Check for updates

Corrigendum: Direct evidence of abortive lytic infection-mediated establishment of Epstein-Barr virus latency during B-cell infection

Tomoki Inagaki[1‡], Yoshitaka Sato[1,2*‡], Jumpei Ito[3§],
Mitsuaki Takaki[4†§], Yusuke Okuno[5], Masahiro Yaguchi[1],
H. M. Abdullah Al Masud[1,6], Takahiro Watanabe[1], Kei Sato[3],
Shingo Iwami[4,7,8], Takayuki Murata[1,9] and Hiroshi Kimura[1*]

[1]Department of Virology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan, [2]Precursory
Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and Technology Agency,
Kawaguchi, Japan, [3]Division of Systems Virology, Department of Infectious Disease Control,
International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Institute of Medical Science, The University of
Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, [4]Mathematical Biology Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences,
Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, [5]Medical Genomics Center, Nagoya University Hospital, Nagoya,
Japan, [6]Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Chittagong,
Chattogram, Bangladesh, [7]Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST), Japan
Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan, [8]MIRAI, Japan Science and Technology Agency,
Kawaguchi, Japan, [9]Department of Virology and Parasitology, Fujita Health University School of
Medicine, Toyoake, Japan

KEYWORDS

EBV, pre-latent phase, abortive lytic infection, fate mapping, neo virology

A corrigendum on

Direct evidence of abortive lytic infection-mediated establishment of Epstein-Barr virus latency during B-cell infection

by Inagaki, T., Sato, Y., Ito, J., Takaki, M., Okuno, Y., Yaguchi, M., Masud, H. M. A. A., Watanabe, T., Sato, K., Iwami, S., Murata, T., and Kimura, H. (2021). *Front. Microbiol*. 11:575255. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.575255

In the published article, there was an error in Table 2 as published. We have carelessly swapped the rows and columns of Table 2. The corrected Table 2 and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 2 Estimated parameters by fitting the mathematical model to experimental data.

Symbol	Unit	Value		
		$p = 0$	$p = 0.1$	$p = 1{,}000$
g	Day^{-1}	7.33×10^{-1}		
K	Cell	6.51×10^{6}		
c	Day^{-1}	2.44×10^{-1}		
β	(Day $\times$ cell)$^{-1}$	2.46×10^{-6}	6.36×10^{-7}	8.08×10^{-11}
T_0	Cell	8.53×10^{4}	6.76×10^{4}	6.17×10^{4}
$I_{ar,0}$	Cell	1.80×10^{4}	3.88×10^{4}	4.62×10^{4}