

**Clinical Toxicology** 



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: <u>www.tandfonline.com/journals/ictx20</u>

# Delayed cardiac arrest after hydrofluoric acid ingestion

J. A. Kroes, J. M. H de Haan, M. I. de Haan-Lauteslager, E. N. van Roon, S. J. Derksen, E. R. Manusama, G. J. Zijlstra, S. S. Gisbertz, B. E. L Vrijsen & C. Bethlehem

**To cite this article:** J. A. Kroes, J. M. H de Haan, M. I. de Haan-Lauteslager, E. N. van Roon, S. J. Derksen, E. R. Manusama, G. J. Zijlstra, S. S. Gisbertz, B. E. L Vrijsen & C. Bethlehem (2024) Delayed cardiac arrest after hydrofluoric acid ingestion, Clinical Toxicology, 62:3, 205-207, DOI: 10.1080/15563650.2024.2328348

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15563650.2024.2328348</u>

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



6

Published online: 19 Mar 2024.

C	Ø,
-	

Submit your article to this journal 🕝

Article views: 514



View related articles 🖸



View Crossmark data 🗹

#### References

- Hoshino ACH, Ferreira HP, Malm O, et al. A systematic review of mercury ototoxicity. Cad Saude Publica. 2012;28(7):1239–1248. doi:10.1590/s0102-311x2012000700003.
- [2] Mizukoshi K, Nagaba M, Ohno Y, et al. Neurotological studies upon intoxication by organic mercury compounds. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 1975;37(2):74–87. doi:10.1159/ 000275209.
- [3] Duan M-L, Chen Z-Q. Permeability of round window membrane and its role for drug delivery: our own findings and literature review. J Otol. 2009;4(1):34–43. doi:10.1016/S1672-2930(09) 50006-2.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR: ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC CONTRIBUTION

Petros V. Vlastarakos Department, Attikon University Hospital, Athens, Greece pevlast@hotmail.com

Ilias A. Lazarou (), Evangelos Panagoulis (), and Giorgos Sideris () ENT Department, Attikon University Hospital, Athens, Greece

© 2024 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



# Delayed cardiac arrest after hydrofluoric acid ingestion

## Dear Editor,

A 31-year-old man unintentionally ingested a "single sip" of an unknown substance from a container labeled as a green tea beverage. No other substances were ingested. The patient arrived at the emergency department 2 hours postingestion with nausea, abdominal pain and diarrhea and increased work of breathing. He was conscious with a heart rate of 116 beats/minute, a blood pressure of 110/60 mmHg, and oxygen saturation of 98% on room air.

At presentation the patient had severe hypocalcemia and hypomagnesemia (both 0.37 mmol/L) and reported muscle cramps. Table 1 describes the course of the serum pH, key blood electrolyte concentrations, and QTc-interval during the admission. Tests indicated that the substance was acidic (pH < 2) and, and considering the hypocalcemia, there was a high suspicion for hydrofluoric acid toxicity.

He was given multiple doses of calcium gluconate and magnesium sulfate intravenously (Table 1), and was sedated and intubated because of hypoxic respiratory failure. Afterwards he was transferred to the intensive care unit for further treatment. Approximately 5 hours after the initial presentation, his ionized calcium concentration was 0.54 mmol/L (normal 1.14–1.28 mmol/L) and magnesium concentration was 0.80 mmol/L (normal 0.70–1.00 mmol/L).

Though hemodynamically stable initially, the patient developed ventricular fibrillation 6.5 hours after admission. The patient was defibrillated six times between 6.5 and 11.5 hours after admission (Table 1); no antidysrhythmic drugs were administered. Sodium phosphate intravenously was added due to a low phosphate concentration (0.30 mmol/L). A computerized tomography scan demonstrated no signs of perforation and endoscopic examination showed Zargar grade 2a injury to the gastric mucosa [1].

The patient was transferred to an academic medical center 20 hours after ingestion, discharged on day 16, and follow-up after ten weeks showed a full recovery of the gastrointestinal tract. After investigation by the authorities, the ingested substance turned out to be a rust remover. Based on the material safety data sheet, the substance contained 15–20% sulfuric acid, 7–10% phosphoric acid and 3–5% hydrofluoric acid.

Hydrofluoric acid exposure is known to cause cardiac symptoms [2]. These are often attributed to the hypocalcemia and hypomagnesemia and therefore electrolyte correction is the mainstay of treatment [3]. Remarkably, in our case, the cardiac symptoms developed despite several hours of electrolyte supplementation. This was also reported in a similar case from Vohra and colleagues [4] in which the authors suggest hyperkalemia or direct toxicity from fluoride on the myocardium. The late onset of dysrhythmias may be caused by activation of myocardial adenylyl cyclase by free fluoride ions, which increases cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), thereby stimulating the myocardium potentially inducing ventricular fibrillation [2]. This may also explain the limited efficacy of calcium and magnesium administration [5]. Alternatively, serum calcium and magnesium concentrations may not reflect the intracellular concentrations.

Our case demonstrates that healthcare professionals treating patients with a hydrofluoric acid ingestion should be aware of cardiac symptoms, even after the electrolyte disorders have been corrected.

#### **Disclosure statement**

JA Kroes reports a grant from AstraZeneca, unrelated to this work. JMH de Haan has nothing to disclose. MI de Haan-Lauteslager has nothing to disclose. EN van Roon has nothing to disclose. SJ Derksen has nothing to disclose. ER Manusama has nothing to disclose. GJ Zijlstra has nothing to disclose. SS Gisbertz has nothing to disclose. BEL Vrijsen has nothing to disclose. C Bethlehem has nothing to disclose.

#### **Ethics statement**

The patient provided written informed consent to publish the case report.

530 524 524 524 524 50 50 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		(7.35–7.45) (3.5–5.0)	(1.14–1.28)	CONCENTRATION (11111101/L) (0.70–1.00)	(0.80 - 1.40)	QTc interval (ms)*	(intravenously)	(intravenously)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.7	0.49			530	1,000 mg	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.17	3.5	0.38			524	1,000 mg	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.16	3.9	0.37	0.37	1.31		1,000 mg	1,000 mg
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							500 mg/h continuous	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				0.76			500 mg/h continuous	2,000 mg
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.29	4.0	0.46				500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				0.80			500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.27	3.6	0.54				500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
728       3.5       0.69       Venticular fibrillation, defibrillated three times with 150 Joules         735       3.6 $0.74$ $0.96$ 47         735       3.6 $0.74$ $1.03$ $0.29$ 735       3.6 $0.90$ Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         735       3.5 $0.90$ Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         733       3.5 $0.97$ Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         733       3.5 $0.97$ $1.15$ $0.82$ 733       4.6 $1.10$ $0.81$ $0.81$ 733       4.6 $1.00$ $0.82$ $0.82$ 733 $0.97$ $1.15$ $0.82$ $0.82$ 733 $0.97$ $1.15$ $0.82$ $0.82$ 730 $4.6$ $1.10$ $0.70$ $0.82$	7.31	3.4	0.63			490	500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				entricular fibrillation, defibrill	lated three times with 150 Jo	ules		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.28	3.5	0.69			447	500 mg/h continuous	500 mg/h continuous
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
7.35       3.6       0.74         7.35       3.6       0.81       1.03       0.29         7.35       3.6       0.81       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.33       3.5       0.90       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.33       3.5       0.97       1.15       0.82         7       7.33       3.5       0.97       1.15       0.82         7       7.31       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.33       3.5       0.97       1.15       0.82         7       7.31       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.30       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules				0.96		487	500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
7.35       3.6       0.81       1.03       0.29         7.35       3.6       0.81       0.81       0.30         7.35       3.6       0.90       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.33       3.5       0.97       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.33       3.5       0.97       1.15       0.82         7       7.31       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.31       4.0       1.15       0.82         7       7.30       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules         7       7.30       4.6       1.03       Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules	7.35	3.6	0.74				500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
7.35     3.6     0.81     0.30       7.35     3.6     0.90     Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules       8     7.33     3.5     0.97       7     7.33     3.5     0.97       7     7.33     3.5     0.97       7     7.33     3.5     0.97       7     7.31     1.15     0.82       7     7.31     4.6     1.10       7     7.30     4.6     1.03       7     7.30     4.6     1.03				1.03	0.29		500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
7.35         3.6         0.90         Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules           1         7.33         3.5         0.97         Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules           1         7.33         3.5         0.97         1.15         0.82           1         7.31         4.6         1.03         Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules           1         7.33         3.5         0.97         1.15         0.82           1         7.30         4.6         1.03         Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules           1         7.30         4.6         1.03         0.01         0.05	7.35	3.6	0.81		0.30		500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules 7.33 $3.5$ $0.971.15$ $0.82Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules7.30$ $4.6$ $1.037.30$ $4.6$ $1.037.00$ $7.00$ Joules	7.35	3.6	0.90				500 mg/h continuous	300 mg/h continuous
7.33     3.5     0.97     Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules       7.33     3.5     0.97     1.15     0.82       7.30     4.6     1.03     Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules       7.30     4.6     1.03     2.00				Ventricular fibrillation, d	lefibrillated with 200 Joules		1	
7.33 3.5 0.97 7.31 4.0 1.03 7.30 4.6 1.10 2.0 Joules				Ventricular fibrillation, du	lefibrillated with 200 Joules			
7.33 3.5 0.97 1.15 0.82 7.31 4.0 1.03 7.30 4.6 1.10 7.30 2.05							500 mg/h continuous	600 mg/h continuous
1.15     0.82       7.31     4.0     1.03       7.30     4.6     1.10	7.33	3.5	0.97				500 mg/h continuous	600 mg/h continuous
1.15     0.82       7.31     4.0     1.03       7.30     4.6     1.10							500 mg/h continuous	1,000 mg/h continuous
7.31     4.0     1.03     Ventricular fibrillation, defibrillated with 200 Joules       7.30     4.6     1.10     2.00				1.15	0.82		500 mg/h continuous	1,000 mg/h continuous
7.31     4.0     1.03       7.30     4.6     1.10				Ventricular fihrillation	afihrillated with 200 Inules		our mg/m continuous	z,000 mg/m continuous
7.30 4.6 1.10 7.30 7.6	7 31	40	1.03				500 mg/h continueus	2 000 mg/h continuens
		0.4 V V	01.1					
	00:1	0. <del>1</del>	2	06.6	2 06			2,000 mg/h continuous
		ļ		07.2	2.00			
	1.27	5.1	1.11				500 mg/h continuous	2,000 mg/h continuous
7.24 5.3 1.15	7.24	5.3	1.15				500 mg/h continuous	2,000 mg/h continuous
Transfer to an academic center				Transfer to an	academic center			

206 🕒 LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The ethics committee in the Medical Centre Leeuwarden waived the need for a formal approval from a medical ethics committee according to Dutch legislation.

# Funding

The authors reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

# Data availability statement

Data are available upon request.

# References

- Zargar SA, Kochhar R, Nagi B, et al. Ingestion of corrosive acids. Spectrum of injury to upper gastrointestinal tract and natural history. Gastroenterology. 1989;97(3):702–707. doi:10.1016/0016-5085(89)90641-0.
- [2] Bajraktarova-Valjakova E, Korunoska-Stevkovska V, Georgieva S, et al. Hydrofluoric acid: burns and systemic toxicity, protective measures, immediate and hospital medical treatment. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2018;6(11):2257–2269. doi:10.3889/ oamjms.2018.429.
- [3] Prasad A, Ibrahim H, Mortimore K, et al. Critical care management of hydrofluoric acid burns with a negative outcome. BMJ Case Rep. 2021;14(6):e242187. doi:10.1136/bcr-242187.
- [4] Vohra R, Velez LI, Rivera W, et al. Recurrent life-threatening ventricular dysrhythmias associated with acute hydrofluoric acid ingestion: observations in one case and implications for mechanism of toxicity. Clin Toxicol. 2008;46(1):79–84. doi:10.1080/ 15563650701639097.
- [5] Coffey JA, Brewer KL, Carroll R, et al. Limited efficacy of calcium and magnesium in a porcine model of hydrofluoric acid ingestion. J Med Toxicol. 2007;3(2):45–51. doi:10.1007/BF03160907.

J. A. Kroes Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands ans.kroes@mcl.nl

> J. M. H de Haan, M. I. de Haan-Lauteslager Department of Emergency Medicine, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

E. N. van Roon Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

S. J. Derksen Department of Intensive Care, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands E. R. Manusama Department of Surgery, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

G. J. Zijlstra Department of Intensive Care, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, location VUMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

S. S. Gisbertz

Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, location University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

B. E. L Vrijsen Dutch Poisons Information Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

C. Bethlehem Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands Department of Intensive Care, Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits noncommercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

Check for updates

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR: ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC CONTRIBUTION

# *Trimeresurus insularis* (blue Indonesian pit viper) envenomation treated with Thai green pit viper antivenom

#### Dear editor

A 45-year-old male presented to an emergency department in the United States (US) 30 min after envenomation by his pet *Trimeresurus insularis* (Figure 1). Venom from *Trimeresurus insularis* causes tissue damage and coagulopathy [1]. No coagulopathy was present on laboratory assessment. Consultation with a poison center and a medical toxicologist was obtained. While not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Thai green pit viper antivenom, was recommended based on *in vitro* data [1]. Using the