## Effects of silvoarable agroforestry on profitability and environmental indicators

Palma J<sup>1</sup>, Bertomeu M<sup>2</sup>, Bregt A<sup>3</sup>, Bunce R<sup>4</sup>, Burgess P<sup>5</sup>, De Fillippi R<sup>1</sup>, Graves A<sup>5</sup>, Herzog F<sup>1</sup>, Mohren G<sup>3</sup>, Moreno G<sup>2</sup>, Reisner Y<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Agroscope FAL Reckenholz, 8046 Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>2</sup> Universidad de Extremadura, 10600 Plasencia, Spain; <sup>3</sup> Wageningen University, 6700-AK Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>4</sup> Alterra Green World Research, 6708-PB Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup> Cranfield University, Silsoe Bediordshire MK45 4DT. UK

## Introduction **Data Collection and Generation** Silvoarable Agroforestry (SAF) has been proposed as an alternative landuse system for European agriculture. Yet, uptake is still marginal. A larger uptake depends on farmers being informed about the productivity and Farm profitability of silvoarable systems, and improved policy support, so that silvoarable systems are not disadvantaged through subsidy systems. One possible justification for improved policy support is because of environmental benefits. Work being undertaken on the 'Silvoarable agroforestry for Europe' project (www.montpellier.inra.fr/safe) aims to reduce uncertainties regarding silvoarable systems in Europe. This poster illustrates a combined environmental and economic assessment of silvoarable systems. DEM Integrated Assessment An integrated economic and environmental assessment will be carried out in each Landscape Test Site (LTS), using the data shown in Fig 1. Environmental assessments include erosion, water recharge, Csequestration, N-leaching and landscape diversity and the economic assessment is provided through an evaluation of the Net Present Fig. 1: Datasets Land Units Value of arable, forestry and silvoarable systems. The approach for required for each of 21 each of these is graphically illustrated: Landscape Test Sites Water Recharge Erosion A land unit represents the typical farming conditions (economic and environmental) of Landscape Diversity the larger regions Agrotorestry Area of **C** Sequestration Nitrogen Leaching Profitability Sol Water Exchange Yield **Results (preliminary)** ton With grants oss ( year Without grants For a single LTS in Spain, results indicated that some poplar silvoarable systems could 0.5 reduce soil erosion compared with existing arable systems, especially combined with Erosion no contouring Soil contouring practices or when between-row distance was minimized (Fig 2). However, Erosion contouring silvoarable systems are less profitable than the existing arable system, largely

Tree Spacings (Between-row x In-row) Outlook

(€ha

NPV

Fig 2: Integrated Assessment of Erosion and Profitability NPV: Net Present Value because of existing policy regarding farm payments. This result suggests that minor modifications of the grant system could make silvoarable systems a viable alternative for farmers. Such modifications could be justified by improved soil erosion control.

The results will be extended to the other LTS in Spain, France and the Netherlands in which other tree species will be taken into account. The integrated environmental and economic assessment will then be conducted using multicriteria analysis for an overal interpretation of silvoarable uptake within an European context.

## Contact: joao.palma@fal.admin.ch

Acknowledgements: This research was carried out as part of the SAFE (Sihoarate Agrotorestry for Europe) consorranve research project. SAFE is funded by the EU under its Quality of Life programme, contract number QLKS-CT-2001-00560, and the Swiss Federal Ministery of Science and Technology contract 00.0158. The support is gratefully acknowledged.





## Effects of silvoarable agroforestry on profitability and environmental indicators

**Modelling Backstage** 

