EH126 CQB.

ISSN 0308-3675

 $\Pr\left(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$ $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{2^{k}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{k-1}\right\}_{k=1}^{2^{k}}$ $\text{MHS} \times \text{KCS}$

The Co

Merlewood Research and Development Paper Number 68

THE EFFECTS OF LAND-USE AND MANAGEMENT ON UPLAND ECOSYSTEMS WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO SOILS IN THE LAKE DISTRICT

R & D 76/68

 -3.0332

K. L. BOCOCK and J. K. ADAMSON

Merlewood Research and Development Papers are produced for the dissemination of information within the Institute of Terrestrial They should not be quoted without preliminary reference **Boology.** to the author. All opinions expressed in Merlewood Research and Development Papers are those of the author, and must not be taken as the official opinion of the Institute of Terrestrial Boology.

Part of this paper was funded by the Department of the Environment under the Upland Land Use Contract (DGR 483/10) and is published with the permission of the Department.

ىنە

 \sim

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 \cdot

 \mathbb{Z}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt$

 $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}^{(N)}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}^{(N)}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{split}$ $\sim 10^{10}$. $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\sim 10^{-1}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, we have the following $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}} \, \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}} \, \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}} \, \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}} \, .$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}})) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ $\Delta \sigma = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.01$ $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \,,\\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \,, \end{split}$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq m}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \ne$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^$ $\label{eq:1} \begin{split} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y} \end{split}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. Then

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R$ $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}$ $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$. $\omega \rightarrow \omega^2$ $\sim 10^{11}$ $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}$, we define the constraint of the space of the points $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}$ $\Delta \sim 1$ a series of the construction of the construction of the con- $\sim 30\%$ ~ 100 and η

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Introduction

Many data are available on the effects of land-use and management on upland soils in general but few refer specifically to the Lake District in spite of increasing public interest in the use of the Pearsall (1950), and more area during the past few decades. recently, Pearsall & Pennington (1973) summarized available data on soils, vegetation and land-use and provided the relevant Both publications stressed the beneficial historical background. effects of trees in maintaining and restoring soil fertility and the harmful effect of leaching of nutrients from the soil by the high rainfall which occurs in upland areas in western Britain. Pearsall (1950) emphasized the long-term nutrient extractive effect of sheepgrazing whereas Pearsall & Pennington (1973) placed more emphasis on the harmful effects of deforestation and the need for soil conservation in the Lake District, particularly by re-afforestation.

Publication of Pearsall and Pennington's book stimulated the holding of a meeting of representatives of farmers, foresters, amenity organizations, conservationists, planners and research soientists in Kendal, Cumbria in October 1974 to identify and discuss local soil problems. The meeting, "Soils and Man in the Lake District", was sponsored by the Nature Conservancy Council. One of the papers presented, concerned with the effects of woodland and forest in a historical context, was published recently (Chard, 1975). The present paper is an expanded version of another of the papers and is presented here for discussion prior to final drafting of a summary for the Countryside Commission (Appendix below). It views soil as a resource and also as part of an ecological system of interecting As a resource, soil must be conserved, that is, used wisely parts. so that it is maintained in a suitable condition for a variety of land-uses in the long term as well as in the short term.

As part of an ecological system, such as woodland or grazed grassland, soil influences and is influenced by other parts of the system incluing the vegetation and the animals which feed on it and, therefore it cannot be viewed realistically in isolation. Interaction of soil characteristics and vegetation, topography, climate, land-use and management ultimately moulds the landscape of an area.

In practice, each euclogical system changes continuously towards a condition in which the various parts and the rates of processes in the system are in an equilibrium determined by factors such as climate and management. When use or management is changed, adjustment of the system to a new set of factors, inputs and outputs, may take a considerable time and may involve losses and gains in certain parts of the system. The latter changes are not evidence of soil deterioration unless they impose major limitations on the future use of the soil for some defined purpose or unless they lead to soil erosion.

The areas of particular interest in this paper lie between the intensively managed arable land of the valley bottoms and the virtually soil-less and unmanageable high mountain tops. They fall into grades μ and 5 of the Agricultural Land Classification (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 1966) or classes 5 and 6 of the Soil Survey Land Use Capability Classification (Bibby & Mackney, 1969) and are classed mainly as woodland or heathland, moorland and rough land in the Second Land-Use Survey of Britain (Coleman & Maggs, 1968). Soils range from well-drained brown earths carrying Agrostis - Festuca grassland frequently invaded heavily by Pteririum on the lower fells to nutrient poor, often peaty but welldrained soils with Calluna and Vaccinium or poorly aerated gleys with Nardus grassland on the higher gentle slopes. Stagnant or non-stagnant bogs dominated by Molinia or Eriophorum respectively, occur on level areas at most altitudes in the zone of interest. These areas are used

traditionally for rough grazing, forestry, water catchment and recreation, so their use concerns the general public as well as landowners and managers, particularly now as increased use of timber and high timber prices are encouraging the spread of commercial forestry, socio-economic factors are favouring changes in hill-farming and public pressure on hill land is increasing.

With the above background, this paper has three main aims. First, to summarise the effects on soil in general of the main types of land-use and management found or likely to be found in the future in the Lake District and to indicate their relevance to the soils of the area. Second, to compare the effects of the main land-uses, particularly hill-farming and forestry. Third, as a basis for future research. to identify those aspects for which relevant data are lacking.

Effects of grazing animals

Many authors, Coppook & Coleman (1970), Darling (1955), Hart (1968), McVean & Lockie (1969), Pearsall (1950), Pearsall & Pennington (1973). Tivy (1973), tend to regard free-grazing sheep as harmful to hill soils and vegetation and hold the view that, by their selective close grazing, sheep suppress all but the coarsest plants such as mat-grass, Nardus stricta, and heath-rush, Juncus squarrosus, and by their treading they destroy vegetation cover and thereby increase the likelihood of soil erosion. Sheep are also said to compact the soil thus reducing aeration, water absorption and circulation of nutrients. The culling of the flock is said to deplete areas of nutrients, particularly bases, and thus to accelerate the natural trend towards acidity in areas of high rainfall. Clearly, many of these ideas apply also to hill cattle so for convenience we will consider the effects of sheep and cattle together.

a) Effects of the animal hoof

The direct effect of treading varies with the hoof area, the pressure exerted, the number of times, and the way in which the hoof is applied

to the **ground per** unit area **and per unit tbm,** the **pattern of** movement of the animal within an area and also the age, size **and breed of animal. Available** data **on** hoof **measuremente and** pressures **exerted suggest** that oattle **tmad** 2-4 **times more heavily than sheep** (Table 1) **but such comparisons** based **on extrapolation from data for standing animals must be viewed with great cadion bearing in mind** results for **standing and walking Man** (Harper et al., 1961 and **p. 16).**

Moat stues of treadjng ef'feot or **daily movemonk of animals have been** carried **out in lowland grassland areas and in emloaures where the animal may behave abnormally either because it is** \cdots stimulated **to move excsssively or et a higher speed than normal** (Edmond, 1958) or because movements are reduced when ample food **is supplied (England,** 1954). . Data for **individual sheep** (Table **2) oombined** with **observations on the dajfy movement of upland flocks** in hefts or home ranges of known size (Hunter, 1962, for Cheviots **on mainly krostis-Pestuoa, Nardus and** Molinia **grassland and** Ptoridium; Grubb & Jewell, 1974, for Soay Sheep on Nardus, Agrostis is supplied (England, 1954). Data for individual sheep (Table combined with observations on the daily movement of upland flock
in hefts or home ranges of known size (Hunter, 1962, for Cheviot
on mainly <u>Agrostis-Festuca</u>, **movement of** 1.2-12.9 **hi with most values falling between 2** and **5 km.** From such data, Welch & Cummins (pers. comm.) concluded that the **daily** movement **of Brit5sh** hill **aheep is about 2.5-5.0 km.** CDnr **parable data** for **cattle** cover **a range of 2.3-7.8 bpi day*'** $(Table 2)$. **Hancock** (1953) , quoting various published data, gives **a** range **of 1 .&2.8** lan daym1 **for** dairy **cattle end he stresaes** that an **013 pasture or rough ground** cattle **travel twice as Phr as on newpastures,** From **a11 the above data one may comluds that** cattle and **sheep** *travel* **apprht-** *the* **saw dA&ance per** *day.*

b

Stride lengths in **ahaep** and cattle **are respectively** abmt 15 **om** and μ 5 cm (Frame, 1971). Using these data and approximate mean **daily movements of** animals, Welsh & **Cumins (prs.** em.) **oalculated** that sheep and cattle perform respectively $100,000$ and μ ,000 1 eg movements animal⁻¹ day⁻¹. Assuming hoof areas of 15 cm^2 and

²*60* **om respectively** for hill sheep and **cattle** they **calculated** peroentage **of** range trampled **per year with diffemnt degrees of aggregation of** trampling and **stookjxrg, Sheep** averaged **from** 1.1 **tramples** year⁻¹ evenly spread over the whole area with 0.2 **animals** ha1 **to 109.5** tramples **on** i@ **of the area plus ¹²⁴²**trlamples **on the** remaining **9%** *with* **a mean** stocking **rate for the whole area of 4.0 sheep ham'. Comparable data fur** cattle **ran& hm 8% bf the area tramgled per year** hth **⁰⁴¹** animals ha⁻¹ and evenly spread trampling to 100% trampled, 10% **21.9 times** and 9% **2** .l+ **times** *yearu'* , here the mean stocking **rate** is 0.5 animal h ⁻¹. These calculations do not allowfor repeated treading **of tho sme area duhg each tranple or for** remcrval *stook* **from the** hills **during the** more **severe** weather, **In most hill areas,** the stooking rates for cattle tend to approach 0.2 animals ha⁻¹ and for **sheep fell** between 0.2-1 animal **ha'.**

Compaction by animals **is amcentrated in the top few oms of sou** (wind & Schothorst 1 964; **Edmond,** 1 **958** alth ougb **acoaaionally,it extends to about 20** cms **Pederer et al, 1 961**) . Compaction **nay be greater at s, few cms depth tkn at the soil seace if the** tap few cms is highly organic and resilient (Howard & Howard, 1976;
Keen & Cashen, G. H., 1932). The overall degree of compaction varies with soil oharacteristics. Sandy soils low in organic **mkter or** *dry* soils fn general *are* **little compacted, whereas soils** rich **in clay, peat and moisturn** are **very susceptible to trampling** damage (Wind & Schothorst, 1964).

Compaotion **involves** not *mly* **an inorease in bulk** density **but also a mduction of** soil **pore space, aeration, moisture stom@ oapacity and** infliltration **rats, destruction of matepatable soil aggmgates,** and changes in thermal charaoteristics (Edmond, 1958; Federer et al, **⁴⁹⁶¹**; GfUrd, **1969; Gmdwell,** 1960; **L~I,** 1959; **Steinbrenner,** 1951 ; **Wind** & **Sohothorst,** 1964). **Such changes** may **be expected to lead to soil biological and chemical** changes **but these are** diff'icult **to**

separate from changes associated with the effects of feeding and dung and urine deposition and seem to be small relative to the latter judging by the findings of Floate (1972). Moreover, as Welch & Cummins (pers. comm.) point out, the frequent occurrence of frost in British upland areas will tend to minimize any trend towards compaction.

Soil erosion associated with grazing does not appear to have been studied much in Britain although erosion gullies, which may be partly an effect of the grazing animal, are seen frequently on hill grazings. Thomas (1965) describes sheet erosion beginning from "burrows" or "bunkers" used by sheep as sheltering places in the Plynlimon and other moorland areas of Wales and we have observed fans of eroded soil below "bunkers" on grassland on the lower fells in the Southern Uplands of Scotland, the Pennines and the Lake District. Another aspect of erosion is the slow downhill movement of soil. Thomas (1959) mentions the movement of a particular sheep-path two feet downhill in four years, but in such examples disentanglement of the effects of Man, effects of the animal, and natural downhill soil movement is difficult. The effect of the hoof is more pronounced on wet soils, where the animal tends to slip and slide more than on dry soils. The sod which protects the soil surface is broken more easily by cattle than by sheep because the former exert the greater hoof pressure (Table 1).

In New Zealand, where soil erosion is recognised as a problem associated with grazed hill-soils, Gibbs (1964) has outlined the various types of erosion which occur and stressed the need for assessment of permissible stocking densities on particular soil types. These and other comments which he makes, appear to be relevant to soil conservation in the Lake District.

New Zealand work has also shown that vegetation, $e_{\bullet}g_{\bullet}$ sown grassland **with clover,** my **be** considerably damaged **by treading,** particularly when the soil is wet and sheep densities are high (7-50 h a⁻¹)
 Edmond 1958; 1962; 1963). Damage alone reduced herbage yield **to as** low **as one** eighth **of** the cat rol **value. In the Lake** $Distriot.$ because of much lower overall sheep densities, such damage and also soil compaction are probably small except on or near sheep-paths and overnight resting sites and on areas **of the most** palatable vegetation, *A~rostis* - **Festuca grassland (~ughos** et al., **1964;** Hughes et al., **19E; Rms** Bcweloh, 1969). In such places, changes in sward composition are likely to occur **as a result of** variation between hefiage **species in resistace to treading (Bates, 1935 for mixed grasslands on various soils; Ebond,** 1958, 1962, **1963** for **sown grasslands with clover), Grasses are mom resistant to** tmmpling **tha species** such **as hfyricc gale, ~teridi&** aquilinum **an3 Vaccinum** myrtillus which **are undesirable** to Evestook **(~rama,** 1971). **Changes in** the composition **of** *the* sward **also** occur when **grazing pressure is** insufficient **to lead to severe treading damage (welch, 1** 974; Welch & **Cummins pera. camm.**) . **Bny changes** in **swad** coqosition produce **changes in** the type **andor** mount **of** plant remuins **returned to** ths **soil** and thus may lead **to** changes in soil chemical, biological and physical characteristics $(p_{p_2}3 - 12)$.

From the above comments, the trading enimal appears to be entirely harmful to the grazed sward-soil system however, Davies (1938) **considemd** that **a small amount of** trampling **helps to break up and** aerate **the** soil **aurface** and **to** earth **up** the bases **of grassland** plants. **Some** farmers use light trampling **on a** roseeded hill pasture **in the belief** that **it** has **a** firming effect **similar to** $that$ achieved by using a roller (Newbould, 1974). Miles (1973) ,

where $\mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

working on heather moor, birch and pine woodland and juniper scrub in Inverness-shire, found much higher densities of selfsown seedlings on soil bared by deer trampling than on vegetation-covered soil so perhaps trampling is important locally in creation of niches for new species in a closed community. Crocker (1953) considers that heavy grazing creates vacant niches which are occupied by less palatable species from within or outside the community and this applies also to low levels of trampling (Liddle & Greig-Smith, 1975b). However, Floate et al., (1973) and Jones (1967) find that grazedout species are not necessarily replaced by unpalatable species $(pp. 8 - 12)$. Published comments on the effect of trampling on vegetative reproduction of pasture species are conflicting. Davies (1938), Pearsall (1950), and Rawes & Welch (1969) state that treading plus grazing promotes the tillering of grasses whereas Edmond (1958) noted a progressive reduction in tillering of ryegrass and node formation by clover as. stocking density increased from 0 to 8 sheep ha⁻¹

$[$, b) Effects associated with the cycling of organic matter and nutrients within the animal-vegetation-soil system

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$

. Le c

On ungrazed hill-land, plant material produced above and below ground eventually dies and, as it decays under the influence of soil bacteria, fungi and animals, the nutrients which it contains are released and either held in the soil or re-absorbed by plants, or leached out of the soil. This leaching is most pronounced where rainfall is high, as in much of western Britain, and where the plant remains produce substances such as acids and polyphonols which promote movement of materials down the soil profile. Bases, are amongst the nutrients which are leached out, so the plant remains, tend to become

progressively =ore **acid.** This acidity, combined **with the** lack of readily-available nutrients and the low temperatures prevalent in hill areas restricts the numbers and activities **of** ~econposer **-organisms, so** deconposition **proceeds slowly** and formation of a mat of plant remains and/or of peat occurs.

The imposition of' regular **grazing on the** system **described above** involves defoliation, damga **to** the **plant by** trampling **and soil** compaction **md hence** usually **lower** herbage prduction **(Bryant et al.,** 1972; **Xdmond,** .t958; Floate, **1972; hwa** &%lch, t969) and **root** growkh **(~chustor** 1964). **This,** toge5her with digeation **and assimilation of** some material **by** the *anbal,* **reduces** the mount **of organic** matter **retuned to the** s oil. In a p^{aired-plot fence-line study in Scotland, Floate} (1972) **found** that imposition **of grazing led to a roductiori in the thickness** and **amounk of** aurface **organio matter. Howard** $\&$ Howard (1974), working on **Agrosto-Festucetum** in the northern Permines, found **no** ohange in the **amount of surface** organic remains with grazing but suggested that in the absence of **,gazing** much **of the dead grass is supported by** the **live** vegetation and hence was not collected in their soil cores.

If overstockhg mcurs, **herbage mill be so reduced and damaged** that bare aoil **~11 appear,** The latter **is highly susceptible to erosion and is also exposed to sxtmmea of temperature** which **my, on** balance, **be** unfavourable far microbiological actlvity although mode **rat ely high** temperat **urea** favour high activity if moisture does not become limiting.

Grazing involves channelling some vegetation through the animal **and baok to the soil in droppings** and urine, The benefioid effects **of dung and** urine **on soils and** vegetation are well**knm but** quantitative **evidenoe of** their **influence on'nutrimt** oyoling **is leas well** docmente&. **Floats** (19 **72), in**

laboratory incubation **studies,** compared the release **of nutrients from (A)** plant material out in October and (B) fae**ous produced by sheep fed the same** mterials cut **at** monthly **intervals** Prom **May to** October. **He found that readily available** nitrogen **(N),** inchding urine **N,** increased **from** 31.5 for **A** to **52.7 kg ha-' for** B **for krcstia-Fesf uoa ad 12.0** for. **A to** 18.4 **for 3 kg nal for** Nardus **in spite of** herbage **producLion decreases of** *6%* **for A** and **24% for B. Similar results were found for phosphorus** (P) **. He also demonstrated increased uptake of N and P** fim **dung and urine by the pasture plants.** Clearly, grazing speeds up the nutrient circulation in the surface soil/vegetation system **and this** more **rapid re-use of** the **small** amounts **of available** nutrients **present in hill** soils can **be** interpreted **aa an jndication of improved soil** fertility,

In **his** fence-line **study,** Floate (1972) **found** that **grazing led to:**

- **i)** Reductions in the ratios of earbon (C) to N and P for plant rewins **and** of soil **to 4O cm depth. Such** ratio& are often thought of as indicators of soil fertility.
- ii) Increases in both total and organic P but no significant ohange **in the** concent ration **of** available **P in** the **top** 40 cm of soil.

iii) **An** increase in soil pH but not in base saturation.

Howard & Howard (1976) recorded higher nitrogen contents and **a** lower C/N ratio in the top 3.5 cm of soil with grazing. \texttt{Respir}_3 tory activity of the soils was higher on the ungrazed **area, probably as** a **result of conversion of herbage to faeces with a lower** mspiratory **activity (Floate, 1970).** During $\&$ Radoliffe (1962) found that the top μ on of the dung-enriched **soil of sheep-tmcks** on **hills In New** Zealand **had is. higher ^C**

content, **a** mch *higher* **N content,** *and* a **lowor** *GIN* mtio **than** other soil **on** the fill.

The above comments refer **to** nutrient cyoling in unimproved **3051s. If** lime *andor* basic slag **are** *applied* **on a** grazd area, marked increases in soil fertility occur from enhanced decomposition, **reduction of** cat formtion and **improved base** status **(Shaw, 1** 95 8). Liming and **other** soil am **el** ioration treatments are usually coupled with **increased atooking.** This leads to a further increase in the proportion of the herbage production which is channelled through the animal *alld* a firther reduction **in** *the mwnt* **of decomposing** herbage **on** the **soil surface** loat ate, I 970, **4** 972). **It also** leads **to hcreaeed** utilisation **of** relatively **unpalatable species** and ultimately a change in sward composition towards dominance by more palatable graminaceous species. Floate et al. (1 9'731, **for exaple,** showed **f** hat **e Nardus sward oauld be changed to a palatable grass-dominated sward using controlled intensive grazing + lime + NP + Potassium** (K) **+ surface** seeding, **but at** *R* high cost. Jones (1 **967)** obtainad sindlar **1-e sult s in** Xale **s** .

From **the** soil consemation **viewpoint, if shesg** are **to be kepk** in high rainfall areas, a management regime is required which:

- **i)** maintains a continuous protective cover of palatable hsfiage **plants and** asswiated plant remains
- ii) maintains the soil in as fertile condition and with **as** good structure **as possible.**

Qhese requirements are **at levst park-** met **by the H.F.R.O. '8** proposals for a two-pasture system involving:

i) improvement of the part of the available pasture which can **be** nost acanmically improvd, **that is,** that **on the better** soils already carrying some *agrostis-Festuoa* or bracken

- ii) maximum use of the herbage production on this area by heavy intermittent *cropping*
- iii) heavier use of the unimproved area especially during $the summer (Radio, Armstrong & Maxwell, 1973)$.

This approach allows much heavier overall stocking with sheep **and some** cattle. The latter are **less selective in** their grazing thm sheep **an&** they c ontrol **and reduce the** coarser **herbage species** (Fenton, 1937). **In** field *trials,* mimd **grazing** has **led** to **a** higher **output of** sheep flesh **plus a bonus of some** cattle **flesh** (i'iamop, 1965) **and** my therefore **be desirable on** oconornic zs well **as** ecological **grounds.**

In the Lake District, although **the H.F.R.O.'s** proposals **have not been put into** practice **to** any **sppmciable** extent, improvement and more efficient use of the lower altitude **grazing3** with better soils **is favoured. In** particular, **the use of herbicides such as** asulam **for** bracken **is being tried. Soil changes resulting from such** treatment **s and** fion associated **practices, such ns reseeding** and stockin& with oatt **le,** *are* currently **undescribed,**

⁰¹Removal **of nutrients** from **the ~yst en** in **the** animal crop

Several authors have **calculated** removal **of nutrients** in **the hi11 sheep crop per unit area per** year. Comparable **data for hill** cattle do not appear **to be available** although **Dean** et **al;,** (1 **975)** calcuated removal of' **nitrogen fron a short-grass prairie in** northern Colorado **by yearling** Herefod **heifera dang June-August Tho two grazing** intensities **used, 0.1 1** and $0.37 - 0.48$ animals ha⁻¹, are of a similar order $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ **t hose found on** British **bill** grazings **and** they **led to N** removall over the three months of **respectively** 59.2 kg and 115.4 kg 100 ha

Crisp (1966) collected information on the nutrient budget for an 83 ha peat-covered catchment on the Moor House National Nature Reserve in the northern Pennines carrying about 18 sheep from April to October only (Table 3). Nutrient outputs in sheep were small in relation to a) outputs in water and eroding peat, b) nutrient reserves in the peat, c) and inputs in precipitation. Moreover, other studies indicate that precipitation contains only part of the total nutrient input Alexander (197μ) indicated that $(pp, 29 - 30)$. N fixation of the order-of at least $10-20$ kg 100 ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ occurs commonly on tundra/moorland type sites in the Northern Hemisphore. Two out of five values for Pennine moorland were excessively high, 3790 and 1059 kg 100 ha⁻¹yr⁻¹.

Rawes (1966) and Rawes & Welch (1969) calculated nutrient removal in sheep for the whole Moor House National Nature Reserve of 9850 ha stocked with a maximum of 8500 sheep from April to October . (Table L). Rawes (1966) also calculated comparable figures for the Lake District, assuming a stocking density of 2.5 ha⁻¹ ϕ throughout the year (Table 4). In the absence of other comparable output data we have compared these losses with the nutrient inputs published in precipitation for the Lake District (Table μ). Data for both areas emphasize the nutrient removal in sheep in relation to nutrient input. Supporting data are also available for other areas. James (1971 and pers, comm.) and Roberts & James (1972) reporting work done on a R. Wye catchment of 1043 ha in the Plynlimon area of Wales, estimated that only 50-60 kg 100 $ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$ of calcium (Ca) was removed in the sheep crop of c. 2.5 sheep ha⁻¹yr⁻¹, whereas streamwater output was 2930 kg 100 ha⁻¹ and input in precipitation was 500 kg 100 ha $^{-1}$ yr⁻¹. Floate (pers.comm.) estimated that, taking a high estimate of 2.5 lambs (100 kg, 0.2% P) produced per acre of Scottish hill land, less than 20 kg 100 ha⁻¹ of P are removed annually from a soil nutrient pool in excess of

 $200000 \text{ kg} 100 \text{ ha}^{-3}$. Grant (pers. comm.) calculated that 1.6-12.8 kg potassium (K) , 9-72 kg Ca , 5-40 kg P and 3 - 240 kg N 100 ha⁻¹ was removed from the Scottish hill ecosystem by sale of lambs and cast ewes with respectively 0.5-0.66 ewes ha⁻¹ and 70% lambing success and $1-2$ ewes ha⁻¹ and 100% lambing. King & Nicholson (1964) estimated that nutrient removal in sheep products in Scotland amounted to 22 kg Ca and 11 kg P 100 hs⁻¹ with 0.625 sheep ha⁻¹.

Although the above calculations vary in analytical data used and in the ratio of weights of sheep carcase to wool, sheep consistently appear to remove small amounts of nutrients but this statement requires three qualifications.

1) As many authors have shown, sheep are highly selective grazers. so nutrient removal is concentrated on the most palatable parts of the sward particularly Agrostis-Festuca grassland. The latter may comprise less than 20% of a mixed moor in the Pennines (Rawes & Welch. 1969) with 2.2 sheep ha^{wl} but may support an average of up to about 9 sheep ha⁻¹. This is in the range of $5.6-18.2$ ewe units ha⁻¹ found for similar grassland in Wales (Hughes et al 1964). Assuming 9 sheep ha⁻¹, then the loss of P in sheep at Moor House is about 29 kg 100 ha⁻¹, c. 50% of the input in the rain, whereas for the Lake District the P loss would be about 30 kg 100 ha^{"1}, similar to that in precipitation. Wannop (1965) expressed some doubts about the ability of Scottish hill soils to replenish the P removed in sheep. Similar doubts may also apply for P and other nutrients in the Lake District for the areas of more palatable herbage.

- 2) **A** high percentage of soil nutrients **is not readily available to** plants, particulwly **in** the **mt** , **acid, leached crganic** soils **of** the Lake District, either because, nutrients are in resistant organic matter in **peat, or in soil** horizons below the rooting zone, **of** herbage species. Perhaps the rate of removal of nutrients **in sheep ought to be** related **to** tho rate at which nutrients can **be supplied** to **herbage plats** from the rooting **zone rather** than **to** the total **soil** nutrient pool. Although Rawes & Welch (1969) gathered **a** vast amount **of** data **on** the sheep arid vegetation at **Moor House** they **mere** forced to state **that .,..I1 as little is known** about **other** factors such **as uptake of** nutrienks **by roots at** hbor **House, it is at** praaent inpossible **to** de-terruine **whether** individuu *swards* **or vegetation types** are declining in their stock of minerals and nutrients".
- **3)** Although levels **of** removal are currently low **in** relatio&to n utrients in precipitation, this fact does not necessarily apply during the psst **few hundrod** 9 **ers. In** this **period not** mly **is** the record **of sheep** densities inoomplat& **but also data** on nutrient inputs are lacking. Composition of precipitation is known to vary with time because of factors such as changes in air pollution and wind direction. We cannot therefore assess accurately the imporbance **of** removal **of** nutrients **by** animal **a in the past.**

Effects **of** *agficultuml* vehicles

Damage **to soil and** vegetation **by wheeled** and tracked **~ehiclea may be conaidexlable on and around well-used** tracks **especially where the** soil **is wet.** The **effects** include many **of those already** mentioned **in association with trampling by animals.**

Pressures exerted on soils and vegetation by agricultural tractors **range from 0.21-0.63 kg cm² for crawlers to 1.41 kg cm² for for** wheeled machines (Lull, 1959). Wallace (1974.) quotes pressures of 0.04-0.15 **kg on2** for small croaa-omtry vehicles with **traoks** or balloon **tyres whereas smll trucka** with nomnal **tgres exert** about 2.54-4.58 **kg** cm2 **(~ull,** 1959). **Except for small trucks** and **larger vehicles which could exert pressures up to 7.90 kg cm⁻² (Lull, 1959) vehicle pressures tend to be about the same or less than those exerted by** grazing ani **ml s** ,

Effects of human recreation

The main effects of the recreating public on soil and vegetation in the Lake District are associated **1~5th walking,** skiing, **rock** eliding, scree mming **and** the use **of wheeled vehicles. The effects** are similar **to and, in** ama **specific** locations, *e.* **g. oa much used trxcks,** are **as** severe **as** or **nore** severe than the effects **of** the **traapling** grazing animal, **However,** in the area **as a** whole the **effects** *arc* not considered **by amenity bodies to be a major pmblom (see** for example **Friends of the** Lake District, **1975).**

From Lull (4959) pressures exertod on the soil **by a** humn **foot** am 1.94 **kg** cm^2 **for** a 68 **kg** man with a bearing area of 35 cm^2 per foot $\left(\text{correction of Blair's 1937 data}\right)$ and 0.8μ -0.92 kg cm⁻² for man and $1.17-2.20$ $kg \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for women (Lull's own data). Liddle & Greig-Smith $(1975a)$ indicate a much lower value of 0.180 kg cm^{-2} . The above **figures aro** calculated **on** tho **baais** of body weight **spread over** *he contact **tlroa of** one foot and aye **the** minimal **pressures exextea during walking. They** are of **the sane** order as the **pressure applied by a** sheep foot (Table 1) however, recreating Man often wears walking boots the use of which could increase contact pressures several times. Moreover, **Harper et a1** (I **961 and** 1967) **showed that dynzmic foot;** pressures can range from 1-59 kg cm⁻² for humans in shoes.

Bayfield (1973) indicated pace lengths of 55-73 cm for walkers on Scottish These values are considerably larger than those for sheep hill paths. or cattle (15 and 45 cm p. μ). Further direct comparison between pressures exerted or areas compacted by grazing animals and Man is difficult because of differences in locomotion and in locomotory behaviour and currently impossible because of lack of suitable data for use of paths by sheep and cattle or average use of whole areas by Man.

The effect of trampling by Man on soil and vegetation has been much, documented and discussed (Liddle, 1975, Lull 1959, Marren 1974; Speight, 1973; of also pp. $3 - 8$) so only an outline of the types of changes which occur will be given here. Chappell (1971) working on chalk grassland, found that as trampling increased the soil bulk density increased and water stability of soil aggregates, soil moisture content and numbers of soil animals all decreased. Chemical changes were not significant or could not be tested because of bulking of samples but tendencies existed for increases in percentage of iron in the reduced (ferrous) form, amount of ammonium N and percentage total N and decreases in amount of nitrate, percentage organic C and C/N ratio. Similar C and N trends were found on sheep-paths by During & Radcliffe (1.962) .

Composition of the sward changes considerably on heavily trampled areas (Bates 1935; Bayfield, 1971; Chappell, 1971; Davies, 1938; Liddle & Breig-Smith, 1975b). This has been attributed to differences in the resistance of different species to physical damage (e.g. Bates, 1935) and in the response of different species to changed soil conditions e.g. soil moisture status (Liddle & Greig-Smith, 1975b) or soil temperature (Liddle & Moore, 1974). Vegetation cover usually decreases with trampling (Bates, 1935) but vegetation diversity may decrease or increase depending on trampling intensity and initial condition of the vegetation (Liddle, 1975; Miles, 1973 and pp. $3 - 8$).

Appreciable mechar~icel **damage to** vegetation leads **to** .duction **in vegetation height (Liddle, 1975) and in the dry weights of aerial** parts of plants (Duffey, 1972) although Liddle and Greig-Smith **(19~b)** indicate **that** low **levels of** trampling **at, for example,** path margins, may stimulate **p** roducti **on. Any** change **in the t ype or** amount **of live or dead plant** material **in or on** the **soil is** likely to lead to changes in the microclimate around the soil surface (Liddle & Moore, 1974) and in the soil biology (Chappell, 1971; Duffey, 1973).

Damge **to** soil and vegetation **by skis and** wheeled vehicles **my be** oonsiderable **and** continual locally **and include** many **of** the. **effects associ&ed with** trampling **by** Man **and animals (sayfield,** 1973a, **1973b; Lull,** 4959). **Pressurss exerted by a** family **cnr amount** toabouk **0.95 kg** -2 **cm on** soft **ground or** 1.5 **kg** cm2 **on hard ground,** that **is** *about* the **same as pressures exerted by the sheep hoof (Table 1).**

In the Lake District, commercially organized skiing, except for sdl **amount of grass-skiing, has** not **gained** *a* **foothold so the** a major effects of associated construction works (Bayfield, 1973a) **are not seen.** Tiheeled vehicle **damage,** including *that* **resulting** from **use of motor-cycles an** *the* **fells (~riends of** the **Lake** District, 1975), appears **to be** slight.

Effects of trees and forestry

a) Effects of trees

Forest soils exhibit peculiar characteristics acquired under. the influence of three soil-forming factors uncommon in other soils - tree **roots**, forest litter and specific organisms **whose existence depends on the forest vegetation (Wilde, 1958).**

TI will consider the effects **of** *trees* **under only two** headings, **i**) roots ii) litter. Soil organisms are associated mainly with roots and plant **remaim and** will **theref** ore **be** discussea **briefly under bOth he** acting **s** .

i) Roots

The soil-stabilizing action of tree roots is well-known (~ournier, '1 **972). In** many *Us* District **woodhnda on** *steep* **slopes, an** acornlation **of** soil **and/or atones** and moat **advanced** soil profile development occurs on the **upslope side** of tree bases or of the main surface roots. This indicates that trees are inhibiting some downhill sail movement but that they are not preventing this movement entirely. Clearly, **Chese** observations **do** not iniiaate **that trae** roots are preventing **soil** erosion *which* would have occur-red **in the** absence **of tmea.**

The distribution **of tree** roots **in the 803.1 depends partly on** tree **specibs and** partly **on** soil **characteristics. It used t a be** thought that certain **species, for example spruces, wen** typically shallm-rooting whereas others', **for example pines ad** many **deciduous trees, =re** deeprooting. **This view** has now been largely discounted and it is becoming clear that m any species can adapt their rooting form and depth when influenced by soil characteristics such as shallow depth, stoniness or a high water-table (Sutton, 1969).

%em roots **come into** contact with rock **they** appear **to** cause *appmciable* **physical** disintegration and **chemical meathering.** Klausing (1956), for example, suggested that in **a beech wood** granite and diorite **weathered at** rates **of** respectively 1 **.2 and** 2.1 mm **year"'** . Evidence **of weathering** in forests by the action of acids leached from **or ganic**

materials, by carbon dioxide produced by the roots and by **the** action **of soil micro-organism is reviewed in Lubz 8e Chandler** (I 946). Voigt (I **965) and Wed, Davey** & **Cook (1969)** give further details.

Ons **of the mnin functions of roots is absorption of water** and nutrients from the soil. The mycorrhizal fungi growing **in and around the root tissues helps the plant** h the **absorptian of nutrients, particularly phosphorma.** bhen **a** root **dies or is killed by felling of the tree the organic matter it contains is slowly deoomposed by the** joint **action of ad1 baoteria, hgi** md **soil animals and the contained nutrients are released alowly** hto **the soil, The presence of root chamnels 5n soil re&ers** the **latter** more **permeable to air and water** *whereas* **organic** mtter from **root decomposition helps to** maintain **the hwa level** throughout *the* **soil** profile. **These effeota** *are* particularly important in an area such as the Lake District **where the** high **minfaI.1** tencla **to Bach the soil of nutrients,** and **deep-burrowing** earthworms are often absent because of high soil acidity.

a) **Litter'**

Litter, the fallen dead branches, leaves, flowers and fruits, **acts** as a blanket on the soil surface protecting the underlying **soil and** *the* roots **an& soil organisms it contaim againat extremes of** tempemture, **direot** insolation, **and the direct** impact of rain and restricting eveporation from the soil. The nutrients in litter can only become available to plants **by deoompositian of the** litter **under the influence of** $numerous different types of soil organisms.$

Litters differ **in** their oomposition. **Some, such as** many coniferous *litters* and beech, **have a** low **lime and nitrogen** content **but** contain relatively **high amounts of acidio** materials, **gibre and** polyphenolic bornpounds , **whereas** $others$, such as ash or elm, are rich in bases and nitrogen and low **in acidic** material and fibre, The polyphenolic **ampounds** inolude **the** tannins found, **in** large **quantities** in some tree **barka. During senescence of the leaf or needle,** the polyphenolic **material in the cell sap** interaots **~5th the** protein **of the cell protoplasm by** a **prcxess sidbr to the tanning of** hides, The presenae **of** tanned **protein and of the** other litter oharacteristics mentioned abovo helps to explain why certain litters are less digestible and more mpalatable to soil animals and more resistant to microbial attack than others (Handley, 1954).

Trees which prduce **slow-dec** mposing **litters bring about a** redistribution of nutrients in the soil prof'ile *~5th* **a** concentration **largely** near **the a oil surface.** Large amounts **af** nut dents **may be imobili zed in** plant remains. **Ovingtan** (1 95973, 1 9621, **for example,** showed that, **in** a 55year old **pine** plantation, amounts **of** nutrients equivalent **to** 1% **(~a) to 9@** (N) of the nutrients in the standing trees were present in the **plant** remains **on** the **soil surface. During percolation of** min-water, nutrients are leached **from the remains and iron and** aluminium **are** noved down **the** profile under **the** hf'luence **of acidic** mterials **and polyphenols from** the **If** *tter,* **the process of** podzolization, **The** mourrence **of** podzolization **and of** obvious **accumulctians** of **nutrient-poor** Litter **under** conifer and **some** hardwood trees **are** perhaps *the* main **reasons why some** species **have** acquimd **the** reputation as soil ". degraders, however, the justification for this reputation **remaina** ccncrwersial **(Page,** 1968).

 $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})$ $\mathcal{A}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$, where $\mathcal{A}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$

Although the effect of tree litter on scil is only partially understood certain points are now clear. The amounts of bases, polyphenola **and other** mterials **which** affect **soil profile development vary not only between species but also** within species depending on the base status and type of soil on which the *trees* are growing (Mork, 1942; Coulson et al., t960). **This 5uplies that** it my be possible **to** alter the effect of the trees on the soil by altering the soil base status. Some species, for example birch, are often regarded **as** soil improvers (1)5&leb~, **1952;** Gardiner, **1368) but** this view has been challenged and is still controversial (Rennie, 1956). One **cause of such** controversy **is** the tendency **of** some **workers to focus** thefr attention **on** the superficid- **sail** layers, where some changes undoubtedly occur (Ovington, 1953), rather than considering nutrient content, nutrient availability **and &,her characteristicn of** the **&ole soil profile in** relation **to** the **+,me's requirements.**

机动

Podzolization appears **to be unavoidable** under conifers **on** some **sites inEurope. It is rapid** and **complete under pine on** poor sands or under spruce on fine-textured soils which previously **carried deciduous** forest *whereas* **on dho r soils it is only** partial with **inoreases in** the amount **of** superficial plant **remins, acidity and** C/N ratio **for** humus rich **layers** (Faurnier, 7972). **Blisck** (1974) indicated **a** similar picture for Czechoslavakia where 20-40% spruce mixed with broadleaved trees caused no **adverse** effects **on** moist alluvial soils **at** 100-200 m altitude and increasing percentages were tolerated as altitude and rainfall increased until, at 1100-1200 m pure spruce produced no significant deterioration of brown forest soils. **Productivity was** roduced significantly **if** s too high percentcge **of** spruce **waa plated partly because of soil** degradation **physically** and **inadequate** nutrient availability and also because of high interception of precipitation by spruce during the growing season. German workers, in general,

also associate podzolization with decreased tree productivity but Genssler working in the Harz mountains and Holmsgaard et al., in Denmark found no reduction in timber volume production even over three generations of trees on the same sites with slightly podzolized soils of unspecified types (Fournier, 1972).

b) Effects of forestry

The main effects of forestry include soil compaction and disturbance associated with forestry operations, ohanges in amount and quality of run-off water, which has an obvious bearing on loss of scil nutrients and particulate matter, and removal of nutrients in the tree crop.

i) Soil compaction and disturbance

> The maximum direct effect on the soil occurs during site preparation prior to planting when drainage operations, ploughing and roadmaking severely disturb and to some extent mix the soil, and during harvesting when heavy machinery moves into the forest. pp. 15-16 above indicate the new range of pressures exerted by vehicles likely to be used in forests however, as Lull (1959) points out, weight may not be very important as pronounced effects have been found with small pressures. Under wet conditions, macroscopic pore space was reduced by half and infiltration rate by 80% after one pass by a crawler tractor (of pp. 15-16). 10-20% of total area may be compacted during tractor logging but areas affected can be much reduced by maximum use of roads and of log slides or overhead cable-ways.

Binns (personal communication) has pointed out that evidence of effects of compaction on forest production is Youngberg (1959) examined the growth of Douglas scanty. fir seedlings in soils compacted by tractors during $log x$ ing. Growth was significantly less on compacted soils compared with that on the apparently undisturbed soils of clear-felled areas, probably because of poor aeration and low nitrogen in the former scils. Forest soils in general are light and easily compacted (Lull, 1959) but they are usually little disturbed for long periods and are therefore able to recover from severe compaction or disturbance with the aid of natural biological and physical processes.

ii) Changes in the run-off water

In British plantations, 10-54% of the incident precipitation fails to reach the ground because of interception by the tree canopy and only 0.04-0.32% of the total flows down the stems of trees (Ovington, 1954). Trees therefore reduce considerably the amount of water available for soil leaching and also the direct impact of rain on the soil.

Appreciable quantities of water which have been taken up by the roots are lost via the leaves as transpiration. As a result of interception plus transpiration, trees especially conifers, tend to dry out the soil. This is seen best in the shrinkage of peat soils after afforestation $(B_{\text{1,}1}^{10.00}, 1968)$ Trees also reduce run-off water to only 28-58% of incident precipitation, conifers tending to give lower figures than hardwoods (Ovington, 1962).

Comparisons of total evaporation from forest and grassland or of water yield from forest and grassland oatchments have given variable results (Rutter, 1968). This variability

is associated with variability in the pattern of rainfall and in the eveporation of intercepted water (Rutter, 1972; Stewart & Oliver, 1972). Evaporation is likely to be fastest and hence possibly greater during the warmer In low-growing vegetation, transpiration and months. evaporation are of similar magnitude but evaporation of intercepted water from forest is greater than transpiration loss (Rutter, 1968), up to five times greater under windy conditions because of the aerodynamic roughness of the tree canopy (Rutter, 1972).

In watershed studies at Coweeta, USA (Hoover, 1944), clear-felling of mixed hardwoods increased run-off by nearly 53% and run-off as a percentage of incident rainfall from 34% to 59% (c. 41-62% according to Hibbert, 1967). Data from $other$ sites summarized by Hibbert (1967) indicate increases in run-off of the same order or less after clear-felling forest. At Coweeta, establishment and growth of pines for 16-17 years on two catchments reduced streamflow to 20% below the value expected for a hardwood stand (Swank & Douglass, 1974).

Preparation of an area for planting of trees often involves drainage operations. From studies by Painter et al., (1974) on catchments with variable soils (peaty podzols, peat, boulder clay, sand) on Plynlimon in Wales and at Coalburn in north-west England the se operations can lead to a 1000-fold increase in loss of particulate matter and presumably also in nutrients. A similar but smaller effect occurs when an area is clear-felled (Borman et al., 1974 ; Thikens et al., 1970), although Packer (1967) concludes that clear-felling itself is virtually

harmless and **it** is the **subsequent** logging operations which increase soil erosion and stream turbidity (Dyrness, **1967;** Packer, 1967). **Likens** et **al.,** (1970) studied **effects of clear-felling an area of mixed hardwoods with** some pine and fir in north-east USA. Their experimental **area had** a continental **type of** climate but **in** sane respeots **resembled parts of tho Lake** District . Altitude ranged from 229-1006 m, rainfall was about 123 cm and the soil was **acid podzolic** glacial **till overlying acid** metafnarphic **rock. The =in results** wem **as follows** :

- 1. Annual run-off of water increased by 39% in the first year and 28% in the second year after clear-felling over the **values** expected **if** the forest **had** not **been** cuk.
- 2_{\bullet} Particulate matter in stream-water increased from about 25 **kg to** 100 **kg ha-', with an** increase **in inorganic** particulate matter **from about** *a* **half** to **t hree-quarters of** tho total.
- $3.$ Concentrations of all major ions, except ammonium, sulphate and bicarbonate, in the stream-water increased five months **nrter** deforestation, Nitrate shm **ed a** 41 **-fold** and **56-fold** horease **in** the first **2nd** second **years** rcspctively afier clear-felling.
- 4. The **incroase** ii **cat** ions **was** explained **by a change** in **the** nitrogen cycle in the forest system. In the undisturbed **system,** any nitmte produced during decomposition **of plant rewins was** conserved **by uptake by** plerrt s **but *en** the vegetation was removed, nitrate plus associated cations were readily washed out of the soil. Hydrogen ions replaced the cations in both the decomposing organic matter and on inorganic **material 3.**

- Sulphate in stream-water decreased because of increased 5. run-off and elimination of sulphate generation within the system.
- $6.$ Stream-water temperatures were higher after deforestation and varied 3° - 4° C diurnally in summer compared with virtual constancy prior to felling.

The management practices used by Likens et al., prevention of regrowth of all vegetation after clear-felling by use of herbicide, no construction and use of forest roads and no removal of felled trees from their site, were very different from normal forestry operations in U.S.A. and in Europe so their results are likely to be of limited applicability generally. Sopper (1975) has placed them in the context of results from numerous other catchment studies in North America and concluded that sediment and turbidity problems can be minimized by careful siting and construction of logging roads, stream temperature changes can be avoided by leaving a narrow strip of trees or brush alongside streams: and nutrient losses following clear-cutting are small to negligible if rapid revegetation of the site occurs. The importance of a continuous vegetation cover and its associated litter layer in retaining nutrients on a site has been stressed by Thomas & Grigal (1976) for evergreen mountain laurel in Tennessee.

Tamm et al., (1974) also reviewed the effects of forest operations with particular relevance to Europe. They provide evidence that nitrate - N increases up to 8-fold in water from clear-cut areas particularly on the more fertile soils. Addition of N fertilizers, particularly ammonium nitrate, can lead to large increases in N content of stream-water and ground water. The fate of added nitrogen on clear-felling is debatable but the scanty available evidence suggests that

the soil plus vegetation will be able to retain most of it **on site.** Sopper (1975) concluded that use of N fertilizers leads to only temporary increases in the N content of run-off water. Phosphorus and other elements are lost in drainage water from peatlands particularly after fertilization with soluble phosphates (Tamm et al., 197μ). The latter authors also express concern that increased fertilizer use could lead to **marked chcnges in soil and** wator acidity,

iii) Removal of nutrients in **tirabor**

mng the **past 80 years or so, and** particularly **during** the **past 20 yecra, numerous dutc have been coUscted on** the amounts **of organic mtter and** nutrients **in** the various **parts of tmes of a various species grow5ng** under a **~de range of site** conditions **(@in& on, 1 962; Rede, 1 956;** Rodin & Bazilevich, I 967). **Ovjngtonls** (1959a, **1959b) study of Scots pine is one example of** this *type* **of work (?able** 5). **He felled a soriss of sam2le trees of** different ages all **growing on** virtually **the same** soil **type and** in **the sane** area **and** measured the wights **and** chelnical α composition of their component parts. He also gathered data on roots, **needle fzll and on** the **soil, He** ws **then** able to **estimte the** rates **of** accumula.tion of nutrients **in** different **parts of** *the* **tree and** in plant remains **as** v~ell **as** changes in *the* soil with **time.**

For comparison **with** the tree aata, **we have** assembled data **on** inputs of nutrients in precipitation (Table 5). These are **of** the same order **ns values &ven in** Table **3** for Moor House and published data for other parts of Britain, (Egner & Eriksson, 1958/1959; Madgwick & Ovington 1959).

Of the average annual uptake of nutrients over the 55 years, a high percentage passes into the leaves and ultimatoly returns to the soil surface in dead needles, branches and Some nutrient uptake is retained in the tree crown cones. and roots (not shown in Table 5). Only a small percentage, often less than 10%, is retained in the trunks. Clearly. average annual nutrient losses from the system in tree trunks alone, which can be regarded as losses from the soil, are very low and could be accounted for approximately by nutrient input in precipitation. However, precipitation supplies only part of the nutrient input. Droplets and fine dust particles (aerosols) originating from dusty roads, sea spray and other sources also contain nutrients and they enter the system even during dry weather. The size of this input at Thetford is unknown but White (1969) estimated annual aerosol inputs to a deciduous woodland near Grange-over-Sands to be 12520 kg 100 ha⁻¹ Na, 630 kg 100 ha⁻¹ K , 420 kg 100 ha⁻¹ Ca and 12 kg 100 ha⁻¹ P. The N input in Table 5 is also a minimum value as atmospheric N is fixed biologically by micro-organisms in soil and on leaves or as atmospheric ammonia-trapped in acidic plant residues. Ovington (1962) indicated that N fixation in forest may be about two-four times the amounts of N in precipitation quoted in Table 5, but Jones et al., (1974) , basing their calculations on nitrogen fixation measurements in in Douglas fir canopy and soil in the southern Lake District and tree data from Ovington's studies in southern England plantation (summarized in Ovington, 1962), estimated total N fixation of 757-1974 kg 100 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ with 58-76% of the fixation occurring in the tree canopy. Alexander (1974) gives a range of 0-3500 kg N 100 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ fixed in <u>Betula</u>, Pinus and Picea forest soils on tundra-type sites in the Northern Hemisphere. Brouges et al., (1969) recorded up to 4900 kg N 100 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ fixed in various moderately acid forest soils in Quebec.

Weathering of mineral soil and rock provides some nutrients but relevant data on rates of weathering per unit area under Differences between inputs field conditions are unavailable. and outputs of nutrients for a site may be taken only as a rough guide to weathering rates because of the complexity of each system, the usually low precision in measurement of system components and the existence of long-term trends in some None of the most relevant available input and components. output data for afforested catchments, four quoted in Likens et al., (1967) and one in James (1971), include estimates of all possible nutrient inputs and outputs.

Although production of complete nutrient balance sheets for forests is difficult, consideration of available data above as a whole leads to the conclusion that managed forest systems tend to accumulate nutrients and do not deplete the soil of nutrients excessively as suggested by Rennie (1956) who under-rated the importance of the various nutrient inputs to the forest.

Rennie produced some useful data on average nutrient uptakes by trees and parts of trees over 50 and 100 years and we have used these on a modified basis to estimate removal of nutrients in tree stems during forestry operations (Table 6). Rennie used published data on yield, nutrient composition and dry weights of trees in European forests as a basis for his calculations, the data coming from 42 original studies including trees in growth classes $I - V$ on predominantly acid sandy soils and some base-rich soils. Data were grouped into pines (two species), other conifers (three species) and hardwoods $(eight$ species). Rennie did not explain fully why he separated off pine data from other data, but he implied that this was because low nutrient demand was expected for this group.

Our estimates of nutrient removal (Table 6) exclude brush timber of less than 7 cm in diameter. If brush timber was removed from the site, the values given would have to be increased by about $37-59\%$ (Ca), $54-61\%$ (K) and $69-88\%$ (P). Figures produced by other authors for individual nutrients for other sites (Ovington, 1962) often differ numerically from those given in Tables 5 and 6 but all agree in stressing the low percentage of nutrient uptake removed in timber. Rennie did not provide data on N removal so rough estimates of loss in trunk timber were obtained from K or Ca loss and the ratios of N/K or N/Ca for trunks including bark assembled by Ovington (1962) (Table 6). Other published data, for example in Duvigneand & Denaeyer-de Smet (1970) and Rodin & Bazilevich (1967) , indicate that the ratios used in Table 6 are adequate in the limited context of this paper.

The applicability of Rennie's data to Lake District conditions may be questioned. In answer, one may say that the figures refer to trees growing predominantly on nutrient-poor sites, Rennie himself considered that the results were applicable to moor soils in Yorkshire, and his nutrient retention data are of the same order as data obtained and assembled for various tree species on poor soils by Duvigneaud & Denaeyerde Smet (1970). Our estimates (Table 6) are lower than the values quoted by Fournier (1972) who suggested that nutrient removals for a class I spruce plantation yielding 1500 m^2 of timber 100 ha⁻¹ were 663 kg (K), 1143 kg (Ca), 131 kg (P) and 1500 kg (N) 100 $\ln \sqrt[m]{x}$.

The data in Table 6 confirm the conclusion drawn from Table 5 that nutrient removal in tree trunks is of the same order as total nutrient input in precipitation over the life of the tree and indicate that conifers, especially pines, are less nutrient-demanding than hardwoods. However, as Rennie (1956)

stressed, nutrients are removed from circulation for many years in the woody parts of trees and this immobilization may have a major effect on the nutrient status of the site. Rennie^ts (1956) data for total uptake exclude nutrients in foliage and roots of thinnings and in litter but support our statements above.

Differences between nutrient cycling in coniferous and deciduous forests include nutrient return in litter. Litter-fall on a dry weight besis is 15-16% greater in coniferous forests than in deciduous forests and mineral content of conifer litter is about 35-36% of that in deciduous litter excluding Fagaceae $(\text{Bray } \& \text{ Gornam}, 196 \text{L}).$ Nutrients in conifer litter-fall per unit area, excluding N, are therefore about 41% of those for For sites growing conifers, the advantage deciduous trees. of low nutrient demand is offset by production of large quantities of nutrient-poor and slowly decomposing litter which may affect soil characteristics in undesirable ways $\{p, 2\}$ but which, nevertheless, may help to retain nutrients on a site (Thomas & Grigal, 1976). The reverse is true for many deciduous trees with other litters occupying an intermediate position.

Comparison of the effects of grazing animals and trees on the soil

The main differences and similarities in the ecological effects of grazing and forestry fall into two main classes, a) effects on the soil and b) removal or loss of nutrients from the system in animals or timber, in solution and as particulate material.

a) Effects on the soil

Soil compaction and/or disturbance by animals. Man and vehicles occur very locally on paths and roads with both hill faraing and forestry but this does not appear to be a major problem

over large areas **largely** because **of the** low **intensity or** frequency **of use** and **because** affected *meas* tend to recover naturally $(pp, 3 - 8 & 2) - 2$. Both uses also lead to changes **in** vegetation which **reflect back on** the soil $(pp_e 8 - 12 \& 19 - 23)$. Data are not available which **allow a** comparison **of chan~s in** soils and **vegetation** for **the two uses** in the **Lake** District.

Evapotranspiration is higher for forest than for non-forest areas (Douglass, 1967; Ovington, 1968; Rutter, 1968), largely **because of the** higher **evaporation in** forests, **trampifition ten&g to be the sane b forests and** grassland **in** *the* **Bdtish** climate (Rutter, 1968). This leads to a drier soil and lower run-off for forests than for non-forest. The tree canopy **together** with *the* **layer of'** plant **remains on** the **soil** surface, help to protect the soil from the direct impact of rainfall and from climatic extremes thus favouring root growth and activity. In contrast, on grazed non-forest areas, management tends to **reduce** the protective influmoo **of** vegetation and **plant** refiaba **by** encouraghg grazing **of tho award and** reducing the amount **of** pht **ramins on the soil stirface.**

Tree **roots undoubtedly bind** tho **soil on slqes** better than the *roots* of **hsrbcgo Species. It is** well-bow for. **exmple,** that gullying can be halted if trees can be planted around the rin **of the gully. Whether it is necessary to** plant **trees** h **a** particular **area to prokect the** soil against **erosive** influences must ultimately depend on the nates of erosion and **soil** fomtim under normal climate and the **frequency, severity and** erosive influence **of olimatic** extrenzes. **In** comercid. forests, the times of planting and timber extraction are likely to **be the** crikical **periods fo~** soil erosim **whereas on a grazed ares erosion** potential **changes** gradually **reaching a critical** point only when high potential coincides with extreme climate.

In general, tree roots extend to a greater soil depth than do roots of herbage species (Douglass, 1967; Rutter, 1968). This implies that more water and nutrients are potentially available to trees than to herbage species. In addition. trees appear to be able to exploit nutrients in the underlying rock by actively encouraging physical break up and chemical weathering in the rooting zone (pp. $19 - 2Q$).

Grazed upland pastures, unlike forest systems, do not accumulate a large store of nutrients in the standing vegetation so their nutrient cycling tends to be faster than that in woodlands, particularly where most of their primary production is diverted into the grazing animal (pp. $8 - 12$). Both forests and grasslands return large quantities of organic matter and nutrients to the soil surface annually and this return tends to counteract the leaching effect of high rainfall particularly in forests where nutrients tend to be brought up from Data given in Bray & Gorham (1964) the deeper soil or rock. and Rodin & Bazilevich (1967) together suggest that amounts of litter-fall may be similar for both land-uses in a given climatic zone. The rates of decomposition of leafy plant remains and of release of the contained nutrients appear to be highly variable but of the same order in forest and grassland $(\text{Later & Cragg, 1967; *hikola, 1954*).$ Liming, fertilizer additions and increased stocking on hill pastures encourage faster nutrient cycling whereas the very slow decomposition of woody material in forests tends to retard cycling.

Both hill-farming and forestry can produce changes in the soil. such as decreases in the organic matter or nutrient content and in available nutrients, which may be interpreted as deterioration. However, unless these changes lead to rates of erosion greater than the rate of soil formation they are not necessarily of major importance.

^b) Removal **or** loss **of** nutrients from the **systom in animals or** timber, **in** solution **and as** particulate **matefial**

From Tnble *6,* **sheep** production involves **far less** renoval **of** nutrients from the **hill than** forzstry, **even** for **N** which **is** muck more abundcmt in animal **tissues** than **in** plant **matorial,** Data **given by King** & Nicholean **(*19&) for Ca and P** removal **in** pines **(af'ter Rennie,** -1 956) **and in a sheep crop (their** om **estimates, p, 14 above) supports this 0** onolusf **on. The same** c onclusi **an** would **be reached** from our estimates, **even if** the **stocking** density **ma increased** to **¹⁰***ha'* , which **mi&% be** found under **very** intensive **farming or** on **small favoured** grazing areas with **muck lower ovorall st** ocking **densities** .

Any major disturbance to both systems such as ploughing, **burning,** road-making, fertilizing, tends **to result in a** change in **loss of soil** or nutrients in the-run-off Water. This **change is** particularly marked during site preparation and at felling time in forests (pp. 24-28), an aspect which may exaggerate the difference **in** nutrient **loss/removal between forests and grazed areas,**

Vie oonolude **from** *the* evidence assembles **above** that **the** vegetation, and to some extent the soil characteristics **of an upland** *area,* may **be changed to** meet the requirements **of s** chosen **land-use, but any** change **must be** mde **smoothly and a** continuous vegetation **cover** must **be** maintained **as 'far as possible to avdd soil** and nutrient **Loss.**

Some evidence suggests that natural inputs to the forest are greater than those to the grazed system (Davies, 1969; Minderman & Leeflang, 1968) but outputs, other than the crop are similar for both land-uses (pp. $12 - 15 - 42 = 32$, Roberts & James, 1972) when the ecosystems are undisturbed. This is reflected in the greater accumulation of nutrients in the forest than in the grazed system (pp. $28 - 32$).

36

Acknowledgements

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

We gratefully acknowledge the advice and information readily given by Dr. W. O. Binns of the Forestry Commission, Dr. M. J. Floate of the Hill Farming Research Organization and our colleagues in the Institute of Terrestrial Ecology in particular, Dr. D. F. Ball, Mrs. C. Cummins, Mr. A. J. P. Gore, Dr. O. W. Heal, Professor F. T. Last, Miss P. M. Latter, Dr. J. E. Satchell, and Mr. D. Welch.

bpendix: The effects **of land** use and manawntent **on upland ecosystems,** with particular reference **to** soils **in** the **Lake** District, Merle~vod **Research** and Development **Paper No.** *68.*

K. L. Bocock and **J.** K. **Adamson**

Summary and conclusions

These notes, based an a **paper by Bocock and Adamson,** summarise **the** main effects of land-use and management on upland ecosystems in the Lake District, with particular referunoe **to** soils. **Most of the** relevant data used **were** oollscted out **side** the Lake District . **Unless** we have indicated reservations about the applicability of data to Lake District conditions, the reader may assume that we have considered and accepted its applicability.

Particular enphasis is placed **on the** effects **of hill-farming,** forestry and recreation. Any or all of these may occur on a water catchment **and the** lakter **use** has few special features, **so it** will **be covered in** discussion **of** the other **uses.**

The altitudinal zone, which **is of** partioular interest **here,** lies between the intensively managed coastal plains and valley bottoms with deep, predominantly fertile soils and the virtually soil-less and unmanageable high mountain $tops$. Soils range from well-drained, **brown earths carrying <u>Agrostis-Festuca</u> grassland, frequently invaded** by Pteridium, on the lower fells, to nutrient-poor, often peaty, but well**drened soils** with **Calluna and vaccinium, or** poorly. aerzted **gleys** with with deep, predominantly fertile soils and the virtually soil-leand unmanageable high mountain tops. Soils range from well-drain
prown earths carrying <u>Agrostis-Festuca</u> grassland, frequently invacy
by <u>Pteridium</u>, on the stagnant bogs, dominated respectively by Eriophorum and Molinia, occur **on** level arezs **at** most **altitudes in** the zone **of** interest,

> The main effects of the grazing animal include treading of the soil **and** vegetation, **defoliation of' the** vegetation, **and** removal **of nutrients from** the ecological **system** in the animal crop,

The effect of treading varies with age, size, and breed of animal **involved,** *more* **specifically with hoof area,** the **pressure exerked on each** hoof, the nursber of **times, and the viay in which the hoof is applied** to the ground **per** unit area **and** per **unit** time, **and** the **pattarn** of moveaent **of** *the* &Lima1 within **an wea.**

The **fm** data available for hill **breeds and conditions and -extra**polation from data for lowlands, indicate that cattle tread two-four thos more he-lviu than **sheep, and their stride** *ad* hoof contact area are respectively three and four times greater. Sheep and cattle *truvel* about the same **distance per day, around** 2-5 **km. Such data suggest** that, with **the level. of stocking camonly found in MU1 mas,** 0.2-1.0 sheep ha⁻¹ or 0.2 cattle ha⁻¹, much of the pasture is trampled several **times per** year, **Because of** vegetation variation, **food** selection, and the characteristics of diurnal and seasonal movement **of animis,** trampling **is** ooncentrated **on** areas **of more** patdtable vegetation, $\theta \cdot g \cdot \frac{A}{B}$ rostis-Festuca, or on much-used paths.

Trampling **muse** soil ompaction **and aisturbance** and **damage to the** vegetation but may also be beneficial by creating new sites for establishment of seedlings, by firming seeds in the soil and by **prom&% tillering.**

Soil oornpaction **is** conoentrated **in the** top few **cms., of soil and is** greatest on soils rich in clay, organic matter and moisture and least **on** the **aell-dra5nad sandy and** stony soils, **It brdsto** changes in soil characteristics such as aeration, root penetrability, infiltration rate, and thermal characteristics, all of which can affect soil - fertility **and** vegetation composition **or performance.**

rn

Small agficultural **vehicles exert;' similar pressures to** those **oaloulated for** animnls **and** their **passage** has simil-r **ef i'ect s to those of** animal trampling, but is concentrated more on established tracks.

Soil compaction does not appear to be a major problem in grazed hill and upland areas because of low stocking rates, infrequent use of agriculturel vehicles, recovery of compacted soil under the influence of the frequent winter frosts and, in the case of animals, by the swamping of trampling effects by the beneficial effects of dung and urine deposition.

Soil disturbance occurs on much-used tracks, particularly on soils rich in clay, organic matter and moisture, on steep slopes where animals tend to slip and slide and for cattle rather than for the lighter-stepping sheep. Any disturbed soil is susceptible to erosion as can be seen on hill paths in wet weather. Hollows created on hill-sides by sheep action are focal points for sheet erosion. However, the extent to which the varying rates of erosion in the Lake District in the past and present can be attributed to the effect of animals is unclear.

Trampling and defoliation by grazing may result in damage to, and hence in reduced production by, plants. As plants differ in their sensitivity to damage and to changed soil conditions resulting from trampling, grazing animals can encourage changes in the vegetation composition which may lead ultimately to soil changes associated with changes in the type and amount of plant remains reaching the scil surface.

On hill-land ungrazed by domesticated animals. plant material eventually dies and forms part of the surface mat of plant remains. The type of decomposition which this mat undergoes under the influence of the high rainfall and low temperatures of upland areas, encourages high acidity and low nutrient availability in the upper soil. Grazing channels an increased proportion of the herbage through the animal and so reduces the supply to the mat. The effects of a reduced mat and of deposited dung and urine change the chemical characteristics of the upper soil, increasing nutrient availability and turnover. This, together with selective feeding by animals, particularly by sheep, encourages changes in the vegetation.

Assessment of the importance of the various practices and factors associated with grazing and which influence vegetation and ultimately the soil has not been carried out in the Lake District, but evidence from other upland areas suggests that intensity, timing, and location of grazing and use of fertilizers and herbicides are of prime importance.

A complete assessment of the importance of removal of nutrients in the animal crop cannot be made for the Lake District because of lack of data on inputs of nitrogen from various types of fixation, inputs of all nutrients in aerosols and from rock weathering, and outputs of all nutrients in run-off. However, available data, coupled with data from other areas, suggests that removal in animals is likely to be very small in relation to the total nutrient reserve in the soil and to input in precipitation. Phosphorus input in precipitation and output in animals are approximately equal, so, for this element, removal in animals may lead to an overall loss from the system when all inputs and outputs are accounted for.

Trampling of soil and vegetation is the main effect on soil associated Detailed changes are likely to be similar to those with recreation. caused by animal trampling, although few data have been collected in the Lake District, and data are not available generally which allow detailed comparisons of the effects of trampling by Man and by animals. . Trampling damage is not considered to be a major problem except on well-used footpaths, particularly on steep slopes, on wet peaty areas, and on the higher altitude ridges. Use of vehicles on unsurfaced tracks and paths has similar effects to those produced by Man's trampling and, currently, rarely produces significant damage in the Lake District.

The main effects of trees and forestry on the soil include those associated with tree roots, plant remains, soil disturbance during forestry operations, influences on run-off water quality and, removal of nutrients from the system in timber.

approved the

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 Λ_{+}

The soil stabilizing effect of tree roots is of particular importance on steep slopes. The zone of soil around tree roots is a site of The importance of the latter effect for active mineral weathering. forest in the Lake District is unclear. The presence of root channels in soil renders the latter more permeable to water, whereas root decomposition adds humus and nutrients slowly throughout the soil profile.

Plant remains on the soil surface buffer the soil against the effects of climate, particularly direct insolation and extremes of air temperature and rainfall. The rate and type of decomposition of plant remains influences the chemical and physical properties of the underlying soil. Conifer litter, like Calluna and Erica on moorland, has the reputation of causing physical, chemical and biological deterioration of soil. Whilst these effects remain somewhat controversial, and incompletely understood it is clear that they vary with soil type, site characteristics and tree species. Relevant local data are few and indicate tendencies towards acidity, low nutrient availability and podzolization in many upland soils but no clearly developed podzol profiles. Evidence from elsewhere indicates that trees, even conifers, will grow well on similar soils to those found in the Lake District without causing serious soil degradation, although they may cause the tendencies indicated above.

The maximum direct effect of forestry operations on the soil occurs during site preparation and harvesting, both of which involve use of heavy machinery which compacts and disturbs soil, partly because of its own weight, and partly because of its use in ploughing, draining or logging. Except for light cross-country vehicles and heavy trucks, such as timber lorries which can exert pressures on the soil of up to about 8 kg cm⁻², vehicle pressures fall in the range 0.2-4.6 kg cm⁻², about the same as the static pressures exerted by animals. One application of about 0.2 kg cm⁻² can reduce soil pore space by 80% and 10-20% of an area can be affected by vehicles during tractor logging. Data from other areas suggests that soil compaction by forestry is not a major problem, but that marked vegetation changes occur after ploughing, draining and roadmaking. These changes will ultimately reflect back on the soil.

Forests have an appreciable influence on the hydrology of a site, including soil moisture status and run-off. Transpiration rates in forest and grassland are of approximately the same order but forests intercept **up to half, but** more commonly around **2@40;6 of** precipilation, of'ten several tines the interception for **grassland, Intercepted** water is evaporated so the soil tends to be drier and less leached under forest than under dense grassland.

Site preparation leads to **a 103s of particulate** matter **and** nutrients in run-off, which may continue *for* **several** years **after** planting **of** the fo~st. **Rcdnaking** also incmases soil **en&** nutrient **loss frcm the site** temporarily. and a film

Felling, especially clear-felling, leads to increases in soil leaching, in run-off, and in the amounts of particulate material and nutrients in **run-off.** Soil and nutrient loss is only slight if logging is **carried out** carefully, ahd **if rapid** regrowth **of** herbaceous **vegetation occurs. Felling leads to increases of several** ^OC in mean soil and atream temperature and in the diurnal temperature range. Temperature ohanges will have **an** appreciable effect **on** the **numbers** and activities **of** fauna, flora **and** microflora **of** *these* habitats.

Fertilizers **and** herbicides **used in** fomstry, affect the quality **of the** run-off water only **slightly and** temporarily, **if they** are **applied** carefully. However, they will have some effect on the soil by altering biological activity or the type and amount of plant remains reaching the soil surface.

Forest **systems, in** contrast with non-forest systems, accumulate **a large** nutrient capital **in the** trees **themsulves and in** the plant **remains on, and in,** the **soil,** Factors **which** favour,this **build up include** evergreen oor~dition **of mny** forest trees, **resistance to decmpoaition of litter,** exploitation of a greater soil volume by tree roots than by roots of pssland **and** moorland **plmt** *^s*, **except** pe **rfiapa Yteridium,** greater **t** rapphg of aerosols and possibly greater minerel weathering under forest than under **non-f ore st.**

Wy a *few* gpercenk **of** the **nutrient uptake of** trees, often less **than** 1C\$, is retained in the trunk, and this is approximately the same as nutrient amountc **in** preaipitation, Brush timber contains nutrients equivalent to 40-90% of those in trunks of the main trees. Timber extraction therefore removes only a small fraction of the annual nutrient income **to a site,** but, nevertheless, removes muck nore - for **some** nutrient: **mom than** ten times more - than that **removed** h the minal crop,

To summarize the above, the characteristics of soils under different land uses and managements often differ markedly as a result of the use or management. When use and management are altered, soil changes occur as natural adjustmeats **of** the ecosystem to the factors applied. **These** changes rarely load **to** severe **deterioration** in **soil** quality, **or to** soil erosion, unless changes have been made suddenly and without careful **planning.**

Hoof area and pressure for sheep and cattle

Table 1

calculated on the basis that the live weight is distributed between two legs during walking.

Estimated from hoof area and live weights of 27-40 kg quoted by Rawes & Welch (1969) for Pennine sheep of more than one breed. $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$

Estimeted from hoof area and a live weight range of 300-500 kg for hill cattle (Welch & Cummins, pers. comm.). \mathbf{r}

Estimated from hoof contact area and mean hoof pressure. $\ddot{+}$

Contact area. \mathbf{S}

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\theta\,d\theta.$

 $\langle \rangle$ a

l,

Table 2 (Continued)

 $\overline{}$

l,

ý

Table 2 (Continued)

 \cdot

Part of the nutrient budget for the 83 ha Rough Sike Table 3. catchment at Moor House, modified after Crisp (1966). $(\text{kg } 100 \text{he}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}).$

والمستحقين

* Estimated from Crisp's peat nutrient content data and an average peat depth of 1.5 m (Rawes & Welch, 1969).

Table 4 Input of nutrients in precipitation and output of nutrients in sheep and wool in the Lake District and on the whole Moor House N.N.R. (kg 100 $ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$)

Lake District

- 1. Range of available data, after Allen et al (1968), Sutcliffe & Carrick (1973), White (1969), White et al (1971).
- After Rawes (1966). Stocking density 2.5 ha^{-1} . $2.$

 $3.$ After Crisp (1966).

After Rawes & Welch (1969). Stocking density 2.2 ha⁻¹. 4.4

- Range of available data for the Lake District, after Allen et al $1.$ (1968), Sutcliffe & Carrick (1973), White (1969) and White et al $(1971).$
- After Ovington (1959a, 1959b). $2.$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

REFERENCES

- Alexander, V. (1974) . A synthesis of the IBP Tundra Biome circumpolar study of nitrogen fixation. In Soil Organisms and Decomposition in Tundra. (Holding, \mathbf{A} , J., Heal, O. W., Maclean, S. F. Jr., & Flanagan, P. W., Eds.), 109-121. Stockholm: Tundra Biome Steering Committee.
- Allen, S. E., Carlisle, A. & White, E. J. (1968). The plant nutrient content of rainwater. J. Ecol. $56, 497-504$.
- Bates, G. H. (1935) . The vegetation of footpaths, sidewalks, cart tracks and gateways. J. Ecol. $23, 470 - 487$.
- Bayfield, N. G. (1971) . Some effects of walking and skiing on vegetation at Cairngorm. In The Scientific Management of Animal and Plant Communities for Conservation (Duffey, E_{\bullet} , & Watt, A. S., Eds.). Symp. Br. ecol. Soc. 11, 469-485. Oxford-London-Edinburgh: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Bayfield, N . G. $(1973a)$. Human pressures on soils in mountain areas. Rep. Welsh Soils Discuss. Grp. No. 14, 36-49.
- Bayfield, N. G. (1973b). Use and deterioration of some Soctish hill paths. J. appl. Ecol. 10, 635-644.
- Bibby, J. S. & Mackney, D. (1969). Land use capability classification. Agric. Res. Coun. Soil Surv., Tech. Monogr. No. 1.
- Binns, W. O. (1968). Some effects of tree growth on peat. $Proc.$ 3rd int. Peat Congr., 358-365.
- Blair, S. G. W. (1937). Compressibility curves as a quantitative measure of soil tilth. J. agric. Sci. 27, 541-556.
- Bormann, F. H., Likens, G. E., Siccama, T. G., Pierce, R. S. & Eaton, $J. S. (1974).$ The export of nutrients and recovery of stable conditions following deforestation at Hubbard Brook. E col. Monog. $\underline{11}$, 255-277.
- Bowns, J_{\bullet} , E_{\bullet} . (1971). Sheep behaviour under unherded conditions on mountain summer ranges. J. Rge. Mgmt. 24, 105-109.
- Bray, J. R. & Gorham, E. (1964). Litter production in forests of the Adv. ccol. Res. 2, 101-157. world.
- Brouzes, R., Lasik, J. & Knowles, R. (1969). The effect of organic amendment, water content, and oxygen on the incorporation of 15 N_o by some agricultural and forest soils. Can. J. Microbiol. 15, 899-905.
- Bryant, H. T., Blaser, R. E. & Peterson, J. R. (1972). Effect of trampling by cattle on bluegrass yield and soil compaction of a Meadowville loam. Agron. J. 64 , $331-334$.
- Chappell, H. G., Ainsworth, J. F., Cameron, R. A. D. & Redfern, M. (1971). The effect of trampling on a chalk grassland ecosystem. J. appl. Ecol. $8, 869-882.$

Chard, J. S. R. (1975). Soils and Man in the Lake District: the woodland scene. $Q. J1. For. 69. 67-74.$

Coleman, A. & Maggs, K. R. A. (1968). Land Use Survey Handbook. London: Land Use Survey.

Coppook, J. T. & Coleman, A. M. (1970). Land use and conservation. TT_{\bullet} The conservation of wildscape: a quest for facts. Geogri J. 136, 191-205.

Cory, V_{\bullet} L_{*} (1927). Activities of livestock on the range. $Bull_{\bullet}$ Tex. agric. Exp. Stn. No. 367.

Coulson, C. B., Davies, R. I. & Lewis, D. A. (1960). Polyphenols in plant, humus, and soil. I. Polyphenols of leaves, litter and superficial humus from mull and mor sites. J. Soil Soi. $11, 20 - 29.$

法调整 的现在分词

- Cresswell, E. (1957). A new technique for ranging behaviour studies. Br. J. Anim. Behav. 5, 119-120.
- Cresswell, E_{\bullet} (1960). Ranging behaviour studies with Romney Marsh and Cheviot sheep in New Zealand. Anim. Behav. 8, 32-38.
- Cresswell, E. & Harris, L. E. (1959). An improved rangemeter for sheep. J. anim. Sci. 18, 1447-1451.
- Crisp, D_{\bullet} T. (1966). Input and output of minerals for an area of Pennine moorland: the importance of precipitation, drainage, pest erosion and animals. J. appl. Ecol. 3, 327-348.
- Crocker, R. L. (1953). Soil and ecological problems related to chronic overgrazing. Int. Grassld. Congr. 1, 770-775.
- Darling, F. F. (1955). West Highland Survey: An Essay in Human Ecology. London: Oxford University Press.
- Davies, A. J. (1969). The distribution of wind-borne marine salts and their impingement on vegetation. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales.
- Davies, W. (1938). Vegetation of grass verges and other excessively trodden habitats. J. Ecol. 26 , $38-49$.
- Dean, R., Ellis, J. E., Rice, R. W. and Bement, R. E. (1975). Nutrient removal by cattle from a shortgrass prairie. J. appl. Ecol. 12, $25 - 29$
- Dimbleby, G. W. (1952). Soil regeneration on the north-east Yorkshire moors. J. Ecol. $\underline{10}$, 331-341.

Douglass, J. E. (1967). Effects of species and arrangement of forests In Forest Hydrology (Sopper, W. E., & on evapotranspiration. Lull, H. W., Eds.), 451-461. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

- Effects of trampling on the fauna of grassland litter. Duffey, E. (1972) . In Monks Wood Experimental Station, Report for 1969-1971, 64-65. Abbots Ripton, Huntingdon: Natural Environment Research Council.
- During, C. & Radcliffe, J. E. (1962). Observations on the effect of grazing animals on steepland soils. Trans. Jt. Mtg. Comm. IV and V Int. Soc. Soil Soi., New Zealand, pp. 685-690. Lower Hutt, New Zealand: Soil Bureau.
- Duvigneaud, P. & Denaeyer-De Smet, S. (1970). Biological cycling of minerals in temperate deciduous forests. In Analysis of Temperate Forest Ecosystems(Reichle. D. E., Ed.), 199-225. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Erodibility and erosion potential of forest Dyrness, C . T. (1967) . In Forest Hydrology (Sopper, W. E., & Lull, H. W., watersheds. Eds.), $599 - 610$. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Edmond, D. B. (1958). The influence of treading on pasture. А preliminary study. N.Z. Jl. agric. Dos. 1, 319-328.
- Edmond, D. B. (1962). Effects of treading pasture in summer under different soil moisture levels. N. Z. Jl. agric. Res.5, 389-395.
- Edmond, D. B. (1963). Effects of treading perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and white clover (Trifolium repens L.) pastures in winter and summer at two moisture levels. N. Z. J1. agric. Res. 6 , $265 - 376$

Egner, H. & Eriksson, E. (1955-1960). Current data on the composition of air and precipitation. Tellus 7-12 (20 papers).

England, G. J. (1954) . Observations on the grazing behaviour of different breeds of sheep at Pontyrhuad Farm, Carmarthenshire. Brit. J. Anim. Behav. 2, 55-60.

Federer, C. A., Tenpas, G. H., Schmidt, D. R. & Tanner, C. B. (1961). Pasture soil compaction by animal traffic. Agron. J. 53, 53-54.

Fenton, E. W. (1937) . The influence of sheep on the vegetation of hill grazings in Scotland. J. Ecol. $25, 424 - 430$.

Floate, M. J. S. (1970). Decomposition of organic materials from hill soils and pastures. II. Comparative studies on the mineralization of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus from plant materials and sheep Soil Biol. Biochem. 2, 173-185. faeces.

Floate, M. J. S. (1972). Plant nutrient cycling in hill land. Proc. N. England Soils Discuss. Grp. No. 7, 11-27.

Floate, M. J. S., Eadie, J., Elack, J. S. & Nicholson, I. A. (1973). The improvement of Nardus dominant hill pasture by grazing control and fertilizer treatment and its economic assessment. In Hill Pasture Improvement (Gething, P., Ed.). Proc. Colloqu. 2, 33-39. Henley-on-Thanes: Fotassium Institute Ltd.

Aspects of soil conservation in the different Fournier, $F. (1972)$. olimatic and pedologic regions of Europe. Nature and Environment Series, No. 5. Council of Europe.

Frame, J. (1971) . Fundamentals of grassland management. Part 10: the grazing animal. Sectt. Agric. $50, 28 - 44$.

Friends of the Lake District (1975). Report and Newsletter.

- Gardiner, A. S. (1968). The reputation of birch for soil improvement. A literature review. Res. Dev. Pap. For. Commn. Lond. No. 67.
- Gething, P., Newbould, P. & Patterson, J. B. E. (Eds.) (1973). Hill pasture improvement. Proc. Colloqu. 3 Henley-on-Thames: Potassium Institute Ltd.
- Gibbs, H. S. (1964) . Stability of hill country under heavy stocking. I. Soil stability. N. Z. Inst. agric. Soi. Proc. 10, 61-67.
- Gillard, **P.** (1969) . The effect of stocking rate on botanical composition and soils in natural grassland in South Africa. J. appl. Ecol. 6, 489-497.
- Gradwell, M. W. (1960) . Changes in pore-space of a pasture top soil under animal treading. N. 7. Jl. agric. Res. 3, 663-674.
- Grubb, P. & Jewell, P. A. (1974) . Movement, daily activity and home range of Soay sheep. In Island Survivors; the Ecology of the Soay Sheep of St. Kilda (Jewell, P. A., Milner, C., & Boyd, J. M., Eds .), $160-194$. London: Athlone Press.
- Hancock, J. (1953). Grazing behaviour of cattle. Anim. Breed. Abstr. 21, 1-13.
- Handley, W. R. C. (1954) . Mull and mor formation in relation to forest Bull. For. Commn. Lond. No. 23. soils.
- Harper, F . C., Warlow, W. J. & Clarke, B. L. (1961). The forces applied to the floor by the foot in walking. I. Walking on a level surface. Res. Pap. natn. Bldg. Stud. No. 32.
- Harper, F. C., Warlow, W. J. & Clarke, B. L. (1967). The forces applied to the floor by the foot in walking. II. Walking on a slope. III. Walking on stairs. Res. Pap. natn. Bldg. Stud. No. 32.

Hart, R. A. de J. (1968) . The Inviolable Hills. London: Stuart & Watkins.

- Hibbert, A. R. (1967). Forest treatment effects on water yield. In Forest Hydrology (Sopper, W. E., & Lull, H. W., Eds.), 527-543. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Hoover, M. D. (1944) . Effect of removal of forest vegetation upon water yields. Trans. Am. geophys. Un. 25, 969-975.
- Howard, P. J. A. & Howard, D. M. (1976). Studies on grazed and ungrazed high lovel limestone grassland. I. Quantities of organic matter and nutrients in litter, and of organic matter in soil. Merlewood Research and Development Paper (In press).
- Hughes, G. P. & Reid, D. (1951) . Studies on the behaviour of cattle and sheep in relation to the utilization of grass. J. agric. Soi. ± 1 , 350-366.
- Hughes, R. E., Dale, J., Mountford, M. D. & Williams, I. E. (1975). Studies in sheep populations and environment in the mountains of north-west Wales. II. Contemporary distribution of sheep populations and environment. J. appl. Ecol. 12, 165-178.
- Hughes, R. E., Milner, C. & Dale, J. (1964) . Selectivity in grazing. In Grazing in Terrestrial and Marine Environments (Crisp, D. J., Ed.), 189-202. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Hunter, R. F. (1962). Hill sheep and their pasture: a study of sheepgrazing in south-east Scotland. J. Ecol, 50, 651-680.
- James, D. B. (1971). Quality of water as affected by land-use. Welsh Soils Discuss. Grp. Rep. No. 12, 30-37.
- Jones, K., King, E., Eastlick, M. (1974) . Nitrogen fixation by free-living bacteria in the soil and in the canopy Ann. Bot. 38, 765-772. of Douglas fir.
- Jones, L. I. (1967). Studies on hill land in Wales. Tech. Bull. No. 2, Welsh Pl. Breed. Stn. ϵ .
- Keen, B. A. & Cashen, G. H. (1952) . Studies in soil cultivation. The physical effect of sheep folding on the soil. VI. J. agric. Sci. 22, 126-134.
- Untersuchungen über den Mineralumsatz in Klausing, $0. (1956)$. Buchenwaldern auf Granit and Diorit. Forstwiss. Zbl. 75, 18-32.
- The decomposition of Juncus Latter, P. M. & Cragg, J. B. (1967) . squarrosus leaves and microbiological changes in the profile J. Ecol. $52, 445 - 464$. of Juncus moor.
- Their Mammals of Britain. Lawrence, N. J. & Brown, R. W. (1973) . London: Blandford Press. tracks, trails and signs.
- Liddle, N. J. (1975). A selective review of the coological effects of human trampling on natural ecosystems. Biol. Conserv. Z, $17 - 36.$
- Liddle, M. J. & Greig-Smith, P. (1975a). A survey of tracks and I_{\bullet} Soils. J. appl. Ecol. 12, 893-908. paths in a sand dune ecosystem.
- Liddle, M. J. & Greig-Smith, P. (1975b). A survey of tracks and paths in a sand dune ecosystem. II Vegetation. J. appl. Ecol. 12, 909-930.
- Liddle, M. J. & Moore, K. G. (1974) . The microclimate of sand dune tracks: the relative contribution of vegetation removal and soil compression. J. appl. Ecol. 11, 1057-1068.
- Likens, G. E., Bormann, F. H., Johnson, N. M. & Pierce, R. S. (1967). The coloium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium budgets for a small forested ecosystem. Ecology, $48, 772 - 785$.
- Likens, G. E., Bormann, F. H., Johnson, N. M., Fisher, D. W. & Pierce, R. S. (1970). Effects of forest cutting and herbicide treatment on nutrient budgets in the Hubbard Brook watershedecosystem. Ecol. Monogr. $\underline{10}$, 23-47.
- Lull, W. H. (1959) . Soil compaction on forest and range lands. Misc. Publs. U. S. Dep. Agric., No. 768.
- Lutz, H. J. & Chandler, R. F. Jr. (1946). Forest Soils. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Madgwick, H. A. I. & Ovington, J. D. (1959). The chemical composition of precipitation in adjacent forest and open plots. Forestry, 32, $4.14 - 22$
- Marren, P. R. (1974) . Ecology and Recreation. A Review of European Literature. London: University College.
- McVean, D. N. & Lockie, J. D. (1969). Ecology and Land Use in Upland Scotland. Edinburgh: University Press.
- Mikola, P. (1954) . Experiments on the decomposition of forest litter. Comm. Inst. For. Fenn. 43, 1-50. $2\pi\eta_2\approx 1$ $\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{max}}$
- Miles, J. (1973). Early mortality and survival of self-sown seedlings in Glenfeshie, Inverness-shire. J. Ecol. 61 , $93 - 98.$

Minderman, G. & Leeflang, K. W. F. (1968). The amounts of drainage water and solutes from lysimeters planted with either oak, pine or natural dune vegetation, or without vegetation cover. P1, Soil 28, 61-80.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1966). Agricultural land classification. Tech. Rep. agric. Ld. Serv. No. 11.

Om strofallet i våre skoger. Medd. norske Mork, $E. (194.2)$. **TELESTOR** Skogforsøksv. 29, 297-365.

Northern Pennines Rural Development Board (1970). The Changing Uplands. London: Country Landowners Association.

Ovington, J. D. (1953) . Studies of the development of woodland conditions under different trees. I. Soil pH. J. Ecol. 41, 13-34.

isa nyaéta

Ovington, J. D. (1954) . A comparison of rainfall in different woodlands. Forestry, 27, 41-53.

Ovington, J. D. (1959a). Mineral content of plantations of Pinus sylvestris L. Ann Bot. 23, 75-88.

The circulation of minerals in plantations of Ovington, J. D. $(1959b)$. Pinus sylvestris L. Ann. Bot. 23, 229-239.

Ovington, J. D. (1962) . Quantitative ecology and the woodland ecosystem concept. Miv. ecol. Res. 103-192.

Packer, P. E. (1967). Forest troatment effects on water quality. In Forest Hydrology (Sopper, W. E., & Lull, H. W., Eds.), 687-699. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Page, G. (1968) . Some effects of conifer crops on soil properties. Commnw. For. Rev. $47, 52-62$.

Pearsall, W. H. (1950) . Mountains and Moorlands. London: Collins. Pearsall, W. H. & Pennington, W. (1973). The Lake District. London:

Collins.

And the state of the state

- Pelisek, J. $(197₊)$. Changes in the forest stands and soils in Nature in focus. No. $19, 3-7$. Europe.
- Quinn, J. A. & Hervey, D. F. (1970). Trampling losses and travel by cattle on sandhills range. J. Rge. Mgmt. 23, 50-55.
- Rawes, M_{\bullet} (1966). Productivity of upland grazings. $Proc. N.$. England Soils Discuss. Grp. No. 2, $34-10$.
- Rawes, M. & Welch, D. (1969). Upland productivity of vegetation at Moor House National Nature Reserve, Westmorland, England. Oikos Suppl. 11.
- Rennie, P. J. (1956). The uptake of nutrients by mature forest $growth_{\ast}$ Fl. Scil Z , 49-95.
- Roberts, M. E. & James, D. B. (1972). Some effects of forest cover on nutrient cycling and river temperature. In Research Papers in Forest Meteorology. An Abersystwyth Symposium, March 1970, (Taylor, J. A., Ed.), 100-108. Aberystwyth: The Cambrian News.
- Richards, B. N. & Voigt, G. K. (1965) . Nitrogen accretion in coniferous forest ecomystems. In Forest Soil Relationships in North America (Youngborg, C. T., Ed.), 105-116. Corvallis: Oregon State University Press.
- Rodin, L. E. & Bazilevich, N. I. (1967). Production and Mineral Cycling in Terrestrial Vegetation. Edinburgh-London: Oliver & Boyd.
- Rutter, A. J. (1968). Water consumption by forests. In Water Deficits and Plant Growth, 2, (Kozlowski, T. T., Ed.), $25-8\mu$. London New York: Academic Press.
- Rutter, A. J. (1972) . Evaporation from forests. In Research Papers in Forest Meteorology. An Aberystwyth Symposium, 1970 (Taylor, J. A., Ed.), 75-90. Aberystwyth: The Cambrian News.
- Schuster, J. L. (1964). Root development of native plants under three grazing intensities. Ecology, $45.63 - 70.$
- Sears, P. D. (1956). The effect of the grazing animal on pasture. Proc. Int. Grassl. Congr. 7, 92-103.
- Shaw, K. (1958) . Studies on nitrogen and carbon transformations in soil. Ph.D. Thesis, University of London.
- Sneva, F. A. (1970) . Behaviour of yearling cattle on Eastern Oregon range. J. Rge. Mgmt. 23, 155-158.
- Spedding, $C_* R_* W_* (1971)_*$ Grassland Ecology. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Speight, M. C. D. (1973). Outdoor recreation and its ecological effects. Discussion papers in Conservation. No. 4. London: University College.
- Steinbrenner, E. C. (1951). Effect of grazing on floristic composition and soil properties of farm woodlands in South Wisconsin. J. For. $42, 906 - 910$.
- Stewart, J. B. & Oliver, S. A. (1972). Evaporation studies at Thetford, Norfolk. In Research Papers in Forest Meteorology. An Aberystwyth Symposium, 1970, (Taylor, J. A., Ed.), 91-99. Aberystwyth: The Cambrian News.
- Sutcliffe, D. W. & Carrick, T. R. (1973). Studies on mountain streams in the English Lake District. II. Aspects of water chemistry in the River Duddon. Freshwat. Biol. $\frac{1}{2}$, 54,3-560.
- Sutton, R. F. (1969). Form and development of conifer root systems. Common. Agric. Bur. Tech. Commun. No. 7.
- Swank, W. T. & Douglass, J. E. (1974) . Streamflow greatly reduced by converting deciduous hardwood stands to pine. Science, 185, $857 - 859.$
- Tamm, C. O., Holmen, H., Popovic, B., & Wiklander, G. (1974). Leaching of plant nutrients from soils as a consequence of forest operations. Ambio, 3, 211-221.
- Taylor Page, F. J. (1957). Field Guide to British Mammals. No. 1. Birmingham: Mammal Society of the British Isles.
- Observations on the variability Thomas, A. S. (1959) . Sheep paths. J. Br. Grassld. Soc. 1_k , 157-164. of chalk pastures.
- Thomas, T. M. (1965). Sheet erosion induced by sheep in the Pumlumon (Plynlimon) area, mid-Wales. Brit. Geomorph. Res. Gp. Occ. Publ. 2, $11-14$.
- Thomas, W. A. & Grigal, D. F. (1976) . Phosphorus conservation by evergreenness of mountain laurel. Oikos, 27, 19-26.
- Tivy, J. (1973) . The organic resources of Scotland. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd.
- Tribe, D. F. (1949). Some seasonal observations on the grazing habits Emp. J. Expt. Agric. 17, 105-115. of sheep.
- Voigt, G. K. (1965). Biological mobilization of potassium from primary minerals. In Forest Soil Relationships in North America (Youngberg, C. T. Ed.), 33-46. Corvallis: Oregon State University Press.
- Wallace, D. H. (1974) . Cross country vehicles in forestry. Forest Rec., London No. 93.
- Wannop, A. R. (1965). Using Scotland's hills. The problem of fertility. Scott. Landowner, No. 119, 311-313.
- Weed, S. B., Davey, C. B. & Cook, M. G. (1969) . Weathering of mica by fungi. Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. 33, 702-706.
- Welch, D_{\bullet} (1974). The floristic composition of British upland vegetation in relation to grazing. Land, 1, 59-68.
- White, E. J. (1969). Aspects of nutrient income to the forest ecosystem and of the nutrient cycle within the forest. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Wales.
- White, E. J. & Turner, F. (1970) . A method of estimating income of nutrients in catch of airborne particles by a woodland canopy. J. appl. Ecol. $7, 441-461$.
- White, E., Starkey, R. S. & Saunder, M. J. (1971). An assessment of the relative importance of several chemical sources to the waters of a small upland catchment. J. appl. Ecol. 8, 743-749.

Forest Soils, their Properties and Relation Wilde, S. A. (1958) . to Silviculture. New York: The Ronald Press.

Wind, G. P. & Schothorst, C. J. (1964) . The influence of soil properties on suitability for grazing and of grazing on soil properties. Trans. 8th int. Congr. Soil Sci. II, 571-580.

Youngberg, C . T. (1959). The influence of soil conditions, following tractor logging, on the growth of planted Douglas fir seedlings. Proc. Soil Sci. Soo. Amer. 23, 76-78.

ţ

ŀ.