

Check for updates **Research**

Arabidopsis thaliana rosette habit is controlled by combined light and energy signaling converging on transcriptional control of the TALE homeobox gene ATH1

Shahram Shokrian Hajibehzad 1,2 1,2 1,2 (D, Savani S. Silva 1 , Niels Peeters 1 , Evelien Stouten 1 , Guido Buijs 1 , Sjef Smeekens^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6631-9426)} and Marcel Proveniers^{1,2}

¹Molecular Plant Physiology, Department of Biology, Science4Life, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, Utrecht, 3584 CH, the Netherlands; ²Translational Plant Biology, Department of Biology, Science4Life, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, Utrecht, 3584 CH, the Netherlands

Author for correspondence: Marcel Proveniers Email: m.proveniers@uu.nl

Received: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 3 May 2023

New Phytologist (2023) 239: 1051–1067 doi: 10.1111/nph.19014

Key words: ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX 1 (ATH1), internode development, meristem activity, photomorphogenesis, rosette habit, TOR kinase.

Summary

• In the absence of light signals, Arabidopsis plants fail to develop the rosette habit typical for this species. Instead, plants display caulescent growth due to elongation of rosette internodes. This aspect of photomorphogenic development has been paid little attention and molecular events involved, downstream of photoreceptor signaling, remain to be identified.

 Using a combination of genetic and molecular approaches, we show that Arabidopsis rosette habit is a photomorphogenic trait controlled by induction of ARABIDOPSIS THALI-ANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) as downstream target of multiple photoreceptors.

• ATH1 induction prevents rosette internode elongation by maintaining the shoot apical meristem (SAM) rib zone area inactive and requires inactivation of photomorphogenesis inhibitors, including PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR (PIF) proteins. ATH1 activity results in tissue-specific inhibition of PIF expression, establishing double-negative feedbackregulation at the SAM. Light-requirement for ATH1 expression can be overcome by high sugar availability to the SAM. Both sugar and light signals that induce ATH1 and, subsequently, rosette habit are mediated by TOR kinase.

 Collectively, our data reveal a SAM-specific, double-negative ATH1-PIF feedback loop at the basis of rosette habit. Upstream, TOR kinase functions as central hub integrating light and energy signals that control this for Arabidopsis quintessential trait.

Introduction

Plants are equipped with sophisticated mechanisms to sense the environment and to adapt their growth and development accordingly. Being photoautotrophs, plants are especially attuned to the light environment. This is well-illustrated by the dramatic differences in appearance between light- and dark-grown seedlings. In Arabidopsis, dark-grown seedlings have a typical etiolated phenotype, characterized by an elongated hypocotyl, apical hook formation, closed cotyledons, and an arrested shoot apical meristem (SAM). Exposure to light results in inhibition of hypocotyl elongation, apical hook opening, opening and expansion of cotyledons, and SAM activation (Chen & Chory, [2011;](#page-14-0) Pfeiffer et al., [2016;](#page-15-0) Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0); Janocha et al., [2021](#page-15-0)). The active SAM gives rise to the aerial plant structures. During the vegetative phase, leaf primordia arise in a spiral phyllotaxy to form a basal rosette in which internode elongation remains arrested. In the absence of light, SAM activity can be induced by exposing the SAM to metabolizable sugar, such as sucrose (Araki & Komeda, [1993](#page-14-0); Roldán et al., [1999\)](#page-15-0). Both light- and sugarmediated SAM activation involve TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN

(TOR) kinase, a central component in energy sensing, such that it promotes SAM activity in favorable conditions (Pfeiffer et al., [2016;](#page-15-0) Li et al., [2017](#page-15-0); Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0); Janocha et al., [2021\)](#page-15-0). It has been proposed that light, via photoreceptor signaling through CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHO-GENIC1 (COP1), plays a permissive role toward energy signaling in the SAM, possibly by controlling sugar import into the meristem (Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0)). This might explain why direct access of the SAM to metabolizable sugar can activate the meristem in the absence of light.

Sugar-induced dark morphogenesis in Arabidopsis follows the same developmental phases as in light-grown plants. However, contrary to light-grown plants, in sugar-induced plants, stem elongation is not inhibited during vegetative development. Consequently, such plants fail to display a rosette habit and elongated internodes are present between adjacent 'rosette' leaves (Roldan et al., [1999;](#page-15-0) Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0)). Similar loss of rosette habit has been observed in light-grown plants lacking several phytochrome (phy) and/or cryptochrome (CRY) photoreceptors (Devlin et al., [1996](#page-14-0), [1998](#page-14-0), [1999](#page-14-0), [2003;](#page-14-0) Whitelam & Dev-lin, [1997;](#page-16-0) Whitelam et al., [1998](#page-16-0); Roldán et al., [1999;](#page-15-0) Mazzella

New Phytologist © 2023 New Phytologist Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

New
Phytologist

et al., [2000](#page-15-0); Franklin et al., [2003](#page-14-0); Hu et al., [2013](#page-15-0)). In addition, ambient temperature has been reported to modulate lightregulation of rosette habit. At elevated ambient temperature, phyB and CRY1 redundantly suppress elongation of vegetative internodes (Mazzella et al., [2000\)](#page-15-0). A compact rosette habit thus is a *bona fide* photomorphogenic trait in Arabidopsis. However, despite numerous observations and the economic importance of rosette habit in vegetable crops, this aspect of photomorphogenic development has been paid little attention and molecular events involved downstream of photoreceptor signaling remain to be identified.

In Arabidopsis, internode elongation reflects the activity of the basal part of the SAM, the rib zone (RZ). In light-grown plants, the RZ is compact and mitotically inactive during vegetative growth, resulting in the formation of a compact rosette. At floral transition, the RZ becomes activated to provide cells for rapid elongation of inflorescence internodes of the inflorescence stem (Vaughan, [1955;](#page-16-0) Sachs et al., [1959](#page-15-0); Peterson & Yeung, [1972;](#page-15-0) Jacqmard et al., [2003;](#page-15-0) Bencivenga et al., [2016](#page-14-0); Serrano-Mislata et al., [2017](#page-16-0)). Previously, ectopic expression of ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) was shown to suppress growth of the inflorescence stem, due to inhibition of inter-node elongation (Cole et al., [2006;](#page-14-0) Gómez-Mena & Sablowski, [2008](#page-15-0); Rutjens et al., [2009](#page-15-0); Ejaz et al., [2021\)](#page-14-0). In wildtype plants, ATH1 is expressed at the vegetative SAM. At floral transition, when stem growth is initiated, ATH1 is rapidly downregulated. In plants lacking functional ATH1, the subapical region, where the RZ is located, is enlarged during vegetative development, suggesting that ATH1 restricts growth of this part of the SAM (Proveniers et al., [2007;](#page-15-0) Gómez-Mena & Sablowski, [2008\)](#page-15-0). In line with this, light-grown ath1 mutants display slightly elongated rosette internodes, resembling those of higher order photoreceptor mutants (Li et al., [2012;](#page-15-0) Ejaz et al., [2021](#page-14-0)). ATH1 was originally identified in a screen for light-regulated genes and its expression is induced by light during seedling de-etiolation (Quaedvlieg et al., [1995\)](#page-15-0). In dark-grown seedlings lacking COP1, ATH1 transcript levels are elevated as well, suggesting that *ATH1* expression is under the control of this negative regulator of photomorphogenesis (Quaedvlieg et al., [1995;](#page-15-0) Proveniers et al., [2007](#page-15-0)). In line with this, $\textit{cop1}$ mutants exhibit a constitutive deetiolated phenotype in darkness, including formation of a compact rosette (Deng & Quail, [1992](#page-14-0)). Together with SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105 (SPA) proteins, COP1 forms an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, which acts by regulating the stability of photomorphogenesispromoting transcription factors. In addition, COP1/SPA stabilizes proteins of the PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR (PIF) family in darkness to promote etiolation (Ponnu & Hoecker, [2021\)](#page-15-0). Upon exposure to light, phytochromes physically interact with PIF proteins and promote their turnover, resulting in de-etiolation (Pham et al., [2018a;](#page-15-0) Ponnu & Hoecker, [2021\)](#page-15-0).

Here, we show that ATH1 confers rosette habit in lightgrown, vegetative Arabidopsis plants by integration of signals from multiple photoreceptors. $ATH1$ is induced by blue, red, and far-red light requiring both PHY- and CRY-family photoreceptors. Dark-grown wild-type plants, and higher order photoreceptor mutants display strongly reduced levels of ATH1 in the

SAM. In both cases, increased expression of *ATH1* is sufficient to restore compact rosette internodes. Finally, we introduce a regulatory feedback loop whereby multiple PIFs and ATH1 repress each other's expression in a tissue-specific manner, contributing to the maintenance of rosette habit.

Furthermore, in the absence of light, ATH1 can be induced by the direct availability of metabolic sugars to the SAM. We show that increasing amounts of sucrose result in a corresponding increase in ATH1 expression and associated increased inhibition of vegetative internode elongation. Both light- and metabolic signal-mediated induction of ATH1 at the SAM requires activation of TOR kinase.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. seeds were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (<http://arabidopsis.info/>) or were kind gifts. For genotypes used, see Supporting Information Table [S1](#page-16-0).

 $ATHI_{pro}:GUS$ -containing lines were obtained through crosses. Offspring was backcrossed at least four times to parental acceptor genotypes. Pro_{355} : HA-ATH1-containing lines were obtained through genetic transformation as described previously (Prove-niers et al., [2007](#page-15-0)). Per genotype over 10 independent, homozygous single insert lines were used for further analysis. To obtain $\frac{\cosh 4}{\cosh 4}$ and $\frac{\cosh 4}{\cosh 4}$ athl-3 plants, F2 offspring from respective crosses was first phenotype-selected (cop1-4: short hypocotyl in darkness; *pifq*: short-petiole phenotype). Plants were then genotyped using primers listed in Table [S2.](#page-16-0)

For plant growth, seeds were chlorine-gas sterilized for 4 h using a 4 ml 37% HCl/100 ml commercial bleach (4.5% active chlorine) mixture and put on soil (Primasta B.V., Asten, The Netherlands) or sterile 0.8% plant agar (Duchefa Biochemie B.V., Haarlem, The Netherlands) with full-strength Murashige– Skoog medium (MS salts including MES (pH 5.8) and vitamins; Duchefa Biochemie) in square Petri dishes $(120 \times 120 \text{ mm})$. After stratification (2–3 d, 4° C), plants were grown in climatecontrolled growth cabinets (Microclima 1000; Snijders Labs, Tilburg, The Netherlands) in short-day (SD; 8 h : 16 h, light : dark) or long-day (LD; 16 h : 8 h, light : dark) photoperiods, under 120 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ fluorescent white-light conditions (Luxline Plus Cool White, Sylvania, OH, USA) and 70% relative humidity. For monochromatic light conditions, a Snijders Microclima cabinet equipped with Philips GreenPower LEDs (red light: 124.35 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, blue light: 6.14 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, far-red light: 77.57 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) was used.

For liquid culture, 10–20 seeds were added to 20 ml of halfstrength MS medium (MS salts including MES Buffer (pH 5.8) and vitamins; Duchefa Biochemie) in 100-ml bottles on a rotary shaker (185 rpm, 22°C). Bottles were sealed with Steristoppers® (Heinz Herenz, Hamburg, Germany). After stratification, seeds were exposed to fluorescent light (1–1.5 h, 120 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) to stimulate germination. Bottles were then wrapped in aluminum foil. Sucrose (50% w/v) or sorbitol (50% w/v) was added at the

start or day three of the experiment. To prevent seedling exposure to light, sugars were added by injection through the aluminum foil-covered bottle stopper using a syringe with long needle.

Ethanol-induction of TOR RNAi lines was previously described (Deprost et al., [2007](#page-14-0)). Instead of growing plants on soil and using ethanol vapor for induction of the ethanol switch, plants were grown in liquid medium and, using syringe and needle, ethanol was added directly to the growth medium to a final concentration of 0.1% (v/v) after 5 d of dark cultivation. After an additional 5 h in darkness, sucrose was added. Plants were sampled after two more days of growth in darkness.

Growth in a CO_2 -deficient environment was accomplished as in Pfeiffer et al. [\(2016](#page-15-0)).

Phenotypic analyses

For light-grown plants, total rosette internode length was measured using a caliper. For dark-grown seedlings, plants were photographed after 3 wk of growth and IMAGEJ (Schneider et al., [2012](#page-16-0)) was used to measure total rosette internode length. Average rosette internode length was determined by dividing total rosette internode length by the total number of rosette leaves.

Meristem cell size was determined using confocal laser scanning microscopy. In median, longitudinal optical sections through shoot apices a central cell file extending from the epidermis into the subapical region where the hypocotyl vascular strands converge was identified. Using IMAGEJ, per position individual cell lengths were then measured in apical-basal direction.

Gene expression analysis

Samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C before RNA extraction. For each experiment, three or four biological replicates and two technical replicates were included. RNA was isolated using a RNeasy mini or micro kit (Qiagen). Genomic DNA was removed using DNaseI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands) and cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng– 1 µg RNA using RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase and Ribolock RNAse inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a mix of anchored odT(20) primers (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany) and random hexamers (IDT). qPCR reactions were performed using qPCRBIO SyGreen Blue mix (PCRBIO) on a ViiA7 Real Time PCR system. ViiA7 software was used to analyze the data. Relative expression levels were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C$ t method (Livak & Schmittgen, [2001](#page-15-0)), normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440) and/or MUSE3 (AT5G15400) expression. For primer sequences used, see Table [S3](#page-16-0). Now the specific matrix is a constraint the measurement of the specific matrix is a constraint the specific matrix is a constraint of the specific matrix is a constraint of the specific matrix is a constraint of the spec

b-glucuronidase staining and microscopy

Seedlings were harvested and vacuum-infiltrated in bglucuronidase (GUS) staining buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer ($pH = 7.2$), supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, 100 mM, potassium ferrocyanide, 100 mM, potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide). Samples were incubated o/n at room temperature and subsequently

cleared in ethanol. Images were taken with a Nikon DXMI200 camera attached to a Zeiss Stemi SV11 stereo microscope. GUS staining area was measured and quantified using IMAGEJ.

Confocal microscopy

Seedlings were cleared using the ClearSee method (Kurihara *et al.*, [2015](#page-15-0)) and imaged at a resolution of $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.5$ µm using a Carl Zeiss LSM880 Fast AiryScan microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 63×11.2 Imm Korr DIC objective (numerical aperture 1.40, oil immersion) and ZEN software (blue edition, Carl Zeiss). Calcofluor White Stain (Sigma-Aldrich) staining was performed as described before (Ursache et al., [2018](#page-16-0)). Excitation was at 405 nm, and emission filters were set between 425 and 475 nm. All replicate images were acquired using identical microscopy parameters for each experiment. Images were processed with FIJI (v.1.52, FIJI) and Adobe Illustrator.

Statistical analysis

Data plotting and statistical analysis were performed using RSTU-DIO.1.0.143 [\(www.rstudio.com\)](http://www.rstudio.com) with R v.3.6.2 [\(https://cran.r](https://cran.r-project.org/)[project.org/\)](https://cran.r-project.org/). To compare differences between experimental groups, one-way ANOVA and Fisher's Least Significant Difference test were applied. Before conducting ANOVA, the normality and homogeneity of variance assumptions were verified using histograms, ggnorm, and Shapiro tests for normality and the Levene and Bartlett tests for homogeneity. In cases where ANOVA assumptions were not met, the Dunn Test with the Benjamini–Hochberg method was used for multiple comparisons. T-tests were applied only if the assumptions were met for comparing two groups, otherwise the Kruskal–Wallis test was used. Results were corrected using the Bonferroni correction with an alpha level of 0.05, and all analyses were performed using the agricolae package (de Mendiburu, [2021\)](#page-15-0). Figures were compiled using Adobe Illustrator and IMAGEJ software.

Results

ATH1 restores rosette habit in dark-grown plants

When germinated and grown in darkness stem cells remain dormant in Arabidopsis (Pfeiffer et al., [2016;](#page-15-0) Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0)). This morphogenetic arrest can be overcome by availability of sucrose to the aerial part of the plant. Sugarinduced, dark-morphogenesis of Arabidopsis plants follows the same developmental phases as light-grown plants. However, such plants fail to develop a compact rosette (Fig. $1a, b$). The compact rosette habit of light-grown Arabidopsis plants is conferred by ATH1 (Li et al., [2012](#page-15-0); Ejaz et al., [2021](#page-14-0)). We tested whether ATH1 expression is sufficient for the development of a compact rosette in dark-grown plants. For this, dexamethasone (Dex) inducible 35Spro:ATH1-HBD seedlings were grown in continuous darkness in the presence of sucrose (Fig. $1a$,b). Induction of nuclear expression of ATH1 resulted in strong repression of rosette internode elongation and, consequently, restoration of

Fig. 1 ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) expression is sufficient to restore compact rosette growth in dark-grown Arabidopsis thaliana plants. (a) Average rosette internode elongation in dark-grown Col-8, ath1-3, and 35Spro:ATH1-HBD plants treated with 0.1% ethanol (mock) or 10 lM dexamethasone (Dex). Sucrose was added 3 d after the start of the experiment. (b) Representative 3-wk-old, dark-grown plants used in (a). Arrows indicate elongated rosette internodes, the arrowhead indicates suppression of internode elongation. Bars, 2 mm. (c) Relative expression of ATH1 in Col-8 plants grown for either 5, 10, or 15 d (d) in continuous light or continuous darkness at 22°C. Sucrose was present at a 1% final concentration from the beginning of the experiment. Transcript levels were normalized to MUSE3 (AT5G15400). The average of three biological replicates is shown. Error bars represent SD of the ΔC_{T} mean. (d) Relative expression of ATH1 in 7-d-old seedlings grown in continuous light (+) or continuous darkness (-) in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 1% sucrose. Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440). The average of three biological replicates is shown. The asterisk (*) in the figure represents a P-value of 0.04953 for the observed difference, determined using the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test. (e) βglucuronidase (GUS)-stained 7-d-old, dark-grown ATH1_{pro}:GUS seedlings in the absence (0%) or presence (0.5% and 1%) of sucrose. GUS activity is visible as a blue precipitate. Bars, 0.01 mm. (f) Average rosette internode elongation in 3-wk-old dark-grown Col-8 and 35Spro:ATH1-HBD plants treated with increasing concentrations of Dex (0 nM to 10 µM). The shaded areas around the lines represent the 95% confidence intervals. (g) Average rosette internode elongation of 3-wk-old dark-grown Col-8 and ath1-4 seedlings treated with increasing concentrations of sucrose (0.5–2.5%). In (a, c, g) differing letters signify statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) as determined by a one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's honest significant difference post hoc test for (a, b), and a multiple comparison analysis using the Dunn Test with the Benjamini–Hochberg method for (g). In (a, f, g) colored dots indicate rosette internode elongation scores of individual seedlings. In boxplots (a, c, d) and violin plots (g), the center bar indicates median values, box edges correspond to interquartile ranges (IQR), and error bars display values within $1.5 \times$ IQR, with circular points as outliers. Violin plot width represents data density at specific values.

rosette habit, while Col-8 control plants and mock-treated 35Spro:ATH1-HBD plants displayed elongated vegetative internodes, resulting in loss of rosette habit (Fig. [1a,b\)](#page-3-0). Under these conditions, vegetative internodes of ath1 mutants were slightly more elongated than those of control plants (Figs [1a,b,](#page-3-0) [S1\)](#page-16-0), suggesting that ATH1 might still be expressed to some extent in the absence of light, despite previous findings showing otherwise (Quaedvlieg et al., [1995](#page-15-0)). Possibly, sucrose addition to induce dark morphogenesis resulted in ATH1 induction. Indeed, in the absence of both sucrose and light, ATH1 was not expressed, whereas in the presence of 1% sucrose, *ATH1* transcript levels reached up to 20% of those in light-grown plants (Fig. [1c,d\)](#page-3-0). Thus, sucrose can substitute for light to induce ATH1 expression at the shoot apex. Furthermore, the relationship between sucrose and ATH1 levels seems dose-dependent (Figs [1e,](#page-3-0) [S2\)](#page-16-0).

Importantly, these observations suggest a close correlation between ATH1 transcript levels at the shoot apex and the extent to which rosette internode elongation is suppressed. We, therefore, analyzed elongation of vegetative internodes in dark-grown 35Spro: ATH1-HBD plants exposed to increasing concentrations of Dex (Fig. [1f](#page-3-0)). Increased Dex-concentrations are expected to result in increased ATH1 levels in the nucleus and, hence, stronger inhibition of internode elongation. This was indeed observed, with a maximum inhibitory effect on internode elongation in plants exposed to 100 nM Dex (Fig. [1f](#page-3-0)). In line with this, dark-grown Col-8 plants displayed increasing inhibition of rosette internode elongation when exposed to increasing concentrations of sucrose, with complete restoration of internode compactness characteristic for rosette habit at 2.5% sucrose (Fig. [1f,g](#page-3-0)). As expected, in ath1 mutants, internode elongation remained unaffected at all sucrose concentrations tested (Fig. [1g](#page-3-0)). This strongly suggests that sucroseinduced repression of rosette internode elongation in dark-grown plants is ATH1-dependent. These findings further show that loss of compact rosette habit, generally observed in sucrose-stimulated, dark-grown Arabidopsis plants, can be attributed to suboptimal ATH1 expression at the shoot apex.

SAM morphology of sucrose-stimulated, dark-grown seedlings resembles that of light-grown ath1 mutants

In light-grown ath1 mutants, elongation of vegetative internodes results from premature RZ activity (Roldán et al., [1999;](#page-15-0) Rutjens et al., [2009](#page-15-0); Ejaz et al., [2021](#page-14-0)). To confirm that the elongated internode phenotype observed in dark-grown Arabidopsis plants also results from premature activation of stem development, we compared shoot apices of light- and dark-grown Col-8 seedlings with those of light-grown $atbl-4$ seedlings (Fig. [2a](#page-5-0)–c). When grown for 5 d in continuous light, ath1-4 mutants displayed elongated vegetative internodes, whereas those of Col-8 plants remained compact (Fig. [S3a,c,f\)](#page-16-0). Comparing both genotypes showed the four most apical cells of a central cell file running from the L1 layer into the subapical RZ region of the SAM to be of similar length. By contrast, more basal RZ cells were significantly more elongated in $atbl-4$ mutants (Fig. [2a,c,f\)](#page-5-0). A similar morphology was observed in dark-grown, sucrose-supplied Col-8 seedlings, where compact rosette habit is no longer maintained

(Fig. [S3b](#page-16-0)). Compared with light-grown seedlings, basal cells were significantly more elongated in dark-grown Col-8 seedlings, resembling the elongated RZ cells of light-grown *ath1-4* mutants. The four apical cells were of similar length in light- and darkgrown Col-8 seedlings (Fig. [2a](#page-5-0)–[c,f](#page-5-0)).

Since ectopic expression of ATH1 restored a compact rosette habit in dark-grown seedlings (Figs [1a,b](#page-3-0), [S3d](#page-16-0)-f), we examined whether this is caused by inhibition of RZ activity. Indeed, induction of ATH1 specifically repressed cell elongation in the basal RZ cells (Fig. $2b, d-f$). Taken together, these findings indicate that loss of rosette habit as a result of rosette internode elongation in the absence of light results from premature RZ activation due to significantly reduced ATH1 expression at the shoot apex.

ATH1 functions downstream of multiple photoreceptors to maintain a compact rosette

Rosette internode elongation can also be observed in light-grown photoreceptor mutants, such as higher order phytochrome mutants and *phyB cry1* mutants (Devlin et al., [1996](#page-14-0), [1998](#page-14-0), [1999](#page-14-0), [2003;](#page-14-0) Whitelam & Devlin, [1997](#page-16-0); Whitelam et al., [1998;](#page-16-0) Roldán et al., [1999;](#page-15-0) Mazzella et al., [2000](#page-15-0); Franklin et al., [2003;](#page-14-0) Hu et al., [2013\)](#page-15-0). ATH1 expression is strongly light-dependent (Fig. [1c,d\)](#page-3-0), raising the question of whether light-mediated expression of ATH1 depends on these photoreceptors. Analysis of ATH1 mRNA levels in seedlings grown under different wavelengths of light revealed that apart from white light, monochromatic blue, red, and far-red light induce ATH1 to significant levels, suggesting that ATH1 is under control of multiple photoreceptors (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0)). We next determined ATH1-promoter activity and mRNA levels in a series of phytochrome and/or cryptochrome photoreceptor mutants grown under various light quality conditions (Figs [3c,](#page-5-0) [S4a](#page-16-0)–d). In white light, ATH1 levels were somewhat decreased in p hyB and cry I single mutants, whereas combination of both muta-tions significantly affected ATH1 expression (Figs [3c](#page-5-0), [S4a\)](#page-16-0). Similarly, introduction of additional phy mutations in a $phyB$ background or combination of the phytochrome chromophore biosynthesis mutant hyl with $cry1$ and/or $cry2$ mutations resulted in moderate to severe reduction in ATH1 levels in white light, confirming that light-mediated ATH1 expression is controlled by multiple photoreceptors (Figs [3c](#page-5-0), [S4a\)](#page-16-0). Repeating experiments under monochromatic light conditions revealed that red-light-mediated induction of ATH1 is mostly the result of phyB function, in cooperation with phyD and phyE, whereas phyA is largely responsible for ATH1 induction in far-red light (Figs [3c](#page-5-0), [S4b,d\)](#page-16-0). Under blue light, CRY1 and CRY2 redundantly contribute to ATH1 activity, with CRY1 being the predominant cryptochrome under the conditions tested (Figs $3c$, $54c$). Moreover, all photoreceptor mutants previously reported to display loss of rosette habit due to elongation of vegetative internodes, including $phyBDE$ and $phyB$ cry1 (Devlin et al., [1998](#page-14-0); Mazzella et al., [2000\)](#page-15-0), had severely reduced ATH1 levels (Figs [3c](#page-5-0), [S4a](#page-16-0)-d). Now their state of the mean distinct and methods are all (25 NMs) there we distinct the mean of the state of the methods of the state of the methods are all the state of the state of the state of the state of the state o

Internode elongation reflects activity of the basal part of the SAM and is controlled by ATH1. Therefore, we compared the spatial activity of the $ATH1$ promoter in $phyBDE$ and $phyB$ cry1

Fig. 2 Sugar-induced dark-grown Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings display a shoot apical meristem (SAM) morphology similar to light-grown ath1 mutants. Median longitudinal optical sections through the shoot apical meristems of (a, b) 5-d-old Col-8, (c) ath1-4, and (d, e) 35Spro:ATH1-HBD seedlings grown at 27°C in the presence (a, c) or absence (b, d, e) of light. Mock treatment (d) is 0.1% ethanol, Dex treatment (e) is 10 μ M dexamethasone. Cells marked in cyan form a central cell file extending from the epidermis into the subapical region that forms the rib zone. Bars, 10 µm. (f) Quantification of cell lengths as illustrated in (a–e). The shaded areas around the lines represent the 95% confidence intervals. Individual cell lengths were measured per position in apical-basal direction. Per genotype and condition four or five individual apices were analyzed. The numbers on the x-axis correspond to the cell position as depicted in (a–e).

with that in Ler control plants and a $phyB$ mutant. High levels of GUS activity were present in the SAM and emerging leaf primordia of Ler $ATHI_{pro}:GUS$ seedlings grown in white light. Corroborating our qPCR data, GUS activity was significantly reduced in *phyB cry1 ATH1_{pro}:GUS* and *phyBDE ATH1_{pro}:GUS* plants,

whereas in a *phyB* background, GUS activity was only moderately affected (Fig. 3b). The most prominent effect of reduced photoreceptor signaling on ATH1-promoter activity was in the SAM. In both *phyB cry1* and *phyBDE*, GUS activity could hardly be detected in the SAM, including the RZ, whereas in leaf

Fig. 3 Significant reduction in ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) expression levels underlies loss of compact rosette habit in Arabidopsis thaliana photoreceptor mutants. (a) Expression of ATH1 in 7-d-old seedlings (Ler) grown in SD white light (WL), red (RL), blue (BL), far-red light (FRL) or continuous darkness (DRK). Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440). Dots indicate the average values of four biological replicates per light treatment, each consisting of 40–50 seedlings. (b) Shoot apices of β-glucuronidase (GUS)-stained, 7-d-old ATH1_{pro}:GUS seedlings in different genetic backgrounds (Col-8, phyB, phyBcry1, and phyBDE). Plants were grown in white light under short-day conditions. Scale bars represent 0.01 mm. (c) Heat map generated from qPCR data on relative ATH1 expression in indicated photoreceptor mutants (see Supporting Information Fig. [S1](#page-16-0)), when compared to wild-type (WT) control plants (Ler and Col-8). Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440; BL) or MUSE3 (AT5G15400; RL, FRL and WL). The average of three biological replicates is shown, each replicate consisting of 40–50 seedlings. Red corresponds to high relative expression and dark blue corresponds to low relative expression. A linear fold change scale is displayed on top. (d) Average rosette internode elongation in WT (Ler), phyB, phyBcry1, hy1cry1, and hy1cry1cry2 in the absence or presence of a Pro_{35S}:HA-ATH1 transgene. Plants were grown under long day (LD) conditions. In (a, d) different letters denote statistically significant differences between groups ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's honest significant difference post hoc test (a) or a multiple comparison analysis using the Dunn Test with the Benjamini–Hochberg method (d). Colored dots indicate the average rosette internode length per individual (n ≥ 16 individual plants per genotype). (e) Representative plants from (d). Arrows indicate elongated rosette internodes; arrowheads indicate complete suppression of internode elongation. Bars, 5 mm. In boxplot (a) and violin plot (d), the center bar indicates median values, box edges correspond to interquartile ranges (IQR), and error bars display values within 1.5 x IQR, with circular points as outliers. Violin plot width represents data density at specific values.

New
Phytologist

primordia, a more modest reduction was observed (Fig. [3b\)](#page-5-0). Taken together, these findings suggest that phytochrome and cryptochrome photoreceptor families contribute to compact rosette habit in Arabidopsis through induction of ATH1 expression in the SAM.

This was further tested by constitutively expressing ATH1 in a number of photoreceptor mutants that display elongation of vegetative internodes when grown under standard, long-day conditions. Under these conditions, Ler control plants never display detectable elongation of rosette internodes. By contrast,

internodes of $phyB$, $phyBcryl$, $hylcryl$, and $hylcrylcryl$ mutants were visibly elongated, and the extent to which rosette internode elongation was affected correlated with ATH1 levels in respective mutants (Figs [3c](#page-5-0)–e, [S4a](#page-16-0)). As expected, constitutive expression of ATH1 completely suppressed internode elongation in these mutants (Fig. $3d,e$). Thus, establishing high levels of $ATH1$ is sufficient to restore internode compactness of rosette habit in higher order photoreceptor mutants.

In conclusion, compact rosette habit, quintessential for lightgrown Arabidopsis plants, is imposed by ATH1 activity in the shoot apex under control of multiple blue and red/far-red light photoreceptors.

Light-mediated ATH1 expression is controlled by central light-signaling components

ATH1 was first identified as a light-regulated gene that is dere-pressed in dark-grown cop1 mutants (Quaedvlieg et al., [1995\)](#page-15-0). COP1, in conjunction with SPA proteins, functions as a repressor of light signaling in darkness. In light, activated phytochrome and cryptochrome family members suppress the activity of the COP1/SPA complex to promote photomorphogenesis (Ponnu & Hoecker, [2021](#page-15-0)). Light-mediated ATH1 expression involves both phytochrome and cryptochrome family members. Since COP1 is a downstream signaling component of these photoreceptor families, we analyzed the role of COP1 in the regulation of ATH1 expression and compactness of vegetative internodes.

ATH1 **(b)**

b

a

1488137.215.2012 ирклиненталумнускан ортин 11 ирклин дана ирклиненталумнующих ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном ирклинентализмном 408137, 3,023,3, Dwnbaded from hinghilar (member 2007) and partic property in the Conditions (Milling School Conditions, Willy Online Library on First, Online Library on First, Milling Conditions, Willy Online Library on F

Col−8 *cop1−4* Col-8 *ath1-4 cop1-4 cop1-4 ath1-4* Fig. 4 Derepression of ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) contributes to a compact rosette habit in dark-grown cop1 mutants. (a) Relative mRNA abundance of ATH1 in shoot apices of 2-wk-old dark-grown Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. The average of three biological replicates is shown. At least 20 shoot apices were used for each biological replicate. (b) Average rosette internode lengths of 3-wk-old Col-8, ath1-4, cop1-4, and cop-1 ath1-4 plants ($n \ge 13$) grown in continuous darkness for 3 wk at 22°C, in the presence of 1% sucrose. In (a, b) different letters denote statistically significant differences between groups ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's honest significant difference post hoc test for (a) and a multiple comparison analysis using the Dunn Test with the Benjamini–Hochberg method for (b). (c) Representative plants from (b). Arrows indicate elongated rosette internodes, arrowheads indicate complete suppression of internode elongation. Bars, 0.5 mm. Sucrose (Suc +) or sorbitol (Suc -), both to a final concentration of 1%, were added 3 d after start of the experiment (a, c). In boxplot (a), the center bar indicates median values, box edges correspond to interquartile ranges (IQR), and error bars display values within 1.5 x IQR, with circular points as outliers. In dotplot (b), colored dots represent individual data points and overlaid summary statistics display mean values as larger dots and error bars for one standard deviation.

Cop1-4 Suc -

(c)

oCp1-4 4

b

c

Col-8 Suc - Report - Rep

Col-8 Suc

ath1-4 Suc + *cop1-4 ath1-4* Suc +

pendently to induce ATH1 expression.

 $ATHI$ (Fig. 4b,c).

 $+$ $+$

c

-

1

2

3

Rosette internode elongation (mm)

Rosette internode elongation (mm)

4

5

a

a

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 Relative mRNA abundance

 $\frac{1}{2}$

0.5

 1.5

Relative mRNA abundance

b

(a)

ى
2

 2.0

Suc

 $\overline{0}$

Cop1-4 Suc +

skotomorphogenesis to photomorphogenesis. In line with this, quadruple *pif1pif3pif4pif5* (*pifq*) mutants display a constitutively photomorphogenic phenotype in darkness (Leivar et al., [2009\)](#page-15-0). In addition, PIFs can directly interact with COP1, thereby enhancing substrate recognition and ubiquitination activity of the COP1 E3 ligase complex (Xu et al., [2014;](#page-16-0) Kathare et al., [2020\)](#page-15-0). Therefore, we tested whether PIF proteins might function upstream of *ATH1* in the regulation of compact rosette habit. To this end, we analyzed rosette internode compactness in a series of sucrose-supplied, dark-grown single, double, triple, and quadruple *pif* mutant combinations. In *pifq* mutants, complete repression of rosette internode elongation was observed, resulting in the formation of a compact rosette in darkness (Fig. [5a](#page-10-0)). None of the double or triple mutants tested were as compact as the quadruple pifq mutant, whereas of the single mutants tested, only *pif4* displayed a significant reduction in rosette internode length when compared to control plants (Fig. [5a\)](#page-10-0). This indicates that PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 redundantly contribute to rosette internode elongation in etiolated plants. Of these, PIF4 contributes the most, as can be inferred from its mutant phenotype and the significant inhibition of internode elongation in higher order mutants carrying a pif4 allele, while inhibition of internode elongation is absent in *pif1pif3* and only subtly enhanced by $pif5$ mutation in $pif4pif5$ and $pif3pif4$ $pi\beta$ (Fig. [5a\)](#page-10-0).

Next, we compared ATH1 transcript levels between shoot apices of dark-grown Col-8, $pi/4$ and piq plants (Fig. [5b](#page-10-0)). In line with the observed rosette internode lengths, a significant increase in *ATH1* was seen in both *pif4* (1.7 \times) and *pifq* (3 \times) mutants when compared to control plants. To examine whether ATH1 is responsible for the inhibition of rosette internode elongation in dark-grown *pifq* mutants, we combined *ath1-3* and *pifq* mutations. Surprisingly, vegetative internodes of sucrose-supplied, dark-grown *pifq ath1* plants were only mildly elongated, resulting in partial loss of a compact rosette habit. Compared to *ath1* plants, *pifq ath1* internodes were on average 70% shorter (Fig. [5c](#page-10-0)). This might suggest that PIFs control rosette internode elongation mostly independent of ATH1. Alternatively, the relationship between PIFs and ATH1 could be more complex. ATH1-PIF feedback regulation would explain for the *pifq ath1* internode phenotype. Recently, PIF4 was identified as binding target of ATH1, but no significant differences in PIF4 expression could be detected between ath1 and WT plants on whole-seedling basis (Ejaz et al., [2021](#page-14-0)). This does not rule out a tissuespecific, regulatory feedback loop between ATH1 and PIFs. To explore the presence of such regulatory interaction between ATH1 and PIFs, we quantified PIF transcript levels in shoot apices of genotypes with altered $ATHI$ expression (Fig. [5d,e\)](#page-10-0). ATH1 is expected to have an inhibitory effect on PIF expression and in sucrose-supplied, dark-grown plants ATH1 levels are low (Fig. $1c$,d). Therefore, 35Spro:ATH1-HBD plants were used to examine the effect of ATH1 on PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 mRNA levels in dark conditions (Fig. [5d\)](#page-10-0). In light-grown vegetative plants, ATH1 levels at the shoot apex are relatively high. Therefore, in light conditions, the effect of ATH1 on these PIFs was analyzed using *ath1-3* plants (Fig. [5e](#page-10-0)). In both conditions, a Now the proposition interaction and depth is the sub-fluid of the sub-fluid of the methods in a statistical proposition in the sub-fluid of the sub-flui

clear effect of ATH1 on PIF expression was observed. In darkgrown plants, induction of ATH1 resulted in significant downregulation of PIF1, PIF3 and PIF4, and, to a lesser extent, PIF5 (Fig. [5d](#page-10-0)). In light-grown plants, PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 levels were significantly upregulated in the absence of ATH1 (Fig. [5e](#page-10-0)). Thus, ATH1 acts as a negative regulator of PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 in the shoot apex. Together, our data support the presence of a double-negative transcriptional feedback loop between ATH1 and PIF family members. Such ATH1-PIF interdependence for suppression of rosette internode elongation explains the observed incomplete loss of rosette habit compact-ness in cop1-4 ath1-4 mutants (Fig. [4b,c\)](#page-7-0), since PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 are required for dark-mediated rosette internode elongation in the absence of ATH1 (Fig. [5c\)](#page-10-0) and these PIFs are degraded in darkness in the presence of a cop1-4 mutation (Pham et al., [2018a,c](#page-15-0)).

Overall, our data show that loss of internode compactness and thereby loss of rosette habit in dark-grown Arabidopsis plants is part of a skotomorphogenesis program, achieved through active repression of ATH1, mediated by COP1 and PIF proteins.

Photosynthesis-derived sugars are no prerequisite for lightinduced ATH1 expression

ATH1 expression in the shoot apex can be induced by light and sucrose (Figs [1d,e](#page-3-0), [3a](#page-5-0)). Since light acts as both a developmental signal, and an energy source through photosynthesis, we investigated the exact role of light in induction of ATH1 expression. Therefore, we examined ATH1-promoter activity in plants where photosynthesis was inhibited. To this end, $ATHI_{pro}$: GUS seedlings were grown in darkness for 5 d, without sucrose to deplete plant metabolizable sugar. Five hours before light treatment, plants were put in a $CO₂$ -deficient environment, after which plants were grown for 2 d in continuous light (Fig. $6a$). $CO₂$ removal inhibits photosynthetic carbon assimilation and, thereby, accumulation of sugars. Compared with mock treatment, ATH1 promoter activity was decreased, but GUS staining was still clearly visible (Figs [6b](#page-11-0), [S5a\)](#page-16-0). Similarly, chemical inhibition of photosynthesis by adding norflurazon or lincomycin resulted in slightly reduced ATH1 expression (Figs [S5a](#page-16-0), [S6\)](#page-16-0). This indicates that ATH1 is affected by light acting as both a developmental trigger and an energy source through photosynthesis. It further shows that photosynthesis-derived sucrose contributes to, but is not a prerequisite for light-induced ATH1 expression. This is in line with the observation that ATH1 is derepressed in dark-grown $\frac{cop1}{1}$ seedlings even in the absence of sucrose (Fig. [4a\)](#page-7-0).

Sugars function as energy resource and as signaling molecules (Li & Sheen, [2016\)](#page-15-0). To distinguish between these functions in the induction of ATH1, ATH1 expression and ATH1-promoter activity were determined in dark-grown Col-8 plants supplied with either sorbitol, sucrose, glucose, fructose, or palatinose (Figs [6c](#page-11-0), [S5c](#page-16-0)). Glucose, fructose, and sucrose are metabolizable sugars also known to function as signaling molecules (Rabot et al., [2012](#page-15-0)). Sorbitol and palatinose are nonmetabolizable sugars, but where palatinose can function as signaling molecule, sorbitol is neither metabolized nor signaling molecule (Ramon

et al., [2008\)](#page-15-0). Neither sorbitol nor palatinose had a significant effect on ATH1 expression, whereas a clear increase in ATH1 could be observed when either sucrose, glucose, or fructose was present (Fig. [6c\)](#page-11-0). This strongly suggests that sugars as energy source induce $ATH1$ expression.

Sucrose and light independently regulate ATH1 expression via TOR kinase

TOR kinase, a critical sensor of resource availability, is required for the activation of shoot and root apical meristems (Xiong

New Phytologist (2023) 239: 1051–1067 www.newphytologist.com

Fig. 5 A double-negative feedback loop between ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) and PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS (PIFs) is required for initiation and maintenance of rosette growth habit in Arabidopsis thaliana. (a) Average internode lengths of 3-wk-old Col-8, pif3, pif4, pif7, pif1pif3, pif3pif4, pif4pif5, pif1pif3pif4, pif1pif3pif5, pif3pif4pif5, and pifq (pif1pif3pif4pif5) plants grown in continuous darkness at 22°C. Sucrose was added to the medium to a final concentration of 1% 3 d after the start of the experiment. Colored dots indicate average rosette internode elongation scores of individual seedlings ($n \ge 9$). (b) Relative mRNA abundance of ATH1 in shoot apical meristem (SAM)-enriched tissue of 14-d-old, dark-grown Col-8, pif4, and pifq seedlings (n ≥ 20 per biological replicate; four biological replicates). Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440). Sucrose was present at a 1% final concentration from the start of the experiment. (c) Average internode lengths of 3-wk-old Col-8, pif4, pifq, and pifq ath1-3 plants grown in continuous darkness at 22°C. Sucrose was added to the medium to a final concentration of 1% 3 d after the start of the experiment. Colored dots indicate average rosette internode elongation scores of individual seedlings (n ≥ 11). (d, e) Relative mRNA abundance of indicated PIF genes in SAM-enriched tissue of 14-d-old, dark-grown Col-8 and 35Spro:ATH1-HBD (n ≥ 3) (d), or 39-d-old, light-grown (SD conditions) Col-8 and ath1-3 seedlings (n ≥ 2) (e). For (d) seedlings were treated with a mock $(0.1\%$ ethanol, Dex $-$) or 10 uM dexamethasone (Dex +) at day three, and in total, 30–40 shoot apices were used for each biological replicate. For light-grown plants (e), three shoot apices were used per biological replicate. Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440). Seedlings were treated with a mock (0.1% ethanol, Dex -) or 10 μ M dexamethasone (Dex +) (d). In (a-d) different letters denote statistically significant differences between groups (P < 0.05) as determined by a one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's honest significant difference post hoc test for (b, d) and a multiple comparison analysis using the Dunn Test with the Benjamini–Hochberg method for (a, c). In (c), the Kruskal–Wallis test utilized to distinguish significant differences between groups, with results of Pvalues depicted as 'ns' for nonsignificant and an asterisk (*) for a P-value of 0.04953. In dotplots (a, c), colored dots represent individual data points and overlaid summary statistics display mean values as larger dots and error bars for one standard deviation. In boxplots (b, d, e), the center bar indicates median values, box edges correspond to interquartile ranges (IQR), and error bars display values within $1.5\times$ IQR, with circular points as outliers. Now the solution of the solu

et al., [2013](#page-16-0); Pfeiffer et al., [2016](#page-15-0); Li et al., [2017\)](#page-15-0). It integrates, among others, energy and environmental cues, including light signals to direct growth and development. The fundamental role of TOR kinase downstream of light and energy signals led us to investigate whether TOR activity is needed for sugar-dependent, dark morphogenesis in general and ATH1 induction in particular. Employing a similar experimental setup as mentioned in the previous section (Fig. $6a$), the effect of the TOR kinase inhibitor AZD-8055 (Montané & Menand, [2013;](#page-15-0) Dong et al., [2015\)](#page-14-0) on light- and sucrose-induced ATH1-promoter activity was studied. Light-mediated induction was efficiently suppressed by AZD-8055, resulting in complete inhibition of promoter activity at a concentration $0.5 \mu M$ (Fig. [6d](#page-11-0)). Similarly, AZD-8055 fully inhib-ited the positive effect of sucrose on ATH1 (Figs [6e,](#page-11-0) [S5b,](#page-16-0) [S7\)](#page-16-0). In line with these findings, conditional silencing of $AtTOR$ in 35S:ALCR alcA:RNAi-TOR seedlings (Deprost et al., [2007](#page-14-0)) led to complete inhibition of sucrose-mediated induction of ATH1 (Fig. [6f](#page-11-0)). When applied for an extended period, in the presence of sucrose, AZD-8055 inhibited dark-morphogenesis in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. [S8a,b](#page-16-0)), indicating that, next to *ATH1* induction, TOR activity is necessary for sucrose-dependent, dark morphogenesis in general.

TOR kinase has been reported to contribute to seedling deetiolation and COP1 represses TOR activity during skotomor-phogenesis (Chen et al., [2018](#page-14-0)). We therefore tested whether COP1-mediated regulation of ATH1 is TOR-dependent. This is indeed the case, as in the presence of AZD-8055 ATH1 is no longer derepressed in dark-grown *cop1-4* plants (Fig. [S9](#page-16-0)).

TOR kinase, thus, integrates light and sucrose signals leading to activation of ATH1 gene expression at the shoot apex. Upstream of TOR kinase, a PHY-COP1 regulatory pathway functions as negative regulator of TOR activity. In darkness, COP1 inhibits TOR, resulting in repression of ATH1. As a consequence, in dark-grown plants, a compact rosette habit is lost due to activation of stem development. In light, COP1 activity is inhibited allowing for TOR kinase to induce ATH1 as part of the de-etiolation process, resulting in a compact rosette characteristic for A. thaliana (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). TOR was recently reported to control cytokinin homeostasis at the SAM by translational repression of several mRNAs encoding cytokinin catabolic enzymes, including the RZ-expressed CYTOKININ OXIDASE/DEHYDROGEN-ASE5 (CKX5) (Janocha et al., [2021](#page-15-0)). Adding cytokinin to darkgrown Arabidopsis seedlings results in strong induction of ATH1-promoter activity, even in the absence of metabolizable sugar (Fig. [S10\)](#page-16-0), suggesting that TOR-mediated regulation of ATH1 might be indirect through cytokinin.

Discussion

In plants, most of the adult body is formed postembryonically by the continuous activity of the shoot and root apical meristems. At the completion of embryogenesis, these meristems are quiescent, but become reactivated after germination. In Arabidopsis, light is crucial for SAM reactivation (López-Juez et al., [2008](#page-15-0); Pfeiffer et al., [2016;](#page-15-0) Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0)). A direct outcome of this is the production of leaves. In rosette plants, such as Arabidopsis, these leaves give rise to a basal rosette: a whorl of leaves without elongation between successive nodes. The rosette habit is widespread amongst flowering plants and provides several advantages compared to taller, less compact plants, such as protection from (a)biotic stresses (Schaffer & Schaffer, [1979;](#page-16-0) Bello et al., [2005;](#page-14-0) Larcher et al., [2010;](#page-15-0) Thomson et al., [2011](#page-16-0); Fujita & Koda, [2015\)](#page-15-0). In Arabidopsis, light requirement for SAM activation can be overcome by availability of metabolizable sugars to the meristem (Araki & Komeda, [1993](#page-14-0); Roldán et al., [1999](#page-15-0)). However, under such conditions, plants fail to establish a compact rosette. Instead, they display a caulescent growth habit due to elongation of rosette internodes. Here, we show that this dramatic change in growth habit in the absence of light is caused by premature RZ activation due to insufficient expression of the light-induced ATH1 gene at the SAM. Our observations confirm a fundamental role for ATH1 in Arabidopsis rosette habit and support a role for TOR kinase as central hub for integration of energy and light signaling in controlling cell differentiation and organ initiation at the SAM.

Previously, activation of the SAM following germination, via induction of WUSCHEL (WUS), and subsequent initiation of leaf primordia were shown to be synergistically controlled by 1062 Research 1062 Phytologist

Fig. 6 ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) expression is independently regulated by light and sucrose. (a) Schematic representation of the experimental setup. Arabidopsis thaliana ATH1_{pro}:GUS seeds were light-treated for 45 min to stimulate germination, before growth in continuous darkness for 5 d. AZD-8055, lincomycin, norflurazon were then added or CO₂ was removed (NaOH + CaO) and seedings were grown for an additional 5 h in darkness before switching to ATH1-inducing conditions (continuous light or continued growth in darkness in the presence of sucrose (Suc)) for two more days. (b) Shoot apices of β-glucuronidase (GUS)-stained ATH1_{pro}:GUS seedlings grown in CO₂-free air (NaOH + CaO), according to the scheme depicted in (a). Mock-treatment was without NaOH + CaO. Bars, 0.05 mm. (c) Relative expression of ATH1 in 7-d-old, dark-grown seedlings, grown in the presence of either sucrose, glucose, fructose, palatinose, or sorbitol, all at a final concentration of 1% in the growth medium. Sugars were added at the start of the experiment. Transcript levels were normalized to MUSE3 (At5g15400). The average of three biological replicates is shown. At least 30 seedlings were used for each biological replicate. (d, e) Shoot apices of GUS-stained ATH1_{pro}:GUS seedlings treated with the Target of Rapamycin (TOR) kinase inhibitor AZD-8055 before switching to ATH1-inducing conditions (continuous light (d) or darkness in the presence of 1% sucrose (e)) according to the scheme depicted in (a). Bars, 0.01 mm. (f) Relative expression of ATH1 in 7-d-old, dark-grown 35S::ALCR alcA : RNAi-TOR and 35S:ALCR alcA:GUS (control line) seedlings in the presence or absence of ethanol (ETOH; 0.1%) and/ or sucrose (Suc; 1%), as depicted in (a). ETOH was added after 5 d of growth in darkness. After an additional 5 h in darkness sucrose was added and plants were sampled after two more days in darkness. Transcript levels were normalized to GAPC2 (AT1G13440). The average of three biological replicates is shown. At least 30 seedlings were used for each biological replicate. In (c, f) different letters denote statistically significant differences between groups ($P < 0.05$) as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test. In boxplot (c), the center bar indicates median values, box edges correspond to interquartile ranges (IQR), and error bars display values within $1.5\times$ IQR, with circular points as outliers. In dotplot (f), colored dots represent individual data points and overlaid summary statistics display mean values as larger dots and error bars for one standard deviation.

light-signaling pathways and photosynthesis-derived sugars, both conveyed by TOR kinase (Pfeiffer et al., [2016;](#page-15-0) Li et al., [2017\)](#page-15-0). In line with this, we show that TOR activity is necessary for sugar-induced, dark morphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Furthermore, we show that ATH1 expression at the SAM, required to inhibit RZ activation during vegetative development, is additively induced by sugar and light-signaling and that TOR kinase activity is essential for both. Thus, TOR kinase not only integrates light and energy signals to activate the central stem cell population and subsequent differentiation

processes at the meristem periphery, but also to repress differentiation processes at the basal part of the meristem by inhibiting RZ activity. Potentially, induction of *ATH1* through light and energy signals might result from SAM activation. This appears unlikely, as in the absence of sucrose the SAM of dark-grown $\textit{cop1}$ mutants remains dormant, while $\textit{ATH1}$ is expressed to relatively high levels. In addition, SAM activation and ATH1 induction responses differ in their sensitivity to sucrose. Concentrations adequate to activate the SAM and initiate organogenesis, fail to induce significant levels of ATH1.

Fig. 7 Light and sucrose signaling pathways converge at Target of Rapamycin (TOR) kinase to control ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 (ATH1) expression and subsequent rosette growth habit in Arabidopsis thaliana. (left panel) Expression of ATH1 is mediated by the activity of TOR kinase in response to both sugar and light. In response to light, photoreceptor signaling inhibits the activity of a CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1)-containing protein complex that acts as a central repressor of light signaling in darkness. This releases the inhibitory effect of COP1 on TOR kinase. Activation of TOR kinase then leads to both activation of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and induction of ATH1 expression in the SAM. As a consequence of ATH1 expression in the SAM, PIF gene expression, including PIF4, is locally inhibited. This contributes to inhibition of rib zone activity and, consequently, suppression of rosette internode elongation with the for Arabidopsis typical rosette growth habit as a result. As TOR kinase is a major regulator of mRNA translation, the effect on ATH1 expression is most likely indirect (dotted arrow). (right panel) In the absence of light, the COP1-complex is stabilized and inhibits TOR kinase activity and subsequent SAM activation. In addition, the COP1-complex stabilizes PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR (PIF) proteins in darkness to positively regulate skotomorphogenesis. As a combined effect, ATH1 is not expressed under these conditions. As discussed, the PIF inhibitory effect on ATH1 expression, including that of PIF4, is most likely indirect (dotted inhibitory arrow). Sucrose-availability to the SAM can substitute for light both in the case of SAM activation and for ATH1 induction. Although both processes are mediated through TOR kinase, sucrose levels sufficient to activate the SAM only result in weak expression of ATH1, probably as the result of still active COP1-PIF signaling. Resulting ATH1 levels are insufficient to suppress rib zone activity. As a consequence, in most circumstances sugar-induced dark-grown seedlings display a caulescent growth habit due to premature rib zone activation resulting in elongation of vegetative internodes. Blunt-ended arrows indicate an inhibitory effect. Arrows in grey indicate the absence of specified inhibitory or activation effects under the given conditions.

How TOR kinase controls ATH1-promoter activity is currently unknown. TOR is a major regulator of translation (Schepetilnikov & Ryabova, [2017\)](#page-16-0). Active TOR promotes translation of mRNAs harboring uORFs within their leaders, by triggering reinitiation after uORF translation (Schepetilnikov et al., [2011](#page-16-0), [2013\)](#page-16-0). ATH1 carries a 1279-nt leader sequence, containing seven AUG-containing uORFs. However, for ATH1, we do not expect TOR-mediated translational control to be a major type of

regulation. First, none of the seven ATH1 uORFs seems to be translated (Hu et al., [2016\)](#page-15-0). Second, a close correlation can be observed between GUS mRNA levels and GUS activity in our $ATHI_{pro}:GUS$ line, a translational fusion that contains the entire ATH1 leader sequence (compare Figs [1e](#page-3-0) and [S8](#page-16-0); [S7\)](#page-16-0). Together, this argues against strong uORF-mediated translational control of ATH1. Therefore, the effect of TOR kinase on ATH1 is, most likely, indirect, possibly through TOR-mediated regulation of cytokinin homeostasis, as was previously reported for WUS (Janocha et al., [2021](#page-15-0)).

In the absence of light, ATH1 is repressed by negative regulators of photomorphogenesis, including COP1, fitting with previous finding that in darkness COP1 represses TOR kinase (Chen et al., [2018\)](#page-14-0). Here, we report that, in darkness, sucrose can substitute for light to induce ATH1 and this also requires TOR kinase (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). Most likely, sucrose affects TOR kinase activity independently of COP1 since sucrose-mediated induction of ATH1 can still be observed in a *cop1* background. Light signaling inactivates COP1, resulting in induction of auxin biosynthesis. Auxin then activates the small Rho-like GTPase ROP2, which in turn activates TOR (Cai et al., [2017;](#page-14-0) Li et al., [2017](#page-15-0); Schepetilnikov et al., [2017\)](#page-16-0). Constitutive expression of activated ROP2 stimulates TOR in the shoot apex and is sufficient to promote organogenesis in the absence of light (Li et al., [2017\)](#page-15-0). Sugars are known to trigger the accumulation of auxin, along with its biosynthetic precursors and such sucrose-induced auxin might activate TOR kinase in darkness, in the presence of COP1 (Chourey et al., [2010;](#page-14-0) LeClere et al., [2010;](#page-15-0) Sairanen et al., [2012;](#page-15-0) Mohammed et al., [2017](#page-15-0)). Worth mentioning in this respect is that the same PIF proteins identified here as repressors of ATH1, repress sugar-induced auxin biosynthesis (Sairanen et al., [2012\)](#page-15-0).

Similar to the peripheral zone, where lateral organs are generated, the RZ, where differentiation into stem tissue occurs, is continuously replenished by a population of dividing stem cells in the central zone of the SAM. An active central stem cell population is therefore a prerequisite for RZ activity. When TOR kinase is inactive, quiescence of the shoot stem cell population (Fig. [S8](#page-16-0)) prevents the RZ being activated, even though ATH1 mediated inhibition of RZ activity is absent (Fig. [S7](#page-16-0)). In light-grown or sucrose-supplemented dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings, activated TOR kinase allows for stem cell activation. However, subsequent activation of the RZ is prevented via TORkinase-mediated ATH1 induction. In the presence of light, ATH1 expression is induced in a functionally redundant manner by multiple photoreceptors operating in response to broad wavelengths of light (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). This ensures the presence of ATH1 in the SAM under all light conditions, inhibiting RZ activity, with the characteristic compact rosette of Arabidopsis as result. In line with this, loss of rosette compactness has been observed in light-grown Arabidopsis plants lacking multiple functional phytochrome and/or cryptochrome photoreceptors. Control of vegetative internode elongation in response to changes in light quality and/or ambient temperature was shown to be mediated by concerted action of phyA, phyB, phyD, phyE, and/or CRY1, all of which we identified as having a role in light-mediated induction of ATH1 (Devlin et al., [1996](#page-14-0), [1998](#page-14-0), [1999](#page-14-0), [2003](#page-14-0); Whitelam & Devlin, [1997;](#page-16-0) Whitelam et al., [1998;](#page-16-0) Mazzella et al., [2000](#page-15-0); Franklin et al., [2003](#page-14-0); Kanyuka et al., [2003](#page-15-0); Strasser et al., [2010](#page-16-0); Zhang et al., [2017\)](#page-16-0). Often not appreciated in the literature, compact rosette habit is thus a genuine photomorphogenic trait in Arabidopsis. Remarkably, rosette internode compactness is a non-plastic trait, unlike other photoreceptor-driven developmental responses in Arabidopsis, such as elongation of hypocotyl, petiole, and inflorescence stem. Compact rosette growth is not

affected in wild-type plants even under light quality and/or temperature regimes that cause rapid elongation of aerial plant organs. Plasticity of growth and development is often considered adaptive, enabling sessile plants to adjust rapidly to a changing environment (Schlichting, [1986](#page-16-0); Schlichting & Levin, [1986\)](#page-16-0). However, as mentioned, rosette growth provides several advantages compared with caulescent growth. Loss of a compact rosette in response to environmental cues, therefore, might be detrimental to plant fitness and viability. Compact rosette habit is not constitutively expressed in all rosette species (our unpublished observations) and this trait, as a result of selection, may have become fixed in Arabidopsis through genetic assimilation (Ehrenreich & Pfennig, [2016\)](#page-14-0). Important contributors to genetic assimilation are genetic variants that alter gene regulation. Plausible ways in which gene regulation might facilitate loss of phenotypic plasticity are (i) decoupling of the regulation of genes that control a plastic trait from environmental cues or (ii) the evolution of additional regulatory pathways that makes their expression insensitive to the environment (Ehrenreich & Pfennig, [2016](#page-14-0)). The latter might be the case for Arabidopsis rosette internodes, given that ATH1 expression is induced in response to broad wavelengths involving multiple photoreceptors. Moreover, it has been proposed that ATH1 controls internode elongation by antagonizing a large number of genes that promote internode growth, mostly independent of each other (Ejaz et al., [2021\)](#page-14-0). This assumption fits with the observation that pifq not completely reduced internode elongation in *ath1-3*. Such multitarget control by ATH1 of genes that affect internode elongation would further contribute to the robustness of compact rosette habit in Arabidopsis. Therefore, it is of interest to investigate whether ATH1 has a similar role in other rosette species and, if so, whether differences in plasticity of rosette compactness can be linked to differences in light-signaling control of ATH1 and/or decoupling internode elongation genes from ATH1 regulation.

In this study, we identified PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 as transcriptional targets of ATH1. PIF4 and PIF signaling components were previously identified as binding target of ATH1 (Ejaz et al., [2021](#page-14-0)). Therefore, ATH1 might affect the expression of these four PIF genes through direct transcriptional repression. Our finding that PIF4, and at least one of the other PIF proteins, PIF1, PIF3, or PIF5, in turn function as negative regulators of ATH1 suggest the presence of a double-negative feedback loop between ATH1 and PIF family members (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). Whether these PIFs also directly target ATH1 is currently unknown, but, given the fact that the rib-zone expressed cytokinin catabolism gene CKX5 is a direct transcriptional target of these PIFs (Hornitschek et al., [2012](#page-15-0); Zhang et al., [2013](#page-16-0); Pfeiffer et al., [2016](#page-15-0)) and ATH1promoter activity is strongly induced by cytokinin, we hypothesize that the PIF inhibitory effect on ATH1 is indirect, via local reduction in cytokinin levels.

Signaling systems that contain double-negative feedback loops can, in principle, convert graded inputs into switch-like, irreversible responses (Ferrell, [2002](#page-14-0)). Such a genetic toggle switch is a bistable dynamical system, possessing two stable equilibria, each associated to a fully expressed protein. ATH1 has a fundamental role in maintaining internode compactness in Arabidopsis during vegetative

growth. In light-grown plants, ATH1 is expressed throughout the shoot meristem, including the subapical region where it represses stem growth. Plant switching to reproductive growth rapidly downregulate ATH1 at the shoot meristem, marking the onset of bolting and emergence of an elongated inflorescence (Proveniers et al., [2007](#page-15-0); Gómez-Mena & Sablowski, [2008](#page-15-0); Ejaz et al., 2021). Such stem elongation is absent in plants constitutively expressing ATH1, without affecting flower formation (Cole et al., 2006; Gómez-Mena & Sablowski, [2008;](#page-15-0) Rutjens et al., [2009](#page-15-0)). Present study shows that both absence of ATH1 and induced ATH1 expression leads to pronounced changes in PIF gene expression at the SAM associated with significant elongation or complete suppression of rosette internodes, respectively. PIF proteins have been associated with bolting time and/or stem internode elongation (Brock et al., 2010; Todaka et al., [2012;](#page-16-0) Galvão et al., [2019](#page-15-0); Arya et al., [2021](#page-15-0); Jenkitkonchai et al., 2021). Moreover, elongated rosette internodes can be observed in 35S::PIF4 plants (Fig. [1d](#page-3-0) in Kumar et al. ([2012](#page-15-0))). It is therefore proposed that an ATH1-PIF toggle switch underlies the rapid and distinctive switch in Arabidopsis growth habit that marks floral transition. Now the theorem terms of *F* is the corresponding to the control of the

Acknowledgements

 $phyA$ cry1, $phyB$ cry1 and $phyA$ $phyB$ cry1 seeds were a kind gift of Jorge Casal. $hy1$, cry1, cry2, cry1 cry2, $hy1$ cry1, $hy1$ cry2, and $hy1$ cry1 cry2 seeds were a kind gift of Enrique Lopez-Juez and cop1-4 seeds were kind gift of Jan Lohmann. This work was supported by the Dutch Resource Council (NWO): ALW Graduate School grant 831.13.004 (SSS).

Competing interests

None declared.

Author contributions

MP was involved in conceptualization. MP, SSH, SSS and ES were involved in methodology. SSH, ES, NP, GB and SSS were involved in investigation. MP and SSH were involved in writing–original draft. MP, SSH, SSS, ES and SS were involved in writing–review and editing. MP, SSS, SSH and SS were involved in funding acquisition. SSH was involved in visualization. MP, ES and SS were involved in resources. MP and SS were involved in supervision.

ORCID

Marcel Proveniers D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7896-6049 Shahram Shokrian Hajibehzad D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3338-4472

Sjef Smeekens **(D** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6631-9426

Data availability

All data supporting the findings are contained in this manuscript. Additional Supporting Information may be found in the [Sup](#page-16-0)[porting Information](#page-16-0) section at the end of the article.

References

- Araki T, Komeda Y. 1993. Flowering in darkness in Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal 4: 801–811.
- Arya H, Singh MB, Bhalla PL. 2021. Overexpression of PIF4 affects plant morphology and accelerates reproductive phase transitions in soybean. Food and Energy Security 10: e291.
- Bello FD, Leps J, Sebastia M-T. 2005. Predictive value of plant traits to grazing along a climatic gradient in the Mediterranean. Journal of Applied Ecology 42: 824–833.
- Bencivenga S, Serrano-Mislata A, Bush M, Fox S, Sablowski R. 2016. Control of oriented tissue growth through repression of organ boundary genes promotes stem morphogenesis. Developmental Cell 39: 198-208.
- Brock MT, Maloof JN, Weinig C. 2010. Genes underlying quantitative variation in ecologically important traits: PIF4 (PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4) is associated with variation in internode length, flowering time, and fruit set in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Ecology 19: 1187–1199.
- Cai W, Li X, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhou Y, Xu T, Xiong Y. 2017. COP1 integrates light signals to ROP2 for cell cycle activation. Plant Signaling & Behavior 12: e1363946.
- Chen G-H, Liu M-J, Xiong Y, Sheen J, Wu S-H. 2018. TOR and RPS6 transmit light signals to enhance protein translation in deetiolating Arabidopsis seedlings. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 115: 828.
- Chen M, Chory J. 2011. Phytochrome signaling mechanisms and the control of plant development. Trends in Cell Biology 21: 664–671.
- Chourey PS, Li Q-B, Kumar D. 2010. Sugar–hormone cross-talk in seed development: two redundant pathways of IAA biosynthesis are regulated differentially in the invertase-deficient miniature1 (mn1) seed mutant in maize. Molecular Plant 3: 1026–1036.
- Cole M, Nolte C, Werr W. 2006. Nuclear import of the transcription factor SHOOT MERISTEMLESS depends on heterodimerization with BLH proteins expressed in discrete sub-domains of the shoot apical meristem of Arabidopsis thaliana. Nucleic Acids Research 34: 1281–1292.
- Deng X, Quail PH. 1992. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of cop1 mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal 2: 83–95.
- Deprost D, Yao L, Sormani R, Moreau M, Leterreux G, Nicolaï M, Bedu M, Robaglia C, Meyer C. 2007. The Arabidopsis TOR kinase links plant growth, yield, stress resistance and mRNA translation. EMBO Reports 8: 864-870.
- Devlin PF, Halliday KJ, Harberd NP, Whitelam GC. 1996. The rosette habit of Arabidopsis thaliana is dependent upon phytochrome action: novel phytochromes control internode elongation and flowering time. The Plant Journal 10: 1127–1134.
- Devlin PF, Patel SR, Whitelam GC. 1998. Phytochrome E influences internode elongation and flowering time in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 10: 1479–1487.
- Devlin PF, Robson PRH, Patel SR, Goosey L, Sharrock RA, Whitelam GC. 1999. Phytochrome D acts in the shade-avoidance syndrome in Arabidopsis by controlling elongation growth and flowering time. Plant Physiology 119: 909-916.
- Devlin PF, Yanovsky MJ, Kay SA. 2003. A genomic analysis of the shade avoidance response in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 133: 1617–1629.
- Dong P, Xiong F, Que Y, Wang K, Yu L, Li Z, Ren M. 2015. Expression profiling and functional analysis reveals that TOR is a key player in regulating photosynthesis and phytohormone signaling pathways in Arabidopsis. Frontiers in Plant Science 6: 677.
- Ehrenreich IM, Pfennig DW. 2016. Genetic assimilation: a review of its potential proximate causes and evolutionary consequences. Annals of Botany 117: 769–779.
- Ejaz M, Bencivenga S, Tavares R, Bush M, Sablowski R. 2021. ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE 1 controls plant architecture by locally restricting environmental responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 118: e2018615118.
- Ferrell JE. 2002. Self-perpetuating states in signal transduction: positive feedback, double-negative feedback and bistability. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 14: 140–148.
- Franklin KA, Praekelt U, Stoddart WM, Billingham OE, Halliday KJ, Whitelam GC. 2003. Phytochromes B, D, and E act redundantly to control

multiple physiological responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 131: 1340– 1346.

- Fujita N, Koda R. 2015. Capitulum and rosette leaf avoidance from grazing by large herbivores in Taraxacum. Ecological Research 30: 517–525.
- Galvao VC, Fiorucci A-S, Trevisan M, Franco-Zorilla JM, Goyal A, Schmid-Siegert E, Solano R, Fankhauser C. 2019. PIF transcription factors link a neighbor threat cue to accelerated reproduction in Arabidopsis. Nature Communications 10: 4005.
- Gomez-Mena C, Sablowski R. 2008. ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEOBOX GENE1 establishes the basal boundaries of shoot organs and controls stem growth. The Plant Cell 20: 2059–2072.
- Hornitschek P, Kohnen MV, Lorrain S, Rougemont J, Ljung K, López-Vidriero I, Franco-Zorrilla JM, Solano R, Trevisan M, Pradervand S et al. 2012. Phytochrome interacting factors 4 and 5 control seedling growth in changing light conditions by directly controlling auxin signaling. The Plant Journal 71: 699–711.
- Hu Q, Merchante C, Stepanova AN, Alonso JM, Heber S. 2016. Genome-wide search for translated upstream open reading frames in Arabidopsis Thaliana. IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience 15: 150–159.
- Hu W, Franklin KA, Sharrock RA, Jones MA, Harmer SL, Lagarias JC. 2013. Unanticipated regulatory roles for Arabidopsis phytochromes revealed by null mutant analysis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 110: 1542–1547.
- Jacqmard A, Gadisseur I, Bernier G. 2003. Cell division and morphological changes in the shoot apex of Arabidopsis thaliana during floral transition. Annals of Botany 91: 571–576.
- Janocha D, Pfeiffer A, Dong Y, Novak O, Strnad M, Ryabova LA, Lohmann JU. 2021. TOR kinase controls shoot development by translational regulation of cytokinin catabolic enzymes. BioRxiv. doi: [10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.29.454319) [1101/2021.07.29.454319.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.29.454319)
- Jenkitkonchai J, Marriott P, Yang W, Sriden N, Jung J, Wigge PA, Charoensawan V. 2021. Exploring PIF4's contribution to early flowering in plants under daily variable temperature and its tissue-specific flowering gene network. Plant Direct 5: e339.
- Kanyuka K, Praekelt U, Franklin KA, Billingham OE, Hooley R, Whitelam GC, Halliday KJ. 2003. Mutations in the huge Arabidopsis gene BIG affect a range of hormone and light responses. The Plant Journal 35: 57–70.
- Kathare PK, Xu X, Nguyen A, Huq E. 2020. A COP1-PIF-HEC regulatory module fine-tunes photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal 104: 113–123.
- Kumar SV, Lucyshyn D, Jaeger KE, Alos E, Alvey E, Harberd NP, Wigge PA. 2012. Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. Nature 484: 242–245.
- Kurihara D, Mizuta Y, Sato Y, Higashiyama T. 2015. CLEARSEE: a rapid optical clearing reagent for whole-plant fluorescence imaging. Development 142: 4168– 4179.
- Larcher W, Kainmüller C, Wagner J. 2010. Survival types of high mountain plants under extreme temperatures. Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants 205: 3–18.
- LeClere S, Schmelz EA, Chourey PS. 2010. Sugar levels regulate tryptophandependent auxin biosynthesis in developing maize kernels. Plant Physiology 153: 306–318.
- Leivar P, Tepperman JM, Monte E, Calderon RH, Liu TL, Quail PH. 2009. Definition of early transcriptional circuitry involved in light-induced reversal of PIF-imposed repression of photomorphogenesis in young Arabidopsis seedlings. The Plant Cell 21: 3535-3553.
- Li L, Sheen J. 2016. Dynamic and diverse sugar signaling. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 33: 116–125.
- Li X, Cai W, Liu Y, Li H, Fu L, Liu Z, Xu L, Liu H, Xu T, Xiong Y. 2017. Differential TOR activation and cell proliferation in Arabidopsis root and shoot apexes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 114: 2765– 2770.
- Li Y, Pi L, Huang H, Xu L. 2012. ATH1 and KNAT2 proteins act together in regulation of plant inflorescence architecture. Journal of Experimental Botany 63: 1423–1433.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Methods 25: 402–408.
- López-Juez E, Dillon E, Magyar Z, Khan S, Hazeldine S, Jager SM, Murray JAH, Beemster GTS, Bögre L, Shanahan H. 2008. Distinct light-initiated gene expression and cell cycle programs in the shoot apex and cotyledons of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 20: 947–968.
- Ma D, Li X, Guo Y, Chu J, Fang S, Yan C, Noel JP, Liu H. 2016. Cryptochrome 1 interacts with PIF4 to regulate high temperature-mediated hypocotyl elongation in response to blue light. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 113: 224–229.
- Mazzella MA, Bertero D, Casal JJ. 2000. Temperature-dependent internode elongation in vegetative plants of Arabidopsis thaliana lacking phytochrome B and cryptochrome 1. Planta 210: 497–501.
- de Mendiburu F. 2021. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. Package 'AGRICOLAE' (v.1.3-5). [WWW document] URL [https://cran.r-project.org/web/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/agricolae/index.html) [packages/agricolae/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/agricolae/index.html) [accessed 3 February 2023].
- Mohammed B, Bilooei SF, Doczi R, Grove E, Railo S, Palme K, Ditengou FA, Bögre L, López-Juez E. 2017. Converging light, energy and hormonal signaling control meristem activity, leaf initiation, and growth. Plant Physiology 176: 1365–1381.
- Montane M-H, Menand B. 2013. ATP-competitive mTOR kinase inhibitors delay plant growth by triggering early differentiation of meristematic cells but no developmental patterning change. Journal of Experimental Botany 64: 4361– 4374.
- Pedmale UV, Huang SC, Zander M, Cole BJ, Hetzel J, Ljung K, Reis PAB, Sridevi P, Nito K, Nery JR et al. 2016. Cryptochromes interact directly with pifs to control plant growth in limiting blue light. Cell 164: 233–245.
- Peterson RL, Yeung EC. 1972. Effect of two gibberellins on species of the rosette plant hieracium. Botanical Gazette 133: 190–198.
- Pfeiffer A, Janocha D, Dong Y, Medzihradszky A, Schöne S, Daum G, Suzaki T, Forner J, Langenecker T, Rempel E et al. 2016. Integration of light and metabolic signals for stem cell activation at the shoot apical meristem. eLife 5: e17023.
- Pham VN, Kathare PK, Huq E. 2018a. Dynamic regulation of PIF5 by COP1– SPA complex to optimize photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal 96: 260–273.
- Pham VN, Kathare PK, Huq E. 2018b. Phytochromes and phytochrome interacting factors. Plant Physiology 176: 1025–1038.
- Pham VN, Xu X, Huq E. 2018c. Molecular bases for the constitutive photomorphogenic phenotypes in Arabidopsis. Development 145: dev169870.
- Ponnu J, Hoecker U. 2021. Illuminating the COP1/SPA ubiquitin ligase: fresh insights into its structure and functions during plant photomorphogenesis. Frontiers in Plant Science 12: 662793.
- Proveniers M, Rutjens B, Brand M, Smeekens S. 2007. The Arabidopsis TALE homeobox gene ATH1 controls floral competency through positive regulation of FLC. The Plant Journal 52: 899–913.
- Quaedvlieg N, Dockx J, Rook F, Weisbeek P, Smeekens S. 1995. The homeobox gene ATH1 of Arabidopsis is derepressed in the photomorphogenic mutants cop1 and det1. The Plant Cell 7: 117-129.
- Rabot A, Henry C, Baaziz KB, Mortreau E, Azri W, Lothier J, Hamama L, Boummaza R, Leduc N, Pelleschi-Travier S et al. 2012. Insight into the role of sugars in bud burst under light in the rose. Plant and Cell Physiology 53: 1068–1082.
- Ramon M, Rolland F, Sheen J. 2008. Sugar sensing and signaling. The Arabidopsis Book 6: e0117.
- Roldán M, Gómez-Mena C, Ruiz-García L, Salinas J, Martínez-Zapater JM. 1999. Sucrose availability on the aerial part of the plant promotes morphogenesis and flowering of Arabidopsis in the dark. The Plant Journal 20: 581–590.
- Rutjens B, Bao D, Eck-Stouten EV, Brand M, Smeekens S, Proveniers M. 2009. Shoot apical meristem function in Arabidopsis requires the combined activities of three BEL1-like homeodomain proteins. The Plant Journal 58: 641–654.
- Sachs RM, Bretz CF, Lang A. 1959. Shoot histogenesis: the early effects of gibberellin upon stem elongation in two rosette plants. American Journal of Botany 46: 376–384.
- Sairanen I, Novák O, Pěnčík A, Ikeda Y, Jones B, Sandberg G, Ljung K. 2012. Soluble carbohydrates regulate auxin biosynthesis via PIF proteins in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 24: 4907-4916.

Schaffer WM, Schaffer MV. 1979. The adaptive significance of variations in reproductive habit in the Agavaceae II: pollinator foraging behavior and selection for increased reproductive expenditure. Ecology 60: 1051-1069.

Schepetilnikov M, Dimitrova M, Mancera-Martínez E, Geldreich A, Keller M, Ryabova LA. 2013. TOR and S6K1 promote translation reinitiation of uORFcontaining mRNAs via phosphorylation of eIF3h. The EMBO Journal 32: 1087–1102.

Schepetilnikov M, Kobayashi K, Geldreich A, Caranta C, Robaglia C, Keller M, Ryabova LA. 2011. Viral factor TAV recruits TOR/S6K1 signalling to activate reinitiation after long ORF translation. The EMBO Journal 30: 1343–1356.

Schepetilnikov M, Makarian J, Srour O, Geldreich A, Yang Z, Chicher J, Hammann P, Ryabova LA. 2017. GTPase ROP2 binds and promotes activation of target of rapamycin, TOR, in response to auxin. The EMBO Journal 36: 886–903.

Schepetilnikov M, Ryabova LA. 2017. Recent discoveries on the role of TOR (target of rapamycin) signaling in translation in plants. Plant Physiology 176: 1095-1105.

Schlichting CD, Levin DA. 1986. Phenotypic plasticity: an evolving plant character. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 29: 37–47.

Schlichting CD. 1986. The evolution of phenotypic plasticity in plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 17: 667–693.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to IMAGEJ: 25 y of image analysis. Nature Methods 9: 671–675.

Serrano-Mislata A, Bencivenga S, Bush M, Schiessl K, Boden S, Sablowski R. 2017. DELLA genes restrict inflorescence meristem function independently of plant height. Nature Plants 3: 749–754.

Strasser B, Sánchez-Lamas M, Yanovsky MJ, Casal JJ, Cerdán PD. 2010. Arabidopsis thaliana life without phytochromes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 107: 4776–4781.

Thomson FJ, Moles AT, Auld TD, Kingsford RT. 2011. Seed dispersal distance is more strongly correlated with plant height than with seed mass. Journal of Ecology 99: 1299–1307.

Todaka D, Nakashima K, Maruyama K, Kidokoro S, Osakabe Y, Ito Y, Matsukura S, Fujita Y, Yoshiwara K, Ohme-Takagi M et al. 2012. Rice phytochrome-interacting factor-like protein OsPIL1 functions as a key regulator of internode elongation and induces a morphological response to drought stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 109: 952.

Ursache R, Andersen TG, Marhavy P, Geldner N. 2018. A protocol for combining fluorescent proteins with histological stains for diverse cell wall components. The Plant Journal 93: 399-412.

Vaughan JG. 1955. The morphology and growth of the vegetative and reproductive apices of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh., Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medic. and Anagallis arvensis L. Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Botany 55: 279–301.

Whitelam GC, Devlin PF. 1997. Roles of different phytochromes in Arabidopsis photomorphogenesis. Plant, Cell & Enviroment 20: 752-758.

Whitelam GC, Patel S, Devlin PF. 1998. Phytochromes and photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 353: 1445–1453.

Xiong Y, McCormack M, Li L, Hall Q, Xiang C, Sheen J. 2013. Glucose–TOR signalling reprograms the transcriptome and activates meristems. Nature 496: 181–186.

Xu X, Paik I, Zhu L, Bu Q, Huang X, Deng XW, Huq E. 2014. PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR1 Enhances the E3 Ligase Activity of CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 to synergistically repress photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 26: 1992–2006.

Zhang B, Holmlund M, Lorrain S, Norberg M, Bako L, Fankhauser C, Nilsson O. 2017. BLADE-ON-PETIOLE proteins act in an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex to regulate PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 abundance. eLife 6: e26759.

Zhang Y, Mayba O, Pfeiffer A, Shi H, Tepperman JM, Speed TP, Quail PH. 2013. A quartet of PIF bHLH factors provides a transcriptionally centered signaling hub that regulates seedling morphogenesis through differential expression-patterning of shared target genes in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genetics 9: e1003244. No. Solidaris (1993) - 1993)

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Different *ath1* alleles display highly comparable rosette internode phenotypes.

Fig. S2 GUS mRNA levels in sucrose-induced $ATHI_{pro}$ GUS seedlings.

Fig. S3 Sugar-induced, dark-grown Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings phenocopy light-grown *ath1* mutants.

Fig. S4 Relative ATH1 mRNA abundance in different Arabidopsis thaliana photoreceptor mutants.

Fig. S5 $ATHI_{pro}$: GUS activity in dark-grown Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings in the presence of different sugars.

Fig. S6 Chemical inhibition of photosynthesis negatively impacts ATH1-promoter activity.

Fig. S7 Effect of TOR kinase activity on ATH1-promoter activity.

Fig. S8 Sugar-mediated dark morphogenesis requires TOR kinase activity.

Fig. S9 Effect of TOR inhibition on ATH1 expression in cop1-4 mutant seedlings.

Fig. S10 Cytokinin potently induces *ATH1*-promoter activity in the absence of both light and sucrose.

Table S1 Arabidopsis thaliana genotypes used in this study.

Table S2 PCR primers used for genotyping.

Table S3 Primers used for qPCR.

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the New Phytologist Central Office.