Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/ijchp



Original article

The effect of HD-tDCS on brain oscillations and frontal synchronicity during resting-state EEG in violent offenders with a substance dependence



Carmen S. Sergiou^a,*, Elisa Tatti^c, Sara M. Romanella^b, Emiliano Santarnecchi^b, Alix D. Weidema^a, Eric G.C Rassin^a, Ingmar H.A. Franken^a, Josanne D.M. van Dongen^a,*

^a Department of Psychology, Education and Child Studies, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

^b Berenson-Allen Center for Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation, Beth Israel Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^c City College of New York (CUNY) School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: High definition-transcranial direct current stimulation Resting-state electroencephalography Violent offenders brain oscillations frontal brain regions

ABSTRACT

Violence is a major problem in our society and therefore research into the neural underpinnings of aggression has grown exponentially. Although in the past decade the biological underpinnings of aggressive behavior have been examined, research on neural oscillations in violent offenders during resting-state electroencephalography (rsEEG) remains scarce. In this study we aimed to investigate the effect of high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (HD-tDCS) on frontal theta, alpha and beta frequency power, asymmetrical frontal activity, and frontal synchronicity in violent offenders.

Fifty male violent forensic patients diagnosed with a substance dependence were included in a double-blind sham-controlled randomized study. The patients received 20 minutes of HD-tDCS two times a day on five consecutive days. Before and after the intervention, the patients underwent a rsEEG task.

Results showed no effect of HD-tDCS on the power in the different frequency bands. Also, no increase in asymmetrical activity was found. However, we found increased synchronicity in frontal regions in the alpha and beta frequency bands indicating enhanced connectivity in frontal brain regions as a result of the HD-tDCS-intervention.

This study has enhanced our understanding of the neural underpinnings of aggression and violence, pointing to the importance of alpha and beta frequency bands and their connectivity in frontal brain regions. Although future studies should further investigate the complex neural underpinnings of aggression in different populations and using whole-brain connectivity, it can be suggested with caution, that HD-tDCS could be an innovative method to regain frontal synchronicity in neurorehabilitation.

Introduction

Aggression is a major problem in our society (Grochowska and Kossowska 2012; Mooney and Daffern 2011, 2015), and consequently, research into the neural underpinnings of aggression has grown exponentially. Studies on aggressive behavior have previously shown that dysfunctions within the temporal and frontal lobes are associated with violence (Convit, 1991; Gatzke-Kopp et al., 2001; Tancredi & Volkow, 1988; Volkow & Tancredi, 1987; Volavka, 1990), and that these dysfunctions predict later criminal behavior (Lewis et al., 1985; Raine et al., 1990). In addition, there are studies that have shown that the prefrontal cortex (PFC), and specially the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), is the core brain region that is involved in regulating aggressive behavior (Gizewski et al., 2013; Fanning et al., 2017, Kose et al., 2015; Marxen et al., 2016; Preller et al., 2014; Sergiou et al., 2020a,b; Yang and Raine, 2009), antisocial behavior, especially aggression and impulsivity and that this is present in abusers of alcohol and cocaine (Anderson et al., 1999; Blair, 2004; Calzada-Reyes et al., 2013, 2017; Krämer et al., 2009; Raine, 1993; Raine et al. 2000). For instance, a review study by Bufkin and Lutrell (2005) described several neuroimaging studies of aggressive, violent or antisocial individuals demonstrating that diminished functioning of the prefrontal cortex was associated to their aggressive behavior (Blair, 2001, 2005, 2006).

One way for studying dysfunctions in brain structures is using resting-state electroencephalography (rsEEG). In the past decades, rsEEG has received increased attention due to its unique advantages in investigating brain connectivity and characterizing changes in brain functions among different psychiatric disorders. Resting-state is the state in which an individual is awake, but not engaged in a specific task allowing to reflect the intrinsic activity of the brain (Deco et al., 2011; Greicius et al., 2003). Temporal dynamic patterns of the brain activity in resting

* Correspondence authors at: Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Psychology, Education and Child Studies, P.O. Box 1738 3000. *E-mail addresses:* sergiou@essb.eur.nl (C.S. Sergiou), j.d.m.vandongen@essb.eur.nl (J.D.M. van Dongen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2023.100374 Received 25 July 2022; Accepted 25 January 2023 Available online 21 February 2023 1697-2600/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) state measured by EEG are suggested to change more rapidly than can be detected using techniques such as functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI; Baker et al., 2014; Deco & Corbetta, 2011; Siegel et al., 2012). In addition, EEG is a favorable technique to measure temporal dynamics because it is more suited to investigate the mechanisms underlying neural communication as EEG can directly measure the electrophysiological activity (Ganzetti & Mantini, 2013; Pfurtscheller & Lopes da Silva, 1999). In that matter it can demonstrate the organization of spontaneous brain oscillations and interactions between different networks with high temporal resolution (Liu et al., 2018; Siegel et al., 2012). rsEEG enables the investigation of electrical fluctuations in neural signals, allowing brainwave patterns during rest and thought processing to be detected (Jorge et al., 2014). (These neural oscillations can be divided into different frequency bands, which are ranging from the delta wave (δ ; 0.3–3.5 Hz), theta wave (θ ; 4–7.5 Hz), alpha wave (α ; 8–13 Hz), beta wave (β ; 14–30 Hz) to the gamma wave (γ ; >30 Hz), which are linked to different emotional states and cognition (Di et al., 2018). Various formations of neural synchrony in oscillations over the different frequency bands are proposed to be the major structure of neural integration (Munia & Aviyente, 2019). Studies investigating the electrophysiological estimation of neural activity suggest that these different frequency bands can be linked to distinct central processes (Da Silva, 2013) and that the oscillations create a synchronization over various brain regions to endorse cognitive processing (Engel et al., 2013; Hall et al., 2014; Schölvinck et al., 2013; Stam, 2014; Tewarie et al., 2015).

With regard to criminal behavior and violence, previous research has shown decreased arousal in the frontal brain regions (Decety et al., 2015); demonstrating enhanced slow-wave activity of the delta (0.5–3.5 Hz) and theta band (3.5–7.5 Hz) in the brain of antisocial individuals, and decreased alpha activity in criminals (Ellingson, 1954; Mednick et al., 1981; Raine et al.,1990). Common findings in these studies investigating EEG of antisocial and violent individuals show that this excessive slow-wave activity is related to aggressive tendencies within these individuals (Hill & Waterson, 1942; Scarpa et al., 1997; Brower & Price, 2001; Moya-Albiol, 2004).

Furthermore, besides the higher power of activity in slow waves, the reduced power in the alpha frequency band (8–12 Hz) also seems one of the more robust findings in individuals with antisocial and behavioral disorders (Calzada-Reyes et al, 2013; Convit et al., 1991; Fishbein et al., 1989; Forssmann & Frey, 1953; Lindberg et al., 2005; Keune et al., 2012). Alpha frequency has been linked to inhibition (Klimesch et al., 2007; Weisz et al., 2007) and is proposed to represent communication between different brain regions on a long range (von Stein et al., 2000). Given the impaired neural activity of violent offenders in the alpha frequency band, it is plausible that impaired brain communication reflects one of the neuroanatomical key factors to antisocial and violent individuals (Motzkin et al., 2011; Yang & Raine, 2009). In sum, these studies indicate that violent offenders show abnormalities in frontal regions, including enhanced power in the theta frequency band and decreased alpha power and impaired connectivity.

In addition to dysfunctions in the power in different frequency bands, increasing evidence suggests asymmetrical involvement of the frontal hemispheres in aggressive behavior (for review see Harmon-Jones, 2003). Particularly, the left hemisphere has been found dominant in aggressive behavior (Carvor & Harmon-Jones, 2009; Peterson et al., 2008; Schutter et al., 2008), and studies link increasing activity with higher levels of experienced anger and aggressive behavior (Peterson et al., 2008). Furthermore, the studies of Hofman and Schutter (2009, 2012) have demonstrated that asymmetrical activity in the beta frequency band specifically is found to be related to the development and expression of aggressive behavior (Hofman & Schutter, 2012) and in trait aggression in healthy individuals. This frontal asymmetry in aggression might be understood in terms of increased approach-related motivational tendencies (i.e. the left hemisphere) and decreased levels of avoidance-related motivational tendencies (i.e. the right hemisphere;

Harmon-Jones and Allen, 1998; Harmon-Jones, 2007; Schutter et al., 2008).

Furthermore, an interesting technique to examine the synchronicity and communication between frontal regions is to measure the intercorrelation in phase between electrodes. Synchronization can be described as a type of connectivity measure and refers to a process in which linear or nonlinear oscillatory components reflect a property in activity over time, demonstrating a collective behavior (Boccaletti et al., 2018). In this way the interaction between brain areas can be detected (Sengupta et al., 2014). Several indices can be used to determine the intercorrelation in phase between electrodes, one of these methods is the Phase Locking Value (PLV; Lachaux et al., 1999), which determines the coherence in phase between electrodes. The PLV is found to have the capability to determine phase coherence independent of the spectral power of the recorded signals and to be a robust measure in case of noisy signals (Cohen, 2015). Finally, the PLV is a more sensitive measure of synchronicity compared to other synchronicity measures when an investigation is driven by a hypothesis (Cohen, 2015) and best fitted to use when rsEEG data is involved.

A compelling way of investigating the dynamics of brain oscillations in an experimental way, is to combine EEG with non- invasive brain stimulation (NIBS). Using NIBS, measuring changes in brain activity and capturing individual variability or disorder specific alterations is possible (Benussi et al., 2020; Massimini et al., 2012; Voineskos et al., 2010). A promising NIBS device is High-Definition transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (HD-tDCS), which uses a high-definition technique targeting brain regions with higher focality (DaSilva et al., 2015), and in this way, modulates deeper lying brain regions in the most optimal way (Edwards et al., 2013; Hess, 2013; Nakamura-Palacios et al., 2016; Manuel et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2013).

Regarding tDCS in studying aggression, a recent study of Sergiou et al. (2022) multisession HD-tDCS targeting the vmPFC resulted in a decrease of aggressive responses on a laboratory aggression task and questionnaire in violent offenders. Another study (Molero-Chamizo et al., 2019) used one-session tDCS to reduce self-report aggression in a prison sample. In addition, other studies reduced aggressive tendencies (Choy et al., 2018; Dambacher et al., 2015; Gilam 2018; Ling et al., 2020) and unprovoked aggression (Riva et al., 2017) using traditional tDCS in healthy individuals. In the same vein, Although brain stimulation was traditionally used to target single brain regions of interest to modulate brain activity and neurological deficit, recently multiple studies demonstrate that the effect of the neuromodulation device is not limited to the stimulated region underlying the electrodes, but it can resonate into cortical networks and changes in functional connectivity (Di Lazzaro, 2004; Massimini et al., 2005; Siebner et al., 2001). As the neural signal reflects fluctuation of potentials resulting from postsynaptic potentials of cortical neurons which can be measured with EEG and therefore the brain areas directly or indirectly affected by tDCS can be investigated (Mangia et al., 2014). Multiple studies have demonstrated that neuromodulation techniques can influence synchronicity, both during task performance as in resting state (Alon et al., 2011; Chib et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2014; Grefkens et al., 2010; Keeser et al., 2011a; Meinzer et al. 2012, 2013; Peña-Gómez et al., 2012; Park et al., 2013; Polanía et al., 2011a, 2012; Stagg et al., 2013; Vanneste & de Ridder, 2011; Weber et al., 2014), others found increased functional connectivity patterns (Antal et al., 2004a, 2004b, Ardolino et al., 2005; Notturno et al., 2013; Polanía et al., 2012) and enhanced power in alpha and beta after anodal stimulation of the DLPFC (Zaehle et al., 2011a, 2011b) in healthy individuals. In the same vein, studies (Keeser et al., 2011a; Hampstead et al., 2013) demonstrated that tDCS increased the interaction between inter- and intra-cerebral cortexes and an enhancement of the coherence of the cerebral rhythm and functional organization at rest (Polanía et al., 2012). Investigating the synchronicity may thus be very important to picture deficits in the brain in different neurological or psychiatric disorders and in aggressive behavior (Fox, 2018; Fox et al., 2012; Sheffield & Barch, 2016). Since all the above-mentioned studies

used a sample of healthy individuals, and not in relation with aggression, it would be interesting to add to the current literature by investigate alterations in the frontal region of violent offenders in resting-state to determine the effect of HD-tDCS in altering activity.

Although in the past decade the neurobiological underpinnings of antisocial personality, violent behavior and crime have been received increased attention (i.e., Convit, 1991; Gatzke-Kopp et al., 2001; Tancredi & Volkow, 1988; Volkow & Tancredi, 1987; Volavka, 1990), investigating associated deficits in neural oscillations during rsEEG in violent offenders remains scarce (i.e. Calzada-Reves et al, 2013; Convit et al., 1991; Fishbein et al., 1989). Furthermore, neuromodulation technique as a tool to modulate brain oscillations in a violent offender sample including its effect on frequency power, asymmetrical activity and phase-synchronization is not previously investigated yet..In the current study we aimed to add to the scarce HD-tDCS-rsEEG research in violent offenders by investigating whether HD-tDCS could modulate brain oscillations in a violent offender sample. We specifically focused on frontal brain oscillations because functioning in the frontal brain region is found to be directly associated with violent behavior (Gizewski et al., 2013; Fanning et al., 2017, Kose et al., 2015; Marxen et al., 2016; Preller et al., 2014; Sergiou et al., 2020a,b; Yang and Raine, 2009). In addition, previous studies investigating asymmetrical activity also focus on the frontal activity of the brain in relation with aggression (i.e., see review Harmon-Jones, 2003). In the current study violent behaviors were studied in the context of addiction and/or alcohol use disorder, and specifically occurred during the abstinent phase of the addiction cycle.

The aim of this paper was threefold in to unravel the electrophysiological properties of aggression by analyzing the rsEEG of violent offenders using three different brain oscillation methods (spectral power, asymmetrical activity and synchronicity).

(i) first we examined whether HD-tDCS targeting the vmPFC could modulate power within the proposed frequency bands (theta, alpha, beta) over our electrodes of interest (i.e., in the prefrontal cortex). Based on previous literature we hypothesized an increase in activity the alpha frequency band (8–12 Hz), a decrease in the activity of the slow frequencies in theta frequency bands (4–7 Hz) in the violent offender sample after the HD-tDCS intervention.

Second, (ii) since asymmetrical activity in the frontal alpha and beta frequency bands has been linked to aggressive behavior (i.e., Hortensius & Schutter, 2012; Schutter & Hortensius, 2009), we aimed at investigating whether a change in the asymmetry of alpha and beta from could be established from pre to poststimulation. We expected an increase for theright sided activity in both frequency bands. Last, (iii) this study examined frontal synchronicity by determining the phase-correlation or phase-locking value (PLV) between each possible pair of the eight frontal electrodes and compared these from pre to posttest to see whether the HD-tDCS intervention affected the strengthening of synchronization between the electrodes in the specific frequency bands. We hypothesized that there would be in an increase in the PLV in the alpha and beta frequency bands.

Materials and methods

Participants

Data were collected as part of a study examining the effects of HDtDCS in increasing empathy and reducing violent behavior in forensic patients with a substance dependence (see Sergiou et al., 2020a; Sergiou et al., 2022). A total of 50 male participants (mean age = 37.40 years, SD = 9.19 years, range: 22–62 years) were recruited from two departments of the division for forensic mental healthcare and addiction of Antes in Poortugaal, the Netherlands (see Table 1 for an overview). Twenty-one participants were recruited at the Forensic Addiction Clinic (FVK) and 29 from the Department of Forensic Care (AFZ). Inclusion criteria were: age 18–60, a good understanding of the Dutch language, diagnosed with an alcohol and/or cocaine substance use disorder (SUD)

Table 1Demographic characteristics.

	tDCS group		Sham group	
	n	%	n	%
Caucasian	25	100	23	92
Non- Caucasian	0	-	2	8
Primary education	9	36	8	32
High School	7	28	6	24
Secondary education (VET)	9	36	11	44
DSM-5 Axis I	7	28	10	40
DSM-5 Axis II	8	32	10	40
Mono substance use	8	32	9	36
Poly substance use	17	68	16	64

**Note.* Characteristics are displayed in percentage of participants per group, N = 50 (n = 25 for each condition). Participants were on average 36.4 years old (SD = 8.88) in the tDCS group and on average 38.4 years in the sham group (SD = 9.56), and participant age did not differ by condition. VET = Vocational Education and Training, DSM-5 = Diagnostic Symptom Manual fifth edition.

according to The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013), patients had to be abstinent and had to be sentenced for a violent offense as determined by one of the most used mandatory risk assessment tools in the Netherlands (HKT-R; Spreen et al., 2014). Exclusion criteria included: major neurological conditions, or major mental disorders, taking antipsychotics or other confounding medication (See supplementary materials S1 for CONSORT). All participants gave written consent to participate in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standard of the Declaration of Helsinki (General Assembly of the World Medical Association, 2014) and was approved by the Medical and Ethical Review Board of the Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam.

Methods

High-Definition transcranial direct current stimulation

HD-tDCS was administered with the CE-certified Neuroelectrics Starstim8 (Neuroelectrics Barcelona, SLU; 2011), and the protocol was based on the evidence-based guidelines of LeFaucheur et al. (2017). Before the commencement of the study, the HD-tDCS montage optimization was based on the current flow modeling of the NIC-software of the tDCS Starstim8 system (Neuroelectrics Barcelona, SLU; 2011), see Sergiou et al. (2020a, 2022) for further details. In addition, the induced Efield of the HD-tDCS montage was computed in SimNibs v3.2 (Thielscher et al., 2015).

The currents were transmitted through six circular Ag/AgCl PiStim "High Definition" electrodes (1 cm radius) that were applied with conductive gel. For the active condition the HD-tDCS device was programmed for stimulation targeting the vmPFC, with 2 mA HD-tDCS during 20 minutes. The sham condition followed the exact same procedure, only with 30 seconds ramping up and down of the HD-tDCS currents at the beginning and end of the protocol, based on earlier research indicating this method being effective for blinding (Gandiga et al., 2006). The anodal electrode was placed on the Fpz location, and the five return or cathodal electrodes were placed on AF3, AF4, F3, Fz and F4 (see Sergiou et al., 2022 for electrical field modeling and biophysical modeling). In Fig. 1 an overview of the HD-tDCS montage is presented.

Resting-state EEG (rsEEG) task

To assess the brain state in resting condition using rsEEG, the patients underwent a rsEEG task with eyes open condition (EO) and eyes closed (EC) condition oscillated every minute, for four consecutive minutes.

Data were collected when participants were sat comfortably with their eyes fixated on the screen. The recording lasted for about four



Fig. 1. (A) Placement of the electrodes at 32 standard 10-20 electroencephalography system on the scalp with anodal high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (HD-tDCS) with 6 3 1-cm radius (p cm²) electrodes over the Fpz (2 mA) and cathodal tDCS over AF3, AF4, F3, F4, and Fz (20.4 mA each). (B) Different views and slices of the map of electrical field induced by HD-tDCS montage as expressed in norma (V/m). This measure allows us to see the intensity of the stimulation independently by the polarity. (C) Views of the map of the electrical field expressed in normal (E_normal) (V/m) showing the polarity (anodal/cathodal) of the stimulation.

minutes. (see Sergiou et al., 2020a; Sergiou et al., 2022). Since the cue for switching between the conditions of the EO and EC conditions was based on the sound of a bird (2130 ms) per trial, this was removed before further analysis, since this can evoke an auditory response.

EEG recording and preprocessing

Activity of the EEG was recorded using a mobile version of the Brain-Products (Live Amp, 2018) Active-Two System amplifier (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Resting-state was recorded using a 4 min eyes openeyes closed-eyes open-eyes closed recording session. Thirty- two electrodes have been placed on the scalp of each participant following the international 10- 20 EEG system. Two other additional electrodes were placed vertically above and beneath the left eye (electro-oculogram; EOG), the electrodes for the left and right mastoid placement were incorporated in the EEG cap.

The recording electrodes were positioned according to the extended 10-20 system and digitized at a sampling rate of 500 Hz. The impedance for all electrodes was maintained below 10 K Ω . The ground electrode was placed at the Pz position in both systems. Preprocessing was conducted in the open-source EEGLAB toolbox (Delorme and Makeig 2004) and custom MATLAB 2018b scripts (the Mathworks, Inc.).

The preprocessing pipeline included 1–70 Hz bandpass filtering, a notch filter at 50 Hz. Epochs of two seconds were created, resulting in four segments per participant (pre and post, EO and EC). Data were excluded based on data segments containing artifacts (EEGLAB, personalized pipeline) and the use of independent component analysis (ICA) removal of eye movement and muscle artifacts. If any of the two data sets (pre and post) of EO and EC, recorded from a given participant needed to be removed due to excessive artifacts or missing signal (i.e., if the number of remaining trials was less than 50%), that data was excluded from the analysis. Similarly, data from participants were removed if the signal from their resting- state recording that remained

after cleaning had a total length of less than 240 s. The signals were rereferenced to average reference at the end of the script. Ultimately, we included only the EC condition for further analysis, since the EO condition was not of good quality and we want to examine this in a following study. In addition, studies (i.e., Barry et al., 2007) recommend using eyes-closed resting EEG as a baseline for all studies which do not use visual stimuli in their task, indicating that EC is a more valid way of measuring resting-state in our study.

Spectral power analysis

The power of three EEG bands (theta, 4–7 Hz; alpha, 8–13 Hz; and beta (14–30), was calculated for each electrode individually (averaged over trials) for each window of spectral power. The frequency-domain analysis was performed using the Darbeliai EEGLAB extension (Baranauskas, 2008), which makes use of a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm to extract the absolute ($\mu V^2/Hz$) power density, the relative (%) power density and the mean frequency (Hz) within each of the three frequency bands. The absolute power (AP) can be described as the integral of all of the power values in the frequency range of that band. Relative power (RP) is the absolute power calculated over the four frequency bands together (1–32 Hz). Mean (total) frequency (Hz) was also derived from the entire analyzed spectrum (1– 32 Hz). Mean frequency was calculated over the following electrodes for the theta, alpha and beta frequency band: F3, F4, F7, F8, Fz, Fp1, FC1 and FC2.

Asymmetrical frontal activity

Measures of inter-hemispheric (absolute) power asymmetry for each band were computed for three homologous sites (F3-F4, F7-F8, FC1-FC2) and mean spectral power for left vs right frontal activity was measured by averaging the four corresponding electrodes (see Schutter & Knyazev, 2012). Accordingly, the asymmetrical power distribution was calculated by *powerasymmetry* = (*power^{right}* – *power^{left}*) /

International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology 23 (2023) 100374

(*power*^{*right*} + *power*^{*left*}) (Schutter et al., 2008) meaning that a greater value or increase in asymmetrical activity is right over left cortical activity. In this way there can be controlled for individual differences in skull thickness, skull- to-cortex distance and orientation of the underlying cortical tissue (Herbsman et al., 2009).

EEG synchronicity analysis

To examine the synchronicity between the frontal electrodes from pre to posttest, we used the Phase Locking Value (PLV), to calculate the phase symmetry between couples of electrodes (Fz, Fp1, F3, F4, F7, F8, FC1, FC2). We calculated this separately for the theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz) and beta (14–30 Hz) frequency band and the two measurement moments (pretest vs. posttest). The PLV was calculated using a customized script in Matlab. Phase locking between selected electrode pairs of recording sites was calculated based on the PLV-method described in (Lachaux et al., 1999).

We extracted EEG brain synchronicity over 26 numbers of couples of electrodes in three EEG frequency bands (theta, alpha, beta) using a sliding windows approach. We chose to look at data for 1.998 sec continuously. For each frequency band, we then computed the Phase-Locking Value (PLV), a phase synchronization measure that corresponds to the mean phase difference between signals from two electrodes. This allowed us to investigate the phase synchronization between two narrow-band signals. To do so we use the Hilbert transform:

We then computed the relative phase between two signals:

The instantaneous PLV is computed with the formula:

We created a matrix of 26 PLV correlations of chosen electrodes in the prefrontal area (Fz-F3, Fz-F4, Fz-F7, Fz-F8, Fz-FP1, Fz-FC1, Fz-FC2, FP1-F3, FP1-F4, FP1-F7, FP1-F8, FP1-FC1, FP1-FC2, F3-F4, F3-F7, F3-F8, F3-FC1, F3-FC2, F4-F7, F4-F8, F4-FC1, F4-FC2, F7-FC1, F7-FC2, F8-FC1, F8-FC2). The PLV indicates the inter-trial variability of the phase association between pairs of brain regions as a function of time. Values closer to 1 indicate strong synchronicity (i.e., phase-locking) between two regions within the indicated time window, whereas values closer to 0 indicate phase variation between two electrodes, and thus represent a weak synchronicity between regions (Spooner et al., 2020).

For an overview of the described analysis see Fig. 2.

Experimental procedure

For the experimental procedure of the study see the protocol paper (Sergiou et al., 2020a) and for an overview see Supplementary Materials S2.

Statistical analyses

Statistics were performed using the SPSS 25.0 software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, SPSS Inc, Chicago). We used three analyses of variance for repeated measures (rm-ANOVA) to examine if there was a difference between active HD-tDCS and the sham condition for our main region of interest (i.e., the prefrontal cortex). Data are reported as means and standard deviations. Mauchly's test was used to test for sphericity, and the Greenhouse–Geisser correction was applied if necessary. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

A three-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to test for the effect of condition (active HD-tDCS vs. sham) x lead (Fp1, Fz, F3, F4, F7, F8, FC1, FC2) x frequency band (theta, alpha, beta) calculated in rsEEG absolute power (μV^2).

For the calculation of the asymmetrical activity three repeated measures ANOVA for each frequency band (theta, alpha, beta) were conducted to test whether this activity changed from pre to posttest for the two groups (HD-tDCS vs. Sham).

To compute phase synchronization, we extracted the PLV using the method described by Lachaux et al. (1999) and calculated the difference in symmetry between the electrode pairs that are part of the frontal region (Fz, Fp1, F3, F4, F7, F8, FC1, FC2) using a customized MATLAB script. We measured the connectivity between the electrodes using the Phase Locking Value (PLV). We conducted separate repeated-measures ANOVAs for the PLV of each chosen frontal electrode pair over Time (pretest vs posttest) with group (HD-tDCS vs Sham) as a between subjects factor.

Results

Overall, neither side effects nor any relevant discomfort was reported during or after the HD- tDCS intervention (see Sergiou et al., 2022).









Also, no difference in medication use was found between the two groups (tDCS and sham) X2 (3, N = 50) = 3.1, p = .378 (see S4).

Furthermore, there was no difference in substance use between the two groups X2 (2, N = 50) = 2.0, p = .368 (See S5).

Effect of HD-tDCS on the frequency power

The results of the analysis on the absolute EEG power revealed significant main effects of lead (F (1.79,73.7) = 1.09, p < .01, $\eta^2 = 0.34$), and frequency band (F (1.31,53.7) = 22.7, p < .01, $\eta^2 = 0.36$). In addition, we found a significant interaction effect for lead x frequency band (F (1.64,67.8) = 20.9, p < .01, $\eta p^2 = 0.34$). Because we did not find any significant results for time or condition, we did not further investigate these effects.

Effect of HD-tDCS on the asymmetrical frontal activity

Regarding the asymmetrical activity we did not find a significant effect for the theta or alpha frequency bands. For the asymmetrical activity in the beta frequency, we did not find a significant main effect for Time, but we did find a significant interaction of Time vs. Group F(1,41 = ^{4.39, p = .042, $\eta 2 = 0.09$). An exploratory post-hoc analysis revealed that the effect was significant between groups at the pretest. When we examined this in more detail, we found that for a large subgroup in the HD-tDCS condition (*n* = 11) the baseline values were starting at negative (indicating a greater left-sided activity) and that for this subgroup the increase was significant (*p* < .001) towards a left to right sided cortical activity from pre- to post intervention.}

Effect of HD-tDCS on the connectivity (PLV)

Regarding the effects of HD-tDCS on the PLV's, we did not find any significant results for the theta frequency band. However, for the alpha frequency band, we found a significant interaction for FP1-FC1 alpha (F (1,41) = 5.67, p = .022, η 2 = 0.12) in HD-tDCS vs. sham in pre- vs.

poststimulation. The HD-tDCS group showed an increase of connectivity as reported by higher PLV values from pretest (M = 0.44, SD = 0.26) to posttest (M = 0.58, SD = 0.19). In addition, we found a significant interaction for F7-FC1 alpha (F(1,41) = 6.15, p = .017, $\eta p2 = 0.13$). The HD-tDCS group showed an increase of connectivity as reported by higher PLV values from pretest (M = 36, SD = 0.22) to posttest (M = 0.46, SD = 0.21). Regarding the beta frequency band, we found a significant interaction for F7-F8 beta (F(1,41) = 4.294, p = .045, $\eta \ 2 = 0.10$) with an increase for the HD-tDCS group from pretest (M = 0.49, SD = 0.13) to posttest (M = 53, SD = 0.12) and a decrease for the sham group from pretest (M = 0.53, SD = 0.12) to posttest (M = 0.48, SD = 0.12). For an overview of the PLV values see Fig. 3.

Discussion

Using HD-tDCS targeting the vmPFC, we investigated the effect of brain modulation on neural oscillations during rsEEG in a sample of violent forensic patients with a substance dependence. The aim of the current study was threefold.

First, we studied the effects of modulation on frontal power in the theta, alpha, and beta frequency band. Contrary to our predictions, we did not find an effect of the HD-tDCS modulation on the frequency power in these frontal areas. This finding may be explained in several ways. First, although the importance of focusing on frontal regions of the brain in violent offenders has emerged from multiple studies (i.e., Carvor & Harmon-Jones, 2009; Harmon-Jones, 2003; Hofman & Schutter, 2009, 2013; Peterson et al., 2008; Schutter et al., 2008), it could be that the modulation in spectral power was more prominent in other regions of the brain. For example, in a recent study of Konicar et al. (2021) they found excessive slow-wave activity limited to temporal and parietal regions instead of frontal, this could explain we did not find an effect of the intervention in the theta frequency band from pre- to posttest. Future studies should investigate a whole brain analysis to examine in which brain region the effect of HD-tDCS on power might be detected.

Our second aim was to investigate the effects of modulation on frontal asymmetrical activity in different frequency bands. For the theta frequency band, we did not find an effect of the HD-tDCS modulation on asymmetrical activity. This finding is not surprising, since the theta frequency band is mostly used to investigate cognitive motor functions (Mizelle et al., 2010) and our study is not a cognitive motor function study. Also, we did not to find an effect of modulation on asymmetrical activity in the alpha frequency band, which contradicts our hypothesis. However, closer inspection of the literature shows that this is not uncommon. For example, our null finding is in line with the findings reported in Hofman & Schutter (2012). In their study, they did not find any evidence for an association between HD-tDCS and frontal alpha asymmetrical activity and explained this by the assumption that alpha oscillations tend to be dominant over the posterior scalp locations during resting-state EEG recording. Therefore, a posterior-to-anterior direction of the configuration of the posterior dipole could explain the absent association between the alpha oscillations and frontal excitability (Hofman & Schutter, 2012) and this could also explain our lack of evidence in the current study. Another explanation could be that the association between asymmetrical activity in forensic patients may also be dependent on individual characteristics in personality (Knyazev et al., 2008), which is not taken into account in the present study. For example, in the study of Savostvanov et al. (2009) the synchronization of electrophysiological cortical activity posttest was modulated by trait anxiety Another reason for not finding an association between alpha asymmetry and aggressive behavior is comparison with a previous studie that did (Keune et al., 2012), might be that in that study the focus was more in including types of aggression (e.g., reactive vs. proactive), existence of psychopathic traits and/or comorbidity, and that these elements might explained why they did find results and we did not.

Last, it might be that the timing of the day and year of when the patients received the modulation could be of influence. Harmon-Jones et al. (2010) predicted that the baseline levels of asymmetrical frontal cortical activity correlate with basal cortisol levels and that relative right frontal activity was indeed greatest mornings in fall. Our study was carried out in summer and had one morning measure and one afternoon measure. In future studies, we should take the timing of asymmetrical activity into account. Therefore, more research is needed to better understand state variance in resting-state EEG and how this can be optimized in future studies.

For the beta frequency band, we observed an interaction between asymmetrical activity and group at the pretest, but we did not find increased asymmetrical activity using the HD-tDCS intervention over time for the complete HD-tDCS group. The results partly confirm our hypothesis, and are partly consistent with previous studies (Hoffman & Schutter, 2012; Schutter et al., 2008; Schutter & Hofman, 2009). Although we did not find the results for the effect over time, exploratory analysis revealed an increase in a small group of the HD-tDCS condition (see 3.2), with a negative (indicating a greater left-sided activity) baseline level and that for this subgroup the increase was significant towards a left to right sided cortical activity. Although no conclusions can be drawn here, it would be interesting to further investigate whether the effectiveness of the modulation depends on the baseline activity ... Interestingly, our findings are also in line with previous research suggesting that more left than right-sided activity may interact with interventions in terms of positive treatment outcomes (Smith et al., 2017). Therefore, it could be that the group with more left-sided activity did respond to the HD-tDCS in terms of altering cortical activity and did show no results for the other participants.

Furthermore, it could be that we found different results in the power frequency bands and asymmetrical activation because our forensic patients had a history of drug dependence and were in abstinence. A recent systematic review (Liu et al., 2022) has demonstrated that alcohol/substance use disorders (AUD/SUDs) are associated with abnormalities in the rsEEG and that a larger part of these abnormalities are not spontaneously recovered after short abstinence. In addition, the timing of the intervention in the stage of the treatment as usual could be of crucial importance. Each patient that participated in our study was in a different stage of their treatment in the forensic clinic; some of them were already there for a long time, some just arrived, and others were just there for a transfer period in between clinics. Hence, it could be that those individual differences in the stage of their treatment contributed to the effectiveness of HD-tDCS in modulating the rsEEG. For example, Volkow et al. (1993) demonstrated that during the first week of abstinence, an elevated cerebral metabolism in the PFC has been found that explained the decreased activity in the PFC after the first week, due to lower brain dopamine. Meaning that metabolic changes seen in substance users during detoxification are related to changes in dopamine activity, thereby accounting for differences in the effects of tDCS. Therefore, the impact of HD-tDCS on altering synaptic plasticity could be of importance in the different stages of neurocognitive recovery (Verveer et al., 2021). Finally, our third aim was to investigate the effect of HD-tDCS modulation on resting-state connectivity (expressed as PLV) in frontal brain regions. Our finding of an increase in resting- state connectivity from pre- to posttest in the alpha and beta frequency band is consistent with our hypothesis. More specifically, we found a significant increase in alpha connectivity in the left hemisphere for the HD-tDCS group compared to the sham group. Again, here we find that the left hemisphere is better responding to the HD-tDCS treatment. Former studies linked the increase of alpha-band connectivity to enhanced cognitive demand (Pijnenburg et al., 2004; Palva et al., 2010; Wianda and Ross, 2019) in healthy and Alzheimer patients. . EEG coherence represents the covariance between two electrode locations in their spectral activity and can therefore be considered as a rough measure in temporal synchronicity between neural populations (Babiloni et al., 2006). Higher connectivity can be seen as increased functionality in controlling cognitive abilities such as impulsivity, response time or executive functioning (Machida et al., 2019). Therefore, it could be promising to use methods (such as HD-tDCS) to increase the connectivity, and thereby also functionality, within the brain of violent offenders. When enhancing synchronicity and activity in the frontal region it could lead to a alterations in the deficits present in the brain of violent offenders that might trigger aggressive behavior.

For the beta frequency band, we found a significant increase in functional connectivity between the left and right hemisphere in frontal areas. Since the brain relies on the interhemispheric transfer of information to integrate behavior and cognition (Doron and Gazzaniga, 2007), for the larger part in the axonal transmissions between the two hemispheres sub-served by the corpus callosum (Banich, 1998), this is a key element in functional connectivity and with that increased functioning of the brain. Thus, increased connectivity affects the transfer between the hemispheres and with that interhemispheric connectivity is reinforced to influence cognitive performance and improve the functioning of the frontal brain region. Studies support this with stating that a decreased connectivity is associated with cognitive impairement (Babiloni et al., 2006; Keary et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2009; Thiruvady et al., 2007). It may be implicated that increased connectivity within the alpha and beta frequency band found in the current study may potentially result in alterations in the processing of moral emotions, emotion regulation and violent behavior. That is, the frontal cortex includes various regions that are involved in emotion regulation and emotional expressed aggression, violence as well as moral action and judgement (e.g., Harmon-Jones, 2004; Rosell and Siever, 2015). A large body of literature demonstrates that synchronized synaptic excitation is much more likely to evoke an action potential in neurons than (temporally) uncorrelated cues (Palva and Palva, 2011). In other words, synchronization thus provides a neuronal population an advantage in engaging the target neurons (Singer, 1999; Uhlhaas et al., 2009). With brain regions in greater coherence, thus syncing more in their neuronal oscillatory activity, the communication between brain regions can be enhanced. Therefore, it could be implicated that diminished brain activation in frontal brain regions in violent offenders with a diagnosis of substance dependency patients can be enhanced using tDCS.

Using HD-tDCS to increase neural connectivity can therefore be an interesting revenue for further studies on rehabilitation in forensic settings. The outcomes of this study could provide important knowledge about dysfunctions in neural oscillations associated with aggression in offenders, and the possible modulation of this neural activity in offenders using HD-tDCS technology (i.e. it has shown to influence specific neural mechanisms involved). Furthermore, it could contribute to the effectiveness of HD- tDCS as a supplementary treatment in forensic populations.

Limitations

Some limitations on the generalizability and specificity of the present outcomes must be considered when interpreting the results. First, it must be acknowledged that the current sample consisted of violent male forensic patients with substance dependence, and therefore we were not able to draw any conclusions about neural oscillations related to aggression in offenders more generally, nor for those offenders who are violent and are diagnosed with an antisocial personality disorder. Future studies have to be conducted in other samples to support generalizability of our results. Importantly, although current findings were the result of experimentally induced changes using HD-tDCS targeting the vmPFC, current findings were not compared to data from a healthy control group. Future studies should include these (control) groups to accurately determine whether the results found are specific to violent forensic patients with a substance dependence, or might me more generally related to offender populations. In addition, for future studies it would be very interesting to link asymmetrical activity to aggression outcomes, since multiple studies demonstrate the association between asymmetrical frontal activity and aggressive tendencies (Hofman & Schutter 2009, 2012; Schutter et al., 2008). In that matter, it could enhance our understanding of the aggressive behavior of forensic patients and how underlying cortical activity plays a role in this.

Second, as shown in earlier studies (Carvor & Harmon-Jones, 2009; Hofman & Schutter, 2012; Peterson et al., 2008; Schutter et al., 2008) the characteristics of the EEG recording in the localization of cortical activity sources and the claims made in this study regarding the activity over the brain regions should be interpreted with caution to ensure the effects originates from the cortical tissue beneath the electrode positions.

Third, in this study, resting-state EEG data during eyes closed was used, as is similar to the approach used in other studies (Jacobson et al., 2012a; Keeser et al., 2011b). However, it could be that data from the eyes open condition might have resulted in other outcomes. Other studies on resting-state EEG have used data collected when participants had their eyes open, to prevent the possibility that participants were asleep during the eyes closed condition. (Boonstra et al., 2016) and those studies did find changes in mean frequency in rsEEG after tDCS. This methodological difference may have affected our outcomes, as the aftereffects of tDCS have previously been shown to depend on the physiological state during tDCS administration (Antal et al., 2007) and therefore our choice for analyzing only data from the eyes closed condition might have influenced our results.

Fourth, it should be noted that aggression is a very heterogeneous construct (Rosell & Siever, 2015) which might result in heterogeneous variability in brain activation between different type of violent offenders. Although no studies are reporting the same effect for alpha or beta in antisocial individuals, it might be that these frequency bands also have a more pronounced effect located in other brain regions. Future studies should investigate whether these aberrant brain processes, characteristically for antisocial or violent individuals, are linked to specific regions because this could provide important treatment implications. In addition, investigating a whole-brain analysis should be a logical follow-up to this study to see whether the effects were present in other brain regions in our sample.

One of the main takeaway notes is to investigate the brain regions that are characteristic of the forensic sample tested. Results can vary based on electrode locations (frontal, parietal, temporal, etc.) and the methods used to calculate connectivity. For example, we used the PLV, but recent studies also indicate the use of the Phase Lag Index (PLI) as a value measurement in calculation synchronicity. The choice of all these parameters contributes to a better understanding of modulating electrophysiological measures and the possible modulation of neural activity with HD-tDCS leading towards an implementation in treatment.

Conclusion

The present study was the first to investigate modulation of neural dynamics using HD-tDCS in rsEEG in a sample of violent forensic patients with a substance dependency analyzing three different indices of neural dynamics. In our results, we found no evidence for a modulation effect of HD-tDCS on spectral power in the theta, alpha and beta frequency bands. However, we did find increased left-frontal asymmetrical activity after HD-tDCS in the beta frequency band, but this was only in a subsample of the forensic patients.

Furthermore, we find increased connectivity in frontal regions in the alpha and beta frequency bands as a result of HD-tDCS modulation, indicating enhanced synchronicity between frontal regions. This study has enhanced our understanding of the neural underpinnings of aggression and violence, pointing to the importance of alpha and beta frequency bands and their connectivity in frontal brain regions. Although future studies should further investigate the complex neural underpinnings of aggression in different populations, it can be suggested with caution, that HD-tDCS could be an innovative method to regain frontal synchronicity in neurorehabilitation.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures

Dr. Sergiou received a grant from the Prins Bernhard Cultuurfonds and a grant from the ZonMw, both located in the Netherlands. Dr. Sergiou reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Santarnecchi is partially supported by Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA), via 2014-13121700007. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the ODNI, IARPA, or the U.S.Government. Dr. Santarnecchi is supported by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) via the Chief Academic Officer (CAO) Award 2017, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) via HR001117S0030, and the NIH (P01 AG031720- 06A1, R01 MH117063-01, R01 AG060981-01).

The content of this paper is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of Harvard University and its affiliated academic health care centers, or the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Santarnecchi reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Elisa Tatti reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Romanella reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Weidema reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Prof Dr. Rassin reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Prof Dr. Franken reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. Dr. van Dongen was sponsored by the program "Kwaliteit Forensische Zorg" of the Expertise Center Forensic Psychiatry, Stichting Koningsheide and Stichting Volksbond Rotterdam in the Netherlands. Dr. van Dongen reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

We thank Nico van Beveren for the supervision of the project at the forensic clinics and the departments FVK and AFZ and staff for their cooperation. We thank all the staff at the Berenson-Allen center for NonInvasive Brain stimulation and the Beth Deaconess Medical center in Boston for their cooperation.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.ijchp.2023.100374.

References

- Alon, G., Roys, S. R., Gullapalli, R. P., & Greenspan, J. D. (2011). Non-invasive electrical stimulation of the brain (ESB) modifies the resting-state network connectivity of the primary motor cortex: A proof of concept fMRI study. *Brain Research*, 1403, 37–44. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2011.06.013.
- Anderson, SW, Bechara, A, Damasio, H, Tranel, D, & Damasio, AR (1999). Impairment of social and moral behavior related to early damage in human prefrontal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, 2, 1032–1037.
- Antal, A., Kincses, T. Z., Nitsche, M. A., Bartfai, O., & andPaulus, W. (2004a). Excitability changes induced in the human primary visual cortex by transcranial direct current stimulation: Direct electrophysiological evidence. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 45, 702–707. doi:10.1167/iovs.03-0688.
- Antal, A., Varga, E. T., Kincses, T. Z., Nitsche, M. A., & Paulus, W. (2004b). Oscillatory brain activity and transcranial direct current stimulation inhumans. *Neuroreport*, 15, 1307–1310. doi:10.1097/01.wnr.0000127460.08361.84.
- Antal, A., Terney, D., Poreisz, C., & Paulus, W. (2007). Towards unravelling task-related modulations of neuroplastic changes induced in the human motor cortex. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 26, 2687–2691. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05896.x.
- Ardolino, G., Bossi, B., Barbieri, S., & Priori, A. (2005). Non-synaptic mechanisms underlie the after-effects of cathodal transcutaneous direct current stimulation of the human brain. *The Journal of Physiology*, 568(2), 653–663.
- Babiloni, C, Ferri, R., Binetti, G., Cassarino, A., Forno, G. D., Ercolani, M., Ferreri, F., Frisoni, G. B., Lanuzza, B., Miniussi, C., Nobili, F., Rodriguez, G., Rundo, Stam, C. J., Musha, T., Vecchio, F., & Rossini, P. M. (2006). Fronto-parietal coupling of brain rhythms in mild cognitive impairment: A multicentric EEG study. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 69(2006), 63–73.
- Baker, A. P., Brookes, M. J., Rezek, I. A., Smith, S. M., Behrens, T., Probert Smith, M. J., & Woolrich, M (2014). Fast transient networks in spontaneous human brain activity. *eLife*, 3, e01867. doi:10.7554/eLife01867.
- Banich, M. T. (1998). The missing link: The role of interhemispheric interaction in attentional processing. *Brain and Cognition*, 36(1998), 128–157.
- Baranauskas, M. (2008). Darbeliai: Multiple files renaming, processing, epoching, ERP properties, 10 spectral power calculation. Retrieved from 11 https://sccn.ucsd.edu/ wiki/EEGLAB-Extensions-and-plug-ins
- Benussi, A., Pilotto, A., Premi, E., Libri, I., Giunta, M., Agosti, C., Alberici, A., Baldelli, E., Benini, M., Bonacina, S., Brambilla, L., Caratozzolo, S., Cortinovis, M., Costa, A., Cotti Piccinelli, S., Cottini, E., Cristillo, V., Delrio, I., Filosto, M., Gamba, M., Gazzina, S., Gilberti, N., Gipponi, S., Imarisio, A., Invernizzi, P., Leggio, U., Leonardi, M., Liberini, P., Locatelli, M., Masciocchi, S., Poli, L., Rao, R., Risi, B., Rozzini, L., Scalvini, A., Schiano di Cola, F., Spezi, R., Vergani, V., Volonghi, I., Zoppi, N., Borroni, B., Magoni, M., Pezzini, A., & Padovani, A. (2020). Clinical characteristics and outcomes of inpatients with neurologic disease and COVID-19 in Brescia, Lombardy, Italy. *Neurology*, 95, e910–e920.
- Blair, R. J. R. (2001). Neurocognitive models of aggression, the antisocial personality disorders, and psychopathy. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 71(6), 727– 731.
- Blair, RJR (2004). The roles of orbital frontal cortex in the modulation of antisocial behavior. Brain and Cognition, 55, 198–208.
- Blair, R. J. R. (2005). Applying a cognitive neuroscience perspective to the disorder of psychopathy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 17(03), 865–891.
- Blair, K. S., Newman, C., Mitchell, D. G. V., Richell, R. A., Leonard, A., Morton, J., & Blair, R. J. R. (2006). Differentiating among prefrontal substrates in psychopathy: Neurophysiological test findings. *Neuropsychology*, 20, 153–165.
- Boccaletti, S., Amann, A., Pisarchik, A.N., & Genio, C.I. (2018). Synchronization: From Coupled Systems to Complex Networks.
- Boonstra, T. W., Nikolin, S., Meisener, A.-C., Martin, D. M., & Loo, C. K. (2016). Change in mean frequency of resting-state electroencephalography after transcranial direct current stimulation. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 10, 270. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00270.
- Brower, M. C., & Price, B. H. (2001). Neuropsychiatry of frontal lobe dysfunction in violent and criminal behaviour: A critical review. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 71, 720–726 2001.
- Bufkin, J. L., & Lutrell, V. R. (2005). Neuroimaging studies of aggressive and violent behavior: Current findings and implications for criminology and criminal justice. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 6(2), 176–191. http://www.jstor.org/stable/26638269.
- Calzada-Reyes, A, Alvarez-Amador, A, Galán-García, L, & Valdeś-Sosa, M. (2013). EEG abnormalities in psychopath and non-psychopath violent offenders. *Journal of Forensic* and Legal Medicine, 20(1), 19–26.
- Carver, C. S., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2009). Anger is an approach-related affect: Evidence and implications. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(2), 183–204. https://doi.org/10.1037/ a0013965.
- Chib, V. S., Yun, K., Takahashi, H., & Shimojo, S. (2013). Noninvasive remote activation of the ventral midbrain by transcranial direct current stimulation of prefrontal cortex. *Translational Psychiatry*, 3, e268. doi:10.1038/tp. 2013.44.

- Choy, O., Raine, A., & Hamilton, R. H. (2018). Stimulation of the prefrontal cortex reduces intentions to commit aggression: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, stratified, parallel-group trial. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 38(29), 6505–6512. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3317-17.2018.
- Cohen, M. X. (2015). Effects of time lag and frequency matching on phase-based connectivity. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 250, 137–146.
- Convit, A, Czobor, P, & Volavka, J (1991). Lateralized abnormality in the EEG of persistently violent psychiatric inpatient. J Biol Psychiat, 30, 363–370.
- Dambacher, F., Schuhmann, T., Lobbestael, J., Arntz, A., Brugman, S., & Sack, A. T. (2015). Reducing proactive aggression through non-invasive brain stimulation. SCAN, 10, 1303–1309. doi:10.1093/scan/nsv018.
- DaSilva, A. F., Truong, D. Q., DosSantos, M. F., Toback, R. L., Datta, A., & Bikson, M. (2015). State-of-art neuroanatomical target analysis of high-definition and conventional tDCS montages used for migraine and pain control. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 9, 89. doi:10.3389/fnana.2015.00089.
- DaSilva, M. C., Conti, C. L., Klauss, J., Alves, L. G, do Nascimento Cavalcante, H. M., Fregni, F., et al. (2013). Behavioral effects of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) induced dorsolateral prefrontal cortex plasticity in alcohol dependence. *Journal* of Physiology. Paris, 107, 493–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2013.07.003.
- Deco, G., & Corbetta, M. (2011). The dynamical balance of the brain at rest. The Neuroscientist: A Review Journal Bringing Neurobiology, Neurology and Psychiatry, 17(1), 107– 123. doi:10.1177/1073858409354384.
- Deco, G., Jirsa, V. K., & McIntosh, A. R. (2011). Emerging concepts for the dynamical organization of resting-state activity in the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(1), 43– 56. doi:10.1038/nrn2961.
- Ding, L., Shou, G., Yuan, H., Urbano, D., & Cha, Y. H. (2014). Lasting modulation effects of rTMS on neural activity and connectivity as revealed by resting-state EEG. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 61, 2070–2080. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2014.2313575.
- Doron, K. W., & Gazzaniga, M. S. (2007). Neuroimaging techniques offer new perspectives on callosal transfer and interhemispheric communication. *Cortex; A Journal Devoted to* the Study of the Nervous System and Behavior, 44(2008), 1023–1029.
- Edwards, D., Cortes, M., Datta, A., Minhas, P., Wassermann, E. M., & Bikson, M. (2013). Physiological and modeling evidence for focal transcranial electrical brain stimulation in humans: A basis for high-definition tDCS. *Neuroimage*, 74, 266–275.
- Ellingson, R. J. (1954). The incidence of EEG abnormality among patients with mental disorders of apparently nonorganic origin: A critical review. *American Journal of Psychia*try, 111(4), 263–275.
- Engel, A. K., Gerloff, C., Hilgetag, C. C., & Nolte, G. (2013). Intrinsic coupling modes: Multiscale interactions in ongoing brain activity. *Neuron*, 80, 867–886.
- Fanning, JR, Keedy, S, Berman, ME, Lee, R, & Coccaro, EF (2017). Neural correlates of aggressive behavior in real time: A review of fMRI studies of laboratory reactive aggression. *Current Behavioral Neuroscience Reports*, 4, 138–150.
- Fishbein, DH, Herning, RI, Pickworth, WB, Haertzen, CA, Hickey, JE, & Jaffe, JH. (1989). EEG and brainstem auditory evoked response potentials in adult male drug abusers with self- reported histories of aggressive behavior. *Biological Psychiatry*, 266, 595– 611. doi:10.1016/0006-3223(89)90085-1 PMID:2790098.
- Forssmann, H., & Frey, T. (1953). Electroencephalograms of Boys with behavior problems. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavia, 28(1), 61–73.
- Ganzetti, M, & Mantini, D (2013). Functional connectivity and oscillatory neuronal activity in the resting human brain. *Neuroscience*, 240, 297–309.
- Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., Raine, A., Buchsbaum, M., & LaCasse, L. (2001). Temporal Lobe deficits in murderers: EEG finding undetected by PET. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 13, 486–491.
- General Assembly of the World Medical Association. (2014). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. The Journal of the American College of Dentists, 81(3), 14.
- Gilam, G., Abend, R., Gurevitch, G., Erdman, A., Baker, H., Ben-Zion, Z., & Hendler, T. (2018). Attenuating anger and aggression with neuromodulation of the vmPFC: A simultaneous tDCS-fMRI study. *Cortex; A Journal Devoted to the Study of the Nervous System and Behavior*, 109, 156–170. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2018.09.010.
- Gizewski, ER, Müller, BW, Scherbaum, N, Lieb, B, Forsting, M, Wiltfang, J, et al. (2013). The impact of alcohol dependence on social brain function. *Addiction Biology*, 18, 109– 120.
- Greicius, M. D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A. L., & Menon, V. (2003). Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 100, 253–258.
- Grochowska, K., & Kossowska, M. (2012). Fact sheet violent offenders. Poland: European Association of Psychology and law – Student Sociaty publishing house (EAPL-s). https://www.eaplstudent.com/component/content/article/193-fact-sheet-violentoffenders.
- Hall, E. L., Robson, S. E., Morris, P. G., & Brookes, M. J. (2014). The relationship between meg and fmri. *Neuroimage*, 102, 80–91.
- Hampstead, B. M., Brown, G. S., & Hartley, J. F. (2013). Transcranial direct current stimulation modulates activation and effective connectivity during spatial navigation. *Brain Stimulation*, 7, 314–324. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2013. 12.006.
- Harmon-Jones, E. & Allen, JJ (1998). Anger and frontal brain activity: EEG asymmetry consistent with approach motivation despite negative affective valence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(5), 1310–1316 PMID: 9599445.
- Harmon-Jones, E (2004). Contributions from research on anger and cognitive dissonance to understanding the motivational functions of asymmetrical frontal brain activity. *Biological Psychology*, 67(1-2), 51-76. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2004.03.003.
- Harmon-Jones, E., Gable, P. A., & Peterson, C. K. (2010). The role of asymmetric frontal cortical activity in emotion-related phenomena: A review and update. *Biological Psychology*, 84(3), 451–462. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.08.010.
- Harmon-Jones, E., & Harmon-Jones, C. (2007). Cognitive Dissonance Theory After 50 Years of Development. Zeitschrift Fur Sozialpsychologie, 38, 7–16.

- Harmon-Jones, E., Sigelman, J., Bohlig, A., & Harmon-Jones, C. (2003). Anger, coping, and frontal cortical activity: The effect of coping potential on anger-induced left frontal activity. *Cognition & emotion*, 17(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02699930302278.
- Herbsman, T., Forster, L., Molnar, C., et al. (2009). Motor threshold in transcranial magnetic stimulation: The impact of white matter fiber orientation and skull-to-cortex distance. *Human Brain Mapping*, 30, 2044–2055.
- Hess, C. W. (2013). Modulation of cortical-subcortical networks in Parkinson's disease by applied field effects. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7, 565. doi:10.3389/ fnhum.2013.00565.
- Hill, D., & Waterson, D. (1942). Electroencephalographic studies of psychopathic personalities. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 5, 47–65.
- Hofman, D., & Schutter, D. J. L. G. (2009). Inside the wire: Aggression and functional interhemispheric connectivity in the human brain. *Psychophysiology*, 46, 1054–1058. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2009.00849.x.
- Hofman, D., & Schutter, D. J. L. G. (2012). Asymmetrical frontal resting-state beta oscillations predict trait aggressive tendencies and behavioral inhibition. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 7(7), 850–857. doi:10.1093/scan/nsr060.
- Hortensius, R, Schutter, DJLG, & Harmon-Jones, E (2012). When anger leads to aggression: Induction of relative left frontal cortical activity with transcranial direct current stimulation increases the angeraggression relationship. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 7(3), 342–347. doi:10.1093/scan/nsr012 PMID: 21421731.
- Jacobson, L., Ezra, A., Berger, U., & Lavidor, M. (2012a). Modulating oscillatory brain activity correlates of behavioral inhibition using transcranial direct current stimulation. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 123, 979–984. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2011.09.016.
- Jorge, J., van der Zwaag, W., & Figueiredo, P. (2014). EEG-fMRI integration for the study of human brain function. *NeuroImage*, 102(Pt 1), 24–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2013.05.114.
- Keary, C. J., Minshew, N. J., Bansal, R., Goradia, D., Fedorov, S., Keshavan, M. S., & Hardan, A. Y. (2009). Corpus callosum volume and neurocognition in autism. *Journal* of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 39, 834–841.
- Keeser, D., Meindl, T., Bor, J., Palm, U., Pogarell, O., Mulert, C., et al. (2011a). Prefrontal transcranial direct current stimulation changes connectivity of resting-state networks during fMRI. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31, 15284–15293. doi:10.1523/JNEURO-SCI.0542-11.2011.
- Keeser, D., Padberg, F., Reisinger, E., Pogarell, O., Kirsch, V., Palm, U., et al. (2011b). Prefrontal direct current stimulation modulates resting EEG and event-related potentials in healthy subjects: A standardized low-resolution tomography (sLORETA) study. *Neuroimage*, 55, 644–657. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.12.004.
- Keune, P., et al. (2012). Prefrontal brain asymmetry and aggression in imprisoned violent offenders. *Neuroscience Letters*, 151, 191–195. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.058 PMID: 22484012.
- Knyazev, G. G., Bocharov, A. V., Levin, E. A., Savostyanov, A. N., & Slobodskoj-Plusnin, J. Y. (2008). Anxiety and oscillatory responses to emotional facial expressions. *Brain Research*, 1227, 174–188.
- Konicar, L., Radev, S., Silvoni, S., Bolinger, E., Veit, R., Strehl, U., Vesely, C., Plener, P. L., Poustka, L., & Birbaumer, N. (2021). Balancing the brain of offenders with psychopathy? Resting state EEG and electrodermal activity after a pilot study of brain self-regulation training. *PLoS ONE*, 16,(1) e0242830. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242830.
- Kose, S., Steinberg, J. L., Moeller, F. G., Gowin, J. L., Zuniga, E., Kamdar, Z. N., Schmitz, J. M., & Lane, S. D. (2015). Neural correlates of impulsive aggressive behavior in subjects with a history of alcohol dependence. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 129, 183–196. doi:10.1037/bne0000038.
- Klimesch, W, Sauseng, P, & Hansmar, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillation: The inhibition-timing hypothesis. Brain Research Reviews, 53, 63–88. doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2006.06.003 PMID: 16887192.
- Krämer, UM, Kopyciok, RPJ, Richter, S, & Münte, TF (2009). Oscillatory brain activity related to control mechanisms during laboratory induced reactive aggression. *Frontiers* in Behavioral Neuroscience, 3, 46.
- Kumar, S., Rao, S. L., Chandramouli, B. A., & Pillai, S. V. (2009). Reduction of functional brain connectivity in mild traumatic brain injury during working memory. *Journal of Neuro-trauma*, 26, 665–675.
- Lachaux, J.-P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J., & Varela, F. J. (1999). Measuring phase synchrony in brain signals. *Human Brain Mapping*, 8, 194–208.
- Lewis, D. O., Moy, E., Jackson, L. D., Aaronson, R., Restifo, N., Serra, S., & Simos, A. (1985). Biopsychosocial characteristics of children who later murder: a prospective study. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 142(10), 1161–1167. https://doi. org/10.1176/ajp.142.10.1161.
- Lindberg, N., et al. (2005). Quantitative electroencephalographic measures in homicidal men with antisocial personality disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 136, 7–15. doi:10.1016/ j.psychres.2005.05.005 PMID: 16026854.
- Ling, S., Raine, A., Choy, O., & Hamilton, R. (2020). Effects of prefrontal cortical stimulation on aggressive and antisocial behavior: A double-blind, stratified, randomized, sham-controlled, parallel-group trial. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*. doi:10.1007/s11292-020-09427-w.
- Liu, A., Vöröslakos, M., Kronberg, G., Henin, S., Krause, M.R., Huang, Y., Opitz, A., Mehta, A., Pack, C.C., Krekelberg, B., Berényi, A., Parra, L.C., Melloni, L., Devinsky, O., Buzsáki, G., 2018. Immediate neurophysiological effects of transcranial electrical stimulation. *Nature Communications* 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07233-7

LiveAmp (32 channels) [Apparatus]. (2018). Gilching, Germany: Brain Products GmbH.

- Machida, K., Murias, M., & Johnson, K. A. (2019). Electrophysiological correlates of response time variability during a sustained attention task. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 13. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00363.
- Marxen, M., Jacob, M. J., Müller, D. K., Posse, S., Ackley, E., Hellrung, L., Riedel, P., Bender, S., Epple, R., & Smolka, M. N. (2016). Amygdala regulation following fMRI-

neurofeedback without instructed strategies. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 10, 183. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00183.

- Mangia, A. L., Pirini, M., & Cappello, A. (2014). Transcranial direct current stimulation and power spectral parameters: A TDCS/EEG co-registration study. *Frontiers in Human*. Manuel, A. L., David, A. W., Bikson, M., & Schnider, A. (2014). Frontal tDCS modulates
- orbitofrontal reality filtering. Neuroscience, 265, 21–27. Massimini M, Ferrarelli F, Sarasso S, Tononi G. (2012) Cortical mechanisms of loss of con-
- Massimini M, Ferrarelli F, Sarasso S, Tononi G. (2012) Cortical mechanisms of loss of consciousness: insight from TMS/EEG studies. Archives Italiennes De Biologie. 150:44-55. PMID 23165870 DOI: 10.4449/Aib.V150I2.1361
- Mednick, S. A., Volavka, J., Gabrielli, W. F., & Itil, T. M. (1981). EEG as a predictor of antisocial behavior. *Criminology*, 19, 219–229.
- Meinzer, M., Antonenko, D., Lindenberg, R., Hetzer, S., Ulm, L., Avirame, K., et al. (2012). Electrical brain stimulation improves cognitive performance by modulating functional connectivity and task-specific activation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32, 1859–1866. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4812-11.2012.
- Meinzer, M., Lindenberg, R., Antonenko, D., Flaisch, T., & Floel, A. (2013). Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation temporarily reverses age-associated cognitive decline and functional brain activity changes. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33, 12470– 12478. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5743-12.2013.
- Mizelle, J. C., Forrester, L., Hallett, M., & Wheaton, L. A. (2010). Theta frequency band activity and attentional mechanisms in visual and proprioceptive demand. *Experimen*tal Brain Research, 204(2), 189–197. doi:10.1007/s00221-010-2297-0.
- Molero-Chamizo, A., Martín Riquel, R., Moriana, J. A., Nitsche, M. A., & Rivera-Urbina, G. N. (2019). Bilateral prefrontal cortex anodal tDCS effects on selfreported aggressiveness in imprisoned violent offenders. *Neuroscience*, 397, 31–40.
- Mooney, J. L., & Daffern, M. (2011). Institutional aggression as a predic- tor of violent recidivism: Implications for parole decision making. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10, 52–63. doi:10.1080/14999013.2010.550984.
- Mooney, J. L., & Daffern, M. (2015). The relationship between aggressive behaviour in prison and violent offending following release. *Psychology Crime and Law*, 21, 314– 329. doi:10.1080/1068316X.2014.989163.
- Motzkin, JC, Newman, JP, Kiehl, KA, & Koenigs, M. (2011). Reduced prefrontal connectivity in psychopathy. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31, 7348–7357. doi:10.1523/JNEURO-SCI.4215-11.2011 PMID: 22131397.
- Moya-Albiol, L. (2004). Bases neurales de la Violencia. Revista De Neurologia, 38, 1067– 1675 2004.
- Munia, T. T. K., & Aviyente, S. (2019). Time-Frequency Based Phase-Amplitude Coupling Measure For Neuronal Oscillations. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 12441. https://doi-org. ezproxy.leidenuniv.nl/10.1038/s41598-019-48870-2.
- Nakamura-Palacios, E. M., Lopes, I. B. C., Souza, R. A., Klauss, J., Batista, E. K., Conti, C. L., . . . de Souza, R. S. M. (2016). Ventral medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) as a target of the dorsolateral prefrontal modulation by transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) in drug addiction. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 123(10), 1179–1194.
- Notturno, F., Marzetti, L., Pizzella, V., Uncini, A., & Zappasodi, F. (2013). Local and remote effects of transcranial direct current stimulation on the electrical activity of the motor cortical network. *Human Brain Mapping*, 35, 2220–2232. doi:10.1002/hbm.22322.
- Palva, J. M., Monto, S., Kulashekhar, S., & Palva, S. (2010). Neuronal synchrony reveals working memory networks and predicts individual memory capacity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 7580–7585. doi:10.1073/pnas.0913113107.
- Palva, S, & Palva, JM. (2011). Functional roles of alpha-band phase synchronization in local and large- scale cortical networks. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2, 204. doi:10.3389/ fpsyg.2011.00204 PMID: 21922012; PMCID: PMC3166799.
- Park, C. H., Chang, W. H., Park, J. Y., Shin, Y. I., Kim, S. T., & Kim, Y. H. (2013). Transcranial direct current stimulation increases resting state interhemispheric connectivity. *Neuroscience Letters*, 539, 7–10. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.01.047.
- Peterson, C. K., Shackman, A. J., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2008). The role of asymmetrical frontal cortical activity in aggression. *Psychophysiology*, 45(1), 86–92.
- Pfurtscheller, G, & Lopes da Silva, FH (1999). Event- related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: Basic principles. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 110, 1842–1857.
- Peña-Gómez, C., Sala-Lonch, R., Junqué, C., Clemente, I. C., Vidal, D., Bargalló, N., et al. (2012). Modulation of large-scale brain networks by transcranial direct current stimulation evidenced by resting-state functional MRI. *Brain Stimulation*, 5, 252–263. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2011.08.006.
- Pijnenburg, Y. A., v d Made, Y., van Cappellen van Walsum, A. M., Knol, D. L., Scheltens, P., & Stam, C. J. (2004). EEG synchronization likelihood in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease during a working memory task. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 115, 1332–1339. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2003.12.029 [PubMed] [Google Scholar].
- Polanía, R., Nitsche, M. A., & Paulus, W. (2011a). Modulating functional connectivity patterns and topological functional organization of the human brain with transcranial direct current stimulation. *Human Brain Mapping*, 32, 1236–1249. doi:10.1002/ hbm.2110.
- Polanía, R., Paulus, W., & Nitsche, M. A. (2012). Modulating cortico-striatal and thalamocortical functional connectivity with transcranial direct current stimulation. *Human Brain Mapping*, 33, 2499–2508. doi:10.1002/hbm.21380.
- Preller, KH, Hulka, LM, Vonmoos, M, Jenni, D, Baumgartner, MR, Seifritz, E, et al. (2014). Impaired emotional empathy and related social network deficits in cocaine users. *Addiction Biology*, 19, 452–466.
- Raine, A., Venables, P. H., & Williams, M. (1990). Relationships between central and autonomic measures of arousal at age 15 years and criminality at age 24 years. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 47(11), 1003–1007.
- Raine, A (1993). The Psychopathology of Crime. San Diego: AcademicPress.
- Raine, A, Lencz, T, Bihrle, S, LaCasse, L, & Colletti, P (2000). Reduced prefrontal gray matter volume and reduced autonomic activity in antisocial personality disorder. Archives of General Psychiatry, 57, 119–127 discussion 128–129.

Rosell, D. R., & Siever, L. J. (2015). The neurobiology of aggression and violence. CNS Spectrums, 20, 254–279.

Savostyanov, A. N., Tsai, A. C., Liou, M., et al. (2009). EEG-correlates of trait anxiety in the stop-signal paradigm. *Neuroscience Letters*, 449, 112–116.

- Scarpa, A, & Raine, A. (1997). Psychophysiology of anger and violent behaviour. Psychiatr Clin North Am, 20, 375–393.
- Schölvinck, M. L., Leopold, D. A., Brookes, M. J., & Khader, P. H. (2013). The contribution of electrophysiology to functional connectivity mapping. *Neuroimage*, *80*, 297–306.
 Schutter, D. J., de Weijer, A. D., Meuwese, J. D., Morgan, B., & van Honk, J. (2008). Inter-
- Schutter, D. J., de Weijer, A. D., Meuwese, J. D., Morgan, B., & van Honk, J. (2008). Interrelations between motivational stance, cortical excitability, and the frontal electroencephalogram asymmetry of emotion: A transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *Human Brain Mapping*, 29, 574–580.
- Schutter, D. J. L. G., & Knyazev, G. G. (2012). Cross-frequency coupling of brain oscillations in studying motivation and emotion. *Motivation and Emotion*, 36(1), 46–54. doi:10.1007/s11031-011-9237-6.
- Sengupta, A., Datta, S., Kar, S., & Routray, A (2014). EEG synchronization and brain networks: A case study in fatigue. International conference on medical imaging, m-Health | and emerging communication systems (MedCom) (pp. 278–282). doi:10.1109/Med-Com.2014.7006018.
- Sergiou, C. S., Woods, A. J., Franken, I. H., & van Dongen, J. D. (2020a). Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) as an intervention to improve empathic abilities and reduce violent behavior in forensic offenders: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 21(1), 1–14.
- Sergiou, C. S., Santarnecchi, E., Franken, I. H. A., & van Dongen, J. D. M. (2020b). The effectiveness of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation as an intervention to improve empathic abilities and reduce violent behavior: A literature review. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 55, 101463. doi:10.1016/j.avb.2020.101463.
- Sergiou, C. S., Santarnecchi, E., Romanella, S. M., Wieser, M. J., Franken, I. H. A., Rassin, E. G. C., & van Dongen, J. D. M. (2022). tDCS targeting the Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex reduces reactive aggression and modulates electrophysiological responses in a forensic population. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.05.007.
- Siebner, HR, Takano, B, Peinemann, A, Schwaiger, M, Conrad, B, & Drzezga, A (2001). Continuous transcranial magnetic stimulation during positron emission tomography: a suitable tool for imaging regional excitability of the human cortex. *Neuroimage*, 14, 883–890.
- Siegel, M., Donner, T. H., & Engel, A. K. (2012). Spectral fingerprints of large- scale neuronal interactions. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, 13, 121–134. doi:10.1038/nrn3137.
- Singer, W. (1999). Neuronal synchrony: A versatile code for the definition of relations? *Neuron*, 24(49–65), 111–125.
- Stagg, C. J., Lin, R. L., Mezue, M., Segerdahl, A., Kong, Y., Xie, J., et al. (2013). Widespread modulation of cerebral perfusion induced during and after transcranial direct current stimulation applied to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33, 11425–11431. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3887-12.2013.
- Stam, C. J. (2014). Modern network science of neurological disorders. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 15, 683.
- Tanaka, T., Takano, Y., Tanaka, S., Hironaka, N., Kobayashi, K., Hanakawa, T., ... Honda, M. (2013). Transcranial direct-current stimulation increases extracellular dopamine levels in the rat striatum. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 7, 6.
- Tancredi, L. R., & Volkow, N. (1988). Neural substrates of violent behaviour: implications for the law and public policy. International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 11, 13–49.

- Tewarie, P., et al. (2015). Functional brain networks: Linking thalamic atrophy to clinical disability in multiple sclerosis, a multimodal fmri and meg study. *Human Brain Mapping*, 36, 603–618.
- Thiruvady, D. R., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Egan, G. F., Ray, S., Sritharan, A., Farrow, M., Churchyard, A., Chua, P., Bradshaw, J. L., Brawn, T. L., & Cunnington, R. (2007). Functional connectivity of the prefrontal cortex in Huntington's disease. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 78, 127–133.
- Uhlhaas, P. J., Pipa, G., Lima, B., Melloni, L., Neuenschwander, S., Nikolic, D., & Singer, W. (2009). Neural synchrony in cortical networks: History, concept and current status. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 3, 17. doi:10.3389/neuro.07.017.2009.
- Vanneste, S., & De Ridder, D (2011). Bifrontal transcranial direct current stimulation modulates tinnitus intensity and tinnitus-distress-related brain activity. *European Journal* of Neuroscience, 34, 605–614. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07778.x.
- Verveer, I., Hill, A. T., Franken, I. H. A., Yücel, M., van Dongen, J. D. M., & Segrave, R. (2021). Modulation of control: Can HD-tDCS targeting the dACC reduce impulsivity? *Brain Research*, 1756, 147282. doi:10.1016/j. brainres.2021.147282.
- Voineskos, A. N., Farzan, F., Barr, M. S., Lobaugh, N. J., Mulsant, B. H., Chen, R., Fitzgerald, P. B., & Daskalakis, Z. J. (2010). The role of the corpus callosum in transcranial magnetic stimulation induced interhemispheric signal propagation. *Biological Psychiatry*, 68(9), 825–831. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.021.
- Volavka, J (1990). Aggression, electroencephalography, and evoked potentials: a critical review. Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Behavioural Neurology, 3, 249–259.
- Volkow, N. D., Fowler, J. S., Wang, G. J., Hitzemann, R., Logan, J., Schyler, D. J., et al. (1993). Decreased dopamine D2 receptor availability is associated with reduced frontal metabolism in cocaine abusers. *Synapse*, 14(2), 169–177. doi:10.1002/syn.890140210.
- Volkow, N. D., & Tancredi, L. (1987). Neural substrates of violent behaviour: a preliminary study with Positron Emission Tomography. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 668–673.
- von Stein, A, & Sarnthein, J. (2000). Different frequencies for different scales of cortical integration: From local gamma to long range alpha/theta synchronization. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 383, 301–313.
- Weber, M. J., Messing, S. B., Rao, H., Detre, J. A., & Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2014). Prefrontal transcranial direct current stimulation alters activation and connectivity in cortical and subcortical reward systems: A tDCS-fMRI study. *Human Brain Mapping*, 35, 3673– 3686. doi:10.1002/hbm.22429.
- Weisz, N., Hartmann, T., Müller, N., et al. (2007). Alpha rythmes in audition: Cognitive and clinical perspectives. *Front Psychology*, 2, 73.
- Wianda, E., & Ross, B. (2019). The roles of alpha oscillation in working memory retention. Brain and Behaviour, 9, 1263.
- Yang, Y, & Raine, A. (2009). Prefrontal structural and functional brain imaging findings in antisocial, violent, and psychopathic individuals: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 174, 81–88. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2009.03.012 PMID: 19833485.
- Zaehle, T., Beretta, M., Jäncke, L., Herrmann, C. S., & Sandmann, P. (2011a). Excitability changes induced in the human auditory cortex by transcranial direct current stimulation: Direct electrophysiological evidence. *Experimental Brain Research*, 215, 135–140. doi:10.1007/s00221-011-2879-5.
- Zaehle, T., Sandmann, P., Thorne, J., Jancke, L., & Herrmann, C. (2011b). Transcranial direct current stimulation of the prefrontal cortex modulates working memory performance: Combined behavioural and electrophysiological evidence. *BMC Neuroscience*, 12(2). doi:10.1186/1471-2202-12-2.