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#### Abstract

Experimental Design plays an important role on establishing an interface between Applied Mathematics and statistical applications in several fields, like Agriculture, Industry, Genetics, Biology and Education Sciences. The goal of any Experimental Design is to obtain the maximum amount of information for a given experimental effort, to allow comparisons between varieties and to control for sources of random variability. Randomized block designs are used to control for these sources. A Balanced Incomplete Block Design (BIB Design) is a randomized block design with number of varieties greater than the block size and with all pairs of varieties occurring equally often along the blocks. The Fisher related information of a balanced block design will remain invariant whether or not the design has repeated blocks. This fact can be used theoretically to build a large number of non-isomorphic designs for the same set of design parameters, which could be used for many different purposes both in experimentations and surveys from finite populations. The original and most important method on the construction of BIB Designs with repeated blocks (BIBDR) is due to Hedayat and Li (1979): the trade-off method. Since then, many authors and researchers have been paying particular attention to the construction of BIBDR, but still some unsolved problems remain. This issue will be briefly reviewed and new results on the existence and construction of BIBDR, as well as several unsolved problems for further research will be presented.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND REMARKS CONNECTED WITH LITERATURE

An experimental design is a set of rules by which the varieties (treatments) to be used in the experiment are assigned to experimental units, so to produce valid results as efficiently as possible. The importance of incomplete block designs is very well known in experiments involving a big number of varieties and a large class of incomplete block designs consists of the so-called balanced incomplete block designs (BIB Designs).

Yates (1936a) formally introduced BIB Designs in agricultural experiments and since that time several challenging problems concerning the construction, non-existence and combinatorial properties of BIB Designs have been posed. A BIB Design is a binary incomplete block design for $v$ varieties in $b$ blocks of size $k$, so that each variety occur exactly $r$ times along the blocks
and every pair of varieties concur in exactly $\lambda$ blocks. The five integers $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ are the parameters of the BIBD, and they are not independent.
Applications of BIB Designs are known for long, not only in Agriculture but also for example in Genetics, Industry and Education Sciences. See Raghavarao et al. (1986), Oliveira and Sousa (2002), Gosh and Shrivastava (2001) and Yang (1985).

More recently, applications of BIB Designs are present in fields such as: biotechnology, for example on microarray experiments, Großmann and Schwabe (2007); Feeding Consume Sciences, Wakeling and Buck (2001); Electronic Engineering, Wireless and Communications problems, Camarda, and Fiume, (2007), Boggia et al. (2009); Computer Science and Code Theory, along with connections with Cryptography, Chakrabarti (2006).
Raghavarao and Padgett (2005) presented an entire chapter devoted to BIB Designs applications. The authors explain the importance and connections of BIB Designs to the following statistical areas: Finite Sample Support and Controlled Sampling, Randomized Response Procedure, Balanced Incomplete Cross Validation, Group Testing, Fractional Plans, BoxBehnken Designs, Intercropping Experiments, Validation Studies, Tournament and Lotto Designs, and Balanced Half-Samples.

BIB Designs are optimal for a number of criteria under the usual homocedastic linear additive model and this optimality is not affected if the design admits block repetition. In fact, several reasons may lead to the consideration of block repetition in the design: the costs of the design implementation may differ using or not block repetition, the experimenter may consider that some treatment combinations are preferable to others or that some treatment combinations must be avoid, see Foody and Hedayat (1977) and Hedayat and Hwang (1984). Also many experiments are affected by the loss of observations, and even in extreme cases all the information contained in one or more blocks is lost. If there are no repeated blocks in the design some of the elementary treatment contrasts are no longer estimable. To avoid these situations, repetitions of blocks in the design are recommended, since it allow rely on the information contained in another block, with the same composition of the lost block and overcoming the missing data problem.
Another reason for using BIBDR in a big number of applications is that, besides the variance expression for comparisons for each design being the same, the number of comparisons of block effects with the same variance is different for BIB Designs considering or not block repetition. BIB designs with repeated blocks have some block contrasts with minimum variance, Ghosh and Shrivastava (2001), Oliveira et al. (2006) and Mandal et al. (2008).

The important role of BIB Designs with repeated blocks in Experimental Design and in Controlled Sampling contexts is explained in Foody and Hedayat (1977) and Wynn (1977).

Important details, namely from the point of view of existence conditions, construction, particular characteristics and applications of BIBDR, as well as some topics for further research can be found for example in Van Lint (1973), Foody and Hedayat (1977), Hedayat and Li (1979), Hedayat and Hwang (1984), Raghavarao et al. (1986), Hedayat et al. (1989), Gosh and Shrivastava (2001). Raghavarao et al. (1986) considered a linear model that will distinguish designs with different support sizes, and they observed the relevance of this model in intercropping experiments and market research.

Besides many authors pay special attention to BIB Designs with repeated blocks, this is a very challenging area since there are yet many research questions with no answer. A review on important known results, some examples and unsolved problems will be presented.

## 2. IMPORTANT CONCEPTS ON BIB DESIGNS WITH REPEATED BLOCKS

### 2.1 BIB Designs and the Existence Problem

A BIB Design with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ is denoted by $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$, and the following are the necessary but not sufficient conditions for the existence of a BIBD:

$$
v r=b k ; r(k-1)=\lambda(v-1) ; b \geq v
$$

The condition $b \geq v$ is known as Fisher inequality.
For cases $\mathrm{k}=3$ and $\mathrm{k}=4$ to all $\lambda$ and for $\mathrm{k}=5$ and $\lambda=1,4,20$ Hanani (1961) proved that conditions $\lambda(v-1) \equiv O(\bmod (k-1))$ and $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv O(\bmod k(k-1))$ are sufficient for the existence of a BIB Design.

In some particular cases, even when a set ( $v, k, \lambda)$ satisfies the necessary conditions for the existence of a BIB Design, the actual design does not exist or its existence may be unknown. For these situations Hedayat et al. (1995) introduced two classes of designs: Contingently Balanced Incomplete Block (C-BIB) Designs and Virtually Balanced Incomplete Block (V-BIB) Designs. The authors show that both of these classes can be constructed by a sequential search algorithm.

### 2.2 The Existence of BIB Designs with Repeated Blocks

Consider a particular BIB design, and let B be a specific block randomly selected in this design. Let $x_{j}, \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, k$ be the number of blocks apart from B itself, which have exactly i varieties in common with B.

It is known that for BIB designs with repeated blocks the following conditions hold:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{i}{0} x_{i}=b-1 ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{i}{1} x_{i}=k(r-1) ; \quad \sum_{i=2}^{k}\binom{i}{2} x_{i}=\binom{k}{2}(\lambda-1)
$$

In Sousa and Oliveira (2004) these conditions are developed to obtain a bound to the number of blocks, so that the design admits block repetition. Subtracting the third expression to the second and after some algebraic operations we have:

$$
x_{0}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{i x_{j}(i-3)}{2}+k(r-1)-\frac{k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{2}+\sum_{l=2}^{k} x_{i}=b-1
$$

Solving in order to b it becomes:

$$
\text { (i) } \quad b=x_{0}+\sum_{i=3}^{k} x_{i}\left[\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}\right]+1+k(r-1)-\frac{k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{2}
$$

and since $x_{0}+\sum_{l=3}^{k} x_{i}\left[\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}\right] \geq 0$, then: $b \geq 1+k(r-1)-k(k-1)(\lambda-1) / 2$
Developing (i):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}+\sum_{i=3}^{k-1} x_{i}\left[\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}\right]=b-1-k(r-1)+\frac{k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{2}-\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} x_{k} \\
& \text { then: } \quad x_{k} \leq \frac{2 b-2-2 k(r-1)+k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{(k-1)(k-2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{k}$ denotes the number of blocks identical to block B in the design, then the design just admits repeated blocks if there is at least one block in the design identical to block $B$, which means, if $x_{k} \geq 1$. So, to $k \geq 3$ :

$$
1 \leq x_{k} \leq \frac{2 b-2-2 k(r-1)+k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{(k-1)(k-2)}
$$

And, solving last inequality in order to b :
(ii) $\quad b \geq \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}+1+k(r-1)-\frac{k(k-1)(\lambda-1)}{2}$

If there exists a BIBD obeying the parameters ( $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ ) then the number of blocks, b , should obey inequality (ii) so that the design admits block repetition.

### 2.3 Classification into Families

Hedayat and Hwang (1984) divided the collection of all BIB designs with $\lambda \geq 2$ into three mutually exclusive and exhaustive families:

Family 1 consists of all $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ whose parameters $(b, r, \lambda)$ have a common integer divisor, $\mathrm{t}>1$, and there exists one or more $\mathrm{BIB}(v, b / t, r / t, k, \lambda / t)$ designs.

Family 2 consists of all $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ whose parameters ( $b, r, \lambda$ ) have one or more common integer divisors greater than one but there is no $\operatorname{BIB}(v, b / t, r / t, k, \lambda / t)$ design if $t>1$ is one of the divisors of $b, r$, and $\lambda$. No member of this family can be obtained by collecting or taking copies of smaller size $\operatorname{BIB}$ designs of type $\operatorname{BIBD}(\nu, b / t, r / t, k, \lambda / t)$.

Family 3 consists of all $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ whose parameters ( $b, r, \lambda$ ) are relatively prime, thus the parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ for the members of this family are such that the great integer divisor of ( $b, r, \lambda$ ) is one. As in family two, in family three no member can be obtained by collecting or taking copies of smaller size $\operatorname{BIB}$ designs of type $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b / t, r / t, k, \lambda / t)$.

### 2.4 Results on Possible Parameters for BIBDR Existence ( $K=3,4,5,6$ )

Considering the particular cases to $k=3,4,5,6$ and inequality (ii), we have, respectively the inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left[(v-5)^{2}+2\right] \geq 2 \\
& \lambda\left[(v-8)^{2}-v+24\right] \geq 72 \\
& \lambda\left[(v-13)^{2}+56\right] \geq 240 \\
& \lambda\left[(v-18)^{2}-v+162\right] \geq 600
\end{aligned}
$$

Parameters to possible BIBDR to particular cases $\mathrm{k}=3,4,5,6$, considering $5 \leq \nu \leq 30, \lambda \leq 10$ and $\mathrm{b} \leq 200$, were obtained, by implementing an algorithm to obey known BIBDR existence conditions.

Considering the integer $t, t \geq 2$, if the BIBD with parameters presented in Tables $1,2,3,4$ exits, then it allows block repetition. These Tables also present the design classification into families.

### 2.5 Blocks Multiplicity and Bounds

If in the BIB Design there are less than $b$ distinct blocks then the design has repeated blocks. The set of all distinct blocks in a BIB Design is called the support of the design, and the design cardinality is represented by $b^{*}$. The notation $\operatorname{BIBD}\left(v, b, r, k, \lambda \mid b^{*}\right)$ is used to denote a $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ with precisely $b^{*}$ distinct blocks.

Considering BIBDR it seems important to find the answer to some fundamental questions, like for example which blocks and how many times should it be repeated in the design, and what is the minimum admissible value to $b^{*}$ so that the design admits block repetition. Some more concepts are then crucial.

Table 1 Parameters to possible BIBDR, block size 3, and classification into families

| $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family | $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10t | 6 t | 3 | 3 t | 1 | 15 | 35t | 7 t | 3 | t | 1 |
| 6 | 10t | 5 t | 3 | 2 t | 1 | 16 | 80 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 7 | 7 t | 3t | 3 | t | 1 | 16 | 80 t | 15t | 3 | 2 t | 1 |
| 8 | 56 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 136 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 8 | 56t | 21t | 3 | 6t | 1 | 17 | 136t | 24 t | 3 | 3 t | 1 |
| 9 | 12t | 4t | 3 | t | 1* | 18 | 102 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10 | 30 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 102t | 17t | 3 | 2 t | 1 |
| 10 | 30 t | 9 t | 3 | 2 t | 1 | 19 | 57t | 9 t | 3 | t | 1 |
| 11 | 55 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 70 t | 10t | 3 | t | 1 |
| 11 | 55 t | 15t | 3 | 3t | 1 | 22 | 154 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 12 | 44 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 154t | 21t | 3 | 2 t | 1 |
| 12 | 44t | 11t | 3 | 2t | 1 | 24 | 184 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 13 | 26 t | 6 t | 3 | t | 1 | 24 | 184t | 23t | 3 | 2 t | 1 |
| 14 | 182 | 39 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 100t | 12t | 3 | t | 1 |
| 14 | 182t | 39t | 3 | 6t | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 Parameters to possible BIBDR, block size 4, and classification into families

| $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family | $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 t | 4t | 4 | 3t | 1 | 16 | 20t | 5t | 4 | t | 1 |
| 6 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 68 | 16 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 15t | 10t | 4 | 6 t | 1 | 17 | 68 t | 16 t | 4 | 3 t | 1 |
| 7 | 7 t | 4 t | 4 | 2 t | 1 | 18 | 153 | 34 | 4 | 6 | 3 |
| 8 | 14t | 7 t | 4 | 3t | 1 | 18 | 153t | 34t | 4 | 6 t | 1 |
| 9 | 18t | 8 t | 4 | 3 t | 1 | 19 | 57 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 10 | 15t | 6 t | 4 | 2 t | 1 | 19 | 57t | 12t | 4 | 2 t | 1 |
| 11 | 55 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 20 | 95 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 11 | 55t | 20t | 4 | 6 t | 1 | 20 | 95t | 19t | 4 | 3 t | 1 |
| 12 | 33 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 105 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 12 | 33t | 11t | 4 | 3t | 1 | 21 | 105t | 20 t | 4 | 3 t | 1 |
| 13 | 13t | 4t | 4 | t | 1* | 22 | 77 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 91 | 26 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 22 | 77 t | 14t | 4 | 2 t | 1 |
| 14 | 91t | 26t | 4 | 6 t | 1 | 24 | 138 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 15 | 105 | 28 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 50t | 8 t | 4 | t | 1 |
| 15 | 105t | 28 t | 4 | 6 t | 1 | 28 | 63t | 9t | 4 | t | 1 |

Table 3 Parameters to possible BIBDR, block size 5, and classification into families

| $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family | $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 6 t | 5 t | 5 | 4t | 1 | 17 | 68 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 7 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 17 | 68t | 20 t | 5 | 5t | 1 |
| 7 | 21 t | 15t | 5 | 10t | 1 | 19 | 171 | 45 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| 9 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 171t | 45 t | 5 | 10t | 1 |
| 9 | 18t | 10t | 5 | 5 t | 1 | 20 | 76 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 10 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 76 t | 19t | 5 | 4t | 1 |
| 10 | 18t | 9 t | 5 | 4t | 1 | 21 | 21 t | 5 t | 5 | t | 1 |
| 11 | 11t | 5 t | 5 | 2t | 1 | 25 | 30t | 6t | 5 | t | 1 |
| 13 | 39 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 26 | 130 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 13 | 39t | 15t | 5 | 5 t | 1 | 26 | 130t | 25 t | 5 | 4t | 1 |
| 15 | 21t | 7 t | 5 | 2t | 2* | 30 | 174 | 29 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 16 | 48 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 30 | 174t | 29 t | 5 | 4t | 1 |
| 16 | 48t | 15t | 5 | 4 t | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* There is no $\operatorname{BIBD}(15,21,7,5,2)$, so $\operatorname{BIBDR}(15,42,14,5,4)$ belongs to family 2 , according to Hedayat and Hwang (1984).

Table 4 Parameters to possible BIBDR, block size 6, and classification into families

| $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family | $v$ | $b$ | $r$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 7 t | 6 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 21 | 14p | 4p | 6 | p | 1 |
| 9 | 12t | 8t | 6 | 5t | 1* | 22 | 77 | 21 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 10 | 15t | 9 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 22 | 77 t | 21t | 6 | 5 t | 1 |
| 11 | 11t | 6t | 6 | 3t | 1 | 24 | 92 | 23 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 12 | 22 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 24 | 92t | 23t | 6 | 5 t | 1 |
| 12 | 22 t | 11t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 25 | 100 | 24 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 13 | 26 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 100 t | 24t | 6 | 5t | 1 |
| 13 | 26 t | 12t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 26 | 65 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| 15 | 35 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 26 | 65 t | 15t | 6 | 3 t | 1 |
| 15 | 35t | 14 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 27 | 117 | 26 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 16 | 16t | 6t | 6 | 2t | 1 | 27 | 117t | 26t | 6 | 5 t | 1 |
| 18 | 51 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 28 | 126 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 18 | 51t | 17 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 28 | 126 t | 27 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 |
| 19 | 57 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 30 | 145 | 29 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 19 | 57t | 18t | 6 | 5 t | 1 | 30 | 145t | 29 t | 6 | 5 t | 1 |

The multiplicity of a block is the number of times the block occurs in the design. If in a BIB Design there are exactly $\alpha$ blocks with multiplicity i , exactly $\beta$ blocks with multiplicity $\mathrm{j}, \ldots$, and all other blocks with multiplicity 1 , then design has multiplicity pattern $\alpha^{j} \beta^{j}$.

If in a BIB Design with parameters ( $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ ) each block occurs exactly $m$ times $(m>1$ ), then the design is called a multiple block design of multiplicity $m$ and is denoted by $M$-BIBD ( $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ ).

Let $S$ represents the number of identical blocks in a design. The conditions $\lambda \geq 2$ and $s \leq \lambda$ are necessary for the existence of a design with $b^{*}<b$. For a $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ with $s$ identical blocks and $r>\lambda$, Mann (1969) proved that $\frac{r}{k}=\frac{b}{v} \geq s$. This inequality covers the Fisher's inequality (case $s=1$ ). Van Lint and Ryser (1972) proved that the support size $\mathrm{b}^{*}$ of a BIB Design satisfies $b^{*}=v$ or $\mathrm{b}^{*}>\mathrm{v}+1$. Foody and Hedayat (1977) established new limits to $b^{*}$, namely they reach the expression $b_{\text {min }}^{*} \geq \frac{b}{\lambda}$ where $b_{\text {min }}^{*}$ represents the minimal support size to a BIBD based on $v$ and $k$. Constantine and Hedayat (1983) present the construction of complete designs with blocks of maximal multiplicity and set its relevance in simple random sampling context.

For particular cases with $b<\lambda v$ and using the inequality of Mann (1969), Hedayat et al. (1989) obtained a more restrictive bound to $b_{\min }^{*}$ by considering the information about those blocks in the support that are repeated $\lambda$ times in the design:

$$
b_{\min }^{*} \geq 2 \frac{v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}
$$

### 2.6 The Variance for Block Effect Contrasts

$\operatorname{Consider~a~} \operatorname{BIBDR}\left(v, b, r, k, \lambda \mid b^{*}\right)$ with the incidence matrix $N=\left(n_{i j}\right)$, where $n_{i j}$ is the number of times that the $i$ th variety occur in the $j$ th block, $i=1,2, \ldots, v, j=1,2, \ldots, b$. For this design the coefficient matrix $D$ for estimating a vector of block effects $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{b}\right)^{\prime}$ has the form $D=k I_{b}-\frac{1}{r} N^{\prime} N$, where $I_{b}$ denote $b \times b$ identity matrix.

Consider any two blocks of the design, $B_{j}$ and $B_{j}$, which have $h$ varieties in common. Then the $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$ th element of the matrix $N^{\prime} N$ is equal to $h$ and the variance of the difference of block effects is given by:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}-\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=2 \sigma^{2}(\nu \lambda+k-h) / v k \lambda .
$$

Since $h$ can take at most $k+1$ values, namely $0,1, \ldots, k$, so there will be at most $k+1$ possible variances for the estimated elementary block effect contrasts.
Note that the variance of estimated contrasts of block effects tends to the minimum value as the number of common treatments between the two blocks increases. For BIBDR we can have some
block contrasts with minimum variance and this is one of the reasons for using it in a big number of applications, Oliveira et al. (2006).

## 3. BRIEF REVISION ON THE CONSTRUCTION METHODS FOR BIB DESIGNS AND BIBDR

Bose (1939) introduced the method of cyclical development of initial blocks, which allows the construction of most of the existing BIB Designs. Since then, several methods have been developed for the construction of BIB Designs. The most important ones are presented in Hinkelmann and Kempthorne (2005). These authors explain some Difference Methods, such as Cyclic Development of Difference Sets, the Method of Symmetrically Repeated Differences and the Formulation in Terms of Galois Field Theory and present some other methods using particular cases like irreducible BIB Designs, complement of BIB Designs, residual BIB Designs and Orthogonal Series. Also in Raghavarao and Padgett (2005) the construction of BIB Designs from Finite Geometries and by the Method of Differences is presented.

Raghavarao (1971) presents the complete list of existing BIB Designs obeying the conditions $v, b \leq 100$ and $r, k \leq 15$. Hinkelmann and Kempthorne (2005) present a list of BIB Designs with $v$ $\leq 25$, $k \leq 11$. Julian et al. (2006), Chapter 3, present the existence results for BIB Designs with small block sizes, $\mathrm{k} \leq 9$, and point some values of $v$ for which the existence of a BIB Design remains undecided.

Some construction methods have been also developed for the particular BIB Designs with repeated blocks. Van Lint and Ryser (1972) studied this problem and their basic interest was in constructing BIBD ( $v, b, r, k, \lambda$ ) with repeated blocks such that parameters $b, r, \lambda$ were relatively prime. Foody and Hedayat (1977) showed that the combinatorial problem of searching BIBDR was equivalent to the algebraic problem of finding solutions to a set of homogeneous linear equations and presented the construction of BIB designs with $v=8$ and $\mathrm{k}=3$ with support sizes 22 to 55 .

Hedayat and Li (1979) presented one of the still most important methods for the construction of BIB Designs with variable support sizes: the trade-off method. Using this method Hedayat and Hwang (1984) presented the construction of $\operatorname{BIBDR}(8,56,21,3,6)$ and $\operatorname{BIBDR}(10,30,9,3,2)$. The basic idea is to trade some blocks with some other blocks, without losing the BIB general characteristics of the design. The resulting design may differ from the original in what concerns the support size.

Hedayat and Hwang (1984) call the following set of blocks a $(v, 3)$ trade of volume 4 for any $v \geq 6$, where 6 varieties ( $x, y, z, u, v, w$ ) appear in each set of four blocks:

| I | II |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x y z$ | $u v w$ |
| $x u v$ | $y z w$ |
| $y u w$ | $x z v$ |
| $z V W$ | $x y u$ |

If in a design we replace blocks in set I by blocks in set II we do not loose BIB property. We will use this method in our examples.

Trades properties are very important. In Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (2006), Chapter 60, by Hedayat and Khosrovshah, is entirely devoted to Trades and related references.

Several authors have been paying particular attention to the construction and properties of $M$ BIB(7,7,3,3,1) Designs. Raghavarao et al. (1986) presented characteristics for distinguishing among balanced incomplete block designs with repeated blocks and obtained a class of BIB Designs $\beta(7,21,9,3,3)$ where nine BIB Designs out of ten have repeated blocks. In Gosh and Shrivastava (2001) a method for the BIBDR construction, based on a composition of two semiregular group divisible designs and respective parameters was presented. The authors present a class of BIB designs with repeated blocks and the example of $\operatorname{BIBDR}(7,28,12,3,4)$ construction, as well as a table with the respective multiplicity of occurrence of variance for the estimated elementary contrast of block effects. These authors include the comparison of fifteen BIBD with the same parameters, according to the number of distinct blocks and to the multiplicities of variance of elementary contrasts of the block effect. Fourteen of these designs have repeated blocks. Mandal et al. (2008) present a complete class of BIB Designs $\beta(7,35,15,3,5)$, with thirty one BIB Designs, where one of them has no block repetition and the remaining thirty are BIB Designs with repeated blocks.

Oliveira and Sousa (2004) presented examples of $\operatorname{BIBDR}(12,44,11,3,2)$ with different structures for the same cardinality and Sousa and Oliveira (2004) presented the cardinality analysis for BIBDR ( $9,24,8,3,2$ ). In the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (2006), Manthon, R. and Rosa, A. chapter 1, results on $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ of small order are presented, as well as a list of nonisomorphic such designs for particular values of $v$. Also a table with admissible parameter sets of nontrivial BIB Designs with $K \leq 41$ and $k \leq v / 2$ is presented, as well as references for earlier listings of BIB Designs.

## 4. BIBDR STRUCTURES: SOME EXAMPLES

We will present some examples of BIBDR with different parameters and also some BIBDR with the same parameters and different support sizes. Finally for BIBDR with the same support size we will present BIB Designs with different structures for repeated blocks.

In example 1 we will consider $\operatorname{BIBDR}(13,26,8,4,2)$ from Table II and we present one possible structure, the most trivial one, with all blocks repeated once, $b^{*}=13$. In example 2 we will consider BIBDR ( $9,24,8,3,2$ ) from Table I, and we will use the trade-off method to obtain designs with two different cardinalities, $\mathrm{b}^{*}=18$ and $\mathrm{b}^{*}=20$. Finally we will present two possible structures for repeated blocks in BIBDR with the same cardinality .

## Example 1

The most trivial way of getting a design from an existing one is simply by repeating the blocks in the original design. According to Calinski and Kageyama (2003) this is so-called juxtaposition method. Consider the varieties $1, \ldots, 9, x, y, z, w$. The representation for BIBD (13,13,4,4,1) structure can be:

BIBD(13,13,4,4,1)

| 1234 | 1567 | $189 x$ | $1 y z w$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $258 y$ | $269 w$ | $27 x w$ |  |
| $359 w$ | $36 x y$ | $378 z$ |  |
| $45 x z$ | $468 w$ | $479 y$ |  |

We can easily obtain the structure for $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(13,26,8,4,2 \mid \mathrm{b}^{*}=13\right)$, considering block multiplicity equal 2 and duplicating $\operatorname{BIB}(13,13,4,4,1)$. When we wish to compare any two blocks of the design we have $\binom{26}{2}=325$ possible comparisons, and to any two blocks which have four common varieties we have contrasts with minimum variance.

## Example 2

In this example we present $\operatorname{BIBDR}(9,24,8,3,2)$ with different cardinalities, $\mathrm{b}^{*}=18, \mathrm{~b}^{*}=20$ and $\mathrm{b}^{*}=21$. We illustrate the trade-off method application to obtain a possible structure to BIBDR $\left(9,24,8,3,2 / b^{*}=20\right)$ by using $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,8,3,2 / b^{*}=18\right)$. We present two different structures for repeated blocks considering the $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,8,3,2 / b^{*}=21\right)$.

Design with 6 repeated blocks Design with 4 repeated blocks
$\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,8,3,2 / b^{*}=18\right) \quad$ TRADE

| 123 | 247 | 359 |  | 123 | 247 | 358 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 123 | 249 | 359 |  | 123 | 248 | 359 |
| 147 | 257 | 367 | $348 \rightarrow 259$ | 147 | 257 | 367 |
| 149 | 258 | 367 | $359 \rightarrow 248$ | 149 | 259 | 367 |
| 157 | 268 | 456 | $249 \rightarrow 358$ | 157 | 268 | 456 |
| 158 | 269 | 456 | $258 \rightarrow 349$ | 158 | 269 | 456 |
| 168 | 348 | 789 |  | 168 | 348 | 789 |
| 169 | 348 | 789 |  | 169 | 349 | 789 |

## Example 3

In this example we will consider the definition of complementary design to illustrate the construction of $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,16,6,10 / b^{*}=21\right)$, and two different structures for repeated blocks with the same cardinality. We will use results of example 2 ).

Design with 3 repeated blocks $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,8,3,2 / b^{*}=21\right)$ Structure 1 Structure 2

| 123 | 248 | 357 | 123 | 246 | 357 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | 249 | 358 | 123 | 246 | 358 |
| 147 | 257 | 368 | 145 | 257 | 367 |
| 148 | 259 | 369 | 145 | 259 | 369 |
| 158 | 267 | 456 | 167 | 278 | 478 |
| 159 | 268 | 456 | 168 | 289 | 479 |
| 167 | 347 | 789 | 179 | 348 | 568 |
| 169 | 349 | 789 | 189 | 349 | 569 |

Definition of complementary design is very important, particularly for cases with large $k$ size. The complement of a $\operatorname{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ is also a BIB design with $b$ blocks each of size $v-k$, so the existence of a BIBD with block size $k$ implies the existence of another BIBD with block size $v$-k.

A simple technique for constructing one BIB Design from another is to replace the varieties in each block by the set of varieties that are not in the block, known as the complementary set of varieties.

To $\mathrm{k}=6$ and using complementary designs of $\operatorname{BIBDR}$ ( $9,24,8,3,2$ ) presented in example 2, examples follows to $\operatorname{BIBDR}\left(9,24,16,6,10 / b^{*}=21\right)$ structure.
Consider the varieties $1, \ldots, 9$, and block size equal to six.

$$
\text { BIBDR }\left(9,24,16,6,10 / b^{*}=21\right) \text { - Structure } 1
$$

| 456789 | 135679 | 124689 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 456789 | 135678 | 124679 |
| 235689 | 134689 | 124579 |
| 235679 | 134678 | 124578 |
| 234679 | 134589 | 123789 |
| 234589 | 134579 | 123789 |
| 234578 | 125689 | 123456 |

Structure 1: We have three blocks repeated twice and18 non-repeated blocks. Any of the nine varieties appear twice in repeated blocks;

BIBDR $\left(9,24,16,6,10 / b^{*}=21\right)$ - Structure 2:

| 456789 | 135789 | 124689 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 456789 | 135789 | 124679 |
| 236789 | 134689 | 124589 |
| 236789 | 134678 | 124578 |
| 234579 | 134569 | 123569 |
| 234568 | 134567 | 123468 |
| 234567 | 125679 | 123479 |

Structure 2: We have three blocks repeated twice and 18 non-repeated blocks. Varieties 1,2,4 appear once in repeated blocks, varieties 5,3,6 appear twice in repeated blocks and varieties 7,8,9 appear three times in repeated blocks.

## 5. CONSIDERATIONS AND PERSPECTIVE RESEARCH

The construction of BIB Designs for some particular parameters combinations still remain unsolved, and so are the questions connected with the respective BIBDR. This is an important issue to develop, as well as the necessary or sufficient conditions for the existence of a BIBDR and given parameters. Bounds for the multiplicity of a block in such a BIBD need also more investigation, since for many BIBDR there are still open questions.

In further research, besides continuing the cardinality analysis for particular BIBDR, it seems also important to investigate the relations between the number of possible structures and the design parameters, considering BIBDR with the same cardinality.

Applications of BIB Designs and BIBDR are known in many diverse fields, but there are yet many others to explore and improve, using this area of knowledge as a new challenge.
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