

Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich University Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2022

Reply to Nielsen et al.: Social mindfulness is associated with countries' environmental performance and individual environmental concern

Van Doesum, Niels J ; Murphy, Ryan O ; Gallucci, Marcello ; Aharonov-Majar, Efrat ; Athenstaedt, Ursula ; Au, Wing Tung ; Bai, Liying ; Böhm, Robert ; Bovina, Inna ; Buchan, Nancy R ; Chen, Xiao-Ping ; Dumont, Kitty B ; Engelmann, Jan B ; Eriksson, Kimmo ; Euh, Hyun ; Fiedler, Susann ; Friesen, Justin ; Gächter, Simon ; Garcia, Camilo ; González, Roberto ; Graf, Sylvie ; Growiec, Katarzyna ; Guimond, Serge ; Hřebíčková, Martina ; Immer-Bernold, Elizabeth ; Joireman, Jeff ; Karagonlar, Gokhan ; Kawakami, Kerry ; Kiyonari, Toko ; Kou, Yu ; et al ; Winter, Fabian

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122077119

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-260689 Journal Article Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Van Doesum, Niels J; Murphy, Ryan O; Gallucci, Marcello; Aharonov-Majar, Efrat; Athenstaedt, Ursula; Au, Wing Tung; Bai, Liying; Böhm, Robert; Bovina, Inna; Buchan, Nancy R; Chen, Xiao-Ping; Dumont, Kitty B; Engelmann, Jan B; Eriksson, Kimmo; Euh, Hyun; Fiedler, Susann; Friesen, Justin; Gächter, Simon; Garcia, Camilo; González, Roberto; Graf, Sylvie; Growiec, Katarzyna; Guimond, Serge; Hřebíčková, Martina; Immer-Bernold, Elizabeth; Joireman, Jeff; Karagonlar, Gokhan; Kawakami, Kerry; Kiyonari, Toko; Kou, Yu; et al; Winter, Fabian (2022). Reply to Nielsen et al.: Social mindfulness is associated with countries' environmental performance and individual environmental concern. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 119(9):e2122077119.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122077119

REPLY TO NIELSEN ET AL.: Social mindfulness is associated with countries' environmental performance and individual environmental concern

Niels J. Van Doesum^{a,b,1,2}, Ryan O. Murphy^{c,d,2}, Marcello Gallucci^{e,2}, Efrat Aharonov-Majar^f, Ursula Athenstaedt⁹, Wing Tung Au^h, Liying Bai¹, Robert Böhm^{j,k,1}, Inna Bovina^m, Nancy R. Buchanⁿ, Xiao-Ping Chen^o, Kitty B. Dumont^p, Jan B. Engelmann^{q,r}, Kimmo Eriksson^s, Hyun Euh^t, Susann Fiedler^u, Justin Friesen^v, Simon Gächter^w, Camilo Garcia^x, Roberto González^v, Sylvie Graf², Katarzyna Growiec^{aa}, Serge Guimond^{bb}, Martina Hřebíčková^z, Elizabeth Immer-Bernold^{cc}, Jeff Joireman^{dd}, Gokhan Karagonlar^{ee}, Kerry Kawakami^{ff}, Toko Kiyonari⁹⁹, Yu Kou^{hh}, Alexandros-Andreas Kyrtsisⁱⁱ, Siugmin Layⁱⁱ, Geoffrey J. Leonardelli^{kk,II}, Norman P. Li^{mm}, Yang Liⁿⁿ, Boris Maciejovsky^{oo}, Zoi Manesi^{pp}, Ali Mashuri^{qq,rr}, Aurelia Mok^{ss}, Karin S. Moser^{tt,uu}, Ladislav Moták^{vv}, Adrian Netedu^{ww}, Michael J. Platow^{xx}, Karolina Raczka-Winkler^{sy}, Christopher P. Reinders Folmer^{zz,aaa}, Cecilia Reyna^{bbb}, Angelo Romano^a, Shaul Shalvi^q, Cláudia Simão^{ccc}, Adam W. Stivers^{ddd}, Pontus Strimling^{eee}, Yannis Tsirbasⁱⁱ, Sonja Utz^{fff,g99}, Leander van der Meij^{hhh}, Sven Waldzusⁱⁱⁱ, Yiwen Wang^{iji}, Bernd Weber^{sy}, Ori Weisel^{kkk}, Tim Wildschut^{III}, Fabian Winter^{mmm}, Junhui Wu^{nnn,ooo}, Jose C. Yong^{ppp}, and Paul A. M. Van Lange^{pp,2}

Nielsen et al. (1) argue that Van Doesum et al. (2) need to consider three points for their interpretation of a positive association between individual-level social mindfulness (SoMi) and environmental performance (EPI) at the country level (3). The association is weaker when 1) it is controlled for GDP and 2) when the data of three countries are removed; also, 3) the data do not address the association between SoMi and individual-level environmental concern. We discuss these points in turn.

First, as we noted in a previous reply (4), there is a strong association between GDP and EPI (the Pearson correlation is 0.64 for raw GDP and 0.78 for log-transformed GDP). This should not be surprising, because, as the name reflects, EPI addresses "performance" which is linked to both motivation and the ability to do so. Ability is clearly associated with a country's resources to have an impact. Thus, the observed correlation is a valid result, on average across countries, and it should not be a surprise that GDP accounts for some of the shared variance between SoMi and EPI. Furthermore, we should note that EPI also accounts for shared variance between SoMi and GDP, and we see no strong reason to suggest that GDP should be considered a more proximal predictor of SoMi than EPI.

Second, our cross-national study included 31 countries (2). We agree that the sample is not very large. But leaving out the data of three countries for no special reason, other than that they are influential, is not convincing. In our view, it is good scientific practice to consider each data point as valuable and informative. Moreover, one could also arbitrarily remove three other countries and, in doing so, strengthen the association in the remaining data.

Third, Nielsen et al. (1) conducted a study to complement our data by examining the association between SoMi and four indicators of environmental concern. This study yielded associations that were small in magnitude, yet three of four correlations were statistically significant. Recall that SoMi is focused on dyads and uses a choice-related methodology with less reliance on language. Methodological differences may attenuate associations.

We regard Nielsen et al.'s (1) finding that an inherently dyadic measure predicts broader environmental concerns relevant to society's future as LETTER

Author contributions: N.J.V.D., R.O.M., M.G., and P.A.M.V.L. wrote the paper; and E.A.-M., U.A., W.T.A., L.B., R.B., I.B., N.R.B., X.-P.C., K.B.D., J.B.E., K.E., H.E., S.F., J.F., S. Gächter, C.G., R.G., S.G., K.G., S. Guimond, M.H., E.I.-B., J.J., G.K., K.K., T.K., Y.K., A.-A.K., S.L., G.J.L., N.P.L., Y.L., B.M., Z.M., A. Mashuri, A. Mok, K.S.M., L.M., A.N., M.J.P., K.R.-W., C.P.R.F., C.R., A.R., S.S., C.S., A.W.S., P.S., Y.T., S.U., L.v.d.M., S.W., Y.W., B.W., O.W., T.W., F.W., J.W., J.C.Y. revised the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: n.j.van.doesum@fsw.leidenuniv.nl.

²N.J.V.D., R.O.M., M.G., and P.A.M.V.L. contributed equally to this work.

Published February 25, 2022.

promising—and consistent with the broader idea that SoMi, as a case of kindness to another person, is connected to the presence and development of social capital. They also found associations between another dyadic measure of prosociality (social value orientation) and environmental concerns. These findings complement a recent finding that SoMi is associated with global sustainability, especially ecological footprint of consumption (5). It is important to recognize that there are myriad ingredients to building sustainable societies. Being socially mindful is likely to be one of them.

*Social, Economic and Organisational Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University 2300 RB Leiden, The Netherlands; *Knowledge Centre for Psychology, and Economics Behaviour, Leiden University 2312 HS Leiden, The Netherlands; Department of Economics, University of Carich 8006 Zurich, Switzerland; "Momingstar Investment Management, Chicago, IL Bodogy, Frachuldy of Psychology, Institute of Psychology, University of Carenhagen 1333 Copenhagen 1333 C

- 2 N. J. Van Doesum et al., Social mindfulness and prosociality vary across the globe. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2023846118 (2021).
- 3 Environmental Performance Index, 2020 EPI Results. https://epi.yale.edu/epi-results/2020/component/epi. Accessed 22 October 2021.
- 4 N. J. Van Doesum et al., Reply to Komatsu et al.: From local social mindfulness to global sustainability efforts? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas. 2119303118 (2022).
- 5 H. Komatsu, J. Rappleye, I. Silova, Social mindfulness for global environmental sustainability? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas.2118710118 (2022).

¹ Y. A. Nielsen, K. A. Ścigała, L. Nockur, T. A. G. Venema, S. Pfattheicher, A cautious note on the relationship between social mindfulness and concern with environmental protection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas.2120348119 (2022).