

[Department of Biochemistry and Molecular](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp) [Biology Faculty Papers](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp)

[Department of Biochemistry and Molecular](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmp) **Biology**

6-11-2024

Frontotemporal Dementia-Like Disease Progression Elicited by Seeded Aggregation and Spread of FUS

Sonia Vazquez-Sanchez

Britt Tilkin

Fatima Gasset-Rosa

Sitao Zhang

Diana Piol

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fbmpfp%2F258&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Biochemistry Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/2?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fbmpfp%2F258&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Medical Sciences Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/664?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fbmpfp%2F258&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Molecular Biology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/5?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fbmpfp%2F258&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Nervous System Diseases Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/928?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fbmpfp%2F258&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Let us know how access to this document benefits you

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](http://www.jefferson.edu/university/teaching-learning.html/). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Sonia Vazquez-Sanchez, Britt Tilkin, Fatima Gasset-Rosa, Sitao Zhang, Diana Piol, Melissa McAlonis-Downes, Jonathan Artates, Noe Govea-Perez, Yana Verresen, Lin Guo, Don Cleveland, James Shorter, and Sandrine Da Cruz

RESEARCH ARTICLE Open Access

Frontotemporal dementia‑like disease progression elicited by seeded aggregation and spread of FUS

Sonia Vazquez-Sanchez^{1†}, Britt Tilkin^{2†}, Fatima Gasset-Rosa^{1,5†}, Sitao Zhang¹, Diana Piol², Melissa McAlonis-Downes¹, Jonathan Artates¹, Noe Govea-Perez¹, Yana Verresen², Lin Guo³, Don W. Cleveland¹, James Shorter⁴ and Sandrine Da Cruz^{2*}

Abstract

RNA binding proteins have emerged as central players in the mechanisms of many neurodegenerative diseases. In particular, a proteinopathy of fused in sarcoma (FUS) is present in some instances of familial Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and about 10% of sporadic Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD). Here we establish that focal injection of sonicated human FUS fbrils into brains of mice in which ALS-linked mutant or wild-type human FUS replaces endogenous mouse FUS is sufficient to induce focal cytoplasmic mislocalization and aggregation of mutant and wild-type FUS which with time spreads to distal regions of the brain. Human FUS fbril-induced FUS aggregation in the mouse brain of humanized FUS mice is accelerated by an ALS-causing FUS mutant relative to wild-type human FUS. Injection of sonicated human FUS fibrils does not induce FUS aggregation and subsequent spreading after injection into naïve mouse brains containing only mouse FUS, indicating a species barrier to human FUS aggregation and its prion-like spread. Fibril-induced human FUS aggregates recapitulate pathological features of FTLD including increased detergent insolubility of FUS and TAF15 and amyloid-like, cytoplasmic deposits of FUS that accumulate ubiquitin and p62, but not TDP-43. Finally, injection of sonicated FUS fbrils is shown to exacerbate age-dependent cognitive and behavioral defcits from mutant human FUS expression. Thus, focal seeded aggregation of FUS and fur‑ ther propagation through prion-like spread elicits FUS-proteinopathy and FTLD-like disease progression.

Keywords FUS-proteinopathy, Aggregation, Spreading, Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD)

† Sonia Vazquez-Sanchez, Britt Tilkin and Fatima Gasset-Rosa contributed equally to the work.

*Correspondence:

- sandrine.dacruz@kuleuven.be
- ¹ Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
- ² VIB-KU Leuven Center for Brain and Disease Research and Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven 3000, Belgium
- ³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Thomas Jefferson
- University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA ⁴ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania,
- Philadelphia, PA 19104‑6059, USA

⁵ Present Address: Vividion Therapeutics, 5820 Nancy Ridge Dr, San Diego 92121, USA

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecom· [mons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Sandrine Da Cruz

Background

Fused in sarcoma (FUS) is an RNA binding protein that normally localizes predominantly in the nucleus, however it mislocalizes and aggregates in the cytoplasm in some instances of familiar Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and in 10% of Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), one of the most frequent forms of early-onset dementia $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. The 526-amino-acid FUS protein includes a C-terminal non-classical PY nuclear localization signal (NLS) which contains most of the ALS-linked mutations and a N-terminal low complexity, glycine-rich, prion-like domain [[4](#page-15-2)]. Although an important diference from transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) is that prions behave like infectious agents, prion-like diseases belong to a group of protein misfolding neurodegenerative diseases that are characterized by the abnormal aggregation of defned host proteins (e.g., Amyloid β (Aβ) and tau in Alzheimer's disease, α-synuclein in Parkinson's disease, mutant polyglutamine repeats in Huntington's disease, and TDP-43 in ALS and FTLD). Aβ, tau, α–synuclein, and TDP-43 inclusions have been shown to develop in a stereotypical, age-dependent manner in particular brain regions from which they appear to spread [\[5](#page-15-3)[–7](#page-15-4)].

Increasing evidence supports a model whereby misfolded proteins released from a cell harboring pathological inclusions act on recipient cells to form de novo pathology by corrupting endogenous normal proteins to adopt pathological conformations. Injection of sonicated fbrils from either disease-associated α-synuclein, tau, or Aβ peptides unilaterally into mouse brains expressing the respective mutant protein $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$, induces the spread of aggregates far from the site of injection, accelerating disease and enhancing neuronal loss. The repetition of this process has been proposed to underlie cell-to-cell propagation of pathological proteins throughout the brain [\[11,](#page-15-7) [12\]](#page-15-8). Accumulating evidence supports cell-tocell templated propagation of Aβ, tau, α –synuclein, and huntingtin [[13–](#page-15-9)[18](#page-15-10)]. For ALS, evidence from cell culture has suggested spread from cell-to-cell from the dipeptide repeat (DPR) proteins encoded by hexanucleotide expansion in *C9orf72* [[19](#page-15-11), [20](#page-15-12)] and seeding and spread of SOD1 have also been reported with mutant SOD1 transgenic mice [[21–](#page-15-13)[24\]](#page-15-14). Additionally, TDP-43 aggregates from FTLD patients and recombinant TDP-43 preformed fbrils have been proposed to induce prion-like spread pathology of the protein both in cultured cells and transgenic mice expressing cytoplasmic TDP-43 [[25](#page-15-15)[–29](#page-15-16)].

Neuropathological evidence from a small number of patients is consistent with the hypothesis of FUS pathology spreading within the central nervous system (CNS), including *1)* clinical symptoms often start focally and spread as disease progresses [\[30](#page-15-17)[–32\]](#page-15-18) and *2)* FUS cytoplasmic inclusions have been observed in several regions of the CNS of ALS and FTLD-FUS patients with similar spatial patterns as in FTLD-Tau or FTLD-TDP-43 forms $[2, 33-35]$ $[2, 33-35]$ $[2, 33-35]$. That said, FUS inclusions vary markedly, presenting distinct density and shapes between cases [[3,](#page-15-1) [35](#page-15-21)[–38](#page-15-22)]. Initial in vitro evidence for FUS seeding potency was provided by Nomura et al. who described that FUS-LCD fbrils carrying the G156E mutation seed wild-type FUS in vitro and in cell culture [\[39\]](#page-15-23). Here we show that focal injection of pre-assembled human FUS fbrils in adult mouse brains induces de novo aggregation of endogenous human ALS-associated mutant FUS or human wild-type FUS and seeding of a spreading pathology through the nervous system that initiates neurodegeneration and compromises cognition.

Methods

Animals

The generation of the humanized FUS animals was described before [[40\]](#page-16-0). All the mice used in this report were maintained on a pure C57BL/6 background. For this study, we used 16 months old males and females with the mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H or WT} genotype. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, San Diego.

Protein purifcation

Protein purifcations were performed as described before [[41\]](#page-16-1). Briefly, HA-tagged FUS^{R495X} expression construct was generated using a pGST-Duet construct which contains a TEV-cleavable site, resulting in a GST-TEV-HA-FUS^{R495X} protein [[42\]](#page-16-2). All proteins were expressed and purifed from *E. coli* BL21 CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL cells under native conditions. Protein expression was induced adding 1 mM IPTG for 16 h at 16 °C. *E. coli* bacterial cells were lysed on ice by sonication in Phosphate-Bufered Saline (PBS) supplemented with protease inhibitors (cOmplete, EDTA-free, Roche Applied Science). The protein was purifed over pre-packed Glutathione Sepharose High Performance resin column (GSTrap HP columns, Cytiva). One-step purifcation of glutathione S-Transferase (GST) tagged FUS protein was performed using Akta Pure fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) system (Cytiva) at 4 °C. GST-HA-FUS^{R495X} protein was eluted in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 200 mM Trehalose, and 20 mM L-glutathione reduced. His-SOD1 protein was purifed over pre-packed Ni Sepharose High Performance HisTrap HP (GE) using an AKTA pure chromatography system at 4 °C and eluted with 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl and 400 mM Imidazole. The following Molecular Weight Markers were used: Carbonic Anhydrase from bovine erythrocytes (29 KDa, Sigma),

Albumin, bovine serum (66KDa, Sigma) and b-Amylase from sweet potato, (200KDa, Sigma). Eluted proteins (GST-HA-FUS^{R495X}, and His-SOD1) with the expected size were collected and concentrated to fnal concentration of 12 mM using Amico Ultra centrifugal flter units (10 kDa molecular weight cut-of; Millipore). All proteins after purifcation were centrifuged for 15 min at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C to remove any aggregated material. Protein concentration was calculated by Coomassie Blue with BSA protein as standard, and by colorimetric Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). For protein storage at -80 °C glycerol (30%) was added.

Protein fbrilization

FUS fbrilization was induced as described by Gasset-Rosa et al. [[41\]](#page-16-1). GST-HA-FUS^{R495X} protein was thawed and bufer exchanged into FUS assembly bufer at 4 °C (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 200 mM trehalose, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM glutathione). TEV protease was added to GST-TEV-HA-FUS^{R495X} (4 μ M) in FUS assembly buffer for 3 h to induce seed formation. Next, high salt storage bufer (40 mM HEPES pH7.4, 500 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT) was added for 3 h to separate the seeds $[42]$ $[42]$ $[42]$. FUS fibrilization was initiated by adding 5% of FUS seeds to GST-TEV-HA-FUSR495X (4 μ M) and TEV protease in FUS assembly buffer for 24 h at 22 °C. His-SOD1 fbrilization was induced as described in [[41,](#page-16-1) [42\]](#page-16-2). Finally, fbrils were dialyzed using slide-A-Lyzer MINWE Dialysis Units (10 kDa molecular weight cut-off; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS for 3 h and sonicated at 45% 45 s just before injecting them into the animals.

Transmission electron microscope

300-mesh Formvar/carbon coated copper grids (Ted Pella) were glow-discharged and loaded with fbril protein samples (10 µl). Next, grids were stained with 2% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate (Ladd Research Industries, Williston, VT). Excessive liquid was removed and grids were air dried. Grids were examined using a Tecnawe G2 Spirit BioTWIN transmission electron microscope equipped with an Eagle 4 k HS digital camera (FEI, Hilsboro, OR).

Stereotactic injections

All surgical procedures were performed using aseptic techniques. Injections were performed using 33-gauge needles and a 10 µl Hamilton syringe (Hamilton, Switzerland). 16-month-old mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}, mFUS^{KO}/ $\rm hFUS^{WT}$ or non-transgenic mice were injected with 10 μ g of sonicated HA-FUS R495X fibrils, 10 μ g of sonicated SOD1 fibrils, 10 µg HA-FUS $R495X$ monomer or PBS as control, following the stereotactic coordinates using bregma as a reference: anteroposterior – 2.5 mm, mediolateral – 2.0 mm and dorsoventral at -1.8 mm (hippocampus) and -0.8 (cortex).

Behavioral tests

For each behavioral assay, a cohort of *n*=5–6 animals per group for the non-transgenic genotype (FUS fbrils or PBS-injected) and *n*=11–12 animals per group for the mFUSKO/hFUSR521H genotype (FUS fibrils or PBSinjected) was assessed where experimentalist was blinded to genotypes. No increased mortality was observed in any of the groups.

Open feld test

The open field area consisted out of a square white Plexiglas $(50 \times 50 \text{ cm})$ open field illuminated to 600 lx in the center and mice were placed in the center. The mice were allowed to explore the area for 10 min. An overhead Noldus camera was used to monitor their movement with Ethovision XT software. Mice were tracked for multiple parameters, including distance traveled, velocity, center time, frequency in center as described in [[43](#page-16-3)].

Rotarod

The rotarod test was performed as described in $[44]$ $[44]$. A Rota-rod Series 8 apparatus (Ugo Basile) was used. Before the trial was initiated, the mice were placed on the stationary rotarod for 30 s for training. Each mouse was given three trials per day, with a 60 s inter-trial interval on the accelerating rotarod (4–40r.p.m. over 5 min) for five consecutive days. The latencies to fall were automatically recorded by a computer.

Novel object recognition test

This behavioral assay was performed as described in [\[40](#page-16-0)]. Mice were individually habituated to a 51 cm x 51 cm x 39 cm open feld for 5 min and then tested with two identical objects placed in the feld. Each mouse was allowed to explore the objects for 5 min. After three such trials (each separated by 1 min in a holding cage), the mouse was tested in the object novelty recognition test in which a novel object replaced one of the familiar objects. Behavior was video recorded and then scored for contacts (touching with nose or nose pointing at object and within 0.5 cm of object). Habituation to the objects across the familiarization trials (decreased contacts) is an initial measure of learning and then renewed interest (increased contacts) in the new object indicated successful object memory. Recognition indexes were calculated using the following formula: # contacts during test/(# contacts in

last familiarization trial + $#$ contacts during test). Values greater than 0.5 indicate increased interest, whereas values less than 0.5 indicate decreased interest in the object during the test relative to the fnal familiarization trial.

Immunofuorescence

Mice were intracardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS and the full brain was post-fxed in the same 4% PFA for 2 h and transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS for at least 2 days. Brain was embedded in HistoPrep (Fisher Chemical) and snap frozen in isopentane (2-methylbutane) cooled at -40 °C on dry ice. 35 μ m brain cryosections were cut using a Leica 2800E Frigocut cryostat at -20 °C and stored as free-foating sections in 1X PBS + 0.02% Sodium Azide at 4 °C. The free-floating brain sections were washed 3 times, 10 min in 1X PBS and then incubated in blocking solution (0.5% Tween-20, 1.5% BSA in 1X PBS) for 1 h at room temperature (RT) followed by overnight incubation at RT in antibody diluent (0.3% Triton X-100 in 1X PBS) containing the primary antibodies. The next day, sections were washed again 3 times, 10 min in 1X PBS and incubated with secondary antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch, diluted in 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1X PBS), washed again 3 times with 1X PBS and then incubated 10 min with DAPI diluted in 1X PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 100 ng/ml). Sections were mounted on Fisherbrand Superfrost Plus Microscope Slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Prolong Gold antifade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Full brain images were acquired with the Nanozoomer Slide Scanner (Hamamatsu©) and visualized in NDP.view2 software. Close-up images of brain sections displaying individual neurons were acquired with the FV1000 Spectral Confocal (Olympus) at 60X magnifcation or the spinning disk confocal Yokogawa X1 confocal scanhead mounted to a Nikon Ti2 microscope with a Plan apo lamda 100×oil NA 1.45 objective and Plan apo lamda 60×oil na 1.4 objective.

To quantify of FUS aggregates, brain coronal sections were carefully matched to compare similar anatomical regions, keeping track of the injected and non-injected side and immunostained with FUS, A11, OC and LOC antibodies as well DAPI. The percentage of DAPI positive cells with mislocalized aggregated FUS from similar area sizes within the cortex and hippocampus was counted.

To quantify the levels of nuclear and cytoplasmic FUS in neurons, brain coronal sections were matched to compare similar anatomical regions and immunostained with FUS, NeuN and DAPI. Images from the cortex were segmented using NeuN to identify neurons, as well as the outline of the Neuron itself. DAPI was used to segment the nucleus and record nuclear FUS intensity in neurons. Substracting the DAPI mask to the NeuN mask was used to defne the cytoplasm of neurons and record FUS cytoplasmic intensity.

To quantify the number of neurons in the mouse brains, coronal brain OCT sections were immunostained with NeuN and nuclei were stained with DAPI. The hippocampal dentate gyrus region contained mostly NeuNpositive cells. For its quantitation, DAPI-positive cells were counted manually in 3–5 consecutive sections per animal using Fiji software. For the motor cortex region, NeuN-positive cells were counted to exclude glia cell nuclei. Careful matching of the sections to compare similar anatomical regions was performed for each set of mice.

Antibody list

Serial fractionation and western blot

Mouse brains were homogenized in high-salt (HS) bufer (4 ml/g; 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 750 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA and protease and phosphatase inhibitor mix). Then the sample was diluted 1:10 with Pierce™ IP Lysis Buffer [25 mM HEPES (pH7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 5% V/V glycerol, protease and phosphatase inhibitor, and $1/100$ V/V benzonase (endonuclease)]. The sample was incubated on ice for 30 min, sonicated and centrifugated for 1 h at 10 000 \times g at 4 °C. The supernatant was used as the soluble fraction and the pellet was resuspended in Laemmli SDS-loading bufer and used as the insoluble fraction. 10% Bis–Tris gels were used

for immunoblotting and equal volumes of samples were loaded. For antibodies, see antibody list.

Serial fractionation and dot blot

Serial fractionation was performed as in [[40\]](#page-16-0). Mouse cortices were homogenized in high-salt (HS) bufer (4 ml/g; 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 750 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA and protease inhibitor mix), then centrifuged for 30 min at 45 $000 \times g$ at 4 °C resulting in the HS fraction. Next, the pellet was homogenized in 500 ml of HS bufer+1% Triton X-100 and 1 M sucrose and centrifuged 30 min, 4 °C at 45 000 \times g (HS+Tx fraction). Then the remaining pellet is suspended in urea buffer $(2 \text{ ml/g}; 7 \text{ M}$ urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 30 mM Tris pH 8.5), centrifuged at 45 $000 \times g$ and for the remaining pellet 2 ml/g of SDS loading bufer was added. Equal volumes were spotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. For antibodies, see antibody list.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism. All data is shown as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test was used to evaluate the distribution of the data. If comparing two normal distributed groups, t-test was used. In case of comparing more than two normally distributed groups, data were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnets post-hoc tests. When data were not normality distributed and homoscedastic, the Kruskal–Wallis test was used with Dunn's multiple test as post-hoc. When *P*-values were lower than 0.05, signifcance was noted in the fgure as: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001, *****P*<0.0001. Detailed information is shown in each fgure legend.

Results

Amyloid‑like fbrils of FUS induce aggregation and time‑dependent spread of human mutant FUSR521H

FUS pathology is present in rare sporadic ALS and familial ALS [[45](#page-16-5)], but is a hallmark of nearly 10% of the sporadic FTLD patients, known as FTLD-FUS [[37\]](#page-15-24). FUS aggregation is almost universally found in sporadic FTLD-FUS patients with inclusions that are tau- and TDP-43-negative $[38, 45, 46]$ $[38, 45, 46]$ $[38, 45, 46]$ $[38, 45, 46]$ $[38, 45, 46]$ $[38, 45, 46]$. While we $[40]$ and others [\[47](#page-16-7)[–50\]](#page-16-8) demonstrated that FUS aggregation is not required for disease initiation in mice expressing ALSlinked FUS mutations, but rather for its misaccumulation in axons and cytoplasm, respectively, here we devised to test whether FUS aggregation contributes to disease progression. To do this, we exploited our humanized FUS mice in which mouse FUS is replaced by the human full-length FUS gene encoding either wild-type FUS $(mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{WT})$ or ALS-linked FUS^{R521H} (mFUS^{KO}/ hFUS^{R521H}), the latter of which develops late onset progressive motor and cognitive defcits without detectable cytoplasmic FUS aggregation [\[40](#page-16-0)]. We expressed and purified full-length recombinant human FUS^{R495X} protein HA-tagged on its amino terminus (Fig. [1A](#page-6-0)), incubated with FUS^{R495X} seeds at 22 °C for 24 h to generate spontaneously assembled, amyloid-like fbrils in vitro (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)B). We selected FUS^{R495X} fibrils as the initial seeds as they would be predicted to evade rapid disaggregation by endogenous Karyopherin-β2 since FUS^{R495X} lacks the PY-NLS region recognized by Karyopherin-β2 [\[51](#page-16-9)] and allow to distinguish between the endogenous FUS and the exogenous fbrils by immunostaining using an antibody against the 500–526 amino acid peptide sequence of FUS protein that is missing in the FUS^{R495X} fibrils. Those fibrils were then sonicated (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)B) and injected unilaterally after disease initiation into the cortex and hippocampus of 16-month-old humanized FUS mice $(mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}, Fig. 1C).$ $(mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}, Fig. 1C).$ $(mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}, Fig. 1C).$

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils induce human FUS mislocalization and aggregation in aged humanized mutantFUS (mFUS^{RO}/hFUS^{R521H}) mice. **A** Coomassie blue staining of recombinant HA-FUS protein. **B** Electron micrograph of fibrils of HA-FUS^{R495X} recombinant protein purified from bacteria (Left panel). HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils after sonication before inoculating them into mice (Right panel). Scale bars: 1 µm (before sonication), 0.2 µm (after sonication). C Sonicated HA-tagged FUS^{R495X} fibrils were injected unilaterally into the cortex and hippocampus of 16 months old humanized, mutant FUS mice (mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}). **D, E** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the side of the mouse brain hemisphere (ipsilateral side) injected either with PBS (D) or with HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils (E) after 1 month and 6 months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm. The top panel illustrates the regions of the brain that were analyzed and the site of injection (pink box). Yellow arrows indicate cytoplasmic FUS aggregates at 1- and 6-months post-injection (p.i.). **F** Quantifcation of the percentage of cells containing endogenous cytoplasmic FUS aggregates in the cortex and hippocampus at the injection (ipsilateral) side 1-, 2- and 6-months post-injection. *N*=3 animals. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple test post-hoc p-values: cortex *p* = 0.0429 and hippocampus *p* = 0.0219. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. **G**, **H** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the opposite side of the mouse hemisphere (contralateral side) that was injected either with PBS (G) or with HA-FUSR495X fbrils (H) after 1 month and 6 months post-injection. Yellow arrows indicate FUS cytoplasmic inclusions after 1- and 6-months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm. **I** Quantifcation of the percentage of cells containing endogenous FUS aggregates in the cortex and hippocampus at the contralateral side over 1-, 2- and 6-months post-fbril injection.*N*=3 animals. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple test post-hoc p-values: *p* $= 0.0225$

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

The fate of the sonicated fibrils was followed over time using immunodetection of the HA-epitope tag to mark the pre-formed FUS fibrils. Three hour-postinjection exogenously produced HA-tagged FUS fbrils were immunodetected using the HA-epitope tag against the pre-formed FUS fbrils and were found to focally distribute into the cortex and hippocampus within a 150 µm-area anterior–posterior from the injected

coordinates (Fig. S1A, left panel and Fig. S1B). Fibrils persisted for the following 3 days and were predominantly immunodetected in the cytoplasm, suggesting their uptake from the cells (Fig. S1A, middle panel). However, one week after injection no pre-formed FUS fbrils were detected, consistent with clearance of the sonicated FUS fbrils (Fig. S1A, right panel). Focal injection provoked activation of microglia and astrocytes in hippocampus and cortex (Fig. S2) at 3 days post-injection on the site of injection, which was absent in the contralateral side. This astrocytic/microglial activation was only transient as it was not detected at 3 h and was mitigated by 1-week post-injection (Fig. S2). Since astrocytes and microglia can internalize and degrade added external aggregates in vitro [\[52](#page-16-10), [53\]](#page-16-11), glia cells may contribute to the clearance of the injected fbril material.

To test whether focal injection of sonicated FUS fbrils into cortex and hippocampus of humanized mutant FUSR521H mice recapitulates FUS pathology and if so whether it propagates with time beyond the injection site, we further analyzed brain sections one, two, and six months post-injection (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)C). FUS protein partially redistributed to the cytoplasm and was recruited $into \sim 1-5$ FUS immunopositive inclusions, while FUS remained almost entirely in the nucleus in PBS-injected mice (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)C-E). FUS cytoplasmic inclusions were observed in brain regions that were in contact with exogenous FUS seeds, but also in adjacent regions without any apparent contact with the injected amyloid-like fbrils, including the contralateral side of the cortex and hippocampus which also exhibited cytoplasmic inclusions of FUS (Fig. [1D](#page-6-0)-I). FUS aggregates progressively spread into superfcial layers found beyond the brain areas that were directly connected to the cortex and hippocampus regions of the injection site (Fig. [1E](#page-6-0),H).

Over time, FUS aggregation was immunodetected throughout the whole hemisphere at the level of injection and in wider areas of the opposite hemisphere (Fig. S3), indicating a time-dependent spread of FUS aggregates to distal regions rostrally and caudally from the focal injection of FUS fbrils. At the site of injection (ipsilateral side), 19, 31, and 39% of the cortical and 16, 22, and 49% of hippocampal cells harbored FUS inclusions at 1-, 2-, and 6-months post-injection, respectively, *versus* 3, 7, and>30% on the contralateral side at both brain regions (Fig. [1F](#page-6-0),I) while none were observed in PBS injected mice (Fig. [1D](#page-6-0),G). Overall, these data demonstrate that *1)* exogenous FUS fbrils seed de novo aggregation of endogenous human FUS^{R521H} in a spatial-, temporaldependent manner and *2)* FUS pathology spreads to distal sites, including within the non-injected hemisphere (albeit cells within the contralateral side of the injected

hemisphere exhibited a reduced number of FUS inclusions relative to the site of injection).

Neither monomers of FUS nor sonicated fbrils of SOD1 produce cytoplasmic aggregation of human mutant FUSR521H

In contrast to cytoplasmic aggregate induction and spreading of endogenously expressed FUS when mice were unilaterally injected with sonicated FUS fbrils in the cortex and hippocampus, FUS remained almost exclusively nuclear without detectable cytoplasmic aggregates in animals injected with FUS monomers (Fig. [2A](#page-9-0),B). Additionally, we generated fbrils (Fig. [2C](#page-9-0)-E) of recombinant wild-type superoxide dismutase (SOD1) [[42\]](#page-16-2) and focal injection of the sonicated SOD1 fibrils into mFUSKO/FUSR521H brains did not provoke aggregation or mislocalization of FUS locally or distally to the injection sites (Fig. $2E$ $2E$). Therefore, the recruitment of endogenous mutant FUS to sonicated fbril-induced FUS mislocalization and aggregation was unique to the injection of sonicated FUS fbrils.

Mutant FUS accelerates FUS aggregation induced by injected FUS fbrils

To test if human wild-type FUS can be seeded to aggregate (as is seen in examples of sporadic ALS and FTLD $[45]$ $[45]$), FUS^{R495X} fibrils were focally injected at single sites within the cortex or hippocampus of 16-month-old humanized mFUS $^{KO}/$ FUS WT mice in which both endogenous mouse FUS alleles had been inactivated (Fig. [2F](#page-9-0)). While de novo aggregation of endogenous human wildtype FUS was induced to a level comparable to that generated in humanized mutant FUSR521H mice similarly injected, aggregation was accelerated by two months in the mutant FUS animals (Figs. [1](#page-6-0) and [2F](#page-9-0)-I). Specifcally, after injection of FUS^{R495X} fibrils, aggregation of endogenous human wild-type FUS was not observed until 3 months, while aggregation of endogenous mutant FUS was observed the frst month post-injection. By 3 months post-injection, cytoplasmic wild-type FUS aggregates were found in 25% and 32%, respectively, of cells in the ipsilateral cortex and hippocampus, with spreading producing aggregates in 12% and 7%, respectively, of cells in the contralateral hemisphere (Fig. [2](#page-9-0)I). By eight months post-injection, wild-type FUS-containing aggregates were immunodetected throughout the brain in areas outside the injection site and the percentage of cells with cytoplasmic FUS aggregates rose to 46% in the ipsilateral side (in cortex and hippocampus), and 20% in cortex and 32% in hippocampus of the contralateral side (Fig. [2I](#page-9-0)). Overall, these fndings support that *1)* focally injected FUSR495X fbrils seed aggregation of wild-type

Fig. 2 HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils induce aggregation and spreading of human FUS WT but not of mouse FUS. A Electron micrograph of FUS monomeric protein (scale bar: 1 µm) which was injected into 16-month old mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H} mice. **B** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the side of the mouse brain in which FUS monomers were injected after 2 months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm. **C** Coomassie blue staining of recombinant His-SOD1 protein. **D** Electron micrograph of His-SOD1 fbrils obtained from recombinant protein purifed from bacteria (left panel) and sonicated His-SOD1 fibrils before inoculating them into 16 months old humanized mFUSKO/hFUSR521H mice. Scale bar: 200 nm. **E** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the side of the mouse brain in which FUS monomers were injected after 2 months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm. **F** Sonicated HA-tagged FUS^{R495X} fibrils were injected unilaterally into the cortex and hippocampus of 16 months old humanized, FUS wild-type mice (mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{WT}). **G** Immunostaining of a PBS-injected mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{WT} mouse brain, 8 months post-injection using a FUS (green) antibody. **H** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the side of the mouse brain hemisphere (ipsilateral side) injected with HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils. Yellow arrows indicate FUS cytoplasmic aggregates after 1-, 3- and 8-months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm. **I** Quantifcation of the percentage of cells with endogenous human FUS aggregates in the cortex and hippocampus at the ipsilateral and contralateral side, 1-, 3- and 8-months post-injection. N=3 animals. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple test post-hoc p-values: cortex ipsilateral $p = 0.0190$, cortex contralateral $p = 0.0312$, hippocampus ipsilateral $p = 0.0299$ and hippocampus contralateral $p = 0.0190$. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. **J**, **K** Immunostaining of FUS (green) and DAPI (blue) of the side of injection (ipsilateral side) in non-transgenic C57BL/6J mice (mouse FUS) brains injected with HA-FUS^{R495X} after 6- and 9-months post-injection. Scale bars: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm

endogenous human FUS and *2)* the induced wild-type FUS-containing inclusions propagate beyond the injection site to the opposite hemisphere, with the kinetics of spreading slower than for mutant FUS (Figs. [1](#page-6-0) and [2F](#page-9-0)-I).

A species barrier to FUS aggregate seeding

Sequence variations between species have been well established to create a species barrier for prion seeding and spread [\[54\]](#page-16-12). To test for the presence of a similar species barrier for FUS seeding, human FUS^{R495X} fibrils were assembled, sonicated, and injected unilaterally into cortex and hippocampus of C57BL/6 J mice exclusively expressing mouse FUS (Fig. [2](#page-9-0)J). Examinations at

timepoints up to 9 months post-injection revealed that mouse FUS continued to be almost exclusively nuclear (Fig. [2J](#page-9-0),K), with no aggregates detectable at any time point, consistent with an interspecies transmission barrier that limits the capability of sonicated human FUS fbrils to seed aggregation of mouse FUS.

Injected FUS fbrils increase insolubility of endogenous FUS

To determine whether cytoplasmic FUS inclusions revealed by immunostaining acquire the characteristics of FUS inclusions found in postmortem patient material, we used a combination of immunocytochemistry

and biochemistry at multiple time points post-fbril injection (Fig. [3A](#page-10-0)). Within 1 month post-fbril injection aggregated endogenous FUS (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)B) acquired pre-amyloid properties as determined by immunodetection with the A11 antibody that has been established to recognize a peptide backbone epitope common to pre-amyloid oligomers [[55\]](#page-16-13). By 6 months post-injection, an overwhelming majority of FUS aggregates $(79.7% \pm 5.4)$ were A11-positive (Fig. S5), while as expected no such signals were present either in age-matched PBS control injected mice or within 3 h after FUS fbril injection (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)B,C). Injection-induced human FUS inclusions in brains of FUS humanized mice were also immunopositive (Fig. S5) using antibodies previously reported to recognize mature, in vivo β -amyloid structures [[50\]](#page-16-8). Similarly, fbril-induced FUS aggregates co-localized with p62 and ubiquitin (as described in human FUS proteinopathies [\[2](#page-15-19), [35,](#page-15-21) [56](#page-16-14), [57\]](#page-16-15)), but did not contain detectable levels of TDP-43 (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)D,E).

Analysis of brain homogenates from FUS-injected mice showed a marked increase in detergent-insoluble FUS compared with PBS-injected mice (Fig. [3F](#page-10-0),G and Fig. S5E,F). While in humanized FUS mutant mice $(mFUS^{KO})$ hFUS^{R521H}) most FUS remained soluble as we previously reported [[40](#page-16-0)], an increase (compared to PBS injected brains) in insoluble FUS was detected in extracts from brains that were FUS fibril-injected (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)F,G). The FUS homologue TATA-binding protein-associated factor 15 (TAF15) (also known as TATA-binding protein-associated factor 2N), but not the RNA binding protein TDP-43, was also present in a detergent insoluble fraction, consistent with increased FUS and TAF15 insolubility reported in ALS/FTLD-FUS patients [[56,](#page-16-14) [58](#page-16-16)] and extraction and imaging of flaments of TAF15 from such patient samples [[58\]](#page-16-16).

After 6 months of sonicated FUS fbril injection, the vast majority $(90.9% \pm 4.2)$ of cytoplasmic human endogenous FUS inclusions was present within neurons, with the remaining 10% in glia (Fig. S6A,B). Although the overall levels of nuclear and cytoplasmic FUS did not change 6 months post-injection of HA-FUSR495X fbrils (Fig. S6C-E), the neurons bearing FUS cytoplasmic inclusions displayed a trend towards a decrease in the FUS nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio (Fig. S6F-H). Furthermore, formation of cytoplasmic FUS inclusions was accompanied by increased astrocytosis and microgliosis in fbril-injected mice (as revealed by increased immunoreactivity with GFAP and IBA1 antibodies, respectively) at 6 months, but not at 2 months postinjection (Fig. S7).

Seeded aggregation of FUS provokes neurodegeneration

Single-dose injections of sonicated FUS fbrils (HA- FUS^{R495X}) or PBS in the cortex and hippocampus were administered to cohorts of either non-transgenic mice or humanized FUS mutant mice (mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H}) and their behaviors were monitored using novel object recognition, rotarod and open feld assays at timepoints prior to injection, and at 2 months and 6 months postinjection (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)A-B, Fig. S7). Cognitive impairments associated with mutant FUS expression (as we previously reported $[40]$ $[40]$ $[40]$) were significantly aggravated 6 months post-injection (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)A). While no exacerbation of disease was observed in humanized mutant FUS mice within the frst two months after fbril-injection, rotarod performance was modestly decreased in fbril-injected humanized mutant mice 6 months post-injection (Fig. S8). In an open feld assay, focal injection of mutant FUS fbrils also induced age-dependent deficits in the humanized FUS mice (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)B). Moreover, analysis of cortical and hippocampal sections revealed a signifcant neuronal loss in both hippocampus and cortex after FUS fbril injection compared to their PBS and non-injected controls (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)C-E), indicating exacerbation of behavioral deficits and neurodegeneration that correlate with seeded, prionlike spread of aggregated FUS.

⁽See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Human FUS aggregates are insoluble, display pre-amyloid properties and recapitulate features of human FUS pathology. **A** Schematic overview of the timepoints at which FUS aggregation was analyzed using immunofuorescence-based assays and biochemical insolubility assays at 3 hours, 1 months and 6 months post-injection. **B, C** Representative confocal images of mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H} mouse brains injected either with HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils at 3 hours, 1 months and 6 months post-injection (B) or with PBS at 6 months post-injection (C) immunolabelled using antibodies against the pre-amyloid oligomer marker A11 (red), HA after 3 hours post-injection (green) and FUS after 1 month and 6 months post-injection (green). Yellow arrows indicate co-localization between A11 and FUS cytoplasmic inclusions detected in fbril-injected mice. DAPI (blue) as nuclear counterstaining. Scale bars: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm. **D**, **E** Representative confocal micrographs of mFUSKO/hFUSR521H mouse brains injected with HA-FUS^{R495X} fibrils using ubiquitin/p62/TDP-43 (red) and FUS (green) antibodies after 1 month (D) and 6 months (E) post-injection. Yellow arrows indicate co-localization between either ubiquitin/p62/TDP-43 and FUS cytoplasmic aggregates. Scale bar: 10 µm, inset: 5 µm. **F** Experimental outline of the serial fractionation of brain homogenates derived from mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H} mice either PBS- or HA-FUS^{R495X} fbril-injected and R6/2 Huntington's model mice as a positive control for FUS insolubility [[58\]](#page-16-16). **G** Immunoblotting of the sequential biochemical fractions from mouse brains using anti-FUS, anti-TAF15 and anti-TDP-43 antibodies. Anti-GAPDH was used as loading control

Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)

Novel object recognition test was performed in 16 months (before injection) and 22 months old HA-FUS^{R495X} fibril-injected mFUS^{KO}/hFUS^{R521H} animals (6 months post-injection) compared to PBS-injected controls and non-transgenic HA-FUSR495X fbrils or PBS injected controls. *N*=5–12 animals per group. Unpaired t-test *p*-value = 0.0293. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. **B** Open feld test was performed in 22 months old HA-FUS^{R495X} fibril injected mFUS^{RO}/hFUSR^{521H} animals (6 months post-injection) compared to PBS-injected controls and non-transgenic HA-FUSR495X fbrils or PBS injected controls. *N*=5–12 animals per group. Unpaired t-test *p*-value = 0.0498. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. **C** Representative immunofuorescence labelling for the neuronal marker NeuN (green) and DAPI in the hippocampus (upper panel) and cortex (lower panel) of humanized mutant mice mFUSKO/hFUSR521H non-injected, and injected either with PBS or HA-FUSR495X fbrils. Scale bar: 25 µm. **D**,**E** Quantifcation of neurons in hippocampus (D) and cortex (E) in HA-FUS^{R495X} fibril-injected mice compared to PBS and non-injected controls. *N*=4 animals per condition. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple test post-hoc p-values: hippocampus (D) *p** = 0.0112 and cortex (E) $p^* = 0.0455$ and $p^{**} = 0.0053$

Discussion

We have developed a model of FTLD disease in mice through the dissemination of FUS pathology within the brain, afecting cognitive and motor functions. Focal injections of human FUS fbrils in the brain of humanized FUS mice induce de novo pathology of endogenous mutant or wild-type human FUS spreading within the brain, in a spatio-temporal manner, consistent with a model of transmission of pathology (in a prion-like fashion) throughout the brain. Endogenous FUS aggregation was observed at 1 month post-injection around the site of injection in the hippocampus region, a region associated with pathology in both ALS and FTLD patients [\[2](#page-15-19), [59\]](#page-16-17) and in the cortical region, where basophilic and FUS

positive inclusions are found in neurons and glia cells of ALS cases, and are numerous in the middle and deep layers of the neocortex in FTLD [\[45,](#page-16-5) [60\]](#page-16-18). Pathology after injection was found as well in brain areas distant from the injection sites, including in the contralateral hemisphere where FUS cytoplasmic aggregates were observed in a pattern mirroring the injected hemisphere similar as α-synuclein, tau and TDP-43 spreading in mice [[9](#page-15-25), [29,](#page-15-16) [61](#page-16-19), [62\]](#page-16-20). Our data contribute to the mounting evidence that prion-like transmission of misfolded proteins represents a common process in the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases, including α-synuclein in Parkinson's disease [\[9,](#page-15-25) [17](#page-15-26), [63\]](#page-16-21), Aβ and Tau in AD [\[18](#page-15-10), [64,](#page-16-22) [65](#page-16-23)], and SOD1 or TDP-43 in ALS [\[21,](#page-15-13) [25](#page-15-15), [26,](#page-15-27) [28](#page-15-28)].

Injection of sonicated fibrils of $hFUS^{R495X}$ induced aggregation and time-dependent spread of endogenous human mutant and wild-type FUS albeit the spreading mechanism remains unknown. FUS pathology may spread through adjacent cell-to-cell seed transfer, through anatomical neuronal connections, possibly in a difusion-like manner, or (most likely) a combination of several mechanisms. The fact that FUS aggregates are found in the contralateral hemisphere suggests spread between hemispheres through brain commissures possibly the corpus callosum, but also through smaller anterior, posterior and hippocampal commissures [\[66](#page-16-24)]. Injecting sonicated FUS fbrils in an area with known distal projections, such as the lateral geniculate nucleus, and evaluating the presence of FUS cytoplasmic aggregation in the synaptically connected visual cortex $[67]$ $[67]$, would be valuable to determine if neuronal connectivity facilitates the spreading of FUS cytoplasmic aggregates. The timedependent increasing accumulation of FUS cytoplasmic aggregates in the more distant brain areas after FUS-fbril injection further points to prion-like spreading mechanisms in the formation of FUS pathology. One cannot completely exclude that the injected sonicated FUS fbrils spread in a difusion-like manner and seeded neurons in distal regions from the injection site, where they were undetectable right after injection. However, after the initial seeding event, a plausible route for FUS aggregates to appear in distal regions with time is spreading of human endogenous FUS seeds through the extracellular space via its release from dying cells, and/or through secretion (freely or through extracellular vesicles) and uptake into recipient cells, again via endocytosis and endosomal membrane rupture as it has been proposed for spreading of other prion-like proteins such as tau [\[68](#page-16-26)[–70\]](#page-16-27).

Great heterogeneity in the morphology of FUS cytoplasmic inclusions has been reported in human disease, at least some of which has been correlated with disease severity and FUS mutation in ALS cases [\[36\]](#page-15-29). Moreover, FTLD-FUS pathology is divided into 3 diferent groups based on the morphology of the cytoplasmic inclusions and their deposition pattern [[37](#page-15-24)]. We observed round shaped cytoplasmic inclusions of FUS mostly in neurons that were ubiquitinated, p62 positive and TDP-43 negative. Moreover, cytoplasmic FUS aggregates and non-pathogenic nuclear FUS are detected in the same cell as reported before [[60,](#page-16-18) [71](#page-16-28)]. After 6 months of fbril injection, human mutant FUS further display enhanced insolubility together with enhanced TAF15 insolubility but not TDP-43. Both FUS and TAF15 were also detected in the detergent-insoluble fraction of the Huntington's disease mice R6/2, indicating that TAF15 insolubility seems a secondary efect of FUS aggregation and is not due to exposure to injected FUS fbrils. However, it is possible that focal injection of amyloidlike FUS fbrils caused endogenous mouse TAF15 to form fbrils which also spread throughout the brain. It would thus be of interest to test if TAF15 depletion can prevent the spreading of cytoplasmic FUS aggregation in mice and whether injection of recombinant TAF15 fbrils can induce endogenous human FUS cytoplasmic aggregation Altogether, our model recapitulates the FUS and TAF15 shift in solubility and immunoreactivity for ubiquitin and p62 positive (but TDP-43 negative) that has been reported in human FTLD-FUS [\[3](#page-15-1), [35–](#page-15-21)[38](#page-15-22), [58,](#page-16-16) [72\]](#page-16-29).

Proteins with prion-like domains form pathological inclusions in many neurodegenerative diseases. The core region of the low complexity domain (LCD) of FUS is essential to form parallel β-sheet structures reminiscent of the amyloid-like proteins [[73\]](#page-16-30). Seeding is an important feature of amyloid-like aggregates, in which a piece of protein fbril can function as a structural template for facilitating the fbrillation of soluble protein molecules [[74\]](#page-16-31). Fibril-induced FUS cytoplasmic inclusions exhibit enhanced insolubility, supporting the idea that FUS inclusions could efectively transform soluble FUS into insoluble aggregates, resulting in the progressive dysfunction of FUS and cytotoxicity. Indeed, a seeded fbrillation of proteins and their intercellular transmission have been increasingly noticed as a molecular pathomechanism that describes the progression of several neurodegenerative diseases [\[75](#page-16-32), [76\]](#page-16-33).

Permissive prion transmission frequently depends on overcoming a species barrier, which is determined by a range of possible conformers of a particular prion, its sequence, as well as its interaction with cellular cofactors [\[77](#page-16-34), [78\]](#page-16-35). Here, we show the existence of a seeding barrier between human and mouse FUS. One such endogenous **'**barrier**'** relevant to FUS proteinopathy may be the sequence diferences between mouse and human FUS (which difer in 26 out of 526 amino acids), ffteen of which are located in the G-rich, prion-like domain

believed to be a major factor in driving aggregation (Fig. S8) [[79\]](#page-16-36). Another plausible explanation is that mouse FUS is intrinsically less aggregation prone and cannot be seeded and/or spread. A future experiment that would decipher between these possibilities would be the injection of recombinant mouse FUS fbrils in non-transgenic wild-type mice to test if this will induce endogenous murine FUS aggregation and spreading, further supporting the idea of a species barrier.

FTLD patients with FUS inclusions only rarely harbour genetic alterations in FUS [\[80](#page-16-37)] and the majority of cases are sporadic [\[14](#page-15-30)]. Most of the ALS-linked FUS mutations reported to date are localized in the NLS domain resulting in impaired nuclear transport of FUS and chaperoning by Karyopherin- β 2. This is consistent with the finding that mutant FUS^{R521H} exhibit accelerated initial seeding and aggregation capacity compared to wild-type FUS, due to a reduced transport efficiency to the nucleus and increased retention in the cytoplasm.

Seeded aggregation of FUS provoked neurodegeneration and impaired mouse behaviour but the underlying molecular mechanisms mediating cell toxicity remain to be elucidated. ALS-linked mutations in FUS induce a gain of toxicity that includes stress-mediated suppression in intra-axonal translation, and synaptic dysfunction [[40](#page-16-0)]. With FUS fbril-injection we observed a portion of FUS mislocalized to the cytoplasm, clustering in visible inclusions that are widespread within the brain. Deletion of the NES in FUS strongly suppressed toxicity of mutant FUS in *Drosophila* [\[81\]](#page-16-38), suggesting that the cytoplasmic localization of mutant FUS confers toxicity which is supported by the neurodegeneration we observed in both the hippocampus and cortex of fbril injected mice. In parallel, we observed gliosis at late time points of FUS pathology that might contribute to the damage of the tissue and translated in behaviour deficits since inflammation and astrocyte‐mediated toxicity have been identifed as part of the pathogenic process of ALS/FTLD [\[82\]](#page-16-39). A natural follow up of this work is to characterize the composition of the cytoplasmic FUS inclusions and defne the spatial transcriptomic changes provoked by FUS aggregation at diferent time points and brain regions as this may provide insights into the pathways of seeding, spreading and vulnerability or resistance. Deciphering such molecular mechanisms that underlie the spreading of FUS proteinopathy may ofer avenues for therapeutic interventions by blocking spreading and thereby disease progression.

Conclusion

Here we show that single focal injection of sonicated human FUS fbrils into aged brains of humanized FUS mice (in which ALS-linked mutant or wild-type human FUS replaces endogenous mouse FUS) induces FUS cytoplasmic aggregation, which recapitulates features of human FUS inclusions found in ALS/FTLD patients. Importantly, spread of FUS aggregates is shown to exacerbate FTLD-like disease induced by a disease-causing mutation and ultimately initiates neurodegeneration, thus providing the frst in vivo evidence of spreading of templated FUS aggregation in an adult central nervous system.

Abbreviations

Aβ Amyloid β

- ALS Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
- DPR Dipeptide repeat
- FTLD Frontotemporal lobar degeneration
- FUS Fused in sarcoma
- LCD Low complexity domain
- NLS Nuclear localization signal
PBS Phosphate-buffered saline
- Phosphate-buffered saline
- SOD1 Superoxide dismutase
- TAF15 FUS homologue TATA-binding protein-associated factor 15
- TSEs Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-024-00737-5) [org/10.1186/s13024-024-00737-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-024-00737-5).

Supplementary Material 1.

Acknowledgements

We thank J. Santini at the UCSD Microscopy Core, E. Griffis and P. Guo at the UCSD Nikon Imaging Center and Y. Jones at Electron Microscopy Core Facility of UCSD for assistance with imaging and image analysis. We thank Z. Melamed, C. Chillon-Marinas, R. Maimon, J. Lopez-Erauskin, M. Baughn and S. Lu for their helpful discussions.

Authors' contributions

FGR and SDC conceptualized and designed the study. SVS, BT, FGR, SZ, DP, MMD, JA, YV and NGP performed experiments and analyzed the data. LG and JS provided key reagents. SVS, BT, FGR, DWC and SDC wrote the manuscript, which was reviewed by all authors.

Funding

SDC acknowledges support from the Muscular Dystrophy Association (MDA grants #628227 and #962700), the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO, grant G064721N) and the Alzheimer Research Foundation (SAO) (grant SAO-FRA 20230035); JS acknowledges support from the NIH (R01AG077771 and R21NS090205), Target ALS, ALSA, and the Robert Packard Center for ALS Research at Johns Hopkins; DWC acknowledges support from the NIH (grant no. R01 NS27036) and the Nomis Foundation; LG acknowledges support from Target ALS and National Institute of Health grant (R35GM138109); SVS acknowledges the ALS Association (grant no. 21-PDF-583), FGR thanks the Muscular Dystrophy Association for salary support, and DP is grateful for salary support from the FWO postdoctoral junior fellowship (1229621N) and then the Muscular Dystrophy Association (MDA 1060285) and for support from the Alzheimer Research Foundation (SAO pilot grant 20200020).

Availability of data and materials

 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, San Diego, USA, as

well as by the KU Leuven Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation according to the KU Leuven ethical guidelines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable for this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests, except for JS. JS is a consultant for Dewpoint Therapeutics, ADRx, and Neumora. J.S. a shareholder and advisor at Confuence Therapeutics.

Received: 10 January 2024 Accepted: 3 June 2024

References

- 1. Kwiatkowski TJ Jr, Bosco DA, Leclerc AL, Tamrazian E, Vanderburg CR, Russ C, et al. Mutations in the FUS/TLS gene on chromosome 16 cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Science. 2009;323(5918):1205–8.
- 2. Neumann M, Rademakers R, Roeber S, Baker M, Kretzschmar HA, Mackenzie IR. A new subtype of frontotemporal lobar degeneration with FUS pathology. Brain. 2009;132(Pt 11):2922–31.
- 3. Vance C, Rogelj B, Hortobagyi T, De Vos KJ, Nishimura AL, Sreedharan J, et al. Mutations in FUS, an RNA processing protein, cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis type 6. Science. 2009;323(5918):1208–11.
- 4. Da Cruz S, Cleveland DW. Understanding the role of TDP-43 and FUS/TLS in ALS and beyond. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2011;21(6):904–19.
- 5. Braak H, Brettschneider J, Ludolph AC, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ, Del Tredici K. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis–a model of corticofugal axonal spread. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013;9(12):708–14.
- 6. Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rüb U, de Vos RAI, Jansen Steur ENH, Braak E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2003;24(2):197–211.
- 7. Jucker M, Walker LC. Self-propagation of pathogenic protein aggregates in neurodegenerative diseases. Nature. 2013;501(7465):45–51.
- 8. Iba M, Guo JL, McBride JD, Zhang B, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM. Synthetic tau fbrils mediate transmission of neurofbrillary tangles in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's-like tauopathy. J Neurosci. 2013;33(3):1024–37.
- 9. Luk KC, Kehm VM, Zhang B, O'Brien P, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM. Intracerebral inoculation of pathological alpha-synuclein initiates a rapidly progressive neurodegenerative alpha-synucleinopathy in mice. J Exp Med. 2012;209(5):975–86.
- 10. Stohr J, Condello C, Watts JC, Bloch L, Oehler A, Nick M, et al. Distinct synthetic Abeta prion strains producing diferent amyloid deposits in bigenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(28):10329–34.
- 11. Brettschneider J, Del Tredici K, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ. Spreading of pathology in neurodegenerative diseases: a focus on human studies. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015;16(2):109–20.
- 12. Peng C, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VMY. Protein transmission in neurodegenerative disease. Nat Rev Neurol. 2020;16(4):199–212.
- 13. Clavaguera F, Bolmont T, Crowther RA, Abramowski D, Frank S, Probst A, et al. Transmission and spreading of tauopathy in transgenic mouse brain. Nat Cell Biol. 2009;11(7):909–13.
- 14. Goedert M, Clavaguera F, Tolnay M. The propagation of prion-like protein inclusions in neurodegenerative diseases. Trends Neurosci. 2010;33(7):317–25.
- 15. Jansen AHP, Batenburg KL, Pecho-Vrieseling E, Reits EA. Visualization of prion-like transfer in Huntington's disease models. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2017;1863(3):793–800.
- 16. Jaunmuktane Z, Mead S, Ellis M, Wadsworth JDF, Nicoll AJ, Kenny J, et al. Erratum: Evidence for human transmission of amyloid-β pathology and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Nature. 2015;526(7574):595.
- 17. Luk KC, Kehm V, Carroll J, Zhang B, O'Brien P, Trojanowski JQ, et al. Pathological alpha-synuclein transmission initiates Parkinson-like neurodegeneration in nontransgenic mice. Science. 2012;338(6109):949–53.
- 18. Meyer-Luehmann M, Coomaraswamy J, Bolmont T, Kaeser S, Schaefer C, Kilger E, et al. Exogenous induction of cerebral

beta-amyloidogenesis is governed by agent and host. Science (New York, NY). 2006;313(5794):1781–4.

- 19. Khosravi B, LaClair KD, Riemenschneider H, Zhou Q, Frottin F, Mareljic N, et al. Cell-to-cell transmission of C9orf72 poly-(Gly-Ala) triggers key features of ALS/FTD. EMBO J. 2020;39(8): e102811.
- 20. Westergard T, Jensen BK, Wen X, Cai J, Kropf E, Iacovitti L, et al. Cell-to-Cell Transmission of Dipeptide Repeat Proteins Linked to C9orf72-ALS/FTD. Cell Rep. 2016;17(3):645–52.
- 21. Ayers JI, Fromholt S, Koch M, DeBosier A, McMahon B, Xu G, et al. Experimental transmissibility of mutant SOD1 motor neuron disease. Acta Neuropathol. 2014;128(6):791–803.
- 22. Ayers JI, Fromholt SE, O'Neal VM, Diamond JH, Borchelt DR. Prionlike propagation of mutant SOD1 misfolding and motor neuron disease spread along neuroanatomical pathways. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;131(1):103–14.
- 23. Bidhendi EE, Bergh J, Zetterstrom P, Andersen PM, Marklund SL, Brannstrom T. Two superoxide dismutase prion strains transmit amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-like disease. J Clin Investig. 2016;126(6):2249–53.
- 24. Ekhtiari Bidhendi E, Bergh J, Zetterström P, Forsberg K, Pakkenberg B, Andersen PM, et al. Mutant superoxide dismutase aggregates from human spinal cord transmit amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol. 2018;136(6):939–53.
- 25. De Rossi P, Lewis AJ, Furrer J, De Vos L, Demeter T, Zbinden A, et al. FTLD-TDP assemblies seed neoaggregates with subtype-specifc features via a prion-like cascade. EMBO Rep. 2021;22(12): e53877.
- 26. Ding X, Xiang Z, Qin C, Chen Y, Tian H, Meng L, et al. Spreading of TDP-43 pathology via pyramidal tract induces ALS-like phenotypes in TDP-43 transgenic mice. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2021;9(1):15.
- 27. Laferriere F, Maniecka Z, Perez-Berlanga M, Hruska-Plochan M, Gilhespy L, Hock EM, et al. TDP-43 extracted from frontotemporal lobar degeneration subject brains displays distinct aggregate assemblies and neurotoxic efects refecting disease progression rates. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(1):65–77.
- 28. Porta S, Xu Y, Lehr T, Zhang B, Meymand E, Olufemi M, et al. Distinct brain-derived TDP-43 strains from FTLD-TDP subtypes induce diverse morphological TDP-43 aggregates and spreading patterns <i>in vitro</ i> and <i>in vivo</i>. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2021;47(7):1033-49.
- 29. Porta S, Xu Y, Restrepo CR, Kwong LK, Zhang B, Brown HJ, et al. Patientderived frontotemporal lobar degeneration brain extracts induce formation and spreading of TDP-43 pathology in vivo. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4220.
- 30. Brooks BR. The role of axonal transport in neurodegenerative disease spread: a meta-analysis of experimental and clinical poliomyelitis compares with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Can J Neurol Sci. 1991;18(3 Suppl):435–8.
- 31. Ravits J. Focality, stochasticity and neuroanatomic propagation in ALS pathogenesis. Exp Neurol. 2014;262((Pt B)):121–6.
- 32. Ravits JM, La Spada AR. ALS motor phenotype heterogeneity, focality, and spread: deconstructing motor neuron degeneration. Neurology. 2009;73(10):805–11.
- 33. Armstrong RA, Ellis W, Hamilton RL, Mackenzie IR, Hedreen J, Gearing M, et al. Neuropathological heterogeneity in frontotemporal lobar degeneration with TDP-43 proteinopathy: a quantitative study of 94 cases using principal components analysis. J Neural Transm. 2010;117(2):227–39.
- 34. Hock EM, Polymenidou M. Prion-like propagation as a pathogenic principle in frontotemporal dementia. J Neurochem. 2016;138(Suppl 1):163–83.
- 35. King A, Troakes C, Smith B, Nolan M, Curran O, Vance C, et al. ALS-FUS pathology revisited: singleton FUS mutations and an unusual case with both a FUS and TARDBP mutation. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2015;3:62.
- 36. Mackenzie IR, Ansorge O, Strong M, Bilbao J, Zinman L, Ang LC, et al. Pathological heterogeneity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with FUS mutations: two distinct patterns correlating with disease severity and mutation. Acta Neuropathol. 2011;122(1):87–98.
- 37. Mackenzie IR, Munoz DG, Kusaka H, Yokota O, Ishihara K, Roeber S, et al. Distinct pathological subtypes of FTLD-FUS. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;121(2):207–18.
- 38. Mackenzie IR, Neumann M, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Alafuzoff I, Kril J, et al. Nomenclature and nosology for neuropathologic subtypes of frontotem‑ poral lobar degeneration: an update. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;119(1):1–4.
- 39. Nomura T, Watanabe S, Kaneko K, Yamanaka K, Nukina N, Furukawa Y. Intranuclear aggregation of mutant FUS/TLS as a molecular pathomechanism of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(2):1192–202.
- 40. Lopez-Erauskin J, Tadokoro T, Baughn MW, Myers B, McAlonis-Downes M, Chillon-Marinas C, et al. ALS/FTD-Linked Mutation in FUS Suppresses Intra-axonal Protein Synthesis and Drives Disease Without Nuclear Lossof-Function of FUS. Neuron. 2018;100(4):816-30e7.
- 41. Gasset-Rosa F, Lu S, Yu H, Chen C, Melamed Z, Guo L, et al. Cytoplasmic TDP-43 De-mixing Independent of Stress Granules Drives Inhibition of Nuclear Import, Loss of Nuclear TDP-43, and Cell Death. Neuron. 2019;102(21):339-57e7.
- 42. Molina-García L, Gasset-Rosa F, Álamo MM-d, de la Espina SM-D, Giraldo R. Addressing Intracellular Amyloidosis in Bacteria with RepA-WH1, a Prion-Like Protein. In: Sigurdsson EM, Calero M, Gasset M, editors. Amyloid Proteins: Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology. New York, NY: Springer; 2018. p. 289–312.
- 43. Jiang J, Zhu Q, Gendron TF, Saberi S, McAlonis-Downes M, Seelman A, et al. Gain of Toxicity from ALS/FTD-Linked Repeat Expansions in C9ORF72 Is Alleviated by Antisense Oligonucleotides Targeting GGGGCC -Containing RNAs. Neuron. 2016;90:535–50.
- 44. Ditsworth D, Maldonado M, McAlonis-Downes M, Sun S, Seelman A, Drenner K, et al. Mutant TDP-43 within motor neurons drives disease onset but not progression in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol. 2017;133(6):907–22.
- 45. Mackenzie IR, Rademakers R, Neumann M. TDP-43 and FUS in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia. Lancet Neurol. 2010;9(10):995–1007.
- 46. Urwin H, Josephs KA, Rohrer JD, Mackenzie IR, Neumann M, Authier A, et al. FUS pathology defnes the majority of tau- and TDP-43-negative frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;120(1):33–41.
- 47. Devoy A, Kalmar B, Stewart M, Park H, Burke B, Noy SJ, et al. Humanized mutant FUS drives progressive motor neuron degeneration without aggregation in 'FUSDelta14' knockin mice. Brain. 2017;140(11):2797–2805.
- 48. Scekic-Zahirovic J, Oussini HE, Mersmann S, Drenner K, Wagner M, Sun Y, et al. Motor neuron intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms contribute to the pathogenesis of FUS-associated amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol. 2017;133(6):887–906.
- 49. Sephton CF, Tang AA, Kulkarni A, West J, Brooks M, Stubblefeld JJ, et al. Activity-dependent FUS dysregulation disrupts synaptic homeostasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(44):E4769–78.
- 50. Sharma A, Lyashchenko AK, Lu L, Nasrabady SE, Elmaleh M, Mendelsohn M, et al. ALS-associated mutant FUS induces selective motor neuron degeneration through toxic gain of function. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10465.
- 51. Guo L, Kim HJ, Wang H, Monaghan J, Freyermuth F, Sung JC, et al. Nuclear-Import Receptors Reverse Aberrant Phase Transitions of RNA-Binding Proteins with Prion-like Domains. Cell. 2018;173(3):677-92e20.
- 52. Mandrekar S, Jiang Q, Lee CYD, Koenigsknecht-Talboo J, Holtzman DM, Landreth GE. Microglia Mediate the Clearance of Soluble Aβ through Fluid Phase Macropinocytosis. J Neurosci. 2009;29(13):4252–62.
- 53. Ries M, Sastre M. Mechanisms of Aβ Clearance and Degradation by Glial Cells. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience. 2016;8:160.
- 54. Hagiwara K, Hara H, Hanada K. Species-barrier phenomenon in prion transmissibility from a viewpoint of protein science. J Biochem. 2013;153(2):139–45.
- 55. Kayed R, Head E, Sarsoza F, Saing T, Cotman CW, Necula M, et al. Fibril specifc, conformation dependent antibodies recognize a generic epitope common to amyloid fbrils and fbrillar oligomers that is absent in prefbrillar oligomers. Mol Neurodegener. 2007;2:18.
- 56. Deng H-X, Zhai H, Bigio EH, Yan J, Fecto F, Ajroud K, et al. FUS-immunoreactive inclusions are a common feature in sporadic and non-SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 2010;67(6):739–48.
- 57. Huang EJ, Zhang J, Geser F, Trojanowski JQ, Strober JB, Dickson DW, et al. Extensive FUS-immunoreactive pathology in juvenile amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with basophilic inclusions. Brain Pathol. 2010;20(6):1069–76.
- 58. Tetter S, Arseni D, Murzin AG, Buhidma Y, Peak-Chew SY, Garringer HJ, et al. TAF15 amyloid flaments in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Nature. 2024;625(7994):345–51.
- 59. Christidi F, Karavasilis E, Rentzos M, Velonakis G, Zouvelou V, Xirou S, et al. Hippocampal pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: selective vulnerability of subfelds and their associated projections. Neurobiol Aging. 2019;84:178–88.
- 60. Saberi S, Staufer JE, Schulte DJ, Ravits J. Neuropathology of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Its Variants. Neurol Clin. 2015;33(4):855–76.
- 61. Kaufman SK, Sanders DW, Thomas TL, Ruchinskas AJ, Vaquer-Alicea J, Sharma AM, et al. Tau Prion Strains Dictate Patterns of Cell

Pathology, Progression Rate, and Regional Vulnerability In Vivo. Neuron. 2016;92(4):796–812.

- 62. Narasimhan S, Guo JL, Changolkar L, Stieber A, McBride JD, Silva LV, et al. Pathological Tau Strains from Human Brains Recapitulate the Diversity of Tauopathies in Nontransgenic Mouse Brain. J Neurosci. 2017;37(47):11406–23.
- 63. Masuda-Suzukake M, Nonaka T, Hosokawa M, Oikawa T, Arai T, Akiyama H, et al. Prion-like spreading of pathological α-synuclein in brain. Brain. 2013;136(4):1128–38.
- 64. Eisele YS, Bolmont T, Heikenwalder M, Langer F, Jacobson LH, Yan Z-X, et al. Induction of cerebral beta-amyloidosis: intracerebral versus systemic Abeta inoculation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(31):12926–31.
- 65. Walker LC, Callahan MJ, Bian F, Durham RA, Roher AE, Lipinski WJ. Exogenous induction of cerebral beta-amyloidosis in betaAPP-transgenic mice. Peptides. 2002;23(7):1241–7.
- 66. Mizuno H, Hirano T, Tagawa Y. Evidence for activity-dependent cortical wiring: formation of interhemispheric connections in neonatal mouse visual cortex requires projection neuron activity. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2007;27(25):6760–70.
- 67. Kerschensteiner D, Guido W. Organization of the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus in the mouse. Vis Neurosci. 2017;34:E008.
- 68. Calafate S, Flavin W, Verstreken P, Moechars D. Loss of Bin1 Promotes the Propagation of Tau Pathology. Cell Rep. 2016;17(4):931–40.
- 69. Saman S, Lee NC, Inoyo I, Li Z, Doyle T, et al. Proteins recruited to exosomes by tau overexpression implicate novel cellular mechanisms linking tau secretion with Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2014;40(Suppl 1):S47-70.
- 70. Chiasserini D, van Weering JR, Piersma SR, Pham TV, Malekzadeh A, Teunissen CE, et al. Proteomic analysis of cerebrospinal fuid extracellular vesicles: a comprehensive dataset. J Proteomics. 2014;106:191–204.
- 71. Blokhuis AM, Groen EJN, Koppers M, van den Berg LH, Pasterkamp RJ. Protein aggregation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol. 2013;125(6):777–94.
- 72. Neumann M, Bentmann E, Dormann D, Jawaid A, DeJesus-Hernandez M, Ansorge O, et al. FET proteins TAF15 and EWS are selective markers that distinguish FTLD with FUS pathology from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with FUS mutations. Brain. 2011;134(Pt 9):2595–609.
- 73. Murray DT, Kato M, Lin Y, Thurber KR, Hung I, McKnight SL, et al. Structure of FUS Protein Fibrils and Its Relevance to Self-Assembly and Phase Separation of Low-Complexity Domains. Cell. 2017;171(3):615-27e16.
- 74. Harper JD, Lansbury PT. Models of amyloid seeding in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie: mechanistic truths and physiological consequences of the time-dependent solubility of amyloid proteins. Annu Rev Biochem. 1997;66:385–407.
- 75. Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. The seeds of neurodegeneration: prionlike spreading in ALS. Cell. 2011;147(3):498–508.
- 76. Wells C, Brennan S, Keon M, Ooi L. The role of amyloid oligomers in neurodegenerative pathologies. Int J Biol Macromol. 2021;181:582–604.
- 77. Chien P, Weissman JS, DePace AH. Emerging principles of conformationbased prion inheritance. Annu Rev Biochem. 2004;73:617–56.
- 78. Collinge J, Clarke AR. A general model of prion strains and their pathogenicity. Science. 2007;318(5852):930–6.
- 79. Murray KA, Hughes MP, Hu CJ, Sawaya MR, Salwinski L, Pan H, et al. Identifying amyloid-related diseases by mapping mutations in low-complexity protein domains to pathologies. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2022;29(6):529–36.
- 80. Van Langenhove T, van der Zee J, Sleegers K, Engelborghs S, Vandenberghe R, Gijselinck I, et al. Genetic contribution of FUS to frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Neurology. 2010;74(5):366–71.
- 81. Lanson NA Jr, Maltare A, King H, Smith R, Kim JH, Taylor JP, et al. A Drosophila model of FUS-related neurodegeneration reveals genetic interac‑ tion between FUS and TDP-43. Hum Mol Genet. 2011;20(13):2510–23.
- 82. Ilieva H, Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. Non-cell autonomous toxicity in neurodegenerative disorders: ALS and beyond. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(6):761–72.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.