

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Modeling homogeneous ignition processes of clustering solid particle clouds in isotropic turbulence

Citation for published version:

Farmand, P, Nicolai, H, Usman, M, Berger, L, Attili, A, Gauding, M, Hasse, C & Pitsch, H 2024, 'Modeling homogeneous ignition processes of clustering solid particle clouds in isotropic turbulence', *Fuel*, vol. 371, no. Part B, 132054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2024.132054>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): [10.1016/j.fuel.2024.132054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2024.132054)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/en/publications/a8f740b3-144a-4739-92bd-a24df51092e5)

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Fuel

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Modeling homogeneous ignition processes of clustering solid particle clouds in isotropic turbulence

Pooria Farmand^{a,∗}, Hendrik Nicolai^b, Muhammad Usman^a, Lukas Berger^a, Antonio Attili^c, Michael Gauding^a, Christian Hasse^b, Heinz Pitsch^a

^aRWTH Aachen University, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Institute for Combustion Technology, Templergraben 64, 52056 Aachen, Germany

 b Technical University of Darmstadt, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Simulation

of reactive Thermo-Fluid Systems, Otto-Berndt-Straße 2, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

Abstract

The objective of this study is to numerically investigate the ignition and combustion of pulverized solid fuels in turbulent conditions and to assess different modeling strategies relevant to large-eddy simulations (LES). The investigations show that due to the high Stokes number of solid particles, they do not necessarily follow the flow. At Stokes numbers around unity, particle-turbulence interactions can lead to particle clustering and change the ignition behavior. According to observations, ignition is most likely to happen outside the formed clusters, where suitable thermo-chemical conditions exist. To study this behavior, direct numerical simulations (DNS) of reactive particles in turbulent conditions employing detailed kinetics for solid and gas phases were performed. Pulverized fuel combustion was modeled using the point-particle approximation to represent the dispersed phase in an

Preprint submitted to Proceedings of Fuel March 27, 2024

 c University of Edinburgh, School of Engineering, Institute of Multiscale Thermofluids, The King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, United Kingdom

[∗]Corresponding author

Email address: p.farmand@itv.rwth-aachen.de (Pooria Farmand)

Eulerian-Lagrangian framework. Isotropic turbulence was employed to investigate the influence of particle clustering on the ignition process. After investigating the physical aspects of the ignition process, the DNS dataset was used as a benchmark for evaluating the reduced-order flamelet models usually employed in LES of pulverized fuel combustion during the ignition process. The flamelet model performance in predicting the selected quantity of interest was compared to the DNS data. An error decomposition was performed using the optimal estimator concept. Finally, the prediction accuracy of presumed PDFs is evaluated by calculating the errors in predicting the quantity of interest using different PDFs compared to the predictions using the accurate sub-filter joint distribution of the DNS data. The a priori model assessment showed the best performance for the model, especially inside particle clusters, when using mixture fraction, progress variable, and enthalpy as flamelet tabulation input parameters and employing the presumed β -PDF for mixture fraction.

Keywords:

Isotropic turbulence, Pulverized fuel, Ignition and combustion, DNS, Flamelet model assessment, Subgrid PDF

¹ 1. Introduction

 Solid fuel combustion remains a principal technology for producing elec- tricity, as it has reached a matured technological state and possesses reliable supply chains with the possibility of a change from conventional to bio-fuels. However, burning solid fuels, such as pulverized coal or biomass, can be a major source of pollutants and $CO₂$. Hence, improvements in system efficiency

 and carbon capture and storage (CCS) methods to reduce the environmental impact are actively researched. Experimental techniques for studying solid fuel combustion remain challenging due to the hostile environment in boilers and limited optical access [\[1\]](#page-40-0). Therefore, numerical simulations provide an alternative to enable insights into the underlying complex multi-physics and multi-scale processes.

 For predictive modeling of solid fuel combustion, three main modeling pillars can be identified: solid fuel conversion, turbulent mixing and heat transfer, as well as turbulence-chemistry interactions [\[1\]](#page-40-0). For solid-fuel sub- models, most numerical studies rely on simplified models, whose parameters must be adjusted to capture fuel type changes or operating conditions accu- rately [\[1\]](#page-40-0). However, recent numerical studies have employed more detailed solid fuel models, such as the chemical percolation devolatilization (CPD) [\[2\]](#page-40-1) or the CRECK-S [\[3\]](#page-40-2) models [\[4–](#page-40-3)[7\]](#page-41-0). These models enable a more detailed de- scription of the solid particle conversion process for various fuels and a vast range of conditions by including more detailed information about the fuel, such as its molecular structure [\[8\]](#page-41-1).

 To capture the turbulent flow environment in pulverized coal combustion (PCC), traditionally Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) approaches have been used. However, with the advancements of computational resources, the application of scale-resolving techniques became feasible, offering higher 28 accuracy for predictive simulations $[1, 9]$ $[1, 9]$ $[1, 9]$. In recent years, large-eddy sim- ulations (LES) have been successfully extended to study PCC and used in several studies [\[10–](#page-41-3)[14\]](#page-42-0). These advancements in simulation techniques have provided valuable insights into the interactions between turbulent motion

and combustion characteristics of pulverized fuels.

 In the context of turbulence-chemistry interactions, state-of-the-art mod- els are often based on a global description of gas-phase chemistry employing a limited number of reactions. Turbulence closure for these models is com- monly achieved by the eddy-breakup model (EBM) or the eddy-dissipation concept (EDC) [\[1\]](#page-40-0). To enable a more detailed representation of the com- plex gas mixtures released from solid particles, recent efforts have focused on extending flamelet-based modeling approaches specifically for solid fuel com- bustion [\[15\]](#page-42-1). With different focuses, several authors have explored flamelet- based tabulation approaches with applications to various configurations rang- ing from single particle and particle group combustion [\[16,](#page-42-2) [17\]](#page-42-3) to pilot-⁴³ scale furnaces $[11-14]$ $[11-14]$. Flamelet-based approaches offer the advantage of using detailed chemical mechanisms at low computational cost. They have proven effective in capturing both global characteristics, such as ignition processes [\[16,](#page-42-2) [17\]](#page-42-3), flame structures [\[12,](#page-41-5) [13\]](#page-42-4), and local species concentra- $\frac{47}{47}$ tions [\[11,](#page-41-4) [14\]](#page-42-0). Particularly, in combination with LES, flame let tabulation has shown promising results, although appropriate subgrid closures are necessary to ensure maintaining accuracy. To address the closure problem, commonly employed methods involve the use of presumed filtered density functions [\[1\]](#page-40-0). These presumed filter density functions can be developed and assessed by detailed reference data [\[18\]](#page-42-5), such as direct numerical simulations (DNS), where all turbulence scales and all near- and intra-particle processes are re- solved by the numerical grid. Resolving all physical processes, including the particle boundary layers, became feasible within recent years [\[19,](#page-42-6) [20\]](#page-43-0), but $\frac{1}{56}$ is still restricted to very few particles $(\mathcal{O}(10))$ and relatively low Reynolds numbers. Therefore, an alternative resolved flow simulation approach, the so-called carrier-phase DNS (CP-DNS), is commonly employed for solid fuels to enable fundamental insights into multiple interacting reactive particles. For this approach, the DNS resolves only the scales of the carrier phase, and the boundary layers around the individual particles while their impacts ϵ_2 on the resolved scales are modeled. Using CP-DNS, Messig et al. [\[21\]](#page-43-1) and Wen et al. [\[22\]](#page-43-2) investigated laminar counterflow burners and performed de- tailed flame structure analyses for flamelet modeling; turbulent multi-phase jet burners were investigated by Luo et al. [\[23\]](#page-43-3), Bai et al. [\[24\]](#page-43-4), and Hara et al. [\[25\]](#page-43-5). These studies revealed that three distinctly different flame zones σ can be identified [\[24\]](#page-43-4) and that both premixed and non-premixed combus- tion modes can appear [\[25\]](#page-43-5). Similar conclusions were drawn in the recent CP-DNS of a turbulent mixing layer resembling the condition of the inner σ recirculation zone of a swirled PCC boiler [\[26\]](#page-43-6). The latter dataset was exten- sively employed to evaluate different flamelet modeling approaches [\[27](#page-44-0)[–29\]](#page-44-1). A more simplified configuration of coal particle clouds in decaying turbulence was investigated by Brosh et al. [\[30\]](#page-44-2). They showed a strong dependency of homogenous ignition on particle density and clustering. Similar findings have been published for heterogeneous char conversion in forced isotropic turbu- τ_6 lence by Krüger et al. [\[31\]](#page-44-3). The authors investigated turbulence-induced particle clustering and reported significant reductions in particle reactivity and char conversion rate inside particle clusters. The observed clustering ₂₉ effects were found to be strongly influenced by the particle Stokes number, which is the ratio of the characteristic time of a particle to the characteristic time of the flow, as indicated in the aforementioned studies. Considering that

 clustering occurs in larger-scale burners, it becomes crucial to investigate the performance of recently developed flamelet models and subgrid closures in the context of particle clustering.

 Therefore, the objective of this work is to study the modeling of the homogeneous ignition process and volatile combustion of solid fuel particles ⁸⁷ in isotropic turbulence under conditions favoring particle clustering, which is typically observed in pulverized solid fuel burners. Particularly, the effect of particle-chemistry-turbulence interactions on the local ignition process and in clustering particle clouds is investigated. Therefore, modeling strategies for both the reduction of the gas-phase reactions by an FPV approach and the required closure for the filtered variables in the context of LES are studied in homogenous isotropic turbulence-induced particle clusters. The optimal estimator concept is employed to determine modeling errors and provide an effective modeling strategy for turbulent solid-fuel combustion.

 The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. First, in Section [2,](#page-6-0) the employed numerical framework and the models to be assessed are briefly introduced. This is followed by a short description of the numerical setup and the boundary conditions for the DNS in section [3.](#page-13-0) In Section [4,](#page-16-0) first, the physical effects of the employed configuration are presented, then a combined ¹⁰¹ LES-FPV approach is assessed in an *a priori* analysis.

2. Modeling framework and methods

2.1. Multi-phase modeling

 In this study, the ignition and combustion process of pulverized coal par-ticle clouds is represented by an Eulerian-Lagrangian approach. The conser-

 vation of mass, momentum, species, and energy between the Lagrangian and Eulerian frameworks is ensured by a two-way coupling. Utilizing the two-way coupling also ensures the particle-fluid-chemistry interactions by distribut- ing the particles' source terms in the Eulerian phase and calculating the gas-phase quantities at the particles' location. The detailed description of the models and the equations for the Eulerian and Lagrangian formulations can be found in Farmand et al. [\[5\]](#page-40-4) and Farazi et al. [\[32\]](#page-44-4). The accuracy of the applied models and methodologies have been validated against experi- mentally measured data for ignition times in different laminar configurations in earlier works [\[5,](#page-40-4) [32–](#page-44-4)[34\]](#page-45-0). One particular focus of these studies was on properly capturing particle movement and ignition of point particles on DNS grids through a Gaussian kernel source term distribution. Chemical reac- tions in the gas phase are described employing finite-rate chemistry adopting a mechanism suitable for coal and biomass combustion with 68 species and 906 reactions, which has been validated for different fuels in both air and oxy- μ_{121} fuel atmospheres [\[35\]](#page-45-1), and has been used in previous studies [\[4,](#page-40-3) [5,](#page-40-4) [36,](#page-45-2) [37\]](#page-45-3).

 Particle dynamics are modeled in a Lagrangian framework using the point-particle assumption solving equations for trajectory, velocity, mass, and temperature as described in previous works [\[5,](#page-40-4) [32,](#page-44-4) [38\]](#page-45-4). In order to have a detailed description of the solid particle conversion, the chemical percola- tion devolatilization (CPD) model, which is one of the most detailed models for solid kinetics, is used to describe the volatile release from the solid parti- cles based on the molecular structure of the fuel and considers the solid fuel as a complex network of the large aromatic hydrocarbon monomers and the bridges, in which bonds are broken by the external energy at each tempera-

 ture level. This model, as described in detail in [\[2,](#page-40-1) [8,](#page-41-1) [39\]](#page-46-0), takes into account the dynamic behavior of the devolatilization process by determining total released mass as well as its composition in light gases and tar. In the present 134 study, light gases consist of CH_4 , CO_2 , CO , H_2O , and other gases, which 135 are assumed to be C_2H_2 similar to the assumption by Jimenez and Gonzalo-136 Tirado [\[40\]](#page-46-1). The released tars are assumed to solely consist of C_2H_2 . To reduce the level of complexity in the model assessment procedure, similar to [\[5\]](#page-40-4), a fixed volatile composition assumption (FVC) is employed for the particle devolatilization in the CPD model. The FVC is calculated based on the time-averaged values of each volatile species' mass compared to the total released mass from the particle [\[4\]](#page-40-3). The resulting set of fixed volatile 142 mass fractions is $Y_{CH_4} = 0.057$, $Y_{CO_2} = 0.072$, $Y_{CO} = 0.087$, $Y_{H_2O} = 0.268$, ¹⁴³ and $Y_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_2} = 0.516$. Assuming a fixed volatile composition neglects the rela- tionship between the change in particle heating rate and the volatile release, which is commonly made for flamelet modeling in the LES of solid pulverized fuel flames [\[4\]](#page-40-3) and was found to only marginally affect ignition [\[5\]](#page-40-4).

 To solve the governing equations in isotropic turbulence, the in-house structured finite difference solver CIAO is used. In CIAO, the Eulerian equa- tions are solved using a semi-implicit scheme with second-order accuracy in space and time. The scalar transport is solved using the fifth-order WENO scheme for the convective terms and a second-order central difference scheme for the diffusive terms. The chemistry is solved using the finite rate chem- istry method using the CVODE solver [\[5,](#page-40-4) [32\]](#page-44-4). The particles are advanced in time utilizing a two-stage Runge-Kutta solver with second-order accuracy to update the dispersed phase state, position, and source terms for the Eulerian equations. The reader is referred to previous works for specific details on the numerical implementation [\[5,](#page-40-4) [32\]](#page-44-4).

2.2. Flamelet library for a priori analysis

 To model the turbulent reacting gas-phase in solid fuel combustion, flamelet- based tabulation methods are gaining attraction due to the possibility of in- cluding detailed kinetics in large-scale simulations. In this work, flamelet ta-¹⁶² bles, which are used for the *a priori* model assessment in post-processing, are built from counterflow-diffusion flamelets, which matches the non-premixed nature of combustion in the current study configuration. These are solved in physical coordinates using Cantera [\[41\]](#page-46-2), assuming unity Lewis numbers for $_{166}$ all species, which is a common assumption in PCC (e.g., [\[4,](#page-40-3) [14\]](#page-42-0)). The same gas-phase kinetic model as for the DNS is used to calculate the flamelets [\[35\]](#page-45-1). During the flamelet calculation, the strain rate is varied by changing the in- flow boundary conditions. For the tabulation process, the varying strain rates are mapped onto a progress variable (C) [\[42\]](#page-46-3), which represents the combustion progress.

 To take into consideration heat losses in the gas-phase caused by par- ticles and radiative heat transfer, the enthalpy h (sensible plus chemical) is introduced as an additional table dimension, and flamelets with different enthalpy levels are computed. For higher enthalpy values, the temperatures of the oxidizer and fuel are increased (from 300 K up to 1500 K). To obtain lower enthalpies, the source term in the temperature equation in Cantera is rescaled, as proposed by several authors [\[4,](#page-40-3) [14,](#page-42-0) [43\]](#page-46-4). Below a certain enthalpy level, no flamelet solution can be obtained. Here, a linear extrapolation to 180 300 K is used to complete the composition space [\[16,](#page-42-2) [44\]](#page-46-5).

 In the flamelet tabulation, normalized values for h and C based on the lower and upper limits of the flamelet table, which represents the lowest and highest possible temperature for the composition space, are employed [\[45\]](#page-46-6):

$$
C_{\rm n} = \frac{C - C_{\rm min}(h, Z)}{C_{\rm max}(h, Z) - C_{\rm min}(h, Z)},\tag{1}
$$

$$
h_{\rm n} = \frac{h - h_{\rm min}(Z)}{h_{\rm max}(Z) - h_{\rm min}(Z)}.\tag{2}
$$

 This will also improve the statistical independence between table input pa- rameters [\[42,](#page-46-3) [46\]](#page-47-0) and enable the possibility of evaluating different presumed PDF shapes for all input parameters when coupled to LES.

 When the tabulated chemistry is coupled to LES, modeling of the sub- grid filtered/probability density functions (FDF/PDF) is required since only Favre-filtered scalars are available for the table access [\[42\]](#page-46-3). Closure can be obtained through the joint-scalar-PDF in composition space. This involves integrating the joint-scalar-PDF over the composition space to obtain the filtered quantity of interest as

$$
\bar{\Psi} = \int \Psi \left(Z, C_{n}, h_{n}, \ldots \right) \tilde{P} \left(Z, C_{n}, h_{n}, \ldots \right) dZ dC_{n} dh_{n} \ldots, \tag{3}
$$

where the density-weighted PDF \tilde{P} follows from the Favre-averaging proce- dure. Since the joint-scalar-PDF is computationally expensive to calculate during runtime, presumed PDF closures are commonly employed. The PDF has to be parametrized by the moments of the distribution, and it is often assumed that the PDF can be represented by its first two moments. Since prescribing a feasible presumed PDF for the joint PDF of multiple scalars is very challenging, the simplified assumption of statistical independence be-₂₀₁ tween the scalar dimensions of the joint PDF is often used $[14, 18]$ $[14, 18]$ $[14, 18]$. As a ²⁰² result, the representation of the joint PDF of multiple scalars in composi-²⁰³ tion space can be facilitated to the product of the individual marginal scalar ²⁰⁴ PDFs as:

$$
\bar{\Psi} = \int \Psi \left(Z, C_{\rm n}, h_{\rm n} \right) \tilde{P} \left(Z, \tilde{Z}, \widetilde{Z''^2} \right) \tilde{P} \left(C_{\rm n}, \tilde{C}_{\rm n}, \widetilde{C''^2_{\rm n}} \right) \tilde{P} \left(h_{\rm n}, \tilde{h}_{\rm n}, \widetilde{h''^2_{\rm n}} \right) dZ dC_{\rm n} dh_{\rm n}
$$
\n(4)

 Mostly, in tabulated flamelet models used in pulverized fuel combustion, all scalars besides the mixture fraction are modeled by a δ function, and the $_{207}$ sub-grid PDF of the mixture fraction is approximated by top-hat [\[6,](#page-40-5) [47\]](#page-47-1) or β [\[4,](#page-40-3) [14\]](#page-42-0) distribution functions.

²⁰⁹ 2.3. Error decomposition analysis

 The modeling of unclosed terms in partial differential equations typically involves two steps: First, a set of known quantities needs to be specified as input parameters for a model, and second, a specific functional form needs to be defined to model the unclosed terms by the input parameters [\[48](#page-47-2)[–50\]](#page-47-3). Each of these steps introduces errors that need to be carefully investigated in the model assessment process. The error corresponding to the first step is known as the irreducible error, and the error corresponding to the second step is referred to as the functional error. Typically, the total modeling error, which involves both irreducible and functional errors, is calculated by the overall difference between quantities of interest calculated by the model and the DNS. The quadratic total modeling error over all N data points is defined as

$$
\epsilon_{\rm tot}^2(\phi) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\phi_{i, \rm DNS} - \phi_{i, \rm Model} \right)^2, \tag{5}
$$

222 where $\epsilon_{\text{tot}}(\phi)$ is the average value of the total modeling error for a quantity 223 of interest ϕ over all N data points. The general idea of the optimal es- $_{224}$ timator concept is to determine for a given parameter set Π , the mean of 225 a quantity of interest ϕ conditioned on the set of parameters $\langle \phi | \Pi \rangle$ and ²²⁶ obtain a measure for the scatter of the quantity of interest with respect to $_{227}$ II. This is the minimum error possible after choosing the parameters set and ²²⁸ is called irreducible error. In this study, an artificial neural network (ANN) ²²⁹ with a single hidden layer is used to calculate the optimal estimator and the ²³⁰ irreducible error, which is computationally efficient, even when using a large ²³¹ number of input parameters [\[48\]](#page-47-2).

 Using the optimal estimator and the irreducible error concepts, the total modeling error can be decomposed into irreducible and functional errors [\[48\]](#page-47-2), in which the functional error corresponds to the performance of the model for a given input parameter set

$$
\epsilon_{\text{tot}}^2(\Pi) = \epsilon_{\text{irr}}^2(\Pi) + \epsilon_{\text{funct}}^2(\Pi),\tag{6}
$$

 $_{236}$ where Π is a set of known parameters used as input of the model. Formally, ²³⁷ the irreducible error is given as

$$
\epsilon_{irr}^2 = \langle (Q_{\text{int}} - \langle Q_{\text{int}} | \Pi \rangle)^2 \rangle \tag{7}
$$

238 In the following, irreducible error ϵ_{irr} and the error caused by the functional form of the model ϵ_{funct} , as defined by equation [\(6\)](#page-12-0), will be discussed sepa-²⁴⁰ rately for identifying the potential for model improvements.

²⁴¹ 2.4. Quantifying preferential concentration/clustering

²⁴² When the particles are exposed to turbulence, their trajectory depends ²⁴³ on the turbulent intensity and particle inertia. This can lead to a local in crease in the particle number density at specific locations in the field, which is known as preferential concentration or clustering [\[51,](#page-47-4) [52\]](#page-47-5). To identify the formed clusters, the three-dimensional Voronoi tessellation method is em- ployed [\[53\]](#page-48-0). In this method, the 3D particle field is discretized by Voronoi diagrams created by the half-plane intersection algorithm between each two particles in the field. This results in a specific volume around each particle, called Voronoi cells, as described in [\[53\]](#page-48-0). The size of each Voronoi cell is inversely related to the local concentration of the particles in a certain loca- tion in the field [\[54\]](#page-48-1). After discretizing the domain by the Voronoi cells, the $_{253}$ clustering limit $V_{\rm C}$ can be obtained by comparing the normalized Voronoi vol-²⁵⁴ ume distribution in the clustered field $P(V/\overline{V})$ with a randomly distributed ²⁵⁵ particle field $P(V_R/\overline{V})$, in which \overline{V} in the mean Voronoi cell volume in the selected field, which is calculated based on the Voronoi volume around each 257 particle as described in [\[53\]](#page-48-0). V_C can be calculated when $P(V/\overline{V}) = P(V_R/\overline{V})$. A more detailed description of the method can be found in Obligado et al. [\[52\]](#page-47-5) and Monchaux et al. [\[53\]](#page-48-0). After performing the Voronoi algorithm as shown in Fig. [1a](#page-14-0), a particle can be a member of a cluster when the respective Voronoi volume around the particle is smaller than the calculated clustering $_{262}$ limit (V_C) . As a result, the cluster members can be identified as shown in Fig. [1b](#page-14-0).

3. Numerical setup and boundary conditions

 In order to reduce the complexity caused by mean shear forces, it is preferred to keep the turbulence fluctuations statistically uniform so that all particles are subjected to the same turbulence statistics. Therefore, the

Fig. 1. a) Voronoi tesselation diagram for the 2D slice of the box b) Cluster member particles filtered by the Voronoi algorithm at the initial time of the clustering.

 homogeneous isotropic turbulent (HIT) configuration within a box with pe- riodic boundary conditions in all directions is chosen. Due to the absence of mean gradients, HIT has no turbulence production, and, as a result, tur- bulence decays in time. To investigate the effect of turbulence interactions on the ignition and the combustion process, a pre-computed fully developed HIT field with a linear forcing in physical space [\[55\]](#page-48-2) is used as initial con- ditions to keep turbulence in a statistically steady state. Simulations were 275 performed within a region with the physical size of $12.8 \text{ mm} \times 12.8 \text{ mm} \times$ 12.8 mm with periodic boundary conditions in all directions. The domain size is discretized with a three-dimensional cartesian mesh with a resolution ₂₇₈ of $\Delta x = 50 \,\mu$ m. Since the particle clustering effect on ignition is one of the main aspects of this study, turbulence characteristics and dispersed phase size have been chosen such that particle clustering can be observed. These conditions are representative of smaller-scale turbulent fluctuations under

practically relevant conditions [\[7,](#page-41-0) [26\]](#page-43-6).

 Particle clustering typically occurs when the characteristic time of the particles is on the same order as the flow time scale and is defined by the Stokes number. For Stokes numbers around unity, particles tend to form clusters, resulting in regions almost void of particles [\[56\]](#page-48-3). The Stokes number defined with the Kolmogorov time scale is given as

$$
St = \frac{\rho_{\text{prt}} d_{\text{prt}}^2}{18\rho_{\text{g}}\eta^2}.
$$
\n(8)

288 A forced isotropic turbulent field with $Re_\lambda \simeq 30$ and the Kolmogorov length 289 scale $\eta \simeq 100 \,\mu \text{m}$ has been chosen. The dispersed phase consists of 10,000 290 particles of Colombian coal with $d_{\text{prt}} = 20 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $T_{\text{prt},0} = 300 \,\text{K}$ and with $_{291}$ apparent density of 700 kg/m^3 . The coal properties can be found in [\[5\]](#page-40-4). Non- reactive particles are first randomly distributed in the box filled with air with ²⁹³ 20% oxygen and an initial gas temperature of $T_{g,0} = 1500 \text{ K}$, which is rele- vant to practical PCC applications. Based on equation [\(8\)](#page-15-0), these conditions 295 lead to an initial Stokes number of $St \simeq 5$, for which a clustering behavior in particle clouds motion is expected [\[57\]](#page-48-4). Finally, the reactive simulation starts after particle clusters have fully formed in a non-reactive HIT field to investigate the effect of particle clustering on the homogeneous ignition process. The employed forced isotropic turbulence ensures maintaining the same turbulence statistics during non-reactive and reactive simulations as summarized in [Appendix A.](#page-39-0)

 To quantify the ignition process, an ignition criterion needs to be de- fined. Typically, in joint numerical-experimental studies, a certain OH rad- ical threshold is chosen as an ignition identifier based on OH-LIF measure-ments [\[5,](#page-40-4) [34\]](#page-45-0). In the current study, due to non-existing experimental mea-

 surements for the current complex configuration, the same definition, used in previous studies [\[5,](#page-40-4) [16\]](#page-42-2) to quantify the ignition process was used to have a re- alistic benchmark for the analysis. This definition has been validated against the experimentally measured ignition delay time in previous works. As a re- sult, the ignition onset is defined as the time when 10% of the maximum OH mass fraction during the entire combustion process is reached.

312 4. Results and discussion

 In the following sections, first, the physical aspects of the ignition of clustering particles in isotropic turbulence and the ignitability condition are investigated. Then, the available models and their applicability for the igni-tion prediction in the clustering particle clouds are assessed.

4.1. Ignition process of clustering particles in isotropic turbulence

 Figure [2](#page-17-0) shows the evolution of the ignited regions in the computational domain over time. As shown in Fig. [2a](#page-17-0), various ignition kernels are formed at various locations within the field where favorable thermo-chemical conditions for ignition exist. This process continues with the ignition of the adjacent regions due to the growth and merging of individual ignited regions until the whole domain is ignited and a continuous flame is established. The transition from the auto-ignition regime to the flame front propagation mode, which in this study is referred to as interaction ignition [\[58\]](#page-48-5), can be quantified by investigating the time evolution of the ignited regions in the field as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-17-0)

 Figure [2a](#page-17-0) also indicates preferential particle concentration, also known as particle clustering. To further investigate this observation, Voronoi regions

Fig. 2. Snapshots of the ignition process illustrated by the iso-surfaces of the 10% of the maximum OH mass fraction during the entire combustion process $(Y_{OH, max})$ colored by heat release. Particles are shown with points colored by particle temperature.

 are introduced to study the effect of particle clustering in the ignition and combustion process. The ignition is investigated in each Voronoi region, following the definition given in Section [2.4.](#page-12-1) When particle clusters form in the turbulent field, the local particle number density increases at specific volumes, and the local gas temperature decreases due to the energy sink required for clustered particles heat-up at those locations. Therefore, the thermo-chemical conditions are more suitable for ignition in the location outside of the clusters, and as a result, the first ignition kernels are observed mostly in those regions. This behavior is quantified in Fig. [3](#page-19-0) with respect to the normalized Voronoi volumes. Figure [3a](#page-19-0) shows the number of ignited $_{340}$ cells $N_{\text{ign,cell}}$ normalized by the total number of cells in the numerical domain $N_{\text{cell,tot}} = 256^3$, where a higher number of ignited points can be observed at volumes larger than V_C . Also, Fig. [3b](#page-19-0) shows an overall higher gas-phase $\frac{3}{43}$ temperature at volumes larger than $V_{\rm C}$. Recall that larger Voronoi volumes indicate lower local particle number density, which is typically found outside of particle clusters.

 After investigating the position of the individually ignited regions, the complete transient ignition process shown in Fig. [2](#page-17-0) is quantified by calculat- ing the number of the ignited regions and their corresponding volumes during the ignition process. Fig. [4a](#page-20-0) shows an increase in the number of auto-ignited 350 regions from $\tau_{\text{auto.ign}} \simeq 0.46 \,\text{ms}$ until $\tau_{\text{int.ign}} \simeq 0.5 \,\text{ms}$. At this point, no new individual ignition kernels are formed. Subsequently, ignition kernels start to merge, leading to a decrease in the number of separate ignited regions. Si- multaneously, the total volume of the ignited regions starts to expand rapidly due to flame propagation. This mode of ignition was recently introduced as

Fig. 3. a) Normalized number of the ignited cells and b) gas temperature in normalized Voronoi volumes at $\tau_{\rm auto.ign} \simeq 0.46 \,\rm ms$. Red dotted lines show the minimum and maximum gas temperature in normalized Voronoi volumes. Volumes larger than V_C represent regions outside of clusters.

³⁵⁵ interaction ignition [\[58\]](#page-48-5).

 To compare the correlation between the ignition region and turbulent scales, the Kolmogorov length (η) is utilized as a reference length. Figure [4b](#page-20-0) s_{58} shows the PDF of the ignited region length scale $l_{\rm ign}$ normalized by the Kolmogorov length for different instances of the ignition process. The ignited region length scale is defined by assuming a spherical shape for the ignited ³⁶¹ region $l_{\text{ign}} = (6/\pi) V_{\text{ign}}^{1/3}$. Ignition kernels with different sizes are indicated by ³⁶² the broad PDF shape at $t = 0.46$ ms and $t = 0.5$ ms. After the ignition regions merge $(t > 0.5 \,\text{ms})$, the PDFs become narrower, suggesting that the regions converge towards a certain size. According to the corresponding distributions ³⁶⁵ for $t > 0.5$ ms, the PDF shows a weak bimodal behavior. The prominent peak corresponds to the individual kernels and the large value of the PDF for large ignition lengths corresponds to the merged kernels. Later in the ignition

Fig. 4. a) Evolution of the number of separated ignited regions $(N_{\rm ign})$ and the total normalized volume $\sum V_{\text{ign}}$ of the ignited regions. The vertical red, blue, and black dotted lines show the auto-ignition time, interaction ignition time, and end of the ignition process, respectively. b) Distribution of the ignited region length scale compared to the Kolmogorov scale η . The vertical dashed line corresponds to the Kolmogorov length scale.

 process, the peak corresponding to the individual kernels shifts to smaller ratios, and the PDF value in the far right grows, indicating an increase in the number of merged kernels. An interesting observation is that the size of the ignited regions consistently remains around one order of magnitude larger than the Kolmogorov length scale. This is promoted due to the fact that ignition happens primarily outside of the particle clusters, where a more uniform volatile-oxidizer mixture with suitable thermo-chemical conditions for ignition exists and can be ignited on larger length scales.

 In order to study the suitable thermo-chemical conditions for ignition, the mixture fraction and scalar dissipation rate concepts are utilized. Mixture fraction indicates the amount of local volatile/oxidizer mixing and the scalar dissipation rate represents the local rate of diffusive mixing at the molecu lar level, and therefore, it is a key element for the modeling of turbulence- chemistry interaction. Figure [5](#page-21-0) provides insights into the ignition conditions, utilizing the scalar dissipation rate and mixture fraction calculated based on Bilger's definition, revealing that the ignition process primarily takes place in $_{384}$ lean mixtures ($Z \sim 0.02$), specifically away from the particles. Considering the fixed volatile composition assumption [\[5\]](#page-40-4) for the released volatiles and their global reaction with the oxidizer, the stoichiometric mixture fraction is $Z_{st} = 0.117$. This observation aligns with the findings from the Voronoi analysis. Larger Voronoi volumes indicate locations outside of a cluster that leads to lower released volatiles and, as a result, a lower amount of fuel in 390 ignited regions. Additionally, Fig. [5a](#page-21-0) shows that at $\tau_{\text{auto.ign}} \simeq 0.46 \,\text{ms}$, some locations in the domain, characterized by the same mixture fractions, do not undergo ignition. Notably, for a given mixture fraction, regions with smaller scalar dissipation rate ignite first.

Fig. 5. OH mass fraction and mixture fraction colored by the scalar dissipation at $t =$ 0.46 ms and $t = 0.5$ ms. The horizontal black line shows the ignition threshold for OH mass fraction based on the ignition definition.

394 As shown in Fig. [5b](#page-21-0), at later times during ignition $(\tau_{\text{int.ign}} \simeq 0.5 \,\text{ms})$, lo-

 cations with higher scalar dissipation rates also ignite at higher mixture frac- tions, which are closer to the clusters, where volatiles are released. Also, high scalar dissipation rates near the clusters (higher mixture fractions) promote mixing, leading to a premixed mixture of volatiles and the oxidizer, which facilitates premixed flame propagation from the ignited regions toward the clusters.

 The particle clouds ignition process is inherently transient, and the pres- ence of particle clustering further affects the reactivity [\[31\]](#page-44-3). Therefore, it is crucial to carefully evaluate the assumptions made in reduced-order models for ignition and combustion to predict important flame characteristics in this particular configuration.

4.2. A priori model assessment of clustering particle clouds in the prediction of the ignition process

 In this section, a systematic assessment based on error decomposition is used to evaluate the overall performance of the model from different perspec- tives. First, the performance of the tabulated chemistry in a flamelet/progress variable (FPV) model compared to DNS is studied to quantify the suitability of the tabulated thermo-chemical state. Second, the presumed PDF used to pre-integrate the table is compared with the filtered DNS dataset to find the optimal presumed PDF shapes for each input parameter of the table.

The reference progress variable is defined as [\[4\]](#page-40-3)

$$
C_{\rm ref} = \frac{Y_{\rm CO_2}}{\mathcal{M}_{\rm CO_2}} + \frac{Y_{\rm CO}}{\mathcal{M}_{\rm CO}} - \frac{Y_{\rm O_2}}{\mathcal{M}_{\rm O_2}},\tag{9}
$$

416 where \mathcal{M}_i is the molar mass of species i.

⁴¹⁷ The total modeling errors are systematically decomposed into the func- tional and irreducible errors employing the optimal estimator method de-scribed in Section [2.3.](#page-11-0)

$4.2.1.$ Assessment of the thermo-chemical states in tabulated chemistry

 In order to have a general overview of the FPV model performance, the 422 volume-averaged $\langle T_{g} \rangle$ and $\langle \dot{\omega}_{C} \rangle$ in the entire domain at different times during ignition and combustion are calculated, according to the model described in Section [2.2](#page-9-0) and compared with the DNS data. To calculate a volume- averaged quantity $\langle \phi \rangle$ in the DNS and the FPV model, the following equation is used

$$
\langle \phi(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\phi_i(t) \right), \tag{10}
$$

 where N is the total number of cells in the entire volume. As illustrated in Fig. [6,](#page-24-0) the comparison between the model and reference data shows good overall agreement in gas temperature, although increased deviations are ap- parent for later times. Comparing the progress variable source term shows a shift in the prediction of the FPV model compared to the DNS, which indicates later ignition delay time prediction by the model. However, nearly the same peak average value of the progress variable source term is observed in the FPV model. In order to investigate the differences during the igni-435 tion process, the progress variable source term $(\dot{\omega}_C)$ is chosen as the quantity of interest for modeling particle cloud ignition and combustion processes in isotropic turbulence.

 To understand the origin of the deviations in the FPV model from the DNS results, the performance of the model inside and outside of the particle

Fig. 6. Overall comparison between the DNS data and the FPV model in predicting the volume-averaged a) gas temperature, and b) progress variable source term at different times. Vertical dotted lines indicate the start and end of the ignition process based on Fig. [4a](#page-20-0).

 clusters is studied in more detail. To this end, two different time instances, $t = 0.5$ ms with the maximum number of ignited regions during the ignition 442 process and $t = 0.65$ ms, which is at the end of the ignition process, as shown in Fig. [4a](#page-20-0), are chosen. First, the model parameters chosen to parameter- ize the flamelet table are assessed by the optimal estimator method. This enables calculating the irreducible errors for different sets of input parame- ters for predicting the quantity of interest. To obtain a meaningful value for the errors with respect to particle cluster locations, they are normalized by the maximum value of the conditionally averaged quantity of interest in the 449 normalized Voronoi volumes (V/\overline{V}) .

$$
\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^2}}{\max(\langle Q_{int} | V/\overline{V} \rangle)}.
$$
\n(11)

⁴⁵⁰ Different combinations of mixture fraction, progress variable, and enthalpy, ⁴⁵¹ as well as the OH mass fraction, as it is one of the most important ignition identifier species, are used to find the best possible set of parameters to characterize the composition space.

Fig. 7. Irreducible errors of different input parameters in predicting progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms. Volumes larger than $V_{\rm C}$ represent regions outside of clusters.

 After comparing the different combinations, Fig. [7](#page-25-0) demonstrates that the inclusion of the progress variable and enthalpy alongside the mixture fraction strongly decreases the overall irreducible error, especially inside the clusters at early times, where the errors are highest. It is interesting also to note that consideration of enthalpy is not needed if only the chemical $_{459}$ source term is of interest. Including Y_{OH} as an input parameter leads to a slight improvement in the overall irreducible error. However, the error ϵ_{461} corresponding to Z, C_n, Y_{OH} is still comparable with the error corresponding μ_{462} to Z, C_{n} and Z, C_{n} , h_{n} . Also, creating a table with OH radical, which can perform well in the a posteriori LES, is very challenging, since OH radical is not readily available in LES. As a result, in this study, this radical is not considered as an additional input parameter.

466 Next, the functional errors are assessed at $t = 0.5$ ms and $t = 0.65$ ms. μ_{67} Using the parameters Z, h_n , and C_n , as discussed earlier, a flamelet table is generated. The quantity of interest from the table are compared with the corresponding values from the DNS datasets to calculate the functional errors based on equation (5) and (6) .

Fig. 8. Errors involved in the reference FPV model in comparison with the DNS results in the prediction of progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms. Volumes larger than V_C represent regions outside of clusters.

 Figure [8](#page-26-0) compares the progress variable source term between the flamelet table and the DNS. The tabulated flamelet model exhibits certain limitations in accurately predicting $\dot{\omega}_C$, particularly within the particle clusters.

⁴⁷⁴ Comparing the model performance at two different time instances shows that for $\dot{\omega}_C$ the modeling error is higher at ignition. The increased functional error observed during the ignition process primarily occurs within the particle clusters, specifically in close proximity to the particles themselves. This can be attributed to the limitations of the typically used flamelet tables in capturing the particle-chemistry interactions due to the fact that the particle effects in the flamelet table are not considered. This limitation is especially

 prominent in the vicinity of particle clusters, which corresponds to a smaller mixing time scale compared to the chemical time scale. In these regions, where the volatiles mix with the oxidizer prior to ignition, the flame behavior resembles that of a premixed flame, which cannot be accurately captured by the non-premixed flamelet approach employed in this study.

 After assessing the reference FPV model's input parameters and its per- formance in predicting the quantity of interest, the effects of changing the input parameters, such as excluding enthalpy and altering the progress vari-able definition in the model performance, are examined next.

⁴⁹⁰ 4.2.2. Effect of heat losses in flamelet tabulation

⁴⁹¹ In order to investigate the effect of heat losses in the table, a table with 492 two input parameters $(Z \text{ and } C_n)$ is generated. Its performance is compared 493 with the reference FPV model $(Z, C_n, \text{ and } h_n)$. From Fig. [9,](#page-27-0) it is evident ⁴⁹⁴ that including enthalpy in the table primarily affects the predictions.

Fig. 9. Effect of enthalpy in the errors of progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms. Solid color lines show the functional errors and dashed color lines are the corresponding irreducible errors. Volumes larger than V_C represent regions outside of clusters.

 The results demonstrate that incorporating enthalpy as an input param- eter improves the accuracy inside the clusters, particularly during ignition. This suggests that the ignition phase is more sensitive to changes in enthalpy compared to later stages of combustion, especially inside the clusters. During the ignition phase, due to the particle heat-up process and the higher tem- perature gradients between particles and the gas phase, the heat loss effect $_{501}$ is more dominant than at later times [\[16\]](#page-42-2).

4.2.3. Effect of progress variable definition

 In this section, the effect of altering the progress variables definition on model performance is investigated. To this end, three different progress vari- ables, as defined in Table [1,](#page-28-0) are compared with the reference definition (\mathcal{C}_{ref}) in equation [\(9\)](#page-22-0). These definitions are employed to compare the typically used definitions in flamelet tabulated chemistry models [\[4,](#page-40-3) [7\]](#page-41-0) for pulverized solid fuel combustion and to investigate the effect of removing certain species from the progress variable definition.

Table 1: Different progress variable definitions

Definition
$Y_{\rm CO_2} + Y_{\rm CO}$
$Y_{\rm CO_2} - Y_{\rm O_2}$
$Y_{\rm CO_2}/\mathcal{M}_{\rm CO_2} + Y_{\rm H_2O}/\mathcal{M}_{\rm H_2O} - Y_{\rm O_2}/\mathcal{M}_{\rm O_2}$

 Figure [10](#page-29-0) shows the effect of progress variable definition on the overall model performance. It can be observed that excluding CO or O_2 from the model significantly amplifies the functional errors of predicting the progress 513 variable source term $(\dot{\omega}_C)$, indicating that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are not suitable choices ⁵¹⁴ for representing the progress variable. On the other hand, replacing CO with $_{515}$ H₂O (\mathcal{C}_3) resulted in an improvement in predicting the quantity of interest, ⁵¹⁶ particularly within the clusters.

Fig. 10. Effect of progress variable definition in the errors of progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms. Solid color lines show the functional errors and dashed color lines are the corresponding irreducible errors. Volumes larger than $V_{\rm C}$ represent regions outside of clusters.

⁵¹⁷ 4.2.4. Assessment of the presumed PDF shapes in comparison with subgrid ⁵¹⁸ scalar PDF

 In the context of LES, only filtered scalars are transported, which cannot be used directly for table access. The unresolved subgrid distribution of the $\frac{521}{221}$ transported scalars is often modeled by a presumed- β PDF for the mixture fraction Z, whereas the distributions of the remaining scalars are modeled by a δ function [\[1,](#page-40-0) [14\]](#page-42-0). These assumptions will be examined in the following by employing different presumed PDF shapes for the table input parameters. By comparing the results to the DNS data, the suitability of considering subgrid-scale fluctuations can be analyzed.

 In order to evaluate the model performance when coupled to LES, the 528 DNS dataset is filtered using a box filter of width $\Delta_f = 8\Delta x$, ensuring an averaged resolved energy of about 90% with respect to the DNS field, which is a typical value for a well-resolved LES [\[59\]](#page-48-6). After filtering, the 531 distribution of the input parameters (Z, h_n, C_n) from the DNS is examined to determine suitable PDF shapes that accurately represent the behavior of each 533 input parameter. In Fig. [11,](#page-31-0) various PDF shapes $(\delta, \beta, \text{ and Top-hat (TH)})$ $_{534}$ distributions), which are widely used in the pulverized solid fuel modeling [\[4,](#page-40-3) [6,](#page-40-5) [22,](#page-43-2) [47\]](#page-47-1), based on the Favre-averaged mean and variance of the filtered dataset are compared with the distribution of the input parameters obtained from the DNS dataset at two different time instances within a specific filter cell of the DNS field.

 It should be noted that in the context of point-particle DNS, distribut- ing the particles' source term over a specific kernel shape can impact the evolution of the mixture fraction PDF. Distributing the source term across a Gaussian kernel, a technique applied to better account for finite size ef- fects of particles [\[32,](#page-44-4) [38,](#page-45-4) [60\]](#page-48-7) results in a broader source term distribution, diminishing peak values on the fuel side. Consequently, both the mean and variance of the mixture fraction decrease, affecting the PDF shape. How- ever, it is expected that the overall shape of the PDF remains similar, and only the width of the distribution would be affected by the distribution of the source term compared to fully resolved simulations, which incorporate particle boundary layer effects. Incorporating boundary layer effects into the present study, as evidenced by Wang et al. [\[61\]](#page-49-0), would complicate the model

 assessment procedure and require further investigation using fully resolved simulations. However, considering the finite-size particle effects ensures a more realistic benchmark for the subgrid scalar PDF assessment.

Fig. 11. Comparing different presumed PDF shapes for mixture fraction, normalized enthalpy, and normalized progress variable with subgrid scalar PDF in an example DNS filter cell at $t = 0.5$ ms (top row) and $t = 0.65$ ms (bottom row).

 Comparing different presumed PDF shapes based on Fig. [11](#page-31-0) shows that all presumed approaches have difficulties in approximating the DNS distribu- tion. Top-hat distributions are symmetrical with respect to the mean and, as a result, cannot capture the non-symmetrical DNS, which is originated from the particle clustering effects. Mixture fraction distribution shows higher probabilities in smaller mixture fractions, which is due to more data points outside of clusters. It can also be observed that for the mixture fraction, as suggested in the original formulation for single-phase combustion [\[42\]](#page-46-3), the 562β distribution yields a more accurate distribution than other PDF shapes ⁵⁶³ compared to the DNS for clustering particle cloud ignition.

 To complement the qualitative investigation of the presumed PDF shapes shown in Fig. [11,](#page-31-0) the errors involved in the model when using presumed PDF assumption during clustering particle cloud ignition and combustion is eval- uated. Since the selected PDF shapes can be represented by the first two moments, meaning mean and variance, incorporating different PDF shapes for mixture fraction, progress variable, and enthalpy would require their mean and variance as inputs of the model. It should be noted that utilizing higher- order moments can lead to a more accurate representation of the DNS distri- bution. However, this can lead to a further increase in the table dimensions. In order to determine the optimal input sets, including the variances, the concept of optimal estimator is employed to calculate the irreducible error associated with each set.

Fig. 12. Effect of adding the variance of different input parameters in the irreducible error of filtered progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms. Volumes larger than $V_{\rm C}$ represent regions outside a cluster.

 Figure [12](#page-32-0) shows the irreducible error for predicting the filtered progress variable source term using different sets of input parameters, including vari- ances. It can be observed that at ignition, adding the variances to the ref-⁵⁷⁹ erence Favre-averaged input set $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{C}_n, \tilde{h}_n)$ does not improve the results very much. This shows that the model is not sensitive to variances of input parameters at ignition. The mixture fraction distribution in Fig. [11](#page-31-0) shows a smaller variance during ignition compared to the end of ignition due to a lower total volatile release. Also, considering variances of progress variables and enthalpy is not sufficient for the correct prediction of the quantity of interest in the filter cells at ignition.

 It should be mentioned that at $t = 0.65$ ms, adding variances to the reference input set improves the irreducible error. This improvement in the prediction is due to the larger variances (especially Z''^2) and non-linearity of the progress variable source term with respect to input parameters in the filter cells.

 After analyzing different input parameter sets and studying the effect of PDF shape on irreducible error, it is necessary to investigate the impact of including variances in the functional errors for predicting the filtered quantity of interest. The non-symmetrical characteristic of the DNS distribution due 595 to particle clustering can be better represented by a β -PDF. Therefore, this 596 study focuses on investigating the performance of using β -PDF in comparison 597 with a δ function and the filtered model results. The δ function corresponds to not considering the sub-filter distributions in the model, and the filtered model inherently considers the correct sub-filter joint distributions of the DNS data without the assumption of presumed PDF shapes.

⁶⁰¹ To this end, four different combinations of PDF shapes, as shown in 602 Fig. [13,](#page-34-0) are applied. First, a δ function for all input scalars (3δ) is assumed. 603 Then the typical assumption of a β distribution for mixture fraction while ω_{604} maintaining a δ function for progress variable and enthalpy (1β) serves as ⁶⁰⁵ the reference model. In addition, the performance of the model is evaluated ω by employing β distribution for mixture fraction and progress variable (2β). 607 Finally, a β distribution for all input parameters (3β) is investigated.

Fig. 13. Functional errors of filtered progress variable source term at a) $t = 0.5$ ms and b) $t = 0.65$ ms for different PDF assumptions in the FPV model. Volumes larger than V_{C} represent regions outside of clusters.

608 Figure [13](#page-34-0) shows the normalized functional errors for $\overline{\omega_{\rm C}}$. These errors are ω calculated based on equations (4) , (5) , (6) , and (11) . The functional error for ⁶¹⁰ each PDF combination is compared with the respective error for the filtered ⁶¹¹ FPV model results, which inherently considers the correct sub-filter joint ⁶¹² distributions of the DNS data.

 μ_{1} Around the ignition phase $(t = 0.5 \text{ ms})$, all of the investigated PDF ⁶¹⁴ shapes exhibit comparable performance to the filtered FPV model results 615 in predicting $\overline{\omega_{\rm C}}$. This finding aligns with the results from Fig. [12,](#page-32-0) which indicate that including variances as additional input parameters does not ϵ_{617} improve the errors. Hence, using the δ function as PDF shape for all input parameters can capture the ignition process as well as other models. This indicates that the distribution of sub-filters does not significantly affect the prediction of the progress variable source term during ignition, and the main contribution to the total modeling error is due to the performance of the non-premixed flamelet table.

 ϵ_{623} However, towards the end of the ignition phase $(t = 0.65 \,\text{ms})$, the mixture fraction in the subgrid has a larger variance compared to the time of ignition. Consequently, it has a more pronounced influence on the PDF shapes, par- ϵ_{626} ticularly within the particle clusters, as shown in Fig. [11.](#page-31-0) In these regions, 627 the reference model 1 β shows a better overall performance in predicting $\dot{\omega}_{\rm C}$. 628 However, 2β and 3β models show worse predictions, which shows that choos- ing the β distributions for progress variable and enthalpy is not a good choice for predicting the quantity of interest in clustering particle cloud combustion. The performance of different presumed PDF assumptions in predicting ϵ_{632} the quantity of interest is further analyzed in Fig. [14.](#page-36-0) Here, the prediction 633 of $\overline{\omega_{\rm C}}$ using three models 3*δ*, 1*β*, and 3*β* is compared with the filtered FPV predictions.

 This comparison is chosen to provide further insight into the effect of including input parameter distributions in the final prediction of the quantity of interest. Similar to the results from Fig. [13,](#page-34-0) Fig. [14](#page-36-0) show that around ignition, all presumed PDF shapes show the same performance. However, 639 at the end of the ignition process $(t = 0.65 \,\text{ms})$, using the 3δ and 3β model

Fig. 14. Comparison between different PDF assumptions in the FPV model (3δ = $\delta(\tilde{Z})\delta(\tilde{C})\delta(\tilde{h}), \ 1\beta \ = \ \beta(\tilde{Z}), \$ and $3\beta \ = \ \beta(\tilde{Z})\beta(\tilde{C})\beta(\tilde{h}))$ and the filtered-FPV prediction for progress variable source term $(\overline{\dot{\omega}_{C,FPV,JPDF}})$ at $t=0.5\,\mathrm{ms}$ and $t=0.65\,\mathrm{ms}$

 ϵ_{40} can lead to worse predictions compared to 1β model for higher progress variable source terms. This is due to incorrectly assumed PDF shapes at these conditions.

5. Conclusions

 This study presents a comprehensive investigation of modeling the homo- geneous ignition in clustering particle clouds in isotropic turbulence. For this purpose, a DNS of pulverized coal particles using the point-particle model for the dispersed phase and detailed chemical kinetics for the gas phase was performed.

 Initially, auto-ignition was found at positions with favorable thermo- chemical states, followed by growing and merging the individual ignition kernels. It was observed that particle clustering has a significant impact on the ignition process. Ignition predominantly occurs in regions outside of the clusters, where higher temperatures are present due to reduced energy sink associated with particle heating. These ignition locations exhibit a lean mix- ture of released volatiles and oxidizer, resulting in lower scalar dissipation compared to the cluster locations.

 This study employed a systematic error decomposition approach, utilizing the optimal estimator concept, to assess tabulated flamelet models in parti- cle clustering conditions. The assessment focused on determining the errors associated with the reference flamelet/progress variable (FPV) model inputs and evaluating its functional model performance both inside and outside of particle clusters. The assessment of the FPV model revealed its good perfor-mance in predicting the progress variable source term. However, around the

 ignition phase, a higher deviation from the prediction by the DNS data was observed, particularly for the progress variable source term inside particle clusters. This study identified an efficient set of input parameters that yields the smallest irreducible errors, consisting of mixture fraction Z , normalized ϵ_{668} progress variable C_n , and enthalpy hn. Not including the enthalpy resulted in higher errors, especially during the ignition phase, highlighting the increased sensitivity of quantity of interest to enthalpy in the ignition phase. Regard- ϵ_{671} ing the progress variable definition, it was found that including CO_2 , CO , and O_2 as the set of parameters showed good performance in predicting the quantity of interest. Omitting either CO or O_2 led to a significant increase in functional modeling errors when predicting the progress variable source ϵ_{675} term. Also, replacing CO with H₂O improved the prediction of the progress variable source term during the ignition phase, especially inside the clusters. The findings reveal that incorporating the variances of the input param- eters improves the irreducible errors, especially inside particle clusters, pri- marily at the end of the ignition process, where the sub-filter distribution of the DNS data exhibits larger variances. In contrast, during the ignition onset, when individual kernels with small variances dominate due to particle 682 clustering effects on ignition location, a δ function shows a good performance. However, in the case of functional errors, the presumed marginal PDF shape assumption is prone to errors originating from the shape function. Choosing the correct presumed PDF shape that matches the DNS sub-filter distribu-tion is challenging and has an important impact on the model's performance.

Acknowledgments

 The authors kindly acknowledge financial support through Deutsche For- schungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Projektnummer 215035359 - through SFB/TRR 129. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the computing time provided to them at the NHR Center NHR4CES at RWTH Aachen University (project number p0020514 and p0021020). This is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research, and the state governments participating on the basis of the resolutions of the GWK for national high performance computing at universities.

Appendix A

 The turbulence statistics for the studied isotropic turbulence configura-tion during different time instances are briefly summarized in table [2.](#page-39-1)

time $[ms]$	Re_{λ}	Re_{Turb}	$\eta[m]$	$l_t[m]$	t_n [ms]	t_l [ms]	St
0	30.7	141.8	1.04E-04	4.27E-03	4.47E-02	5.33E-01	6.199
0.46	30.9	143.9	9.94E-05	4.13E-03	4.16E-02	$4.99E-01$	6.207
0.5	30.5	140.1	9.96E-05	$4.06E-03$	4.27E-02	$5.05E-01$	6.333
0.55	29.4	129.8	$1.01E-04$	3.88E-03	4.34E-02	$4.94E-01$	6.032
0.6	28.5	122.1	1.02E-04	3.76E-03	4.46E-02	$4.92E-01$	5.775
0.65	27.7	115.2	1.04E-04	3.66E-03	4.48E-02	4.81E-01	5.365

Table 2: Turbulence statistics at different time instances

 η and t_{η} are the respective Kolmogorov length and time scales, and l_t and t_l correspond to integral length and time scales.

References

- [1] C. Hasse, P. Debiagi, X. Wen, K. Hildebrandt, M. Vascellari, T. Far- avelli, Advanced modeling approaches for CFD simulations of coal com- bustion and gasification, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science 86 (2021) 100938.
- [2] T. H. Fletcher, A. R. Kerstein, R. J. Pugmire, D. M. Grant, Chemical percolation model for devolatilization. 2. temperature and heating rate effects on product yields, Energy & Fuels 4 (1990) 54–60.
- [3] T. Maffei, R. Khatami, S. Pierucci, T. Faravelli, E. Ranzi, Y. A. Lev- endis, Experimental and modeling study of single coal particle combus- τ ¹¹ tion in O_2/N_2 and oxy-fuel (O_2/CO_2) atmospheres, Combustion and Flame 160 (2013) 2559–2572.
- [4] H. Nicolai, P. Debiagi, X. Wen, L. Dressler, A. Massmeyer, J. Janicka, C. Hasse, Flamelet LES of swirl-stabilized oxy-fuel flames using directly coupled multi-step solid fuel kinetics, Combustion and Flame 241 (2022) 112062.
- [5] P. Farmand, H. Nicolai, C. Schumann, A. Attili, L. Berger, T. Li, C. Geschwindner, F. di Mare, C. Hasse, B. B¨ohm, et al., Numerical inves- tigation and assessment of flamelet-based models for the prediction of pulverized solid fuel homogeneous ignition and combustion, Combustion and Flame 235 (2022) 111693.
- [6] M. Rieth, A. Clements, M. Raba¸cal, F. Proch, O. Stein, A. Kempf, Flamelet LES modeling of coal combustion with detailed devolatilization
- by directly coupled CPD, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 36 (2017) 2181–2189.
- [7] H. Nicolai, P. Debiagi, J. Janicka, C. Hasse, Flamelet LES of oxy- $\frac{727}{127}$ fuel swirling flames with different O_2/CO_2 ratios using directly coupled seamless multi-step solid fuel kinetics, Fuel 344 (2023) 128089.
- [8] T. H. Fletcher, Review of 30 years of research using the chemical perco- $_{730}$ lation devolatilization model, Energy & Fuels 33 (2019) 12123–12153.
- [9] C. Yin, J. Yan, Oxy-fuel combustion of pulverized fuels: Combustion fundamentals and modeling, Applied Energy 162 (2016) 742–762.
- [10] O. Stein, G. Olenik, A. Kronenburg, F. Cavallo Marincola, B. Franchetti, A. Kempf, M. Ghiani, M. Vascellari, C. Hasse, Towards comprehensive coal combustion modelling for LES, Flow, turbulence and combustion 90 (2013) 859–884.
- [11] H. Nicolai, X. Wen, F. Miranda, D. Zabrodiec, A. Massmeyer, F. Di Mare, A. Dreizler, C. Hasse, R. Kneer, J. Janicka, Numerical in- vestigation of swirl-stabilized pulverized coal flames in air and oxy-fuel atmospheres by means of large eddy simulation coupled with tabulated chemistry, Fuel 287 (2021) 119429.
- [12] H. Nicolai, G. Kuenne, R. Knappstein, H. Schneider, L. Becker, C. Hasse, F. di Mare, A. Dreizler, J. Janicka, Large eddy simulation of a laboratory-scale gas-assisted pulverized coal combustion chamber un- der oxy-fuel atmospheres using tabulated chemistry, Fuel 272 (2020) 117683.
- [13] X. Wen, H. Nicolai, H. Schneider, L. Cai, J. Janicka, H. Pitsch, C. Hasse, Flamelet LES of a swirl-stabilized multi-stream pulverized coal burner in air and oxy-fuel atmospheres with pollutant formation, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 38 (2021) 4141–4149.
- [14] M. Rieth, F. Proch, M. Raba¸cal, B. Franchetti, F. C. Marincola, A. Kempf, Flamelet LES of a semi-industrial pulverized coal furnace, Com-bustion and Flame 173 (2016) 39–56.
- [15] J. Watanabe, K. Yamamoto, Flamelet model for pulverized coal com-bustion, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 35 (2015) 2315–2322.
- [16] H. Nicolai, T. Li, C. Geschwindner, F. di Mare, C. Hasse, B. B¨ohm, J. Janicka, Numerical investigation of pulverized coal particle group com- bustion using tabulated chemistry, Proceedings of the Combustion In-stitute 38 (2021) 4033–4041.
- [17] R. Knappstein, G. Kuenne, A. Ketelheun, J. K¨oser, L. Becker, S. Heuer, M. Schiemann, V. Scherer, A. Dreizler, A. Sadiki, et al., Devolatilization and volatiles reaction of individual coal particles in the context of FGM tabulated chemistry, Combustion and Flame 169 (2016) 72–84.
- [18] L. Dressler, F. L. Sacomano Filho, F. Ries, H. Nicolai, J. Janicka, A. Sadiki, Numerical prediction of turbulent spray flame characteristics using the filtered Eulerian stochastic field approach coupled to tabulated chemistry, Fluids 6 (2021) 50.
- [19] G. Tufano, O. Stein, B. Wang, A. Kronenburg, M. Rieth, A. Kempf,
- Coal particle volatile combustion and flame interaction. part I: Charac-terization of transient and group effects, Fuel 229 (2018) 262–269.
- [20] G. Tufano, O. Stein, B. Wang, A. Kronenburg, M. Rieth, A. Kempf, Coal particle volatile combustion and flame interaction. part II: Effects of particle reynolds number and turbulence, Fuel 234 (2018) 723–731.
- [21] D. Messig, M. Vascellari, C. Hasse, Flame structure analysis and flamelet progress variable modelling of strained coal flames, Combustion Theory and Modelling 21 (2017) 700–721.
- [22] X. Wen, K. Luo, H. Jin, J. Fan, Numerical investigation of coal flamelet characteristics in a laminar counterflow with detailed chemistry, Fuel 195 (2017) 232–242.
- [23] K. Luo, H. Wang, J. Fan, F. Yi, Direct numerical simulation of pulver- τ_{B1} ized coal combustion in a hot vitiated co-flow, Energy & fuels 26 (2012) 6128–6136.
- [24] Y. Bai, K. Luo, K. Qiu, J. Fan, Numerical investigation of two-phase flame structures in a simplified coal jet flame, Fuel 182 (2016) 944–957.
- [25] T. Hara, M. Muto, T. Kitano, R. Kurose, S. Komori, Direct numerical simulation of a pulverized coal jet flame employing a global volatile mat- ter reaction scheme based on detailed reaction mechanism, Combustion and Flame 162 (2015) 4391–4407.
- [26] M. Rieth, A. Kempf, A. Kronenburg, O. Stein, Carrier-phase DNS of pulverized coal particle ignition and volatile burning in a turbulent mix-ing layer, Fuel 212 (2018) 364–374.
- [27] X. Wen, M. Rieth, A. Scholtissek, O. T. Stein, H. Wang, K. Luo, A. M. Kempf, A. Kronenburg, J. Fan, C. Hasse, A comprehensive study of flamelet tabulation methods for pulverized coal combustion in a turbu- lent mixing layer—part I: A priori and budget analyses, Combustion and Flame 216 (2020) 439–452.
- [28] X. Wen, M. Rieth, A. Scholtissek, O. T. Stein, H. Wang, K. Luo, A. Kronenburg, J. Fan, C. Hasse, A comprehensive study of flamelet tab- ulation methods for pulverized coal combustion in a turbulent mixing layer—part II: Strong heat losses and multi-mode combustion, Combus-tion and Flame 216 (2020) 453–467.
- [29] M. Rieth, A. M. Kempf, O. T. Stein, A. Kronenburg, C. Hasse, M. Vascellari, Evaluation of a flamelet/progress variable approach for pul- verized coal combustion in a turbulent mixing layer, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 37 (2019) 2927–2934.
- [30] T. Brosh, D. Patel, D. Wacks, N. Chakraborty, Numerical investigation of localised forced ignition of pulverised coal particle-laden mixtures: a direct numerical simulation (DNS) analysis, Fuel 145 (2015) 50–62.
- ₈₀₉ [31] J. Krüger, N. E. Haugen, D. Mitra, T. Løvås, The effect of turbulent clustering on particle reactivity, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute $\frac{811}{201}$ 36 (2017) 2333–2340.
- [32] S. Farazi, J. Hinrichs, M. Davidovic, T. Falkenstein, M. Bode, S. Kang, A. Attili, H. Pitsch, Numerical investigation of coal particle stream ig-nition in oxy-atmosphere, Fuel 241 (2019) 477–487.
- [33] A. Attili, P. Farmand, C. Schumann, S. Farazi, B. B¨ohm, T. Li, C. Geschwindner, J. K¨oser, A. Dreizler, H. Pitsch, Numerical simulations and experiments of ignition of solid particles in a laminar burner: Effects of slip velocity and particle swelling, Flow, Turbulence and Combustion $\frac{819}{2020}$ 1–17.
- 820 [34] T. Li, P. Farmand, C. Geschwindner, M. Greifenstein, J. Köser, C. Schu- mann, A. Attili, H. Pitsch, A. Dreizler, B. B¨ohm, Homogeneous ignition and volatile combustion of single solid fuel particles in air and oxy-fuel conditions, Fuel 291 (2021) 120101.
- [35] L. Cai, S. Kruse, D. Felsmann, H. Pitsch, A methane mechanism for oxy- fuel combustion: Extinction experiments, model validation, and kinetic analysis, Flow Turbulence and Combustion (2020).
- [36] X. Wen, A. Shamooni, O. T. Stein, L. Cai, A. Kronenburg, H. Pitsch, A. M. Kempf, C. Hasse, Detailed analysis of early-stage NOx forma- tion in turbulent pulverized coal combustion with fuel-bound nitrogen, 830 Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 38 (2021) 4111–4119.
- [37] X. Wen, H. Nicolai, O. T. Stein, J. Janicka, A. Kronenburg, C. Hasse, Effects of air and oxy-fuel atmospheres on flamelet modeling of pollu- tant formation in laminar counterflow solid fuel flames, Fuel 285 (2021) 119079.
- [38] S. Farazi, A. Attili, S. Kang, H. Pitsch, Numerical study of coal parti- cle ignition in air and oxy-atmosphere, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 37 (2019) 2867–2874.
- [39] D. M. Grant, R. J. Pugmire, T. H. Fletcher, A. R. Kerstein, Chemical model of coal devolatilization using percolation lattice statistics, Energy & Fuels 3 (1989) 175–186.
- [40] S. Jimenez, C. Gonzalo-Tirado, Properties and relevance of the volatile flame of an isolated coal particle in conventional and oxy-fuel combustion conditions, Combustion and Flame 176 (2017) 94–103.
- [41] D. G. Goodwin, R. L. Speth, H. K. Moffat, B. W. Weber, Cantera: An object-oriented software toolkit for chemical kinetics, thermodynam- ics, and transport processes, <https://www.cantera.org>, 2021. Version 2.5.1.
- [42] C. D. Pierce, P. Moin, Progress-variable approach for large-eddy simula- tion of non-premixed turbulent combustion, Journal of fluid Mechanics 504 (2004) 73–97.
- [43] P. Wollny, B. Rogg, A. Kempf, Modelling heat loss effects in high temperature oxy-fuel flames with an efficient and robust non-premixed $\frac{1}{853}$ flamelet approach, Fuel 216 (2018) 44–52.
- [44] A. Ketelheun, G. Kuenne, J. Janicka, Heat transfer modeling in the context of large eddy simulation of premixed combustion with tabulated chemistry, Flow, turbulence and combustion 91 (2013) 867–893.
- [45] B. Fiorina, O. Gicquel, L. Vervisch, S. Carpentier, N. Darabiha, Pre- mixed turbulent combustion modeling using tabulated detailed chem- istry and pdf, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 30 (2005) 867– 874.
- [46] T. Landenfeld, A. Sadiki, J. Janicka, A turbulence-chemistry interaction model based on a multivariate presumed beta-pdf method for turbulent flames, Flow, turbulence and combustion 68 (2002) 111–135.
- [47] C. Olbricht, O. Stein, J. Janicka, J. Van Oijen, S. Wysocki, A. Kempf, Les of lifted flames in a gas turbine model combustor using top-hat $\frac{666}{100}$ filtered pfgm chemistry, Fuel 96 (2012) 100–107.
- [48] L. Berger, K. Kleinheinz, A. Attili, F. Bisetti, H. Pitsch, M. E. Mueller, Numerically accurate computational techniques for optimal estimator analyses of multi-parameter models, Combustion Theory and Modelling $\frac{870}{22}$ (2018) 480-504.
- [49] P. Trisjono, H. Pitsch, Systematic analysis strategies for the develop- ment of combustion models from DNS: A review, Flow, Turbulence and 873 Combustion 95 (2015) 231–259.
- [50] A. Moreau, O. Teytaud, J.-P. Bertoglio, Optimal estimation for large- eddy simulation of turbulence and application to the analysis of subgrid models, Physics of fluids 18 (2006) 105101.
- [51] J. R. Fessler, J. D. Kulick, J. K. Eaton, Preferential concentration of heavy particles in a turbulent channel flow, Physics of Fluids 6 (1994) 879 3742-3749.
- [52] M. Obligado, T. Teitelbaum, A. Cartellier, P. Mininni, M. Bourgoin, Preferential concentration of heavy particles in turbulence, Journal of Turbulence 15 (2014) 293–310.
- [53] R. Monchaux, M. Bourgoin, A. Cartellier, Analyzing preferential con- centration and clustering of inertial particles in turbulence, International Journal of Multiphase Flow 40 (2012) 1–18.
- [54] S. Ying, G. Xu, C. Li, Z. Mao, Point cluster analysis using a 3D voronoi diagram with applications in point cloud segmentation, ISPRS Interna-tional Journal of Geo-Information 4 (2015) 1480–1499.
- [55] J. A. Palmore Jr, O. Desjardins, Technique for forcing high reynolds number isotropic turbulence in physical space, Physical Review Fluids 891 3 (2018) 034605.
- [56] T. Lau, G. J. Nathan, in: 7th Australian Conference on Laser Diagnos-tics in Fluid Mechanics and Combustion, at Melbourne, Australia.
- [57] P. Gualtieri, F. Picano, C. Casciola, Anisotropic clustering of inertial particles in homogeneous shear flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 629 (2009) $25-39$.
- [58] X. Wen, M. Rieth, W. Han, J. H. Chen, C. Hasse, Investigation of the ignition processes of a multi-injection flame in a diesel engine environ- ment using the flamelet model, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 900 $38(2021)$ 5605-5613.
- [59] S. Cant, Sb pope, turbulent flows, cambridge university press, cam-bridge, uk, 2000, 771 pp., Combustion and Flame 4 (2001) 1361–1362.
- [60] S. Apte, K. Mahesh, T. Lundgren, Accounting for finite-size effects in simulations of disperse particle-laden flows, International Journal of Multiphase Flow 34 (2008) 260–271.
- [61] B. Wang, A. Kronenburg, G. L. Tufano, O. T. Stein, Fully resolved dns of droplet array combustion in turbulent convective flows and modelling for mixing fields in inter-droplet space, Combustion and Flame 189 (2018)
- 347–366.