University of Nebraska - Lincoln [DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/)

[Department of Biochemistry: Faculty](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biochemfacpub)

Biochemistry, Department of

1-31-2024

Chromosome-level assembly and analysis of *Camelina neglecta*: a novel diploid model for Camelina biotechnology research

Shuo Wang

Rostislav Y. Blume

Zhi‑Wei Zhou

Shaoping Lu

Tara J. Nazarenus

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biochemfacpub](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biochemfacpub?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbiochemfacpub%2F628&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Biochemistry Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/2?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbiochemfacpub%2F628&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Biotechnology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/111?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbiochemfacpub%2F628&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Other Biochemistry, Biophysics,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/7?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbiochemfacpub%2F628&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [and Structural Biology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/7?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbiochemfacpub%2F628&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biochemistry, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Biochemistry: Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Shuo Wang, Rostislav Y. Blume, Zhi‑Wei Zhou, Shaoping Lu, Tara J. Nazarenus, Yaroslav B. Blume, Weibo Xie, Edgar B. Cahoon, Ling‑Ling Chen, and Liang Guo

RESEARCH

Biotechnology for Biofuels and Bioproducts

Open Access

Chromosome-level assembly and analysis of *Camelina neglecta*: a novel diploid model for Camelina biotechnology research

Shuo Wang^{1†}, Rostislav Y. Blume^{2†}, Zhi-Wei Zhou^{1†}, Shaoping Lu^{1,5}, Tara J. Nazarenus³, Yaroslav B. Blume², Weibo Xie^{1,5}, Edgar B. Cahoon^{3*}, Ling-Ling Chen^{4*} and Liang Guo^{1,5,6,7*}

Abstract

Camelina neglecta is a new diploid Brassicaceae species, which has great research value because of its close rela‑ tionship with the hexaploid oilseed crop *Camelina sativa*. Here, we report a chromosome-level assembly of *C. neglecta* with a total length of 210 Mb. By adopting PacBio sequencing and Hi-C technology, the *C. neglecta* genome was assembled into 6 chromosomes with scafold N50 of 29.62 Mb. *C. neglecta* has undergone the whole-genome triplication (γ) shared among eudicots and two whole-genome duplications (α and β) shared by crucifers, but it has not undergone a specifc whole-genome duplication event. By synteny analysis between *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa*, we successfully used the method of calculating Ks to distinguish the three subgenomes of *C. sativa* and deter‑ mined that *C. neglecta* was closest to the frst subgenome (SG1) of *C. sativa*. Further, transcriptomic analysis revealed the key genes associated with seed oil biosynthesis and its transcriptional regulation, including *SAD*, *FAD2*, *FAD3*, *FAE1*, *ABI3*, *WRI1* and *FUS3* displaying high expression levels in *C. neglecta* seeds*.* The high representability of *C. neglecta* as a model species for *Camelina-*based biotechnology research has been demonstrated for the frst time. In particular, foral *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* infltration-based transformation of *C. neglecta*, leading to overexpression of *CvL-PAT2*, *CpDGAT1* and *CvFatB1* transgenes, was demonstrated for medium-chain fatty acid accumulation in *C. neglecta* seed oil. This study provides an important genomic resource and establishes *C. neglecta* as a new model for oilseed biotechnology research.

Keywords *Camelina neglecta*, *Camelina sativa*, Synteny analysis, *Camelina* evolution, Oil biosynthesis pathway, Medium-chain fatty acid

† Shuo Wang, Rostislav Y. Blume and Zhi-Wei Zhou contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Edgar B. Cahoon ecahoon2@unl.edu Ling‑Ling Chen llchen@gxu.edu.cn Liang Guo guoliang@mail.hzau.edu.cn ¹ National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China ² Institute of Food Biotechnology and Genomics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine ³ Center for Plant Science Innovation and Department of Biochemistry,

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

4 College of Life Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning, China

5 Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, China

⁶ Shenzhen Institute of Nutrition and Health, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

7 Shenzhen Branch, Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, China

Introduction

False fax, or gold-of-pleasure (*Camelina sativa*), is one of the oldest oil crops in Brassicaceae family, originating from the region of Eastern Europe–Western Asia [\[61](#page-14-0), [73\]](#page-15-0). During the past decades, this species has attracted strong research interest as an important and promising biofuel crop [\[5](#page-13-0), [69](#page-15-1)]. In particular, *C. sativa* is viewed as an emerging platform for genetic engineering and gene editing improvement, aiming at producing seed oil with designed fatty acid composition [\[29,](#page-14-1) [30](#page-14-2), [68\]](#page-15-2). Close genetic relation of this crop to the widely known model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, high amenability for transformation, facultative self-pollinating nature of this crop and short vegetation cycle make *C. sativa* an ideal candidate for cutting-edge biotechnology research [[28,](#page-14-3) [39](#page-14-4), [67\]](#page-15-3).

C. sativa research is partially complicated by its allohexaploid nature and limited genetic diversity [\[33](#page-14-5), [44\]](#page-14-6). Because of this, other representatives of the *Camelina* genus, especially diploids, have received increased attention for genus evolution, genomics and biotechnology research $[15]$ $[15]$. The recent description and characterization of a new diploid species *Camelina neglecta* signifcantly enhanced the understanding of evolutionary events that has clarifed the origin of the complex hexaploid *C. sativa* species [[8\]](#page-13-2). It has been shown that *C. neglecta* directly contributes to the origin of the frst subgenome of *C. sativa* and is also believed to be the progenitor of the second subgenome [[46,](#page-14-7) [72](#page-15-4)]. In addition, *C. neglecta* has the smallest genome within the *Camelina* genus [[15](#page-13-1)]. Moreover, the importance of *C. neglecta* was further demonstrated by showing its relation to the origin of two other tetraploid *Camelina* representatives— *Camelina rumelica* and tetraploid *Camelina microcarpa* (known as *Camelina intermedia*), making *C. neglecta* a progenitor of all known polyploids within the genus [[15,](#page-13-1) [46,](#page-14-7) [48\]](#page-14-8). In addition, recent research suggests that *C. neglecta* is a maternal progenitor of the widely used oilseed *C. sativa* and its closest wild relatives *C. microcarpa* and *C. intermedia*, since they all have inherited cytoplasm directly from *C. neglecta* [\[9,](#page-13-3) [45](#page-14-9)].

These limitations of conventional *C. sativa* breeding raised questions about alternate pathways for its improvement, among which are often discussed `resynthesis` of this hexaploid crop [[46\]](#page-14-7) and genetic engineering techniques [[28\]](#page-14-3). Both approaches require a comprehensive understanding of the genome organization of this crop and its wild progenitors, which participated in the genus evolution. Additionally, genetic manipulations with *C. sativa* require additional eforts for obtaining pure homozygous lines, because of the hexaploid nature of this species. A possible solution for this problem could be the use of diploid *Camelina* representatives for rapid testing of outcomes from genetic manipulations. In this regard, C. *neglecta* seems to be an ideal candidate, since other know diploid *Camelina* species (*C. hispida* and *C. laxa*) are self-incompatible [\[71](#page-15-5)]. Moreover, *C. neglecta* has very similar fatty acid composition of seed oil to *C. sativa* [\[10](#page-13-4)], making this diploid even more attractive for such investigations.

Furthermore, *Camelina* genomics have been in focus due to its potential to serve as a model for studying mechanisms of plant polyploidy [[46](#page-14-7)]. Attractiveness, of this approach, in particular, grounds on the fact that diploid *Camelina* species (as well as other representatives of Lineage I of Brassicaceae) have not faced whole-genome triplication specifc to *Brassica* species from Lineage II [[15,](#page-13-1) [46,](#page-14-7) [48\]](#page-14-8). Moreover, *Camelina* polyploid species complex mainly comprised neopolyploids, which usually still retain subgenomes structure of their parental species and still undergo processes of genome fractioning and subgenome dominance, including elimination of gene duplicates and balancing of their expression [\[16](#page-13-5)]. To the date, several attempts for *C. neglecta* genome sequencing have been made using diferent approaches [\[16,](#page-13-5) [48\]](#page-14-8), as well as several investigations were made to uncover the genome structural changes within diferent *Camelina* representatives [[15,](#page-13-1) [46](#page-14-7)].

In this study, we aimed to (1) produce a high-quality chromosome-level assembly of *C. neglecta*, (2) use comparative genomics analysis to reveal the evolutionary events that shaped the modern genome of *C. neglecta*, and (3) show the role of this species in the evolution of economically important oilseed crop *C. sativa*. In addition, we show for the frst time the possibility to use *C. neglecta* as an efective model species for *Camelina* biotechnology research. This was achieved by successful demonstration of an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-based floral infiltration transformation protocol and by conducting a comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of key genes associated with *C. neglecta* seed oil content and fatty acid quality.

Results

High‑quality genome assembly and annotation

C. neglecta contributes to the frst subgenome of *C. sativa* and it generally has smaller plant size than *C. sativa* (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a). A genome survey based on 17-mer frequency revealed that the genome size of *C. neglecta* is 255.04 Mb, which is comparable to previous assessments using flow cytometry (Additional file 1 : Fig. S1) [[48\]](#page-14-8). The genome of *C. neglecta* was assembled using multifaceted sequencing approaches, including 149.74 Gb PacBio long reads $({\sim}714\times)$ for de novo assembly, 58.96 Gb Illumina pair-end reads $({\sim}281\times)$ for genome correction, and 52.54 Gb high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) reads

Fig. 1 Genome assembly and genomic features of *C. neglecta*. **a** General view of the greenhouse-grown *C. neglecta*: the upper left is the *C. neglecta* during fowering. The upper right is the comparison of *C. neglecta* (left) and *C. sativa cv. Suneson* (right) during the beginning of seeds ripening. The middle of right is the leaf rosette of *C. neglecta* before vernalization. The bottom is the general view of opened seed pods and seeds of *C. neglecta* (left) and *C. sativa* (right). Bars, 5 mm. **b** Genome-wide Hi-C contact matrix of *C. neglecta* genome. The color intensity represents the frequency of contact between two 50 kb loci. **c** Circos plot of the multidimensional topography for *C. neglecta* genome (window size of 100 Kb). From outer to inner represented GC content (A), A/B compartment (B), gene density (C), LTR/Gypsy density (D), LTR/Copia density (E), collinear links (F)

 $(-250 \times)$ $(-250 \times)$ $(-250 \times)$ for genome assembly (Additional file 2: Table S1). Through these approaches, we generated a 210 Mb chromosome-level *C. neglecta* genome assembly with 238 contigs and an N50 size of 11.77 Mb (Table [1\)](#page-4-1). These contigs were anchored into 6 chromosome-level pseudochromosomes (2*n*=12) with the scaffold N50 of 29.62 Mb using Hi-C reads (Fig. [1b](#page-4-0)). The total pseudochromosome length was 193.9 Mb, with the sizes of pseudochromosome ranging from 26.3 to 48.6 Mb (Additional fle [2:](#page-13-7) Table S2). To assess the accuracy and completeness of the genome assembly, the Illumina pair-end reads were mapped to the assembled genome with the alignment rate of 99.73% and diferent tissues of RNA sequencing data were also mapped to the assembly with an average alignment rate of 93.01% (Table [1,](#page-4-1) Additional file 2 : Table S3). The Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) assessment implied that 99.1% core eukaryotic genes were captured in the genome assembly (Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S4).

Furthermore, long-terminal repeat retrotransposons assembly index (LAI) reached 18.54, suggesting that the continuity of the assembly meets the criteria for the high-quality reference genome (Table [1](#page-4-1)). Overall, all assessments suggest a high-quality of the *C. neglecta* genome assembly.

In total, 26,595 protein-coding genes were annotated in the *C. neglecta* genome through a combination of ab initio, homology-based analyses and RNA sequencing-assisted prediction with mean CDs length of 1[2](#page-13-7)38 bp (Additional file 2: Table S5). Among these genes, 99% were functionally annotated according to the results of mapping these genes to multiple protein databases (Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S6). Transposable elements (TEs) accounted for 45.30% of the estimated *C. neglecta* genome, and long-terminal retrotransposon (LTR) formed the most abundant category of TEs, with LTR/Copia and LTR/Gypsy occupying 8.21% and 16.49% of the genome (Fig. [1c](#page-4-0), Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S7). Estimation of LTR insertion time revealed that the burst of retrotransposon multiplication in *C. neglecta* happened about 1.5–2.0 million years ago (Mya) (Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S8). In addition, 8,629 non-coding RNAs were predicted in the genome, containing 4,771 ribosomal RNAs, 2,436 transfer RNAs, 126 microRNAs and 1296 small nuclear RNAs (Additional fle [2:](#page-13-7) Table S9).

Phylogenetic analysis and whole‑genome duplication events in C. neglecta

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using 174 singlecopy orthologous genes in *C. neglecta* and 15 other plant genomes. The results reveal that *C. neglecta* is closely related to the Brassicaceae *Capsella rubella*, and approximately 8.5 Mya, *C. neglecta* diverged from *C. rubella* (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)a). Moreover, 1033 gene families are expanded and 1590 gene families are contracted in *C. neglecta* genome. Comparing *C. neglecta* with *C. rubella*, *Jatropha curcas*, *Ricinus communis* and *Brassica oleracea*, the 26,595 predicted protein-coding genes in *C. neglecta* genome were clustered into 20,010 gene families, and 7432 gene families are shared by fve genomes (Fig. [2b](#page-5-0)). A total of 118 signifcant GO teams were enriched in 333 *C. neglecta* unique gene families including "peptide biosynthetic process", "ATP hydrolysis coupled proton transport", "NADH dehydrogenase activity", etc. (Additional file [2](#page-13-7): Table S10). To identify the whole-genome duplication events in *C. neglecta,* we compared its genome with the genomes of *Amborella trichopoda*, the most basal lineage of angiosperms and *Vitis vinifera*, an ancient dicotyledonous plant without genome duplication. *C. neglecta*

Fig. 2 Evolutionary comparison and gene conservation of *C. neglecta* genome. **a** The phylogenetic tree and expansion/contraction of gene families among 16 plant species. The phylogenetic relationship and divergence time estimation is based on all single-copy orthologous gene families shared by selected species. The number at the root (17,467) denotes the total number of gene families predicted in the most recent common ancestor (MRCA). A total of 1,033 gene families are substantially expanded, and 1,590 gene families are contracted in *C. neglecta* compared with other genomes. **b** Shared gene families among *C. neglecta*, *B. oleracea*, *R. communis*, *J. curcas* and *C. rubella*. The fve species contain 7,432 common gene families, and *C. neglecta* has 333 specifc gene families. **c** Macrosynteny relationship between *C. neglecta*, *V. vinifera*, and *A. trichopoda* genomes. Macrosynteny patterns between *A. trichopoda* and *V. vinifera* show that each *A. trichopoda* region aligns to three syntenic regions in *V. vinifera*, and each *V. vinifera* region aligns to four syntenic regions in *C. neglecta*, which experienced two additional rounds of crucifer genome duplication

was found to share 12:1 relationship with *A. trichopoda* and 4:1 or 12:3 relationship with *V. vinifera*. This is consistent with *C. neglecta* having undergone two genome duplications (α and β) shared by the Brassicaceae, but no exclusive whole-genome duplication event was found in *C. neglecta* (Fig. [2c](#page-5-0)).

Comparative analysis between C. neglecta and hexaploid C. sativa

Comparison analysis shows that the genome of *C. neglecta* is closest to the frst subgenome (SG1) of *C. sativa*. First, we compared the collinearity between

C. neglecta and *C. sativa* genomes and found that *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* genome were highly conserved and showed a 1:3 correspondence of collinear synteny blocks. The smallest Ks value was found in one of the three collinear synteny blocks corresponding to each chromosome of *C. neglecta*, indicating that chromosomal segments in this block were the least genetically distinct, while the remaining two blocks were not significantly different (Fig. [3a](#page-6-0)). To distinguish three subgenomes of *C. sativa*, the collinear synteny blocks were frst divided into sub1, sub2 and sub3, and the Ks values of the collinear synteny blocks in each chromosome were calculated

Fig. 3 Comparison analysis between *C. neglecta* and hexaploidy *C. sativa*. **a** Collinear synteny blocks between *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* genomes. Synonymous substitutions rate (Ks) from dark to light indicates that genetic divergence from small to large. **b** Macrosynteny relationship between *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* subgenomes. **c** Density distributions of the Ks values for homologous genes between *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* subgenomes. **d** The phylogenetic tree of *C. neglecta*, *C. sativa* subgenomes and other closely related plants. The phylogenetic tree is constructed on the basis of 200 single-copy orthologous genes. The values on the branch are the substitutions between species and the nearest ancestor

(Additional file 1 : Fig. S2). The peak of the density distribution of Ks values shows that SG1 of *C. sativa* consists of Cs11, Cs7, Cs14, Cs4, Cs8, Cs19, the second subgenome (SG2) of *C. sativa* consists of Cs10, Cs18, Cs16, Cs3, Cs6, Cs13, Cs1, and the third subgenome (SG3) of *C. sativa* consists of Cs12, Cs2, Cs20, Cs5, Cs9, Cs17, Cs15, which is in agreement with previous studies [\[15](#page-13-1)] (Additional fle [1](#page-13-6): Fig. S3). In addition, the *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* subgenomes have good collinearity (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)b), and the density distribution of Ks values for homologous genes shows that SG1 of *C. sativa* and *C. neglecta* have the smallest peak at approximately 0.02, which also indicates that *C. neglecta* is closest to SG1 of *C. sativa* compared to SG2 and SG3 of *C. sativa* (Fig. [3c](#page-6-0)). Furthermore, a phylogenetic tree constructed using 200 single-copy orthologous genes selected from *A. thaliana*, *B. oleracea*, *C. neglecta*, *C. rubella* and three subgenomes of *C. sativa* also indicates that *C. neglecta* is closest to SG1 of *C. sativa* (Fig. [3d](#page-6-0)).

Transcriptomic analysis of key genes in seed oil biosynthesis

C. sativa is recognized as an important oilseed crop [\[73](#page-15-0)]. Additionally, the genome of *C. neglecta* has been found to be highly similar to SG1 of *C. sativa* [[15](#page-13-1)]*,* and their seed oils are both rich in unsaturated fatty acids. To analyze the genetic background for the active accumulation of unsaturated fatty acid in *C. neglecta* seeds, we obtained

transcriptomic data from diferent tissues, including seeds at diferent developmental stages (early developing seed, mid developing seed and late developing seed), leaf and stem. We investigated the key genes involved in the oil biosynthesis pathway in seed (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0), and found that most of them were highly expressed in seeds, but low or even unexpressed in leaf and stem (Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S11). Notably, several key desaturase genes were identifed in lipid synthesis such as *SAD* (key desaturase catalyzing the conversion of 18:0-ACP to 18:1-ACP in the plastid), *FAD2* (fatty acid desaturase 2 desaturating 18:1-PC to 18:2-PC in the endoplasmic reticulum), *FAD3* (fatty acid desaturase 3 desaturating 18:2-PC to 18:3-PC in the endoplasmic reticulum) were all highly expressed in seed, explaining the enrichment of unsaturated fatty acids in *C. neglecta* seed. Moreover, *FAE1* (fatty acid elongase 1) encoding a 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase that initiates the ER fatty acid elongation to produce C20 and C22 very long-chain fatty acids [\[49](#page-14-10)] was also found to be highly expressed in seed, which may be the reason why *C. neglecta* is also enriched in very long chain unsaturated fatty acid. In addition to these genes, we also identifed a variety of transcription factors involved in the oil biosynthesis pathway such as *abscisic acid insensitive 3* (*ABI3*), *wrinkled 1* (*WRI1*) and *fusca 3* (*FUS3*) which were also highly expressed in seed. Leafy cotyledon 1 (*LEC1*) is mainly expressed in early development seed and Leafy cotyledon 2 (*LEC2*) has very low expression during seed

Fig. 4 Transcriptomic analysis of *C. neglecta* oil biosynthesis pathway. Simplifed diagram of the oil biosynthesis pathway in *C. neglecta*. The heatmaps show the key enzyme-coding genes and transcription factor-coding genes and their expression in diferent tissues. Based on the fact that oil synthesis genes are highly expressed in seeds, some genes that are low or not expressed in seeds were omitted, including six out of nine copies of *LACS*, one out of two copies of *PDCT* and one out of two copies of *FAE1*. EDS, early developing seed; MDS, mid developing seed; LDS, late developing seed

development (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)). These transcription factors also play an important role in the synthesis and accumulation of seed oil in *C. neglecta.* In summary, the high expression of key genes and transcription factors involved in seed oil biosynthesis appears to contribute to the high oil content of *C. neglecta* and its fatty acid composition.

Demonstration of C. neglecta as a tool for oilseed biotechnology

We next examined the amenability of *C. neglecta* for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* transformation. A vector, containing *CvLPAT2*, *CpDGAT1* and *CvFatB1*, was introduced into *C. neglecta* plants in the same genetic background as used here for genome sequencing. For these studies, we used a floral infiltration protocol similar to the method described for *C. sativa* [[40](#page-14-11)]. Our binary vector backbone pBinGlyRed3 contained a constitutively expressed *Discosoma* sp. red fuorescent protein (DsRed) marker for selection of transgenic seeds based on fuores-cence [[51\]](#page-14-12). The transgenes were expressed in *C. neglecta* under the control of strong seed-specifc promoters. DsRed-positive seeds obtained from independently produced transgenic lines in $T₂$ generation were screened for presence of the transgenes and were further selected to obtain homozygous lines in T_3 generation (Fig. [5a](#page-8-0)). The transgene combination used here was previously used for

C. sativa seed oil modifcation [[29\]](#page-14-1). In these prior experiments, expression of the transgene combination conferred C10:0 accumulation in *C. sativa* seed to ≤24 mol% of fatty acids. Here, a similar effect was observed in T_3 generation of *C. neglecta* transformants. Seeds from this generation accumulated C10:0 to 25 mol% of total fatty acids (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)b). No C10:0 was detected in seeds of nontransformed plants. We also detected the accumulation of other medium-chain fatty acids: C8:0 accumulated to 3 mol%, C12:0 accumulated to 1.5 mol%, and C14:0 accumulated to 4.26 mol% of seed total fatty acids. These fatty acids were absent from non-transgenic *C. neglecta* or *C. sativa* seeds. These production of medium-chain fatty acids in the transgenic DsRed-positive seeds was accompanied by increases in C16:0 and large reductions in the polyunsaturated fatty acids linoleic (C18:2) and linolenic (C18:3) acids (Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)). Notably, the total fatty acid concentration of seeds from the C10 lines calculated on a molar basis was not signifcantly diferent from concentrations in seeds from non-transformed *C. neglecta* plants (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c).

Given that *C. neglecta*, in contrast to *C. sativa*, has a prolonged life cycle and requires vernalization for flowering, we examined whether these diferent growth conditions afect the fatty acid profle of *C. sativa* seed oil. It was found that the cultivation *C. sativa* plants with vernalization (similar to *C. neglecta*) has little efect on the composition of C16 and C18 fatty acids in the seed oil. However, vernalization was found to result in a signifcant decrease in erucic acid (C22:1) and a corresponding increase in gondoic acid (C20:1) compared to seed oil from *C. sativa* plants not exposed to vernalization (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)b). In addition, seeds from vernalized *C. sativa* plants had \sim 10% higher fatty acid content than those from non-vernalized plants (Fig. [5c](#page-8-0)).

Comparisons of the total fatty acid concentrations of seeds from these two *Camelina* species revealed a ~25% to 35% lower fatty acid content in *C. neglecta* seeds relative to seeds from non-vernalized or vernalized *C. sativa* plants (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c). The major difference in fatty acid composition in seeds of these two *Camelina* species was a ~15% lower relative amount of linolenic acid (C18:3) and a corresponding increase in the total relative amount of C20:0, C20:1 and C22:1 in seeds from *C. neglecta* plants compared to amounts of these fatty acids in *C. sativa* seeds (Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)).

Discussion

C. sativa is a widely recognized oilseed, known for its high fatty acid content that renders it a valuable vegetable oil feedstock for food, industrial raw materials, and liquid biofuels, including renewable diesel and sustainable aviation fuel [[73\]](#page-15-0). *C. neglecta* has been reported to be highly similar to SG1 of *C. sativa* and highly collinear to SG2 [\[15](#page-13-1), [46](#page-14-7)]. In this study, we reported a high-quality genome assembly of *C. neglecta* at the chromosome level. Although two genomes of *C. neglecta* have been previously reported, they exhibited high collinearity (Addi-tional file [1](#page-13-6): Figs. $S4$ and $S5$) [\[16,](#page-13-5) [48](#page-14-8)]. However, the size of our assembled genome was 3.1 Mb larger than that of Chaudhary et al. at the chromosome level, and is comparable to the assembly of Martin et al. (Additional fle [2](#page-13-7): Table S12) [\[16](#page-13-5), [48\]](#page-14-8). Interestingly, an inversion spanning 206,933 bp on chromosome 2 was observed in comparison to the assembly of Chaudhary et al., we verifed the accuracy of our assembly by visualizing the PacBio reads at both ends of the breakpoint in this region by IGV (Additional fle [1:](#page-13-6) Fig. S6). We observed a 4.2 Mb inversion on chromosome 2 when comparing the collinearity with the assembly of Martin et al. which was also reported in Chaudhary et al. (Additional fle [1:](#page-13-6) Figs. S4 and S7) [[16](#page-13-5), [48\]](#page-14-8). We verifed the accuracy of our assembly though Hi-C data. In addition, we found 9 large presence variations in our assembled genome. We checked the PacBio reads of the breakpoints at both ends of these 9 regions, and found that reads at both ends of the breakpoints were evenly spanned, indicating that the results of our assembly are accurate (Additional fle [1:](#page-13-6) Fig. S8).

Notably, the number of protein-coding genes annotated in this study is less than that annotated by Chaudhary et al. $(26,595 \text{ vs } 34,061)$ [\[16](#page-13-5)]. With the help of TransDecoder software, we fnd that 26,196 of the genes annotated in this study are complete, 3 genes are missing the 5ʹ end, 2 genes have only the middle part of the gene, and 394 genes are incorrectly annotated, giving an accuracy of gene annotation of 98.50%. Among the genes annotated by Chaudhary et al., 29,546 genes are complete, 2,698 genes lacked 5' end, 273 genes lacked 3ʹ end, 109 genes have only the middle part of the gene, 1435 genes are incorrectly annotated, and the accuracy of gene annota-tion is 86.74% (Additional file [2:](#page-13-7) Table S13). The accuracy of our annotated genes is higher than that of Chaudhary et al. [[16\]](#page-13-5). We noted that Chaudhary et al. used the BRAKER software to annotate genes $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. There are a number of issues mentioned on GitHub for the software which annotates a high number of genes (#513, #541, #522, etc.), probably due to the fact that fewer genome repetitive regions are masked while annotating, leading to a lot of false-positive genes being annotated. Currently, protein-coding gene annotation is mainly based on homology prediction, de novo prediction, and RNA-Seq assisted prediction [[7,](#page-13-8) [25\]](#page-14-13). Despite the existence of numerous algorithms and tools for gene prediction and annotation $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$ $[11, 25-27, 34, 43, 54, 58]$, the accuracy of predictions requires further improvement. The prediction process is complex and involves the integration of multiple algorithms and tools for analysis and validation. Thus, it is imperative to continuously enhance and optimize annotation methods to achieve improved accuracy and efficiency of annotation in future studies.

Whole-genome duplication events are widely present in plants, especially in Brassicaceae species [[3,](#page-13-10) [6](#page-13-11), [42](#page-14-19), [64\]](#page-14-20). It has been reported that Brassicaceae experienced at least two specifc whole-genome duplication events [[50\]](#page-14-21), while representatives of Brassicaceae Lineage II (including *Brassica* species) have also passed through whole-genome triplication $[17, 41]$ $[17, 41]$ $[17, 41]$. These events not only increase species diversity, but also enhance the adaptability to the environment [\[31\]](#page-14-23). *C. neglecta* has experienced α and β whole-genome duplication events, but no unique whole-genome duplication event.

The three sets of subgenomes of *C. sativa* have been previously distinguished [[33](#page-14-5)] and later revised, based on wild *Camelina* species sequencing data [[15](#page-13-1)]. This study used the assembled *C. neglecta* genome and calculated the Ks value of synteny gene pairs with *C. sativa* to successfully diferentiate three sets of subgenomes in *C. sativa.* The present study confirmed that *C. neglecta* genome retains the highest similarity to SG1 of *C. sativa* and also shows high collinearity with SG2 of *C. sativa*. These findings are consistent with widely accepted hypothesis that *C. neglecta* could be the direct progenitor of SG1 of hexaploid *Camelina*, while SG2 may have originated from diploid *C. neglecta*-like progenitor, which has not been found yet or could be extinct [\[15](#page-13-1), [16,](#page-13-5) [46](#page-14-7), [48](#page-14-8)]. The origin of *C. neglecta* remains unclear, except the fact that this species faced chromosome number reduction, which led to diversifcation of *C. neglecta* from its possible *C. neglecta*-like ancestor with *n*=7 chromosome counts [\[46](#page-14-7)]. In addition, *C. neglecta* retains the similar genome organization of the inferred ancestral *Camelina* genome [\[45](#page-14-9), [46\]](#page-14-7), except for the fact that two chromosomes are conjugated (chr1, in the present study).

Revealing the genome organization of *C. neglecta* further improves the understanding of the origin and evolutionary history of *C. sativa* and other related species. It has been reported that *C. sativa* might not have faced any post-polyploidization chromosome rearrangement events, which makes it a perfect model for studying the neo-ploidy in higher plants [\[15](#page-13-1), [46,](#page-14-7) [48\]](#page-14-8). Moreover, it was shown that the direct progenitors of *C. sativa* also retain the subgenome structure of their parental species. In particular, *C. intermedia* (tetraploid *C. microcarpa*, 2*n*=26) and hexaploid *C. microcarpa* (2*n*=40, Type 1) show identical organization of chromosomal regions in SG1 and SG2 [[45,](#page-14-9) [46\]](#page-14-7). On contrary, *C. rumelica*, other tetraploid representative of the genus, shows signs of signifcant chromosomal rearrangements within SG1, whose origin is associated with the *C. neglecta* [[15](#page-13-1), [46\]](#page-14-7).

The origin of alternate cytotype of *C. microcarpa* $(2n=38,$ Type 2) remains a mystery. This cytotype is distinguished by a completely diferent organization of the third subgenome. The origin of SG3 in *C. sativa* is associated with its direct inheritance from *C. hispida* (possibly var. *hispida*) [[46,](#page-14-7) [48\]](#page-14-8). At the same time the third subgenome in *C. microcarpa* Type 2 is associated with the *C. neglecta*-type genome, which might have diferent genome organization from the *C. neglecta*, but also had the reduced chromosome number [\[9](#page-13-3), [15,](#page-13-1) [45\]](#page-14-9). Otherwise, this mysterious subgenome of *C. microcarpa* Type 2 could carry signs of signifcant chromosome structure rearrangements, if it was inherited directly from the common *C. neglecta*. Finally, it should be noted that *C. neglecta* was identifed as the progenitor of a common cytoplasmic lineage of *C. intermedia*, both types of *C. microcarpa* and *C. sativa* [[9](#page-13-3), [45\]](#page-14-9).

C. neglecta is known to contain a signifcant amount of unsaturated fatty acids, similarly to all characterized species of this genus [\[9](#page-13-3)]. By analyzing transcriptomic data, we discovered that some key genes involved in the oil biosynthesis pathway were highly expressed in seed. These genes include desaturase-coding genes *SAD*, *FAD2* and *FAD3*, along with the elongase-coding gene *FAE1*, which are involved in the biosynthesis of the major fatty acids in *Camelina* seed oil. We found that the highest expression of *CnFAD2* and *CnFAD3* was measured during the mid and late stages of seed development. The expression of *CnFAE1* was found to increase within the passage from early to late stages of seed development of *C. neglecta*. Additionally, several key transcription factors, such as *ABI3*, *WRI1* and *FUS,* were found to be expressed. Collectively, these expressed genes likely contribute to the high content of polyunsaturated fatty acid-rich oil in *C. neglecta* seed.

Notably, the subgenomes of *C. sativa* may diferentially contribute to the total expression rates of homologous genes. Previous transcriptomic research revealed that, despite the general expressional dominance of SG3 at various developmental stages and in diferent tissues of *C. sativa*, the expressional activity of genes of SG1 rap-idly increases during seed development [[15](#page-13-1)]. This fact suggests that the genes of SG1 might have an increasingly important role in developing seeds of *C. sativa*. Moreover, the genes, arising from *C. neglecta*-like genomes could have a great impact on regulating *C. sativa* development, since SG1 and SG2 are highly collinear and both contribute 28–33% each to the total expression in *C. sativa* [[15\]](#page-13-1). All these fndings suggest the high representability of *C. neglecta*, if it is used as a model species for molecular genetic research, instead of *C. sativa*.

Considering the important role of *C. neglecta* in the origin of *C. sativa* and their high similarity in lipid

biosynthesis pathway, here we for the frst time propose to use this diploid species as a model for *Camelina* oilseed biotechnology. In particular, we successfully demonstrate the amenability of *C. neglecta* for transformation, aimed on introgression of the genes, leading to alteration of fatty acid composition in seed oil. It has been shown that *C. neglecta* transformants demonstrate the desired changes in accumulation of C10:0, which is naturally not present in seed oil of any *Camelina* species. The observed change in fatty acid composition of *C. neglecta* seed oil is consistent with our previous fndings on *C. sativa* [\[29](#page-14-1)].

We also established that the diferences in vegetation cycle of winter *C. neglecta* plants and spring *C. sativa* do not have crucial impact on the fatty acid composition of seed lipids in both species. In addition, the homozygosity of *C. neglecta* transformants can be achieved only within three generations, while already in T_3 plants the desired changes in fatty acid composition may be observed. Moreover, hexaploid genome organization of *C. sativa* signifcantly complicates gene editing research, since many target genes are represented by homologous triplets. Because of this hexaploidy, additional efforts are needed to obtain and identify edits of all possible allelic variants of target genes and later leads to high rates of mosaicism in transformants [\[30](#page-14-2)]. The use of *C. neglecta* would lessen such complications arising from the use of a hexaploid species.

The *C. neglecta* genome provided here enriches the understanding of *Camelina* genus evolution and offers resources for the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids. Furthermore, it will likely contribute to the study of functional genomics and genetic improvement of *Camelina* crops. Finally, *C. neglecta* can be used as an efficient and highly representative model for studying efects of genetic engineering or gene editing, aiming on improvement of various traits of *Camelina* species, including seed oil biosynthesis as well as input traits that promote environmental resilience.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and sequencing

C. neglecta line (PI650135) was obtained from USDA-NPGS Genbank. The same line was previously used for the species description [\[8](#page-13-2)]. Also *C. sativa* cv. Suneson was used in the experiments. *C. neglecta* and a set of *C. sativa* plants were grown via the described protocol, which includes vernalization step [\[47\]](#page-14-24), while the part of *C. sativa* plants were cultivated in greenhouse as the regular spring plants, excluding the vernalization stage.

Young *C. neglecta* leaves were used to construct both Illumina paired-end libraries and PacBio SMRTbell libraries. For Illumina sequencing, Illumina NovaSeq system was used to generate high-throughput reads with a length of 150 bp according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina). For PacBio sequencing, PacBio Sequel II was used to generate PacBio long reads and PacBio SMRTbell libraries $({\sim}20 \text{ kb})$ was constructed using SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0 (PacBio, Menlo Park, CA) following standard manufacturer protocol. To generate transcriptome data, RNA was extract from early developing seed (7–9 DAF), mid developing seed (13–15 DAF), late developing seed (18–20 DAF), leaf and stem were used for transcriptomics analysis. Three biological replicates were performed for each tissue.

Genome assembly and quality assessment

The *C. neglecta* genome was de novo assembled using Canu (v2.1) [[35\]](#page-14-25) based on PacBio long reads with the following parameters: -corOutCoverage 200 -correctedErrorRate 0.045 -minOverlapLength 500 –rawErrorRate 0.3 –batOptions -dg 3 -db 3 -dr 1 -ca 500 -cp 50 . Then the raw contigs were polished using Racon [\[60\]](#page-14-26) based on PacBio long reads and polished using Pilon [\[62](#page-14-27)] based on Illumina short reads. Finally, the polished contigs were anchored into chromosomes by Juicer [\[21](#page-14-28)] and 3D-DNA [[20\]](#page-14-29) based on Hi-C reads and then the assembled genome was manual corrected by Juicexbox (v1.11.08).

To assess the accuracy and completeness of the genome assembly, the Illumina pair-end reads were mapped to the assembled genome with BWA-MEM ([https://github.](https://github.com/lh3/bwa) [com/lh3/bwa](https://github.com/lh3/bwa)), and RNA sequencing data were also mapped to the assembly with HISAT2 [[34\]](#page-14-15). Furthermore, the BUSCO [\[56](#page-14-30)] and LAI were also used to assess the completeness of the assembly based on Embryophyta Plant database (odb10) and LTR_retriver [[53\]](#page-14-31).

Genome annotation

The annotation of transposable elements is divided into homology prediction and de novo prediction methods. RepeatModeler, LTR_FINDER [[65\]](#page-15-6), RepeatScount [[55](#page-14-32)] were used to build a de novo transposable element (TE) database and then combine the Repbase database [\(http://](http://www.girinst.org/repbase) www.girinst.org/repbase) [\[32](#page-14-33)] and the de novo transposable element database to generate a consensus library. Finally, RepeatMasker [\(https://www.repeatmasker.org/](https://www.repeatmasker.org/)) was used to identify repeat sequences.

The annotation of coding gene structure is to combine homology-based prediction, de novo prediction and RNA-Seq assisted prediction. First, the non-redundant protein from four closely related species of *C. neglecta* (*A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata*, *C. sativa*, *B. oleracea*, *B. napus*) were used as homology-annotation library and input for TBLASTN to search for homologous sequences. Furthermore, Augustus [\[58](#page-14-18)], Genscan [[11\]](#page-13-9) and GilmmerHmm [\[43](#page-14-16)] were used for de novo gene prediction. Third, RNA sequencing data were mapped to the genome

using HISAT2 [[34\]](#page-14-15) and StringTie pipeline [[54](#page-14-17)] was used for transcripts assembly. Finally, MAKER [\[27](#page-14-14)] and HiC-ESAP were used to combine all the predicted results to get a non-redundant gene set. In addition, the gene were functionally annotated with the help of protein databases SwissProt ([https://www.gpmaw.com/html/swiss](https://www.gpmaw.com/html/swiss-prot.html)[prot.html\)](https://www.gpmaw.com/html/swiss-prot.html), TrEMBL [[2\]](#page-13-13), NR [\(https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.](https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/FASTA/) [gov/blast/db/FASTA/\)](https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/FASTA/), KEGG [\(https://www.genome.jp/](https://www.genome.jp/kegg/) [kegg/](https://www.genome.jp/kegg/)) [[52\]](#page-14-34), InterPro [\[70](#page-15-7)] and GO [[1\]](#page-13-14).

The annotation of non-coding RNA: $tRNAscan-SE$ [[14](#page-13-15)] was used to annotate tRNA according to the structure characteristics of tRNA. Annotation of rRNA was based on mapping rRNA sequences to the genomes of closely related species using BLASTN. In addition, using the covariance model of the Rfam family, the INFERNAL with Rfam was used to predict the miRNA and snRNA.

Phylogenetic analysis and gene family expansion/ contraction

We selected *A. trichopoda*, *A. thaliana*, *B. oleracea*, *Beta vulgaris*, *C. rubella*, *Glycine max*, *J. curcas*, *Oryza sativa*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *R. communis*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Teobroma cacao*, *V. vinifera*, *Zea mays* to investigate the evolutionary of *C. neglecta* and their genomes were downloaded from Phytozome database ([https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/\)](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/) [[24\]](#page-14-35). First, a total of 174 sing-copy orthologous genes were identifed with Orthofnder [[23\]](#page-14-36) and the protein sequences and coding sequence of these gene were subject to multiple sequence homology alignment with MUSCLE [\[22](#page-14-37)]. Then ProtTest $[18]$ $[18]$ was used to predict the best-fit model (JTT+I+G+F) for constructing the phylogenetic tree. According to the result of ProtTest, RAxML [\[57](#page-14-38)] was used to construct the phylogenetic tree. Finally, the iTOL website (<https://itol.embl.de/>) [[37\]](#page-14-39) was used to visualize the phylogenetic tree.

MCMCTree program in the PAML package (v.4.9.j) [[66\]](#page-15-8) was used to calculate the divergence time for the above 16 species. The calibration points used in the MCMCTree were from the TimeTree database [\(http://](http://www.timetree.org/) [www.timetree.org/\)](http://www.timetree.org/) [[36](#page-14-40)] and *A. thaliana*–*V. vinifera* split time (mean time 117 MYA), *A. thaliana*–*B. oleracea* split time (mean time 26.0 MYA), *O. sativa*–*Z. mays* split time (mean time 49 MYA) were chosen. Finally, the gene family expansion and contraction were calculated by CAFÉ [[19\]](#page-13-17) based on the phylogenetic tree and the number of gene families.

Genome comparative analysis

OrthoMCL [[38\]](#page-14-41) was used to calculate the number of gene families and *C. neglecta*, *C. rubella*, *J. curcas*, *R. communis* and *B. oleracea* were selected for analysis. MCScan (python version) [\[https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/](https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/wiki/MCscan-(Python-version) [wiki/MCscan-\(Python-version\)](https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/wiki/MCscan-(Python-version)] was used to fnd pairwise genes and multiple genome syntenic comparisons and visualizations. First, MCScan was used to search pairwise genes of the *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* and KaKs_ calcutator [[63](#page-14-42)] was used to calculate the Ks of these pairwise genes. Secondly, MCScan was used to visualize the synteny of the *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* and the Ks of the pairwise genes.

Genetic transformation of C. neglecta

A binary vector, expressing *CvFatB1* gene (from *Cuphea viscosissima*), under seed-specifc glycinin promoter [[29,](#page-14-1) [59\]](#page-14-43), was modifed for the present study. To enhance efficiency of medium-chain fatty acids $(C10:0-14:0)$ accumulation, following seed-specifc transgenes were introduced into the vector: lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAT), diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) from *Cuphea* species. In particular, *CvLPAT2* (from *C. viscosissima*) was set under oleosin promoter, while *CpDGAT1* (from *Cuphea avigera* var. *pulcherrima*) was under the control of glycinin-1 seed-specifc promoter, while *CvFatB1* coding sequence was under the control of Glycinin-1 promoter (Additional fle [1:](#page-13-6) Fig. S9). The backbone of the vector is derived from pCAM-BIA0380 and was engineered with the DsRed marker gene under the control of the constitutively expressed cassava mosaic virus promoter [[29](#page-14-1), [51](#page-14-12)] for selection of transgenic seeds by fuorescence [\[40](#page-14-11)].

C. neglecta plants, used for transformation, were grown similarly as described above under greenhouse conditions. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells (strain C58C1) with the binary vectors containing *FatB* cDNAs were transformed by electroporation. Camelina plants were transformed in planta by foral dip/vacuum infltration, and DsRed was used as a visual marker for selection [[40](#page-14-11)].

Determination of fatty acid composition and seed oil content

Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were prepared via transesterifcation, using trimethylsulphonium hydroxide [\[12\]](#page-13-18). About 12–15 mg seeds (three biological replicates) were directly crushed in 50 μ L of TMSH in glass GC vials. Heptane $(400 \mu L)$ was added to each vial. After room temperature incubation with agitation for 30 min, FAMEs were analyzed by gas chromatography as described previously [\[13](#page-13-19)]. Total fatty acid content of seeds was measured using C17:0-TAG as an internal standard for gas chromatography-fame ionization detection analysis using transesterifcation and extraction methods as previously described [[13\]](#page-13-19). Statistical analysis of fatty acids content in seeds of *C. neglecta* and *C. sativa* was performed according to the previously described procedure [\[4](#page-13-20)].

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-024-02466-9) [org/10.1186/s13068-024-02466-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-024-02466-9).

Additional fle 1: Fig. **S1.** Genome size estimation of *C. neglecta* genome using k-mer analysis with diferent k-mer lengths. (a) 17mer (b) 19-mer (c) 21-mer (d) 23-mer. **Fig. S2.** Divided collinear synteny blocks into sub1, sub2 and sub3 from top to bottom by each chromosome of *C. neglecta.* **Fig. S3.** The Ks distribution of the collinear synteny blocks in each chromosome of *C. neglecta.* **Fig. S4.** The synteny plot of this study and the two published *C. neglecta* genomes. **Fig. S5.** The collinearity of three *C. neglecta* genomes. **Fig. S6.** PacBio reads coverage at the inversion breakpoints assembled by Chaudhary et al. and our assembly on chromosome 2. **Fig. S7.** The Hi-C signal heatmap of the 4.2 Mb inversion region on chromosome 2 (extending 2.5 Mb on the left and right sides of the inversion region). **Fig. S8.** Verifed the accuracy of the 9 large presence variations in the genome we assembled. **Fig. S9.** Binary vector, used for *Agrobacterium*-mediated *in planta* transformation of *C. neglecta*.

Additional fle 2: Table S1. Raw sequencing data. **Table S2.** Anchored chromosome length of *C. neglecta*. **Table S3.** Evaluation of *C. neglecta* genome based on RNA-seq of diferent tissues. **Table S4.** Evaluation of assembly completeness. **Table S5.** Genetic structure of *C. neglecta*. **Table S6.** Gene function annotation statistics. **Table S7.** Statistics of trans‑ posable element of *C. neglecta*. **Table S8.** LTR insertion time in *C. neglecta* genome. **Table S9.** Statistics of annotated non-coding RNAs. **Table S10.** The GO enrichment analysis of unique gene families of *C. neglecta*. **Table S11.** The key genes involved in the oil biosynthesis pathway and their TPM in *C. neglecta*. **Table S12.** Comparison with published *C. neglecta* assemblies. **Table S13.** Gene annotation quality statistics.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Bara Altartouri at University of Nebraska-Lincoln Center for Biotechnology for generating fuorescent micrographs of the seeds.

Author contributions

LG, LLC, EBC conceived and supervised this study. SL grew the plants and collected the samples for sequencing. SW and ZWZ performed genome assembly and annotation, comparative genomics analysis and transcriptome data analysis. RYB, TJN, YBB and EBC designed and conducted *C. neglecta* transformation and seed oil evaluation studies. SW, RYB, ZWZ, YBB, WX, EBC, LLC and LG contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Funding

The study was partially funded by Civilian Research and Development Foundation (CRDF) Global bilateral grant Improvement of capric fatty acid content in *Camelina sativa* seeds using RNAi technology (Award No. 63881/63882 to YBB and EBC), National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine grant for projects of young scientists research groups Genetic diversity and genetic structure of populations of little-pod false fax in Ukrainian part of its center of origin (Award No. 0122U002196 to RYB), US Department of Energy grant (DE-SC0023142 to EBC), HZAU-AGIS Cooperation Fund (SZYJY2022008/ SZYJY2021004 to LG) and Higher Education Discipline Innovation Project (B20051).

Availability of data and materials

The *C. neglecta* genome assembly has been deposited in the Genome Warehouse in National Genomics Data Center (Members and Partners 2023), Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences/China National Center for Bioinformation, under Bioproject number PRJCA016289 that is publicly accessible at [https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gwh.](https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gwh)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have competing interest.

Received: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 25 January 2024 Published online: 31 January 2024

References

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. Gene ontology: tool for the unifcation of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet. 2000;25:25–9.
- 2. Bairoch A, Apweiler R. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28:45–8.
- 3. Blanc G, Hokamp K, Wolfe KH. A recent polyploidy superimposed on older large-scale duplications in the Arabidopsis genome. Genome Res. 2003;13:137–44.
- 4. Blume RY, Rabokon' AM, Postovoitova AS, Demkovich AY, Pirko YV, Yemets AI, Rakhmetov DB, Blume YB. Evaluating the diversity and breeding prospects of ukrainian spring camelina genotypes. Cytol Genet. 2020;54:420–36.
- 5. Blume RY, Rakhmetov DB, Blume YB. Evaluation of Ukrainian *Camelina* sativa germplasm productivity and analysis of its amenability for efficient biodiesel production. Ind Crops Prod. 2022;187: 115477.
- 6. Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong J, Paterson AH. Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. Nature. 2003;422:433–8.
- 7. Brent MR. Steady progress and recent breakthroughs in the accuracy of automated genome annotation. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9:62–73.
- Brock JR, Mandáková T, Lysak MA, Al-Shehbaz IA. Camelina neglecta (Brassicaceae, Camelineae), a new diploid species from Europe. PhytoKeys. 2019;115:51–7.
- 9. Brock JR, Mandáková T, McKain M, Lysak MA, Olsen KM. Chloroplast phylogenomics in Camelina (Brassicaceae) reveals multiple origins of polyploid species and the maternal lineage of *C. sativa*. Horticult Res. 2022;9:uhab050.
- 10. Brock JR, Scott T, Lee AY, Mosyakin SL, Olsen KM. Interactions between genetics and environment shape Camelina seed oil composition. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20:423.
- 11. Burge C, Karlin S. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. J Mol Biol. 1997;268:78–94.
- 12. Butte W. Rapid method for the determination of fatty acid profles from fats and oils using trimethylsulphonium hydroxide for transesterifcation. J Chromatogr A. 1983;261:142–5.
- 13. Cahoon EB, Dietrich CR, Meyer K, Damude HG, Dyer JM, Kinney AJ. Conjugated fatty acids accumulate to high levels in phospholipids of metabolically engineered soybean and Arabidopsis seeds. Phytochemis‑ try. 2006;67:1166–76.
- 14. Chan PP, Lin BY, Mak AJ, Lowe TM. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: improved detection and functional classifcation of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:9077–96.
- 15. Chaudhary R, Koh CS, Kagale S, Tang L, Wu SW, Lv Z, Mason AS, Sharpe AG, Diederichsen A, Parkin IAP. Assessing diversity in the camelina genus provides insights into the genome structure of *Camelina sativa*. Genes Genomes Genetics. 2020;10:1297–308.
- 16. Chaudhary R, Koh CS, Perumal S, Jin L, Higgins EE, Kagale S, Smith MA, Sharpe AG, Parkin IAP. Sequencing of *Camelina neglecta*, a diploid progenitor of the hexaploid oilseed Camelina sativa. Plant Biotechnol J. 2023;21:521–35.
- 17. Cheng F, Mandakova T, Wu J, Xie Q, Lysak MA, Wang X. Deciphering the diploid ancestral genome of the Mesohexaploid *Brassica rapa*. Plant Cell. 2013;25:1541–54.
- 18. Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. ProtTest 3: fast selection of best-ft models of protein evolution. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:1164–5.
- 19. De Bie T, Cristianini N, Demuth JP, Hahn MW. CAFE: a computational tool for the study of gene family evolution. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1269–71.
- 20. Dudchenko O, Batra SS, Omer AD, Nyquist SK, Hoeger M, Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I, Lander ES, Aiden AP, Aiden EL. De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scafolds. Science. 2017;356:92–5.
- 21. Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I, Rao SS, Huntley MH, Lander ES, Aiden EL. Juicer provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C experiments. Cell Syst. 2016;3:95–8.
- 22. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792–7.
- 23. Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. Genome Biol. 2019;20:238.
- 24. Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, Mitros T, Dirks W, Hellsten U, Putnam N, Rokhsar DS. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:D1178–86.
- 25. Haas BJ, Wortman JR, Ronning CM, Hannick LI, Smith RK Jr, Maiti R, Chan AP, Yu C, Farzad M, Wu D, White O, Town CD. Complete reannotation of the Arabidopsis genome: methods, tools, protocols and the fnal release. BMC Biol. 2005;3:7.
- 26. Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M. Whole-genome annotation with BRAKER. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1962:65–95.
- 27. Holt C, Yandell M. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management tool for second-generation genome projects. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:491.
- 28. Iskandarov U, Kim HJ, Cahoon EB. Camelina: an emerging oilseed platform for advanced biofuels and bio-based materials. In: McCann MC, Buckeridge MS, Carpita NC, editors. Plants and bioEnergy. New York: Springer; 2014. p. 131–40.
- 29. Iskandarov U, Silva JE, Kim HJ, Andersson M, Cahoon RE, Mockaitis K, Cahoon EB. A specialized diacylglycerol acyltransferase contributes to the extreme medium-chain fatty acid content of cuphea seed oil. Plant Physiol. 2017;174:97–109.
- 30. Jiang WZ, Henry IM, Lynagh PG, Comai L, Cahoon EB, Weeks DP. Significant enhancement of fatty acid composition in seeds of the allohexaploid, Camelina sativa, using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. Plant Biotechnol J. 2017;15:648–57.
- 31. Jiao Y. Double the genome, double the fun: genome duplications in angiosperms. Mol Plant. 2018;11:357–8.
- 32. Jurka J, Kapitonov VV, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2005;110:462–7.
- 33. Kagale S, Koh C, Nixon J, Bollina V, Clarke WE, Tuteja R, Spillane C, Robinson SJ, Links MG, Clarke C, Higgins EE, Huebert T, Sharpe AG, Parkin IAP. The emerging biofuel crop *Camelina sativa* retains a highly undifferentiated hexaploid genome structure. Nat Commun. 2014;5:3706.
- 34. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12:357–60.
- 35. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res. 2017;27:722–36.
- 36. Kumar S, Suleski M, Craig JM, Kasprowicz AE, Sanderford M, Li M, Stecher G, Hedges SB. TimeTree 5: an expanded resource for species divergence times. Mol Biol Evol. 2022;39:msac174.
- 37. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:W293–6.
- 38. Li L, Stoeckert CJ Jr, Roos DS. OrthoMCL: identifcation of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Res. 2003;13:2178–89.
- 39. Liu X, Brost J, Hutcheon C, Guilfoil R, Wilson AK, Leung S, Shewmaker CK, Rooke S, Nguyen T, Kiser J, De Rocher J. Transformation of the oilseed crop *Camelina sativa* by Agrobacterium-mediated foral dip and simple large-scale screening of transformants. Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant. 2012;48:462–8.
- 40. Lu C, Kang J. Generation of transgenic plants of a potential oilseed crop *Camelina sativa* by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Plant Cell Rep. 2008;27:273–8.
- 41. Lysak MA, Mandakova T, Schranz ME. Comparative paleogenomics of crucifers: ancestral genomic blocks revisited. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2016;30:108–15.
- 42. Maere S, De Bodt S, Raes J, Casneuf T, Van Montagu M, Kuiper M, Van de Peer Y. Modeling gene and genome duplications in eukaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:5454–9.
- 43. Majoros WH, Pertea M, Salzberg SL. TigrScan and GlimmerHMM: two open source ab initio eukaryotic gene-fnders. Bioinformatics. 2004;20:2878–9.
- 44. Manca A, Pecchia P, Mapelli S, Masella P, Galasso I. Evaluation of genetic diversity in a *Camelina sativa* (L.) Crantz collection using microsatellite markers and biochemical traits. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 2013;60:1223–36.
- 45. Mandakova T, Lysak MA. The identifcation of the missing maternal genome of the allohexaploid camelina (*Camelina sativa*). Plant J. 2022;112:622–9.
- 46. Mandakova T, Pouch M, Brock JR, Al-Shehbaz IA, Lysak MA. Origin and evolution of diploid and allopolyploid camelina genomes were accompanied by chromosome shattering. Plant Cell. 2019;31:2596–612.
- 47. Martin SL, Lujan-Toro BE, Sauder CA, James T, Ohadi S, Hall LM. Hybridization rate and hybrid ftness for *Camelina microcarpa* Andrz. ex DC (female symbol) and *Camelina sativa* (L.) Crantz (Brassicaceae) (male symbol). Evol Appl. 2019;12:443–55.
- 48. Martin SL, Lujan Toro B, James T, Sauder CA, Laforest M. Insights from the genomes of 4 diploid *Camelina* spp. G3 (Bethesda). 2022;12:182.
- 49. Millar AA, Kunst L. Very-long-chain fatty acid biosynthesis is controlled through the expression and specifcity of the condensing enzyme. Plant J. 1997;12:121–31.
- 50. Murat F, Zhang R, Guizard S, Gavranovic H, Flores R, Steinbach D, Quesneville H, Tannier E, Salse J. Karyotype and gene order evolution from reconstructed extinct ancestors highlight contrasts in genome plasticity of modern rosid crops. Genome Biol Evol. 2015;7:735–49.
- 51. Nguyen HT, Silva JE, Podicheti R, Macrander J, Yang W, Nazarenus TJ, Nam JW, Jaworski JG, Lu C, Scheffler BE, Mockaitis K, Cahoon EB. Camelina seed transcriptome: a tool for meal and oil improvement and translational research. Plant Biotechnol J. 2013;11:759–69.
- 52. Ogata H, Goto S, Sato K, Fujibuchi W, Bono H, Kanehisa M. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999;27:29–34.
- 53. Ou S, Jiang N. LTR_retriever: a highly accurate and sensitive program for identifcation of long terminal repeat retrotransposons. Plant Physiol. 2018;176:1410–22.
- 54. Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNAseq reads. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33:290–5.
- 55. Price AL, Jones NC, Pevzner PA. De novo identifcation of repeat families in large genomes. Bioinformatics. 2005;21:i351–8.
- 56. Simao FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3210–2.
- 57. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:1312–3.
- 58. Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. AUGUS-TUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34:W435–9.
- 59. Tjellström H, Strawsine M, Silva J, Cahoon EB, Ohlrogge JB. Disruption of plastid acyl:acyl carrier protein synthetases increases medium chain fatty acid accumulation in seeds of transgenic Arabidopsis. FEBS Lett. 2013;587:936–42.
- 60. Vaser R, Sovic I, Nagarajan N, Sikic M. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. Genome Res. 2017;27:737–46.
- 61. Vollmann J, Eynck C. Camelina as a sustainable oilseed crop: contributions of plant breeding and genetic engineering. Biotechnol J. 2015;10:525–35.
- 62. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS ONE. 2014;9: e112963.
- 63. Wang D, Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Zhu J, Yu J. KaKs_Calculator 2.0: a toolkit incorporating gamma-series methods and sliding window strategies. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics. 2010;8:77–80.
- 64. Wang X, Wang H, Wang J, Sun R, Wu J, Liu S, Bai Y, Mun J-H, Bancroft I, Cheng F, Huang S, Li X, Hua W, Wang J, Wang X, Freeling M, Pires JC, Paterson AH, Chalhoub B, Wang B, Hayward A, Sharpe AG, Park B-S, Weisshaar B, Liu B, Li B, Liu B, Tong C, Song C, Duran C, Peng C, Geng C, Koh C, Lin C, Edwards D, Mu D, Shen D, Soumpourou E, Li F, Fraser F, Conant G, Lassalle G, King GJ, Bonnema G, Tang H, Wang H, Belcram H, Zhou H, Hirakawa H, Abe H, Guo H, Wang H, Jin H, Parkin IAP, Batley J, Kim J-S,

Just J, Li J, Xu J, Deng J, Kim JA, Li J, Yu J, Meng J, Wang J, Min J, Poulain J, Wang J, Hatakeyama K, Wu K, Wang L, Fang L, Trick M, Links MG, Zhao M, Jin M, Ramchiary N, Drou N, Berkman PJ, Cai Q, Huang Q, Li R, Tabata S, Cheng S, Zhang S, Zhang S, Huang S, Sato S, Sun S, Kwon S-J, Choi S-R, Lee T-H, Fan W, Zhao X, Tan X, Xu X, Wang Y, Qiu Y, Yin Y, Li Y, Du Y, Liao Y, Lim Y, Narusaka Y, Wang Y, Wang Z, Li Z, Wang Z, Xiong Z, Zhang Z. The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species Brassica rapa. Nat Genet. 2011;43:1035–9.

- 65. Xu Z, Wang H. LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of fulllength LTR retrotransposons. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:W265–8.
- 66. Yang Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24:1586–91.
- 67. Yemets AI, Boychuk YN, Shysha EN, Rakhmetov DB, Blume YB. Establish ‑ ment of in vitro culture, plant regeneration, and genetic transformation of *Camelina sativa*. Cytol Genet. 2013;47:138–44.
- 68. Yuan L, Li R. Metabolic engineering a model oilseed *Camelina sativa* for the sustainable production of high -value designed oils. front Plant Sci. 2020;11:11.
- 69. Zanetti F, Alberghini B, Marjanović Jeromela A, Grahovac N, Rajković D, Kiprovski B, Monti A. Camelina, an ancient oilseed crop actively contribut ‑ ing to the rural renaissance in Europe. A review. Agron Sustain Dev. 2021;41:2.
- 70. Zdobnov EM, Apweiler R. InterProScan–an integration platform for the signature -recognition methods in InterPro. Bioinformatics. 2001;17:847–8.
- 71. Žerdoner Čalasan A, Seregin AP, Hurka H, Hofford NP, Neuffer B. The Eurasian steppe belt in time and space: Phylogeny and historical biogeography of the false fax (*Camelina Crantz*, *Camelineae*, *Brassicaceae*). Flora. 2019;260: 151477.
- 72. Zhang Z, Meng F, Sun P, Yuan J, Gong K, Liu C, Wang W, Wang X. An updated explanation of ancestral karyotype changes and reconstruction of evolutionary trajectories to form *Camelina sativa* chromosomes. BMC Genomics. 2020;21:705.
- 73. Zubr J. Oil -seed crop: *Camelina sativa*. Ind Crops Prod. 1997;6:113–9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub ‑ lished maps and institutional affiliations.