

Acceptability of Home Monitoring for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Reactivation: A Qualitative Study

O'Connor, S., Treanor, C., Ward, E., Wickens, R., O'Connell, A., Culliford, L., Rogers, C., Gidman, E., Peto, T., Knox, P., Burton, B., Lotery, A., Sivaprasad, S., Reeves, B., Hogg, R., & Donnelly, M. (2024). Acceptability of Home Monitoring for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Reactivation: A Qualitative Study. In G. Strudwick, N. R. Hardiker, G. Rees, R. Cook, R. Cook, & Y. J. Lee (Eds.), *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics* (Vol. 315, pp. 425-429). (Studies in health technology and informatics). IOS Press BV. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI240182

Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal

Published in: Studies in Health Technology and Informatics

Publication Status: Published (in print/issue): 24/07/2024

DOI: 10.3233/SHTI240182

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document Licence: CC BY-NC

General rights

The copyright and moral rights to the output are retained by the output author(s), unless otherwise stated by the document licence.

Unless otherwise stated, users are permitted to download a copy of the output for personal study or non-commercial research and are permitted to freely distribute the URL of the output. They are not permitted to alter, reproduce, distribute or make any commercial use of the output without obtaining the permission of the author(s).

If the document is licenced under Creative Commons, the rights of users of the documents can be found at https://creativecommons.org/share-your-work/cclicenses/.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk

Innovation in Applied Nursing Informatics G. Strudwick et al. (Eds.) © 2024 The Authors. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/SHTI240182

Acceptability of Home Monitoring for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Reactivation: A Qualitative Study

Sean O'CONNOR^{a,1}, Charlene TREANOR^b, Elizabeth WARD^c, Robin WICKENS^{c,d}, Abby O'CONNELL^e, Lucy CULLIFORD^c, Chris ROGERS^c, Eleanor GIDMAN^c, Tunde PETO^b, Paul KNOX^f, Benjamin BURTON^g, Andrew LOTERY^h, Sobha SIVAPRASADⁱ, Barnaby REEVES^c, Ruth HOGG^b, Michael DONNELLY^b

^aInstitute of Nursing and Health Research, Ulster University ^bCentre for Public Health, Queen's University of Belfast ^cBristol Trials Centre (CTEU), University of Bristol, Bristol Royal Infirmary ^dSouthampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton ^eExeter Clinical Trials Unit (EXECTU), University of Exeter ^fDepartment of Eye and Vision Science, University of Liverpool ^gJames Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norfolk ^hDepartment of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton ⁱ NULP Moeffelde Biemedical Research Centre, Meanfielde Fue Heapital, London

ⁱ NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London ORCiD ID: Sean O'Connor <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6805-8899</u>

Abstract. This study formed part of a diagnostic test accuracy study to quantify the ability of three index home monitoring (HM) tests (one paper-based and two digital tests) to identify reactivation in Neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD). The aim of the study was to investigate views about acceptability and explore adherence to weekly HM. Semi-structured interviews were held with 98 patients, family members, and healthcare professionals. A thematic approach was used which was informed by theories of technology acceptance. Various factors influenced acceptability including a patient's understanding about the purpose of monitoring. Training and ongoing support were regarded as essential for overcoming unfamiliarity with digital technology. Findings have implications for implementation of digital HM in the care of older people with nAMD and other long-term conditions.

1. Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a chronic, progressive condition and the commonest cause of vision loss in older adults[1]. Ongoing surveillance is necessary to manage disease activity since nAMD can recur following periods of treatment[2]. Home monitoring (HM), as a form on ongoing disease surveillance, could potentially reduce the frequency of clinic monitoring visits. Mobile Health (mHealth) refers to use of devices including mobile phones, tablet computers or patient monitoring devices to detect and monitor changes in patient's health and illness status[3]. However, views

about acceptability of HM are unclear. This study formed part of a multi-centre diagnostic test accuracy cohort study (The MONARCH Study)[4] which quantified the ability of three, non-invasive index HM tests to detect reactivation of nAMD, in comparison to a reference diagnosis of reactivation in a usual care nAMD monitoring clinic. The index tests were the paper-based KeepSight Journal (KSJ), and two digital tests, the MyVisionTrack® (mVT) and MultiBit test (MBT) Apps. The primary aim was to determine participants' views about the acceptability of using the index tests. In addition, we explored adherence to weekly HM, and examined perspectives of family members and healthcare professionals providing support to participants as part of HM, including training patients for the study.

2. Methods

Qualitative methods were used to explore individual responses, views and experiences around HM acceptability, as well as to examine variations in contexts. Semi-structured interviews were conducted face-to-face and via telephone. The interview schedule was based on theories of technology acceptance[5]. The study followed the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) criteria[6]. Ethical approval was acquired from the National Research Ethics Service (IRAS ref: 232,253 REC ref: 17/NI/0235). Apps were pre-installed on an iPod touch device given to participants who were asked to complete weekly HM for a minimum of 12 months. Maximum variation sampling was used to ensure a range of perspectives were captured relating to age, gender, laterality of nAMD, and time since first treatment. Usage data was assessed to classify participants based on adherence to HM as: 'Regular' (completed weekly HM without two or more gaps in testing of greater than three weeks), or 'Irregular' testers (stopped and started testing on more than two occasions, or stopped testing completely). Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed. A directed content analysis approach based on deductive and inductive coding was used. NVivo version 12 was used to manage data and facilitate the analysis process, which in summary included the following stages: i. Independent transcription, ii. Data familiarization, iii. Independent coding, iv. Development of an analytical framework, v. Indexing, vi. Charting and vii. Interpreting data.

		Qualitative Sample (n = 78 *)		Remaining MONARCH Study Participants (n = 221)	
		n	%	n	%
Baseline	characteristics				
Sex	Male	30	38.5	93	42.1
	Female	48	61.5	128	57.9
Age	Mean (SD) years	74.3 (6.8)	-	75.1 (6.6)	-
Visual acuity **	Mean (SD) LogMAR	0.2 (0.2)	-	0.2 (0.2)	-
Smoking history	Current smoker	7	9.1	23	10.4
0 ,	Ex-smoker (>1 month)	44	57.1	94	42.5
	Never smoked	26	33.8	104	47.1
Exposur	e to technology				
	Television	75	97.4	220	100.0
	Simple mobile phone	24	31.2	106	48.2
	Smartphone	53	68.8	145	65.9
	Tablet	55	71.4	142	64.5
	Laptop/Home Computer	53	68.8	132	60.0
	Internet at Home	68	88.3	185	84.1
	E-mail	62	80.5	152	69.1
	Social Media	30	39.0	68	30.9
	TV streaming/On-demand services	36	46.8	110	50.0

Table 1	Sociod	lemogranhic	charact	teristics
Table	. Sociou	emographic	charac	ici istics

 * Calculations are based on n = 77 as overall qualitative sample includes n = 1 participant who declined to take part in home monitoring but consented to take part in the qualitative part of the study. ** For patients with two involved eyes, better seeing eye is used.

3. Results

26% (78/297 of MONARCH participants) were interviewed. This included participants categorized as "regular" (n = 63) or "irregular" testers (n = 14) and "non-testers" who declined to take part in HM (n = 1). Characteristics of patient participants (n = 78) were comparable to those not taking part in the qualitative study (Table 1). In addition to the 78 patients, 11 informal 'carers', and 9 healthcare professionals were interviewed. A total of 98 interviews were completed (patients, carers and health professionals). Views about HM acceptability appeared to be represented by five overarching themes (and nine associated sub-themes): 1. The role of HM; 2. Suitability of procedures and instruments; 3. Experience of HM, and 4. Feasibility of HM in usual practice; 5. Impediments to home monitoring. Illustrative quotes are provided in Table 2.

Fable 2. Perspectives	of patients on acce	ptability of home	monitoring
-----------------------	---------------------	-------------------	------------

	Perspectives of Patients	Theme/Sub-Theme	Supporting Quote(s) from Patients
-	HM viewed as providing 'ownership' or 'personal control' HM could reduce the frequency of clinic visits Clear pathways to routine clinic appointments are needed if there are changes in visual acuity	Theme 1. The role of home monitoring Sub-theme 1: Understanding purpose Sub-theme 2: Perceived impact on eye care	' it is to put you in charge. I could judge if I needed help, if I saw deterioration in my vision when I did the test, or if I noticed a charge by myself'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 62 years, 85) 'I would feel, yes, I'm doing the tests and that's okay. At the minute, I'm only going (to the clinic) four times a years, so even two or three times would be okay. I'd be happy enough now [To home monitor], you know? Providing nothing happens'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 78 years, #37) ' I don't think it would always work because it's near impossible to get an appointment, you know? I mean, I'ce done that. I'ce seen a charge in shape, not when I was in this study but before. I asked for an appointment but didn't get it, so is the purpose is to try and put people more in charge of saying what they can see, saying if they need help or not?' (Male, Regular HM, K2 years, #24)
-	Overcoming unfamiliarity with technology regarded as 'something needing to be done' Unfamiliarity with technology might result in hesitation about engaging in HM	Theme 2. Suitability of procedures and instruments	' technology is a furmy thing to lots of people my age, some have embraced it, now of course it's a necessary evil, so I'm on catch up' (Male, Regular HM, 76 years, #08) ' if this (the test device) roas just given to me, I round be a bit lost but I'm always trying to keep an open mind with technology and do what I can, you know. (Male, Irregular HM, 79 years, #38) ' I mean it's no problem because I'm not too bad. I've got an iPad and an iPod, but I can see lots of people couldrivi do it. A lot of them don't even like using the computer do they? (Fernale, Regular HM, 81 years, #68) ' Well, mostly it's the delarly people that have got it (AMD) and most of them are not okay with computers and things. I mean I'm not brilliant, but I can do it. As you get older you can't learn these things so easily'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 79 years, #82)
-	Refresher training could help overcome difficulties recalling information mVT and paper-based KSJ tests were perceived as less engaging than the MBT MBT Test feedback seen as helpful for keeping engaged with HM Lower test scores, even when small, were interpreted as a concern about their eye health	Theme 3. Experience of home monitoring procedures Sub-theme 1: Training for home monitoring Sub-theme 2: Test preferences Sub-theme 3: Use of MBT feedback and data	* and so (the clinic staff) demonstrated it I thought that actually looks easy, but a week later tohen I'm on my own. Jiust said "what did they sayd" (Fernale, Regular HM, 71 years, #49) * well, I found that test (MBT) first of all it twos very quick. You had to be so alter and I could be pressing away and it was doing nothing because it was too fast for me'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 76 years, #17) * but the test with the flashing numbers (MBT). I actually liked that. I couldn't stand the other test (WT) because you get four shapes and one of them is sort of out of sync. The first three are easy, then it gets more and more tricky. It gets to the stage where I just had me guess. I actually found that monying because I didn't know how I was doing. The other one you get a percentage, which is good'. (Male, Regular HM, 80 years, #46) * so you see benefits instantly because you're got a result, not only have I done an exam, I have a result instantly, the minute you finish and put your stuff away, the mental benefits are there. (Male, Regular HM, 75 years, #87) * if I get less than 90% blten 1 absolutely know that there's something working. I'm not happy with 92, it's always been 94 or 96, 98, or 100. So that did worry me, but I will do it again, just to check, and I've got an appointment on the second anyaway. (Male, Irregular HM).

77 years, #50)

-	Several methods used to help continue regular HM, including use of reminders or prompts Using other forms of digital 'self-monitoring', including blood pressure measurements; made it easier to set up a HM routine HM needs to be 'easy, and not a burden' to achieve sustainability and high adherence Family members were a source of support	Theme 4. Feasibility of regular home monitoring in usual service delivery Sub-theme 1: Frequency of home monitoring and habit formation Sub-theme 2: Use of ongoing support	 and (mg granddaughter) would get it set up for me and then token that test is finished, suitch over on to the next but she desen't have to stand over me, you know.' (Male, Irregular HM, 79 years, #38) and the set that (monitoring decice for tracking COPD Supptoms) for about 18 months, so this can also helped me know when I'm getting bad, because they were reading it and then they were ringing back and checking with me. That made me fed better, being in touch with people'. (Female, Regular HM, 62 years, #53) and then uvent to [hospital] they gave me a blod pressure monitor, so what I do is 1. Inserve other, with both to legehospital [I they gave me the bag and then withen 1 went to [hospital] they gave me a blod pressure monitor, so what I do is 1. Inserve other, kny blod pressure regularly you see, so I stick this in with my machine because I'm doing them both weekly at the minute and it all works out well, I don't forget'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 74 years, #34) my son has got me using smart phones and what not. I am ok with an it Pad and an iPhone, no problem. I can handle anything in melical terms, I am keeping tabs on my medications on a daily basis. I have a little app that reminds me every hour, every two hours, what I have to do for the day' (Male, Regular HM, 70 years, #136) '.You don't do for erigoyment you're doing it to see how it goes. I don't look at it as a pleasure that I can't wait to do and think, oh I must go up and do them novo'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 60 years, #62) '.I had a lot of trouble at one point, but my lusband said, "let me have it," and he didded about with the buttons, one of which was the light intensity so I had probably turned the light down without realising it. He helped a lot. He said 'you go through it and see what you get stuck on. He iddn'i just take over, he just said can't wery nice and went through it all. My son lines down the road allis ortor compresent papeared'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 72 years
-	Some adaptions made HM challenging and 'awkward'. Other health concerns or functional limitations made it harder to undertake HM Caregiving responsibilities made it difficult to find time for regular HM	Theme 5. Impediments to home monitoring Sub-theme 1: Practual issues Sub-theme 2: Personal health and social factors	' it was difficult, I just couldn't get it dark enough. I racked my brain and thought I've got a big wool rug. I got under that and did my best but there's also the claustropholia, it just got me annoyed in the end'. (Fernale, Irregular HM, 77 years, #33) 'and I have a termor, when I'm holding it (the iPod), you don't know where the numbers are going to come from on the screenso you're sort of anticipating you know? And this means you just don't catch it'. (Fernale, Regular HM, 71 years, #71) ' I have had problems with my heatly, ny heart scare, lots of things all happening, a lot of timus I think this laves are feeling really really tired I'm staring, not knowing if I even hit the buttons'. (Male, Irregular HM, 74 years, #29) ' it's because I have been caring for (a relative) and I don't even
			remember. It's not high on my list of priorities. I have been doing it, but it's when I get to it, not when it gets to me'. (Feenale, Regular HM, 72 years, #83)

HM: Home monitoring; mVT: MyVisionTrack[®]; KSJ: keepSightJournal; MBT: MultiBit test.

4. Discussion

This qualitative study investigates views of patients, informal 'carers' and healthcare professionals about acceptability of home monitoring for nAMD reactivation. Home monitoring was acceptable to participants and key factors such as patient's understanding of HM, and how it could be integrated into usual care appeared to influence these views. According to relatively younger patients, older peers might find HM to be a challengea perception also reflected in views of healthcare professionals. However, the factors that appeared to have a greater impact on positive views about HM acceptability were participants' perceptions around the usefulness of HM for eye care, how easy it was to complete weekly HM, and their experience of undertaking HM. Inexperience with using technology did not seem to limit or affect HM, or a participant's intention to use it, and experience relating specifically to other forms of digital monitoring of health symptoms (e.g., blood pressure monitoring or medication reminder apps) may have been a facilitating factor. Establishing the 'habit' of HM and integrating it into a participant's routine seemed to be important in terms of ensuring regular use. Weekly HM was feasible though more frequent monitoring (e.g., daily testing) may be too burdensome and, therefore, less acceptable. In general, the HM tests were reported to be easy to undertake and non-burdensome. The time commitment required to undertake HM was also acceptable and, although technical difficulties were relatively infrequent, access to

ongoing support was regarded as essential to successful HM, and for overcoming any unfamiliarity with use of technology. Support included 'formal' training and assistance from healthcare professionals with technical aspects of HM, and 'informal' support primarily from partners and family members in the form of encouragement and facilitation of HM, and to help manage in situ any technical issues. It was recognised that there was potential for HM to reduce the frequency of clinic visits, particularly during non-active treatment phases. The use of test performance feedback was perceived by participants as a way to 'self-monitor' vision, even though 'feedback' was provided by only one of the tests (the MBT).

5. Conclusions

This qualitative study provides important insights into the perspectives of patients, 'informal' carers and healthcare professionals about the acceptability of HM for assessing reactivation in nAMD. Home monitoring was acceptable and non-burdensome but initial training and ongoing support are essential to successful implementation. These findings have important implications for the design and use of digital HM in the care of older people with nAMD as well as in other long-term health conditions.

Acknowledgements

The study is sponsored by The Queen's University of Belfast, UK. This study was designed and delivered in collaboration with the Clinical Trials and Evaluation Unit (CTEU), a UKCRC registered clinical trials unit which, as part of the Bristol Trials Centre, is in receipt of National Institute for Health Research CTU support funding. The authors would like to acknowledge the Patient and Public Involvement group (PPI), the Macular Society and the Royal National Institute of Blind People for feedback on the study and the patient documents and the SSC for their oversight of the study.

References

- Li JQ, Welchowski T, Schmid M, Mauschitz MM, Holz FG, Finger RP. Prevalence and incidence of agerelated macular degeneration in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2020 Aug 1;104(8):1077-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-314422</u>
- [2] Lin X, Lou L, Miao Q, Wang Y, Jin K, Shan P, Xu Y. The pattern and gender disparity in global burden of age-related macular degeneration. European Journal of Ophthalmology. 2021 May;31(3):1161-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1120672120927256</u>
- [3] Taylor KI, Staunton H, Lipsmeier F, Nobbs D, Lindemann M. Outcome measures based on digital health technology sensor data: data-and patient-centric approaches. NPJ Digit Med. 2020; 3: 97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0305-8</u>
- [4] Ward E, Wickens RA, O'Connell A, Culliford LA, Rogers CA, Gidman EA, Peto T, Knox PC, Burton BJ, Lotery AJ, Sivaprasad S. Monitoring for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD) reactivation at home: the MONARCH study. Eye. 2021 Feb;35(2):592-600. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-020-0910-4
- [5] Chao CM. Factors determining the behavioral intention to use mobile learning: An application and extension of the UTAUT model. Frontiers in psychology. 2019 Jul 16;10:446627. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01652</u>
- [6] Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32item checklist for interviews and focus groups. International journal for quality in health care. 2007 Dec 1;19(6):349-57. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042