Biology, Ecology and Behaviour of Aphidophagous Insects

INFLUENCE OF PREY QUALITY ON THE REPRODUCTIVE CAPACITY OF TWO PHENOTYPES OF *Harmonia axyridis* PALLAS (COLEOPTERA: COCCINELLIDAE).

A.O. SOARES, D. CODERRE & H. SCHANDERL

SOARES, A.O., D. CODERRE & H. SCHANDERL 2003. Influence of prey quality on the reproductive capacity of two phenotypes of *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Pp. 51-54 *in* A.O. SOARES, M.A. VENTURA, V. GARCIA & J.-L. HEMPTINNE (Eds) 2003. Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Ecology of Aphidophaga: Biology, Ecology and Behaviour of Aphidophagous Insects. *Arquipélago*. Life and Marine Sciences. Supplement 5: x + 112 pp.

H. axyridis is a highly polymorphic ladybird beetle with a wide geographical distribution in the palearctic region. Genetic polymorphism seems to be the strategy adopted in order to face different habitats at different times. In this paper we suggest that a genotype that confers a specific elytral pattern may also confer a particular reproductive capacity when fed on single diets of essential preys such as *A. fabae* or *M. persicae*. We discuss KOMAI & HOSINO (1951) hypothesis, which predicts that the differences in the relative frequency of elytral pattern phenotypes in relation to the host plants in a same habitat could be related with specific composition of aphid populations. Our results revealed that *A. fabae* significantly increased reproductive capacity of *nigra* phenotype without affecting reproductive capacity of *aulica* phenotype.

António O. Soares (e-mail: onofre@notes.uac.pt), H. Schanderl, Department of Biology, University of the Azores, Rua da Mãe de Deus, Apart. 1422, PT-9501-801 Ponta Delgada, Azores, Portugal & D. Coderre, Département des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, C.P. 8888 Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, H3C 3P8, Canada.

INTRODUCTION

Asian populations of Harmonia axyridis Pallas show a highly level of genetically polymorphic, with more than one genotype occurring in a population. To identify precisely selective forces that act to maintain different phenotypes of H. axyridis in the same population is a very difficult task. Genetic polymorphism should persist only when different genotypes are selectively favoured in varying parts of the environment or at different times. Thus a single phenotype cannot be equally fit in all environmental conditions. This fact challenges our understanding of adaptation. One of the important issues raised concerns how environmental heterogeneity facilitates the coexistence of more than one genotype within a population (RICKLEFS 1991).

H. axyridis is a euriphagous predator that prefers aphids (HUKUSIMA & KAMEI 1970; HUKUSIMA & OHWAKI 1972; OSAWA 1992; IABLOKOFF-KHNZORIAN 1982; SCHANDERL et al. 1988). It also feeds on psillids (FYE 1981), coccids (MCLURE 1987; HODEK & HONĚK 1996), spider mites (LUCAS et al. 1997) and lepidopteran eggs (SCHANDERL et al. 1988).

A particular phenotype of *H. axyridis* may confer different specific morphological, physiological and behavioural attributes (TAN 1946, 1949; KOMAI 1956; FUTUYMA 1998). If so, then the differences in nutritive demands of phenotypes, or in nutritive value of different prey, could affect relative fitnesses of different prey, could affect relative fitnesses of different phenotypes, when fed different prey. In Japan, KOMAI & HOSINO (1951) reported differences in the relative frequency of elytral pattern phenotypes between host plants in the same habitat (so called micro-geographic variation). They suggested that such differences could be correlated somehow with differences in the composition of the aphid populations, and the feeding preferences of the phenotypes. However, the hypothesis was not addressed further until this study.

We have shown recently that the *nigra* and *aulica* phenotypes of *H. axyridis* differ in their fitness fed on the same prey (SOARES et al. 2001, 2003), but we do not know if the differences would remain consistent on other diets. Despite the well-documented polyphagy in *H. axyridis*, we hypothesise that *aulica* and *nigra* phenotypes will display different reproductive capacity fed on *Aphis fabae* Scopoli or *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). In this study, we evaluate the reproductive capacity of *aulica* and *nigra* fed diets of either *A. fabae* or *M. persicae*.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Biological material

H. axyridis individuals of the aulica and nigra phenotypes came from mass cultures reared at 22 \pm 1°C, 75 \pm 5% RH and a photoperiod of 16L:8D, using fluorescent lamps (Philips ref.: TDL 23W/54 and TDL 18W/54). Coccinellids were fed a mixed diet of the aphids, A. fabae and M. persicae, and eggs of Ephestia kuehniella Zeller. Prev were provided on bean plants (Vicia fabae L. Major) placed in the rearing cages. Individuals of M. persicae and A. fabae strongly differed in size. The average weight of a wingless female of M. persicae was 0.48 mg and of A. fabae 1.09 mg. Field collected ladybirds were added to the mass culture at regular intervals in order to minimize inbreeding. The aulica and nigra phenotypes occurred naturally in our cultures. The different phenotypes were reared separately.

Measure of reproductive capacity

To evaluate reproductive capacity, we sexed and paired 40 individuals of each phenotype. Each of the twenty pairs was isolated in a 60 ml Petri dish (Ø: 5 cm, height: 3 cm). One of two diets was provided to 10 couples of each phenotype. The A. fabae and M. persicae were supplied daily in excess. Abiotic conditions were 20 \pm 1°C, 75 \pm 5% RH and a photoperiod of 16L:8D, using fluorescent lamps (Philips ref.: TDL 23W/54 and TDL 18W/54). Egg clusters were removed from Petri dishes twice daily. Fecundity, fertility and percentage hatch were compared over the first fifteen days of a females' reproductive life. Percentages were $\arcsin\sqrt{\%}$ transformed (ZAR 1984). One-factor ANOVA was used to compare fecundity, fertility and percentage hatch of the eggs of the phenotypes fed on the different diets. All statistical tests were done using JMP[®] (SALL et al. 2001).

RESULTS

Comparative reproductive capacity of aulica and nigra phenotypes fed A. fabae or M. persicae

Independent of the prey offered, fecundity, fertility and percentage hatch of the eggs of *aulica* females of *H. axyridis* were significantly higher than for *nigra* females (Table 1).

Influence of prey quality on the reproductive capacity of aulica and nigra phenotypes

There was no significant difference in the fecundity, fertility and percentage hatch of the eggs in the *aulica* phenotype of *H. axyridis* fed either *M. persicae* or *A. fabae* (Table 2). However, the reproductive capacity of *nigra* females was significantly higher than that of *aulica* females when fed on *A. fabae* (Table 2).

Table 1
Fecundity (average number of eggs / female / day \pm s.e.), fertility (average number of embrionate eggs / female /
day \pm s.e.) and hatching (percentage of hatching \pm s.e.) of <i>aulica</i> and <i>nigra</i> phenotypes of <i>H. axyridis</i> fed on <i>A.</i>
fabre and M parsical Different letters indicate significant differences at $n < 0.05$

	Jubue und m.	- 0.05				
	A. fabae			M. persicae		
	aulica	nigra		aulica	nigra	
Fecundity	22.8±1.6a*	17.2±1.1b	F=7.88, df=1, 298, P≤0.005	20.9±1.4a	12.6±0.9b	F=23.57, df=1, 297, P≤0.0001
Fertility	20.6±1.5a	6.2±0.7b	F=76.75, df=1, 298, P≤0.0001	19.3±1.4a	2.6±0.6b	F=124.7 df=1, 298, P≤0.0001
Percentage of hatching	63.8±2.7a	25.1±2.8b	F=100.8, df=1, 229, P≤0.0001	63.2±2.7a	13.7±2.8b	F=209.4, df=1, 227, P≤0.0001

Table 2

Fecundity (average number of eggs / female / day \pm s.e.), fertility (average number of embrionate eggs / female / day \pm s.e.) and hatching (percentage of hatching \pm s.e.) of *aulica* and *nigra* phenotypes of *H. axyridis* fed on *A. fabae* and *M. persicae*. Different letters indicate significant differences at p < 0.05

	aulica			nigra		
	A. fabae	M. persicae		A. fabae	M. persicae	
Fecundity	22.8±1.6a*	20.9±1.4a	F=0.74, df=1, 298, P=0.39	17.2±1.1a	12.6±0.9b	F=9.78, df=1, 297, P=0.001
Fertility	20.6±1.5a	19.3±1.4a	F=0.42, df=1, 298, P=0.515	6.2±0.7a	2.6±0.6b	F=13.2 df=1, 297, P=0.0003
Percentage of hatching	63.8±2.7a	63.2±2.7a	F=0.171, df=1, 298, P=0.679	25.1±2.8a	13.7±2.8b	F=19.1, df=1, 234, P≤0.0001

DISCUSSION

Generalist predators attack a variety of prey, which differ in energy content and cost of capture and ingestion (ROGER et al. 2000). Food availability (KAWAUCHI 1981; FERRAN et al. 1984; STEWART et al. 1991; DIXON & GUO 1993; DIXON 2000) and prey quality (BLACKMAN 1967; NIIJIMA & TAKAHASHI 1980; NIIJIMA et al. 1986) affect the fecundity of ladybird beetles, which is important determinant of their fitness an (HEMPTINNE et al. 1993; EVANS et al. 1999). Significant differences in the reproductive capacity of nigra and aulica females, and a significant increase in the reproductive capacity of nigra females when fed on A. fabae versus M. persicae suggest the quality of A. fabae and M. *persicae* as prev differs for the two phenotypes.

As predicted by optimal foraging theory, predators utilize the different prey types available so as to maximize their rate of gain of energy (STEPHENS & KREBS 1986; CRAWLEY & KREBS 1992) or maximize the ingestion of nutrients (WALDBAUER et al. 1984). Such results support the hypothesis of KOMAI & HOSINO (1951), that micro-geographic variation in the relative frequency of elytral patterns within H. axyridis populations could be correlated somehow with differences in the composition of aphid populations. The key may lie in intra-specific differences in the food preferences of phenotypes in order to increase their fitness. Requirements for high-energy resources for metabolism and reproduction could determine prey choice by females of ladybird beetles (HOUCK 1991). As in the laboratory demonstrated by our experimental results, different phenotypes of H. axyridis may thrive on different preys species in nature. This may provide some selective basis for maintenance of genetic polymorphism in this species.

REFERENCES

- BLACKMAN, R.L. 1967. The effects of different prey on Adalia bipunctata L. and Coccinella 7-punctata L. Annual Applied Biology 59: 207-219.
- CRAWLEY, M.J. & J.R. KREBS 1992. Foraging theory. Pp. 90-114 in M.J. CRAWLEY (Ed.). Natural Enemies. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. 592 pp.
- DIXON, A.F.G. 2000. Insect Predator-Prey Dynamics: Ladybirds Beetles & Biological Control. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 257 pp.
- DIXON, A.F.G. & Y. GUO 1993. Eggs and cluster size in ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): the direct and indirect effects of aphid abundance. *European Journal of Entomology* 90: 457-463.
- EVANS, E.W., A.T. STEVENSON & D.R. RICHARDS 1999. Essential versus foods of insect predators: benefits of a mixed diet. *Oecologie* 121: 107-112.
- FERRAN, A., M.O. CRUZ DE BOELPAEPE, H. SCHANDERL & M.M. LARROQUE 1984. Les aptitudes trophiques et reproductrices des femelles de Semiadalia undecimnotata (Col.: Coccinellidae). Entomophaga 29: 157-170.
- FYE, R.E. 1981. Rearing and release of coccinellids for potential control of pear Psylla. *Agricultural Research Service (Western Region)* 20: 1-9.
- FUTUYMA, D.J. 1998. *Evolutionary Biology* (3rd ed.). Sinauer Associates Inc., Massachusetts. 763 pp.
- HEMPTINNE, J.-L., A.F.G. DIXON, J.L. DOUCET & J.E. PETERSEN 1993. Optimal foraging by hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae) and ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): Mechanisms. *European Journal of Entomology* 90: 141-150.
- HODEK, I. & A. HONĚK 1996. *Ecology of Coccinellidae*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. 260 pp.
- HOUCK, M.A. 1991. Time and resourse partitioning in *Stethorus punctum* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Environmental Entomology* 20: 479-497.
- HUKUSIMA, S. & M. KAMEI 1970. Effects of various species of aphids as food on development, fecundity and longevity of *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Research Bulletin. Faculty Agriculture. Gifu University* 29: 53-66

- HUKUSIMA, S. & T. OHWAKI 1972. Further notes on feeding biology of *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Research Bulletin. Faculty Agriculture. Gifu University* 33: 75-82.
- IABLOKOFF-KHNZORIAN, S.M. 1982. Les coccinelles; Coléoptères-Coccinellidae. Société Nouvelle des Éditions Boubée, Paris. 569 pp.
- KAWAUCHI, S. 1981. The number of oviposition, hatchability and the term of oviposition of *Propylea japonica* Thunberg (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) under different food condition. *Kontyu* 49: 183-191.
- KOMAI, T. 1956. Genetics of ladybeetles. Advances in Genetics 8: 155-189.
- KOMAI, T. & Y. HOSINO 1951. Contributions to the Evolutionary Genetics of the Lady-beetle, *Harmonia*. II. Microgeographic Variations. *Genetics* 36: 382-390.
- LUCAS, E., D. CODERRE & C. VINCENT 1997. Voracity and feeding preferences of two aphidophagous coccinellids on *Aphis citricola* and *Tetranychus urticae*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 85: 151-159.
- MCLURE, M.S. 1987. Potential of the asian predator, *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), to control *Matsucoccus resinosae* Bean & Godwin (Homoptera: Margarodidae) in the United States. *Environmental Entomology* 16: 224-230.
- NIIJIMA, K. & H. TAKAHASSHI 1980. Nutrional studies of an aphidophagous coccinellid, *Harmonia* axyridis (IV). Effects of chemically defined diet and some fractions of drone honeybee on the reprodution. *Bulletin Faculty Agriculture. Tamagawa University* 20: 47-55.
- NIIJIMA, K., M. MATSUKA & I. Okada 1986. Artificial diets for an aphidophagous coccinellid, *Harmonia* axyridis, and its nutrition (Minireview). Pp: 37-50 in I. HODEK (Ed). Ecology of Aphidophaga. Academia, Prague. 562 pp.
- OSAWA, N. 1992. A life table of the ladybird beetle *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in relation to the aphid abundance. *Japan Journal of Entomology* 60: 575-579.
- RICKLEFS, R.E. 1990. *Ecology*. (3rd ed.). W.H. Freeman and Company. New York. 896 pp.

- ROGER, C., D. CODERRE & G. BOIVIN 2000. Differential prey utilization by generalist predator *Coleomegilla maculata* lengi according to prey size and species. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 94: 3-13.
- SALL, J., A. LEHMAN & L. CREIGHTON 2001. JMP start statistics: a guide to statistical and data analysis using JMP[®] and JMP IN software. Duxbury Press, Toronto. 656 pp.
- SCHANDERL, H., A. FERRAN & M.M. LARROQUE 1985. Les besoins trophiques et thermiques des larves de la coccinelle *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas. *Agronomie* 5: 417-421.
- SCHANDERL, H., A. FERRAN & V. GARCIA 1988. L' élevage de deux coccinelles Harmonia axyridis et Semiadalia undecomnotata à l' aide d' oeufs d' Anagasta kuehniella tués aux rayons ultraviolets. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 49: 417-421.
- SOARES, A.O., D. CODERRE & H. SCHANDERL 2001. Fitness of two phenotypes of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *European Journal of Entomology* 98: 287-293.
- SOARES, A.O., D. CODERRE & H. SCHANDERL 2003. Effect of temperature and intraspecific allometry on predation activity of two phenotypes of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Environmental Entomology* 32: 939-944.
- STEPHENS, D.W. & J.R. KREBS 1986. Foraging theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton. 237 pp.
- STEWART, L.A., A.F.G. Dixon, Z. Růžička & I. Iperti 1991. Clutch and egg size in ladybird beetles. *Entomophaga* 36: 329-333.
- TAN, C.C. 1946. Mosaic Dominance in the inheritance of color patterns in the Lady-birds Beetle, *Harmonia axyridis. Genetics* 31:195-210.
- TAN, C.C. 1949. Seasonal Variations of color patterns in Harmonia axyridis. Proceedings of the 8th International Congress of Genetics: 669-670.
- WALDBAUER, G.P., R.W. COHEN & S. FRIEDMAN 1984. Self-selection of an optimal nutrient mix from defined diets by larvae of the corn earworm, *Heliothis zae* (Boddie). *Physiological Zoology* 57: 590-597.

Accepted 31 May 2003.