

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

A small RNA that cooperatively senses two stacked metabolites in one pocket for gene control.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/71c775bq>

Journal

Nature Communications, 13(1)

Authors

Schroeder, Griffin

Cavender, Chapin

Blau, Maya

et al.

Publication Date








2022-01-11

DOI

10.1038/s41467-021-27790-8

Peer reviewed

A small RNA that cooperatively senses two stacked metabolites in one pocket for gene control

Griffin M. Schroeder ^{1,2}, Chapin E. Cavender ^{1,2}, Maya E. Blau ³, Jermaine L. Jenkins ^{1,2},
David H. Mathews ^{1,2} & Joseph E. Wedekind ^{1,2} 

Riboswitches are structured non-coding RNAs often located upstream of essential genes in bacterial messenger RNAs. Such RNAs regulate expression of downstream genes by recognizing a specific cellular effector. Although nearly 50 riboswitch classes are known, only a handful recognize multiple effectors. Here, we report the 2.60-Å resolution co-crystal structure of a class I type I preQ₁-sensing riboswitch that reveals two effectors stacked atop one another in a single binding pocket. These effectors bind with positive cooperativity in vitro and both molecules are necessary for gene regulation in bacterial cells. Stacked effector recognition appears to be a hallmark of the largest subgroup of preQ₁ riboswitches, including those from pathogens such as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. We postulate that binding to stacked effectors arose in the RNA World to closely position two substrates for RNA-mediated catalysis. These findings expand known effector recognition capabilities of riboswitches and have implications for antimicrobial development.

¹Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, University of Rochester School of Medicine & Dentistry, Rochester, NY 14642, USA. ²Center for RNA Biology, University of Rochester School of Medicine & Dentistry, Rochester, NY 14642, USA. ³University of Rochester, 120 Trustee Road, Rochester, NY 14627, USA. ✉email: joseph.wedekind@rochester.edu

Riboswitches are found primarily in the 5' leader sequences of bacterial mRNAs where they regulate the expression of genes by recognizing a cognate effector^{1–3}. These RNA-control elements usually comprise two domains: an aptamer that recognizes a metabolite with high specificity and an expression platform that contains gene-regulatory sequences¹. Upon ligand binding, the expression platform undergoes conformational changes that alter the accessibility of key regulatory regions, such as the Shine-Dalgarno sequence (SDS), which must be unobstructed to initiate translation³. Direct observation of ligand-mediated transitions in riboswitches has enriched our understanding of RNA allostery and folding^{4–7}. Riboswitches are also promising antimicrobial targets due to their presence in numerous human pathogens² and the finding that riboswitch dysregulation can compromise bacterial virulence⁸.

PreQ₁-I (class I) riboswitches are the founding group of bacterial gene regulators that control the cellular concentration of queuosine (Q)⁹ (Fig. 1a)—a hypermodified 7-deazapurine nucleobase required for translational fidelity in mammals and bacteria^{10–12}. Although Q is not essential in bacteria, Q deficiency is associated with slow mid-log growth¹³, compromised

stationary-phase viability¹¹, and loss of virulence¹⁴. Previous preQ₁-I riboswitch structures revealed an H-type pseudoknot fold, which recognizes a single preQ₁ ligand that completes coaxial stacking between flanking helices, thus stabilizing the expression platform^{15–18}. The small size and well-defined fold of this class have spurred investigations of its folding and dynamics^{4,15,19–21}, effector specificity^{9,22}, the ligand-free to bound-state transition^{4,18,19,22,23} and targeting with drug-like molecules^{24,25}. Multiple bacterial species exhibit 1:1 riboswitch-to-preQ₁ stoichiometry^{15–18,22,24,26}, which is the prevailing ligand-binding mode of most riboswitches².

Importantly, the latter preQ₁-I riboswitch analyses have considered relatively few sequences. Recent work further classified preQ₁-I riboswitches into three subgroups called types I–III²⁷. Inspection of the associated consensus models reveals that types I and II adopt similar secondary structures (Fig. 1b & Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). Although preQ₁-I_{II} (type II) sequences prefer adenosine before the cytidine specificity base, preQ₁-I_I sequences prefer uracil followed by CUA in the 3'-expression platform^{9,27}. This observation and the results we describe in this study suggest that all previously studied sequences are preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitches.




Fig. 1 Queuosine biosynthesis, the preQ₁ riboswitch consensus model and co-crystal structure of the *Carnobacterium antarcticus* (*Can*) riboswitch.

a The queuosine (Q) biosynthetic pathway proceeds through the 7-deazapurine metabolite preQ₁⁶⁹. **b** PreQ₁-I riboswitch subtypes shown as secondary structures based on covariation²⁷. Red, black and gray positions indicate 97, 90, and 75% sequence conservation. Asterisk indicates a specificity base predicted to recognize preQ₁. **c** Secondary structure of the *Can* riboswitch. Colors correspond to specific pseudoknot base pairing (P) and loop (L) sequences. PreQ₁ is depicted as “Q”. Noncanonical pairing is indicated by Leontis–Westhof symbols⁷⁰. The Shine-Dalgarno sequence (SDS) and anti-(a) SDS are highlighted in yellow and cyan. **d** Ribbon diagram of the global *Can* riboswitch fold. **e** Binding pocket floor overview wherein floor bases comprise the A28•G5–C18 base triple. Dashed lines depict hydrogen bonds here and elsewhere. **f** Overview of the pocket ceiling, which comprises the U32•A12•C8 base triple. The view highlights P2 bases in the aSDS and SDS.

Importantly, preQ₁-I_I riboswitches, found in gram-positive and -negative bacteria, are more represented than all other preQ₁ riboswitch subgroups and classes combined²⁷.

To elucidate the gene-regulatory properties of preQ₁-I_I riboswitches, we determined the co-crystal structure of a preQ₁-I_I riboswitch from *Carnobacterium antarcticus*²⁸ (*Can*). The H-type pseudoknot structure unexpectedly reveals two bound preQ₁ effectors in a single aptamer (Fig. 1c, d). Although several riboswitches can recognize two effectors, these binding pockets are spatially separated^{29–34}. In this respect, the *Can* preQ₁-I_I riboswitch is exceptional because the metabolites stack tandemly, forming an unprecedented ligand-ligand interface within a single pocket. Using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) with in-house software that models two interdependent binding sites, we demonstrated that two preQ₁ effectors bind with positive cooperativity. Mutants at each effector site reduce binding affinity and raise the concentration of preQ₁ required for gene repression in a bacterial reporter assay. We also found that additional preQ₁-I_I sequences from *Haemophilus influenzae* (*Hin*) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (*Ngo*) sense two preQ₁ effectors with positive cooperativity, suggesting that tandem, stacked effector binding is a hallmark of all preQ₁-I_I riboswitches. Use of a single binding pocket to recognize two effectors has implications for the development of new antimicrobials that utilize a chemical scaffold that avoids cross-reactivity with naturally occurring metabolites.

Results

Features of the *Can* riboswitch fold. To identify a suitable preQ₁-I_I riboswitch for structural and functional analysis, we searched previously curated type I sequences²⁷ (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1a) for a strong SDS (5'-AGGAG-3') to use in a bacterial reporter assay¹³. We found several candidates, such as that from *Paenibacillus terrae*, but NCBI BLAST searches led to the discovery of an unreported sequence from *Can*²⁸. This riboswitch crystallized readily from low salt solutions and the preQ₁-bound co-crystal structure was determined by molecular replacement. The structure was refined to 2.60 Å-resolution yielding $R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}$ values of 0.23/0.27 with acceptable quality-control metrics (Supplementary Table 1). Three crystallographically independent molecules were built, which showed varied quality in electron-density maps. Chains A and B are well defined, but the chain C P1-L3 junction shows a break (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Importantly, both effectors and the core aptamer are well-resolved in each chain (Supplementary Fig. 2b), providing a firm foundation to guide functional experiments.

The overall fold of the *Can* preQ₁-I_I riboswitch is an H-type pseudoknot (Fig. 1c, d). P1 is a canonical A-form helix whose minor groove is recognized by six A-amino-kissing interactions donated by the A-rich patch in L3 (Supplementary Fig. 3). This stabilizing segment culminates with a A28•G5•C18•A29 base-triple variation that forms the binding pocket floor and is reminiscent of preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitch structures from *Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis* (*Tte*)^{16,18,22} and *Bacillus subtilis* (*Bsu*)^{15,17} (Fig. 1e). The pocket ceiling comprises an C8•A12•U32 base triple derived entirely from P2 (Fig. 1f). This configuration contrasts with preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitches, in which the ceiling is formed by bases from both P2 and the L2 loop^{9,16,17}. The preference for C8 and U32 in preQ₁-I_I riboswitches appears to be incompatible with the base quadruple ceiling observed in preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitches that require an adenine immediately before the cytosine specificity base (Fig. 1b & Supplementary Figs. 1a, b).

P2 also contains the expression platform, wherein the Watson-Crick (WC) face of A33 of the SDS pairs non-canonically with G9 and its 2'-hydroxyl interacts with the WC face of A11 (Fig. 1f). These SDS-anti(a)SDS interactions presumably attenuate




Fig. 2 The *Can* preQ₁-I_I riboswitch pocket with two preQ₁ ligands and confirmation of ligand-to-RNA stoichiometry. **a** Overview of fully occupied binding pocket. Interactions in the **(b)** α site and **(c)** β site. **d** Representative ITC experiment with titration of preQ₁ into WT *Can* RNA. The binding constant K_D , ligand-to-RNA stoichiometry N , and c value are shown.

translation. Although we hypothesize that SDS nucleobase G34 makes a WC pair with C10, the former is involved in a crystal contact (Supplementary Fig. 2c). Notably, the *Tte* preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitch forms the expected aSDS-SDS C-G intramolecular WC pair, while also exhibiting non-canonical pairing in its expression platform^{16,18,22}, as observed here for the *Can* preQ₁-I_I riboswitch.

Stacked metabolites in a small aptamer. A distinguishing feature of our structure is two preQ₁ molecules, which we term α and β , stacked in a single aptamer pocket (Fig. 2a). Recognition at the α site is conserved among preQ₁-I_I and preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitches, wherein specificity is conferred by a cytosine that recognizes preQ₁ by a *cis* WC interaction. Other conserved α -site contributions include the WC face of A30, the major-groove edge of U6 and the major-groove edge of G5, which interacts with the preQ₁ methylamine (Fig. 2b & Supplementary Figs. 4a–c). In contrast, the β site has not been observed previously. Bases C31 and U7, which are highly conserved among type I sequences, confer specificity for preQ₁ by contributing three hydrogen bonds that recognize the metabolite edge (Fig. 2c & Supplementary Fig. 1a). The β -site preQ₁ interacts with the α -site effector through aromatic stacking and donation of a hydrogen bond from the methylamine to both the keto oxygen of the α -site effector and O4 of U16 (Fig. 2a, c). The mode of β -site effector recognition differs from all known preQ₁ riboswitches, including

preQ₁-II³⁵ and preQ₁-III³⁶, which utilize *trans* WC-pairing to read the preQ₁ face (Supplementary Figs. 4d, e). Although other riboswitches bind two effectors, these examples involve distinct binding pockets that spatially separate the ligands^{32–34,37}. To our knowledge, recognition of two interacting ligands in a single aptamer pocket is unprecedented in RNA biology.

Stacked recognition is cooperative. Interacting ligands should cooperatively influence each other's binding. Analysis of the *Can* riboswitch by ITC at 25 °C showed that the wildtype (WT) sequence binds preQ₁ with an average macroscopic K_D of 32.0 ± 2.0 nM and a ligand-to-receptor ratio (N) of 1.8 (Fig. 2d). Fitting to a single-phase isotherm supports binding with positive cooperativity, in accord with our structure. Enthalpy drives binding and offsets the predicted entropic cost of ordering two ligands, producing a favorable ΔG° (Supplementary Table 2). Analysis at 37 °C to accentuate cooperative binding produced a parabolic thermogram best described by a binding model wherein two interdependent ligands occupy non-equivalent sites (Supplementary Figs. 5a, b). We implemented this model to assess the macroscopic binding constant of each interaction, which yielded K_{D1} of 891 nM and K_{D2} of 461 nM for the first and second binding events. The improved affinity observed for the second preQ₁ relative to the first indicates positive cooperativity, exemplified by the macroscopic cooperativity constant, γ , of 7.7 (Supplementary Table 3).

We next generated *Can* riboswitch mutants to probe recognition at the α and β sites. Position 17 is a major determinant of α -site specificity (Fig. 2a, b) and the C17U mutation severely weakened binding as indicated by macroscopic K_{D1} and K_{D2} values of 3.13 μ M and 1.30 μ M (Supplementary Fig. 5c & Supplementary Table 3). This result is consistent with the position of C17 in our structure and an equivalent nucleobase in the *Bsu* preQ₁-I₁ aptamer⁹. C17U showed a parabolic isotherm suggesting retention of two binding events. Likewise, position 31 shows a prominent role in β -site specificity (Fig. 2a, c). The C31U mutation produced a comparable parabolic isotherm, corresponding to K_{D1} and K_{D2} values of 6.64 μ M and 10.26 μ M (Supplementary Fig. 5d & Supplementary Table 3). As expected from the structure, C31U severely affects β -site recognition. Both C17U and C31U retain positive cooperativity with γ values of 9.6 and 2.6 (Supplementary Table 3).

Dual-binding signatures in other preQ₁-I₁ riboswitches. C17 and C31 are highly conserved in the type I consensus model (Fig. 1b & Supplementary Fig. 1a) and the importance of each is confirmed by our structure and ITC experiments. We next asked if dual binding is evident in other type I riboswitches. We used ITC at 25 °C to evaluate sequences from *Hin* and *Ngo*, which belong to the *Proteobacteria* phyla rather than the *Firmicutes* (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The *Hin* riboswitch binds preQ₁ with a K_D of 52.9 ± 0.2 nM whereas the *Ngo* riboswitch binds with a K_D of 50.5 ± 1.3 nM; like WT *Can*, each binds with an N of ~ 2 (Supplementary Table 2 & Supplementary Figs. 5e, f). Analysis at 37 °C accentuates the cooperative character of isotherms (Supplementary Figs. 5g, h), resulting in γ values of 26.7 and 32.9 that indicate substantial positive cooperativity for each (Supplementary Table 3). We note a high degree of sequence identity exists in the binding pocket of the *Can*, *Hin* and *Ngo* riboswitches (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Significantly, each possesses key nucleobases required for α and β site preQ₁ recognition including U6, U7, U16, C17, A30, and C31 (Supplementary Fig. 6). Given ITC evidence of cooperativity for all three riboswitches, it appears that each riboswitch uses a similar mode of dual, stacked preQ₁ recognition.

Gene regulation requires two effectors. Using a GFP_{uv} reporter gene^{13,38} controlled by the *Can* riboswitch in live cells, we asked whether both preQ₁ molecules were required for effective gene regulation (Fig. 3a). We hypothesized that when both sites are occupied the SDS would be less accessible, leading to greater repression of GFP_{uv} translation (Fig. 3b); likewise, intermediate levels of translation would occur if one site is occupied. Dose-response analysis of the WT riboswitch produced a biphasic curve with EC₅₀ values of 96 ± 14 nM (EC_{50, 1}) and 7100 ± 360 nM (EC_{50, 2}) (Fig. 3c & Supplementary Table 4). Collectively, both binding events confer 15.4-fold repression, comparable to the 14.9-fold repression observed for the *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (*Lrh*) preQ₁-II riboswitch³⁵, which binds a single ligand with an EC₅₀ of 15 nM¹³ (Fig. 3c, inset, 3d & Supplementary Table 4). Notably, the *Can* riboswitch sensing range is broader than the *Lrh* riboswitch in this assay, suggesting that it detects preQ₁ over a wider range of effector concentrations. At present, the basis for this apparent sensing difference is uncertain (see below). To ensure that the changes in GFP_{uv} expression were riboswitch driven, we evaluated a positive control containing an SDS without an upstream riboswitch and a negative control lacking the SDS¹³. As expected, neither control responded to changes in preQ₁ concentration (Fig. 3c, d). In accord with ITC data, C17U and C31U mutants each showed poorer EC₅₀ values that were ~ 60 -fold higher and ~ 210 -fold higher than WT (Fig. 3c-e & Supplementary Table 4). While each mutant retains dual binding in vitro, the elevated EC₅₀ values imply that preQ₁ levels must be significantly higher inside cells to elicit an efficient gene-regulatory response, underscoring the importance of each effector binding site for gene regulation.

Although our data cannot differentiate a preferred order of preQ₁ binding, impairment of the β site had a more pronounced effect on gene regulation (Fig. 3d, e). While C17U elicited a sixfold repression, the C31U variant repressed GFP_{uv} expression by only twofold (Fig. 3d, e). This functional disparity—also reflected by poorer C31U K_{D1} and K_{D2} values (Supplementary Table 3)—could be due to the requirement of the β effector to serve as a scaffold that supports the binding pocket ceiling via stacking (Fig. 1f). In this manner, the β site orders P2 in the gene off state while binding at the α site either orders the β site pocket or stabilizes effector binding at the β site.

Discussion

We described the structure and cooperative binding of a small riboswitch that senses two stacked effectors in a single binding pocket. Examination of all known preQ₁-I sequences encompassing multiple phyla revealed that nucleobases that compose the α and β binding sites are conserved only within preQ₁-I₁ sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1a). In contrast, only nucleobases associated with α site recognition are conserved within preQ₁-I_{II} sequences, consistent with known *Tte* and *Bsu* riboswitches structures (Supplementary Figs. 1b, 4b, c, & 6) and previous bioinformatic analysis²⁷. Although experimental analysis of the preQ₁-I_{III} riboswitch is sparse, it appears that nucleobases associated with α site recognition are conserved in preQ₁-I_{II} representatives, but not those associated with β site recognition (Supplementary Fig. 1c). This is consistent with previous ITC experiments, which demonstrated that this riboswitch binds with a 1:1 stoichiometry³⁹—like preQ₁-I_{II} representatives. Accordingly, the unprecedented mode of dual effector recognition appears to be a hallmark of the most common and taxonomically diverse preQ₁ riboswitch group^{2,27}, the preQ₁-I₁ riboswitch, which has been overlooked until now.

Cooperative riboswitches are posited to show a steep “digital” dose-response⁴⁰, yet the *Can* riboswitch exhibits a broad, biphasic




Fig. 3 Riboswitch reporter assay and dose response in live bacteria. **a** Schematic of the plasmid reporter. **b** Two-site binding model wherein preQ₁ can bind either site first. **c** Average GFP_{uv} emission dependence on preQ₁; (*inset*) one-site binding by the *Lrh* preQ₁ riboswitch³⁸. **d** Bar graph showing fold repression of GFP_{uv} emission for the *Can*, *Lrh* and mutant riboswitches with individual points shown. **e** Bar graph showing fold change in average EC₅₀ relative to *Can* riboswitch EC_{50,2}. Significance was determined by a two-tailed Student’s *t* test with Welch’s Correction (*n* = 3 biological replicates. **p* ≤ 0.05). S.E.M. is shown in **c** and **d**; propagated errors are shown in **e**.

dose-response in our in-cell GFP_{uv} assay despite the positive cooperativity we observe in our ITC analysis (Supplementary Table 3). Although it is tempting to associate each transition in our GFP_{uv} assay with an individual preQ₁ binding event, the intracellular concentration of preQ₁ is not known in such assays and depends on multiple factors, such as the efficacy of 7-deazapurine transporters^{41,42}. Additionally, we cannot rule out possible competition between preQ₁ and other metabolites in the cellular milieu⁴³, as observed for the *glmS* riboswitch⁴⁴. These, or other factors, likely influence the shape of the *Can* riboswitch dose-response curve (Fig. 3c); nevertheless, the preQ₁-I_I riboswitch is expected to maintain positive cooperativity inside the cell⁴³.

Our data allow us to conclude that dual-effector recognition is critical for efficient gene regulation by preQ₁-I_I riboswitches—as indicated by the deleterious effects caused specific α and β site

mutants. However, we can only speculate on the reason why cooperativity evolved in preQ₁-I_I riboswitches but not in other types or classes of the preQ₁ riboswitch family. Our data suggest that the level of regulation attained is similar between the preQ₁-I_I *Can* riboswitch and the preQ₁-II *Lrh* riboswitch, despite differences in preQ₁ binding stoichiometry³⁵ (Fig. 3d). This result suggests that these two disparate riboswitch folds evolved equally effective chemical networks to sense a common effector for gene regulation. Yet, cooperativity is expected to provide notable benefits in regulation efficiency. One such advantage is that gene expression is permitted when metabolite levels are low (Fig. 3b, *middle panel*), while assuring the ability to quickly attenuate expression before excess effector accrues in the cell⁴³. This is reasonable considering that many preQ₁-I_I riboswitches control the translation of transporters that salvage Q-precursor metabolites from the extracellular environment^{9,27,45}.

Although the *Can* and *Lrh* riboswitches differ in terms of binding stoichiometry and overall fold, each positions its expression platform near the binding pocket. This organization raises the question of whether dual, stacked metabolite binding could be effective to regulate folds wherein the aptamer is located distally from the expression platform. PreQ₁-III riboswitches exemplify this organization, wherein the expression platform can be as far as 40 Å away from the aptamer³⁶. Communication between the single-effector binding pocket and an orthogonal SDS-antiSDS helix is mediated by an A-minor base that makes a T-shaped contact with the edge of preQ₁³⁶ (Supplementary Fig. 4e). The preQ₁-II riboswitch uses a similar pocket³⁵ and the A-minor base was shown to be essential for gene-regulatory function³⁸. It is conceivable that dual, stacked effector recognition could be used by the preQ₁-III riboswitch fold, if the effectors promoted coaxial helical stacking, and one or both ligands were detected by the A-minor motif. Accordingly, we predict that additional riboswitches that bind dual, stacked effectors exist in nature.

Extant riboswitches can also provide clues about the organization of extinct ribozymes⁴⁶. Riboswitches that utilize distal binding domains to accommodate a single ligand suggest how the folds of early ribozymes were organized to position substrates⁴⁶. Our findings extend this concept to single-domain ribozymes. In particular, the *Can* aptamer shows how a ribozyme could position two substrates in one pocket to promote covalent bond formation. Intriguingly, the α -site primary amine is solvent accessible (Supplementary Fig. 7), providing a key functional group absent from the RNA chemical repertoire⁴⁷; in contrast, the β -preQ₁ WC face is solvent accessible. Notably, O6-methyl preQ₁ shows site-specific preQ₁-I_{II} riboswitch methylation⁴⁸, providing a precedent for ligand-mediated chemical transformation of RNA. These observations collectively suggest how a ribozyme could position two substrates within a single compact fold to facilitate chemistries required for prebiotic metabolism.

PreQ₁-I_I riboswitches are prominent in human pathogens^{9,27} including *Ngo*, an urgent public-health threat⁴⁹. The mode of effector recognition by the preQ₁-I_I riboswitch provides new opportunities to target such regulatory RNAs. For example, a single small molecule that simultaneously occupies both α and β binding sites could reduce cross-reactivity with targets that recognize preQ₁-like molecules (e.g., guanine), yielding greater potency and reduced toxicity. Our results suggest that such riboswitches merit further exploration for their potential as antimicrobial targets.

Methods

Data reporting. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized and the investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

RNA purification. RNA strands were synthesized by Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) as described by the manufacturer except that deprotection heating was 30 min at 65 °C. RNA was purified by 15% denaturing PAGE and DEAE chromatography⁵⁰. DEAE buffer was replaced with 0.02 M Na-HEPES pH 6.8, 0.10 M ammonium acetate, and 0.002 M EDTA; care was taken to minimize UV exposure⁵¹. After ethanol precipitation of pooled DEAE fractions, RNA was dissolved in Nanopure™ UV/UF (ThermoFisher) water and desalted on a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare). Quality was assessed by analytical PAGE stained with SYBR Gold (Thermo-Fisher) and visualized on a GelDoc (BioRad XR+). The yield was measured spectrophotometrically. Lyophilized RNA was stored at -20 °C.

Structure determination. Lyophilized RNA was dissolved in 20 μ L of 0.01 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.0 and concentrated to 800 μ M by centrifugation. Separate volumes of the concentrated riboswitch and an equal volume of folding buffer (0.004 M MgCl₂, 0.01 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.0 and 0.0016 M preQ₁) were heated at 65 °C for 3 min. The folding mix was added dropwise to the RNA and heated 3 min at 65 °C, followed by slow cooling to 24 °C.

Crystals were grown from VDX plates (Hampton Research) by hanging-drop vapor-diffusion. A 1 μ L volume of RNA was combined with 1 μ L of precipitant

drawn from 1 mL in the well. Crystals grew from solutions of 30% (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol, 0.08 M KCl, 0.012 M NaCl, 0.04 M sodium cacodylate pH 5.5, and 0.002 M hexamine cobalt (III) chloride. Crystals grew in 3 weeks at 20 °C as hexagonal rods of size 0.125 mm \times 0.040 mm \times 0.040 mm. Crystals were cryo-protected by 2 min transfers into well solution supplemented with 40% to 60% (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol. Single rods were captured in nylon loops using 16 mm copper pins (Hampton Research) with the c^* -axis oriented parallel to the ϕ axis. Crystals were plunged into N₂(l) for shipping to the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL).

X-ray data were collected remotely on beamline 12-2 using Blu-Ice software and the Stanford Auto-Mounter^{52,53} at a λ of 0.9800 Å with a $\Delta\phi$ of 0.15°, an exposure time of 0.7 s per image with 450 total images, and a sample-to-detector distance of 425 mm at 100 K. All data were recorded on a PILATUS 6 M detector (Dectris Inc). Data-collection strategies were generated using Web-Ice⁵⁴. Diffraction data were reduced with autoxds⁵⁵ using XDS, as well as CCP4 programs POINTLESS, AIMLESS and TRUNCATE^{56,57}. The structure was determined by molecular replacement in PHENIX⁵⁸ starting from the *B. subtilis* preQ₁-I riboswitch (Protein Data Bank entry 3FU2). The top solution for three molecules in the asymmetric unit produced a TFZ of 9.2 and a log-likelihood gain of 289. The structure was built in COOT with additional refinement in PHENIX⁵⁸. Intensity and refinement statistics are in Supplementary Table 1. Cartoons, schematic diagrams and surface renderings of coordinates were generated in PyMOL (Schrödinger LLC). In Supplementary Fig. 7, preQ₁ atoms were colored by solvent accessible surface area using the color area (solvent) function in PyMOL (Schrödinger LLC). The reported solvent accessible surface area were calculated in PISA⁵⁹ (PDBE PISA v1.52) for chain A, as implemented in CCP4⁵⁷.

Isothermal titration calorimetry. Each sample was folded by dissolving lyophilized RNA in 250 μ L 0.01 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.0. RNA was heated to 65 °C for 3 min and mixed with an equal volume of preheated folding buffer at 65 °C comprising 0.01 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.0 and 0.004 M MgCl₂. The combined solution was heated for an additional 3 min, then slow cooled to 24 °C followed by overnight dialysis against 2 L of ITC buffer (0.050 M Na-HEPES pH 7.0, 0.10 M NaCl and 0.004 M MgCl₂) using a 3500 MWCO Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassette G2 (Thermo-Scientific). PreQ₁ from a 0.020 M stock in water was diluted to 0.0010 M in ITC buffer.

ITC was conducted using two different instruments. Experiments with WT *Can*, *Ngo* and *Hin* riboswitches at 25 °C were conducted on a PEAQ-ITC (Malvern) with RNA in the cell and preQ₁ in the syringe over 19 injections. Experiments were carried out with an injection volume of 4 μ L (0.5 μ L technical injection) and a spacing of 150 s. These thermograms were analyzed with MicroCal PEAQ-ITC Analysis software (Malvern Panalytical, Inc) using a 'single-sites' binding model, which corresponds to the independent sites model below.

To obtain additional data points for cooperativity analysis, WT experiments were also conducted at 37 °C on a VP-ITC (MicroCal). Experiments were carried out with an injection volume of 10 μ L (6 μ L technical injection) and a spacing of 240 s with RNA in the cell and preQ₁ in the syringe over 29 injections. Mutant riboswitches were analyzed similarly but at 25 °C due to poor binding. These thermograms were analyzed using a 'two-interdependent non-equivalent sites' model (Supplementary Fig. 5b) as described below.

In each case, at least two measurements were performed for each RNA sample on the appropriate instrument. Representative thermograms and curve fits are provided in Supplementary Fig. 5. Thermodynamic parameters for experiments performed on the PEAQ ITC are in Supplementary Table 2 and experiments on the VP-ITC are in Supplementary Table 3. Macroscopic ΔG° values for mutant riboswitches represent the sum of microscopic ΔG° values, which were obtained by calculating K_{rel} at each binding event versus the WT riboswitch at 25 °C. The concentrations of RNA and preQ₁ used in ITC experiments are reported in the source data file.

Least-squares regression analysis of ITC experiments (two interdependent non-equivalent sites model). ITC experiments performed on the VP instrument produced parabolic thermograms indicative of cooperativity but these could not be satisfactorily fit with conventional ITC software as noted³⁷. Structural evidence indicates that the preQ₁ ligands interact in their respective binding pockets, suggesting that a cooperative binding model in which the two effector-binding sites are nonequivalent and interdependent was appropriate. We implemented this model (Supplementary Fig. 5b) in a custom Python program based on the binding polynomial theory⁶⁰.

Rather than fitting an apparent stoichiometry, we fixed the number of binding sites to exactly two and fit a nuisance parameter that represents the effective concentration of active riboswitch RNA in the ITC cell relative to the recorded concentration^{60,61}. Although the binding model describes a binding enthalpy and a microscopic dissociation constant for each of four distinct binding equilibria (Supplementary Fig. 5b), there are only three independent microscopic dissociation constants:

$$K_{D,A1} = \frac{[R][L]}{[RL_A]} \quad K_{D,B1} = \frac{[R][L]}{[RL_B]} \quad K_{D,A2} = \frac{[RL_B][L]}{[RL_{AB}]} \quad K_{D,B2} = \frac{[RL_A][L]}{[RL_{AB}]} \quad (1)$$

$$K_{D,A1}K_{D,B2} = K_{D,B1}K_{D,A2} = \frac{[R][L]^2}{[RL_{AB}]} \quad (2)$$

Likewise, there are only three independent binding enthalpies because enthalpy is a state function; completing a thermodynamic cycle must result in no enthalpy change.

$$\Delta H^\circ_{A1} + \Delta H^\circ_{B2} - \Delta H^\circ_{A2} - \Delta H^\circ_{B1} = 0 \quad (3)$$

The binding polynomial results in a cubic equation in the concentration of free ligand $[L]$

$$[L]^3 + (2R_T - L_T + K_{D,A2} + K_{D,B2})[L]^2 + ((R_T - L_T)(K_{D,A2} + K_{D,B2}) + K_{D,A1}K_{D,B2})[L] - L_TK_{D,A1}K_{D,B2} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where $R_T = [R] + [RL_A] + [RL_B] + [RL_{AB}]$ is the total concentration of RNA in the ITC cell and $L_T = [L] + [RL_A] + [RL_B] + 2[RL_{AB}]$ is the total concentration of preQ₁ in the ITC cell. We solved this cubic equation analytically by choosing the root that satisfies $[L] = 0$ when $L_T = 0$.

Inspired by several approaches^{62–64}, we explicitly accounted for the dilution of all chemical species present due to displacement of the liquid in the ITC cell by the injection volume. The differential changes in the concentrations of bound species due to a differential injected volume dV are

$$\begin{aligned} d[RL_A] &= \frac{1}{V_0}(-[RL_A]dV + d\Phi_{A1} - d\Phi_{B2}) \\ d[RL_B] &= \frac{1}{V_0}(-[RL_B]dV + d\Phi_{B1} - d\Phi_{A2}) \\ d[RL_{AB}] &= \frac{1}{V_0}(-[RL_{AB}]dV + d\Phi_{A2} + d\Phi_{B2}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where V_0 is the volume of the ITC cell and Φ_i is the flux through the binding equilibrium i . The enthalpy can be expressed as a function of the total injected volume V

$$H(V) = \frac{1}{V_0} \left(\Delta H^\circ_{A1} \frac{d\Phi_{A1}}{dV} + \Delta H^\circ_{B1} \frac{d\Phi_{B1}}{dV} + \Delta H^\circ_{A2} \frac{d\Phi_{A2}}{dV} + \Delta H^\circ_{B2} \frac{d\Phi_{B2}}{dV} \right) \quad (6)$$

The enthalpy change associated with a particular injection that brings the stoichiometric ratio of ligand to receptor S from S_{i-1} to S_i is given by the average value of the enthalpy over this interval.

$$\Delta H_i = \frac{1}{S_i - S_{i-1}} \int_{S_{i-1}}^{S_i} dSH(S) \quad (7)$$

Inserting Eqs. 1–3 and Eqs. 5–6 into Eq. 7 and using integration by parts gives the injection enthalpy change in terms of the fit parameters, the ITC cell volume, the initial concentrations of RNA in the ITC cell R_0 and of ligand in the syringe L_0 , and the concentration of free ligand obtained as the solution to Eq. 4.

$$\Delta H_i = \frac{\Omega(S_i) - \Omega(S_{i-1})}{L_0(S_i - S_{i-1})}$$

$$\Omega(S) = \frac{\left(\frac{L_0}{R_0} + S\right)(L_T - [L]) \left(\Delta H_{A1}K_{D,B2} + \Delta H_{B1}K_{D,A2} + (\Delta H_{A1} + \Delta H_{B2})[L]\right)}{K_{D,A2} + K_{D,B2} + 2[L]} \quad (8)$$

We used a trust-region reflective algorithm⁶⁵ implemented in the `optimize.least_squares()` method of SciPy⁶⁶ to minimize the following cost function:

$$F(\vec{\theta}, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Delta H_i(\vec{\theta}) - \Delta H_{i,obs} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{\theta_j - \theta_{j0}}{w_j} \right)^2 \quad (9)$$

where N is the number of observed injections and M is the number of fit parameters. The first term is a least-squares term describing the goodness-of-fit between the estimated and observed injection enthalpy changes. The second term is an L2 regularization term—whose relative strength is controlled by the hyperparameter λ —that prevents overfitting by penalizing deviations of the fit parameters θ from a target value θ_0 . In a Bayesian framework, this penalty is interpreted as a Gaussian prior on the fit parameters with mean θ_0 and standard deviation w ⁶⁷. For the three independent microscopic dissociation constants, regularization was applied to the natural logarithm of the dissociation constant. The regularization targets were set to the values of the fit parameters from a binding model assuming two independent and equivalent binding sites, i.e. the model used by most commercial ITC software. We derived analytical derivatives of the cost function given by Eq. 9 with respect to the fit parameters to take advantage of computationally efficient gradient-based optimization methods.

For each RNA sequence, we performed a global fit to obtain a single set of fit parameters informed by multiple experiments in which the initial concentrations of riboswitch receptor and ligand vary in order to interrogate different regions of the resulting thermogram. One offset parameter, a constant added to the estimated injection enthalpy changes, was fit for each experiment. The hyperparameter λ controlling the relative strength of the regularization term was optimized for each RNA sequence individually by cross validation across experiments. Each

experiment was fit individually for a sequence of λ with logarithmic spacing $-\log_{10}\lambda$ was varied from -6 to $+6$ in steps of 0.125. The resulting fit parameters were used to estimate the value of the cost function for the other experiments involving the same RNA sequence. The value of λ with the smallest average value of the cost function for experiments not used to train the parameters was chosen for the global fit.

Two sets of values were used for the regularization weights w . For *Can* WT, *Can* C31U and *Hin* WT, the regularization weights were $16k_B T$ for the binding enthalpies and \log_{10} for the logarithms of the dissociation constants, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature. However, these weights produced poor quality fits for *Can* C17U and *Ngo* WT, as revealed by fit parameters with large bootstrapped uncertainties. As such, the regularization weights for these sequences were $16k_B T$ for both the binding enthalpies and the logarithms of the dissociation constants. For all RNA sequences, the regularization weights were 1 kcal mol⁻¹ for the offsets and 0.05 for the nuisance parameter describing the effective RNA concentration.

To derive estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the fit parameters, we used a bootstrapping method to resample the fitting target in the nonlinear regression⁶⁸. In each bootstrap iteration, we added the residual from the initial fit multiplied by a random number sampled from a standard normal distribution to the observed injection enthalpy changes. The resulting distributions of fit parameters are non-normal, and so we report the estimate of each fit parameter as the median of the bootstrap parameter distribution. We also report a 95% confidence interval as the (2.5, 97.5) percentiles of this bootstrap distribution.

In addition to the fit parameters, we also calculate the following derived parameters: cooperativity C , macroscopic dissociation constants $K_{D,1}$ and $K_{D,2}$, and a macroscopic cooperativity γ .

$$C = \frac{K_{D,A1}}{K_{D,A2}}$$

$$K_{D,1} = \left(\frac{1}{K_{D,A1}} + \frac{1}{K_{D,B1}} \right)^{-1}$$

$$K_{D,2} = K_{D,A2} + K_{D,B2}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{4K_{D,1}}{K_{D,2}} \quad (10)$$

We obtained estimates and 95% confidence intervals for derived parameters by calculating the derived parameters for each bootstrap iteration and then reporting the median and (2.5, 97.5) percentile of the bootstrap parameter distribution.

In-cell GFPuv reporter assay. The WT *Can* riboswitch was placed into the pBR327-*Lrh*(WT)-GFPuv plasmid upstream of the GFPuv reporter gene (Fig. 3a). Riboswitch mutants were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis (GenScript Inc.) on the WT sequence, which were verified by DNA sequencing. For experiments involving the *Lrh* riboswitch, the parent pBR327-*Lrh*(WT)-GFPuv plasmid was used¹³.

The assay was performed as described^{13,38} with some exceptions. *E. coli* strain JW2765 $\Delta queF$ cells (Coli Genetic Stock Center, Yale University)—incapable of preQ₁ biosynthesis—were transformed with the desired plasmid and grown on CSB agar plates containing both ampicillin (100 μ g mL⁻¹) and kanamycin (50 μ g mL⁻¹). Single colonies were isolated to inoculate overnight liquid cultures of 3 mL CSB-amp-kan media. These were used to inoculate 2 mL of fresh CSB-amp-kan media with varying concentrations of preQ₁: 0, 1 nM, 10 nM, 50 nM, 75 nM, 100 nM, 250 nM, 500 nM, 750 nM, 1 μ M, 2.5 μ M, 5 μ M, 7.5 μ M, 10 μ M, 50 μ M, 100 μ M, 500 μ M, 1 mM, and 3 mM; the highest concentration corresponds to the solubility limit of preQ₁ in CSB¹³. Three or more biological replicates were measured for each concentration. All measurements and analysis were performed as described¹³ using Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc). The replicates in each construct were compared using the “compare datasets” function before analysis. The WT *Can* curve showed a biphasic model whereas others were best described by a log(inhibitor) dose versus response (three parameters).

An unpaired student’s t-test with a Welch’s correction was used to analyze fold repression data (Fig. 3d). The p value for WT *Can* vs. WT *Lrh* was 0.6429 ($t = 0.503$, degrees of freedom (df) = 3.76, 95% confidence interval = -4.515–6.453). The p value for WT *Can* vs. C17U *Can* was 0.0125 ($t = 5.94$, df = 2.72, 95% confidence interval = -15.47 to -4.266). The p value for WT *Can* vs. C31U *Can* was 0.0112 ($t = 9.23$, df = 2.02, 95% confidence interval = -20.61 to -7.583). The p value for WT *Can* vs. the negative control was 0.0106 ($t = 9.59$, df = 2.01, 95% confidence interval = -21.16 to -8.076). The p value for WT *Can* vs. the positive control was 0.0103 ($t = 9.71$, df = 2.01, 95% confidence interval = -21.3400 to -8.2800).

Notably, fluorescence emission in the absence of preQ₁ is comparable between all riboswitch constructs and the positive control; moreover, the WT *Can* and *Lrh* sequences repress GFPuv fluorescence emission to a level comparable to the negative control—demonstrating the rigor of the assay (Supplementary Fig. 8). An unpaired student’s t-test with a Welch’s correction was also used to analyze fluorescence emission data. The p value for WT *Can* was 0.0037 ($t = 14.96$,

df = 2.09, 95% confidence interval = -173452 to -98511). The *p* value for C17U *Can* was 0.0175 (*t* = 6.64, df = 2.18, 95% confidence interval = -212164 to -53149). The *p* value for C31U *Can* was 0.1263 (*t* = 2.05, df = 3.22, 95% confidence interval = -107817 to -21313). The *p* value for WT *Lrh* was 0.0006 (*t* = 33.92, degrees of freedom (df) = 2.15, 95% confidence interval = -437151 to -344271). The *p* value for the positive control was 0.7441 (*t* = 0.35, df = 3.45, 95% confidence interval = -61691 to 48527). The *p* value for the negative control was 0.0631 (*t* = 2.60, df = 3.80, 95% confidence interval = -11377 to 488.8).

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. Structure factor amplitudes and coordinates for the *Can* preQ₁-I₁ riboswitch were deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 7REX. Publicly available PDB entries used in this study are: 6VUI, 3FU2, 4RZD, and 4JF2. Source data includes injection data for ITC, and fluorescence emission and cell growth readings for in-cell assays. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

The ITC fitting software and parameter fits are available on GitHub at https://github.com/chapincavender/itc_two_site_fit, distributed under the MIT license.

Received: 23 July 2021; Accepted: 2 December 2021;

Published online: 11 January 2022

References

- Nahvi, A. et al. Genetic control by a metabolite binding mRNA. *Chem. Biol.* **9**, 1043–1049 (2002).
- McCown, P. J. et al. Riboswitch diversity and distribution. *RNA* **7**, 995–1011 (2017).
- Breaker, R. R. Riboswitches and Translation Control. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **10**, 1–14 (2018).
- Suddala, K. C. et al. Single transcriptional and translational preQ₁ riboswitches adopt similar pre-folded ensembles that follow distinct folding pathways into the same ligand-bound structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 10462–10475 (2013).
- Hua, B. et al. Real-time monitoring of single ZTP riboswitches reveals a complex and kinetically controlled decision landscape. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4531–4531 (2020).
- Stagno, J. R. et al. Structures of riboswitch RNA reaction states by mix-and-inject XFEL serial crystallography. *Nature* **541**, 242–246 (2017).
- Frieda, K. L. & Block, S. M. Direct Observation of cotranscriptional folding in an adenine riboswitch. *Science* **338**, 397–400 (2012).
- Babina, A. M., Lea, N. E. & Meyer, M. M. In vivo behavior of the tandem glycine riboswitch in *Bacillus subtilis*. *mBio* **8**, 5 (2017).
- Roth, A. et al. A riboswitch selective for the queuosine precursor preQ₁ contains an unusually small aptamer domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **14**, 308–317 (2007).
- Harada, F. & Nishimura, S. Possible anticodon sequences of tRNA^{His}, tRNA^{Asn}, and tRNA^{Asp} from *Escherichia coli*. Universal presence of nucleoside O in the first position of the anticodons of these transfer ribonucleic acid. *Biochemistry* **11**, 301–308 (1972).
- Noguchi, S., Nishimura, Y., Hirota, Y. & Nishimura, S. Isolation and characterization of an *Escherichia coli* mutant lacking tRNA-guanine transglycosylase. Function and biosynthesis of queuosine in tRNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **257**, 6544–6550 (1982).
- Tuerto, F. et al. Queuosine-modified tRNAs confer nutritional control of protein translation. *EMBO J.* **37**, e99777 (2018).
- Dutta, D., Belashov, I. A. & Wedekind, J. E. Coupling green fluorescent protein expression with chemical modification to probe functionally relevant riboswitch conformations in live bacteria. *Biochemistry* **57**, 4620–4628 (2018).
- Hurt, J. K., Olgen, S. & Garcia, G. A. Site-specific modification of *Shigella flexneri* virF mRNA by tRNA-guanine transglycosylase in vitro. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, 4905–4913 (2007).
- Kang, M., Peterson, R. & Feigon, J. Structural Insights into riboswitch control of the biosynthesis of queuosine, a modified nucleotide found in the anticodon of tRNA. *Mol. Cell* **33**, 784–790 (2009).
- Spitale, R. C., Torelli, A. T., Krucinska, J., Bandarian, V. & Wedekind, J. E. The structural basis for recognition of the preQ₀ metabolite by an unusually small riboswitch aptamer domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 11012–11016 (2009).
- Klein, D. J., Edwards, T. E. & Ferre-D'Amare, A. R. Cocystal structure of a class I preQ₁ riboswitch reveals a pseudoknot recognizing an essential hypermodified nucleobase. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **16**, 343–344 (2009).
- Schroeder, G. M. et al. Analysis of a preQ₁-I riboswitch in effector-free and bound states reveals a metabolite-programmed nucleobase-stacking spine that controls gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 8146–8164 (2020).
- Rinaldi, A. J., Lund, P. E., Blanco, M. R. & Walter, N. G. The Shine-Dalgarno sequence of riboswitch-regulated single mRNAs shows ligand-dependent accessibility bursts. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 8976 (2016).
- Banas, P., Sklenovsky, P., Wedekind, J. E., Sponer, J. & Otyepka, M. Molecular mechanism of preQ₁ riboswitch action: a molecular dynamics study. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **116**, 12721–12734 (2012).
- Rieder, U., Lang, K., Kreutz, C., Polacek, N. & Micura, R. Evidence for pseudoknot formation of class I preQ₁ riboswitch aptamers. *Chembiochem* **10**, 1141–1144 (2009).
- Jenkins, J. L., Krucinska, J., McCarty, R. M., Bandarian, V. & Wedekind, J. E. Comparison of a preQ₁ riboswitch aptamer in metabolite-bound and free states with implications for gene regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 24626–24637 (2011).
- Suddala, K. C., Wang, J., Hou, Q. & Walter, N. G. Mg²⁺ shifts ligand-mediated folding of a riboswitch from induced-fit to conformational selection. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 14075–14083 (2015).
- Connelly, C. M. et al. Synthetic ligands for preQ₁ riboswitches provide structural and mechanistic insights into targeting RNA tertiary structure. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1501 (2019).
- Neuner, E., Frener, M., Lusser, A. & Micura, R. Superior cellular activities of azido- over amino-functionalized ligands for engineered preQ₁ riboswitches in *E. coli*. *RNA Biol.* **15**, 1376–1383 (2018).
- Eichhorn, C. D., Kang, M. & Feigon, J. Structure and function of preQ₁ riboswitches. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1839**, 939–950 (2014).
- McCown, P. J., Liang, J. J., Weinberg, Z. & Breaker, R. R. Structural, functional, and taxonomic diversity of three preQ₁ riboswitch classes. *Chem. Biol.* **21**, 880–889 (2014).
- Zhu, S. et al. Complete genome sequence of hemolysin-containing *Carnobacterium* sp. strain CP1 isolated from the antarctic. *Genome Announc.* **4**, e00690–16 (2016).
- Ren, A. & Patel, D. J. c-di-AMP binds the ydaO riboswitch in two pseudo-symmetry-related pockets. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **10**, 780–786 (2014).
- Jones, C. P. & Ferre-D'Amare, A. R. Crystal structure of a c-di-AMP riboswitch reveals an internally pseudo-dimeric RNA. *EMBO J.* **33**, 2692–2703 (2014).
- Reiss, C. W. & Strobel, S. A. Structural basis for ligand binding to the guanidine-II riboswitch. *RNA* **23**, 1338–1343 (2017).
- Trausch, J. J., Ceres, P., Reyes, F. E. & Batey, R. T. The structure of a tetrahydrofolate-sensing riboswitch reveals two ligand binding sites in a single aptamer. *Structure* **19**, 1413–1423 (2011).
- Huang, L., Wang, J. & Lilley, D. M. J. The structure of the guanidine-II riboswitch. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **24**, 695–702.e2 (2017).
- Gao, A. & Serganov, A. Structural insights into recognition of c-di-AMP by the ydaO riboswitch. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **10**, 787–792 (2014).
- Liberman, J. A., Salim, M., Krucinska, J. & Wedekind, J. E. Structure of a class II preQ₁ riboswitch reveals ligand recognition by a new fold. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **9**, 353–355 (2013).
- Liberman, J. A. et al. Structural analysis of a class III preQ₁ riboswitch reveals an aptamer distant from a ribosome-binding site regulated by fast dynamics. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E3485–E3494 (2015).
- Huang, L., Serganov, A. & Patel, D. J. Structural insights into ligand recognition by a sensing domain of the cooperative glycine riboswitch. *Mol. Cell* **40**, 774–786 (2010).
- Dutta, D. & Wedekind, J. E. Nucleobase mutants of a bacterial preQ₁-II riboswitch that uncouple metabolite sensing from gene regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 2555–2567 (2020).
- Liberman, J. A., Bogue, J. T., Jenkins, J. L., Salim, M. & Wedekind, J. E. ITC analysis of ligand binding to preQ₁ riboswitches. *Meth. Enzymol.* **549**, 435–450 (2014).
- Sudarsan, N. et al. Tandem riboswitch architectures exhibit complex gene control functions. *Science* **314**, 300–304 (2006).
- Zallot, R., Yuan, Y. & de Crecy-Lagard, V. The *Escherichia coli* COG1738 member YhhQ is involved in 7-Cyanodeazaguanine preQ₀ transport. *Biomolecules* **7**, 1–13 (2017).
- Yuan, Y. et al. Discovery of novel bacterial queuosine salvage enzymes and pathways in human pathogens. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 19126–19135 (2019).
- Breaker, R. R. Riboswitches and the RNA world. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **4**, a003566 (2012).
- Watson, P. Y. & Fedor, M. J. The *glmS* riboswitch integrates signals from activating and inhibitory metabolites in vivo. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18**, 359–363 (2011).
- Rodionov, D. A. et al. A novel class of modular transporters for vitamins in prokaryotes. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 42–51 (2009).

46. Breaker, R. R. Imaginary Ribozymes. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **15**, 2020–2030 (2020).
47. Wilson, T. J. & Lilley, D. M. J. The potential versatility of RNA catalysis. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA*. **12**, e1651 (2021).
48. Flemmich, L., Heel, S., Moreno, S., Breuker, K. & Micura, R. A natural riboswitch scaffold with self-methylation activity. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3877 (2021).
49. CDC Biggest Threats and Data: 2019 Antibiotic Resistance Threats Report. <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest-threats.html>.
50. Lippa, G. M. et al. Crystallographic analysis of small ribozymes and riboswitches. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **848**, 159–184 (2012).
51. Kladwang, W., Hum, J. & Das, R. Ultraviolet shadowing of RNA can cause significant chemical damage in seconds. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 517 (2012).
52. Soltis, S. M. et al. New paradigm for macromolecular crystallography experiments at SSRL: automated crystal screening and remote data collection. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **64**, 1210–1221 (2008).
53. McPhillips, T. M. et al. Blu-Ice and the distributed control system: software for data acquisition and instrument control at macromolecular crystallography beamlines. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **9**, 401–406 (2002).
54. Gonzalez, A. et al. Web-Ice: integrated data collection and analysis for macromolecular crystallography. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 176–184 (2008).
55. Gonzalez, A. & Tsai, Y. Autoxds. http://smb.slac.stanford.edu/facilities/software/xds/#autoxds_script.
56. Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
57. Winn, M. D. et al. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
58. Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
59. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372**, 774–797 (2007).
60. Freire, E., Schön, A. & Velazquez-Campoy, A. Chapter 5 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry: general formalism using binding polynomials. *Methods Enzymol.* **455**, 127–155 (2009).
61. Duvvuri, H., Wheeler, L. C. & Harms, M. J. PYTC: open-source Python software for global analyses of isothermal titration calorimetry data. *Biochemistry* **57**, 2578–2583 (2018).
62. Dumas, P. Rigorous equations for isothermal titration calorimetry: theoretical and practical consequences. Preprint at <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/512780v3> (2019).
63. Herrera, I. & Winnik, M. A. Differential Binding Models for Direct and Reverse Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **120**, 2077–2086 (2016).
64. Sigurskjold, B. W. Exact Analysis of Competition Ligand Binding by Displacement Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. *Analyt. Biochem.* **277**, 260–266 (2000).
65. Branch, M. A., Coleman, T. F. & Li, Y. A subspace, interior, and conjugate gradient method for large-scale bound-constrained minimization problems. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **21**, 1–23 (1999).
66. Virtanen, P. et al. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).
67. Williams, P. M. Bayesian regularization and pruning using a Laplace prior. *Neural Comput.* **7**, 117–143 (1995).
68. Wu, C. F. J. Jackknife, Bootstrap and other resampling methods in regression analysis. *Ann. Stat.* **14**, 1261–1295 (1986).
69. McCarty, R. M. & Bandarian, V. Biosynthesis of pyrrolopyrimidines. *Bioorg. Chem.* **43**, 15–25 (2012).
70. Leontis, N. B. & Westhof, E. Geometric nomenclature and classification of RNA base pairs. *RNA* **7**, 499–512 (2001).

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Wedekind and Mathews labs for technical assistance and helpful discussions. We thank Dr. P. Dumas for binding model inspiration based on his unpublished work cited herein. Support for this research was provided by the National Institutes of Health National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIH NIGMS) grants R01 GM132185 to D.H.M. and R01 GM063162 to J.E.W. G.M.S. was supported by training grant T32 GM118283, and C.E.C. was supported by training grant T32 AI049815 to J.E.W. and an Elon Huntington Hooker graduate fellowship. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by NIH NIGMS (P30 GM133894). The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIH or NIGMS.

Author contributions

GMS, CEC, DHM, and JEW designed experiments. GMS, MEB performed experiments. GMS, CEC, JLJ, DHM, and JEW analyzed the data. GMS, CEC, and JEW wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27790-8>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Joseph E. Wedekind.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Robert Batey and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022