# **Cancer Cell**

# Taxanes trigger cancer cell killing in vivo by inducing non-canonical T cell cytotoxicity

### Graphical abstract



### Authors

Claire Vennin, Chiara M. Cattaneo, Leontien Bosch, ..., Dirk M. Pegtel, Emile E. Voest, Jacco van Rheenen

### **Correspondence**

[j.v.rheenen@nki.nl](mailto:j.v.rheenen@nki.�nl)

### In brief

Discrepancies exist between the in vitro versus in vivo mode of action of taxanes. Vennin et al. uncover that taxanes directly trigger T cells to kill tumor cells by inducing a release of cytotoxic extracellular vesicles. This cytotoxic activity has strong therapeutic activity in organoids from patients with cancer and in tumor-bearing mice.

### **Highlights**

- T cells mediate taxane cytotoxicity in vivo
- Taxanes trigger T cells to release cytotoxic extracellular vesicles
- <sup>d</sup> Taxanes increase TCR-independent and TCR-mediated T cell killing
- $\bullet$  T cells treated ex vivo with taxanes can eradicate tumors in vivo





# **Cancer Cell**

### Article

# Taxanes trigger cancer cell killing in vivo by inducing non-canonical T cell cytotoxicity

Claire Vennin,<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[2](#page-1-1)</sup> Chiara M. Cattaneo,<sup>[2,](#page-1-1)[3](#page-1-2)</sup> Leontien Bosch,<sup>[4](#page-1-3)</sup> Serena Vegna,<sup>2,[5](#page-1-4)</sup> Xuhui Ma,<sup>2,3</sup> Hugo G.J. Damstra,<sup>[6](#page-1-5)</sup> Moreno Martinovic,<sup>[7](#page-1-6)</sup> Efi Tsouri,<sup>[2,](#page-1-1)[5](#page-1-4)</sup> Mila Ilic,<sup>2,[8](#page-1-7)</sup> Leyla Azarang,<sup>[9](#page-1-8)</sup> Jan R.T. van Weering,<sup>[10](#page-1-9)</sup> Emilia Pulver,<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[2](#page-1-1)</sup>

Amber L. Zeeman,<sup>[2,](#page-1-1)[11,](#page-1-10)[12](#page-1-11)</sup> Tim Schelfhorst,<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[2](#page-1-1)</sup> Jeroen O. Lohuis,<sup>1,2</sup> Anne C. Rios,<sup>2,12</sup> Johanna F. Dekkers,<sup>2,12</sup> Leila Akkari,<sup>2,[5](#page-1-4)</sup> Renee Menezes,<sup>[9](#page-1-8)</sup> Rene Medema,<sup>[2,](#page-1-1)[8](#page-1-7)</sup> Serena R. Baglio,<sup>[13](#page-1-12)</sup> Anna Akhmanova,<sup>[6](#page-1-5)</sup> Sabine C. Linn,<sup>[14,](#page-1-13)[15](#page-1-14)</sup> Simone Lemeer,<sup>[16,](#page-1-15)[17](#page-1-16)</sup> Dirk M. Pegtel,<sup>[4](#page-1-3)</sup> Emile E. Voest,<sup>[2,](#page-1-1)[3](#page-1-2)</sup> and Jacco van Rheenen<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)2,[18,](#page-1-17)[\\*](#page-1-18)</sup>

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>1Division of Molecular Pathology, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands 2Oncode Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-2"></span>3Department of Molecular Oncology and Immunology, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-3"></span>4Department of Pathology, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1081HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span><span id="page-1-4"></span>5Division of Tumor Biology and Immunology, Oncode Institute, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands 6Cell Biology, Neurobiology and Biophysics, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, 3584CT Utrecht, the Netherlands 7Division of Gene Regulation, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands 8Division of Cell Biology, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands 9Biostatistics Centre & Department of Psychosocial Research and Epidemiology, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, 1066CX Amsterdam, the **Netherlands** 

<span id="page-1-9"></span><span id="page-1-8"></span><sup>10</sup>Department of Human Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam UMC, 1105AZ Amsterdam, the **Netherlands** 

<span id="page-1-10"></span>11Hubrecht Institute, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) and University Medical Centre (UMC), 3584CT Utrecht, the **Netherlands** 

<span id="page-1-11"></span>12Princess Maxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, 3584CT Utrecht, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-12"></span><sup>13</sup>Department of Pathology, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-13"></span>14Divisions of Molecular Pathology and of Medical Oncology, the Netherlands Cancer Institute, 1066CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands <sup>15</sup>Department of Pathology, University Medical Center, 1081HV Utrecht, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-15"></span><span id="page-1-14"></span>16Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research and Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical

<span id="page-1-16"></span>Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584CT Utrecht, the Netherlands 17Netherlands Proteomics Center, 3584CT Utrecht, the Netherlands

<span id="page-1-17"></span>18Lead contact

<span id="page-1-18"></span>\*Correspondence: [j.v.rheenen@nki.nl](mailto:j.v.rheenen@nki.nl)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.05.009>

#### **SUMMARY**

Although treatment with taxanes does not always lead to clinical benefit, all patients are at risk of their detrimental side effects such as peripheral neuropathy. Understanding the *in vivo* mode of action of taxanes can help design improved treatment regimens. Here, we demonstrate that in vivo, taxanes directly trigger T cells to selectively kill cancer cells in a non-canonical, T cell receptor-independent manner. Mechanistically, taxanes induce T cells to release cytotoxic extracellular vesicles, which lead to apoptosis specifically in tumor cells while leaving healthy epithelial cells intact. We exploit these findings to develop an effective therapeutic approach, based on transfer of T cells pre-treated with taxanes ex vivo, thereby avoiding toxicity of systemic treatment. Our study reveals a different in vivo mode of action of one of the most commonly used chemotherapies, and opens avenues to harness T cell-dependent anti-tumor effects of taxanes while avoiding systemic toxicity.

#### INTRODUCTION

Taxanes are among the most widely employed chemotherapies for the treatment of cancer $1$  but have also detrimental toxic side effects, some of which irreversible, such as peripheral neuropathy, febrile neutropenia, seizure, and encephalopathy. Although all patients treated with taxanes are exposed to these adverse

side effects, not all patients experience clinical benefit. For example, a meta-analysis of long-term outcomes of 100,000 patients with breast cancer revealed that the addition of taxanes to anthracycline regimens prolongs survival in only  $\sim$ 3% of pa-tients.<sup>[2](#page-14-1)</sup> In order to maximize the anti-tumor effects of taxanes while limiting their toxicity, understanding the *in vivo* mode of action of taxanes is critical, however this remains controversial. For





over four decades, the anti-cancer activity of taxanes, such as docetaxel and paclitaxel, has mainly been attributed to their ability to trigger abnormal mitotic spindle formation by stabilizing microtubules, leading to delayed mitotic progression and ultimately cell death, based mostly on *in vitro* studies.<sup>[3](#page-15-0)</sup> Conversely, data from both animal models and in patients with cancer suggest that taxanes may induce apoptosis independent of blocking mitotic progression in the *in vivo* setting.<sup>[4–6](#page-15-1)</sup> For instance, we previously reported that taxanes trigger apoptosis in cancer cells *via* perturbing mitosis progression *in vitro*, while taxane-mediated killing of the same cancer cells growing in an *in vivo* environment was independent of such mitotic defects.<sup>[5](#page-15-2)</sup> Similarly, analysis of human and mouse tumor tissues showed that treatment with taxanes induces only a moderate increase in mitotic index, which does not correlate with tumor response to treatment. $6-9$  Together, these studies indicate that alternative, mitotic-independent mechanisms of action of taxanes must be active *in vivo*; however such mechanisms have yet to be uncovered.

One major difference between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental settings is the presence of immune cells in the microenvironment, even in immunocompromised models of cancer where some immune functions and immature immune cells are maintained. This may be particularly relevant for taxane treatments since chemotherapies can influence im-mune reactions in the context of cancer.<sup>[10–15](#page-15-4)</sup> As such, in addition to killing cancer cells, chemotherapy can influence immune responses *via*, for instance, inducing immunogenic cell death (ICD) and chromosome instability or modifying epitope presentation by cancer cells.<sup>[16–18](#page-15-5)</sup> Considering that the tumor microenvironment (TME) can be highly heterogeneous among patients, a role of the TME in driving the anti-tumor effects of taxanes may explain the partial response to taxanes in the clinic. Modulations of the tumor immune microenvironment upon taxanes have been reported in a handful of studies.<sup>[18–20](#page-15-6)</sup> For instance, we recently reported that patients with breast cancer with a high tumor lymphocyte infiltration have a better clinical response to taxane-containing chemotherapy compared to a chemotherapy regimen that does not include taxanes.<sup>[19](#page-15-7)</sup> Although these studies point to a potential role of the immune microenvironment in driving the *in vivo* anti-tumor effects of taxanes, the molecular mechanisms underlying such action of taxane-induced cell death are still unknown.

In this study, we aim to characterize the mode of action of taxane-mediated killing in the *in vivo* setting. Using mouse and patient-derived models of cancer, autologous organoid and T cell co-culture platforms and proteomic analyses, we uncover that taxanes can directly activate T cells to specifically eradicate tumor cells. Mechanistically, we demonstrate that taxanes induce a release of cytotoxic extracellular vesicles by T cells that subsequently trigger tumor death. We also reveal that T cells pre-treated with taxanes *ex vivo* have an anti-tumor capacity once transplanted *in vivo*. These findings establish a mode of action of taxanes *in vivo*, one of the most widely used chemotherapies for cancer treatment. Critically, our study provides a therapeutic approach to exploit an optimal T cell-dependent anti-tumor activity of taxanes while avoiding systemic exposure to their toxicity.

#### RESULTS

#### T cells promote the in vivo anti-tumor effects of taxanes

Considering that taxane-induced cell death is classically thought to be mediated by impairing mitotic progression,  $3,21,22$  $3,21,22$  $3,21,22$  we first tested whether this mechanism held true *in vivo* in two immunocompetent mouse models of breast cancer that are based on the loss of tumor suppressor genes *Brca1* and *Trp53* (KB1P model[23\)](#page-15-10) or on the overexpression of the oncogene *PyMT* (MMTV-PyMT model<sup>[24](#page-15-11)</sup>). Docetaxel treatment in mice bearing tumors delayed tumor outgrowth, confirming that these tumor models were sensitive to docetaxel ([Figures S1](#page-14-2)A–S1C). However, we did not observe a significant accumulation of mitotic figures in tumors isolated 24h after the second docetaxel administration ([Figures S1D](#page-14-2) and S1E), which would be expected if the anti-tumor effects of docetaxel occurred mostly through perturbation of mitosis. This suggested that killing of cancer cells upon docetaxel in KB1P and MMTV-PyMT tumors was not mediated by delayed mitotic progression *in vivo* alone and is in line with previous reports in other animal cancer models and samples from patients with cancer.  $5,7-9,19$  $5,7-9,19$  $5,7-9,19$ 

This prompted us to investigate the differing mode of action of docetaxel in the *in vivo* setting. We previously reported in the MATADOR trial cohort patients with estrogen receptor (ER)/progesterone receptor (PR) positive or triple-negative breast cancer that differential survival upon docetaxel-containing chemotherapies correlate with the presence of specific populations of im-mune cells within tumors.<sup>[19](#page-15-7)</sup> In particular, we observed that adding docetaxel  $(T)$  to the doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide (AC) chemotherapy regimen provided a survival benefit only to pa-tients with high tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) content.<sup>[19](#page-15-7)</sup> Therefore, we interrogated the biological relevance of this correlation in mouse models of breast cancer. Friend Virus B (FVB) mice carrying KB1P tumors were subjected to treatment with an IgG control or with depleting antibodies against CD4<sup>+</sup> and  $CD8^+$  expressing cells, as previously achieved, $25$  prior to treat-ment with either AC or AC + T [\(Figure 1A](#page-3-0)). The reduction of intratumoral T cells upon treatment with depleting antibodies was confirmed by immunohistochemistry [\(Figures S1](#page-14-2)F and S1G). In patients with breast cancer, AC treatment leads to a 5-year overall survival of  $\sim 90\%$ , which is moderately improved ( $\sim 3\%$ ) upon taxane (T) addition. $^2$  $^2$  Similar to these clinical observations, treating mice bearing tumors with AC led to a large reduction in tumor volume in both the IgG control mice and the T cell-depleted mice, showing the high anti-tumor activity of AC [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)B and [Data](#page-14-2) S<sub>1</sub>) and in line with previous work demonstrating that T cells do not influence tumor response to non-taxane chemother-apies.<sup>[26](#page-15-14)</sup> However, small tumors persisted during the course of the treatment with AC (dotted line in [Figure 1B](#page-3-0)). Importantly, the addition of docetaxel to AC triggered a complete response with no detectable tumors during the course of the treatment in the IgG control but not in the T cell-depleted mice [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)C and [Data S1\)](#page-14-2), suggesting that T cells support the docetaxelmediated complete reponse. These data align with the correlation between high intratumoral T cell levels and improved response to taxane-containing chemotherapy reported in pa-tients with breast cancer.<sup>[19](#page-15-7)</sup>

To further test whether T cells mediated the anti-tumor activity of docetaxel, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

*CellPress* 

*(legend on next page)*

to isolate T cells from KB1P mammary tumors grown in mice that had been treated with two cycles of either control, AC or AC + T [\(Figures 1D](#page-3-0) and [S1](#page-14-2)H). FACS quantification revealed an increased infiltration of T cells into tumors treated with  $AC + T$ compared to control or AC treatments [\(Figure S1I](#page-14-2)). Subsequently, the cytotoxic capacity of the isolated intratumoral T cells was tested by co-culturing them with matched KB1P tu-mor-derived organoids [\(Figure 1D](#page-3-0)). Co-cultures with AC  $+$ T-treated intratumoral T cells led to an increase in organoid apoptosis and a reduction in the number of surviving organoids compared to the co-cultures with control or AC-treated intratumoral T cells [\(Figures 1E](#page-3-0) and 1F). Next, we tested whether these observations also held true for MMTV-PyMT tumors. Similar to KB1P tumors, we observed a higher infiltration of T cells upon AC + T treatment compared to control and AC treatments [\(Fig](#page-14-2)[ure S1J](#page-14-2)). Additionally, co-cultures of organoids with T cells isolated from AC + T-treated tumors led to reduced organoid viability in comparison to their co-culture counterparts with T cells isolated from control or AC-treated MMTV-PyMT tumors [\(Figure S1](#page-14-2)K).

We next investigated the individual roles of CD4<sup>+</sup> versus CD8+ T cells in driving tumor response to docetaxel ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)G). In line with the increased intratumoral T cell infiltration upon treatment with  $AC + T$  compared to  $AC$  ([Figure S1](#page-14-2)I), docetaxel treatment correlated with enhanced CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell infiltration in KB1P tumors ([Figures S1](#page-14-2)I and S1M). In addition, depletion of either CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells alone, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells alone or both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells abrogated the anti-tumor effects of docetaxel treatment in both KB1P and MMTV-PyMT tumors [\(Figures 1H](#page-3-0) and  $S1N$ ). This demonstrated that both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8+ T cell populations contribute to tumor response to docetaxel treatment.

#### Docetaxel does not sensitize cancer cells to T cellmediated killing

We next investigated how docetaxel triggered the observed enhanced cytotoxic capacity of T cells. We initially hypothesized that docetaxel might alter the immune properties of tumor cells. However, considering that untreated tumor organoids were more efficiently killed by T cells isolated from tumors treated with  $AC + T$  compared to  $AC$  alone ([Figures 1E](#page-3-0) and 1F), the



enhanced T cell cytotoxicity might not be caused by modulation of the immune properties of the cancer cell compartment. To confirm this, we tested the ability of T cells isolated from control KB1P tumors to kill KB1P organoids that were pre-treated with low, non-lethal doses of AC or AC  $+$  T [\(Figure S2](#page-14-2)A). After 72h of pre-treating the organoids, we renewed the culture media and washed the drugs away prior to co-culturing these organoids with T cells isolated from control-treated KB1P tumors [\(Fig](#page-14-2)[ure S2A](#page-14-2)). We found that the addition of docetaxel during pretreatment of the organoids  $(AC + T)$  did not alter the number of living organoids upon co-culture with the control T cells [\(Fig](#page-14-2)[ure S2B](#page-14-2)). This confirmed that a potential change in the immune properties of tumor cells does not contribute largely to the anti-tumor activity of docetaxel that we observed in [Figures 1](#page-3-0)E and 1F. Instead, the anti-tumor activity of docetaxel may be mediated by other contributors, such as the properties of the T cells themselves.

#### Docetaxel directly increases T cell cytotoxic activity

We then assessed whether docetaxel might instead directly influence the killing ability of T cells. To test this,  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$ T cells were separately isolated by FACS from the spleens of non-tumor-bearing, drug naïve FVB female mice [\(Figures 2A](#page-5-0) and [S2C](#page-14-2)). Following isolation, splenic T cells were treated *in vitro* for 72h with 10 nmol/L of docetaxel, a dose that did not induce significant killing of T cells ([Figure S2](#page-14-2)D) but that increased microtubule density, in line with the known microtubule-stabiliz-ing effect of taxanes [\(Figures S2](#page-14-2)E and S2F) $21,22$  $21,22$  and that is relevant to the dose used *in vivo*. [27](#page-15-15) We next co-cultured these T cells with drug-naïve KB1P organoids [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)A). Intriguingly, we observed an increased apoptotic rate and a reduction of living organoids when co-cultured with either  $CD4^+$  or  $CD8^+$  T cells pre-treated with docetaxel compared to their control counterparts ([Figures 2B](#page-5-0) and 2C). To confirm the taxane-specific induction of T cell-killing capacity, splenic T cells were treated with a low dose of paclitaxel (another taxane, [Figure S2](#page-14-2)G) or carboplatin (a non-taxane chemotherapy, [Figure S2H](#page-14-2)) prior to co-culturing them with tumor-derived organoids. Similar to our previous observations, paclitaxel-treated T cells triggered a decrease in tumor organoid survival compared to control T cells, whereas carboplatin-treated T cells did not

#### Figure 1. T cells mediate the in vivo anti-tumor effects of docetaxel

(A) Schematic representation of experimental design and treatment timeline in the KB1P tumor model.

(G) Schematic representation of experimental design and treatment timeline.

<sup>(</sup>B and C) KB1P tumor volume over the course of treatment with doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide (AC) (B) or with AC + docetaxel (T) (C) in the presence (IgG control) or absence of T cells ( $\alpha$ CD4 Ab +  $\alpha$ CD8 Ab) and normalized to the initial tumor volume when the treatment is initiated. Dotted gray line indicates the threshold of tumor detection. p-values for 1B and 1C were obtained from the raw values corresponding to the data depicted in 1B and 1C and that were analyzed in R using a linear mixed-effects model.

<sup>(</sup>D) Schematic representation of experimental design for isolation of intratumoral T cells followed by co-culture with organoids. Following *in vivo* treatment with a vehicle control, AC or AC + T, KB1P tumors were excised and intratumoral CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated by Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) and co-cultured with chemotherapy-naïve KB1P organoids.

<sup>(</sup>E) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescence staining for cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) in KB1P organoids following co-culture with CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from KB1P tumors treated with a vehicle control, AC or AC + T. Scale bar = 50 µm. n = 3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean ± standard-error of the mean (SEM).

<sup>(</sup>F) MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoid survival following co-culture with CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8+ T cells isolated from KB1P tumors treated with a vehicle control, AC or AC + T. n = 3 biological repeats with three technical replicates *per* repeat. Data are presented as mean with SEM. For E and F, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

<sup>(</sup>H) Kaplan-Meier survival curve for mice bearing KB1P tumors treated with a vehicle control or docetaxel upon administration of an IgG control (gray and purple lines) or of depleting antibodies against CD4+ T cells only (blue lines), CD8+ T cells only (green lines) or CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (orange lines). p values were determined using a log rank Mantel-Cox test in GraphPad Prism. See also [Figures S1](#page-14-2) and [S2](#page-14-2), as well as [Data S1.](#page-14-2)





<span id="page-5-0"></span>

*(legend on next page)*



[\(Figures S2](#page-14-2)I–S2L), thereby confirming the taxane-specificity of our observations in both models.

#### Docetaxel-induced T cells killing is independent of TCR activation

Classical T cell-mediated killing requires specific recognition of an antigen presented by MHC molecules on the target cell by the T cell receptor  $(TCR).^{28}$  $(TCR).^{28}$  $(TCR).^{28}$  However, because we isolated T cells from spleens of tumor-naïve mice, which were cocultured with tumor organoids for only a short duration, this specific tumor organoid-T cell interaction was lacking in our setup [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)A). Moreover, treatment with docetaxel did not lead to significant alterations in the expression levels of markers of canonical T cell activation or in the secretion of cytokines that are known to be released upon canonical T cell activation [\(Figures S2M](#page-14-2) and S2N). Therefore, we hypothesized that elimination of tumor organoids by T cells pre-treated with docetaxel was independent of a specific TCR-antigen recognition. To test this, we isolated splenic T cells from Rosa26<sup>mTmG</sup> FVB mice and employed live microscopy to visualize their interactions with KB1P organoids over time *in vitro*. In line with our T cellmediated killing assay [\(Figures 2B](#page-5-0) and 2C), we observed over time a reduction of KB1P organoids growth when co-cultured with either  $CD4^+$  or  $CD8^+$  T cells pre-treated with docetaxel [\(Figures S3A](#page-14-2) and S3B). The killing of cancer organoids was not accompanied by a large infiltration of T cells into the center of the basement membrane extract (BME) to reach the organoids [\(Figures S3C](#page-14-2) and S3D). This demonstrated that T cell-mediated killing upon docetaxel treatment did not require physical contact between a T cell and a cancer cell. To confirm this, we assessed whether inhibition of TCR signaling with a potent and selective inhibitor of lymphocyte cell kinase  $(LCKi)^{29}$  $(LCKi)^{29}$  $(LCKi)^{29}$  would influence the T cell-killing capacity upon docetaxel treatment. LCK inhibition reduced killing of MMTV-PyMT organoids expressing OVA by T cells isolated from inbred OT I mice,  $30,31$  $30,31$  confirming the ability of the LCK inhibitor to block TCR-mediated killing [\(Figure S3](#page-14-2)E). Importantly, LCK inhibition did not impair the ability of docetaxel to increase the cytotoxic activity of either CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> tumor naive T cells against KB1P organoids [\(Figures 2D](#page-5-0)–2G). The direct and TCR-independent increase of T cell-mediated killing upon docetaxel treatment was also confirmed in MMTV-PyMT organoids ([Figures 2H](#page-5-0)–2K). Lastly, to genetically confirm our findings,

we isolated T cells from the spleen of NOD-SCID *II2rg<sup>-/-</sup>* mice, which cannot mature nor produce a TCR response. Pre-treatment with docetaxel triggered T cell-mediated killing of KB1P organoids in this setting [\(Figure S3F](#page-14-2)), further confirming the TCR-independent killing by docetaxel-treated T cells.

Considering that T cell killing upon docetaxel does not appear to rely on TCR activation, we tested whether docetaxel-treated T cells also kill healthy epithelial organoids. We co-cultured docetaxel-treated T cells with healthy mouse mammary epithelial cells (MECs) grown in 3D BME. Intriguingly, we found that apoptosis was induced in only a minor fraction of MECs that were co-cultured with either CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with docetaxel, in contrast to the high levels of apoptosis in cancer organoids ([Figure S3G](#page-14-2)). Additionally, MEC survival was less reduced when co-cultured with docetaxel-treated CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells compared to the tumor organoids ([Figure S3H](#page-14-2)). Together, our data provide evidence that docetaxel treatment induces a TCR-independent T cell killing of cancer organoids specifically, without triggering apoptosis in healthy organoids.

#### Docetaxel increases the anti-tumor activity of human T cells

We next seeked to test the relevance of our findings in the human setting. We worked with Jurkat T cells, an immortalized line of human T lymphocyte cells that is frequently used to study T cell signaling. $32$  Importantly, the Jurkat T cells were not engineered to specifically recognize target cells. Jurkat T cells were pre-treated with 5 nmol/L of docetaxel, a dose that did not significantly reduce the survival of Jurkat T cells ([Figure S3](#page-14-2)I). We co-cultured control- and docetaxel-treated T cells with 7 organoid lines derived from patients with breast cancer and one healthy epithelial mammary organoids ([Figure S3J](#page-14-2)).<sup>[33,](#page-15-21)[34](#page-15-22)</sup> Analysis of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescence staining revealed that in 5 out of the 7 patient-derived organoid lines tested, docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells significantly enhanced cancer organoid apoptosis compared to control Jurkat T cells [\(Figures S3K](#page-14-2) and S3L). We did not observe a significant induction of apoptosis in healthy organoids co-cultured with docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells ([Figures S3M](#page-14-2) and S3N). Next, we tested the ability of docetaxel to induce Jurkat T cell-mediated killing in cancer cell lines derived from human breast tumor, lung adenocarcinoma, melanoma, glioma, cholangiocarcinoma, hepatocellular

Figure 2. Docetaxel directly increases T cell cytotoxic activity

(A) Schematic representation of the isolation of splenic T cells and co-culture with tumor organoids.

<sup>(</sup>B) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent staining for cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) in KB1P organoids following co-culture with either CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m.

<sup>(</sup>C) MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoid survival following co-culture with CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. (D and E) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent cleaved-caspase 3 staining in KB1P organoids and E. MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoid survival, following co-culture with CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence or absence of an LCK inhibitor (LCKi). Scale bar =  $100 \text{ µm}$ .

<sup>(</sup>F and G) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent cleaved-caspase 3 staining in KB1P organoids and G. MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoid survival, following co-culture with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence or absence of an LCKi. Scale  $bar = 100$  um.

<sup>(</sup>H and I) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent cleaved-caspase 3 staining (H) and MTT assay assessment of organoid survival (I) in MMTV-PyMT organoids following co-culture with CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence or absence of an LCKi. Scale

bar = 100 μm.<br>(J. and K) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent cleaved-caspase 3 staining (J) and MTT assay assessment of organoid survival (K) in MMTV-PyMT organoids following co-culture with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence or absence of an LCKi. Scale bar = 100 um. n = 3 biological repeats with three technical replicates per repeat. For [Figure 2](#page-5-0), p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. See also [Figures S2–S4](#page-14-2).





<span id="page-7-0"></span>

*(legend on next page)*

carcinoma or rectal carcinoma [\(Figures S4](#page-14-2)A–S4H), as well as in human healthy retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells and human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293T) cells ([Figures S4I](#page-14-2) and S4J). In this context, we found that in six out of eight human cancer cell lines and none of the human healthy cell lines studied, Jurkat T cells pre-treated with docetaxel increased apoptosis compared to control Jurkat T cells ([Figure S4](#page-14-2)). However, compared to the 3D tumor organoid setting [\(Figures S3I](#page-14-2)–S3N), the induction of apoptosis in these 2D cancer cell lines cocultured with docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells seemed more moderate. Combined, these results demonstrate that docetaxel induces T cell cytotoxicity against tumor cells specifically, not only in the murine setting but also in the context of human cancer models.

#### Docetaxel triggers T cells to release non-classical cytotoxic factors

Our data demonstrated that T cell-mediated killing upon docetaxel did not require a direct contact between T cells and tumor organoids ([Figures S3](#page-14-2)C and S3D) and was not mediated by the TCR ([Figures 2D](#page-5-0)–2K) nor by classical T cell activation [\(Figures S2](#page-14-2)M and S2N). This prompted us to assess whether docetaxel triggered T cells to release non-classical cytotoxic factors, which in turn eliminated tumor organoids. We first tested the response of KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids to treatment with conditioned media (CM) generated by T cells pre-treated with control or docetaxel. We found that both cancer organoid lines had an increased organoid apoptosis and a reduced live organoid count when treated with CM derived from either CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8+ T cells that were pre-treated with docetaxel compared to the control T cell [\(Figures 3](#page-7-0)A–3D). We measured the sensitivity of KB1P and MMTV-PyMT cancer organoids to docetaxel and found that the IC<sub>50</sub> of both lines (IC<sub>50(KB1P)</sub> = 90.49 nmol/L and  $IC_{50(MMTV-PyMT)} = 130.1$  nmol/L) was much higher than the concentration of docetaxel present in CM (10 nmol/L before diluted with organoid medium 1:1) ([Figures S5](#page-14-2)A and S5B). This confirmed that the induction of organoid apoptosis and the reduced cancer organoid survival upon CM treatment were mostly caused by factors released by T cells upon docetaxel treatment rather than by docetaxel that was still present in the CM. Moreover, to test whether taxane is stored by T cells during



treatment and released to target cells, we co-cultured paclitaxeltreated Jurkat T cells with HeLa cells that overexpressed ABCB1 (Pgp). Pgp is an ABC transporter that drives the efflux of compounds such as paclitaxel, $35$  and thereby provides resistance to this drug [\(Figure S5](#page-14-2)C). Paclitaxel-treated Jurkat T cells induced apoptosis and reduced survival in Hela ABCB1 cells to a similar level as for HeLa WT cells [\(Figures S5D](#page-14-2) and S5E). It is therefore unlikely that cancer cell killing by taxane-treated T cells is caused by an uptake and release of taxanes by T cells.

#### Docetaxel induces a release of cytotoxic extracellular vesicles by T cells to eliminate tumor organoids

In order to identify the secreted factors that may be potentially responsible for killing tumor organoids, we next used mass spectrometry to profile the secretome of  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$ T cells treated with docetaxel. Because fetal bovine serum (FBS) used in culturing media contains many proteins that could interfere with mass spectrometry analysis, we first confirmed that T cells pre-treated with docetaxel in the absence of FBS could also target KB1P and MMTV-PyMT or-ganoids ([Figures S5F](#page-14-2) and S5G). We grouped  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$ T cells together in order to obtain enough secreted protein for analysis. Intriguingly, the protein content in the CM from docetaxel-treated T cells was much higher compared to that from control T cells CM ([Figure 3E](#page-7-0) and [Table S1](#page-14-2)). We did not find significant differences in factors that have been reported to drive canonical T cell-mediated killing [\(Table S1\)](#page-14-2). Instead, Gene ontology annotation [\(http://geneontology.org\)](http://geneontology.org) revealed that proteins that are known to be the cargos of extracellular vesicles (EVs) were over-represented in the CM from docetaxel-treated T cells [\(Figure 3](#page-7-0)E, bar graph and [Table S1,](#page-14-2) see proteins in bold). This triggered us to fractionate the CM into an EV fraction and a soluble fraction (SF) (fractions 9/10 and fractions 20/21, respectively) using a generally used size exclusion chromatography protocol (Figure  $3F$ ).<sup>[36](#page-15-24)</sup> We isolated the CM and subsequently the EV fraction and soluble fraction from  $6 \times 10$ ^6 mouse splenic T cells that had been treated with either control or docetaxel. Electron microscopy and NanoSight particle analysis confirmed the presence of EVs in the EV fractions, with a particle diameter of up to 250 nm [\(Fig](#page-14-2)[ure S5](#page-14-2)H). We next performed proteomics analysis of the EV

Figure 3. Docetaxel induces a release of cytotoxic extracellular vesicles by T cells to eliminate tumor organoids

(A and B) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent staining for cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) (A) and MTT assay assessment of organoid survival (B) in KB1P organoids upon treatment with conditioned media generated by CD4+ T cells or CD8+ T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel.

(F) Schematic representation of the isolation of the EV fraction and soluble fraction (SF) released by T cells.

<sup>(</sup>C and D) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent staining for cleaved-caspase 3 (C) and MTT assay assessment of organoid survival (D) in MMTV-PyMT organoids upon treatment with conditioned media generated by CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For A-D, n = 3 biological repeats with three technical replicates *per* repeat, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism and data presented as mean ± SEM.

<sup>(</sup>E) Volcano plot (left) representing extracellular peptides detected in the secretome of T cells treated with a vehicle control (gray) versus docetaxel (purple) and quantification of percentage of proteins identified as extracellular vesicle (EV) in the conditioned media of docetaxel-treated T cells, compared to all proteins detected in the conditioned media of T cells (right bar graph). n = 3 biological repeats with one technical replicate *per* repeat.

<sup>(</sup>G) Volcano plot representing the peptides detected in the EV fractions released by control (gray) versus docetaxel-treated (purple) T cells.

<sup>(</sup>H and I) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescent staining in KB1P organoids (H) and in MMTV-PyMT organoids (I) upon a 72h treatment with EVs isolated from conditioned media generated by control T cells or docetaxel-treated T cells.

<sup>(</sup>J and K) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescent staining in KB1P organoids (J) and in MMTV-PyMT organoids (K) upon a 72h treatment with the soluble fraction (SF) isolated from conditioned media generated by control T cells or docetaxel-treated T cells. For E, G and H-K, n = 3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean ± SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. See also [Figures S5,](#page-14-2) [Tables S1,](#page-14-2) and [S2.](#page-14-2)





<span id="page-9-0"></span>

DAPI/Cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3)

DAPI/Cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3)

Figure 4. 2D cell lines are less sensititive to EVs released by docetaxel-treated T cells and to anoikis compared to matched 3D organoids (A) Quantification of cell death in low attachment plate for KB1P and MMTV-PyMT 3D organoids, 2D cells and 2D to 3D organoids. n = 3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean with SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. (B) Schematic representation of cultures of matched 3D organoids, 2D cell lines and 2D to 3D organoids.

(C and D) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescence staining in KB1P and (D) MMTV-PyMT 3D organoids, 2D cells, 2D to 3D organoids treated with EVs released by control T cells or docetaxel-treated T cells. n = 3 biological repeats, scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism.

fractions. Approximately 80% of proteins detected in the EV fractions overlapped with those identified in the CM ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)G and [Table S2](#page-14-2)). Importantly, in line with our data in the CM [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 3E](#page-7-0)), we found an increased number of proteins in the EV fraction isolated from docetaxel-treated T cells compared to the EV fraction from the control T cells ([Figures 3G](#page-7-0) and [Table S2\)](#page-14-2), which indicates either a differential abundance of EVs or of cargo proteins in EVs upon docetaxel treatment of the T cells. We also attempted to perform transcriptomics analysis of the EV fractions, however the levels of protein coding gene transcripts that we detected were too low to draw meaningful conclusions, likely because of the low amount of RNA contained in the EVs from mouse naïve T cells.

Next, KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids were treated with the EV or soluble fractions isolated from  $6 \times 10^{6}$  T cells. Immunofluorescence analysis of cleaved-caspase 3 demonstrated that the EV fraction isolated from T cells treated with docetaxelinduced apoptosis to a significantly larger extent than the EV fraction isolated from control T cells [\(Figures 3](#page-7-0)H and 3I). Moreover, the type and/or the abundance of EVs in the EV fraction isolated from control-treated T cells did not significantly induce apoptosis in tumor organoids compared to treatment with the control PBS ([Figure S5I](#page-14-2)). Importantly, tumor organoids were also treated with the SF isolated from the CM of T cells and that contained secreted soluble factors but no EVs. We did not observe an induction of apoptosis in either organoid lines

# **Cancer Cell**

<span id="page-10-0"></span>





#### Figure 5. Pre-clinical assessment of the therapeutic benefits of T cells pre-treated with docetaxel

(A) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) immunofluorescent staining in MMTV-PyMT organoids expressing OVA and cocultured with T cells isolated from the spleen of OT I mice upon pre-treatment with a vehicle control or docetaxel. n = 3 biological repeats, scale bar = 100 µm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. (B) Schematic representation of experiment design.

(C and D) Tumor growth in mice bearing KB1P tumors (C) or MMTV-PyMT tumors (D) and transplanted with CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells pre-treated *in vitro* with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For [Figure 5C](#page-10-0), the p value was determined in R using a linear mixed-effects model, for [Figure 5D](#page-10-0), due to the lower number of animals, the p value was determined in Prism GraphPad using a mixed-effects analysis with a Geisser-Greenhouse correction.

(E and F) Kaplan-Meier analysis of survival from time of T cell transfer in mice bearing KB1P tumors (E) or MMTV-PyMT tumors (F) transplanted with CD4+ T cells pre-treated *in vitro* with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For E and F, p = values were determined using a log rank Mantel-Cox test in GraphPad Prism. See also [Figure S5,](#page-14-2) [Tables S1,](#page-14-2) and [S2.](#page-14-2)

[\(Figures 3](#page-7-0)J and 3K). Additionally, we separated the CM into EVenriched and EV-depleted fractions using a second method that involves serial ultracentrifugation steps, as previously described.<sup>[37](#page-15-25)</sup> In this context, we again observed an induction of apoptosis in KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids treated with the EV-enriched fraction isolated from docetaxel-treated T cells compared to control T cells, while treatment with the EV-depleted fraction did not ([Figure S5J](#page-14-2)). Lastly, we isolated the EV-enriched fraction from 118  $\times$  10^9 docetaxel-treated human Jurkat T cells, and also confirmed the dose-dependent killing capacity of the EV-enriched fraction in human MDA-MB-231 tumor cells [\(Figure S5](#page-14-2)K). Interestingly, the amount of EVs required to induce similar levels of apoptosis appeared to be higher in the 2D MDA-MB-231 cell line compared to the mouse 3D tumor organoids ([Figure S5K](#page-14-2) versus [Figures 3](#page-7-0)H and 3I). Indeed, only the highest EV dose triggered a large induction of cell apoptosis (>25%) in the 2D cell line ([Figure S5](#page-14-2)K) that is comparable to the 3D organoids setting [\(Figures 3](#page-7-0)H and 3I).

#### Tumor cells that gain the ability to grow as 2D cell lines have a decreased sensitivity to EV cytotoxicity

As outlined above, the ability of docetaxel-treated T cells to induce apoptosis seems more moderate in 2D cell lines than in 3D organoids. To experimentally validate this, we generated



### **Cancer Cell** OPEN ACCESS **Article**

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

*(legend on next page)*

2D cell lines from the KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids. We noticed that during the generation of the 2D cell lines, dissociation of cells from the organoids and the BME, followed by culturing in 2D culture dishes, led to a large level of cell death, a process often referred to as anoikis.<sup>[38](#page-15-26)</sup> Over time, a population of cells survived, which could be passaged as a 2D cell line, both for the KB1P and MMTV-PyMT models. Interestingly, we observed that compared to the corresponding 3D organoids, cell death occurring due to both low attachment plate/anoikis conditions [\(Figures 4A](#page-9-0) and 4B) and exposure to the EVs from docetaxel-treated T cells was reduced in those 2D cell lines [\(Figures 4](#page-9-0)C and 4D). To test whether the culture conditions caused the different sensitivity to anoikis and to EVs, we retransferred the 2D cell lines into 3D BME to grow 3D organoidlike structures (from hereon named 2D-to-3D structures, [Figures 4A](#page-9-0) and 4B). Strikingly, we observed that both the ability to survive in low attachment/anoikis conditions and the reduced response to EVs that we observed in the 2D cell lines were maintained in the 2D-to-3D structures ([Figure 4](#page-9-0)), suggesting that these properties are stable regardless of the culture conditions. Collectively, tumor cells that gain the ability to grow as 2D cell lines become stably less sensitive to anoikis and to the cytotoxic properties of EVs released by docetaxel-treated T cells.

#### T cells pre-treated with docetaxel display therapeutic benefits in vivo

Over the years, several therapies based on T cells, including TILs or CAR T cells have been developed for clinical use for cancer. However, these therapies are based on TCR-mediated cytotoxicity, while we here show that docetaxel induces a non-canonical and TCR independent T cell cytotoxicity. We therefore next tested the ability of docetaxel to affect T cell-killing capacity in the context of tumor-specific T cells. For this purpose, we used again the OT I/OVA system, in which the TCRs of T cells are designed to recognized the OVA epitope $30,31$  $30,31$  expressed here by the MMTV-PyMT OVA<sup>+</sup> organoids. As expected for TCR-mediated cytotoxicity, control OT I T cells induced apoptosis in MMTV-PyMT OVA<sup>+</sup> organoids ([Figure 5A](#page-10-0)). Importantly, we observed that this cytotoxicity was further enhanced when OT I T cells were pre-treated with docetaxel ([Figure 5](#page-10-0)A), demonstrating that docetaxel treatment has the potential to enhance T cell killing capacity also in tumor-specific T cells.



Next, we questioned whether adoptive transfer of docetaxeltreated T cells may have a therapeutic benefit. To test this, we isolated splenic T cells from healthy, non-tumor-bearing mice and pre-treated them with docetaxel for 72h as before. Subsequently, we intravenously injected a single dose of T cells into mice bearing KB1P or MMTV-PyMT tumors ([Figure 5](#page-10-0)B). Strikingly, in mice that received docetaxel-treated T cells, we observed significantly slower tumor growth compared to mice that were injected with control T cells ([Figures 5C](#page-10-0) and 5D). This demonstrated that T cells pre-treated with docetaxel *ex vivo* had the capacity to kill tumor cells growing *in vivo* and to halt tumor growth [\(Figures 5C](#page-10-0) and 5D). Moreover, monitoring tumor response showed that the anti-tumor capacity of T cells pretreated with docetaxel appeared to be maintained for over ten days *in vivo*. Importantly, in both tumor models, a single transplantation of docetaxel-treated T cells resulted in a significant increase in mouse survival compared to the survival of mice that received control T cells ([Figures 5E](#page-10-0) and 5F). We did not detect significant changes in the recruitment or activation of monocytes, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), dendritic cells, and neutrophils at 24h or 72h after transfer of docetaxel-treated T cells compared to control T cells ([Figures S6](#page-14-2)A and S6B). This suggests that the strong therapeutic effect observed *in vivo* upon transfer of docetaxel-treated T cells may not be mediated by changes in intratumoral myeloid cells.

Collectively, pre-treating T cells *ex vivo* with docetaxel may be used to control tumor growth *in vivo* without the need to systemically administer docetaxel.

#### Docetaxel can further increase T cell cytotoxicity in material derived from patients with cancer

Lastly, we tested the ability of docetaxel to induce T cell-mediated killing in patient-derived tumor-reactive T cells. We previously generated a platform to obtain tumor-reactive patient-derived T cells and to study their interactions with matched patient-derived tumor organoids and healthy organoids.<sup>39,[40](#page-16-1)</sup> We employed previously validated matched tumor-reactive T cells, autologous tumor organoids and autologous healthy lung organoids isolated from a patient with stage 2 (pT2bN1 with one lymph node metastasis) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) to test whether docetaxel treatment of T cells could further increase their anti-tumor potential.<sup>40</sup> Tumor-reactive T cells were induced by culturing peripheral

Figure 6. Docetaxel increases T cell cytotoxicity via EV release in material derived from patients with cancer

(A) Schematic representation of establishment of patient-derived tumor organoids and tumor-reactive T cells.

(E–G) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) immunofluorescent staining in 3 patient-derived cancer organoids upon a 72h treatment with the extracellular vesicle (EV) and soluble fraction (SF) isolated from the conditioned media generated by tumor-reactive T cells treated with a vehicle control or docetaxel. n = 3 biological replicates, data are presented as mean ± SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m.

(H and I) Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 (CC3) immunofluorescence staining in patient-derived lung healthy organoids (patient 1) and colon healthy organoids (patient 3) upon treatment with the EVs and the soluble fraction generated by tumor-reactive T cells treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. n = 3 biological repeats, scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m, data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. See also [Figure S6](#page-14-2).

<sup>(</sup>B) Representative images of co-cultures of human lung cancer organoids with matched tumor-reactive T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, at day 0 and day 1 of co-culture. Scale bar =  $200 \mu m$ .

<sup>(</sup>C) Quantification of luciferase reporter activity in human lung tumor organoids after co-culture with tumor-reactive T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. n = 3 biological replicates with three technical repeats per replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, p values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism.

<sup>(</sup>D) Representative images and quantification of organoid diameter in co-cultures of human lung healthy organoids with matched tumor-reactive T cells pretreated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, at day 1 of co-culture. Scale bar = 200 µm. n = 3 biological replicates with three technical repeats *per* replicate. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism.



blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) with autologous tumor organo-ids, as previously described<sup>39,[40](#page-16-1)</sup> [\(Figure 6](#page-11-0)A). Subsequently, tumorreactive T cells were pre-treated for 72h with 10 nmol/L of docetaxel, a dose that did not significantly alter T cell viability ([Figures S6](#page-14-2)C and S6D). In addition, docetaxel did not increase the expression of CD137, CD107 or PD1 [\(Figures S6E](#page-14-2)–S6G). Moreover, we did not observe an increased secretion of IFN $\gamma$  in docetaxel-treated T cells upon co-culture with tumor organoids ([Figure S6H](#page-14-2)), confirming that docetaxel does not increase the ability of T cells to recognize tumor organoids and does not induce canonical T cell activation in this setting, in line with our findings in mouse T cells. Subsequently, we co-cultured tumor-reactive T cells with matched autologous cancer organoids and healthy organoids. Assessment of organoid viability following co-culture demonstrated that pre-treating T cells with docetaxel indeed increased their anti-tumor capacity against tumor organoids but not against healthy organoids ([Figures 6B](#page-11-0)–6D).

Next, we asked whether patient-derived tumor-reactive T cells also release EVs with killing capacity upon treatment with docetaxel. We treated tumor-reactive T cells isolated from two patients with NSCLC (patient 1 and patient 2 with stage 4 disease) with control or docetaxel and we isolated the EV fraction and SF from the T cell CM. Subsequently, we exposed autologous tumor and healthy organoids to those fractions. Moreover, we treated tumor and healthy organoids isolated from a patient with stage 2 pT2N0 colorectal cancer (patient 3, for which we could not expand enough tumor-reactive T cells) with the EV fraction and SF of the tumor-reactive T cells of patient 2. In line with our observations in mouse T cells, we found in all three patient-derived material that EVs generated by tumor-reactive T cells treated with docetaxel triggered apoptosis in tumor organoids, while the SF did not [\(Figures 6](#page-11-0)E–6G). Moreover, apoptosis was not increased in healthy organoids upon treatment with the EV fraction or the SF ([Figures 6](#page-11-0)H and 6I). Collectively, we find that docetaxel increases tumor-specific T cell killing in material from patients with cancer by inducing a release of cytotoxic EVs.

#### **DISCUSSION**

For decades, patients with cancer have been treated with taxanes; however, their efficacy remains sub-optimal in part due to the administration of low doses of taxanes to manage toxicity. The mode of action of taxanes *in vivo* has been contentious, and this has hindered the design of optimal treatment regimens. Although taxanes induce tumor cell death through mitotic perturbations *in vitro*, [21](#page-15-8),[22](#page-15-9) they appear to exert their anti-tumor effects in *in vivo* cancer models and in patients with cancer through additional means. $4-7$  For instance, taxanes have previously been suggested to alter the immunogenic properties of cancer cells<sup>[41,](#page-16-2)[42](#page-16-3)</sup> and to modulate macrophage cytotoxicity in ro-dents.<sup>[43](#page-16-4)[,44](#page-16-5)</sup> In this manuscript, we report an additional mode of action of taxanes *in vivo*, whereby docetaxel can trigger T cells to directly kill cancer cells by releasing EVs with cytotoxic properties. Interestingly, we find that cancer cells which are selected to grow as 2D cell lines become less sensitive to the cytotoxicity of these EVs. Strikingly, these cells also become less sensitive to induction of cell death in a low-atttachment/anoikis assay. This points to a potential link between sensitivity to anoikis and sensitivity to EV cytotoxicity, which warrants the future study of the in-

### **Cancer Cell** OPEN ACCESS **Article**

duction of anoikis as one of the potential mechanisms of killing of cancer cells by taxane-treated T cells.

Most T cell therapies, including TILs or CAR T cells, are based on TCR-mediated T cell cytotoxicity. Indeed, T cell-mediated killing of target cells is classically thought to be supported by engagement of the TCR upon specific recognition of an antigen. However, other antigen-independent modes of killing by T cells have been described. For example, previous studies have reported that T cells can release cytokines at the cell periphery in addition to secretion at the immunological synapse, upon stimu-lation of IL-2R or IL-15R.<sup>[45,](#page-16-6)[46](#page-16-7)</sup> Moreover, a handful of studies have shown that T cells can release vesicles with cytotoxic properties. $47-49$  Here, we demonstrate that treatment with taxanes can trigger T cells to release cytotoxic EVs and thereby induces T cell-mediated killing, independently of the TCR. Additionally, our data illustrate that the EVs released upon taxane treatment can further enhance T cell killing in the context of TCR-mediated cytotoxicity, both in mouse and patients with cancer. The release of EVs may be mediated by the microtubule-stabilization activity of docetaxel. Microtubules support the trafficking and release of cytokines and vesicles.<sup>[50](#page-16-9)</sup> Indeed, upon stimulation of the TCR, T cells translocate their microtubule organizing center to the contact site, in order to polarize cytokine secretion and release of cytotoxic vesicles.<sup>[50–53](#page-16-9)</sup> Future studies should address whether by stabilizing microtubules, docetaxel can bypass TCR activation to allow direct, untargeted release of cytotoxic EVs in the surrounding environment of T cells.

There are some limitations of the study. Firstly, our study reveals that EVs released by docetaxel-treated T cells trigger apoptosis in tumor cells, however we did not uncover the mechanism driving this process. Indeed, characterization of the content at the protein and RNA levels of EVs released by mouse naive T cells did not provide clear evidence of a particular mechanism of killing. Future work using either more sensitive screening techniques or other experimental T cell systems is required to understand the biological events leading to tumor cell death upon exposure to the EVs. Secondly, the correlation between response to EVs and sensitivity to anoikis remains to be further explored to elucidate whether and how EVs induce anoikis in recipient cells. In line with this, prior to clinical translation of our findings, further work is needed to reveal why healthy cells do not respond to taxane-treated T cells.

Prior studies have shown that taxanes can induce the release of EVs in other cell types including cancer cells.<sup>[54](#page-16-10)</sup> However, the vesicles released by cancer cells displayed pro-metastatic prop-erties,<sup>[54](#page-16-10)</sup> and may therefore have a detrimental effect on cancer progression. Therefore, the here proposed strategy to treat T cells *ex vivo* with taxanes and to transfer them *in vivo* has the potential advantage to circumvent release of pro-metastatic EVs by cancer cells. Another advantage of *ex vivo* treatment of T cells with taxanes is that T cells are exposed to optimal doses of taxanes for 72h. By constrast, pharmacokinetic measurements have shown that the concentration of taxanes decreases rapidly in a time span of hours upon systemic treatment. In agreement with this, we observed that exposing T cells *ex vivo* to stable doses of taxanes for a prolonged period (72h) translates into a large therapeutic benefit upon a single transfer of docetaxel-treated T cells *in vivo*. Considering the relevance of our finding in clinical samples from patients with cancer, our study

has the potential to lead to the development of new T cell-based therapies, where T cells are treated *ex vivo* with optimal, stable doses of taxanes for maximized induction of EV release. Such a strategy can readily be applied to existing T cell therapies in order to boost their anti-tumor efficacy, in the context of both TCRindependent and TCR-mediated anti-cancer immunotherapies.

#### **STAR★METHODS**

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **[KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-17-0)**
- **[RESOURCE AVAILABILITY](#page-20-0)** 
	- $\circ$  Lead contact
	- $\circ$  Materials availability
	- $\circ$  Data and code availability
- d [EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT](#page-20-1) [DETAILS](#page-20-1)
	- $\circ$  Mouse models
	- $\circ$  Cell lines
	- $\circ$  Organoids and T cells from patients with cancer
	- $\circ$  Human breast tumor and healthy organoids
- **O** [METHOD DETAILS](#page-21-0)
	- $\circ$  Organoid culture and treatment
	- $\circ$  Generation and culture of 2D cell lines from mouse tumor organoids
	- $\circ$  Generation and culture of normal mouse mammary epithelial cells (MECs)
	- B *In vivo* transplantation of tumor organoids and monitoring of tumor growth
	- B Drug treatment *in vivo*
	- $\circ$  Flow cytometry isolation of T cells
	- $\circ$  Mouse T cell:organoid co-culture experiments
	- $\circ$  Virus production and transduction of organoids to express OVA
	- $\circ$  Generation of the Hela ABCB1 cells
	- $\circ$  Jurkat:human organoids and cell co-culture experiments
	- O MTT assessment of mouse splenic T cell, Jurkat T cell and Hela cell survival following chemotherapy treatment *in vitro*
	- $\circ$  MTT assessment of organoid and cell survival following co-culture with T cells
	- o Immunofluorescence staining of organoids and microscopy
	- $\circ$  Assessment of mouse T cell canonical activation
	- $\circ$  Screening for cytokine content in T cell supernatant
	- $\circ$  Immunohistochemistry
	- $\circ$  Quantification of cells with a mitotic plate
	- $\circ$  Time-lapse microscopy of co-cultures
	- $\circ$  Mass-spectrometry of conditioned media and extracellular vesicles
	- $\circ$  LC-MS/MS
	- $\circ$  Data analysis
	- $\circ$  T cell immunofluorescence staining and analysis
	- $\circ$  Isolation of extracellular vesicles and soluble fraction by size exclusion chromatography and treatment of tumor organoids and cell lines *in vitro*



- $\circ$  Isolation of extracellular vesicles by ultracentrifugation
- $\circ$  Electron microscopy and NanoSight particle analysis
- $\circ$  Anoikis assay
- $\circ$  Transfer of T cells in mice bearing tumors and characterization of myeloid cells in KB1P tumors
- $\circ$  Experiments using material derived from patients with cancer
- $\circ$  Tumor recognition assay
- $\circ$  Tumor and healthy organoids killing assay
- **. [QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-28-0)**

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.05.009) [ccell.2023.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.05.009).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all members of the van Rheenen laboratory as well as Nathan Moldovan for critical reading of the manuscript. The authors also thank Felipe Rojas Rodriguez, Elzo de Wit, Amber Wezenaar, Maj Buchholz, the Pathology Department, the Animal Research facility and the Flow Cytometry facility of the Netherlands Cancer Institute for technical support. This manuscript was edited at Life Science Editors.

Funding: This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research NWO (Vici grant 09150182110004, CancerGenomics.nl, and the Josef Steiner Cancer Research Foundation (JvR). CV is funded by a fellowship from the Human Frontiers in Science Program. The funders had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the paper; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: C.V., D.M.P, E.E.V., S.C.L., and J.vR.

Methodology: C.V., C.M.C., L.B., S.L., H.G.J.D., T.S., S.V., S.R.B., R.M., L.Az, Validation: C.V., C.M.C., L.B., J.O.L., J.R.TvW., X.M., Formal analysis: C.V., C.M.C, X.M., J.O.L., J.R.T.vW., X.M., S.L., S.V., M.M., L.Az., R.M., Inves-

tigation: C.V., C.M.C., J.O.L., J.R.T.vW., X.M., S.L., S.V., E.T., H.G.J.D. Resources: A.C.R., J.F.D., A.L.Z., D.M.P., E.E.V., S.L., M.I., A.A., L.Ak.

- Writing –Original draft: C.V., E.P. and J.vR.
- Writing Review and editing: all authors.
- Visualization: C.V., C.M.C., L.B., J.vR., Supervision: C.V., D.M.P., E.E.V., J.vR.

Project administration: C.V. and J.vR. Funding acquisition: C.V. and J.vR.

#### DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

J.F.D. is named as inventor on a patent related to organoid technology. C.V., J.vR. and S.C.L. are named as inventors on a patent related to taxane treatment of T cells. The authors have no other competing interests to declare.

Received: April 11, 2022 Revised: February 28, 2023 Accepted: May 11, 2023 Published: June 12, 2023

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>REFERENCES

- <span id="page-14-1"></span>1. [Jordan, M.A., and Wilson, L. \(2004\). Microtubules as a target for anti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref1)[cancer drugs. Nat. Rev. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref1) *4*, 253–265.
- 2. [Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group EBCTCG \(2019\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref2) [Increasing the dose intensity of chemotherapy by more frequent adminis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref2)[tration or sequential scheduling: a patient-level meta-analysis of 37 298](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref2) [women with early breast cancer in 26 randomised trials. Lancet](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref2) *393*, [1440–1452](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref2).



- <span id="page-15-0"></span>3. [Yvon, A.M., Wadsworth, P., and Jordan, M.A. \(1999\). Taxol suppresses](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref3) [dynamics of individual microtubules in living human tumor cells. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref3) Biol. Cell *10*[, 947–959](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref3).
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>4. [Milas, L., Hunter, N.R., Kurdoglu, B., Mason, K.A., Meyn, R.E., Stephens,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref4) [L.C., and Peters, L.J. \(1995\). Kinetics of mitotic arrest and apoptosis in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref4) [murine mammary and ovarian tumors treated with taxol. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref4) [Chemother. Pharmacol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref4) *35*, 297–303.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>5. [Janssen, A., Beerling, E., Medema, R., and van Rheenen, J. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref5) [Intravital FRET imaging of tumor cell viability and mitosis during chemo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref5)[therapy. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref5) *8*, e64029.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>6. [Symmans, W.F., Volm, M.D., Shapiro, R.L., Perkins, A.B., Kim, A.Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref6) [Demaria, S., Yee, H.T., McMullen, H., Oratz, R., Klein, P., et al. \(2000\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref6) [Paclitaxel-induced apoptosis and mitotic arrest assessed by serial fine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref6)[needle aspiration: implications for early prediction of breast cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref6) [response to neoadjuvant treatment. Clin. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref6) *6*, 4610–4617.
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>7. [Milross, C.G., Mason, K.A., Hunter, N.R., Chung, W.K., Peters, L.J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref7) [Milas, L. \(1996\). Relationship of mitotic arrest and apoptosis to antitumor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref7) [effect of paclitaxel. J. Natl. Cancer Inst.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref7) *88*, 1308–1314.
- 8. [Orth, J.D., Kohler, R.H., Foijer, F., Sorger, P.K., Weissleder, R., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref8) [Mitchison, T.J. \(2011\). Analysis of mitosis and antimitotic drug responses](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref8) [in tumors by in vivo microscopy and single-cell pharmacodynamics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref8) Cancer Res. *71*[, 4608–4616](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref8).
- 9. [Maia, A.R.R., Linder, S., Song, J.Y., Vaarting, C., Boon, U., Pritchard,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref9) [C.E.J., Velds, A., Huijbers, I.J., van Tellingen, O., Jonkers, J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref9) [Medema, R.H. \(2018\). Mps1 inhibitors synergise with low doses of taxanes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref9) [in promoting tumour cell death by enhancement of errors in cell division.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref9) [Br. J. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref9) *118*, 1586–1595.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>10. [Zingoni, A., Fionda, C., Borrelli, C., Cippitelli, M., Santoni, A., and Soriani,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref10) [A. \(2017\). Natural killer cell response to chemotherapy-stressed cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref10) [cells: role in tumor Immunosurveillance. Front. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref10) *8*, 1194.
- 11. [Wargo, J.A., Reuben, A., Cooper, Z.A., Oh, K.S., and Sullivan, R.J. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref11) [Immune effects of chemotherapy, radiation, and targeted therapy and op](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref11)[portunities for combination with immunotherapy. Semin. Oncol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref11) *42*, [601–616](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref11).
- 12. Demaria, O., Cornen, S., Daëron, M., Morel, Y., Medzhitov, R., and Vivier, [E. \(2019\). Harnessing innate immunity in cancer therapy. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref12) *574*[, 45–56.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref12)
- 13. [Kersten, K., Salvagno, C., and de Visser, K.E. \(2015\). Exploiting the immu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref13)[nomodulatory properties of chemotherapeutic drugs to improve the suc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref13)[cess of cancer immunotherapy. Front. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref13) *6*, 516.
- 14. [Chen, G., and Emens, L.A. \(2013\). Chemoimmunotherapy: reengineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref14) [tumor immunity. Cancer Immunol. Immunother.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref14) *62*, 203–216.
- 15. [Zitvogel, L., Apetoh, L., Ghiringhelli, F., and Kroemer, G. \(2008\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref15) [Immunological aspects of cancer chemotherapy. Nat. Rev. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref15) *8*[, 59–73](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref15).
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>16. [Hodge, J.W., Garnett, C.T., Farsaci, B., Palena, C., Tsang, K.Y., Ferrone,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref16) [S., and Gameiro, S.R. \(2013\). Chemotherapy-induced immunogenic mod](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref16)[ulation of tumor cells enhances killing by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref16) [distinct from immunogenic cell death. Int. J. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref16) *133*, 624–636.
- 17. Galluzzi, L., Buqué[, A., Kepp, O., Zitvogel, L., and Kroemer, G. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref17) [Immunogenic cell death in cancer and infectious disease. Nat. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref17) [Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref17) *17*, 97–111.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>18. [Zhong, H., Han, B., Tourkova, I.L., Lokshin, A., Rosenbloom, A., Shurin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref18) [M.R., and Shurin, G.V. \(2007\). Low-dose paclitaxel prior to intratumoral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref18) [dendritic cell vaccine modulates intratumoral cytokine network and lung](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref18) [cancer growth. Clin. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref18) *13*, 5455–5462.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>19. [Kester, L., Seinstra, D., van Rossum, A., Vennin, C., Hoogstraat, M., van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref19) [der Velden, D., Opdam, M., van Werkhoven, E., Hahn, K., Nederlof, I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref19) [et al. \(2022\). Differential survival and therapy benefit of breast cancer pa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref19)[tients are characterized by distinct epithelial and immune cell microenvi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref19)[ronments. Clin. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref19) *28*, 960–971, in press.
- 20. [Schmid, P., Adams, S., Rugo, H.S., Schneeweiss, A., Barrios, C.H., Iwata,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref20) H., Diéras, V., Hegg, R., Im, S.A., Shaw Wright, G., et al. (2018).

[Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast can](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref20)[cer. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref20) *379*, 2108–2121.

- <span id="page-15-8"></span>21. Nogales, E., Wolf, S.G., Khan, I.A., Ludueña, R.F., and Downing, K.H. [\(1995\). Structure of tubulin at 6.5 A and location of the taxol-binding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref21) [site. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref21) *375*, 424–427.
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>22. [Schiff, P.B., Fant, J., and Horwitz, S.B. \(1979\). Promotion of microtubule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref22) [assembly in vitro by taxol. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref22) *277*, 665–667.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>23. Duarte, A.A., Gogola, E., Sachs, N., Barazas, M., Annunziato, S., R de Ruiter, J., Velds, A., Blatter, S., Houthuijzen, J.M., van de Ven, M., et al. (2018). BRCA-deficient mouse mammary tumor organoids to study cancer-drug resistance. Nat. Methods *15*, 134–140. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4535) [nmeth.4535.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4535)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>24. [Lin, E.Y., Jones, J.G., Li, P., Zhu, L., Whitney, K.D., Muller, W.J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref24) [Pollard, J.W. \(2003\). Progression to malignancy in the polyoma middle T](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref24) [oncoprotein mouse bresat cancer model provides a reliable model for hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref24)[man diseases. Am. J. Pathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref24) *163*, 2113–2126.
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>25. [Ritsma, L., Vrisekoop, N., and van Rheenen, J. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref25) *In vivo* imaging and [histochemistry are combined in the cryosection labelling and intravital mi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref25)[croscopy technique. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref25) *4*, 2366.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>26. [Ciampricotti, M., Hau, C.S., Doornebal, C.W., Jonkers, J., and de Visser,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref26) [K.E. \(2012\). Chemotherapy response of spontaneous mammary tumors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref26) [is independent of the adaptive immune system. Nat. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref26) *18*, 344–346. [author reply 346](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref26).
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>27. [Dou, J., Zhang, H., Liu, X., Zhang, M., and Zhai, G. \(2014\). In vitro and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref27) [in vivo assessment of docetaxel formulation developed for esophageal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref27) [stents. Collods Surf B Biointerfaces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref27).
- <span id="page-15-17"></span><span id="page-15-16"></span>28. [Davis, M.M., and Bjorkman, P.J. \(1988\). T-cell antigen receptor genes and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref28) [T-cell recognition. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref28) *334*, 395–402.
- 29. [Burchat, A.F., Calderwood, D.J., Hirst, G.C., Holman, N.J., Johnston,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref29) [D.N., Munschauer, R., Rafferty, P., and Tometzki, G.B. \(2000\). Pyrrolo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref29) [\[2,3-d\]pyrimidines containing an extended 5-substituent as potent and se](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref29)[lective inhibitors of lck II. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref29) *10*, 2171–2174.
- <span id="page-15-19"></span><span id="page-15-18"></span>30. [Hogquist, K.A., Jameson, S.C., Heath, W.R., Howard, J.L., Bevan, M.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref30) [and Carbone, F.R. \(1994\). T cell receptor antagonist peptides induce pos](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref30)[itive selection. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref30) *76*, 17–27.
- 31. [Clarke, S.R., Barnden, M., Kurts, C., Carbone, F.R., Miller, J.F., and Heath,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref31) [W.R. \(2000\). Characterization of the ovalbumin-specific TCR transgenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref31) [line OT-I: MHC elements for positive and negative selection. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref31) Cell Biol. *78*[, 110–117](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref31).
- <span id="page-15-21"></span><span id="page-15-20"></span>32. [Montano, M. \(2014\). Model systems. In In Translational Biology in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref32) [Medicine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref32).
- 33. [Sachs, N., de Ligt, J., Kopper, O., Gogola, E., Bounova, G., Weeber, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref33) [Balgobind, A.V., Wind, K., Gracanin, A., Begthel, H., et al. \(2018\). A living](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref33) [biobank of breast cancer organoids captures disease heterogeneity. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref33) *172*[, 373–386.e10](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref33).
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>34. [Dekkers, J.F., van Vliet, E.J., Sachs, N., Rosenbluth, J.M., Kopper, O.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref34) [Rebel, H.G., Wehrens, E.J., Piani, C., Visvader, J.E., Verissimo, C.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref34) [et al. \(2021\). Long-term culture, genetic manipulation and xenotransplan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref34)[tation of human normal and breast cancer organoids. Nat. Protoc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref34) *16*, [1936–1965.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref34)
- <span id="page-15-24"></span><span id="page-15-23"></span>35. [Lagas, J.S., Vlaming, M.L., van Tellingen, O., Wagenaar, E., Jansen, R.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref35) [Rosing, H., Beijnen, J.H., and Schinkel, A.H. \(2006\). Multidrug resistance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref35) [protein 2 is an important determinant of paclitaxel pharmacokinetics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref35) [Clin. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref35) *12*, 6125–6132.
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>36. Bö[ing, A.N., van der Pol, E., Grootemaat, A.E., Coumans, F.A.W., Sturk,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref36) [A., and Nieuwland, R. \(2014\). Single-step isolation of extracellular vesicles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref36) [by size-exclusion chromatography. J. Extracell. Vesicles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref36) *3*, 23430.
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>37. Zomer, A., Maynard, C., Verweij, F.J., Kamermans, A., Schäfer, R., [Beerling, E., Schiffelers, R.M., de Wit, E., Berenguer, J., Ellenbroek,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref37) S.I.J., et al. (2015). *In Vivo* [imaging reveals extracellular vesicle-mediated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref37) [phenocopying of metastatic behavior. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref37) *161*, 1046–1057.
- 38. [Gilmore, A.P. \(2005\). Cell Death Differ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref38) *12*, 1473–1477.



- <span id="page-16-0"></span>39. [Cattaneo, C.M., Dijkstra, K.K., Fanchi, L.F., Kelderman, S., Kaing, S., van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref39) [Rooij, N., van den Brink, S., Schumacher, T.N., and Voest, E.E. \(2020\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref39) [Tumor organoid-T-cell coculture systems. Nat. Protoc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref39) *15*, 15–39.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>40. [Dijkstra, K.K., Cattaneo, C.M., Weeber, F., Chalabi, M., van de Haar, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref40) [Fanchi, L.F., Slagter, M., van der Velden, D.L., Kaing, S., Kelderman, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref40) [et al. \(2018\). Generation of tumor-reactive T cells by Co-culture of periph](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref40)[eral blood lymphocytes and tumor organoids. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref40) *174*, 1586–1598.e12.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>41. [Hu, Y., Manasrah, B.K., McGregor, S.M., Lera, R.F., Norman, R.X., Tucker,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref41) [J.B., Scribano, C.M., Yan, R.E., Humayun, M., Wisinski, K.B., et al. \(2021\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref41) [Paclitaxel induces micronucleation and activates pro-inflammatory cGAS-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref41)[STING signaling in triple-negative breast cancer. Mol. Cancer Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref41) *20*, [2553–2567](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref41).
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>42. [Volk-Draper, L., Hall, K., Griggs, C., Rajput, S., Kohio, P., DeNardo, D., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref42) [Ran, S. \(2014\). Paclitaxel therapy promotes breast cancer metastasis in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref42) [TLR4-dependent manner. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref42) *74*, 5421–5434.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>43. [Manthey, C.L., Perera, P.Y., Salkowski, C.A., and Vogel, S.N. \(1994\). Taxol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref43) [provides a second signal for murine macrophage tumoricidal activity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref43) [J. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref43) *152*, 825–831.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>44. [Burkhart, C.A., Berman, J.W., Swindell, C.S., and Horwitz, S.B. \(1994\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref44) [Relationship between the structure of taxol and other taxanes on induction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref44) [of tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene expression and cytotoxicity. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref44) Res. *54*[, 5779–5782.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref44)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>45. [Huse, M., Lillemeier, B.F., Kuhns, M.S., Chen, D.S., and Davis, M.M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref45) [\(2006\). T cells use two directionally distinct pathways for cytokine secre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref45)[tion. Nat. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref45) *7*, 247–255.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>46. [Stinchcombe, J.C., Bossi, G., Booth, S., and Griffiths, G.M. \(2001\). The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref46) [immunological synapse of CTL contains a secretory domain and mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref46)[brane bridges. Immunity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref46) *15*, 751–761.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>47. [Cai, Z., Yang, F., Yu, L., Yu, Z., Jiang, L., Wang, Q., Yang, Y., Wang, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref47) [Cao, X., and Wang, J. \(2012\). Activated T cell exosomes promote tumor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref47) [invasion via Fas signaling pathway. J. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref47) *188*, 5954–5961.
- 48. [Peters, P.J., Geuze, H.J., Van der Donk, H.A., Slot, J.W., Griffith, J.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref48) [Stam, N.J., Clevers, H.C., and Borst, J. \(1989\). Molecules relevant for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref48) [T cell-target cell interaction are present in cytolytic granules of human T](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref48) [lymphocytes. Eur. J. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref48) *19*, 1469–1475.
- 49. Peters, P.J., Borst, J., Oorschot, V., Fukuda, M., Krähenbü[hl, O., Tschopp,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref49) [J., Slot, J.W., and Geuze, H.J. \(1991\). Cytotoxic T lymphocyte granules are](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref49) [secretory lysosomes, containing both perforin and granzymes. J. Exp.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref49) Med. *173*[, 1099–1109.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref49)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>50. [Goodson, H.V., and Jonasson, E.M. \(2018\). Microtubules and microtu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref50)[bule-associated proteins. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref50) *10*, a022608.
- 51. [Finetti, F., Patrussi, L., Masi, G., Onnis, A., Galgano, D., Lucherini, O.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref51) [Pazour, G.J., and Baldari, C.T. \(2014\). Specific recycling receptors are tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref51)[geted to the immune synapse by the intraflagellar transport system. J. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref51) Sci. *127*[, 1924–1937](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref51).
- 52. Martín-Cófreces, N.B., Baixauli, F., and Sánchez-Madrid, F. (2014). [Immune synapse: conductor of orchestrated organelle movement.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref52) [Trends Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref52) *24*, 61–72.
- 53. [Guedes-Dias, P., Nirschl, J.J., Abreu, N., Tokito, M.K., Janke, C., Magiera,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref53) [M.M., and Holzbaur, E.L.F. \(2019\). Kinesin-3 responds to local microtu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref53)[bule dynamics to target synaptic cargo delivery to the presynapse. Curr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref53) Biol. *29*[, 268–282.e8](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref53).
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>54. [Keklikoglou, I., Cianciaruso, C., G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref54)üç, E., Squadrito, M.L., Spring, L.M., [Tazzyman, S., Lambein, L., Poissonnier, A., Ferraro, G.B., Baer, C., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref54) [\(2019\). Chemotherapy elicits pro-metastatic extracellular vesicles in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref54) [breast cancer models. Nat. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref54) *21*, 190–202.
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>55. [Schipper, K., Seinstra, D., Paulien Drenth, A., van der Burg, E., Ramovs,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref55) [V., Sonnenberg, A., van Rheenen, J., Nethe, M., and Jonkers, J. \(2019\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref55) [Rebalancing of actomyosin contractility enables mammary tumor forma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref55)[tion upon loss of E-cadherin. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref55) *10*, 3800.
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>56. [Conway, J.R.W., Warren, S.C., Herrmann, D., Murphy, K.J., Cazet, A.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref56) Vennin, C., Shearer, R.F., Killen, M.J., Magenau, A., Mélénec, P., et al. [\(2018\). Intravital imaging to monitor therapeutic response in moving hyp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref56)[oxic regions resistant to PI3K pathway targeting in pancreatic cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref56) Cell Rep. *23*[, 3312–3326](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref56).
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>57. [Vennin, C., Chin, V.T., Warren, S.C., Lucas, M.C., Herrmann, D., Magenau,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref57) [A., Melenec, P., Walters, S.N., Del Monte-Nieto, G., Conway, J.R.W., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref57) [\(2017\). Transient tissue priming via ROCK inhibition uncouples pancreatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref57) [cancer progression, sensitivity to chemotherapy, and metastasis. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref57) [Transl. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(23)00176-9/sref57) *9*, eaai8504.





### <span id="page-17-1"></span>STAR+METHODS

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>KEY RESOURCES TABLE







(*Continued on next page*)

# *CellPress* OPEN ACCESS







#### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### <span id="page-20-2"></span>Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jacco van Rheenen [\(j.v.rheenen@nki.nl](mailto:j.v.rheenen@nki.nl))

#### Materials availability

All materials used in this study are available upon request to the [lead contact.](#page-20-2)

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>Data and code availability

The raw mass-spectrometry data is available via Pride [\(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/\)](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/) with the dataset identifier PXD041924. All data generated in this study are available upon request to the [lead contact](#page-20-2). We did not generate new code.

#### <span id="page-20-1"></span>EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

#### Mouse models

Experiments described in this manuscript were approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the Netherlands Cancer Institute and were performed in accordance with national guidelines. All mice were housed in individually ventilated cage (IVC) systems under specific-pathogen-free conditions and received chow and water *ad libitum*. FVB/NRj females (Janvier), C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/ J females (OT I mice, Jackson laboratory),  $|L2ry^{-1}$  females (Netherlands Cancer Institute) and ROSA26<sup>mTmG</sup> FVB/NRj females (Netherlands Cancer Institute) were used at 8–10 weeks of age at the time of fat pad injection of tumor organoids and 8–20 weeks at the time of spleen isolation. Breast cancer organoids were derived from K14-Cre; Brca1<sup>fl/fl</sup>; p53<sup>fl/fl</sup> mouse mammary tumors  $(KB1P<sup>23</sup>)$  and from MMTV-PyMT<sup>24</sup> mouse mammary tumors when mice had reached humane endpoint. MECs were isolated from 12- to 15- week-old female FVB/NRj mice.

#### Cell lines

Tumor cell lines MDA-MB-231, HEP1, A375, RKO, RPE, HEK293T, Jurkat T cells and A549 were obtained and authenticated from ATCC; EGI-1 line was obtained and authenticated from DSMZ. HuH7 was obtained and authenticated from CLS. U251-MG was a kind gift from Elly Hol. HeLa cells were obtained from the Medema Lab at the Netherlands Cancer Institute.

MDA-MB-231, A549, A375, U251-MG, EGI-1, HuH7, HEK293T, Hela and RKO cells were grown in DMEM GlutaMAX (Gibco, Cat. No. 31966047) containing FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801) and Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122). HEP1 cells were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 21875034) containing FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801) and Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122). RPE cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX (Gibco, Cat. No 10565018) containing FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140- 122), and Ultraglutamine (1%, Lonza, Cat. No. BE17-605E/U1). Cells were kept in 20%  $O_2$ , 5%  $CO_2$  and at 37°C and passaged twice a week using TrypLE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 12605-010). Cells were routinely negatively tested for mycoplasma using the MycoAlert PLUS kit (Lonza, Cat. No. LT07-118).

#### Organoids and T cells from patients with cancer

#### Tumor organoids and T cells derived from patients with NSCLC and CRC

Written consent from all patients was obtained under the study NL48824.031.14, approved by the Medical Ethical committee of the Netherlands Cancer Institute. Isolation of tumor and healthy organoids, as well as T cells derived from patients with a confirmed diag-nosis of NSCLC or colorectal cancer was previously described.<sup>[39,](#page-16-0)[40](#page-16-1)</sup> In brief, tumor tissue was isolated using a 18G core needle biopsy or during surgical resection. Next, tumor tissue was mechanically dissociated and enzymatically digested using 1.5 mg/mL collagenase II (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 µg/mL hyaluronidase type IV (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 µmol/L Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich) before embedding in Geltrex. Organoids were regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination using the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza).





Patients' peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by Ficoll-Paque density gradient separation of peripheral blood and cryopreserved until later use. For material from patients with cancer used for co-culture experiment with tumor reactive T cells and for treatment with EVs released by those T cells, the details are as follows. For patient 1 with NSCLC, the stage of the disease was stage 2 (pT2bN1 with one lymph node metastasis). For patient 2 with NSCLC, the stage of the disease was stage 4. Patient 3 had a stage 2 (pT2N0) colorectal cancer.

#### Human breast tumor and healthy organoids

The study protocol 12–427/C and the biobanking protocol HUB-Cancer TcBio#12–093 were approved by the medical ethical committee UMC Utrecht. All patients signed informed consent forms approved by the responsible authority. Tumor organoids from pa-tients with breast cancer and from healthy donor was previously described.<sup>[33,](#page-15-21)[34](#page-15-22)</sup> Briefly, tumor tissue was mechanically and enzymatically digested in Advanced DMEM/F12 containing 1x Glutamax, 10 mmol/L HEPES and 1–2 mg/mL collagenase (Sigma, Cat. No. C9407) before embedding in type 2 BME. Breast tumor organoids identified with the code 13T, 27T, 62T and 100T were isolated from patients with Grade 3 ductal carcinoma with invasive growth. Breast tumor organoid line 36T was isolated from a patient with Grade 2 ductal carcinoma with invasive growth. Breast tumor organoid line 38T was isolated from patient with Grade 1 adenocarcinoma and breast tumor organoid line 169M was isolated from a patient with metastatic disease. Organoids were regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination using the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza).

#### <span id="page-21-0"></span>METHOD DETAILS

#### Organoid culture and treatment

Mouse breast cancer organoids were derived from K14-Cre; Brca1<sup>fl/fl</sup>; p53<sup>fl/fl</sup> mouse mammary tumors (KB1P<sup>23</sup>) and from MMTV-PyMT<sup>[24](#page-15-11)</sup> mouse mammary tumors. Both lines were cultured in 50  $\mu$ l drops of Cultrex PathClear Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Extract Type 2 (BME, Amsbio, Cat. No. 3533-005-02). KB1P organoids were cultured in Advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (adDMEM/F12; Thermo Fisher Scientific. Cat. No. 12634-010) containing Hepes (10 mmol/L; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15630-056), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122), B27 (2% Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 17504-044), N-acetylcysteine (1.25 mmol/L; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. A9165) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF, 12 ng/ ml; Invitrogen, Cat. No. PHG0261). MMTV-PyMT organoids were cultured in DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX (Gibco, Cat. No 10565018) supplemented with 10 mmol/L Hepes (Gibco, Cat. No 15630106), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140- 122), B27 (2% Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 17504-044) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF, 12 ng/ml; Invitrogen, Cat. No. PHG0261). Organoids were kept in 20%  $O_2$ , 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and at 37°C. Organoid cultures were routinely negatively tested for mycoplasma using the MycoAlert PLUS kit (Lonza, Cat. No. LT07-118). For passaging, organoids were split using TrypLE Express (Gibco, Cat. No. 12605010) while shaking for 10–15 min at 900 rpm and 37°C. For *in vitro* treatment, organoids were treated with doxorubicin (5 nmol/L) or its vehicle control PBS; docetaxel (5 nmol/L) or its vehicle control PBS and cyclophosphamide (5 mmol/L) or its vehicle control PBS.

Human CRC organoids were cultured in Advanced DMEM/F12 (Ad-DF+++; GIBCO) supplemented with 2 mmol/L Ultraglutamine I (Lonza), 10 mmol/L HEPES (GIBCO), 100/100 U/ml Pencillin/Streptomycin (GIBCO), 10% Noggin-conditioned medium, 20% R-spondin1-conditioned medium, 1x B27 supplement without vitamin A (GIBCO), 1.25 mmol/L N-Acetylcysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mmol/L nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 ng/mL human recombinant EGF (Peprotech), 500 nM A83-01 (Tocris), 3 m<sup>M</sup> SB202190 (Cayman Chemicals) and 10 nmol/L prostaglandin E2 (Cayman Chemicals). Human wild-type colon organoid medium is identical to CRC medium with the addition of 50% Wnt3a-conditioned medium. Human NSCLC and healthy organoid medium was composed of Ad-DF+++, 10% Noggin-conditioned medium, 10% R-spondin1-conditioned medium, 1x B27 supplement, 1.25 mmol/L N-Acetylcystein, 10 mmol/L nicotinamide, 25 ng/mL human recombinant FGF-7 (Peprotech), 100 ng/mL human recombinant FGF-10 (Peprotech), 500 nmol/L A83-01, 1 μmol/L SB202190, 5 μmol/L Y-27632.

Organoids derived from patients with breast cancer and from human milk were cultured in type 1 medium while milk-derived organoids were cultured in type 2 medium, which are described here. $34$ 

#### Generation and culture of 2D cell lines from mouse tumor organoids

KB1P and MMTV-PyMT 2D cell lines were generated by washing the BME out and dissociating tumor organoids in TrypLE Express (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 12605010) while shaking for 20 min at 37°C. Cells were next plated in 2D on plastic-bottom plates and were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 10564011) supplemented with FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122), EGF (5 ng/ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 53003018) and Insulin (5 µg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No. I0516) in 20% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Cells were kept in culture for a minimum of 10 days after being plated on plastic before functional assays, to remove cells that die during this process. 2D cells that were re-transferred into a 3D BME environment were kept in culture for the same duration before performing functional assays.

#### Generation and culture of normal mouse mammary epithelial cells (MECs)

MECs were isolated from 12- to 15- week-old female Friend Virus B mice, as previously described.<sup>[55](#page-16-11)</sup> This matches the age of FVB mice from which tumors were isolated for preparing tumor organoids. MEC were cultured in BME and in DMEM/F12



(Gibco, Cat. No. 10565-018) containing fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122), epidermal growth factor (EGF, 5 ng/ml; Peprotech, Cat. No. AF-100-15), insulin (5 ng/ml; Sigma, Cat. No. IO516-5ML) and cholera toxin (Gentaur, Cat. No. MBS600443). MECs were kept in 20% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and at  $37^{\circ}$ C.

#### In vivo transplantation of tumor organoids and monitoring of tumor growth

For tumor generation, tumor organoids were transplanted into the 4th mammary fat pad of FVB female mice. Rimadyl (0.067 mg/ml, Zoetis) was administered to mice in the drinking water from 24h before surgery and for 48h after surgery. Mice were also treated with temgesic (0.1 mg/kg, Indivior Europe Limited), 1h before and 12h after surgery. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (2%, v/v) and their eyes were covered with duratears (Alcon). 100,000 single cells derived from KB1P organoids or 200,000 single cells derived from MMTV-PyMT organoids were injected in 30 µl of BME (Amsbio, Cat. No. 3533-005-02). Mice were weighed and monitored three times a week after transplantation of tumor organoids. Tumor volume was measured with calipers three times weekly. The researcher performing the measurement of tumor volume was blinded to the treatment group. For survival experiments, endpoint was reached when the tumor reached 1500 mm<sup>3</sup>. Mice were sacrificed upon the humane endpoint. The schematic representations of treatment timelines are depicted in the figures of the manuscript.

#### Drug treatment in vivo

Once tumors were established and reached a size of  $\sim$ 7x7 mm, mice were randomized into treatment groups with the following drug dosages and timeline:

- d AC + T treatment: every 14 days, cyclophosphamide (144 mg/kg, administered *via* intraperitoneal injection), doxorubicin (5 mg/ kg, administered *via* intravenous injection) and docetaxel (25 mg/kg, resuspended in acetonitrile containing 0.1% acetic acid, administered *via* intravenous injection).
- d AC treatment: every 14 days, cyclophosphamide (144 mg/kg, administered *via* intraperitoneal injection) and doxorubicin (5 mg/kg administered *via* intravenous injection).
- d Docetaxel treatment: every 14 days, docetaxel (25 mg/kg, re-suspended in acetonitrile containing 0.1% acetic acid and administered *via* intravenous injections).
- d Vehicle control for chemotherapy: every 14 days, the same volume of the vehicle as the corresponding chemotherapy was administered to mice. Vehicle for cyclophosphamide: saline; vehicle for doxorubicin: saline; vehicle for docetaxel: acetonitrile containing 0.1% acetic acid.
- IgG treatment: on day 1, day 3 and day 5 prior to treatment with vehicle control or with chemotherapy, treatment with 400 µg (day 1), or 200 mg (day 3 and day 5) *per* mouse with *InVivo*MAb rat IgG2b isotype control (BioXCell, clone LTF-2, Cat. No. BE0090).
- $\alpha$ CD4 treatment: on day 1, day 3 and day 5 prior to treatment with vehicle control or with chemotherapy, treatment with 400 µg (day 1), or 200 mg (day 3 and day 5) *per* mouse with *InVivo*MAb anti-mouse CD4 (BioXCell, clone GK1.5, Cat. No. BE003-1).
- $\bullet$   $\alpha$ CD8 treatment: on day 1, day 3 and day 5 prior to treatment with vehicle control or with chemotherapy, treatment with 400  $\mu$ g (day 1), or 200 mg (day 3 and day 5) *per* mouse with *InVivo*MAb anti-mouse CD8 (BioXCell, clone YTS 169.4, Cat. No. BP0117).

#### Flow cytometry isolation of T cells

#### Preparation of tissues

For isolation of T cells from mammary tumors, tumors were isolated, adjacent lymph nodes were removed and samples were mechanically dissociated using scalpel blades. Subsequently, enzymatic digestion of the tissue was performed in a mix containing collagenase A (3 mg/mL, Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No.10103578001) and DNAse (25 µg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No. 10104159001), diluted in DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX (Gibco, Cat. No 10565018) containing FBS (10%), at 37°C for 1h in a rotating incubator. Samples were next filtered on a 70-µm cell strainer (Corning), washed in DMEM/F12 containing FBS (10%) and in FACS buffer (PBS, 5% FBS and 5 mmol/L EDTA). For isolation of T cells from the spleen of FVB female mice, the tissue was gently mechanically dissociated using a scalpel blade and glass slides.

#### Staining protocol

For both mammary tumors and spleen tissues, samples were next incubated in a red cell lysis buffer for 5 min at room temperature (NH4Cl 155 mmol/L, KHCO3 1 mmol/L, 0.1 mmol/L EDTA diluted in MilliQ water, pH=7.4). Subsequently, samples were blocked for 5 min at room temperature in a blocking buffer (FACS buffer containing 1:50 Fc block CD16/CD32 (BD Biosciences, Clone 2.4G2, Cat. No. 553141)). Next, samples were incubated with primary antibodies in the dark for 45 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C (anti-CD11b-BV650 (1:1200, BD Bioscience, clone M1/70, Cat. No. 563402); anti-CD4-PE (1:300, ThermoFisher, clone GK1.5, Cat. No. 12-0041-82) or anti-CD4-eFluor660 (1:300, BD Bioscience, clone GK1.5, Cat. No. 50-0041-82); and anti-CD8-eFluor660 (1:100, ThermoFisher, clone 53-6.7, Cat. No. 50-0081-82)). Samples were sorted on a Fusion Cell Sorter (Becton Dickinson). Gating strategies are depicted on [Figures S1](#page-14-2) and [S2.](#page-14-2)





#### Mouse T cell:organoid co-culture experiments Preparation of T cells

T cells isolated from mouse spleens or from mouse mammary tumors were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 21875034) containing FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801), Penicillin/ streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122), IL-2 (150U/mL; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. PMC0025), b-mercaptoethanol (50  $\mu$ mol/L) and Ultraglutamine (2 mmol/L, Lonza, Cat. No. BE17-605E/U1). T cells were kept in 20% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and at  $37^{\circ}$ C.

In order to assess the direct effects of docetaxel on T cell activation for T cells isolated from the spleen, T cells were cultured in the absence of CD3 and CD28 antibodies to avoid inducing classical T cell activation.

For T cells isolated from mouse mammary tumors, T cells were directly co-cultured with tumor organoids after FACS isolation. For T cells isolated from the spleen, T cells were treated for 72h with PBS or with docetaxel (10 nmol/L), in the presence or absence of an LCKi (10 µmol/L, Merck Millipore, Cat. No. 213743-31-8). To test the docetaxel-specificity of our observations, T cells were treated with paclitaxel 10 nmol/L or carboplatin 50 µmol/L for 72h. Subsequently, T cells were collected and washed 3 times in PBS to remove residual chemotherapy or LCKi.

#### Preparation of organoids

Tumor organoids or MECs grown in 3D BME were gently dissociated in TrypLE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 12605-010) for 10 min at 37°C into small organoids (3–5 cells per organoids). Organoids and MECs were plated in 5 µl of BME in a 96-well plate.

T cells were added with a 1:3 ratio (T cell:tumor cell/MEC) in cell number. Cells were co-cultured in a 1:1 mix of T cell medium: organoid/MEC medium for 72h.

For treatment of organoids with conditioned medium generated by T cells, T cells were pre-treated with PBS or with docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h. T cells were removed from the conditioned medium by centrifugation and the freshly isolated conditioned media were mixed with organoid medium (1:1 ratio) and added to the organoids for 72h.

#### Virus production and transduction of organoids to express OVA

For lentivirus production, 80% confluent human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were used in 10 cm dish (Greiner, Cat. No. 664160). Per dish, 7.5  $\mu$ g of psPAX2, 2.5  $\mu$ g PMD2.G and 10  $\mu$ g of the plv-OVA-mPlum construct (gift from the Peeper lab at the Netherlands Cancer Institute) were mixed in 1 mL Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 31985070). 40 µL lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 11668019) were added to the plasmid mix and incubated at room temperature for 15 min before being added to the HEK 293T cells. 18h later, the medium was refreshed with DMEM GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 31966047) supplemented with streptomycin/penicillin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140122). After 48h, the medium was collected and filtered through a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter (Millipore, Cat. No. SLGS033SS). Filtered medium was concentrated with an Amilcon Ultra-15 10k column (Millipore, Cat. No. UFC905024) for 1 h at 4,000 g. Breast cancer organoids isolated from a fully developed tumor in a MMTV-PyMT mouse with a C57BL/6NRj background were trypsinized into smaller clusters and incubated with 250 µL virus, 100 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No. TR-1003-G) and 10 µmol/L Y-27632 (Bio Connect, Cat. No. S1049). Spin infection was done at 36°C, 600 g for 1 h and organoids were subsequently incubated at 37°C for 6 h. Next, organoids were washed twice with DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 10565018) and plated in BME. Complete DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 10565018), supplemented with 10 mmol/L Hepes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15630106), streptomycin/penicillin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140122), 10.08 ng/mL FGF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. PHG0261), B27 supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 17504001) and 10 µmol/L Y-27632 (Bio Connect, Cat. No. S1049) was added to the organoids for 2 days. Organoids were selected with 0.5 µg/mL hygromycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat. No. 10687-010).

#### Generation of the Hela ABCB1 cells

Hela cells (obtained from the Medema Lab at the Netherlands Cancer Institute) were transduced with a lentiviral overexpression construct of the ABCB1 ORF, with the sequence that can be found in [Data S2](#page-14-2) related to [STAR Methods.](#page-17-1) The Hela ABCB1 cell line was derived by subsequent Taxol selection (100 nmol/L of Taxol).

### Jurkat:human organoids and cell co-culture experiments

#### Preparation of Jurkat T cells

Jurkat T cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 21875034) containing FBS (10%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A4766801), Penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 15140-122), and Ultraglutamine (2 mmol/L, Lonza, Cat. No. BE17-605E/U1). Jurkat T cells were kept in 20% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and at 37°C.

Jurkat T cells were treated for 72h with PBS or with docetaxel ( 5 nmol/L) or with paclitaxel (5 nmol/L). Subsequently, Jurkat T cells were collected and washed 3 times in PBS to remove residual chemotherapy.

Jurkat T cells were added with a 2:1 ratio (T cell:tumor or healthy cell) in cell number. Organoids and cells were co-cultured with Jurkat T cells in a 1:1 mix of cancer/healthy organoids/cell medium:Jurkat cell medium for 72h.



#### MTT assessment of mouse splenic T cell, Jurkat T cell and Hela cell survival following chemotherapy treatment in vitro

100,000 T cells were seeded *per* well in a 96-well plate and treated with increasing doses of docetaxel, paclitaxel or carboplatin for 72h. Next, cells were incubated with Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (0.5 mg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No. 298-93-1) for 3 h in the dark at 37°C. Subsequently, cells were incubated for 16h in the dark in a lysis buffer (40% dimerhylformamide, 0.55 mol/L sodium dodecyl sulfate, 2% acetic acid, 8.4 mmol/L HCl in MilliQ water). Plates were read with a 565 nm wavelength.

#### MTT assessment of organoid and cell survival following co-culture with T cells

Following co-culture, medium was removed and cultures were washed in PBS to remove T cells. Organoids and cells were incubated with Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (0.5 mg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, Cat. No. 298-93-1) for 3 h in the dark at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Subsequently, organoids and cells were incubated for 16h in the dark in a lysis buffer (40% dimerhylformamide, 0.55 mol/L sodium dodecyl sulfate, 2% acetic acid, 8.4 mmol/L HCl in MilliQ water). Plates were read with a 565 nm wavelength.

#### Immunofluorescence staining of organoids and microscopy

Organoids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature, followed by permeabilization in 0.2% TritonX-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. A block was next performed in 5% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)/PBS for 2h at room temperature, followed by staining overnight at 4°C with anti-cleaved caspase 3 (1:200, Asp175, Cell Signalling, Cat. No. 9661). Appropriate Alexa Fluor labelled secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was combined with DAPI (1 µg/ml) and incubated for 2h in the dark at room temperature.

Images were acquired on an inverted Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Mannheim, Germany), in 8 bit with a 20x dry immersion objective (HCX PL APO CS 20.0x0.70 DRY UV)). Fiji was used for quantification.

#### Assessment of mouse T cell canonical activation

#### Flow cytometry analysis

T cells isolated from the mouse spleen were treated with PBS or docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h. For a positive control of canonical activation, some T cells were treated with 50 ng/ml PMA (Abcam, Cat. No. ab120297) and 1 µg/mL ionomycin (MedChem Express, Cat. No. HY-13434) for 3h at 37°C. T cells were then treated with a Golgi Plug (1:1000, BD Biosciences, Cat. No. 555029) at 37°C. Next, T cells were collected by centrifugation, washed in PBS 3 times and blocked for 5 min in FACS buffer containing 1:50 Fc block CD16/CD32 (BD Biosciences, Clone 2.4G2, Cat. No. 553141). T cells were then stained with cell surface markers (CD69-PE DAZZLE (Biolegend, Cat. No. 104536); Foxp3-AF488 (BD Bioscience, Cat. No. 567453); PD1-BV421 (BD Bioscience, Cat. No. 562584) at 4°C in the dark, washed with PBS and stained with a live/dead marker (Zombie InfraRed, 1:1000 in PBS) in the dark, at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Cells were washed in PBS, and permeabilized in a Foxp3 fixation/permeabilization buffer (eBioscience, Cat. No. 00-5523-00) for 30 min, in the dark and at 4°C. Next, cells were washed twice in permeabilization buffer (eBioscience, Cat. No. 00-5523-00), blocked for 5 min in FACS buffer containing 1:50 Fc block and stained with intracellular markers (Perforin-PE (BioLegend, Cat. No. 1454305), GranzymeB-Pacific Blue (GrzB, BioLegend, Cat. No. 515407), Ki67-BV786 (BD Bioscience, Cat. No. 563756), TNFa-BB700 (BD Bioscience, Cat. No. 566511)) for 30 min, in the dark at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Cells were washed in FACS buffer and analysed with a Becton Dickinson Fortessa. Acquired data were analyzed with FlowJo software.

#### Screening for cytokine content in T cell supernatant

T cells isolatedfrom the spleen were treated with PBS or docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h. For a positive control of canonical activation, some T cells were treated with 50 ng/ml PMA (Abcam, Cat. No. ab120297) and 1 µg/mL ionomycin (MedChem Express, Cat. No. HY-13434) for 3h at 37°C. Next, conditioned media were collected and T cells were removed by centrifugation. Cytokine content was assessed using the LegendPlex Multi-Analyte Flow Assay kit for mouse Th Cytokine panel VbP VO3 (BioLegend, Cat. No. 741044), as per the manufacturer's instruction. 650 µg of protein were resuspended in 25 µl for analysis. Each sample was measured in duplicate.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Tumors were collected and fixed in EAF (ethanol/acetic acid/formaldehyde/saline at 40:5:10:45 v/v) and embedded in paraffin. Next, <sup>4</sup> mm-thick sections were stained with CD4 (eBiosciences, Cat. No. 14\_9766\_80) or CD8 (eBiosciences, Cat. No. 14–0808). The stained sections were reviewed with a Zeiss Axioskop2 Plus microscope (Carl Zeiss microscopy, Jena, Germany).

#### Quantification of cells with a mitotic plate

Cells with a mitotic plate were identified on Hematoxylin and Eosin stained sections, based on nuclear condensation and cellular shape, as previously performed.<sup>[56](#page-16-12),[57](#page-16-13)</sup> For each tumor, 10 fields of view (FOV) were analyzed and the number of cells with a mitotic plate *per* FOV was plotted.

#### Time-lapse microscopy of co-cultures

T cells and KB1P organoids were co-cultured as described above. Images were acquired on a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (Mannheim, Germany) in 12-bit with a 20x dry immersion objective (HCX PL APO CS 20.0x0.70 DRY UV). Time-lapse imaging was analyzed using Zeiss software and ImageJ software.





#### Mass-spectrometry of conditioned media and extracellular vesicles

T cells isolated from the mouse spleen were treated with PBS or docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h, in the absence of FBS. For analysis of the conditioned media, conditioned media were collected and T cells were removed by centrifugation.To analyse the proteins contained in EVs, EVs were isolated using sepharose beads, as described in the relevant Method sub-section of this manuscript. For each repeat, we isolated the EVs released by 6x10^6 T cells treated with PBS or with docetaxel. Samples were processed for mass-spectrometry as follows. Proteins in the conditioned media were precipitated with subsequent addition of 4x (v/v) MeOH, 1x (v/v) CHCl3 and 3x (v/v) MQ. The protein pellet was resuspended in digestion buffer, containing 1% SDC, 100 mmol/L Tris pH 8.5, 5 mmol/L Tris(20carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) and 30 mmol/L CAA. Trypsin (1:50) and LysC (1:100) were added and proteins were digested overnight at 37°C. Digestion was terminated and SDC was precipitated by addition of 10% FA to a final concentration of 0.5% FA (v/v). SDC was removed by centrifugation. Peptides were desalted using Oasis HLB plates (Waters).

#### LC-MS/MS

Microflow LC-MS/MS was performed using an Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled to an Orbitrap Exploris™ 480. Lyophilized phosphopeptides were resuspended in 1 % (v/v) formic acid and injected, trapped and washed on a trapcolumn ( $\mu$ -Precolumn, 300 $\mu$ m i.d. x 5mmC18 PepMap100, 5  $\mu$ m, 100 Å (Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. 160454)) for 5 min at a flow rate of 5 µL/minute with 92% buffer A (0.1 FA, in HPLC grade water). Peptides were subsequently transferred onto an analytical column (75µm x50 cm Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 2.7µm, Agilent Technology, packed in-house) and separated at 40°C at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min using a 175 min (for conditioned media samples) or a 90 min (for EVs) linear gradient from 9% to 36% buffer B (0.1% FA, 80% ACN). Electrospray ionization was performed using 1.9kV spray voltage and a capillary temperature of 275°C. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode: full scan MS spectra (m/z 375 – 1,600) were acquired in the Orbitrap at 60,000 resolution for a maximum injection time set to auto-mode with a standard AGC target. High resolution HCD MS2 spectra were generated using a normalized collision energy of 28%. MS2 scans were acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer at a resolution of 30,000 (isolation window of 1.4Th) with a standard AGC target and an automatic maximum injection time. Precursor ions with unassigned charge state as well as charge state of 1+ or superior/equal to 6+ were excluded from fragmentation.

#### Data analysis

Raw files were processed using MaxQuant software (version 1.6.17.0) and the Andromeda search engine was used to search against *Mus Musculus* database (Uniprot reviewed, 17090 entries) with the following parameters: trypsin digestion with a maximum of 2 missed cleavages, carbamidomethylation of cysteines (57.02 Da) as a fixed modification and methionine oxidation (15.99 Da) as variable modification. Mass tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm at the MS1 level and 20 ppm at the MS2 level. The False Discovery Rate (FDR) was set to 1% for peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs) and protein identification using a target-decoy approach, minimum peptide length was set to 7 residues. Relative label-free quantification was performed using the MaxLFQ algorithm with the minimum ratio count set to 2. LFQ intensities were log2 transformed and only proteins with at least two valid values in each conditions were considered. Relative label-free quantification was performed using iBAQ algorithm, intensities were log2 transformed and only proteins with at least two valid values were considered.

#### T cell immunofluorescence staining and analysis

Mouse T cells isolated from the spleen and treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel (10 nmol/L for 72h) were seeded on glass coverslips pre-coated with poly-D-lysine (Thermo Fisher Cat. No. A3890401) and with aCD3 (eBioscience, Cat. No. 56-0033-80) for 15 min. Next, for immunofluorescence staining of tubulin, cells were extracted for 1 min with pre-warmed (37°C) extraction buffer composed of MRB80 (80 mmol/L K-PIPES pH 6.8, 4 mmol/L MgCl2, 1 mmol/L EGTA) supplemented with 0.35% Triton X-100 and 0.2% glutaraldehyde, followed by fixation using pre-warmed (37°C) 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 min. Cells were washed with PBS and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. Epitope blocking and antibody labeling steps were performed in PBS with 3% BSA. After staining and washing with PBS, cells were air dried and mounted in DAPI-containing Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Cat. No. H-1200-10). The following primary antibody was used: rabbit anti-tubulin (clone EP1332Y, Abcam, Cat. No. ab52866). Secondary antibody was highly cross-adsorbed Alexa Fluor-594-conjugated goat antibody against rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For labeling of actin, Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated Phalloidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 12379) was included during secondary antibody incubation. STED imaging was performed using a Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X microscope equipped with a HC PL Apo 100x/1.40 Oil STED WHITE objective. A pulsed white laser (80 MHz) was used for excitation, and a 775 nm pulsed depletion laser was used. The internal Leica GaAsP HyD hybrid detectors were used with a time gate of  $1 \leq tg \leq 6$  ns. The set-up was controlled using LAS X.

For fluorescence intensity quantifications, samples were imaged on a spinning disk confocal setup consisting of an inverted research microscope Nikon Eclipse Ti-E (Nikon) equipped with the perfect focus system (Nikon), Plan Apo VC 100x N.A. 1.40 oil objective (Nikon), spinning disk Yokogawa CSU-X1-A1 with 405-491-561-642 quad-band mirror (Yokogawa). The system was equipped with an ASI motorized stage with piezo plate MS-2000-XYZ (ASI), Prime BSI sCMOS camera (Photometrics) and controlled by the MetaMorph 7.10 software. For excitation, 405 nm 100 mW Stradus (Voltran), 491 nm 100 mW Calypso (Cobolt), and 561 nm 100 mW Jive (Cobolt) lasers were used in combination with ET-BFP2 (49021, Chroma), ET-GFP (49002, Chroma), and ET-mCherry (49008, Chroma) filter sets, respectively. Z-stacks of cells were acquired with a step size of 0.25  $\mu$ m.



All images were processed using ImageJ. For quantification of fluorescence intensity, sum projections were made for each z stack using ImageJ. Next, the raw integrated density of a square box around individual cells of interest per image, as well as an empty region in the field of view, were calculated using ImageJ. Per image, the background intensity from the empty region was subtracted from the measured integrated density for each cell in the field of view to give the background corrected intensity per cell.

#### Isolation of extracellular vesicles and soluble fraction by size exclusion chromatography and treatment of tumor organoids and cell lines in vitro

T cells isolated from the mouse spleen or tumor-reactive T cells from patients with NSCLC<sup>[39,](#page-16-0)[40](#page-16-1)</sup> were treated with PBS or docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h. Next, conditioned media were collected and T cells were removed by centrifugation. Conditioned media were spun twice at 500g for 10 min and twice at 2000g for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. For isolation of extracellular vesicles (EVs), Sepharose CL-2B (GE Healthcare, Cat. No. 17-0140-01) were first washed in PBS. Next, columns were prepared by adding a nylon panty hose into the outlet of a syringe. Sepharose was next stacked into the column and allowed to settle until 10 ml of stacked column was reached. Subsequently, 1.5 ml of conditioned medium were carefully added to the column and sample collection of fractions of 0.5 ml started immediately. When the conditioned medium had entered the Sepharose, a few drops of PBS were added progressively. Once the PBS had entered the Sepharose, more PBS was added until filling the column entirely. PBS was continuously added during the collection of all fractions. Fractions 9 and 10 contain the majority of EVs with a high purity, fractions 20 and 21 contain the majority of proteins and high-density lipoproteins. Next, collected fractions were loaded onto Amicon Ultra 2 ml columns with a 10 kDa cut off (Merck, Cat. No. UFC201024). Fractions were centrifugated at 3,000 g for at least 10 min and samples were recovered by placing the filter unit upside down and centrifugating at 1,000 g for 2 min. Following isolation, EV fractions and soluble fractions were kept in  $-80^{\circ}$ C conditions until functional assays. Each sample was resuspended in a specific volume to normalize the EV fraction or soluble fraction concentration to the initial number of T cells from which they were derived. To test the killing ability of EVs, tumor organoids and 2D-to-3D structures were seeded in 5 ul of BME and 2D tumor cells were seeded on a glass-bottom 96-well plate (Greiner-BioOne, Cat. No. 655076). Except for the dose response in MDA-MB-231 ([Figure S5](#page-14-2)K), organoids and cells were treated with the EV fraction or with the soluble fraction at a 1:10 dilution in organoid or cell media. Mouse cancer organoids (3D and 2D-to-3D) and cancer cells (2D) (KB1P and MMTV-PyMT) were treated with EVs released by 6-8x10^6 T cells; patient-derived tumor organoids were treated with EVs released by 7x10^6 patient-derived tumor reactive T cells. 72h after the initiation of treatment with EVs, cultures were washed and fixed for immunohistochemical assessment of cleaved-caspase 3.

#### Isolation of extracellular vesicles by ultracentrifugation

T cells isolated from the mouse spleen or Jurkat T cells were treated with PBS or with docetaxel (10 nmol/L and 5 nmol/L, respectively) for 72h. Subsequently, conditioned media were collected and T cells were removed by centrifugation at 1500g for 5 min. Next, conditioned media were spun twice at 500g for 10 min and twice at 2000g for 15 min, at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Subsequently, the EVs were isolated as previously described.<sup>[37](#page-15-25)</sup> Supernatant was centrifuged twice at 16,500g for 20 min. The supernatant was next spun at 100,000g for 1h. Afterwards, the supernatant (containing soluble factors without the EVs) was removed and concentrated using Amicon Ultra columns with a 10 kDa cut off (Merck, Cat. No. UFC201024). These samples are the 'EV-depleted fraction'. The pellets obtained after the first centrifugation at 100,000g were pooled and centrifuged once more at 100,000g for 1h. The resulting pellets containing the EV-enriched fractions were resuspended in PBS and concentrated using Amicon Ultra 2 ml columns with a 10 kDa cut off (Merck, Cat. No. UFC201024). The EV-enriched and EV-depleted fractions were kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further use. Each sample was resuspended in a specific volume to normalize the sample concentration to the initial number of T cells from which they are derived.

#### Electron microscopy and NanoSight particle analysis

For electron microscopy measurements, EV fractions derived from mouse T cells and obtained using sepharose beads were spotted on carbon/formvar-coated mesh grids. After blotting off the excess liquid, the samples were contrasted by 2% uranylacetate (Polysciences Inc, Cat. No. 21447-25) in water for 1 minute, the excess stain was blotted off and grids was air dried. Vesicular structures were imaged in a 60 kV JEOL1010 (JEOL) TEM at 60,000x magnification using a 4k x 2.6k pixel CCD side-mounted camera (Modera, EMsis GmbH).

The distribution of EV diameter was analyzed using a NanoSight. The measurements report the tracking of Brownian motion of individual nanoparticles detected by scattered light, as a function of temperature and dispersing medium viscosity.

#### Anoikis assay

Response to anoikis was determined by seeding cells at a density of 30,000 cells per 500ul of culture medium in a 24-well ultra-low adherence cluster polystyrene culture dish (Corning, Cat. No. 4527). 48h later, cells were harvested and resuspended in 100 µl of PBS containing DAPI. Signals were acquired on a four-laser Fortessa flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed on FlowJo software.

#### Transfer of T cells in mice bearing tumors and characterization of myeloid cells in KB1P tumors

CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated from the spleen of non-tumor bearing, untreated FVB female mice, based on DAPI-/CD11b<sup>-</sup>/CD4<sup>+</sup> expression. T cells were treated *in vitro* with PBS or docetaxel (10 nmol/L) for 72h. Subsequently, T cells were washed three times in PBS to





remove residual docetaxel and 2x10<sup>^6</sup> T cells resuspended in 100 µl HBSS were transferred by intravenous injections into tumorbearing mice. Importantly, tumors at the time of intravenous transfer of T cells had a size between 100 mm $^3$  and 250 mm $^3$ . Transfer of docetaxel-treated T cells into mice bearing larger tumors (>300 mm<sup>3</sup>) led to mouse death shortly after T cell transfer. Histopathological analysis did not reveal significant tissue damage in those mice, therefore the cause of death was not identified. Transfer of control T cells in mice bearing large tumors never led to mouse death.

For [Figures S6A](#page-14-2) and S6B, 24h and 72h after transfer of T cells, mice were sacrificed and tumors were collected. The lymph nodes were removed and tumors were minced and digested for 45 min at 37°C in DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX containing 0.2% trypsin and 0.2% collagenase A (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 101035860001). Next, tumors were blocked in FACS buffer containing Fc block CD16/CD31 (1:50; BD Biosciences, Clone 2.4G2, Cat. No. 553141) for 20 min, at room temperature. Subsequently, samples were stained with extracellular antibodies for 30 min in the dark, at 4°C: (Ly6C-BV605 (1:600; BD Biosciences, Cat. No. 755205); CD11b-BV421 (1:1200, Biolegend, Cat. No. 566313); F4/80-PE-Cy7 (1:400, Biolegend, Cat. No. 123113); CD11c-PE-Cy5.5 (1:400, eBiosciences, Cat. No. 35-0114-82), CD103-PE/Dazzle (1:200, Biolegend, Cat. No. 121429); PDL1-PE (1:400, Biolegend, Cat. No. 124307); CD45-AF700 (1:200, Biolegend, Cat. No. 103127), Ly6G-APC (1:150, Biolegend, Cat. No. 127613). Next, samples were stained with Zombie NIR for 15 min in the dark at  $4^{\circ}$ C before being permeabilized and fixed using a permeabilization kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 00-5523-00) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Samples were then stained with intracellular antibody (Ki67- BV786, 1:200, BD Bioscience, Cat. No. 563756). Signals were acquired on a four-laser Fortessa flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed on FlowJo software.

#### Experiments using material derived from patients with cancer Generation of tumor organoids reactive PBMC

Tumor-reactive patient T cells were generated by co-culturing PBMCs and autologous tumor organoids as described previously.<sup>[39](#page-16-0),[40](#page-16-1)</sup> In brief, following incubation with 200 ng/ml IFN<sub>Y</sub> for 24 h, patient tumor organoids were dissociated into single cell suspensions using TripLE Express. Tumor organoid cells were mixed with patient PBMCs (20:1 tumor cell/PBMC ratio) and 10<sup>5</sup> cells were seeded in each well of a U-bottom 96-well plate pre-coated with 5  $\mu$ g/ml  $\alpha$ CD28 antibody. Co-culture medium consisted of RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2 mmol/L Ultraglutamine I, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 10% human AB serum, 150 U/ml rh-IL-2 and 20 µg/ml aPD-1 blocking antibody (kindly provided by Merus, The Netherlands). Medium, IL-2 and aPD-1 were refreshed every 2–3 days. PBMCs were harvested and re-stimulated every 7 days by re-plating with fresh tumor organoid cells. After two weeks of co-culture, PBMCs were harvested and used for downstream analysis or cryopreserved for later use.

#### Tumor recognition assay

Tumor-reactive PBMC were thawed in pre-warmed T cells medium and incubated for 15 min with 25 U/mL Benzonase. After washing, cells were re-suspended at 2–3×10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in T cell medium and cultured overnight at 37°C. T cell medium consisted of RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2 mmol/L Ultraglutamine I, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 10% human AB serum and 150 U/ml rh-IL-2. The day after, PBMC were incubated with (or without) 10 nmol/L docetaxel for 48 h.

For evaluation of tumor reactivity, 10<sup>5</sup> PBMCs were re-stimulated with autologous tumor organoids or autologous healthy organo-ids (isolated from Geltrex for 48h and stimulated with 200 ng/ml IFN<sub>Y</sub> for 24h, as described in<sup>[39](#page-16-0)[,40](#page-16-1)</sup>) at a 2:1 effector:target ratio and seeded in  $\alpha$ CD28 coated plates in the presence of 20  $\mu$ g/ml  $\alpha$ PD-1 and co-cultured for 24 hours. At the end of the 24h, 50  $\mu$ l of the assay supernatant were taken on the side and used for the quantification of the secreted IFN $\gamma$ . To this purpose, the Human IFN- $\gamma$  Flex Set (BD bioscience) was used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

For the evaluation of CD137, CD107a and PD-1 expression, at the end of the 24h, cells were washed twice in FACS buffer and stained with the following antibodies:  $\alpha$ CD3 PerCPCy5.5,  $\alpha$ CD4 FITC,  $\alpha$ CD8 BV421,  $\alpha$ CD137 APC (BD Pharmingen, Cat. No. 550890), aCD107a (BD Pharmingen, Cat. No. 555801), aPD-1 (BD Horizon, Cat. No. 566846) and nearIR viability dye for 30 min at 4°C in the dark. PBMCs stimulated with 50 ng/ml PMA (Sigma Aldrich) and 1 µg/ml ionomycin (Sigma Aldrich) served as positive controls and PBMCs cultured without tumor stimulation as negative controls. Cells were then washed twice with FACS buffer and recorded with a Becton Dickinson Fortessa or LSRII flow cytometer.

#### Tumor and healthy organoids killing assay

To express luciferase in the tumor organoids, we used pLenti CMV Puro LUC (w168–1; Plasmid #17477; Addgene).

Tumor-reactive PBMC were thawed in pre-warmed T cell medium and incubated for 15 min with 25 U/ml Benzonase. After washing, cells were re-suspended at  $2-3\times10^6$  cells/ml in T cell medium and cultured overnight at 37°C. The day after, PBMC were incubated with (or without) 10 nmol/L docetaxel for 48 h.

To determine the sensitivity of tumor and healthy organoids to T cell–mediated killing, flatbottom non-tissue culture treated plates were coated with 5  $\mu$ g/ml  $\alpha$ CD28 and kept at 4°C overnight prior to co-culture. Tumor organoids were previously transduced with luciferase reporter gene. Organoids were isolated from Geltrex 48 hours prior to co-culture and stimulated with 200 ng/ml IFN $\gamma$ for 24 h prior to co-culture. The next day, part of the organoids were dissociated to single cells and counted using a hemocytometer. This was used to infer the number of tumor cells *per* tumor organoid to allow co-culture of organoids and T cells at a 5:1 effector:target ratio. Next, organoids and tumor reactive T cells were resuspended in the T cell medium.  $\alpha$ CD28 coated plates were washed twice with PBS and 10<sup>4</sup> organoids were seeded for 72 h in triplicate without T cells or with 5x10<sup>4</sup> autologous tumor-reactive T cells (incubated or not with docetaxel). At the end of the 72 hours, tumor and healthy organoids viability in the different conditions was evaluated





by microscopy, taking bright-field pictures at 5 and 10X magnification. Tumor cell viability in the different conditions was also measured by luciferase reporter assay using 3 µg/mL luciferin. Luminescence was measured with a Tecan reader (1,000 ms exposure).

#### <span id="page-28-0"></span>QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Unless stated otherwise in the figure legends, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Kaplan Meier survival curves were analyzed with a log-rank Mantel-Cox test in GraphPad Prism. Statistical analysis of tumor growth depicted in [Figure 5](#page-10-0)D was performed in Prism GraphPad using a mixed-effects analysis with a Geisser-Greenhouse correction. Statistical analyses of tumor growth curves depicted in Figures [1](#page-3-0)B, 1C, and [5C](#page-10-0) were performed in R using a linear mixed-effects model analysis with the script detailed in Annex 1. p-values are depicted on the graphs in the figures of this manuscript.

Cancer Cell, Volume 41

### Supplemental information

### Taxanes trigger cancer cell killing in vivo

### by inducing non-canonical T cell cytotoxicity

Claire Vennin, Chiara M. Cattaneo, Leontien Bosch, Serena Vegna, Xuhui Ma, Hugo G.J. Damstra, Moreno Martinovic, Efi Tsouri, Mila Ilic, Leyla Azarang, Jan R.T. van Weering, Emilia Pulver, Amber L. Zeeman, Tim Schelfhorst, Jeroen O. Lohuis, Anne C. Rios, Johanna F. Dekkers, Leila Akkari, Renee Menezes, Rene Medema, Serena R. Baglio, Anna Akhmanova, Sabine C. Linn, Simone Lemeer, Dirk M. Pegtel, Emile E. Voest, and Jacco van Rheenen



**Figure S1. Docetaxel does not induce mitotic defects in vivo, depleting antibodies efficiently ablate CD4 and CD8 T cells from KB1P tumors, quantification of intratumoral T cells in KB1P and MMTV-PyMT tumors upon treatment with chemotherapy, role of T cells in driving MMTV-PyMT tumors response to docetaxel. Related to Figure 1.**

A. Schematic representation of treatment timeline with vehicle control or docetaxel in KB1P or

MMTV-PyMT tumor models. B and C. KB1P tumor volume (B) and MMTV-PyMT tumor volume (C) during treatment with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For B and C, n=4 mice per treatment group, data are mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined in Prism GraphPad using a mixedeffects analysis with a Geisser-Greenhouse correction. D and E. Representative H&E images (top panels) and quantification (lower panel) of cells with a mitotic plate in KB1P tumors (D) and in MMTV-PyMT tumors (E) treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For D and E, n=4 mice per treatment group, data are mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar= 100 μm, arrows point to cells with a mitotic plate. F. Representative images and quantification of CD4 positive cells in KB1P tumors treated with an IgG control or with a CD4-depleting antibody. G. Representative images and quantification of CD8 positive cells in KB1P tumors treated with an IgG control or with a CD8-depleting antibody. For F and G, tumors were isolated from mice treated as depicted in Fig. 1G at endpoint; and data are mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. H. Gating strategy for FACS isolation of intratumoral T cells from KB1P or MMTV-PyMT tumors in ROSA<sup>nTinG</sup> female mice. I. Quantification of T cell infiltration in KB1P tumors treated with a vehicle control  $(n=8 \text{ mice})$ , AC  $(n=8 \text{ mice})$ , or AC + T  $(n=6 \text{ mice})$ . J. Quantification of T cell infiltration into MMTV-PyMT tumors treated with a vehicle control, AC, or AC + T. n=3 mice per group. K. MMTV-PyMT organoid viability, assessed by MTT, following co-culture with intratumoral T cells isolated from MMTV-PyMT tumors treated *in vivo* with a vehicle control, AC or AC + T. n=3 mice per group. L

2

and M. Representative images and quantification of CD4 (L, control  $n=10$  mice; docetaxel  $n=9$ mice) and CD8 (M, control n=6 mice; docetaxel n=5 mice) immunohistochemical staining in KB1P tumors treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. Unless stated otherwise on the figure, scale bar= 200 μm. For I-M, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. N. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis in mice bearing MMTV-PyMT tumors treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel upon administration of an IgG control or of depleting antibodies against CD4 T cells only, CD8 T cells only or CD4 and CD8 T cells. For N, the number of mice per treatment group is depicted in the figure. p-values were determined using a log-rank Mantel-Cox test in GraphPad Prism.



**Figure S2. AC+T treatment does not render KB1P organoids more sensitive to T cell killing; FACS gating strategy for isolation of splenic T cells and optimization of treatment of T cells with docetaxel; taxane-specific increase of T cell-mediated killing of tumor organoids and analysis of markers of classical T cell activation. Related to Figures 1 and 2.**

A. Schematic representation of isolation of intratumoral T cells from control KB1P tumors and cocultured with KB1P organoids pre-treated with a vehicle control, AC or AC+T. B. MTT assay assessment of survival of KB1P organoid pre-treated with control, AC or AC+T and co-cultured with T cells isolated from control KB1P tumors. n=3 biological replicates with three technical repeats, data are mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. C. Gating strategy for FACS isolation of splenic T cells. D. MTT assay assessment of survival of T cells treated with increasing doses of docetaxel. n=3 biological repeats with three technical replicates, data are presented as mean +/- SEM. E. Representative STED images of immunofluorescence staining for tubulin and F-actin with phalloidin in mouse splenic T cells treated with vehicle control or with docetaxel. Scale bar= 5 μm. F. Quantification of microtubule and F-actin staining shown in (E), imaged with a spinning disk microscope. n=60 for control and n=62 for docetaxel-treated T cells pooled from 3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean with SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. G and H. MTT assay assessment of survival of T cells treated with increasing doses of paclitaxel (G) or carboplatin (H). Data are mean +/- SEM. I. MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoids survival upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with paclitaxel. J. MTT assay assessment of KB1P organoids survival upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with carboplatin. K. MTT assay assessment of MMTV-PyMT organoids survival upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with paclitaxel. L. MTT assay assessment of MMTV-PyMT organoids survival upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with carboplatin. For I-L, data are

5

presented as mean +/- SEM, n=3 biological repeats, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. M. Quantification of the percentage of T cells positive for 7 markers of T cell activation status by flow cytometry and upon a 72h treatment with a vehicle control, docetaxel or PMA and ionomycin. n=3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM. N. Assessment of the concentration of 12 cytokines in the conditioned media of T cells upon a 72h treatment with control, docetaxel or PMA and ionomycin. n=3 biological repeats. For N, each sample was measured in duplicates. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM.



**Figure S3.** *In vitro* **killing of KB1P organoids by T cells pre-treated with docetaxel is not mediated by direct T cell:organoid contact or by the TCR; tumor organoids are more sensitive to T cell killing upon docetaxel treatment than healthy cells and docetaxel induces Jurkat T cell-mediated killing of patient-derived breast cancer organoids. Related to Figure 2.**

A. Representative images of KB1P organoids and quantification of changes in organoid perimeter during co-culturing with CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from the spleen of healthy ROSA<sup>mTmG</sup> female mice and pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. B. Representative images of KB1P organoids and quantification of changes in organoid perimeter during co-culturing with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from the spleen of healthy ROSA<sup>mTmG</sup> mice and pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. For A and B, data are mean +/- SEM, scale bar= 100 μm. C. Representative images of T cells isolated from the spleen of ROSA<sup>mTinG</sup> accumulating on the edge of the BME drop, failing to infiltrate deep inside the BME drop. The white dotted line depicts the edge of the BME drop. For A and B, images were acquired in the center of the BME drop, while for C, images were taken on the edge of the BME drop. D. Quantification of the number of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (left) and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (right) on the edges of the BME drop (dotted line) and in the center of the BME drop (solid line) during the duration of the co-culture experiment, after pre-treatment of T cells with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM. E. Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent staining for cleaved-caspase 3 in MMTV-PyMT organoids expressing OVA and co-cultured with T cells isolated from the spleen of OT I mice, upon treatment with the LCKi. p-values were determined using a two-tailed paired t test. F. Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescent staining for cleaved-caspase 3 in KB1P organoids co-cultured with T cells isolated from the mouse spleen of NSG mice, upon treatment with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. n=3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean with SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar= 100 μm. G. Representative images of immunofluorescence staining for cleaved-caspase 3 in mammary epithelial cells (MEC) grown in 3D BME and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 positive cells in MEC, KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. n=3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar= 100 μm. H. MTT assay assessment of survival in MEC, KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids upon co-culture with CD4 and CD8 T cells pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel. n=3 biological repeats. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. I. MTT assay assessment of Jurkat T cell survival upon treatment with docetaxel (5 nmol/L for 72h). Data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. J. Schematic representation of the establishment of tumor organoids from breast cancer patients<sup>33,34</sup> and coculture with Jurkat T cells. K. Representative images and (L) quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescent staining in 7 breast cancer patient-derived organoids co-cultured with a vehicle control or docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells. Data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar= 100 μm. M-N. Representative images (M) and quantification (N) of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescent staining in healthy epithelial human-derived organoids<sup>33,34</sup> co-cultured with control or docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells. n=3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. Scale bar= 100 μm.

9





Ċ +docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells

**RPE** 

# **Figure S4. Docetaxel induces Jurkat T cell-mediated killing in human cancer cell lines. Related to Figure 2.**

A-H Representative images and quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 staining upon co-culture with control Jurkat T cells or docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells in human A breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, B melanoma cell line A375, C lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549, D cholangiocarcinoma cell line EGI1, E and F hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines HEP1 and HuH7, G rectal carcinoma cell line RKO, H glioma cell line U251-MG, I RPE and J HEK293T cells. n=3 biological repeats. For Figure S4, data are presented as mean +/- SEM. Scale bar= 100 μm. pvalues were determined using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test.



**Figure S5. Docetaxel IC50 for KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoid lines; ABCB1 expression does not protect against T cell killing after paclitaxel treatment; the absence of fetal bovine serum (FBS) does not influence docetaxel-driven activation of T cells** *in vitro***, and further characterization of the EVs released by docetaxel-treated T cells. Related to Figure 3.**

A and B. MTT measurements of KB1P (A) and MMTV-PyMT (B) organoid survival upon treatment with increasing doses of docetaxel for 72h. C. MTT measurements of Hela WT and Hela ABCB1+ cell survival upon treatment with increasing doses of paclitaxel for 72h. D. Quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 positive cells in Hela WT and (E) Hela ABCB1+ cells following co-culture with Jurkat T cells, pre-treated with a vehicle control or with 10 nmol/L paclitaxel for 72h. E. MTT assay assessment of survival of Hela WT and Hela ABCB1+ cells following co-culture with Jurkat T cells, pre-treated with a vehicle control or with 10 nmol/L paclitaxel for 72h. For A-E, n=3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. F. MTT assay assessment of survival of KB1P organoids following co-culture with CD4 or CD8 T cells, pretreated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence (left) or absence (right) or FBS. G. MTT assay assessment of survival of MMTV-PyMT organoids following co-culture with CD4 or CD8 T cells, pre-treated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, in the presence (left) or absence (right) or FBS. n=3 biological repeats with three technical replicates *per* repeat, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. H. Electron microscopy images of EVs isolated from conditioned media generated by control T cells or docetaxel-treated T cells and NanoSight particle analysis displaying the particle concentration on the y-axis, in relation to the particle diameter on the x-axis, for EVs isolated from T cells treated with a vehicle control or docetaxel for 72h. n=1 biological repeat. Scale bar= 100 nm. I. Quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescence staining in KB1P organoids upon treatment with PBS at the same dilution as treatment with EVs depicted in Figure 3. J. Quantification of cleaved-caspase 3

13

immunofluorescence staining in KB1P and MMTV-PyMT organoids treated with fractions enriched for EVs and depleted of EVs released by control and docetaxel-treated T cells and isolated using serial steps of ultracentrifugation. K. Quantification of cleaved-caspase 3 immunofluorescence staining in MDA-MB-231 cells upon exposure to increasing doses of EVs released by docetaxel-treated Jurkat T cells. For I-K, n=3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean with SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism.



# **Figure S6. Myeloid cells are not significantly altered in the TME of KB1P tumors upon transfer of docetaxel-treated T cells; and docetaxel does not enhance classical activation nor tumor recognition by human tumor reactive T cells. Related to Figures 5 and 6.**

Quantification of intratumoral infiltration of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) (CD45+,CD11b<sup>+</sup> , Ly6G- , F4/80+), neutrophils (CD45<sup>+</sup> , CD11b<sup>+</sup> , Ly6G<sup>+</sup> , Ly6C+), dendritic cells (DCs) (CD45+, F4/80, CD11c+, MHCIIhigh), and Ly6C high cells (CD45+, CD11b+, Ly6G, Ly6Chi) at 24h (A) and 72h (B) after transfer of control or docetaxel-treated T cells into mice bearing KB1P tumors. n=3 mice per treatment group for the 24h timepoint and n=4 mice per treatment group for the 72h timepoint. For A and B, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. C. Gating strategy for FACS isolation of CD4 and CD8 T cells from lung cancer patient-derived PBMCs 39,40. D. Quantification of live cells in PBMC derived from a lung cancer patient and treated with a control vehicle or with docetaxel 10 nmol/L for 48 h. n=3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. E. Quantification of CD137 expression, F. CD107 expression and G. PD1 expression on tumor reactive CD4 and CD8 T cells alone, cultured in the presence of cancer organoids and upon treatment with PMA and ionomycin. T cells were pretreated with a vehicle control or with docetaxel for 48h. CD137 is used as a readout of TCR engagement. n=3 biological repeats, data are presented as mean +/- SEM, p-values were determined using unpaired, nonparametric t-test with a Mann-Whitney U correction in GraphPad Prism. H. Quantification of IFNγ secretion by T cells upon a 48h-treatment with a vehicle control or with docetaxel, as a readout of cytotoxic activity. n=1 or 2 biological repeats, data are presented as mean.