# Influence of deficit irrigation and nano NK application on root dynamics, physiology and WUE of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*)

A VASUKI<sup>1</sup>, V K PAULPANDI<sup>2</sup>, A GURUSAMY<sup>1\*</sup>, T SIVAKUMAR<sup>1</sup> and K PRABAKARAN<sup>1</sup>

Agricultural College and Research Institute (Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu), Madurai, Tamil Nadu 625 104, India

Received: 06 October 2023; Accepted: 04 January 2024

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the rainy (*kharif*) (June–September, 2022) and winter (*rabi*) (December 2022–March, 2023) seasons at Agricultural College and Research Institute (Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu), Madurai, Tamil Nadu to study the effect of irrigation methods and nutrient management strategies on the growth of roots, physiological aspects, yield and water use efficiency of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Experiment was conducted in a split-plot design comprised of 3 irrigation methods, viz. Irrigation at 15 cm depletion of water level in field water tube (M<sub>1</sub>); Alternate wetting and drying (M<sub>2</sub>); Conventional flooding (M<sub>3</sub>) in main plot and 7 nutrient management strategies, viz. Application of 50% N + nano urea as foliar spray (S<sub>2</sub>); 50% K + nano potash as foliar spray (S<sub>3</sub>); 75% K + nano potash as foliar spray (S<sub>4</sub>); 50 and 75% N and K + nano urea and potash as foliar spray (S<sub>5</sub>); 100% RDF (S<sub>6</sub>); and absolute control (S<sub>7</sub>) in sub plot, replicated thrice. Results indicated that under M<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, root characteristics like length, volume and dry weight were notably higher. Physiological attributes such as stomatal conductance, transpiration and photosynthetic rate recorded high with M<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub>. However, the maximum yield and water use efficiency were observed with M<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub>. In conclusion, the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> is considered as practical recommendation for farmers in the Periyar Vaigai command area, ensuring optimal yield while economically utilizing water and fertilizers.

Keywords: Irrigation, Nano NK, Nutrient, Rice, Water use efficiency

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) consumption has exceeded 50 kg per capita annually, with Asia alone contributing to 87% of the total cultivation area and consuming 90% of the global rice production (FAO 2018). Specifically, in Tamil Nadu, rice cultivation spans 2.03 Mha, resulting in production of 6.8 Mt with a productivity of 3.3 t/ha (Indiastat 2021). To address the challenge of feeding a growing population amidst water scarcity, it's imperative to enhance food production and modify farming practices (Maneepitak et al. 2019). Various approaches, including aerobic rice, drip irrigation and sprinkler irrigation have been developed to boost yields. Despite the substantial benefits observed in drip and sprinkler irrigation, their adoption remains limited among small and marginal farmers. Though aerobic rice is an efficient water saving practice, it has low yield potential than lowland rice. Alternate wetting and drying method is being favoured by resource-limited farmers, conserves

<sup>1</sup>Agricultural College and Research Institute (Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu), Madurai, Tamil Nadu; <sup>2</sup>Krishna College of Agriculture and Technology (Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu), Usilampatti, Madurai, Tamil Nadu. \*Corresponding author email: guruwms2009@gmail.com 15–30% of water, either maintaining or increasing yields compared to conventional method (Ishfaq *et al.* 2020). Field water tube method effectively schedule irrigation under alternate wetting and drying, preventing crop over drying. Scientists at IRRI have established a safe threshold limit of a 15 cm water level depletion below which rice crop yields are adversely affected (Prithiwiraj 2017).

Fertilizers play a vital role in increasing the productivity, with nitrogen and potassium significantly influencing crop growth and quality. The efficacy of applied nitrogen and potassium fertilizers is approximately 30–40% and 40–50% respectively (Azam *et al.* 2022). Smart fertilizers like nano fertilizers have substantial potential in enhancing crop yield and fertilizer use efficiency (Anjuman *et al.* 2017). Nano urea, for instance, elevates crop yield by 8% and nitrogen use efficiency to 80% compared to conventional urea (Namasharma *et al.* 2023). Considering these factors, present experiment was planned to study the impact of water-saving irrigation methods and nano NK fertilizers on the productivity of transplanted rice.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted during rainy (*kharif*) (June–September, 2022) and winter (*rabi*) (December

2022-March, 2023) seasons at Agricultural College and Research Institute (Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu), Madurai (9°54'N and 78°54'E with an altitude of 147 m amsl), Tamil Nadu. The soil was sandy clay loam, medium in available nitrogen (241.2 and 213.4 kg/ha); phosphorus (16.2 and 16.4 kg/ha) and high in available potassium (332.5 and 341.5 kg/ha) in both the seasons. During kharif, a total of 414.5 mm rainfall was received in 19 rainy days with an average relative humidity (RH) of 83.5%. The mean maximum and minimum temperature were 34.7°C and 24.2°C respectively. During rabi, total rainfall received was about 49.6 mm in 7 rainy days with average RH of 90.5%. The average maximum and minimum temperature recorded were 31.9°C and 22.1°C respectively. Short duration rice variety Co 54 with duration of 110-115 days was used as test crop during both the seasons. The experiment was designed in split-plot having 3 irrigation methods, viz. Irrigation at 15 cm depletion of water level in field water tube  $(M_1)$ ; Alternate wetting and drying  $(M_2)$ ; Conventional flooding  $(M_3)$  in main plot and 7 nutrient management strategies, viz. Application of 50% N + nano urea as foliar spray  $(S_1)$ ; 75% N + nano urea as foliar spray  $(S_2)$ ; 50% K + nano potash as foliar spray  $(S_3)$ ; 75% K + nano potash as foliar spray ( $S_4$ ); 50 and 75% N and K + nano urea and potash as foliar spray  $(S_5)$ ; 100% RDF  $(S_6)$ ; and absolute control  $(S_7)$  in sub plot, replicated thrice. Individual plots with a dimension of 5 m  $\times$  5 m was formed with irrigation channels and buffer channels (1 m width) and levelled thoroughly. The net plot size was 4.6 m × 4.6 m.

Normal irrigation practice (5 cm depth) was given up to 10 DAT (days after transplanting) for better establishment of crop and thereafter irrigation was provided as per treatments. Irrigation through field water tube (FWT) was given through PVC pipe of 30 cm length and 15 cm diameter. Bottom of the pipe (15 cm) was perforated with 0.5 cm diameter. Perforated side of the tube was inserted into the field and soil accumulated inside was removed periodically. For alternate wetting and drying method (AWD), irrigation was given after hairline crack appearance in surface soil. In conventional flooding, water was stagnated up to 5 cm water depth. Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) of 120:40:40 kg NPK/ha was followed during both the seasons as per TNAU CPG (2020). Nutrients were applied as basal (100% P and 50% N and K), 25 DAT (25% N and K) and at 45 DAT (25% N and K) in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP). Foliar spray of nano N and K fertilizers was given @4 ml/litre of water at active tillering and panicle initiation stage.

Seed rate adopted was 60 kg/ha and 21-days seedlings were transplanted from nursery to main field. In *kharif* season, transplanting was done on 11<sup>th</sup> July 2022 and crop was harvested on 08<sup>th</sup> October 2022. During *rabi*, seedlings were transplanted on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2023 and harvested on 03<sup>rd</sup> April 2023. Pre-emergence herbicide, viz. Bensulfuron Methyl 0.6% + Pretilachlor 6% was applied on 3 DAT. Early post emergence herbicide

Bispyripac sodium was sprayed on 15 DAT followed by one hand weeding on 45 DAT.

Root characters such as length (cm), volume (cc/ hill) and dry weight (g) were measured for each treatment combinations. Physiological attributes such as transpiration rate ( $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec), photosynthetic rate (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) and stomatal conductance (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) were recorded using portable photosynthetic system (Model LC *Pro* T, ADC Bioscientific Ltd) equipped with choice of integrated light units fitted with GPS. Leaves were inserted in a 3 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf chamber for assessing above physiological parameters. Grain and straw yield were recorded from the net plot area and expressed in kg/ha. Water use efficiency (WUE) was worked out as suggested by Viets (1962):

$$WUE = \frac{Yield (kg/ha)}{Total water use (mm)}$$

To compare the difference among treatment combinations, data analyses was done with R software version 4.2.1 at 5% probability level as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Root morphology parameters*: Root length and volume reveals the root system's soil contact, aiding the absorption of water and nutrients, promoting shoot photosynthesis. Higher root dry weight enhances the resources availability fostering photosynthetic accumulation. All the root parameters were found maximum with treatment  $M_1$  during *kharif* and *rabi* of about 24.9 and 22.5 cm length, volume of 23.6 cc and 21.8 cc/hill (Table 1). While treatment  $M_3$  recorded 17.7 and 15.3 cm of length and 16.9 and 15.7 cc/hill of volume. Treatment  $M_1$  recorded 7.059 and 6.865 g and  $M_3$  recorded 6.011 and 5.627 g of root dry weight in both seasons. AWD method improves aeration, facilitating root access to water and oxygen. Similar results were reported by Sathish *et al.* (2017) in which they observed increased root volume with irrigation at 5 cm depletion of water level in FWT.

Among nutrient management strategies, treatment  $S_2$  recorded maximum root length of 25.6 and 21.0 cm, root volume of 23.9 and 22.5 cc/hill along with root dry weight of 7.534 and 7.460 g in both seasons (Table 1). This could be due to adequate supply of N at basal which might have promoted better root growth. Wang *et al.* (2022) observed maximum root attributes under adequate supply of nitrogen at the initial stage of about 60% N applied as basal.

With interaction,  $M_1S_2$  surpassed other treatment combinations by recording root length of 31.2 and 25.7 cm, root volume of 27.7 and 26.1 cc/hill, root dry weight of 8.230 and 8.210 g during both the seasons respectively.

*Physiological attributes*: Transpiration rate (5.19 and 4.98 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) and photosynthetic rate (19.4 and 18.1  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) were observed high under treatment M<sub>2</sub> while minimum with M<sub>1</sub> (4.39 and 4.29 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) (14.4 and 13.6  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) in *kharif* and *rabi* season during panicle initiation stage (Table 2). Stomatal conductance of about 0.28 and 0.27 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec were registered under M<sub>2</sub>

Treatment	nent Root le (cm		Root volume (cc/hill)		Root dry weight (g)	
	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi
М						
M <sub>1</sub>	24.9	22.5	23.6	21.8	7.059	6.865
M <sub>2</sub>	21.8	19.7	21.7	20.2	6.659	6.369
M <sub>3</sub>	17.7	15.3	16.9	15.7	6.011	5.627
SEd	0.71	0.61	0.67	0.66	0.196	0.192
CD (P=0.05)	1.53	1.31	1.43	1.30	0.424	0.410
S						
S <sub>1</sub>	20.7	18.3	19.2	18.3	6.047	5.764
$S_2$	25.6	21.0	23.9	22.5	7.534	7.460
S <sub>3</sub>	20.9	19.0	20.5	18.1	6.473	5.982
$S_4$	22.1	20.6	22.5	21.1	7.008	6.926
$S_5$	21.5	19.5	20.6	19.3	6.645	6.391
S <sub>6</sub>	20.7	19.2	20.4	19.0	6.413	6.321
$S_7$	18.7	16.7	18.1	16.5	5.705	5.164
SEd	0.76	0.65	0.70	0.65	0.203	0.203
CD (P=0.05)	1.63	1.39	1.49	1.39	0.438	0.434
$M \times S$						
$M_1S_1$	24.9	21.7	21.4	20.0	6.357	6.543
$M_1S_2$	31.2	25.7	27.7	26.1	8.230	8.210
$M_1S_3$	25.3	21.3	24.3	20.3	6.857	6.303
$M_1S_4$	26.0	23.7	26.1	24.6	7.587	7.555
$M_1S_5$	23.5	24.2	23.1	21.7	7.646	6.812
$M_1S_6$	23.3	22.4	22.9	21.5	6.802	6.756
$M_1S_7$	20.3	18.7	20.0	18.7	5.934	5.873
$M_2S_1$	20.6	18.6	20.2	18.9	6.000	5.628
$M_2S_2$	25.8	20.1	25.5	24.0	7.753	7.724
$M_2S_3$	19.5	20.7	19.2	18.2	6.665	5.939
$M_2S_4$	22.1	21.8	23.6	22.2	7.020	6.979
$M_2S_5$	22.8	18.9	22.4	21.0	6.331	6.617
$M_2S_6$	22.3	20.3	21.9	20.6	6.521	6.470
$M_2S_7$	19.4	17.6	19.2	16.8	5.694	5.223
$M_3S_1$	16.7	14.7	16.1	15.9	5.784	5.121
$M_3S_2$	19.9	17.1	18.6	17.3	6.618	6.445
$M_3S_3$	17.9	14.9	17.9	15.7	5.897	5.702
$M_3S_4$	18.2	16.4	17.9	16.7	6.417	6.244
$M_3S_5$	18.1	15.5	16.2	15.1	5.957	5.745
$M_3S_6$	16.5	14.8	16.2	15.1	5.918	5.737
$M_3S_7$	16.4	13.8	15.1	14.0	5.485	4.397
SEd	0.79	0.65	0.71	0.66	0.206	0.206
CD (P=0.05)	1.69	1.40	1.51	1.42	0.445	0.441

Table 1 Effect of water saving irrigation methods and nano NK application on root dynamics of transplanted rice

Treatment details are given under Materials and Methods.

and 0.24 and 0.23 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec with  $M_1$ . The presence of adequate water enhanced the nutrient uptake thus promoted higher transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance.

Transpiration and photosynthetic rate of about 4.94 and 4.74 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec; 17.0 and 16.5 µmol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec were maximum under  $S_2$  and minimum with  $S_7$  treatment (Table 2). Optimum application of nitrogen can elevate both photosynthetic and transpiration rate. It can also improve the ability of mesophyll cells to assimilate CO<sub>2</sub> within the leaf to maximize the accumulation of dry matter production. Whereas stomatal conductance was recorded maximum with  $S_4$  (0.27 and 0.26 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec). Adequate potassium levels can help to regulate the opening and closing of stomata which have an influence over plant's water balance and overall physiology. Regarding interaction, M2S2 resulted in higher transpiration rate (5.31 and 5.26 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec), photosynthetic rate (19.81 and 18.84  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) and M<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> resulted in higher stomatal conductance (0.26 and 0.26  $mol/m^2/sec$ ). Whereas  $M_1S_7$  attributed minimum transpiration rate (4.25) and 4.22 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec), photosynthetic rate (14.31 and 13.32  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/sec) and stomatal conductance (0.22 and 0.18 mol/  $m^2$ /sec). Guo *et al.* (2021) stated that, water and nitrogen coupling had an impact on transpiration and photosynthetic rate, and stomatal conductance in maize crop.

*Yield*: Both irrigation and nutrient management strategies had considerable impact over grain and straw yield of rice (Table 3, Fig. 1 and 2). With irrigation method  $M_2$  grain and straw yield of rice was obtained maximum of 4570 and 6166 kg/ha in *kharif* and 4453 and 6013 kg/ha in *rabi* season. This could be owing to effective nutrient uptake under adequate moisture supply which reflected higher accumulation of dry matter thus resulted in higher yield. It was 28.0% higher than  $M_1$  in *kharif* and 28.7% in *rabi*. Scarcity of water and CO<sub>2</sub> hampered photosynthetic process resulted in poor translocation and accumulation of photosynthates which might have reduced both grain and straw yield in treatment  $M_1$  (Arivukkumar *et al.* 2021).

Among nutrient management strategies,  $S_5$  recorded maximum grain and straw yield of about 5048 and 4931 kg/ha in *kharif* and 6797 and 6660 kg/ha in *rabi* and was followed by  $S_2$ . Nano N as foliar spray enhances the plant uptake through stomatal penetration, influencing nutrient use efficiency. Nano K as foliar spray might have improved yield traits, boosting grain yield. Similar results were obtained by Velmurugan *et al.* (2021). It was 54% higher than  $S_7$  in *kharif* and 55% in *rabi* while they were observed minimum with  $S_7$ .

With regard to interaction,  $M_2S_5$  recorded the maximum grain and straw yield of about 5797 and 7826 kg/ha in *kharif*; 5673 and 7681 kg/ha in *rabi* season. Sufficient water and nutrient supply throughout the crop growth period enhanced the assimilate translocation from source to sink, thus increased the yield attributes, contributed to higher yield.  $M_1S_7$  produced minimum grain and straw yield of 2074 and 2763 kg/ha in *kharif*; and 1957 and 2642 kg/ha in *rabi* season.

# VASUKI ET AL.

Table 2 Effect of water saving irrigation methods and nano NK application on physiological attributes of transplanted rice

Treatment	Transpiration ra	Transpiration rate (mol/m <sup>2</sup> /sec)		Photosynthetic rate (µmol/m <sup>2</sup> /sec)		Stomatal conductance (mol/m <sup>2</sup> /sec)	
	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi	
М							
M <sub>1</sub>	4.39	4.29	14.4	13.6	0.24	0.23	
$M_2$	5.19	4.98	19.4	18.1	0.26	0.25	
M <sub>3</sub>	4.89	4.72	16.5	15.9	0.28	0.27	
SEd	0.13	0.12	0.50	0.44	0.007	0.007	
CD (P=0.05)	0.28	0.25	1.07	0.95	0.015	0.015	
S							
S <sub>1</sub>	4.91	4.69	16.8	15.8	0.25	0.24	
S <sub>2</sub>	4.94	4.79	17.0	16.5	0.27	0.26	
S <sub>3</sub>	4.82	4.59	16.7	15.8	0.25	0.23	
$S_4$	4.80	4.74	16.8	15.5	0.28	0.27	
$S_5$	4.86	4.77	16.8	15.9	0.27	0.26	
S <sub>6</sub>	4.79	4.56	16.7	16.0	0.26	0.24	
S <sub>7</sub>	4.66	4.50	16.6	15.5	0.24	0.20	
SEd	0.12	0.11	0.47	0.44	0.006	0.007	
CD (P=0.05)	0.26	0.24	1.00	0.94	0.013	0.014	
$\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{S}$							
$M_1S_1$	4.42	4.30	14.41	13.42	0.23	0.22	
$M_1S_2$	4.50	4.31	14.52	14.22	0.26	0.24	
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	4.44	4.31	14.39	13.35	0.24	0.22	
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	4.41	4.30	14.41	13.39	0.24	0.23	
$M_1S_5$	4.40	4.32	14.49	13.62	0.25	0.25	
$M_1S_6$	4.34	4.28	14.41	14.04	0.24	0.22	
$M_1S_7$	4.25	4.22	14.31	13.32	0.22	0.18	
$M_2S_1$	5.27	4.89	19.54	17.81	0.24	0.23	
$M_2S_2$	5.31	5.26	19.81	18.84	0.26	0.26	
$M_2S_3$	5.09	4.79	19.44	18.43	0.23	0.23	
$M_2S_4$	5.22	5.20	19.46	18.01	0.29	0.28	
$M_2S_5$	5.23	5.21	19.43	18.24	0.28	0.27	
$M_2S_6$	5.19	4.78	19.46	18.32	0.26	0.25	
$M_2S_7$	5.02	4.70	19.35	17.68	0.25	0.20	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	5.04	4.87	16.61	16.11	0.28	0.26	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	5.00	4.81	16.64	16.42	0.30	0.29	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	4.92	4.68	16.51	15.85	0.27	0.25	
$M_3S_4$	4.78	4.71	16.62	15.32	0.31	0.31	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	4.96	4.78	16.65	16.09	0.29	0.27	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	4.84	4.62	16.43	15.84	0.28	0.26	
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>7</sub>	4.71	4.59	16.32	15.63	0.25	0.22	
SEd	0.13	0.13	0.48	0.45	0.007	0.007	
CD (P=0.05)	0.28	0.28	1.02	0.96	0.015	0.014	

Treatment details are given under Materials and Methods.



Fig. 1 Effect of irrigation methods and nutrient management strategies on grain yield of transplanted rice during *kharif* (A) and *rabi* (B) seasons.Treatment details are given under Materials and Methods.

Water use efficiency: Water use efficiency (WUE) was inversely related to total water use. Total water use varied with different irrigation methods and was maximum (1262.6 mm in *kharif* and 1401.9 mm in *rabi*) with treatment M<sub>3</sub> followed by M<sub>2</sub> (1009.6 and 1181.1 mm in both season) and  $M_1$  (913.5 and 1011.3 mm) (Table 3). WUE found maximum under M2 (4.53 and 3.77 kg/ha/mm) followed by M<sub>1</sub> and minimum with M<sub>3</sub> Application of required amount of irrigation water combined with corresponding yield production might have enhanced WUE. Wang et al. (2022) also reported maximum WUE under alternate wetting and drying when compared with severe alternate wetting and drying. With regard to nutrient management practices, S<sub>5</sub> recorded maximum WUE of about 4.77 and 4.12 kg/ha/mm in both the seasons. Sufficient supply of nutrients might have boosted the grain yield. Ahamadian et al. (2021) observed higher WUE with application of silica nano-fertilizer in wheat crop.

Table 3 Effect of water saving irrigation methods and nano NK application on yield and WUE of transplanted rice

Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)		Straw yield (kg/ha)		WUE (kg/ha/mm)	
	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi	Kharif	Rabi
M						
M <sub>1</sub>	3290	3169	4385	4283	3.60	3.14
M <sub>2</sub>	4570	4448	6166	6013	4.53	3.77
M <sub>3</sub>	4325	4200	5813	5680	3.43	3.00
SEd	81.94	80.62	110.50	118.45	0.07	0.06
CD (P=0.05)	227.51	223.85	306.82	328.89	0.17	0.13
S						
S <sub>1</sub>	4025	3904	5398	5275	3.82	3.28
S <sub>2</sub>	4678	4556	6273	6157	4.44	3.82
S <sub>3</sub>	3783	3659	5074	4950	3.59	3.08
S <sub>4</sub>	4308	4183	5785	5659	4.07	3.50
S <sub>5</sub>	5048	4924	6797	6660	4.77	4.12
S <sub>6</sub>	4259	4134	5729	5592	4.03	3.46
S <sub>7</sub>	2329	2213	3125	2985	2.22	1.87
SEd	117.36	119.21	161.01	158.63	0.07	0.07
CD (P=0.05)	238.06	241.79	326.59	321.76	0.16	0.15
$M \times S$						
$M_1S_1$	3370	3251	4482	4391	3.69	3.22
$M_1S_2$	3745	3625	4985	4898	4.10	3.59
$M_1S_3$	3159	3037	4198	4106	3.46	3.01
$M_1S_4$	3400	3279	4529	4432	3.72	3.25
$M_1S_5$	3887	3764	5209	5090	4.26	3.73
$M_1S_6$	3394	3268	4528	4425	3.72	3.24
$M_1S_7$	2074	1957	2763	2642	2.27	1.94
$M_2S_1$	4478	4359	6045	5887	4.44	3.69
$M_2S_2$	5344	5223	7198	7056	5.29	4.43
$M_2S_3$	4180	4058	5643	5486	4.14	3.44
$M_2S_4$	4830	4703	6521	6365	4.78	3.99
$M_2S_5$	5797	5673	7826	7681	5.74	4.81
$M_2S_6$	4791	4670	6458	6310	4.75	3.96
$M_2S_7$	2568	2451	3467	3303	2.54	2.08
$M_3S_1$	4226	4101	5667	5547	3.35	2.93
$M_3S_2$	4945	4821	6636	6517	3.92	3.44
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	4011	3881	5379	5257	3.18	2.78
$M_3S_4$	4695	4567	6305	6180	3.72	3.27
$M_3S_5$	5461	5336	7355	7210	4.33	3.81
$M_3S_6$	4593	4465	6200	6041	3.64	3.19
$M_3S_7$	2346	2230	3146	3011	1.86	1.59
SEd	205.27	207.47	280.85	280.61	0.13	0.12
CD (P=0.05)	440.83	444.25	602.20	606.58	0.29	0.25

Treatment details are given under Materials and Methods. WUE, Water use efficiency.

Regarding interaction,  $M_2S_5$  accounted the maximum WUE of 5.74 and 4.81 kg/ha/mm. Maximum yield coupled with minimum water use promoted higher water use efficiency. Minimum was accounted with conventional flooding with absolute control  $M_3S_7$  in both *kharif* (1.86 kg/ha/mm) and *rabi* (1.59 kg/ha/mm) season respectively. Frequent irrigations accounted for maximum total water use and comparable yield might have declined WUE. Similar result was noted by Ahamadian *et al.* (2021) in which they found that deficit irrigation coupled with application of silica nano fertilizer in wheat registered maximum WUE.

From the experimental findings, it is concluded that adoption of alternate wetting and drying with application of 50% N and K + nano urea and nano potash as foliar spray  $(M_2S_5)$  at critical stages increased productivity and input efficiency in transplanted rice.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahamadian K, Jalilian J and Pirzad A. 2021. Nano fertilizers improved drought tolerance in wheat under deficit irrigation. *Agricultural Water Management* **244**: 106544.
- Anjuman A R, Shurvoi Z, Shah M and Imamul H. 2017. Preparation characterization and evaluation of phosphorus and potassium incorporated nano fertilizer. *Advances in Nanoparticles* **6**: 62–74.
- Arivukkumar N, Joseph M, Rajakumar D and Jothimani S. 2021. Management of water stress through different irrigation scheduling and drought mitigation techniques on performance of wet seeded rice. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10(11): 297–304.
- Azam M, Bhatti H N, Khan A, Zafar L and Iqbal M. 2022. Zinc oxide nano-fertilizer application (foliar and soil) effect on the growth, photosynthetic pigments and antioxidant system of maize cultivar. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology* 42.
- FAO. 2018. World Food and Agriculture. https://www.fao.org/3/ cb4477en/cb4477en.pdf
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley and sons.
- Guo Y, Yin W, Fan H, Fan Z, Hu F, Yu A and Zhao C. 2021.

Photosynthetic physiological characteristics of water and nitrogen coupling for enhanced high-density tolerance and increased yield of maize in arid irrigation regions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 1–14.

- Indiasat. 2021. Edited by Ministry of Agriculture Directorate of Economics and Statistic.
- Ishfaq M, Akbar N, Anjum S A and Ul-Haq M A. 2020. Growth, yield and water productivity of dry direct seeded rice and transplanted aromatic rice under different irrigation management regimes. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 19(11): 2656–73.
- Maneepitak S, Ullah H, Paothong K, Kachenchart B, Datta A and Shrestha R P. 2019. Effect of water and rice straw management practices on yield and water productivity of irrigated lowland rice in the central plain of Thailand. *Agricultural Water Management* 211: 89–97.
- Namasharma S, Pahari A, Banik A, Pal S, Sana M, Pal S and Banerjee H. 2023. Impact of foliar applied nano-urea on growth, productivity and profitability of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza* 60(3): 464–72.
- Prithiwiraj D E. 2017. Smart water management in rice by IRRI's cost effective pani-pipe method for sustainable and climate smart rice development: A short communication. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **9**(17).
- Sathish A, Kumar A K, Reddy R R and Umadevi M. 2017. Growth and water stress parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by cultivation and irrigation regimes in puddled soil. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **9**(2): 3643–46.
- TNAU CPG. 2020. Crop Production Guide. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University and Directorate of Agriculture, Chennai.
- Velmurugan A, Subramani T, Bommyasamy N, Ramakrishnan, Kumar M and Swarnam T P. 2021. The effect of foliar application of nano urea (liquid) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Journal of the Andaman Science Association* 26(2): 76–81.
- Viets F G. 1962. Fertilizers and the efficient use of water. *Advances in Agronomy* **14**: 223–64.
- Wang Z, Jia Y, Fu J, Qu Z, Wang X, Zou D, Wang J, Liu H, Zheng H, Wang J, Yang L, Xu H and Zhao H. 2022. An analysis based on japonica rice root charactersitics and crop growth under the interaction of irrigation and nitrogen methods. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13.