perpendicular to the array axes respectively. 352 As for the complex ratio of SE, RAS can also be simulated using the same transfer matrix. 353 During the simulations the incidence angle was considered to be close to normal incidence (3°) 354 and the p and s components are made to coincide with the x and y ones from Eq. (8). 355 The RAS system is a home built system which follows the 2 polarisers and photo-elastic 356 modulator scheme.⁵⁷ The real and imaginary part of RAS has been measured immediately 357 after exposure of the sample to atmosphere. A comparison with the theory are reproduced 358 in Figure 8. Also in this case, the spectra appear well reproduced. The disagreement in 359 the peak intensity is related to the non perfect matching between theory and experiment for 360 the y resonance (appearing here as the negative peak). The origin of the real component



Figure 8: Experimental (A) and simulated (B) RAS spectra for the Ag NP arrays grown by glancing angle of incidence.

361

of the RAS signal measured has been recently studied in our group and it was found to coincide with the difference between an intense positive (x) and a less intense negative (y)

peak shifted towards higher energies.⁴⁵ For the system under study it is suggested that the 364 two resonances are placed closer in the experiment if compared with the simulation (see 365 for example Figure 3 D in the text). As the peaks partially overlap, once the difference 366 between the two peaks is considered, the measured RAS peak positions appear shifted if 367 compared with the simulated ones (the same effect happens in Figure 3 D). At the same 368 time, once the difference is calculated, the relative intensity of the peaks changes. As the 369 imaginary component of the RAS is related to the real part by Kramers-Kronig relationship, 370 its intensity and position is modified in this case. Once again we would like to point out that, 371 as for the SE results, the difference between experiment and theory is then related to a non 372 perfect agreement between the real response of the plasmonic layer dielectric function and 373 the simulated effective plansonic layer dielectric function rather than errors in the transfer 374 matrix approach used. 375

We also verified that the formalism here developed is also valid for different materials. 376 As glancing angle deposition is independent on the deposited material,⁴⁶ Au NP arrays 377 can also be produced and their response measured using SE (see Figure 9 A for a SEM 378 image of the surface after deposition). The real part of the pseudo dielectric function as 379 measured by SE in the configuration parallel and perpendicular to the array are shown in 380 Figure 9 B and C for multiple incidence angles. The optical response shows clear differences 381 if compared with Ag NPs. The main x resonance is placed further in the IR $(1.77 \,\mathrm{eV})$ and 382 higher energy features measured for the Ag case are screened now by strong and broad 383 features related to interband transitions.⁴⁶ We have simulated also in this case the response 384 using our matrix approach. The Au dielectric function was taken from literature⁵⁸ and the 385 free electron response corrected in order to take into consideration the reduced dimensions 386 of the NPs.^{47,59} In order to simplify the discussion, the same morphological parameters as 387 the one used for Ag NPs are used during this simulations. However, the trend for different 388 deposition angles measured in the experiment coincides with the behaviour simulated with 389 our approach. 390



Figure 9: SE response of Au NP arrays. (A) SEM image of the in-plane morphology of the sample. Also gold NP arrays can be produced by glancing angle deposition. Measured pseudo dielectric function for the measurement configuration parallel (B) and perpendicular (C) to the array. The simulated behaviour for the two cases are shown in (C) and (D) respectively.

These results appear as a strong confirmation of the validity of the methodology utilised even when different materials are utilised and it is verified by comparison of the RAS results obtained from Ag NPs.

394 **References**

- 1. Kreibig, U.; Vollmer, M. Optical Properties of Metal Clusters; Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- ³⁹⁶ 2. Brongersma, L.; Kik, P. Surface Plasmon Nanophotonics; Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- ³⁹⁷ 3. Willets, K. A.; Van Duyne, R. P. Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance Spectroscopy
 ³⁹⁸ and Sensing. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 2007, 58, 267–297.
- 4. Homola, J. Surface Plasmon Resonance Sensors for Detection of Chemical and Biological
 Species. *Chemical Reviews* 2008, 108, 462–493, PMID: 18229953.
- 5. F., H. Symmetry Breaking in Plasmonic Nanocavities: Subradiant LSPR Sensing and a
 Tunable Fano Resonance. *Nano Lett.* 2008, *8*, 3983.
- 6. N., V. Plasmon Line Shaping using Nanocrosses for High sensitivity LSPR Sensing. Nano
 Lett. 2011, 11, 391.
- ⁴⁰⁵ 7. Nie, S.; Emory, S. R. Probing Single Molecules and Single Nanoparticles by Surface⁴⁰⁶ Enhanced Raman Scattering. *Science* 1997, *275*, 1102–1106.
- ⁴⁰⁷ 8. Kim, S.; Jin, J.; Kim, Y.-J.; Park, I.-Y.; Kim, Y.; Kim, S.-W. High-harmonic generation
 ⁴⁰⁸ by resonant plasmon field enhancement. *Nature* 2008, 453, 757–760.
- 9. Kinkhabwala, A.; Yu, Z.; Fan, S.; Avlasevich, Y.; Mullen, K.; E., M. Large singlemolecule fluorescence enhancements produced by a bowtie nanoantenna. *Nature Phot.*2009, 3, 654 657.
- 412 10. Atawer H.A., P. A. Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices. *Nat. Mater.* 2010, 9,
 413 205–213.

- ⁴¹⁴ 11. Pala, R. A.; White, J.; Barnard, E.; Liu, J.; Brongersma, M. L. Design of Plasmonic
 Thin-Film Solar Cells with Broadband Absorption Enhancements. *Advanced Materials* **2009**, *21*, 3504–3509.
- ⁴¹⁷ 12. Munday, J. N.; Atwater, H. A. Large Integrated Absorption Enhancement in Plasmonic
 ⁴¹⁸ Solar Cells by Combining Metallic Gratings and Antireflection Coatings. *Nano Letters*⁴¹⁹ **2011**, *11*, 2195–2201.
- 420 13. H., L.; E., C. The Optical Properties of Metal Nanoparticles: The Influence of Size,
 421 Shape, and Dielectric Environment. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2003, 107, 668.
- 422 14. Gomez-Medina, R.; Yamamoto, N.; Nakano, M.; de Abajo, F. J. G. Mapping plasmons
 423 in nanoantennas via cathodoluminescence. *New Journal of Physics* 2008, *10*, 105009.
- ⁴²⁴ 15. Vesseur, E. J. R.; Garcia de Abajo, F. J.; Polman, A. Modal Decomposition of Sur⁴²⁵ faceâĹŠPlasmon Whispering Gallery Resonators. *Nano Letters* 2009, *9*, 3147–3150,
 ⁴²⁶ PMID: 19653636.
- ⁴²⁷ 16. Scholl, J. A.; Koh, A. L.; Dionne, J. A. Quantum plasmon resonances of individual
 ⁴²⁸ metallic nanoparticles. *Nature* 2012, *483*, 421–427.
- ⁴²⁹ 17. Nelayah, J.; Kociak, M.; Stephan, O.; Garcia de Abajo, F. J.; Tence, M.; Henrard, L.;
 ⁴³⁰ Taverna, D.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Liz-Marzan, L. M.; Colliex, C. Mapping surface plas⁴³¹ mons on a single metallic nanoparticle. *Nat. Phys.* **2007**, *3*, 348–353.
- 432 18. Azzam, R.; Bashara, N. Ellipsometry and polarized light; North Holland, 1977.
- Losurdo, M.; Bergmair, M.; Bruno, G.; Cattelan, D.; Cobet, C.; de Martino, A.; Fleischer, K.; Dohcevic-Mitrovic, Z.; Esser, N.; Galliet, M. et al. Spectroscopic ellipsometry
 and polarimetry for materials and systems analysis at the nanometer scale: state-of-theart, potential, and perspectives. J. Nanopart. Res. 2009, 11, 1521–1554.

- ⁴³⁷ 20. Mok, K.; Du, N.; Schmidt, H. Vector-magneto-optical generalized ellipsometry. *Review*⁴³⁸ of Scientific Instruments 2011, 82, 033112.
- ⁴³⁹ 21. Lo, Y.; Hsieh, W.; Chung, Y.; Tsai, S. An Approach for Measuring the Ellipsometric
 ⁴⁴⁰ Parameters of Isotropic and Anisotropic Thin Films Using the Stokes Parameter Method.
 ⁴⁴¹ J. Lightwave Technol. 2012, 30, 2299–2306.
- ⁴⁴² 22. Wu, P. C.; Kim, T.-H.; Brown, A. S.; Losurdo, M.; Bruno, G.; Everitt, H. O. Real-time
 ⁴⁴³ plasmon resonance tuning of liquid Ga nanoparticles by in situ spectroscopic ellipsome⁴⁴⁴ try. Applied Physics Letters 2007, 90, 103119.
- ⁴⁴⁵ 23. Flores-Camacho, J. M.; Sun, L. D.; Saucedo-Zeni, N.; Weidlinger, G.; Hohage, M.; Zep⁴⁴⁶ penfeld, P. Optical anisotropies of metal clusters supported on a birefringent substrate.
 ⁴⁴⁷ Phys. Rev. B 2008, 78, 075416.
- ⁴⁴⁸ 24. Wu, P. C.; Losurdo, M.; Kim, T.-H.; Garcia-Cueto, B.; Moreno, F.; Bruno, G.;
 ⁴⁴⁹ Brown, A. S. GaâĂŞMg CoreâĂŞShell Nanosystem for a Novel Full Color Plasmonics.
 ⁴⁵⁰ The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2011, 115, 13571–13576.
- ⁴⁵¹ 25. Lodewijks, K.; Van Roy, W.; Borghs, G.; Lagae, L.; Van Dorpe, P. Boosting the Figure⁴⁵² Of-Merit of LSPR-Based Refractive Index Sensing by Phase-Sensitive Measurements.
 ⁴⁵³ Nano Letters **2012**, *12*, 1655–1659.
- ⁴⁵⁴ 26. Zhu, S.; Chen, T.; Liu, Y. C.; Liu, Y.; Yu, S. F. Influence of SiO2 Layer on the Dielectric
 ⁴⁵⁵ Function of Gold Nanoparticles on Si Substrate **2012**, *15*, K5–K9.
- ⁴⁵⁶ 27. Verre, R.; Fleischer, K.; Smith, C.; McAlinden, N.; McGilp, J. F.; Shvets, I. V. Prob⁴⁵⁷ ing the out-of-plane optical response of plasmonic nanostructures using spectroscopic
 ⁴⁵⁸ ellipsometry. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**, *84*, 085440.
- 459 28. Kelly, M.; Zollner, S.; Cardona, M. Modelling the optical response of surfaces measured

- by spectroscopic ellipsometry: application to Si and Ge. Surface Science 1993, 285, 282
 294.
- ⁴⁶² 29. Yamaguchi, T.; Yoshida, S.; Kinbara, A. Anomalous optical absorption of aggregated
 ⁴⁶³ silver films. *Thin Solid Films* **1973**, *18*, 63 70.
- ⁴⁶⁴ 30. Yamaguchi, T.; Yoshida, S.; Kinbara, A. Optical effect of the substrate on the anomalous
 ⁴⁶⁵ absorption of aggregated silver films. *Thin Solid Films* **1974**, *21*, 173 187.
- ⁴⁶⁶ 31. Valamanesh, M.; Borensztein, Y.; Langlois, C.; Lacaze, E. Substrate Effect on the Plasmon Resonance of Supported Flat Silver Nanoparticles. J. Chem. Phys. C 2011, 115, 2914–2922.
- ⁴⁶⁹ 32. Fan, J. A.; Wu, C.; Bao, K.; Bao, J.; Bardhan, R.; Halas, N. J.; Manoharan, V. N.;
 ⁴⁷⁰ Nordlander, P.; Shvets, G.; Capasso, F. Self-Assembled Plasmonic Nanoparticle Clusters.
 ⁴⁷¹ Science **2010**, 328, 1135–1138.
- ⁴⁷² 33. Woo, K. C.; Shao, L.; Chen, H.; Liang, Y.; Wang, J.; Lin, H. Universal Scaling and
 ⁴⁷³ Fano Resonance in the Plasmon Coupling between Gold Nanorods. ACS Nano 2011, 5,
 ⁴⁷⁴ 5976–5986.
- ⁴⁷⁵ 34. F., H. Tunability of Subradiant Dipolar and Fano-Type Plasmon Resonances in Metallic
 ⁴⁷⁶ Ring/Disk Cavities: Implications for Nanoscale Optical Sensing. ACS Nano 2009, 3,
 ⁴⁷⁷ 643.
- ⁴⁷⁸ 35. Svedendahl, M.; KÃďll, M. Fano Interference between Localized Plasmons and Interface
 ⁴⁷⁹ Reflections. ACS Nano 2012, 6, 7533–7539.
- 36. Sanchez-Iglesias, A.; Grzelczak, M.; Rodrguez-Gonzlez, B.; A. Ivarez Puebla, R.; LizMarzan, L. M.; A. Kotov, N. Gold Colloids with Unconventional Angled Shapes. Langmuir 2009, 25, 11431.

- 37. Nelayah, J.; Kociak, M.; Stephan, O.; Geuquet, N.; Henrard, L.; Garcia de Abajo, F. J.;
 Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Liz-Marzan, L. M.; Colliex, C. Two-Dimensional Quasistatic Stationary Short Range Surface Plasmons in Flat Nanoprisms. *Nano Letters* 2010, 10, 902–907, PMID: 20163134.
- ⁴⁸⁷ 38. Kumar, P. S.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; RodrÃŋguez-GonzÃąlez, B.; de Abajo, F. J. G.;
 ⁴⁸⁸ Liz-MarzÃąn, L. M. High-yield synthesis and optical response of gold nanostars. Nanotechnology 2008, 19, 015606.
- ⁴⁹⁰ 39. Oubre, C.; Nordlander, P. Optical Properties of Metallodielectric Nanostructures Cal⁴⁹¹ culated Using the Finite Difference Time Domain Method. *The Journal of Physical*⁴⁹² *Chemistry B* 2004, *108*, 17740–17747.
- 493 40. Draine, B. T.; Flatau, P. J. Discrete-dipole approximation for scattering calculations. J.
 494 Opt. Soc. Am. A 1994, 11, 1491–1499.
- 495 41. Charles, D. E.; Aherne, D.; Gara, M.; Ledwith, D. M.; Gunko, Y. K.; Kelly, J. M.;
 496 Blau, W. J.; Brennan-Fournet, M. E. Versatile Solution Phase Triangular Silver
 497 Nanoplates for Highly Sensitive Plasmon Resonance Sensing. ACS Nano 2010, 4, 55–64,
 498 PMID: 20030362.
- 499 42. Sugawara, A.; Hembree, G.; Scheinfein, M. R. Self-organized mesoscopic magnetic structures. Journal of Applied Physics 1997, 82, 5662.
- 43. Oates, T.; Keller, A.; Facsko, S.; Mï£jcklich, A. Aligned Silver Nanoparticles on Rippled
 Silicon Templates Exhibiting Anisotropic Plasmon Absorption. *Plasmonics* 2007, 2, 47.
- 44. Cuccureddu, F.; Murphy, S.; Shvets, I.; Porcu, M.; Zandbergen, H. W. Plasmon Resonance in Silver Nanoparticles Arrays Grown by Atomic Terrace Low-Angle Shadowing. *Nano letters* 2008, *8*, 3248.

- ⁵⁰⁶ 45. Verre, R.; Fleischer, K.; Sofin, R. G. S.; McAlinden, N.; McGilp, J. F.; Shvets, I. V. In
 ⁵⁰⁷ situ characterization of one-dimensional plasmonic Ag nanocluster arrays. *Phys. Rev. B*⁵⁰⁸ 2011, 83, 125432–125440.
- ⁵⁰⁹ 46. Verre, R.; Fleischer, K.; Ualibek, O.; Shvets, I. V. Self-assembled broadband plasmonic
 ⁵¹⁰ nanoparticle arrays for sensing applications. *Applied Physics Letters* 2012, *100*, 031102.
- ⁵¹¹ 47. Verre, R.; Fleischer, K.; McGilp, J. F.; Fox, D.; Behan, G.; Zhang, H.; Shvets, I. V.
 ⁵¹² Controlled in situ growth of tunable plasmonic self-assembled nanoparticle arrays. *Nanotechnology* 2012, *23*, 035606.
- 48. Plieth, W.; Naegele, K. Lieber die bestimmung der optischen konstanten daster oberflchenschichten und das problem der schichtdicke. *Surf. Sci.* 1977, *64*, 484 496.
- 49. Santos, P. V.; Koopmans, B.; Esser, N.; Schmidt, W. G.; Bechstedt, F. Optical Properties
 of Ordered As Layers on InP(110) Surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 759–762.
- 518 50. Schubert, M. Polarization-dependent optical parameters of arbitrarily anisotropic homo-519 geneous layered systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *53*, 4265–4274.
- 51. Schubert, M.; Tiwald, T. E.; Woollam, J. A. Explicit Solutions for the Optical Properties
 of Arbitrary Magneto-Optic Materials in Generalized Ellipsometry. *Appl. Opt.* 1999, *38*,
 177–187.
- 523 52. Bohren, C.; Huffman, D. Absorption and scattering of light by small particles; Wiley, 524 New York, 1983.
- 53. Abe, M.; Suwa, T. Surface plasma resonance and magneto-optical enhancement in composites containing multicore-shell structured nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. B* 2004, 70,
 235103.
- ⁵²⁸ 54. Heffelfinger, J. R.; Bench, M. W.; Carter, C. B. Steps and the structure of the (0001) α ⁵²⁹ -alumina surface. *Surface Science* **1997**, *370*, 168.

- ⁵³⁰ 55. Verre, R.; Sofin, R.; Usov, V.; Fleischer, K.; Fox, D.; Behan, G.; Zhang, H.; Shvets, I.
 ⁵³¹ Equilibrium faceting formation in vicinal Al2O3 (0001) surface caused by annealing.
 ⁵³² Surface Science 2012, 606, 1815 1820.
- 56. Weightman, P.; Martin, D. S.; Cole, R. J.; Farrell, T. Reflection anisotropy spectroscopy.
 Reports of Progress in Physics 2005, 68, 1251.
- ⁵³⁵ 57. D.E.Aspnes, Above-bandgap optical anisotropies in cubic semiconductors: A visible-near
 ⁵³⁶ ultraviolet probe of surfaces. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 1985, 3, year.
- 537 58. Johnson, P. B.; Christy, R. W. Optical Constants of the Noble Metals. *Phys. Rev. B*538 1972, 6, 4370–4379.
- ⁵³⁹ 59. Hövel, H.; Fritz, S.; Hilger, A.; Kreibig, U.; Vollmer, M. Width of cluster plasmon
 resonances: Bulk dielectric functions and chemical interface damping. *Phys. Rev. B*⁵⁴¹ 1993, 48, 18178–18188.