Hospitalaria

Comunicaciones breves

Socioeconomic status influences physical fitness in European adolescents independently of body fat and physical activity: the HELENA Study

D. Jiménez Pavón[1,2], F. B. Ortega[2,3], J. R. Ruiz[3], V. España Romero[2,3], E. García Artero[2], D. Moliner Urdiales[3,4], S. Gómez Martínez[5], G. Vicente Rodríguez[4], Y. Manios[6], L. Béghin[7], J. Répasy[8], M. Sjöstrom[3], L. A. Moreno[4], M. González Gross[1], M. J. Castillo[2] on behalf of the HELENA Study group

[1]Department of Health and Human Performance. Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Sciences-INEF. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. Spain. [2]Department of Medical Physiology. School of Medicine. University of Granada. Spain. [3]Unit for preventive nutrition. Department of Biosciences and Nutrition. Karolinska Institutet. Sweden. [4]GENUD (Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development) Research Group. E.U. Ciencias de la Salud. Universidad de Zaragoza. Spain. [5]Immunonutrition Research Group. Department of Metabolism and Nutrition. Instituto del Frio. Institute of Food Science. Technology and Nutrition (ICTAN). Spanish National Research Council (CSIC). Madrid, Spain. [6]Department of Nutrition and Dietetics. Harokopio University. Athens. Greece. [7]Université Lille 2 Droit et Santé & Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. Cystic Fibrosis Center. Lille. France. [8]Department of Paediatrics. University of Pécs. Hungary.

Abstract

Introduction: **The influence of socioeconomic status on health-related fitness is not clear.**

Aim: **To examine the influence of socioeconomic status on health-related fitness in adolescents.**

Methods: **A total of 3,259 adolescents (15.0 ± 1.3 y) from the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study (HELENA-CSS) participated in the study. Socioeconomic status was assessed by the family affluence scale (FAS). Speed-agility, muscular strength and cardiorespiratory fitness were assessed. Covariates included total body fat, physical activity and pubertal status.**

Results: **Adolescents with high FAS had significantly higher fitness levels than their peers of lower FAS categories except for speed-agility and handgrip in boys. Overall, the associations observed presented a medium to large effect size.**

Conclusion: **These results suggest that socioeconomic status is positively associated with physical fitness in European adolescents independently of total body fat and habitual physical activity.**

(*Nutr Hosp*. 2010;25:311-316)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2010.25.2.4596

Key words: *Socioeconomic status. Physical fitness. Physical activity. Total body fat.*

Correspondence: David Jiménez Pavón.
Department of Physiology. School of Medicine.
University of Granada.
Avd. Madrid, s/n.
18012 Granada. Spain.
E-mail: davidjimenez@ugr.es

Recibido: 14-XI-2009.
Aceptado: 22-XI-2009.

EL ESTATUS SOCIOECONÓMICO INFLUENCIA LA CONDICIÓN FÍSICA EN ADOLESCENTES EUROPEOS. EL ESTUDIO HELENA

Resumen

Introducción: **La influencia del estatus socioeconómico sobre la condición física en relación con la salud no está clara.**

Objetivo: **Examinar la influencia del estatus socioeconómico sobre la condición física en relación con la salud en adolescentes.**

Metodología: **Un total de 3259 adolescentes (15,0 ± 1,3 años) del "Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study" (HELENA-CSS) participaron en el estudio. El estatus socioeconómico fue medido con una escala de riqueza familiar "family affluence scale (FAS)". Se midieron velocidad-agilidad, fuerza muscular y capacidad aeróbica. Las covariables incluidas fueron grasa corporal total, actividad física y estadio madurativo.**

Resultados: **Los adolescentes con alto FAS tuvieron significativamente mayores niveles de condición física que aquellos con bajo FAS exceptuando los tests de velocidad-agilidad y fuerza de prensión manual en chicos. En general, las asociaciones observadas presentaron un efecto del tamaño de la muestra (effect size) entre medio y largo.**

Conclusión: **Estos resultados sugieren que el estatus socioeconómico esta positivamente asociado con la condición física en adolescentes Europeos independientemente de la grasa corporal total y el nivel de actividad física.**

(*Nutr Hosp*. 2010;25:311-316)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2010.25.2.4596

Palabras clave: *Estatus socioeconómico. Condición física. Actividad física y grasa corporal total.*

Introduction

Speed-agility, muscular fitness, and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) are considered important health-related markers already in youth.[1,2] Genetics greatly determines physical fitness[3], but there is little doubt that environmental factors also play an important role. Socioeconomic status is associated with several health outcomes (e.g., birth weight, obesity, diet, etc.)[4,5] and with mortality.[6] To better understand the specific role of different indicators of socioeconomic status on health-related fitness markers will enable a more efficient physical fitness promotion. In this regard, the association between socioeconomic status and fitness was investigated in Portuguese[7] and Irish[8] youth with contradictory results. In Portuguese adolescents, the socioeconomic status was inversely associated with fitness in boys but positively in girls.[7] However, in Irish youth there was a positive association of socioeconomic status with fitness.[8] These previous findings highlight that both social and cultural contexts are often country-specific, so studies from a widespread vision and including populations from different countries are required to facilitate a better understanding.

The Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study (HELENA-CSS) used harmonised and well standardised methods of measurement in nine European countries and previous workshops were organised in order to guarantee this process. Therefore the HELENA-CSS provides a good opportunity to explore the relationship between socioeconomic status and physical fitness in European adolescents (see annex 2). The aim of this study was to examine the influence of socioeconomic status on health-related physical fitness (speed-agility, muscular fitness, and CRF) in urban European adolescents.

Methods

The HELENA-CSS study is a multi-centre study aiming to obtain reliable data from European adolescents aged 12.5 to 17.5 years about nutritional habits and patterns, body composition and levels of physical activity and fitness (see annex 2). The total sample of the HELENA-CSS was 3,528 adolescents and the present work comprised 3,259 (1,558 boys and 1,701 girls) adolescents with valid data on socioeconomic status and at least one physical fitness test. More details about the sampling procedures, preparation of the field teams, pilot study and reliability of the data can be found elsewhere (see annex 2).

Ten cities in nine different European countries were chosen due to an existing network of research groups and a rough geographical balance across Europe; Stockholm (Sweden), Athens (Greece), Heraklion (Greece), Rome (Italy), Zaragoza (Spain), Pecs (Hungary), Ghent (Belgium) Lille (France), Dortmund (Germany) and Vienna (Austria). Signed informed consent was obtained from all participants and their parents, and the protocol was approved by the Human Research Review Committees of the involved centres (see annex 2).

Socioeconomic status

The Family Affluence Scale (FAS) is based on the concept of material conditions in the family to base the selection of items. Currie et al.[9] chose a set of items which reflected family expenditure and consumption that were relevant to family circumstances. Possessing these items was considered to reflect affluence and their lack, on the other hand, material deprivation. FAS was used in the present study as an index of socioeconomic status,[10] which includes 4 questions answered by the adolescent: Do you have your own bedroom?; How many cars are there in your family?; How many PCs are there in your home?; Do you have internet access at home? We defined low, medium and high socioeconomic status based on the final score obtained from the four questions. That is, we give a numerical value to each possible answer in the four questions. Then we summed the final score from all the questions being ranged from 0 to 8. Finally, we grouped these scores in three levels: low (from 0 to 2), medium (from 3 to 5) and high (from 6 to 8).

Physical fitness

Speed-agility was assessed with the 4 x 10 m shuttle run test. Upper-body muscular strength was assessed with the handgrip strength and the bent arm hang tests. Lower-body muscular strength was assessed with the standing long jump, the squat jump, the counter movement jump and the Abalakov jump tests. The Infrared Platform ERGO JUMP Plus-BOSCO SYSTEM (Byomedic, S.C.P., Barcelona, Spain) was used for the jump assessment. CRF was assessed by the 20 m shuttle run test. More detailed information about the fitness testing protocol has been published elsewhere (see annex 2).

Covariates

Following standard procedures (see annex 2), weight was measured in underwear and without shoes with an electronic scale (Type SECA 861) to the nearest 0.05 kg, and height was measured barefoot in the Frankfort plane with a telescopic height measuring instrument (Type SECA 225) to the nearest 0.1 cm. Skinfold thickness was measured to the nearest 0.2 mm in triplicate in the left side at biceps, triceps, subscapular, suprailiac, thigh, and medial calf with a Holtain Caliper (Crymmych, UK).[11] The Actigraph accelerometer (Actigraph MTI, model GT1M, Manufacturing

Technology Inc., Fort Walton Beach, FL, USA) was used to assess physical activity and expressed as counts/min.[12] Adolescents were asked to wear the accelerometer during the daytime for 7 consecutive days, except during water based activities. The criterion for inclusion was to record at least 8 h per day, for at least 3 days.[13] A total of 2,208 (68% of the total) adolescents (1,192 girls) reported valid data of accelerometry. Pubertal status was assessed by a medical doctor according to Tanner stages.[14]

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as means (standard deviation). To achieve normality in the residuals, handgrip, bent arm hang, squat jump, counter movement jump, Abalakov jump, and sum of skinfold thickness were transformed to the natural logarithm. The associations between FAS and physical fitness were assessed by one-way analysis of covariance with FAS entered as fixed factor and the fitness tests as dependent variables. Age, height, total body fat and physical activity were entered as covariates. Effect size statistics is a measure of the magnitude of effect and in this study was assessed using Cohen's *d* (standardized mean difference) and 95% confidence interval.[15] Taking into account the cut-off established by Cohen, the effect size (Cohen'd) can be small (~0.2), medium (~0.5) or large (~0.8). We analysed possible differences in age, weight, height and BMI (variables available for the whole study sample) between adolescents with complete valid data (1,411) and missing data. No differences were observed in the variables studied. The analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, v. 15.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and the level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Table I shows the associations between FAS and physical fitness by sex. In boys, those with high FAS performed better in bent arm hang, standing long jump, squat jump, counter movement jump, Abalakov jump or 20 m shuttle run test (all $P \leq 0.05$). FAS was not associated with the 4 x 10 m shuttle run test or handgrip strength. Small effect sizes were observed for the standing long jump test in boys with high FAS compared to those with low FAS, whereas medium to large effect sizes were observed for the bent arm hang, squat jump, Abalakov jump, counter movement jump and 20m shuttle run tests.

Table I
Association between family affluence scale and physical fitness, after adjusting for age, height, skinfold thickness and physical activity

		Family afluence scale				*Effect size*		
Fitness Tests	*n*	*Low (L)*	*Medium (M)*	*High (H)*	*P*	*L-M*	*M-H*	*L-H*
Boys								
4 x 10 m shuttle run test (s)	921	11.6 (0.9)	11.4 (0.9)	11.4 (0.9)	0.207	0.2 (0.04; 0.45)	0.0 (-0.14; 0.14)	0.2 (0.01; 0.39)
Handgrip (kg)[a]	942	69.6 (12.0)	70.8 (12.0)	70.7 (12.0)	0.352	0.1 (-0.05; 0.26)	0.1 (-0.04; 0.23)	0.0 (-0.18; 0.21)
Bent arm hang (s)[a]	902	18.4 (16.0)	21.9 (15.9)	24.8[†§] (16.0)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.23; 0.55)	0.1 (-0.02; 0.25)	0.5 (0.31; 0.71)
Standing long jump (cm)	933	179.1 (26.2)	185.1 (26.1)	186.5[†] (26.2)	0.05	0.2 (0.02; 0.38)	0.1(-0.08; 0.19)	0.3 (0.09; 0.48)
Squat Jump (cm)[a]	868	22.5 (7.0)	24.9* (7.0)	26.9[†§] (7.0)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.19; 0.51)	0.3 (0.13; 0.41)	0.6 (0.41; 0.83)
Counter Movement Jump (cm)[a]	868	24.5 (6.7)	28.0* (6.7)	29.8[†§] (6.7)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.35; 0.68)	0.3 (0.13; 0.41)	0.8 (0.56; 0.99)
Abalakov Jump (cm)[a]	867	30.6 (7.1)	34.2* (7.0)	35.0[†§] (7.0)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.21; 0.54)	0.3 (0.12; 0.40)	0.6 (0.43; 0.85)
20m shuttle run (stage)	820	5.8 (2.6)	6.8* (2.6)	7.2[†§] (2.6)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.24; 0.48)	0.2 (0.01; 0.30)	0.6 (0.35; 0.78)
Girls								
4 x 10 m shuttle run test (s)	1060	13.4 (1.2)	12.8* (1.2)	12.8[†§] (1.2)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.33; 0.62)	0.3 (-0.13; 0.39)	0.7 (0.55; 0.92)
Handgrip (kg)[a]	1093	51.3 (8.5)	50.8 (8.4)	52.3[§] (8.5)	< 0.05	0.1 (-0.08; 0.20)	0.2 (-0.05; 0.31)	0.1 (-0.06; 0.30)
Bent arm hang (s)[a]	1048	7.3 (14.5)	8.5 (14.4)	9.8 (14.5)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.16; 0.46)	0.3 (0.16; 0.43)	0.6 (0.42; 0.79)
Standing long jump (cm)	1085	139.1 (25.0)	144.4 (24.8)	153.1[†§] (25.1)	< 0.001	0.2 (0.07; 0.36)	0.3(-0.23; 0.48)	0.6 (0.38; 0.74)
Squat Jump (cm)[a]	974	16.0 (5.6)	18.8* (5.5)	21.2[†§] (5.6)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.35; 0.65)	0.4 (0.29; 0.57)	0.9 (0.73; 1.12)
Counter Movement Jump (cm)[a]	971	19.3 (6.1)	21.1* (6.0)	23.7[†§] (6.1)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.15; 0.45)	0.4 (0.28; 0.56)	0.7 (0.52; 0.91)
Abalakov Jump (cm)[a]	967	23.2 (5.6)	24.9* (5.5)	27.2[†§] (5.6)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.17; 0.47)	0.4 (0.28; 0.55)	0.7 (0.53; 0.92)
20 m shuttle run (stage)	942	3.1 (1.9)	3.8* (1.9)	4.6[†§] (1.9)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.22; 0.53)	0.4 (0.29; 0.57)	0.8 (0.61; 1.00)

Values are mean (standard deviation). Effects size statistics are expressed as *Cohen's d* (95% Confidence interval).
*P < 0.01 for differences in Medium vs Low. [§]P<0.01 for differences in High vs Medium. [†]P < 0.01 for differences in High *vs* Low. [a]Non-transformed data are presented in the table, but analyses were performed on log-transformed data.

Girls with high FAS performed significantly better in all fitness tests (all $P < 0.05$) compared to their peers of lower FAS level. Medium effect sizes were found for the bent arm hang, 4 x 10 m shuttle run test, standing long jump, counter movement jump and Abalakov jump in girls with high FAS compared to those with low FAS. We observed large effect sizes for the squat jump and 20m shuttle run tests. Additional adjustments for pubertal status instead of age did not modify the results (data not shown). The result did not change when body mass index or waist circumference was used instead of skinfold thickness. Likewise, the results remained the same when parental educational level was used instead of FAS (data not shown).

Discussion

The results from the present study suggest that there is a strong positive association between socioeconomic status and physical fitness in European adolescents independently of total body fat and objectively assessed physical activity. Overall, the associations observed presented a medium to large effect size. These findings could be interpreted as an overall influence of socioeconomic status on the physical fitness performance. A higher socioeconomic status could allow the adolescents to have more facilities to practice exercise in terms of sport equipments acquisition, extracurricular sport sessions as well as a major awareness of their parents regarding the importance of having a healthy fitness.

These findings do not concur with a previous study[7] in which negative associations were observed between socioeconomic status and CRF (12 min walk-run) and muscular strength (standing long jump and bent arm hang) in boys.[7] Moreover, Freitas et al. reported a positive association between socioeconomic status and speed-agility performance (5 x 10 m shuttle run test). They also reported a higher upper-body muscular strength (handgrip) in those boys with medium socioeconomic status compared to those with lower socioeconomic status.[7] In contrast, our findings showed positive associations between socioeconomic status and CRF (20 m shuttle run test), lower-body muscular strength (standing long jump, squat jump, counter movement jump, Abalakov jump) and one upper-body muscular strength test (bent arm hang), while no associations for speed-agility (4 x 10 m shuttle run test) and other upper-body muscular strength (handgrip) were found. In girls, Freitas et al. found positive associations between socioeconomic status and lower-body muscular strength and speed-agility performance, but no association for CRF and upper-body muscular strength,[7] which partially concur with our results. However, we also found positive associations for CRF and upper-body muscular strength. Our data also concur with the results observed by Mutunga et al.[8] They reported higher CRF (20 m shuttle run test) in boys and girls with higher socioeconomic status compared to those with lower socioeconomic status.[8] Discrepancies among studies could be due to the specific social and cultural contexts of each country, together with the different methodologies used to assess socioeconomic status and physical fitness.

The direction of the associations cannot be established from cross-sectional designs. However, in the current study, it is not likely that adolescent physical fitness level determines the affluence of their families. The relatively large sample of adolescents studied from nine European countries (ten cities) provides a good overview of the relationships between socioeconomic status and physical fitness in European adolescent population.

In conclusion, these results suggest that high socioeconomic status, as assessed by family affluence, positively influences physical fitness in urban European adolescents independently of total body fat and habitual physical activity.

Annex

Annex 1: HELENA Study Group

Co-ordinator: Luis A. Moreno.

Core Group members: Luis A. Moreno, Fréderic Gottrand, Stefaan De Henauw, Marcela González-Gross, Chantal Gilbert.

Steering Committee: Anthony Kafatos (President), Luis A. Moreno, Christian Libersa, Stefaan De Henauw, Jackie Sánchez, Fréderic Gottrand, Mathilde Kersting, Michael Sjöstrom, Dénes Molnár, Marcela González-Gross, Jean Dallongeville, Chantal Gilbert, Gunnar Hall, Lea Maes, Luca Scalfi.

Project Manager: Pilar Meléndez.

1. *Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain)*
   Luis A. Moreno, Jesús Fleta, José A. Casajús, Gerardo Rodríguez, Concepción Tomás, María I. Mesana, Germán Vicente-Rodríguez, Adoración Villarroya, Carlos M. Gil, Ignacio Ara, Juan Revenga, Carmen Lachen, Juan Fernández Alvira, Gloria Bueno, Aurora Lázaro, Olga Bueno, Juan F. León, Jesús Mª Garagorri, Manuel Bueno, Juan Pablo Rey López, Iris Iglesia, Paula Velasco, Silvia Bel.

2. *Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Spain)*
   Ascensión Marcos, Julia Wärnberg, Esther Nova, Sonia Gómez-Martínez, Esperanza Ligia Díaz, Javier Romeo, Ana Veses, Mari Angeles Puertollano, Belén Zapatera, Tamara Pozo.

3. *Université de Lille 2 (France)*
Laurent Beghin, Christian Libersa, Frédéric Gottrand, Catalina Iliescu, Juliana Von Berlepsch.

4. *Research Institute of Child Nutrition Dortmund, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn (Germany)*
Mathilde Kersting, Wolfgang Sichert-Hellert, Ellen Koeppen.

5. *Pécsi Tudományegyetem (University of Pécs) (Hungary)*
Dénes Molnar, Eva Erhardt, Katalin Csernus, Katalin Török, Szilvia Bokor, Mrs. Angster, Enikö Nagy, Orsolya Kovács, Judit Répásy.

6. *University of Crete School of Medicine (Greece)*
Anthony Kafatos, Caroline Codrington, María Plada, Angeliki Papadaki, Katerina Sarri, Anna Viskadourou, Christos Hatzis, Michael Kiriakakis, George Tsibinos, Constantine Vardavas Manolis Sbokos, Eva Protoyeraki, Maria Fasoulaki.

7. *Institut für Ernährungs- und Lebensmittelwissenschaften – Ernährungphysiologie. Rheinische Friedrich Wilhelms Universität (Germany)*
Peter Stehle, Klaus Pietrzik, Marcela González-Gross, Christina Breidenassel, Andre Spinneker, Jasmin Al-Tahan, Miriam Segoviano, Anke Berchtold, Christine Bierschbach, Erika Blatzheim, Adelheid Schuch, Petra Pickert.

8. *University of Granada (Spain)*
Manuel J. Castillo Garzón, Ángel Gutiérrez Sáinz, Francisco B. Ortega Porcel, Jonatan R Ruiz, Enrique García Artero, Vanesa España Romero, David Jiménez Pavón, Cristóbal Sánchez Muñoz, Victor Soto, Palma Chillón, Jose M. Heredia, Virginia Aparicio, Pedro Baena, Claudia M. Cardia, Ana Carbonell.

9. *Istituto Nazionalen di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione (Italy)*
Davide Arcella, Giovina Catasta, Laura Censi, Donatella Ciarapica, Marika Ferrari, Cinzia Le Donne, Catherine Leclerq, Luciana Magrì, Giuseppe Maiani, Rafaela Piccinelli, Angela Polito, Raffaela Spada, Elisabetta Toti.

10. *University of Napoli "Federico II" Dept of Food Science (Italy)*
Luca Scalfi, Paola Vitaglione, Concetta Montagnese.

11. *Ghent University (Belgium)*
Ilse De Bourdeaudhuij, Stefaan De Henauw, Tineke De Vriendt, Lea Maes, Christophe Matthys, Carine Vereecken, Mieke de Maeyer, Charlene Ottevaere

12. *Medical University of Vienna (Austria)*
Kurt Widhalm, Katharina Phillipp, Sabine Dietrich, Birgit Kubelka, Marion Boriss-Riedl.

13. *Harokopio University (Greece)*
Yannis Manios, Eva Grammatikaki, Zoi Bouloubasi, Tina Louisa Cook, Sofia Eleutheriou, Orsalia Consta, George Moschonis, Ioanna Katsaroli, George Kraniou, Stalo Papoutsou, Despoina Keke, Ioanna Petraki, Elena Bellou, Sofia Tanagra, Kostalenia Kallianoti, Dionysia Argyropoulou, Katerina Kondaki, Stamatoula Tsikrika, Christos Karaiskos.

14. *Institut Pasteur de Lille (France)*
Jean Dallongeville, Aline Meirhaeghe, Szilvia Bokor, Nathalie Fievet, Louisa Goumidi.

15. *Karolinska Institutet (Sweden)*
Michael Sjöstrom, Patrick Bergman, María Hagströmer, Lena Hallström, Mårten Hallberg, Eric Poortvliet, Julia Wärnberg, Nico Rizzo, Linda Beckman, Anita Hurtig Wennlöf, Emma Patterson, Lydia Kwak, Lars Cernerud, Per Tillgren, Stefaan Sörensen.

16. *Asociación de Investigación de la Industria Agroalimentaria (Spain)*
Jackie Sánchez-Molero, Elena Picó, Maite Navarro, Blanca Viadel, José Enrique Carreres, Gema Merino, Rosa Sanjuán, María Lorente, María José Sánchez, Sara Castelló.

17. *Campden & Chorleywood Food Research Association (United Kingdom)*
Chantal Gilbert, Sarah Thomas, Elaine Allchurch, Peter Burguess.

18. *SIK - Institutet foer Livsmedel och Bioteknik (Sweden)*
Gunnar Hall, Annika Astrom, Anna Sverkén, Agneta Broberg.

19. *Meurice Recherche & Development asbl (Belgium)*
Annick Masson, Claire Lehoux, Pascal Brabant, Philippe Pate, Laurence Fontaine.

20. *Campden & Chorleywood Food Development Institute (Hungary)*
Andras Sebok, Tunde Kuti, Adrienn Hegyi.

21. *Productos Aditivos SA (Spain)*
Cristina Maldonado, Ana Llorente.

22. *Cárnicas Serrano SL (Spain)*
Emilio García.

23. *Cederroth International AB (Sweden)*
Holger von Fircks, Marianne Lilja Hallberg, Maria Messerer.

24. *Lantmännen Food R&D (Sweden)*
Mats Larsson, Helena Fredriksson, Viola Adamsson, Ingmar Börjesson.

25. *European Food Information Council (Belgium)*
Laura Fernández, Laura Smillie, Josephine Wills.

26. *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain)*
Marcela González-Gross, Agustín Meléndez, Pedro J. Benito, Javier Calderón, David Jiménez-Pavón, Jara Valtueña, Paloma Navarro, Alejandro Urzanqui, Ulrike Albers, Raquel Pedrero, Juan José Gómez Lorente.

Annex 2: Methodological references of HELENA-CSS in relation with this paper

- Moreno LA, De Henauw S, González-Gross M et al. Design and implementation of the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32 (Suppl.)5: S4-11.
- Moreno LA, González-Gross M, Kersting M et al. Assessing, understanding and modifying nutritional status, eating habits and physical activity in European adolescents: the HELENA (Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence) Study. *Public Health Nutr* 2008; 11: 288-99.
- Ortega FB, Artero EG, Ruiz JR et al. Physical fitness levels among European adolescents: The HELENA study. *Br J Sports Med* 2009.
- Ortega FB, Artero EG, Ruiz JR et al. Reliability of health-related physical fitness tests in European adolescents. The HELENA Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32 (Suppl. 5): S49-57.
- Beghin L, Castera M, Manios Y et al. Quality assurance of ethical issues and regulatory aspects relating to good clinical practices in the HELENA Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32 (Suppl. 5): S12-8.
- Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Gutiérrez A et al. Health-related fitness assessment in childhood and adolescence: a European approach based on the AVENA, EYHS and HELENA studies. *J Public Health* 2006; 14: 269-77.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge all participating children and adolescents, and their parents and teachers for their collaboration. We also acknowledge all the members involved in the field work for their efforts and great enthusiasm. The HELENA study takes place with the financial support of the European Community Sixth RTD Framework Programme (Contract FOOD-CT-2005-007034). This analysis was also supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2005-3827, AP-2005-4358, EX-2007-1124, EX-2008-0641), the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research, and the ALPHA study, an European Union-funded study, in the framework of the Public Health Programme (Ref: 2006120). This study was also supported by a Grant from the Spanish Ministry of Health: Maternal, Child Health and Development Network (number RD08/0072) (LAM) and Science-FEDER funds (Acciones Complementarias DEP2007-29933-E).

References

1. Ruiz JR, Castro-Pinero J, Artero EG, Orgeta FB, Sjostrom M, Suni J, Castillo MJ. Predictive Validity of Health-Related Fitness in Youth: A Systematic Review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2009; 21.
2. Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Castillo MJ, Sjostrom M. Physical fitness in childhood and adolescence: a powerful marker of health. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32 (1): 1-11.
3. Bray MS, Hagberg JM, Perusse L, Rankinen T, Roth SM, Wolfarth B, Bouchard C. The human gene map for performance and health-related fitness phenotypes: the 2006-2007 update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009; 41 (1): 35-73.
4. Ramsay SE, Whincup PH, Morris R, Lennon L, Wannamethee SG. Is socioeconomic position related to the prevalence of metabolic syndrome?: influence of social class across the life course in a population-based study of older men. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31 (12): 2380-2.
5. Moreno LA, Tomas C, González-Gross M, Bueno G, Pérez-González JM, Bueno M. Micro-environmental and socio-demographic determinants of childhood obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004; 28 (Suppl. 3): S16-20.
6. Berkman LF. Tracking social and biological experiences: the social etiology of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2005; 111 (23): 3022-4.
7. Freitas D, Maia J, Beunen G, Claessens A, Thomis M, Marques A, Crespo M, Lefevre J. Socio-economic status, growth, physical activity and fitness: the Madeira Growth Study. *Ann Hum Biol* 2007; 34 (1): 107-22.
8. Mutunga M, Gallagher AM, Boreham C, Watkins DC, Murray LJ, Cran G, Reilly JJ. Socioeconomic differences in risk factors for obesity in adolescents in Northern Ireland. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2006; 1 (2): 114-9.
9. Currie CE, Elton RA, Todd J, Platt S. Indicators of socioeconomic status for adolescents: the WHO Health Behaviour in School-aged Children Survey. *Health Educ Res* 1997; 12 (3): 385-97.
10. Currie C, Molcho M, Boyce W, Holstein B, Torsheim T, Richter M. Researching health inequalities in adolescents: the development of the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) family affluence scale. *Soc Sci Med* 2008; 66 (6): 1429-36.
11. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual. Human Kinetics Books: Champaign, Illinois. 1988.
12. Hagstromer M, Bergman P, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Manios Y, Rey-Lopez JP, Phillipp K, von Berlepsch J, Sjostrom M. Concurrent validity of a modified version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-A) in European adolescents: The HELENA Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32 (Suppl. 5): S42-8.
13. Trost SG, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Sallis JF, Taylor WC. Using objective physical activity measures with youth: how many days of monitoring are needed? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000; 32 (2): 426-31.
14. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH. Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height velocity, weight velocity, and stages of puberty. *Arch Dis Child* 1976; 51 (3): 170-9.
15. Nakagawa S, Cuthill IC. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* 2007; 82 (4): 591-605.