ELSEVIER



Polymer



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

Solvent- and solvothermal-induced phase transitions and crystallisations in syndiotactic polystyrene and multiblock copolymer syndiotactic polystyrene-*co-cis*-1,4-polybutadiene

Antonio Buonerba^{*}, Paola Rizzo, Carmine Capacchione, Alfonso Grassi

Dipartimento di Chimica e Biologia "Adolfo Zambelli", Università degli Studi di Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Crystallisation Phase transitions Polystyrene Semi-crystalline polymers Nanocrystalline structures

ABSTRACT

The complex polymorphism of nanoporous syndiotactic polystyrene never stops to amaze. Simple and rapid solventand solventermal-induced crystallisation of syndiotactic polystyrene (sPS) and syndiotactic polystyrene polymer segments in the multiblock copolymer syndiotactic polystyrene-*co-cis*-1,4-polybutadiene (sPSB) into the desired crystalline form have been disclosed and herein reported. Stirring polymer powders of sPS in the chloroform/ water solvent mixture allows crystallisation of the amorphous phase and the conversion of the co-crystalline δ forms into the highly crystalline δ form; variable combinations of water with other common non-polar solvents, or of chloroform with methanol failed in this transformation. The γ form has been obtained by solvethermal treatment of the δ form by simple refluxing in acetonitrile. Stirring the γ form in chloroform/water mixture affords its rapid conversion into the ε form with high crystallinity. Finally, applying the chloroform/water treatment to the β form yields the δ form with high crystallinity. The complex polymorphism of the sPS was also found in sPSB copolymer, even with short styrene segments. The thermal behaviour, in terms of melting enthalpy and temperature, was investigated at the variance of styrene content.

1. Introduction

Among the polymers of interest in industry, syndiotactic polystyrene (sPS) and poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (PPO) are, to date, the only ones to exhibit nanoporous-crystalline (NC) phases [1-4]. In particular, the NC phases of sPS show voids or channels [5–9] that are able to include low molecular mass molecules in the crystalline lattice to produce co-crystalline (CC) phases [10-19] (see Fig. 1). sPS is characterised by excellent physical and chemical properties such as high glass transition (T_g = 105 °C) and melting temperature (T_m = 270 °C), excellent resistance to the attack of strong oxidants, Brønsted-Lowry and Lewis acids or bases, good electrical insulating properties, good dimensional stability and low moisture absorption [1,20,21]. Remarkable is the crystallisation rate, which is higher than isotactic polystyrene and comparable to polyethylene [21,22]. The complex polymorphism comprises five crystalline phases denoted as α , β , γ , δ and ε [1,3,23–25]. Commonly, sPS crystallises in the δ form in the course of the solution polymerisation process carried out in toluene. The α and β forms [26-29] show the polymer chains in a trans-planar (zig-zag) conformation, while in the γ , δ , and ε forms [5,6], the polymer chains adopt a helical conformation. Thermal and solvent treatments affect the crystalline phase of sPS [23]. The α and β forms are obtained from the molten state by rapid or slow cooling, respectively [26,30]. The β form is also obtained by heating the δ form at temperatures higher than 150 °C [31]. The γ form can be obtained by heating the δ form at a temperature exceeding 110 °C or by crystallisation of the amorphous sPS induced by bulky solvents (such as 1-chlorodecane) [32], i.e. molecules too big to be enclosed as guest into CC phases [32,33]. The exposition of the γ form to chloroform vapour or dipping the polymer powder in the same solvent yield crystallisation in the ε form [34]. The crystalline structures of these crystalline forms, except for γ , have been elucidated by WAXD analysis of powders or films. The α and β forms are characterised by compact packing of the polymer chains in zig-zag planar conformation. The packing of the polymer helices in the δ form yields the formation of nanometric isolated cavities (diameter of about 120 Å, see Fig. 1), whereas the same conformation of the polymer chains in the ε form produces channels [35]. Various organic molecules can be hosted in the voids and channels of the δ and ϵ forms to create co-crystalline phases [14-17,36].

sPS and multiblock copolymer syndiotactic polystyrene-co-cis-1,4-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2024.126835

Received 20 November 2023; Received in revised form 1 February 2024; Accepted 21 February 2024 Available online 4 March 2024

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: abuonerba@unisa.it (A. Buonerba).

^{0032-3861/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Fig. 1. NC forms of sPS highlighting isolated voids and nanochannels, respectively, in δ (a) and ϵ (b) forms.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of syndiotactic polystyrene (sPS) and multiblock copolymers syndiotactic polystyrene-*co*-1,4-*cis*-polybutadiene (sPSB).

cis-polybutadiene (sPSB) have been applied as support of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) to produce interesting examples of confined catalysis. Actually, the peculiar properties of the polymer matrix, in terms of permeability to solvents and small reactants, allowed new reactivity patterns in organic synthesis [37-44]. AuNPs embedded in sPSB polymer matrix in the ε nanoporous form (AuNPs-sPSB) were successfully applied in the aerobic oxidation of various aromatic, allylic and alkyl alcohols [37,38,40,42,45]. The elective aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde was achieved with a complete conversion of the reagent in 6 h with selectivity of 97% [37]. The head-to-head comparison of geraniol and 1-butanol yielded different results under the same experimental conditions: for the former, conversion and selectivity were respectively 29% and >99%, whereas 1-butanol was not reactive at all. These findings were explained considering the different accessibility of the alcohol to the gold catalyst throughout the polymer support [39,46, 47]. This hypothesis was further confirmed in the study of the cascade aerobic oxidative esterification of cinnamyl alcohol with alkyl alcohols. Cinnamyl alcohol is rapidly oxidised to cinnamaldehyde following first-order kinetics; the hemiacetal resulting from the nucleophilic attack of the alkyl alcohol to cinnamaldehyde is further oxidised to alkyl cinnamate following zero-order kinetics [38]. The permeability of the alkyl alcohol is controlled precisely by the nanoporous polymer support in ε form. Another excellent example is the reduction of nitroarenes with NaBH₄ catalysed by polymorphic AuNPs-sPSB [39]. The polymer

support in the β and γ crystalline forms, scarcely permeable to small molecules, led to the partial reduction of nitrobenzene to azoxybenzene and diazobenzene. In contrast, the polymer support in the nanoporous δ and ε crystalline forms, highly permeable to nitrobenzene, efficiently catalysed its complete reduction to aniline [39].

Interesting selectivity patterns were also observed using nanoporous PPO as support of AuNPs. The advantage of using this polymer support comes from the commercial availability, its high thermal stability ($T_g = 211$ °C, $T_m = 268$ °C), for which it is mainly employed in electronics, structural parts, household and automotive items [43]. Heating of commercial semi-crystalline PPO powders at 170 °C or 220 °C for 5 h, as well as the solvent treatment with decalin, toluene or chloroform, produce amorphous PPO. Crystalline PPO is obtained through treatment with e.g. 1,2-dichloroethane or tetralin [4]. The AuNPs-PPO catalyst was successfully applied in alcohol oxidation in water as solvent [43].

Considering the intriguing reactivity patterns obtained with the gold catalysts at the variance of crystallinity of the polymer support, reaction solvent and temperature, we aimed to investigate the polymorphic behaviour of sPS and sPSB and herein reported [48–56]. The complex polymorphism of the sPS was also found in sPSB copolymers, even with short styrene segments. The thermal behaviour of these copolymers, in terms of melting enthalpy and temperature, was investigated at the variance of styrene content.

2. Experimental section

2.1. General considerations and materials

The manipulation of air- and moisture-sensitive compounds was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and an MBraun glovebox. Toluene (99.5%, Carlo Erba) was used as received or dried over calcium chloride, refluxed over sodium for 48 h and distilled under nitrogen atmosphere before use in moisture- and oxygen-sensitive reactions. Acetonitrile (99.9%, Romil), chloroform (99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), tetrahydrofuran (99.9%, Romil), methanol (99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), 1-chlorodecane (98%, Aldrich), potassium bromide (FTIR grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloethane- d_2 (TCE- d_2 ; Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. Syndiotactic polystyrene (sPS) and multiblock copolymers syndiotactic polystyrene-co-1,4-cispolybutadiene (sPSB) [48-56] were synthesised according to literature procedures (Scheme 1) [53]. Polymer composition [51], syndiotacticity [51] and average styrene block length [48] were determined by NMR spectroscopy, according to previous reported procedures [48,51]. The syndiotacticity for the polymers used in this study was higher than 95%. The in Polybutadiene (PB) segments showed mainly cis-1,4



Fig. 2. X ray diffraction patterns of sPS (on the left) and sPS₈₈B (on the right) powders: a) sample $1-CC \delta_{tol}$; b) sample $1-NC \delta$; c) sample $2-CC \delta_{tol}$; d) sample $2-NC \delta$.

Table 1	
Thermal,	solvent and solvothermal treatments of sPS and sPS88B.

Entry	Polymer ^a	Sample Nstarting crystalline form	1st treatment	Sample Nintermediate crystalline form	2nd treatment	Sample Nfinal crystalline form
1	sPS	$1-CC \delta_{tol}$	CH ₃ CN, rt	1-NC δ	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	1-NC δ
2	sPS ₈₈ B	$2-CC \delta_{tol}$	-	_	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	2-ΝC δ
3	sPS	$1-CC \delta_{tol}$	1) 280 °C, 2h;	3-am	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	3-NC δ
			2) −196 °C			
4	sPS88B	$2-CC \delta_{tol}$	1) 280 °C, 2h;	4-am	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	4-NC δ
			2) −196 °C			
5	sPS	1-NC δ	THF/H ₂ O	5–CC δ_{THF}	-	_
6	sPS	1-NC δ	Tol/H ₂ O	$6-CC \delta_{tol}$	-	_
7	sPS	1-NC δ	Cl-decane/H ₂ O	7-γ	-	_
8	sPS	1-NC δ	CHCl ₃ /CH ₃ OH	8-CC δ	-	_
9	sPS	1-NC δ	135 °C, 2h	9-γ	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	9-εδ
10	sPS	1-NC δ	CH ₃ CN, reflux	10-γ	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	10-εδ
11	sPS ₈₈ B	$2-CC \delta_{tol}$	CH ₃ CN, reflux	11-γ	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	11-εδ
12	sPS	1-NC δ	1) 135 °C, 2h;	$12-\beta$	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	$12-\delta$
			2) 280 °C, 2h;			
			slow cooling			
13	sPS ₈₈ B	$2-CC \delta_{tol}$	1) 135 °C, 2h;	13-β	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	13-ð
			2) 280 °C, 2h;			
			3) slow cooling			

^a Subscript number indicates the styrene molar per cent content.

regiochemistry (85–87 mol%) and 1,2-vinyl units (12–15 mol%). Average molecular weights were in the range of 40–50 kDa, and dispersity indexes (M_w/M_n) were in the range of 1.6–2.2, according to gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses.

2.2. Measurements and Characterisations

NMR spectra were recorded on AVANCE Bruker spectrometers: the chemical shifts are referred to tetramethylsilane as an external reference, using the residual protio signal of 1,1,2,2-tetracloroetano- d_2 (TCE- d_2). Wide angle x-ray diffraction (WAXD) patterns were obtained, in



Fig. 3. X ray diffraction patterns of amorphous sPS (on the left) and sPS₈₈B (on the right) powders: a) sample 3-am; b) sample $3-NC \delta$; c) sample 4-am; d) sample $4-NC \delta$.

reflection, with an automatic Bruker D8 powder diffractometer using nickel-filtered Cu K_a radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (64 scans, resolution of 2 cm^{-1}) were recorded as KBr disks on a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer equipped with deuterated triglycine sulphate detector and a Ge/KBr beam splitter. Diffuse infrared reflectance Fourier transform (DRIFT) measurements were performed by mounting a dedicated module on the FTIR spectrometer: the polymer gels were poured on paper sheet, in order to remove the water, loaded on the sample holder and rapidly analysed. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis was carried out on a TA Instrument DSC 2920 calorimeter (heating rate of 10 °C/min). The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a Netzsch TG 209 F1 (heating rate of 10 °C/min). GPC analyses were performed with GPCV 2000 chromatograph from Waters Instruments equipped a set of four columns (PSS-USA), a viscosimeter detector and a refractive index detector. The calibration was performed with PS standards with molecular weight in the range of 10^6 to 10^2 Da. The analyses were carried out using 1,2-dichlorobenzene as solvent carrier at 135 °C with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹.

2.3. Protocols for phase transformations

2.3.1. Emptying of the clathrated forms

300 mL of acetonitrile and 1.00 g of sPS were introduced in a 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar and stirred for 24h. The suspension was filtered, the polymer was washed with fresh acetonitrile, recovered and dried in vacuo.

2.3.2. Phase modification by treatments with solvent mixtures

A 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 7.5 mL of chloroform and 7.5 mL of water and under stirring were introduced 0.50 g of sPS. The suspension was kept under vigorous stirring (1200 rpm) for 120 h, poured in 200 mL of methanol, filtered, washed with fresh methanol and the recovered polymer was dried in vacuo. For the different solvent mixture treatments explored was maintained the ratio: 0.50 g of polymer/7.5 mL of non-polar solvent.

2.3.3. Phase modification by thermal treatments

sPS samples in γ form were obtained by treatment directly at 135 °C for 2 h, under a protective N₂ atmosphere. Polymers in β form were obtained from the melt by treatment directly at 280 °C of the sample previously converted in γ form, for 2 h under N₂ atmosphere, followed by slow cooling performed on the hot plate turned off and allowed to cool at room temperature. Amorphous polymers were obtained by direct treatment at 280 °C for 2 h under a protective N₂ atmosphere followed by quenching in liquid N₂.

2.3.4. Phase modification by solvothermal treatments

The conversion of sPS or sPSB powders in γ form by reflux in acetonitrile (depicted for the sample $10-\gamma$) is below reported. 1.00 g of sPS and 30 mL of acetonitrile were introduced in a 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, a condenser and an oil bath, heated to the reflux of the solvent and kept for 15 min. The suspension was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered, and the polymer was recovered by filtration and dried in vacuo.



Fig. 4. WAXD patterns of sPS powders: a) sample $5-CC \delta_{THF}$; b) sample $6-CC \delta_{tot}$; c) sample $7-\gamma$; d) sample $8-CC \delta$.

3. Results and discussion

The pristine sPS and sPSB samples used in this study were obtained by solution polymerisation processes in toluene (Scheme 1) that produces crystalline polystyrenic domains in the co-crystalline phase with toluene (sample 1-CC δ_{tol}); the corresponding x-ray diffraction patterns (WAXD) are reported in Fig. 2a and c, respectively. The treatment of CC δ_{tol} samples with a chloroform/water solvent mixture and the precipitation of the polymer in methanol followed by drying (CHCl₃/H₂O v/v = 1/1; see the experimental section for details) afforded the formation of the NC δ phase, highly crystalline, as clearly evidenced by the strong intensities of the x-ray reflection peaks located at $2\theta = 8.4^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = ~13.4^{\circ}$ associated to (010) and (101) crystallographic planes of NC δ phase [25] (entries 1 and 2 of Table 1; see the diffractograms b and d in Fig. 2).

The observed enhancement of the polymer crystallinity suggests the conversion of the amorphous phase in the δ form. To confirm this possibility, sPS and sPSB were amorphized by rapid quenching from the molten state (sample **3–am** and **4–am**, entries 3–4 of Table 1, diffractogram a and c in Fig. 3), stirred in chloroform/water, washed in methanol followed by drying: the formation of the NC δ phase was observed (sample **3–\delta** and **4–\delta**, entries 3–4 of Table 1, diffractogram b and d in Fig. 3).

The relative crystallinity of the pristine sample $1-CC \delta_{tol}$, determined from the WAXD data [57,58], was of 30%, and after treatment with CHCl₃/H₂O, for the corresponding sample $3-NC \delta$, the crystallinity reached the relative value of 43%. Starting from the amorphous sPS (ample 3-am), after treatment with CHCl₃/H₂O, the crystallinity was of 35%.



Fig. 5. Treatment of sPS γ form (thermally obtained at 135 °C; diffractogram a; sample $9-\gamma$) by stirring in CHCl₃/H₂O solvent mixture (diffractogram b; sample $9-\epsilon\delta$).

The mixture of chloroform and water was the unique able to address the formation of the highly crystalline NC δ form. Replacement of chloroform with other hydrophobic solvents, guest molecules for the sPS, such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene, leads to the formation of the CC δ phases (sample **5**– **CC** δ_{THF} and **6**– **CC** δ_{tol} , entries 5 and 6 of Table 1; Fig. 4 a and b). Different was the result of the replacement of chloroform with a bulky non-guest molecule, such as 1-chloro-decane [32]: the γ form showing the typical diffraction peaks located at at 2 θ = 9.3° and 2 θ = 16° was obtained by the treatment with 1-chlorodecane/water solvent mixture (sample **7**– γ ; entry 7 of Table 1; Fig. 4c). On the other hand, replacing water with another polar solvent, such as methanol, did not produce further improvement in crystallinity, leading to the formation of the CC δ phase (sample **8**–**NC** δ , entry 8 of Table 1; Fig. 4d).

The γ form can be converted into the ε phase by treatment with chloroform vapours [59]. Powder of sPS in γ form, obtained by treatment of **1**–**NC** δ at 135 °C for 2 h (sample **9**– γ , entry 9 of Table 1, Fig. 5a), even if finely grounded, were converted into a mixture of γ and ε forms by exposition to chloroform vapour for 14 days, accounting for the difficult formation of the ε form from bulk polymer powders. On the contrary, the treatment of **9**– γ with the solvent mixture of chloroform and water converted the total amount of γ phase into ε phase already in only 1 h (see peaks at $2\theta = 6.9^{\circ}$ and 8.2° associated to the diffraction of (110) and (020) crystallographic planes typical of ε phase [6]) with a high crystallinity degree too; the presence of δ phase was also identified by the strong intensity of the peak at $2\theta = 13.4^{\circ}$ typical of δ phase (sample **9**– $\varepsilon\delta$; entry 9 of Table 1; Fig. 5b). The presence of the δ phase can be ascribed to the crystallisation of the amorphous phase, as above



Fig. 6. Solvothermally obtained γ form (by reflux in CH₃CN) of sPS (on the left) and sPS₈₈B (on the right) and subsequent conversion in ε+δ mixture (CHCl₃/H₂O treatment). *p*–WAXD patterns of: a) sample 10-γ; b) sample 10-εδ; c) sample 11-γ; d) sample 11-εδ.



Fig. 7. DRIFT (a) and WAXD (b) analyses of the suspension in CHCl₃/H₂O of sPS, initially in γ form (sample 10- γ), converted in CC phases.

demonstrated (see entries 3-4 of Table 1).

Interestingly, the γ form can also be obtained via a solvothermal treatment. Refluxing sPS or sPSB in acetonitrile for only 15 min affords the quantitative formation of the γ form (samples $10-\gamma$ and $11-\gamma$; entries 11 and 12 of Table 1; see Figs. 8a and 9a), which can be transformed into the ε form by treatment with chloroform and water solvent mixture (samples $10-\varepsilon\delta$ and $11-\varepsilon\delta$; entry 10 and 11 of Table 1; see

Fig. 6 b and d); intense diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 8.2^{\circ}$ and 13.4° reveal the presence of δ phase also in these samples (Fig. 6 b and d). Refluxing sPS (sample **1**–**NC**) samples in bulky non-guest solvent molecules, such as 1-chlorodecane, 1-bromo-octane, ethyl nonanoate, 1-dodecene, 3,5-di-tertbutyltoluene, or 1-chloro-tetradecane, also induce the formation of the γ form.

To evaluate if the illustrated phase modifications occur during the



Fig. 8. Conversion of β form of sPS (on the left) and sPS₈₈B (on the right) into δ . WAXD patterns of: a) sample **12**- β ; b) sample **12**- δ ; c) sample **13**- β ; d) sample **13**- δ .

solvent treatment, during the precipitation in methanol or even at the stage of the solvent evaporation, the sPS suspension was analysed by diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFT) and WAXD. sPS in γ form (sample $10-\gamma$) was stirred in chloroform and water for 24 h, deposited onto a paper sheet to remove the excess of water, transferred to the DRIFT sample holder and rapidly analysed, identifying the formation of the ε phase in this stage of the treatment. Fig. 7a reports the DRIFT spectrum of the polymer gel attributed to the ε phase (diagnostic bands at 907 cm⁻¹ with shoulder at 914 cm⁻¹). The attribution was also confirmed by WAXD analysis of the same gel deposed on a glass slide (WAXD pattern in Fig. 7b labelled with diagnostic reflections). This information confirms the formation of the co-crystalline phases during the treatment with chloroform/water mixture.

Typically, the compact and scarcely permeable β form cannot be converted into other crystalline forms of sPS. Samples of sPS and sPSB were transformed into the β form by slow cooling of the melt polymers and treated with chloroform and water (entry 12 and 13, Table 1); unexpectedly, the conversion of this phase into NC phase was assessed (sample $12-\delta$ and $13-\delta$, Table 1; Fig. 8).

The sPSB multiblock copolymer, remarkably, present the same polymorphism of sPS (see Fig. 9).

Samples of the sPSB copolymers with styrene composition higher than 40 mol%, *i.e.* with an average styrene block length higher than nine units, are semi-crystalline [48,52]. Crystallinity in the sPSB samples was determined at variance of styrene concentration using the melting enthalpy in the DSC profiles (Fig. 10). As styrene concentration increases in the polymer samples at values higher than 40 mol%, the melting temperature gradually increases from 230 °C to 260 °C along with melt

enthalpy values as a result of longer syndiotactic polystyrene homosequences and ticker crystalline domains.

4. Conclusion

Solvent annealing is proven as a valid alternative to thermal treatments for addressing crystal structure and the crystallinity degree in sPS and sPSB samples. The treatment of polymer powders of sPS in the NC and CC δ forms with a mixture of chloroform and water led to a remarkable increase of the polymer crystallinity, likely because of crystallisation of the amorphous phase; this proposal was also confirmed by crystallisation of fully amorphous polymers. Alternative solvent mixtures were explored, replacing chloroform with suitable guest solvents for sPS (e.g. toluene, THF) or not guest solvent (1-chlorodecane), but they do not promote further enhancement of crystallisation of sPS. The treatment of the γ form with the chloroform/water mixture produces the formation of the NC ϵ form with enhanced crystallinity.

A method for obtaining γ phase samples has been described. When polymer powders in the δ form were stirred in boiling acetonitrile, the quantitative conversion in the γ form was observed. Likely, this solvent, at its boiling temperature, causes the lowering of the glass transition (T_g) of the sPS, allowing the transition of the δ into the γ form at a lower temperature (normally occurring at 135 °C).

The crystalline sPSB multiblock copolymers with styrene composition higher than 40 mol% showed the same complex polymorphism of sPS. The crystallinity and the melting temperature depend on the styrene content.



Fig. 9. Polymorphism of sPSB: WAXD patterns of sPS₈₈B with ϵ (a), δ (b); γ (c) and β forms.



Fig. 10. Variation of melting enthalpy $(\Delta H_m, \blacktriangle)$ and temperature (T_m, \blacksquare) of crystalline sPS domains in sPSB copolymers at variance of the styrene molar content and the relative length of polystyrene segments.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Antonio Buonerba: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Paola Rizzo: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Carmine Capacchione: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis. Alfonso

Grassi: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Ivano Immediata, Dr. Patrizia Oliva, Dr. Patrizia Iannece and Dr. Mariagrazia Napoli from Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy, are deeply acknowledged for technical assistance.

Financial Support from Ministero dell'Università della Ricerca (MUR, Roma, Italy; grant: PRIN 2017-CO2 as only source of carbons for monomers and polymers: a step forwards circular economy-CO2 only) and Università degli Studi di Salerno (Fisciano, SA, Italy; grants: FARB ORSA224812 and FARB ORSA239513) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- G. Milano, G. Guerra, Understanding at molecular level of nanoporous and cocrystalline materials based on syndiotactic polystyrene, Prog. Mater. Sci. 54 (2009) 68–88, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2008.07.001.
- [2] M. Galizia, C. Daniel, G. Fasano, G. Guerra, G. Mensitieri, Gas sorption and diffusion in amorphous and semicrystalline nanoporous poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4phenylene)oxide, Macromolecules 45 (2012) 3604–3615, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/ma3000626.
- [3] G. Guerra, C. Daniel, P. Rizzo, O. Tarallo, Advanced materials based on polymer cocrystalline forms, J. Polym. Sci. B Polym. Phys. 50 (2012) 305–322, https://doi. org/10.1002/polb.23035.
- [4] F. Auriemma, C. Daniel, M. Golla, B. Nagendra, P. Rizzo, O. Tarallo, G. Guerra, Polymorphism of poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene) oxide (PPO): Co-crystalline and nanoporous-crystalline phases, Polymer 258 (2022) 125290, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.polymer.2022.125290.
- [5] C. De Rosa, G. Guerra, V. Petraccone, B. Pirozzi, Crystal structure of the Emptied Clathrate form (δe form) of syndiotactic polystyrene, Macromolecules 30 (1997) 4147–4152, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma970061q.
- [6] V. Petraccone, O. Ruiz de Ballesteros, O. Tarallo, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Nanoporous polymer crystals with cavities and channels, Chem. Mater. 20 (2008) 3663–3668, https://doi.org/10.1021/cm800462h.
- [7] M.R. Acocella, P. Rizzo, C. Daniel, O. Tarallo, G. Guerra, Nanoporous triclinic δ modification of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polymer 63 (2015) 230–236, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.polymer.2015.02.058.
- [8] P. Rizzo, G. Ianniello, A.R. Albunia, M.R. Acocella, G. Guerra, Disordered nanoporous crystalline modifications of syndiotactic polystyrene, J Solution Chem 43 (2014) 158–171, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-013-0118-3.
- [9] A. Cozzolino, P. Rizzo, C. Gallo, R. Bianchi, C. Daniel, G. Guerra, Axially oriented guest induced crystallization in syndiotactic polystyrene unstretched fibers, Polymer 228 (2021) 123908, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2021.123908.
- [10] A.R. Albunia, P. Rizzo, M. Coppola, M. De Pascale, G. Guerra, Azobenzene isomerization in polymer co-crystalline phases, Polymer 53 (2012) 2727–2735.
- [11] P. Rizzo, A.R. Albunia, G. Milano, V. Vendiito, G. Guerra, G. Mensitieri, L. Di Maio, Crystalline orientation and molecular transport properties in nanoporous syndiotactic polystyrene films, Macromol. Symp. 185 (2002) 65–75, https://doi. org/10.1002/1521-3900(200208)185:1<65::AID-MASY65>3.0.CO;2-9.
- [12] P. Musto, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Host–Guest Interactions and crystalline structure Evolution in Clathrate phases formed by syndiotactic polystyrene and 1,2-dichloroethane: a Two-dimensional FTIR spectroscopy investigation, Macromolecules 38 (2005) 6079–6089, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma0477899.
- [13] A.R. Albunia, P. Rizzo, G. Ianniello, C. Rufolo, G. Guerra, Syndiotactic polystyrene films with a cocrystalline phase including carvacrol guest molecules, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. 52 (2014) 657–665, https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.23464.
- [14] P. Rizzo, A. Cozzolino, G. Guerra, Chemical Stabilization of Hexanal molecules by Inclusion as guests of nanoporous-crystalline syndiotactic polystyrene crystals, Macromolecules 52 (2019) 2255–2264, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. macromol.8b02168.
- [15] A. Cozzolino, G. Monaco, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Segregation of Benzoic acid in polymer crystalline cavities, Polymers 15 (2022) 177, https://doi.org/10.3390/ polym15010177.

- [16] A. Cozzolino, S. Pappalardo, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Linear hydrogen bonded aggregates of carboxylic acids in crystalline channels of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polymer 262 (2022) 125484, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2022.125484.
- [17] A. Cozzolino, G. Monaco, C. Daniel, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Monomeric and Dimeric carboxylic acid in crystalline cavities and channels of Delta and Epsilon forms of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polymers 13 (2021) 3330, https://doi.org/10.3390/ polym13193330.
- [18] V. Covelli, A. Cozzolino, P. Rizzo, M. Rodriquez, V. Vestuto, A. Bertamino, C. Daniel, G. Guerra, Salicylic acid Release from syndiotactic polystyrene Staple fibers, Molecules 28 (2023) 5095, https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28135095.
- [19] O. Tarallo, M.M. Schiavone, V. Petraccone, C. Daniel, P. Rizzo, G. Guerra, Channel Clathrate of syndiotactic polystyrene with *p* -nitroaniline, Macromolecules 43 (2010) 1455–1466, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma902502f.
- [20] L.S. Baugh, D.N. Schulz, Discovery of syndiotactic polystyrene: its synthesis and Impact, Macromolecules 53 (2020) 3627–3631, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. macromol.0c00350.
- [21] J. Schellenberg, Syndiotactic Polystyrene: Synthesis, Characterization, Processing, and Applications, 2009.
- [22] M. Malanga, Syndiotactic polystyrene materials, Adv. Mater. 12 (2000) 1869–1872, https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-4095(200012)12:23<1869::AID-ADMA1869>3.0.CO:2-#.
- [23] P. Rizzo, C. D'Aniello, A. De Girolamo Del Mauro, G. Guerra, Thermal transitions of ε crystalline phases of syndiotactic polystyrene, Macromolecules 40 (2007) 9470–9474, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma071640q.
- [24] E.B. Gowd, K. Tashiro, C. Ramesh, Structural phase transitions of syndiotactic polystyrene, Prog. Polym. Sci. 34 (2009) 280–315, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. progpolymsci.2008.11.002.
- [25] P. Rizzo, M. Lamberti, A.R. Albunia, O. Ruiz de Ballesteros, G. Guerra, Crystalline orientation in syndiotactic polystyrene Cast films, Macromolecules 35 (2002) 5854–5860, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma011853u.
- [26] C. De Rosa, Crystal structure of the Trigonal modification (α form) of syndiotactic polystyrene, Macromolecules 29 (1996) 8460–8465, https://doi.org/10.1021/ ma960905q.
- [27] M. Tosaka, N. Hamada, M. Tsuji, S. Kohjiya, T. Ogawa, S. Isoda, T. Kobayashi, Crystallization of syndiotactic polystyrene in β-form. 1. Positional Identification of Stacking Faults in the solution-Grown Single crystals, Macromolecules 30 (1997) 4132–4136, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma970024z.
- [28] K. Hodge, T. Prodpran, N.B. Shenogina, S. Nazarenko, Solid-state structure of thermally crystallized syndiotactic polystyrene, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 83 (2002) 2705–2715, https://doi.org/10.1002/app.10250.
- [29] C. De Rosa, G. Guerra, V. Petraccone, P. Corradini, Crystal structure of the α-form of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polym. J. 23 (1991) 1435–1442, https://doi.org/ 10.1295/polymj.23.1435.
- [30] P. Rizzo, C. D'Aniello, A. De Girolamo Del Mauro, G. Guerra, Thermal transitions of ε crystalline phases of syndiotactic polystyrene, Macromolecules 40 (2007) 9470–9474, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma071640q.
- [31] M. Tosaka, M. Tsuji, S. Kohjiya, L. Cartier, B. Lotz, Crystallization of syndiotactic polystyrene in β-form. 4. Crystal structure of melt-Grown modification, Macromolecules 32 (1999) 4905–4911, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma9810518.
- [32] P. Rizzo, A.R. Albunia, G. Guerra, Polymorphism of syndiotactic polystyrene: γ phase crystallization induced by bulky non-guest solvents, Polymer 46 (2005) 9549–9554, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2005.07.063.
- [33] P. Rizzo, M. Lamberti, A.R. Albunia, O. Ruiz de Ballesteros, G. Guerra, Crystalline orientation in syndiotactic polystyrene Cast films, Macromolecules 35 (2002) 5854–5860, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma011853u.
- [34] C. Daniel, T. Montefusco, P. Rizzo, P. Musto, G. Guerra, Crystallization from the amorphous form of the nanoporous ε form of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polymer 51 (2010) 4599–4605, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2010.07.043.
- [35] G. Milano, V. Venditto, G. Guerra, D. Chimica, L. Cavallo, P. Ciambelli, D. Sannino, I. Chimica, Shape and Volume of cavities in Thermoplastic molecular Sieves based on syndiotactic polystyrene, Chem. Mater. 13 (2001) 1506–1511, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/cm001089a.
- [36] O. Tarallo, V. Petraccone, A.R. Albunia, C. Daniel, G. Guerra, Monoclinic and triclinic δ-Clathrates of syndiotactic polystyrene, Macromolecules 43 (2010) 8549–8558, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma1013513.
- [37] A. Buonerba, C. Cuomo, S. Ortega Sánchez, P. Canton, A. Grassi, Gold nanoparticles incarcerated in nanoporous syndiotactic polystyrene matrices as new and efficient catalysts for alcohol oxidations, Chem. Eur J. 18 (2012) 709–715, https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201101034.
- [38] A. Buonerba, A. Noschese, A. Grassi, Highly efficient direct aerobic oxidative esterification of cinnamyl alcohol with alkyl alcohols catalysed by gold nanoparticles incarcerated in a nanoporous polymer matrix: a tool for investigating the role of the polymer host, Chem. Eur J. 20 (2014) 5478–5486, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/chem.201303880.
- [39] A. Noschese, A. Buonerba, P. Canton, S. Milione, C. Capacchione, A. Grassi, Highly efficient and selective reduction of nitroarenes into anilines catalyzed by gold nanoparticles incarcerated in a nanoporous polymer matrix: role of the polymeric support and insight into the reaction mechanism, J. Catal. 340 (2016) 30–40, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2016.05.005.

- [40] A. Buonerba, S. Impemba, A.D. Litta, C. Capacchione, S. Milione, A. Grassi, Aerobic oxidation and oxidative esterification of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural by gold nanoparticles supported on nanoporous polymer host matrix, ChemSusChem 11 (2018) 3139–3149, https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201801560.
- [41] A. Dentoni Litta, A. Buonerba, A. Času, A. Falqui, C. Capacchione, A. Franconetti, H. Garcia, A. Grassi, Highly efficient hydroamination of phenylacetylenes with anilines catalysed by gold nanoparticles embedded in nanoporous polymer matrix: insight into the reaction mechanism by kinetic and DFT investigations, J. Catal. 400 (2021) 71–82, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.05.024.
- [42] A. Buonerba, A. Grassi, Trends in Sustainable synthesis of organics by gold nanoparticles embedded in polymer matrices, Catalysts 11 (2021) 714, https://doi. org/10.3390/catal11060714.
- [43] A. Buonerba, A. Noschese, C. Capacchione, A. Grassi, Gold nanoparticles supported on poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) as robust, selective and cost-effective catalyst for aerobic oxidation and direct esterification of alcohols, ChemCatChem (2022) e20220033, https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.202200338.
- [44] A. Buonerba, P. Canton, C. Capacchione, A. Grassi, Synthesis and Tuning of gold nanoparticles supported on polymorphic semicrystalline nanoporous polymer for Catalytic aerobic oxidation of alcohols, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2022 (2022) e202200466, https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202200466.
- [45] A. Buonerba, P. Canton, C. Capacchione, A. Grassi, Synthesis and Tuning of gold nanoparticles supported on polymorphic semicrystalline nanoporous polymer for Catalytic aerobic oxidation of 1-Phenylethanol, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2022), https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202200466 n/a.
- [46] A. Mancuso, O. Sacco, V. Venditto, W. Navarra, P. Antico, C. Daniel, V. Vaiano, Selective absorption of aromatic compounds by syndiotactic polystyrene Aerogels, Macromol. Symp. 408 (2023) 2200062, https://doi.org/10.1002/ masv.202200062.
- [47] Y. Yamamoto, Y. Nakai, T. Katsumata, K. Tsutsui, Y. Tsujita, H. Yoshimizu, S. Okamoto, Structure and properties of the mesophase of syndiotactic polystyrene V: preferential sorption performance of the mesophase for aromatic hydrocarbons, J. Mol. Struct. 739 (2005) 13–17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molstruc.2004.08.026.
- [48] A. Buonerba, F.D. Monica, V. Speranza, C. Capacchione, S. Milione, A. Grassi, Thinfilm nanostructure and polymer architecture in semicrystalline syndiotactic poly(pmethylstyrene)–(cis-1,4-polybutadiene) multiblock copolymers, Polym. Int. 68 (2019) 1681–1687, https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.5871.
- [49] A. Grassi, M. Caprio, A. Zambelli, D.E. Bowen, Synthesis and charactenzation of syndiotactic styrene-ethylene block copolymers, Macromolecules 33 (2000) 8130–8135, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma000876q.
- [50] A. Zambelli, M. Caprio, A. Grassi, D.E. Bowen, Syndiotactic styrene-butadiene block copolymers synthesized with CpTiX3/MAO (Cp = C5H5, X = Cl, F; Cp = C5Me5, X = Me) and TiXn/MAO (n = 3, X = acac; n = 4, X = O-tert-Bu), Macromol. Chem. Phys. 201 (2000) 393–400, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI) 1521-3935(20000201)201:4<393:AID-MACP393>3.0.CO;2-0.
- [51] M. Caprio, M.C. Serra, D.E. Bowen, A. Grassi, Structural characterization of novel styrene-butadiene block copolymers containing syndiotactic styrene homosequences, Macromolecules 35 (2002) 9315–9322, https://doi.org/10.1021/ ma021014n.
- [52] C. Cuomo, M.C. Serra, M.G. Maupoey, A. Grassi, Copolymerization of styrene with butadiene and isoprene catalyzed by the monocyclopentadienyl titanium complex Ti(η5-C5H 5)(η2-MBMP)Cl, Macromolecules 40 (2007) 7089–7097, https://doi. org/10.1021/ma071312q.
- [53] A. Buonerba, C. Cuomo, V. Speranza, A. Grassi, Crystalline syndiotactic polystyrene as reinforcing agent of cis-1,4-Polybutadiene rubber, Macromolecules 43 (2010) 367–374, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma901859e.
- [54] A. Buonerba, M. Fienga, S. Milione, C. Cuomo, A. Grassi, A. Proto, C. Capacchione, Binary copolymerization of p -methylstyrene with butadiene and isoprene catalyzed by titanium compounds showing different stereoselectivity, Macromolecules 46 (2013) 8449–8457, https://doi.org/10.1021/ma401621v.
- [55] A. Buonerba, V. Speranza, P. Canton, C. Capacchione, S. Milione, A. Grassi, Novel nanostructured semicrystalline ionomers by chemoselective sulfonation of multiblock copolymers of syndiotactic polystyrene with polybutadiene, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 60158–60167, https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra13253j.
- [56] R. Vitiello, F. Taddeo, V. Russo, R. Turco, A. Buonerba, A. Grassi, M. Di Serio, R. Tesser, Production of Sustainable Biochemicals by Means of esterification reaction and Heterogeneous acid catalysts, ChemEngineering 5 (2021), https://doi. org/10.3390/chemengineering5030046.
- [57] G. Natta, P. Corradini, M. Cesari, Quantitative determination of the crystallinity of polypropylene, in: G. NATTA, F. DANUSSO (Eds.), Stereoregular Polymers and Stereospecific Polymerizations, Pergamon, 1967, p. 179, https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-1-4831-9883-5.50029-5.
- [58] İ. Uzun, Methods of determining the degree of crystallinity of polymers with X-ray diffraction: a review, J. Polym. Res. 30 (2023) 394, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10965-023-03744-0.
- [59] C. Daniel, T. Montefusco, P. Rizzo, P. Musto, G. Guerra, Crystallization from the amorphous form of the nanoporous *e* form of syndiotactic polystyrene, Polymer 51 (2010) 4599–4605, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2010.07.043.