



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Genomic variation, environmental adaptation and feralization in ramie, an ancient fiber crop

Citation for published version:

Wu, Z-Y, Chapman, MA, Liu, J, Milne, RI, Zhao, Y, Luo, Y-H, Zhu, G-F, Cadotte, MW, Luan, M-B, Fan, P-Z, Monroe, AK, Li, Z-P, Corlett, RT & Li, D-Z 2024, 'Genomic variation, environmental adaptation and feralization in ramie, an ancient fiber crop', *Plant Communications*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2024.100942>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.xplc.2024.100942](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2024.100942)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Plant Communications

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Genomic variation, environmental adaptation and feralization in**
2 **ramie, an ancient fiber crop**

3 **Short title: Domestication and feralization of ramie**

4
5 Zeng-Yuan Wu¹, Mark A. Chapman², Jie Liu^{1,3*}, Richard I. Milne⁴, Ying Zhao¹, Ya-
6 Huang Luo³, Guang-Fu Zhu³, Marc W. Cadotte⁵, Ming-Bao Luan^{6*}, Peng-Zhen Fan¹,
7 Alex K. Monro⁷, Zhi-Peng Li³, Richard T. Corlett^{7,8}, De-Zhu Li^{1,3*}

8
9 ¹ Germplasm Bank of Wild Species & Yunnan Key Laboratory of Crop Wild Relatives
10 Omics, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan
11 650201, China

12 ² School of Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ,
13 UK

14 ³ CAS Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming
15 Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650201, China

16 ⁴ Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, University of
17 Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JH, UK

18 ⁵ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto-Scarborough, Toronto,
19 Ontario, Canada

20 ⁶ Institute of Bast Fiber Crops, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changsha,
21 Hunan 410205, China

22 ⁷ Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3AE, UK

23 ⁸ Center for Integrative Conservation, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden,
24 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Yunnan 666303, China

25
26 *Correspondence:

27 dzl@mail.kib.ac.cn

28 liujie@mail.kib.ac.cn

29 luanmingbao@caas.cn

30
31 **Abstract**

32 Feralization is an important evolutionary process, but the mechanisms behind it remain
33 poorly understood. Here, we use the ancient fiber crop, ramie (*Boehmeria nivea* (L.)
34 Gaudich.) as a model to investigate genomic changes associated with both
35 domestication and fertilization. We first produced a chromosome-scale *de novo* genome
36 assembly of feral ramie and investigated structural variations between feral and
37 domesticated ramie genomes. Next, 915 accessions from 20 countries were gathered,
38 comprising cultivars, major landraces, feral populations and wild progenitor. Based on
39 whole genome resequencing of these accessions, the most comprehensive ramie

40 genomic variation map to date was constructed. Phylogenetic, demographic, and
41 admixture signal detection analyses indicate that feral ramie is of exoferal or exo-endo
42 origin, i.e., descended from hybridization between domesticated ramie and wild
43 progenitor or ancient landraces. Feral ramie has greater genetic diversity than wild or
44 domesticated ramie, and genomic regions affected by natural selection during
45 feralization are different from those under selection during domestication. Ecological
46 analyses showed that feral and domesticated ramie have similar ecological niches which
47 are substantially different from the niche of the wild progenitor, and three
48 environmental variables were associated with habitat-specific adaptation in feral ramie.
49 Our findings advance our understanding of feralization, providing a scientific basis for
50 the excavation of new crop germplasm resources and offering novel insights into the
51 evolution of feralization in nature.

52

53 **Teaser**

54 To investigate feralization, we conducted a multidisciplinary investigation of the
55 genomic, morphological and ecological factors underlying this process in ramie, an
56 ancient fiber crop. We elucidated the domestication history of ramie, and revealed the
57 evolutionary mechanisms and ecological basis of feralization and adaptation to wild
58 niches. Feralization was shown to involve different genes from domestication, so it is
59 not a simple reversal of that process. These findings have practical implications for
60 uncovering new crop germplasm resources and offer novel insights into the process of
61 feralization.

62

63 **Introduction**

64 Feralization is the evolutionary process by which domesticated crops or livestock re-
65 acquire some wild-like traits and escape from intensive management to form
66 independent reproducing populations (Wu et al., 2021). Feralization has interested
67 biologists since Darwin (1868), not only because of the implications for evolution but
68 also because feral populations can become invasive and have severe ecological
69 (Ellstrand et al., 2010; Qiu et al., 2017; Wu *et al.*, 2021) or agricultural impacts
70 (Vigueira et al., 2013). On the other hand, feral populations might be significant
71 reservoirs of genetic diversity for crop breeding (Farrant and Hilhorst, 2022; Gutaker et
72 al., 2022; Mabry et al., 2023; Pisiias et al., 2022). A better understanding of feral
73 populations at the genetic level might therefore help to both mitigate their impacts as
74 weeds (Qiu et al., 2020) and evaluate them as potential genetic reservoirs (Li et al.,
75 2017). Three pathways to feralization have been recognized (Ellstrand *et al.*, 2010;
76 Pisiias *et al.*, 2022). Endoferalization involves spontaneous genetic mutations that
77 influence key traits or selection favoring specific standing genetic variation in an
78 ancestral crop population; exo-endoferalization occurs through natural hybridization
79 between cultivated landraces or varieties with divergent genotypes, leading to novel
80 genotypes that escape into the wild; finally, exoferalization occurs by hybridization or

81 introgression between crops and wild relatives (Martin Cerezo et al., 2023; Wu *et al.*,
82 2021). The genetic signatures of these three modes can be difficult to distinguish (Zhang
83 et al., 2020) which may contribute to the observation that, despite increasing attention,
84 the evolutionary mechanisms underlying feralization remain poorly understood (Gering
85 et al., 2019; Mabry et al., 2021a; Wu *et al.*, 2021). Genomic studies have been
86 conducted on grasses, such as weedy rice (Qiu *et al.*, 2017; Wedger et al., 2022), wheat
87 (Guo et al., 2020) and barley (Zeng et al., 2018), but at least 14 feralization events in
88 crops have been suggested (Wu *et al.*, 2021), and only one non-grass crop, *Brassica*
89 *oleracea*, has so far been investigated at the genomic level (Mabry et al., 2021b).

90 Climate change is expected to have a strong impact on crop spread and adaptation
91 (Gutaker and Purugganan, 2024; Zsögön et al., 2022), and the feral environment may
92 differ from the ancestral wild range in many ways. Therefore, feralization should not
93 be seen as simply a reversal of domestication, but rather as an adaptation to a new wild
94 environment that applies novel selection pressures, including under a changing climate.
95 Hence investigation of feralization offers opportunities to understand crop adaptation
96 to a changing environment, and thus inform future crop improvements for climate
97 resilience. However, the basis of adaptation and ecological niche range in plants
98 escaping cultivation have yet to be investigated.

99 Ramie or China grass (*Boehmeria nivea* (L.) Gaudich.), is a subshrub grown for its
100 fibers which are the longest, toughest, and most silky of all known plant fibers, and is
101 an excellent model for studying the evolutionary mechanism of feralization. It was one
102 of the first fiber crops to be domesticated; used since at least 6000 BC in China, where
103 it has long been a symbol of status (Chen, 2007; Liao and Yang, 2016). Today, it is still
104 widely cultivated for textiles and cordage products in tropical and subtropical regions
105 around the world (Sen and Reddy, 2011). However, following the introduction of cotton
106 to China around 1300 AD, many ramie landraces were gradually abandoned by farmers
107 in favor of the new, more easily processed crop, removing the constraints of artificial
108 selection, and permitting feralization. Moreover, the tiny, wind-dispersed seeds of ramie
109 provide ample opportunity for regular escapes from cultivation, and feral populations
110 are now widespread. Feral ramie populations have likely existed in China for centuries
111 or even millennia, but almost nothing is known about their origins and adaptations, or
112 how the plants changed during feralization.

113 Broad sampling of both wild and cultivated material is needed to understand
114 evolution of feralization (Ellstrand *et al.*, 2010). *Boehmeria nivea* is separated into three
115 morphologically distinct varieties: var. *nivea*, only known from cultivated or
116 naturalized populations, var. *tenacissima* and var. *strigosa* (Zhao et al., 2024), which
117 both occur in apparently natural populations. Previous attempts to understand ramie
118 domestication used limited numbers of molecular markers (Liao et al., 2014; Liu et al.,
119 2009) and narrow population sampling, giving an incomplete picture of the location
120 and timing of domestication. To overcome these shortcomings, here we *de novo*
121 assembled a chromosome-scale genome for a feral ramie accession and then analyzed
122 resequencing data of 915 ramie accessions from 23 countries, covering the wild
123 progenitor, feral populations, major landraces and cultivars. We then combined
124 evidence from morphology, ecology, and genomics to determine the pathway leading

125 to the origin of feral ramie and investigate how adaptation occurred in the feral
126 populations.

127 **Results**

128 **Chromosome-level genome of feral ramie and comparative analysis with** 129 **domesticated ramie**

130 Previous studies of feral plants have predominantly focused on the population genomics
131 of SNPs, and the absence of a framework for studying genomic structural variants (SVs)
132 has hampered progress towards a comprehensive understanding of the evolutionary
133 mechanisms underlying feralization. A high-quality feral ramie genome was assembled
134 (Fig. 1A) from a total of 19.74 Gb of PacBio long reads, with approximately 73-fold
135 high-quality sequence coverage. The contig N50 length was 3.42 Mb, the final scaffold
136 N50 was 21.64 Mb, and the final assembled genome size was 294 Mb (Figs. 1A & S1;
137 Tables S1 & S2), considerably smaller than the estimated genome size of ~380 Mb
138 determined by the k-mer method and flow cytometry (Fig. S2). Accurate genome size
139 estimates are notoriously difficult to achieve for highly repetitive and heterozygous
140 diploid genomes (Helmkamp et al., 2019; Pflug et al., 2020): for example, flow
141 cytometry may overestimate size due to effects from different plant compounds that
142 affect binding of the stains (Mgwatyu et al., 2020), whereas higher levels of
143 heterozygosity and repetitive sequences may cause inaccurate estimation when using
144 the k-mer method (Pflug *et al.*, 2020). After genome annotation, we obtained 22,312
145 annotated protein-coding genes, plus 2164 noncoding RNA genes, and determined that
146 more than half (54.85%) of the feral ramie genome was composed of repetitive
147 elements (Table S1). Over 95% of the predicted genes showed homology to genes with
148 known functional annotation in public databases (Table S3) and the BUSCO analysis
149 revealed 1546 out of 1614 (95.8%) complete BUSCOs, 22 (1.4%) of which were
150 duplicated (Table S4). These two results indicate that the newly assembled genome is
151 of high quality and we are confident that our genome is well-assembled.

152 Aligning our new feral and existing cultivated reference genomes revealed high
153 collinearity (Fig. 1B & S3), plus a considerable number of genomic variants between
154 them (Fig. 1 C-D; Table S5). The distribution of variants was not uniform along the
155 chromosomes. Among all classes of structural variants (SVs) examined, Highly
156 Diverged Regions (HDRs) affected the greatest amount of the feral ramie genome (2780
157 events, 30.9 Mb), followed by inversions (INV), copy number variants (CNV),
158 translocations, insertions (INS), SNPs, and deletions (DEL) (Fig. 1C, Table S5).

159 **Genome-wide variation and population structure**

160 We sequenced 915 ramie individuals (Figs. 2A & S4), with an average sequencing depth
161 of 31.4× (Table S6). Reads were mapped to the ramie reference genome, with an
162 average mapping rate of 92.2%. Through variant detection and filtering, we identified
163 8,035,826 high-quality SNPs and 796,139 InDels (Table S7). After filtering (see
164 Methods), 1,260,336 SNPs were retained.

165 Maximum-likelihood (ML) and neighbor-joining (NJ) approaches produced
166 similar topologies (Fig. 2B; Figs. S5 & S6). Considering the habitats of the individual
167 accessions and the results of the admixture analysis (see below), we separated the ramie
168 accessions into three groups, with Group I (all naturally wild accessions) forming a
169 monophyletic clade sister to all other accessions. This clade was comprised of three
170 subclades: the first included all accessions of *B. nivea* var. *strigosa* from southern
171 Yunnan, northern Vietnam, and Thailand; the second included all accessions of *B. nivea*
172 var. *strigosa* from southwest Guangxi; and the third included only two accessions, one
173 each from Guangxi and Jiangxi (Fig. S5). These two accessions were morphologically
174 similar to *Archiboehmeria*, a monotypic genus dubiously distinct from *Boehmeria*
175 (Chen, 1980), so we removed them from the subsequent analyses. Group II comprised
176 accessions genetically more similar to domesticated than wild accessions, but with clear
177 admixture in the genome. This group included the bulk of the feral accessions, including
178 all feral accessions from China, plus nine domesticated accessions. Group III comprised
179 all other domesticated accessions examined, plus eleven feral accessions from around
180 the world. Group II was paraphyletic with respect to Group III (Figs. 2B & S5).

181 Two-dimensional principal component analysis (PCA) based on genomic data
182 clearly separated group I from groups II/III along PC1, with groups II and III largely
183 separated along PC2 (Fig. 2C). These results were concordant with the phylogenetic
184 results and indicate a relatively deep divergence between wild ramie and the others,
185 whereas feral and domesticated ramie grade into one another.

186 In admixture analysis, the cross-validation error decreased continuously as the
187 number of subpopulations, K , increased, with no clear optimal K (up to $K = 10$; Fig.
188 S7). We therefore discuss only the biologically meaningful groupings of the accessions.
189 At $K = 2$, the wild and domesticated accessions formed groupings distinct from one
190 another, and the feral accessions were mostly admixed with domesticated accessions.
191 At $K = 3$, the wild material was clearly distinct, whereas the feral and domesticated
192 accessions formed groups that graded into one another (Fig. 2B).

193 Nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$) differed between the three groups and was greatest for
194 group II (predominantly feral), slightly lower for group III (predominantly
195 domesticated), and lowest for group I (wild) (Fig. 2D). Genetic differentiation (F_{ST})
196 was greatest between the wild and domesticated groups, intermediate between the feral
197 and wild groups, and least between the domesticated and feral groups (Fig. 2D).

198 **Demographic and divergence histories**

199 We used a supervised machine learning algorithm (DIYABC Random Forest) (see
200 Materials and Methods) to test different hypotheses concerning the origin of feral ramie.
201 Whether we consider feral ramie as a whole (Table S8) or treat the two largest
202 monophyletic subclades of feral ramie as discrete populations (Table S9), under the best
203 scenarios, feral ramie is shown to be product of hybridization between wild and
204 domesticated ramie (Figs. 3A-B, S8). We describe the results here entirely based on
205 three groups division (Fig. 3A, Table S10). Groups I and III are estimated to have
206 diverged 8,678 years before present (YBP) (95% quantile: 4181-10,800), indicating the
207 initial stages of ramie domestication. Group II is estimated to have originated as a

208 product of admixture between groups I and III (Fig. 3, Table S10), 5095 YBP (95%
209 quantile: 1677-8967), with a smaller portion of the admixture being from group I (the
210 wild group; 0.24; 95% quantile: 0.03-0.88) than group III (the domesticated group;
211 0.76).

212 To infer the demographic history of the three genetic groups and trace potential
213 historical fluctuations in population size, we used two analyses (MSMC2 and SMC++)
214 to examine this over a longer timescale. Both produced similar results (Figs. 3C & S9a),
215 so only those for MSMC2 are described here. The ancestors of the three ramie groups
216 experienced similar, continual increases of effective population size (N_e) until 48 ka
217 (thousand years before present) (Fig. 3C). For group I (wild ramie), N_e continued to
218 decline from 48 ka to 16 ka, but expanded to a peak at around 5.5 ka, which was
219 followed by a precipitous decline to ca. 4 ka. Group III (the domesticated ramie lineage)
220 experienced a continual reduction of N_e starting 48 ka until its lowest point ca. 4.2 ka
221 to 3 ka, which likely corresponds to an associated severe domestication bottleneck.
222 Group II (primarily feral accessions) resembles the wild lineage in having a bottleneck
223 ca. 13 ka to 9 ka, in this case N_e then increased considerably at 2.8 ka before a slight
224 reduction at ~1.2 ka (Fig. 3C).

225 We further used 'GONE' to examine very recent demographic history and obtained
226 very different demographic trajectories for group III (domesticated) and II (feral)
227 lineages, but a relatively stable trend for group I (wild) populations (Fig. S9B). The
228 population sizes of the domesticated and feral lineages started to decline ~150
229 generations ago, with the feral lineage exhibiting a very gradual decline, whereas for
230 the domesticated lineage this was sharp and in two steps. This is probably related to the
231 continuous reduction of ramie cultivation over this period, especially in China.

232 **Admixture signal detection**

233 Admixture signal detection analysis for each ramie group detected a strong signal
234 of admixture in group II (feral) arising from both groups I and III (i.e., wild and
235 domesticated). Signals of admixture were not recorded for any other combination of
236 populations (Table S11).

237 To assess the ancestry of feral ramie compared to the ancestral populations
238 (domesticated and wild ramie), we identified SNPs that were present in one or more
239 accessions of domesticated ramie but not detected in wild ramie (crop-specific private
240 SNPs) or vice versa (wild-specific private SNPs). Among all feral SNPs that matched
241 one of these categories, 90.7% were shared with domesticated material, compared to
242 9.3% with the wild accessions. This pattern was evident across all 14 chromosomes
243 (Fig. 3D; Table S12), apart from one genome region that had more wild than
244 domesticated SNPs. Thus, both the DIYABC analysis and the admixture analysis
245 support that the feral group was derived through admixture and is genetically more
246 similar to the domesticated group.

247 **Selection associated with domestication and feralization**

248 Signatures of selection were detected in 728 and 605 putative regions within feral and

249 domesticated ramie, respectively (Fig. 3E & 3F; Tables S13 & S14). We further
250 performed GO and KEGG enrichment analysis for the genes in these putative regions.
251 In feral ramie, GO enrichment analysis showed 72 enriched terms, including terms
252 related to metabolic processes, cellular processes, and binding (Table S15), whereas
253 KEGG enrichment analysis identified 17 terms (Table S16). Most of these items have
254 relationships with stress tolerance. For example, ABC transporters (ko02010) is related
255 to resistance to heavy metal pollution (Wang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2020). In
256 domesticated ramie, GO enrichment analysis showed 97 enriched terms (Table S17),
257 and 12 significant terms were found in KEGG enrichment analysis (Table S18), most
258 of these terms also related to stress resistance, for example genes involved in Vitamin
259 B6 metabolism (ko00750) may be associated with shade tolerance (Jiang et al., 2023),
260 whereas Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis (ko00402) could be related to cold tolerance in
261 wheat (Li et al., 2023). Regions affected by natural selection during feralization are
262 different from those under selection during domestication (Fig. 3E & 3F), and hence
263 that feralization is not a simple reversal of domestication.

264 **Niche differentiation among wild, feral and domesticated ramies**

265 We used several ecological analyses to reveal differences in the niche of each group
266 and to identify candidate ecological factors associated with habitat-specific adaptation
267 during feralization. Empirically observed values for Hellinger's I and Schoener's D
268 were significantly lower than those expected from pseudoreplicated datasets in paired
269 analyses between Groups I and Group II (wild and feral), and between Groups I and III
270 (wild and domesticated) (Fig. 4 A-B), indicating niche differentiation between these
271 pairs. However, observed values for I and D were close to 1 between Groups II and III
272 (feral and domesticated), indicating only slight differentiation (Fig. 4C). Niche overlap
273 between the Groups II and III was greatest ($D = 0.63$), with niches shared between
274 groups accounting for 86.8%, while overlap between Groups I and III was the lowest
275 ($D = 0.38$), with shared niches accounting for only 37% (Fig. 4 D-F; Table S19). In the
276 PCA analysis, the first two axes explained 43.16% (PCA1: 24.44%; PCA2: 18.72%) of
277 the variation in environmental variables. PCA1 was positively correlated with soil
278 properties (including total nitrogen and organic carbon stocks) and topographic
279 variables (including slope), while PCA2 was correlated with precipitation variables
280 including the precipitation of driest month (bio14) and warmest quarter (bio18), and
281 precipitation seasonality (bio15) (Fig. 4G). ANOVA showed that Group II differed
282 significantly from Groups I and III in PCA1 and the mean value of PCA2 in Group I
283 was significantly larger than for Group II or III (Table S20). All 12 environmental
284 variables investigated had a statistically significant phylogenetic signal (Table S21),
285 with K values less than 1, indicating that closely related populations are more likely to
286 share niches than populations drawn at random.

287 To identify loci associated with local ecological adaptation in feral ramie, we
288 carried out genome-environment association (GEA) analysis (Grummer et al., 2019;
289 Manel et al., 2018). The result identified 8 regions (at $-\log_{10}(p) > 7.83$) significantly
290 associated with 3 of the 12 environmental variables in feral ramie, i.e., mean
291 temperature of wettest quarter (bio16), precipitation of driest month (bio14), and total

292 nitrogen (tn) (Figs. 5A & S10; Table S22). In total, 13 genes were recognized, and the
293 largest number were related to temperature (bio8) (Table S22), e.g., Bnt01G001074 on
294 chromosome 1 was involved in blue light signaling pathway (GO:0009785) and
295 circadian rhythm of plant (KEGG: ko04712), which is proposed to be associated with
296 temperature adaptation (Ben Michael et al., 2020). Other examples include
297 Bnt12G017285 on chromosome 12 with transmembrane transporter activity (GO:
298 GO:0022857), which is thought to be related to drought stress tolerance in maize (Jiao
299 et al., 2022) and Bnt04G005975 with ATP binding activity (GO:0005524), which is
300 involved in low nitrogen (Borah et al., 2018).

301 **Potential geographic distribution and ecological drivers of feral ramie**

302 To predict changes in the areas potentially suitable for feral ramie under past and future
303 climate change, we carried out ecological niche modeling (ENM). Results showed both
304 wild and feral ramies had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve
305 (AUC) value of ≥ 0.9 (Table S23), indicating a better than random prediction. The
306 suitable area was greatly influenced by climate change (Fig. 5 B-E). The potential
307 suitable area for wild ramie was greater in the Last Interglacial (LIG) than the Last
308 Glacial Maximum (LGM) and the present, and is predicted to increase in the future
309 (2090). The area suitable for feral ramie is predicted to remain stable to 2090 (Fig. 5 F-
310 G).

311

312 **Discussion**

313 All samples of *B. nivea* var. *strigosa* formed a well-supported, monophyletic group,
314 clearly distinct from both the feral and domesticated accessions. This strongly suggests
315 that var. *strigosa* is either the direct progenitor of domesticated ramie, or at least a close
316 relative of the wild progenitor if that is now extinct. *B. nivea* var. *strigosa* is distributed
317 in southern Yunnan, southwest Guangxi, and the Indo-Chinese Peninsula. These are all
318 places where ramie is cultivated, so it seems likely that it was domesticated within this
319 native range, although we were unable to sample all reported wild populations, and
320 some may have become extinct during the agricultural expansion over the last few
321 millennia (He et al., 2023; Xie et al., 2021). This might explain why wild ramie has
322 lower genetic diversity than the other two groups. Our data shows that wild ramie is
323 genetically distinct from feral and domestic ramies, and therefore is likely to possess
324 novel genetic diversity that could be useful in future breeding.

325 Feral and domesticated ramie together form a monophyletic group (Groups II+III)
326 (Figs. 2B & S5). Most feral accessions fall into Group II and comprise a phylogenetic
327 grade, with most cultivated accessions forming a single derived lineage. Accessions
328 identified morphologically as *B. nivea* var. *nivea* exist among both cultivated and
329 naturalized feral material (Fig. S5). *B. nivea* var. *tenacissima* has previously been
330 suggested as the original wild form of ramie (Chen et al., 2003), but our results indicate
331 that individuals with this morphology are feral and derived from, and not the ancestor
332 of, domesticated ramie (Fig. S5).

333 If we assume that feral populations generally occur close to where they originated,
334 then this allows us to infer the origin and subsequent routes of spread for cultivated
335 ramie across the world. Following this, it appears that basal populations in Group II
336 (Fig. S5), which are mainly from Jiangxi, Guangdong, and Guangxi provinces, and
337 form a subgroup at K=5 (Figs. 2B & S7), may represent the earliest ramie feralization
338 events. This suggests that these are the first places where ramie was cultivated, and the
339 likely region of its domestication, largely consistent with a previous study, based on
340 nuclear SSR marker analysis, suggesting that ramie domestication began in the Yangtze
341 River Valley of China (Liao *et al.*, 2014). Southern China is an important hotspot of
342 domestication for several crop species, including rice, apricot, and peach (Groppi *et al.*,
343 2021; Larson *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2019), and our results further highlight the
344 importance of this region for crop domestication. Starting from Jiangxi, the putative
345 cradle of domestication, ramie cultivars followed a predominantly westward pattern of
346 dispersal within China to Hunan (which contains the basal individuals within the mainly
347 cultivated Group III), and from there across the rest of China, especially along the
348 Yangtze River Valley (e.g., Chongqing, Zhejiang) and Fujian in southeastern China (Fig.
349 S5).

350 All feral accessions from Japan and Korea were grouped with feral populations
351 from Zhejiang, Anhui and northern Jiangxi (Fig. S5), suggesting that these locations
352 were the source of the Japanese and Korean accessions, likely driven by human
353 migration and maritime trade. This disjunctive grouping contains no domesticated
354 material, suggesting that these individuals might be all that remains of lineages no
355 longer in cultivation. In Japan and the Philippines, concentrated efforts were made to
356 produce ramie during the Second World War so there was probably a large amount of
357 recent trade between these regions around that time (Roy and Lutfar, 2012). Taiwanese
358 indigenous people have used ramie fiber for thousands of years until the period of
359 Japanese colonial rule (1895–1945), when the availability of other types of clothing
360 caused ramie cultivation there to gradually peter out (Taru and Watan, 2020).
361 Considering the sister grouping of an accession from the Philippines (W531) with ones
362 from Taiwan (Fig. S5), ramie material now in Taiwan most probably originated from
363 the Philippines. African ramie accessions were closely related to Chinese cultivated
364 material, and two accessions from the USA (B344 and W160) were nested among the
365 cultivated individuals of Guangdong and Jiangxi. Despite the fiber's use for a wide
366 variety of products, it was little known in North American markets or widely traded
367 until the 1980s (Hester and Yuen, 1989), but our data indicate at least two introductions
368 of Chinese material into the USA.

369 Feral organisms usually revert to the wild-like morphology of their ancestors, and
370 such restoration of ancestral phenotypes can involve novel genetic mechanisms
371 (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2023; Thurber *et al.*, 2010). Feral ramie contains accessions referable
372 to both var. *tenacissima* and var. *nivea*, but the var. *tenacissima* accessions are closer to
373 the base of the phylogeny (Fig. S5). *B. nivea* var. *tenacissima* shares with var. *strigosa*
374 a branched stem, a partly connate stipule, and mostly green abaxial surfaces of the leaf
375 blades (Fig. 6), so these characteristics in var. *tenacissima* might be atavistic and/or due
376 to crossing with var. *strigosa*. Other features, for example an assurgent or appressed

377 strigose stem, differentiate var. *tenacissima* from var. *strigosa*. Most accessions in
378 Group II identified as var. *nivea* are more similar to the domesticated accessions and
379 appear to contain a smaller proportion of the wild genome.

380 Nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$) was greater in feral than domesticated ramie, whereas, in
381 contrast, some feral populations of both corn and rice were found to have lower genetic
382 diversity than crop populations (Qiu *et al.*, 2017; Vigueira *et al.*, 2013). Our observation
383 is best explained by feral ramie populations expanding their gene pools via
384 hybridization from wild material and/or landraces. Admixture signal detection showed
385 a strong signal for admixture of wild and domesticated populations, and DIYABC
386 Random Forest analysis showed that hybridization between wild and domesticated
387 ramie gave rise to feral ramie (Fig. 3).

388 However, there is little overlap between the geographical ranges of var. *strigosa*
389 and feral material (Fig. S4), indicating that gene flow from the former into the latter is
390 unlikely. One possible explanation for the observed admixture is that var. *strigosa* was
391 previously more widespread. Given the geographical and climatic differences between
392 the ranges of the varieties (Fig. 4), this seems unlikely. Alternatively, gene flow may
393 have come from now extinct (or undetected) landraces, derived independently from var.
394 *strigosa* and closer to it genetically than existing var. *nivea*.

395 Together, our findings support the idea that feral ramie resulted from hybridization
396 between domesticated ramie and wild progenitor or landrace material, probably
397 growing in close proximity on the edges of farms, so feral ramie is most probably of
398 exoferal or exo-endoferal origin (Wu *et al.*, 2021). Crucially, feral ramie may contain
399 genetic diversity which may be of use in ramie breeding going forward.

400 Demographic analysis reveals that the ancestors of wild, feral, and domesticated
401 ramie lineages all exhibited a parallel reduction in N_e from 48–16 ka, with the recent
402 end roughly coinciding with the LGM (19-26.5 ka) (Clark *et al.*, 2009). The prolonged
403 decrease in N_e of domesticated material may have resulted from a protracted period of
404 low-intensity cultivation and/or management before full domestication 4.2 ka, similar
405 to the situation in grapes (Li *et al.*, 2017) and African rice (Meyer *et al.*, 2016), and
406 some archaeological evidence suggests that humans had already used fibers from ramie
407 at least 30,000 years ago (Kvavadze *et al.*, 2009). More recent changes in the population
408 dynamics of the ancestors of domesticated ramies might, in turn, have been linked to
409 human expansion as the Holocene (11.7 ka) began. The timing of a recent bottleneck in
410 wild ramie, from 4 ka onwards, is consistent with anthropogenic destruction of its
411 habitat (Xiao *et al.*, 2018; Xie *et al.*, 2021). The dramatic reduction in N_e for
412 domesticated ramie ~4.2 ka to 3 ka likely represents a domestication bottleneck.

413 Crop domestication was realized through niche construction (Purugganan, 2022),
414 but little is known about niche change and ecological adaptation of feral plants after
415 they return to the natural environment (Gering *et al.*, 2019). All niche differentiation
416 analyses (Fig. 4) indicated that the niche of feral ramie is substantially different from
417 that of wild ramie, but similar to that of domesticated ramie. Temperature and
418 precipitation-related variables and total nitrogen in the soil were identified as candidate
419 ecological factors associated with habitat-specific adaptation in feral ramie.

420 Investigations identifying loci involved in domestication and their significance for

421 feralization have been carried out in many animal taxa, but this is limited in plants.
422 Genome scans have become routine and offer potential to investigate adaptive variation
423 (Grummer *et al.*, 2019). We found the feral and domesticated genomes to be largely
424 collinear. Small SVs were mostly located in intergenic regions or introns. Further work
425 could identify whether any of these SVs demonstrated fixed differences between wild,
426 feral and/or domesticated populations. Selective sweeps analysis revealed that the
427 genomic regions targeted by the domestication and the feralization processes were
428 largely non-overlapping, suggesting that feralization is determined by novel genetic
429 mechanisms, distinct from those involved in domestication.

430 In short, in this study, the largest genomic resource for ramie to date has been
431 generated and explored, unveiling the domestication and feralization history, and the
432 genetic basis of environmental adaptation for feral ramie. Our results not only support
433 that feral ramie can be a source material for improving current domesticates and even
434 *de novo* domestication (Yu and Li, 2022), but also provide many important scientific
435 insights into the feralization process. However, feralization is a complex biological
436 process, so more work is needed that examines the molecular genetic basis of fitness-
437 related phenotypes in feral settings, and the universality of the evolutionary
438 mechanisms during feralization needs to be examined in more plants.

439

440 **Materials and Methods**

441 **Sample collection**

442 A total of 915 ramie accessions were sampled from 23 ramie-producing countries across
443 Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Americas. China, where the cultivation history is most
444 ancient, was extensively sampled from all 19 provinces or autonomous regions where
445 ramie is currently cultivated. Our sampling covered all major ramie production areas
446 and the full spectrum of wild, feral, and domesticated (including landrace and cultivar)
447 material, so all three varieties of *B. nivea* (vars. *nivea*, *tenacissima*, and *strigosa*) were
448 comprehensively sampled (Figs. 2A & S4). Among sampled material, the term ‘wild’
449 is used exclusively to refer to wild progenitor that appears to have no history of
450 domestication, and the term ‘feral’ to refer to plants that have escaped cultivation and
451 evolved independently, typically adapting to their local environments (Ellstrand *et al.*,
452 2010; Pisiás *et al.*, 2022). Because feralization can occur at both landrace and cultivar
453 stages (Wu *et al.*, 2021), all ramie referable to var. *tenacissima* or var. *nivea* growing in
454 the wild without human control are considered as feral in our study. Moreover, the term
455 “landrace” encompasses a range of different concepts that have varied over time
456 (Casañas *et al.*, 2017); our study followed the landrace definition of Villa *et al.* (2005),
457 Zeven (1998), and Dwivedi *et al.* (2016), i.e., as a dynamic population of a cultivated
458 species that has a historic origin and distinct identity and lacks formal crop
459 improvement, as well as often being genetically diverse, locally adapted and associated
460 with traditional farming systems or a low input agriculture system. Because most
461 farmers in China have given up growing ramie (see Introduction), there is hardly any
462 domesticated ramie in the farms, samples of all cultivars and most landraces were

463 acquired from National Infrastructure for Bast Fiber Crop Germplasm Resources of
464 China (Table S6).

465 No feral ramie genome has yet been reported to date, although three whole
466 genomes of cultivated ramie have been reported (Chen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2021).
467 In this study, we collected for this purpose fresh material from a feral adult (lab No. is
468 HZS10, Table S6) in Shennong Valley National Forest Park, Hunan Province, China (N
469 26.503°, E 114.001°). Living collections and seeds of this individual are preserved in
470 the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany, CAS.

471 **Genomic DNA extraction and sequencing**

472 Genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of feral ramie HZS10 using a modified
473 CTAB method. The quality of the extracted DNA was examined using a NanoDrop
474 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA), and its
475 quantity determined by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel. Illumina sequencing
476 libraries were generated using the VAHTS Universal DNA Library Prep Kit for MGI
477 (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) following the manufacturer's recommendations, and index
478 codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. The library was quantified
479 using a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and
480 Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Finally, the MGI-SEQ 2000
481 platform was used to generate paired-end sequencing data, which generated a total of
482 12.7 Gb. To construct sequencing libraries for PacBio sequencing, genomic DNA was
483 fragmented into ~15 kb fragments by g-TUBE, then end-repaired, with adapters ligated
484 and digested with exonuclease as recommended by Pacific Biosciences. The SMRTbell
485 library was constructed using the SMRTbell Express Template Prep kit 2.0 (Pacific
486 Biosciences). Library size and quantity were assessed using the FEMTO Pulse and the
487 Qubit dsDNA HS reagents Assay kit, and DNA libraries were sequenced on the PacBio
488 Sequel II platform (Pacific Biosciences), generating a total of 19.74 Gb of PacBio long
489 read data. A Hi-C library was constructed and sequenced on an MGI-SEQ 2000
490 platform for chromosome-level scaffolding, generating a total of 156.73 million paired-
491 end reads and 46.33 Gb of sequencing data.

492 To aid genome annotation, we generated RNA-seq data for four different tissues,
493 i.e., root, stem, leaf, and flowers from the same individual. All fresh tissues were frozen
494 in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C before processing. Paired-end RNA libraries
495 were constructed using the VAHTS Universal V6 RNA-seq Library Kit for MGI
496 (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) following the manufacturer's recommendations, and index
497 codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. The quantification and size of
498 libraries were measured using Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA,
499 USA) and Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Sequencing was
500 performed on an MGI-SEQ 2000 platform.

501 **Genome *de novo* assembly and annotation**

502 To estimate the genome size of individual HZS10, the Illumina short raw reads were
503 pre-processed to remove the adaptors and low-quality bases using SOAPnuke (Chen et

504 al., 2018b) with default settings, and the clean data were recruited to determine the k-
505 mer distributions using the GCE software (Liu et al., 2013). Genome size was also
506 estimated by flow cytometry using tomato as an internal standard. The PacBio long-
507 read data were *de novo* assembled into contigs using Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021). The
508 12.7 Gb (~47× coverage) of Illumina pair-end short reads were used to further correct
509 systematic errors in the PacBio contigs using Pilon (Walker et al., 2014). Subsequently,
510 to anchor the corrected contigs into chromosomes, we aligned the Hi-C sequencing data
511 into these contigs using Juicer (Durand et al., 2016) and the contigs were finally linked
512 into 14 chromosomes by 3D-DNA (Dudchenko et al., 2017). The completeness and
513 accuracy of genome assembly were quantitatively assessed using BUSCO (Simão et al.,
514 2015) and the eudicotyledons_odb10 gene set.

515 For annotation of repetitive sequences, two methods were employed to identify
516 repeats in the feral ramie genome. First, we used homology-based analysis, in which
517 known TEs were identified using RepeatMasker (version 4.0.9) (Chen, 2004), and the
518 results were compiled into the Repbase TE library (Jurka et al., 2005).
519 RepeatProteinMask searches were also conducted using the TE protein database as a
520 query library. Second, we used *de novo* prediction, i.e., a *de novo* repeat library of the
521 feral ramie genome was constructed using RepeatModeler, which can automatically
522 execute two core *de novo* repeat-finding programs, namely RECON (version 1.08) (Bao
523 and Eddy, 2002) and RepeatScout (version 1.0.5) (Price et al., 2005). Furthermore, we
524 performed a *de novo* search for long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons using
525 LTR_FINDER (version 1.0.7) (Xu and Wang, 2007) and identified tandem repeats
526 using the Tandem Repeat Finder (TRF) package (Benson, 1999). Finally, we merged
527 the library files of the two methods and used Repeatmaker (Chen, 2004) to identify all
528 repeats.

529 Protein-coding genes were predicted by three methods, which were *ab initio*,
530 homology-based and RNA-Seq-aided gene prediction. For *ab initio* prediction, we used
531 the gene predictor softwares Augustus (version 3.3.1) (Stanke et al., 2006) and
532 Genescan (Burge and Karlin, 1997). Models used for each gene predictor were trained
533 from a set of high-quality proteins generated from the RNA-Seq dataset. Homology-
534 based gene prediction was conducted using Exonerate (version 2.2.0) with default
535 parameters (Slater and Birney, 2005). For RNA-Seq-aided gene prediction, we first
536 removed low quality reads and bases using SOAPnuke (Chen *et al.*, 2018b), and then
537 assembled clean RNA-Seq reads into transcripts using Trinity (Grabherr et al., 2011),
538 following which gene structure was defined using PASA (Haas et al., 2003). Finally,
539 Maker (version 3.0) (Cantarel et al., 2008) was used to integrate the results of all three
540 methods. The output included a set of consistent and non-overlapping sequence
541 assemblies, which were used to describe the gene structures.

542 For the annotation of non-coding RNAs (rRNA, small nuclear RNA, and
543 microRNAs), we used RNAmmer (version 1.2) (Lagesen et al., 2007) and Infernal
544 (version 1.1.2) (Nawrocki and Eddy, 2013) by searching the Rfam database (version
545 14.1) (Kalvari et al., 2018) with default parameters. We used tRNAscan-SE (version
546 1.3.1) (Lowe and Eddy, 1997) with default parameters to identify the genes associated
547 with tRNA.

548 For functional annotation of protein-coding genes, BLASTP was used to align the
549 feral ramie protein sequences with those on public databases including NCBI, NR,
550 TrEMBL, InterPro, Swiss-Prot, and KEGG database, with an E-value threshold of 1E-
551 5. Motifs, and domains were annotated using PfamScan (Mistry et al., 2007) and
552 InterProScan (Jones et al., 2014). Motifs and domains within gene models were
553 identified by PFAM databases. GO IDs for each gene were obtained from Blast2GO
554 (Conesa and Götze, 2008).

555 **Synteny analysis and comparative genomics**

556 To determine the pairwise similarity of protein sequences between feral and domestic
557 ramie genomes (Wang *et al.*, 2021), gene synteny analysis was performed using the
558 JCVI package (Tang et al., 2015).

559 To identify structural variants (SVs) between the feral and domesticated assemblies,
560 comparative genomics analysis was performed. The contigs of the feral *de novo*
561 assembly were ordered along a chromosome-level reference genome of cultivated
562 ramie (Zhongsizhu 1) (Wang *et al.*, 2021) using Minimap2 (Li, 2018) with parameter
563 setting “-ax asm20 -eqx”. SyRI (Goel et al., 2019) (-k -F S) was used to identify
564 structural rearrangements and local variants between two genomes. All these variants
565 were annotated using the SnpEff program (Cingolani et al., 2012) with parameter -ud
566 2000, and a dot plot was drawn using the software plotsr (Goel and Schneeberger, 2022)
567 with parameters -m 20000 -x -q 500000 -s -t.

568 **Variant calling and filtering**

569 Genome resequencing was carried out for 915 ramie accessions (Table S6) and an
570 outgroup using the same methods as above, but using the Illumina NovoSeq platform.
571 Raw data were subjected to a quality check and then filtered by fastp (version 0.20.0)
572 (Chen et al., 2018a). Clean paired-end reads of each accession were then mapped to the
573 latest reference genome of domesticated ramie (Qingyehuma) (Wang *et al.*, 2021)
574 using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (Li and Durbin, 2010) with default parameters.
575 After alignment, Picard (version 2.18.17, <http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>) was
576 employed to mark duplicate reads, and SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) was employed to
577 convert alignment format.

578 To analyze population genetics, we focused on SNPs and small indels (1–10 bp).
579 GATK (version 3.8.1) (McKenna et al., 2010) was used for calling and filtering whole-
580 genome variants (SNPs and InDels). SNPs were filtered with the following parameters:
581 QD<2.0, MQ<40.0, FS>60.0, SOR>3.0, MQRank-Sum<- 12.5, ReadPosRankSum<-
582 8.0, and indels filtered with the parameters QD<2.0, FS>200.0, MQ<40.0, SOR>10.0,
583 ReadPosRankSum<- 20.0. From this we defined a core SNP set by removing SNPs
584 with more than two alleles and >20% missing calls. Heterozygous sites were also
585 filtered to retain SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) greater than 1%. All variants
586 were annotated using Annovar (Wang et al., 2010).

587 **Population structure and phylogenetic analyses**

588 Before inferring the population structure, PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007) was used to filter
589 out SNPs that were in linkage disequilibrium with the parameters *indep-pairwise 50 5*
590 *0.5*. In total we retained 1,260,336 SNPs, and then ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al.,
591 2009) was employed to infer the optimum number of clusters (K) among all ramie
592 accessions. K values from two to ten were examined, and the cross-validation error was
593 calculated to identify the most likely number of clusters. A principal component
594 analysis (PCA) was performed using EIGENSOFT (Price et al., 2006). To infer
595 relationships among accessions, two kinds of rooted phylogenetic trees were
596 reconstructed. First, using the same 1,260,336 SNPs, a NJ phylogenetic tree was
597 obtained by calculating the pairwise genetic distances using PLINK (Purcell *et al.*,
598 2007), and the tree was constructed using PHYLIP (Retief, 2000). Second, an ML tree
599 was constructed based on fourfold-degenerate sites in the 915 ramie accessions. SNPs
600 were extracted and compared to the 7,460,735 fourfold degenerate sites identified in
601 the ramie genome using iTools (20180520) (Dinov et al., 2008). SNPs from each
602 individual were merged into one file using mafft (version 7.407) (Kato and Standley,
603 2013) followed by trimming low quality regions with trimAl (version 1.4.rev22)
604 (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009). The 120,201 SNPs were then used to construct
605 a rooted maximum likelihood tree using IQ-TREE (version 1.6.12) (Nguyen et al.,
606 2015) with the parameters *-alrt 1000-bb 1000* (ultrafast bootstrap). *Girardinia*
607 *diversifolia* (sample ID is W1000) was used as outgroup.

608 Based on population structure and each individual's habitat (see results), we
609 defined three groups of individuals. Group I included only wild individuals and was
610 distinct from all feral and domesticated material. Group II comprised all but 11 feral
611 individuals plus nine domesticated accessions; this group was genetically similar to
612 domesticated material, but with apparently admixed genomic composition. Group III
613 comprised the vast majority of cultivated landraces and modern cultivar accessions
614 from the National Infrastructure for Bast Fiber Crop Germplasm Resources of China,
615 plus eleven feral individuals from around the world. Overall, our dataset comprised 552
616 group III accessions (primarily domesticated), 286 group II accessions (primarily feral)
617 and 77 group I accessions (all wild).

618

619 **Diversity statistics estimation, population demography, and inference of selective** 620 **sweeps**

621 To more accurately estimate diversity and divergence statistics and demography, we
622 assigned an individual to a cluster if it had an estimated posterior probability > 0.80
623 to that cluster at $K = 3$. This resulted in a 'non-admixed' dataset which included 522
624 accessions (51, 144 and 327 individuals, respectively, from Group I, Group II and
625 Group III; Table S24).

626 Nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$) and a measure of genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) were
627 calculated for each of the three groups using VCFtools (version 0.1.17) (Danecek et al.,
628 2011). In demographical analyses, we first used MSMC2 (Schiffels and Wang, 2020),

629 which has advantages in estimating recent histories (Liu and Fu, 2020), with default
630 parameters. We selected four individuals from each of the three groups that had the
631 highest mean depth (all $>20\times$) and ancestral component (based on admixture results)
632 to ensure the quality of consensus sequences, and then used SHAPEIT4 (Delaneau et
633 al., 2019) to phase each chromosome. MSMC-tools ([https://github.com/stschiff/msmc-](https://github.com/stschiff/msmc-tools)
634 [tools](https://github.com/stschiff/msmc-tools)) were used to generate the input files for MSMC2 for each chromosome. Average
635 generation time was set to one year and the mutation rate was assumed as $\mu = 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$
636 mutations \times bp⁻¹ \times generation⁻¹ (Koch et al., 2000). Next, demographic history was
637 also inferred with SMC++ (version v1.15) (Terhorst et al., 2017), which analyzes
638 multiple genotypes without phasing. Finally, we estimated N_e in the recent past using
639 *GONE* (Santiago et al., 2020), which is found to be accurate up for at least recent 200
640 generations.

641 To test alternative evolutionary scenarios for the origin of feral ramie, and their
642 relationship to wild and domesticated ramiés, we employed Approximate Bayesian
643 Computation and supervised machine learning methods implemented in DIYABC-RF
644 v1.0 (Collin et al., 2021). For Group II, one analysis treated it as a whole, and in another
645 we defined as separate groups the two largest monophyletic groups of individuals
646 (subclades 2A & 2B in Fig. S5). To generate the input file, using the unlinked SNP
647 dataset, we filtered out sites that were missing from more than half of the individuals,
648 and sites that were monomorphic across populations, leaving 1,268,798 and 1,172,407
649 SNPs for six models (Fig. S8a) and eight models (Fig. S8b), respectively. For all
650 scenarios, training sets were generated using 4,000 simulations per model, and 50
651 default summary statistics were calculated for observed and simulated data to train the
652 model. Prior values were drawn from uniform distributions (Table S10). Following the
653 recommendations in the manual, and the RF algorithm for model choice based on linear
654 discriminant analysis, we used five noise variables and generated 2,000 Random Forest
655 trees per model to select the most likely scenario of each set.

656 To identify potential selective sweeps associated with domestication and
657 feralization, based on non-admixed individuals, selective sweeps across the ramie
658 genome in the feral group (Group II) and in the domesticated group (Group III) were
659 identified using SweeD (version 4.0.0) (Pavlidis et al., 2013). Genome-wide SNPs were
660 trimmed with parameter setting “-maf 0.05, -missing 0.1”, and the empirical estimate
661 of the effective population size derived from the MSMC2 analysis described above was
662 incorporated. Composite likelihood ratios (CLR) were calculated in windows with
663 average size 10-kb across the genome by setting grid numbers according to
664 chromosome lengths (number of grid = chromosome length/10000). Those with the top
665 5% highest CLR values were identified as potential selective sweeps, and sweeps with
666 physical distance no larger than 100 bp were merged. Candidate genes within these
667 genomic regions and their biological functions were retrieved according to annotations
668 from functional databases KEGG and GO, and statistical enrichment of terms was
669 determined.

670

671 **Admixture detection and genomic composition of feral ramie**

672 To recover the admixture history in the formation of the *B. nivea* complex, we
673 employed the qp3Pop program in ADMIXTOOLS (Patterson et al., 2012) with default
674 parameters.

675 To assess the genomic composition of feral ramie in comparison with domesticated
676 and wild ramies, we identified a subset of SNPs that were present in one or more
677 accessions of domesticated material but not detected in wild material, which we termed
678 ‘crop-specific private SNPs’. Likewise, those detected in wild but not domestic material
679 formed the subset termed ‘wild-specific private SNPs’ (Li *et al.*, 2017). We estimated
680 the numbers of wild-specific and domestic-specific private SNPs in each 100-kb
681 window across feral genomes and visualized this by plotting the log value of the ratio
682 between crop- and wild-specific private SNPs using the ggplot2 R package (Wickham,
683 2016). A negative value indicates that there are more wild-specific than domestic-
684 specific private SNPs within the genomic window.

685 **Ecological analyses**

686 We used several ecological analyses to reveal differences in the niche of each group,
687 and to identify candidate ecological factors associated with habitat-specific adaptation
688 during feralization. Most of the samples collected in China were obtained through our
689 own fieldwork and have accurate GPS information, but samples from outside China are
690 mainly collected from herbarium specimens, so here we only used samples collected in
691 China. Using R package spThin (Aiello-Lammens et al., 2015), we only kept records
692 of the same groups that were separated from each other by ≥ 5 km, and so the final
693 dataset consisted of 367 unique sample locations. We obtained 26 environmental
694 variables from the WorldClim (Fick and Hijmans, 2017), WoSIS (Batjes et al., 2020),
695 GCAM-Demeter (Chen et al., 2020), and Human-Footprint (Venter et al., 2016), which
696 together included bioclimatic, topographical, pedologic and anthropogenic variables
697 (Table S25). To reduce collinearity among environmental variables, using the R
698 package usdm (Naimi et al., 2014), we kept only those variables with $VIF < 5$, which
699 resulted in 12 environmental variables being retained (Table S25).

700 Using these data, three kinds of analysis were employed to study niche
701 differentiation among the three groups: 1) using R package ENMTools version 1.0.4
702 (Warren et al., 2021), we carried out niche identity tests among the three groups, niche
703 equivalency was quantified by Schoener's *D* and Hellinger's *I*, where a value of 0
704 suggest no overlap and 1 means complete overlap; 2) to quantify degree of niche
705 overlap among the groups, we used the R package ecospat (Di Cola et al., 2017); and
706 3) we performed a PCA analysis, and then tested for significant differences between
707 these three groups using ANOVA.

708 In addition, genome-environment association analyses were performed with PCA
709 controlled as fixed effects using EMMA (Zhou and Stephens, 2012), taking
710 environmental data as phenotypes (Table S26), and employing a linear mixed model.
711 Manhattan plots were visualized using the ggplot2 R package (Wickham, 2016), and

712 the p value threshold for significance was estimated as $0.05/n$ (where n corresponds to
713 the number of SNPs).

714 Furthermore, using the 12 variables, we predicted the potential geographic
715 distributions for wild and feral ramie under past and future climate change. For wild
716 ramie, we studied the potential distribution during the LIG, LGM, the present and the
717 future. For feral ramie, ENM was only carried out for the present and future. For the
718 future, we took the year 2090 under the pessimistic RCP8.5 scenario (IPCC, 2013). For
719 each sample location, ENM was conducted using the ‘biomod2’ R package (Thuiller et
720 al., 2009), in which we used an ensemble of six models (GBM, CTA, FDA, MARS, RF
721 and MAXNET), with 10 bootstrap replicates, employing 75% of the localities to train
722 the model, and applying the ‘equal training sensitivity and specificity threshold’ rule
723 (Liu et al., 2005) to define the minimum threshold of suitable habitat. We assessed the
724 quality of the predictions using the area under the receiver operator curve (AUC).

725 Finally, to estimate the phylogenetic conservatism of each climate variable, we
726 quantified the phylogenetic signal using Blomberg's K for the 12 environmental
727 variables (Blomberg et al., 2003). The significance was estimated through 999
728 randomizations with the niche distribution randomly shuffled across phylogenetic tips.
729 We conducted Blomberg's K using the *multiPhyloSignal* functions in the R package
730 *picante* (Kembel et al., 2010).

731

732 **Funding**

733 This study was supported by the CAS Strategic Priority Research Program
734 (XDB31000000), the National Natural Science Foundations of China (31970356,
735 42171071, 32170398), the Yunnan Young & Elite Talents Projects (YNWR-QNBJ-
736 2020-293, YNWR-QNBJ -2018-146), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences,
737 CAS (ZDBS-LY-7001), the CAS ‘Light of West China’ Program (to Zeng-Yuan Wu and
738 Jie Liu), the Applied and Fundamental Research Foundation of Yunnan Province
739 (202401AT070190), CAS’ Youth Innovation Promotion Association (2019385), and the
740 Central Public-interest Scientific Institution Basal Research Fund (Y2023PT11).
741 Richard Milne and Mark Chapman also thank the CAS President’s International
742 Fellowship Initiative for its financial support (2022VBA0004 and 2020VBB0016,
743 respectively).

744 **Authors’ contributions**

745 Z-Y Wu, D-Z Li, J Liu and M-B Luan conceived the study. Z-Y Wu, J Liu, Y Zhao, and
746 M-B Luan did field work, AK Monro helped collect most samples outside of China. Z-
747 Y Wu and Y Zhao carried out lab work. Z-Y Wu, J Liu, MA Chapman, Y-H Luo, G-F
748 Zhu, P-Z Fan and Z-P Li performed data analyses. Z-Y Wu organized the data and wrote
749 the first draft. MA Chapman, RT Corlett, RI Milne and MK Cadotte helped improve the
750 focus and discussion. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

751

752 **Data availability**

753 The genome sequence data of feral ramie reported in this paper has been deposited in
754 the Genome Warehouse in National Genomics Data Center, Beijing Institute of
755 Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences / China National Center for Bioinformatics,
756 under accession number GWHHERBU00000000 (BioProject PRJCA015489), and is
757 publicly accessible at <https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gwh>. The raw resequencing data of 915
758 individuals reported in this paper have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive
759 in National Genomics Data, China National Center for Bioinformatics / Beijing
760 Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (GSA: CRA011837 and
761 CRA010145) under project accession number PRJCA015489 and is publicly accessible
762 at <https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa>.

763

764 **Acknowledgements**

765 We thank Prof. Hong Wang, Dr. Wei Xu, and Mr. Jin-Xuan Shi for their insightful
766 discussions, and Mr. Zhi-Ming Sun for kind help during field work. We acknowledge
767 valuable contribution of Dr. Ting Zhang, Dr. Chun-Yuan Zhang, Dr. Dong An, Dr.
768 Song-Bo Wang and Mr. Ren-Gang Zhang for their kind assistance with software. The
769 herbaria of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K) and Institute of Botany, the Chinese
770 Academy of Sciences (PE) are thanked for providing some DNA materials. This work
771 was facilitated by the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany,
772 Chinese Academy of Sciences. Wuhan Frasergen Bioinformatics Co. Ltd. is thanked
773 for valuable technical support in whole genome sequencing.

774

775 **Declaration of interest**

776 No conflict of interest is declared.

777

778 **References**

- 779 **Aiello-Lammens, M.E., Boria, R.A., Radosavljevic, A., Vilela, B., and Anderson,**
780 **R.P.** (2015). spThin: An R package for spatial thinning of species occurrence
781 records for use in ecological niche models. *Ecography* **38**:541-545.
- 782 **Alexander, D.H., Novembre, J., and Lange, K.** (2009). Fast model-based estimation
783 of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research* **19**:1655-1664.
- 784 **Bao, Z., and Eddy, S.R.** (2002). Automated de novo identification of repeat sequence
785 families in sequenced genomes. *Genome Research* **12**:1269-1276.
- 786 **Batjes, N.H., Ribeiro, E., and Van Oostrum, A.** (2020). Standardised soil profile data
787 to support global mapping and modelling (WoSIS snapshot 2019). *Earth System*
788 *Science Data* **12**:299-320.
- 789 **Ben Michael, T.E., Faigenboim, A., Shemesh-Mayer, E., Forer, I., Gershberg, C.,**

- 790 **Shafran, H., Rabinowitch, H.D., and Kamenetsky-Goldstein, R.** (2020).
791 Crosstalk in the darkness: Bulb vernalization activates meristem transition via
792 circadian rhythm and photoperiodic pathway. *BMC Plant Biology* **20**:77.
- 793 **Benson, G.** (1999). Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences.
794 *Nucleic Acids Research* **27**:573-580.
- 795 **Blomberg, S.P., Garland Jr, T., and Ives, A.R.** (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal
796 in comparative data: Behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* **57**:717-745.
- 797 **Borah, P., Das, A., Milner, M.J., Ali, A., Bentley, A.R., and Pandey, R.** (2018). Long
798 non-coding RNAs as endogenous target mimics and exploration of their role in
799 low nutrient stress tolerance in plants. *Genes* **9**:459.
- 800 **Burge, C., and Karlin, S.** (1997). Prediction of complete gene structures in human
801 genomic DNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **268**:78-94.
- 802 **Cantarel, B.L., Korf, I., Robb, S.M., Parra, G., Ross, E., Moore, B., Holt, C.,
803 Alvarado, A.S., and Yandell, M.** (2008). MAKER: An easy-to-use annotation
804 pipeline designed for emerging model organism genomes. *Genome Research*
805 **18**:188-196.
- 806 **Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J.M., and Gabaldón, T.** (2009). trimAl: A tool
807 for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses.
808 *Bioinformatics* **25**:1972-1973.
- 809 **Casañas, F., Simó, J., Casals, J., and Prohens, J.** (2017). Toward an evolved concept
810 of landrace. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**:145.
- 811 **Chen, C.J.** (1980). *Archiboehmeria* C. J. Chen—a new genus of Urticaceae. *Acta*
812 *Phytotaxonomica Sinica* **18**:476-481.
- 813 **Chen, C.J., Lin, Q., Friis, I., C.M., W.-D., and Monro, A.K.** (2003). Urticaceae. In
814 *Flora of China*, Z.Y.Wu and P.H. Raven, ed. (Science Press, Beijing & Missouri
815 Botanical Garden Press: Beijing), pp. 76-189.
- 816 **Chen, K., Ming, Y., Luan, M., Chen, P., Chen, J., Xiong, H., Chen, J., Wu, B., Bai,
817 M., and Gao, G.** (2023). The chromosome-level assembly of ramie (*Boehmeria*
818 *nivea* L.) genome provides insights into molecular regulation of fiber fineness.
819 *Journal of Natural Fibers* **20**:2168819.
- 820 **Chen, M., Vernon, C.R., Graham, N.T., Hejazi, M., Huang, M., Cheng, Y., and
821 Calvin, K.** (2020). Global land use for 2015–2100 at 0.05° resolution under
822 diverse socioeconomic and climate scenarios. *Scientific Data* **7**:320.
- 823 **Chen, N.** (2004). Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive elements in genomic
824 sequences. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* **5**:4.10.11-14.10.14.
- 825 **Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J.** (2018a). fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one fastq
826 preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**:i884-i890.
- 827 **Chen, X.** (2007). The history, status and future of ramie textile industry in China. *Plant*
828 *Fiber Sciences in China* **29**:77-85.
- 829 **Chen, Y., Chen, Y., Shi, C., Huang, Z., Zhang, Y., Li, S., Li, Y., Ye, J., Yu, C., Li, Z.,
830 et al.** (2018b). SOAPnuke: A mapreduce acceleration-supported software for
831 integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data.
832 *GigaScience* **7**:1-6.
- 833 **Cheng, H., Concepcion, G.T., Feng, X., Zhang, H., and Li, H.** (2021). Haplotype-

834 resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nature*
835 *Methods* **18**:170-175.

836 **Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L.L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S.J.,**
837 **Lu, X.Y., and Ruden, D.M.** (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the
838 effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of
839 *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w¹¹¹⁸; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly* **6**:80-92.

840 **Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B.,**
841 **Mitrovica, J.X., Hostetler, S.W., and McCabe, A.M.** (2009). The last glacial
842 maximum. *Science* **325**:710-714.

843 **Collin, F.-D., Durif, G., Raynal, L., Lombaert, E., Gautier, M., Vitalis, R., Marin,**
844 **J.-M., and Estoup, A.** (2021). Extending approximate Bayesian computation with
845 supervised machine learning to infer demographic history from genetic
846 polymorphisms using DIYABC random forest. *Molecular Ecology Resources*
847 **21**:2598-2613.

848 **Conesa, A., and Götz, S.** (2008). Blast2GO: A comprehensive suite for functional
849 analysis in plant genomics. *International Journal of Plant Genomics* **2008**:619832.

850 **Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A.,**
851 **Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al.** (2011). The
852 variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**:2156-2158.

853 **Darwin, C.** (1868). *The variation of animals and plants under domestication*. Volume
854 ii (John Murray).

855 **Delaneau, O., Zagury, J. F., Robinson, M.R., Marchini, J.L., and Dermitzakis, E.T.**
856 (2019). Accurate, scalable and integrative haplotype estimation. *Nature*
857 *Communications* **10**:5436.

858 **Di Cola, V., Broennimann, O., Petitpierre, B., Breiner, F.T., D'Amen, M., Randin,**
859 **C., Engler, R., Pottier, J., Pio, D., Dubuis, A., et al.** (2017). ecospat: An R
860 package to support spatial analyses and modeling of species niches and
861 distributions. *Ecography* **40**:774-787.

862 **Dinov, I.D., Rubin, D., Lorensen, W., Dugan, J., Ma, J., Murphy, S., Kirschner, B.,**
863 **Bug, W., Sherman, M., Floratos, A., et al.** (2008). iTools: A framework for
864 classification, categorization and integration of computational biology resources.
865 *PLoS ONE* **3**:e2265.

866 **Dudchenko, O., Batra, S.S., Omer, A.D., Nyquist, S.K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N.C.,**
867 **Shamim, M.S., Machol, I., Lander, E.S., and Aiden, A.P.** (2017). De novo
868 assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length
869 scaffolds. *Science* **356**:92-95.

870 **Durand, N.C., Shamim, M.S., Machol, I., Rao, S.S., Huntley, M.H., Lander, E.S.,**
871 **and Aiden, E.L.** (2016). Juicer provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-
872 resolution Hi-C experiments. *Cell Systems* **3**:95-98.

873 **Dwivedi, S.L., Chapman, M.A., Abberton, M.T., Akpojotor, U.L., and Ortiz, R.**
874 (2023). Exploiting genetic and genomic resources to enhance productivity and
875 abiotic stress adaptation of underutilized pulses. *Frontiers in Genetics* **14**:1193780.

876 **Dwivedi, S.L., Ceccarelli, S., Blair, M.W., Upadhyaya, H.D., Are, A.K., and Ortiz,**
877 **R.** (2016). Landrace germplasm for improving yield and abiotic stress adaptation.

878 Trends in Plant Science 21:31-42.

879 **Ellstrand, N.C., Heredia, S.M., Leak-Garcia, J.A., Heraty, J.M., Burger, J.C., Yao,**
880 **L., Nohzadeh-Malakshah, S., and Ridley, C.E.** (2010). Crops gone wild:
881 Evolution of weeds and invasives from domesticated ancestors. *Evolutionary*
882 *Applications* 3:494-504.

883 **Farrant, J.M., and Hilhorst, H.** (2022). Crops for dry environments. *Current Opinion*
884 *in Biotechnology* 74:84-91.

885 **Fick, S.E., and Hijmans, R.J.** (2017). WorldClim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution
886 climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*
887 37:4302-4315.

888 **Gering, E., Incorvaia, D., Henriksen, R., Conner, J., Getty, T., and Wright, D.**
889 (2019). Getting back to nature: Feralization in animals and plants. *Trends in*
890 *Ecology & Evolution* 34:1137-1151.

891 **Goel, M., and Schneeberger, K.** (2022). Plotsr: Visualizing structural similarities and
892 rearrangements between multiple genomes. *Bioinformatics* 38:2922-2926.

893 **Goel, M., Sun, H., Jiao, W.-B., and Schneeberger, K.** (2019). SyRI: Finding genomic
894 rearrangements and local sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies.
895 *Genome Biology* 20:277.

896 **Grabherr, M.G., Haas, B.J., Yassour, M., Levin, J.Z., Thompson, D.A., Amit, I.,**
897 **Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., et al.** (2011). Full-length
898 transcriptome assembly from RNA-seq data without a reference genome. *Nature*
899 *Biotechnology* 29:644-652.

900 **Groppi, A., Liu, S., Cornille, A., Decroocq, S., Bui, Q.T., Tricon, D., Cruaud, C.,**
901 **Arribat, S., Belser, C., Marande, W., et al.** (2021). Population genomics of
902 apricots unravels domestication history and adaptive events. *Nature*
903 *Communications* 12:3956.

904 **Grummer, J.A., Beheregaray, L.B., Bernatchez, L., Hand, B.K., Luikart, G.,**
905 **Narum, S.R., and Taylor, E.B.** (2019). Aquatic landscape genomics and
906 environmental effects on genetic variation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
907 34:641-654.

908 **Guo, W.L., Xin, M.M., Wang, Z., Yao, Y., Hu, Z., Song, W., Yu, K., Chen, Y., Wang,**
909 **X., and Guan, P.** (2020). Origin and adaptation to high altitude of Tibetan semi-
910 wild wheat. *Nature Communications* 11:5085.

911 **Gutaker, R.M., and Purugganan, M.D.** (2024). Adaptation and the geographic spread
912 of crop species. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 75:2.1-2.28.

913 **Gutaker, R.M., Chater, C.C.C., Brinton, J., Castillo-Lorenzo, E., Breman, E., and**
914 **Pironon, S.** (2022). Scaling up neodomestication for climate-ready crops. *Current*
915 *Opinion in Plant Biology* 66:102169.

916 **Haas, B.J., Delcher, A.L., Mount, S.M., Wortman, J.R., Smith Jr, R.K., Hannick,**
917 **L.I., Maiti, R., Ronning, C.M., Rusch, D.B., Town, C.D., et al.** (2003).
918 Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment
919 assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 31:5654-5666.

920 **He, X., Ziegler, A.D., Elsen, P.R., Feng, Y., Baker, J.C.A., Liang, S., Holden, J.,**
921 **Spracklen, D.V., and Zeng, Z.** (2023). Accelerating global mountain forest loss

922 threatens biodiversity hotspots. *One Earth* **6**:303-315.

923 **Helmkamp, M., Bellinger, M.R., Geib, S.M., Sim, S.B., and Takabayashi, M.**

924 (2019). Draft genome of the rice coral *Montipora capitata* obtained from linked-

925 read sequencing. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **11**:2045-2054.

926 **Hester, S.B., and Yuen, M.L.** (1989). Ramie: Patterns of world production and trade.

927 *Journal of the Textile Institute* **80**:493-505.

928 **Jiang, A., Liu, J., Gao, W., Ma, R., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Du, C., Yi, Z., Fang, X.,**

929 **and Zhang, J.** (2023). Transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses reveal the key

930 genes related to shade tolerance in soybean. *International Journal of Molecular*

931 *Sciences* **24**:14230.

932 **Jiao, P., Ma, R., Wang, C., Chen, N., Liu, S., Qu, J., Guan, S., and Ma, Y.** (2022).

933 Integration of mRNA and microRNA analysis reveals the molecular mechanisms

934 underlying drought stress tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Frontiers in Plant*

935 *Science* **13**:932667.

936 **Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., McWilliam,**

937 **H., Maslen, J., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., et al.** (2014). InterProScan 5: Genome-

938 scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1236-1240.

939 **Jurka, J., Kapitonov, V.V., Pavlicek, A., Klonowski, P., Kohany, O., and**

940 **Walichiewicz, J.** (2005). Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive

941 elements. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* **110**:462-467.

942 **Kalvari, I., Argasinska, J., Quinones-Olvera, N., Nawrocki, E.P., Rivas, E., Eddy,**

943 **S.R., Bateman, A., Finn, R.D., and Petrov, A.I.** (2018). Rfam 13.0: Shifting to a

944 genome-centric resource for non-coding RNA families. *Nucleic Acids Research*

945 **46**:D335-D342.

946 **Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M.** (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

947 version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and*

948 *Evolution* **30**:772-780.

949 **Kembel, S.W., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly,**

950 **D.D., Blomberg, S.P., and Webb, C.O.** (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating

951 phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* **26**:1463-1464.

952 **Koch, M.A., Haubold, B., and Mitchell-Olds, T.** (2000). Comparative evolutionary

953 analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in *Arabidopsis*,

954 *Arabis*, and related genera (Brassicaceae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution*

955 **17**:1483-1498.

956 **Kvavadze, E., Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., Boaretto, E., Jakeli, N., Matskevich,**

957 **Z., and Meshveliani, T.** (2009). 30,000-year-old wild flax fibers. *Science*

958 **325**:1359-1359.

959 **Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E.A., Stærfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T., and Ussery,**

960 **D.W.** (2007). RNAmmer: Consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA

961 genes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**:3100-3108.

962 **Larson, G., Piperno, D.R., Allaby, R.G., Purugganan, M.D., Andersson, L.,**

963 **Arroyo-Kalin, M., Barton, L., Climer Vigueira, C., Denham, T., and Dobney,**

964 **K.** (2014). Current perspectives and the future of domestication studies.

965 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*

966 111:6139-6146.

967 **Li, H.** (2018). Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*

968 **34**:3094-3100.

969 **Li, H., and Durbin, R.** (2010). Fast and accurate long-read alignment with burrows–

970 wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**:589-595.

971 **Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,**

972 **Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Subgroup, G.P.D.P.** (2009). The sequence

973 alignment/map format and samtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**:2078-2079.

974 **Li, L., Han, C., Yang, J., Tian, Z., Jiang, R., Yang, F., Jiao, K., Qi, M., Liu, L., and**

975 **Zhang, B.** (2023). Comprehensive transcriptome analysis of responses during cold

976 stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genes* **14**:844.

977 **Li, L.F., Li, Y.L., Jia, Y., Caicedo, A.L., and Olsen, K.M.** (2017). Signatures of

978 adaptation in the weedy rice genome. *Nature Genetics* **49**:811-814.

979 **Li, Y., Cao, K., Zhu, G., Fang, W., Chen, C., Wang, X., Zhao, P., Guo, J., Ding, T.,**

980 **Guan, L., et al.** (2019). Genomic analyses of an extensive collection of wild and

981 cultivated accessions provide new insights into peach breeding history. *Genome*

982 *Biology* **20**:36.

983 **Liao, J., and Yang, X.** (2016). Study on the evolution of grass cloth. *Asian Social*

984 *Science* **12**:109.

985 **Liao, L., Li, T., Zhang, J., Xu, L.L., Deng, H.S., and Han, X.J.** (2014). The

986 domestication and dispersal of the cultivated ramie (*Boehmeria nivea* (L.) Gaud.

987 In Freyc.) determined by nuclear SSR marker analysis. *Genetic Resources and*

988 *Crop Evolution* **61**:55-67.

989 **Liu, B.H., Shi, Y.J., Yuan, J.Y., Hu, X.S., Zhang, H., Li, N., Li, Z.Y., Chen, Y.X.,**

990 **Mu, D.S., and Fan, W.** (2013). Estimation of genomic characteristics by

991 analyzing k-mer frequency in de novo genome projects. arXiv preprint.

992 arXiv:1308.2012.

993 **Liu, C., Berry, P.M., Dawson, T.P., and Pearson, R.G.** (2005). Selecting thresholds

994 of occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. *Ecography* **28**:385-393.

995 **Liu, L.J., Meng, Z.Q., Wang, B., Wang, X.X., Yang, J.Y., and Peng, D.X.** (2009).

996 Genetic diversity among wild resources of the genus *Boehmeria* Jacq. from west

997 China determined using inter-simple sequence repeat and rapid amplification of

998 polymorphic DNA markers. *Plant Production Science* **12**:88-96.

999 **Liu, X., and Fu, Y.X.** (2020). Stairway Plot 2: Demographic history inference with

1000 folded SNP frequency spectra. *Genome Biology* **21**:280.

1001 **Lowe, T.M., and Eddy, S.R.** (1997). tRNAscan-SE: A program for improved detection

1002 of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**:955-964.

1003 **Mabry, M.E., Rowan, T.N., Pires, J.C., and Decker, J.E.** (2021a). Feralization:

1004 Confronting the complexity of domestication and evolution. *Trends in Genetics*

1005 **37**:302-305.

1006 **Mabry, M.E., Turner-Hissong, S.D., Gallagher, E.Y., McAlvay, A.C., An, H., Edger,**

1007 **P.P., Moore, J.D., Pink, D.A.C., Teakle, G.R., Stevens, C.J., et al.** (2021b). The

1008 evolutionary history of wild, domesticated, and feral *Brassica oleracea*

1009 (*Brassicaceae*). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **38**:4419-4434.

1010 **Mabry, M.E., Bagavathiannan, M.V., Bullock, J.M., Wang, H., Caicedo, A.L.,**
1011 **Dabney, C.J., Drummond, E.B.M., Frawley, E., Gressel, J., Husband, B.C., et**
1012 **al.** (2023). Building a feral future: Open questions in crop ferality. *Plants, People,*
1013 *Planet* **5**:635-649.

1014 **Manel, S., Andrello, M., Henry, K., Verdelet, D., Darracq, A., Guerin, P.-E.,**
1015 **Desprez, B., and Devaux, P.** (2018). Predicting genotype environmental range
1016 from genome–environment associations. *Molecular Ecology* **27**:2823-2833.

1017 **Martin Cerezo, M.L., López, S., van Dorp, L., Hellenthal, G., Johnsson, M.,**
1018 **Gering, E., Henriksen, R., and Wright, D.** (2023). Population structure and
1019 hybridisation in a population of Hawaiian feral chickens. *Heredity* **130**:154-162.

1020 **McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A.,**
1021 **Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., and Daly, M.** (2010). The genome
1022 analysis toolkit: A mapreduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA
1023 sequencing data. *Genome Research* **20**:1297-1303.

1024 **Meyer, R.S., Choi, J.Y., Sanches, M., Plessis, A., Flowers, J.M., Amas, J., Dorph,**
1025 **K., Barretto, A., Gross, B., Fuller, D.Q., et al.** (2016). Domestication history and
1026 geographical adaptation inferred from a SNP map of African rice. *Nature Genetics*
1027 **48**:1083-1088.

1028 **Mgwatyu, Y., Stander, A.A., Ferreira, S., Williams, W., and Hesse, U.** (2020).
1029 Rooibos (*Aspalathus linearis*) genome size estimation using flow cytometry and
1030 k-mer analyses. *Plants* **9**:270.

1031 **Mistry, J., Bateman, A., and Finn, R.D.** (2007). Predicting active site residue
1032 annotations in the Pfam database. *BMC Bioinformatics* **8**:298.

1033 **Naimi, B., Hamm, N.A.S., Groen, T.A., Skidmore, A.K., and Toxopeus, A.G.** (2014).
1034 Where is positional uncertainty a problem for species distribution modelling?
1035 *Ecography* **37**:191-203.

1036 **Nawrocki, E.P., and Eddy, S.R.** (2013). Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology
1037 searches. *Bioinformatics* **29**:2933-2935.

1038 **Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q.J.M.b., and evolution**
1039 (2015). IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating
1040 maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**:268-274.

1041 **Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y.,**
1042 **Genschoreck, T., Webster, T., and Reich, D.** (2012). Ancient admixture in human
1043 history. *Genetics* **192**:1065-1093.

1044 **Pavlidis, P., Živković, D., Stamatakis, A., and Alachiotis, N.** (2013). SweeD:
1045 Likelihood-based detection of selective sweeps in thousands of genomes.
1046 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**:2224-2234.

1047 **Pflug, J.M., Holmes, V.R., Burrus, C., Johnston, J.S., and Maddison, D.R.** (2020).
1048 Measuring genome sizes using read-depth, k-mers, and flow cytometry:
1049 Methodological comparisons in beetles (Coleoptera). *G3*
1050 *Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **10**:3047-3060.

1051 **Pisias, M.T., Bakala, H.S., McAlvay, A.C., Mabry, M.E., Birchler, J.A., Yang, B.,**
1052 **and Pires, J.C.** (2022). Prospects of feral crop de novo redomestication. *Plant and*
1053 *Cell Physiology* **63**:1641-1653.

- 1054 **Price, A.L., Jones, N.C., and Pevzner, P.A.** (2005). De novo identification of repeat
1055 families in large genomes. *Bioinformatics* **21**:i351-i358.
- 1056 **Price, A.L., Patterson, N.J., Plenge, R.M., Weinblatt, M.E., Shadick, N.A., and**
1057 **Reich, D.** (2006). Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in
1058 genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* **38**:904-909.
- 1059 **Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.R., Bender, D.,**
1060 **Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I.W., Daly, M.J., et al.** (2007). PLINK: A tool
1061 set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *The*
1062 *American Journal of Human Genetics* **81**:559-575.
- 1063 **Purugganan, M.D.** (2022). What is domestication? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
1064 **37**:663-671.
- 1065 **Qiu, J., Zhou, Y., Mao, L., Ye, C., Wang, W., Zhang, J., Yu, Y., Fu, F., Wang, Y.,**
1066 **Qian, F., et al.** (2017). Genomic variation associated with local adaptation of
1067 weedy rice during de-domestication. *Nature Communications* **8**:15323.
- 1068 **Qiu, J., Jia, L., Wu, D., Weng, X., Chen, L., Sun, J., Chen, M., Mao, L., Jiang, B.,**
1069 **Ye, C., et al.** (2020). Diverse genetic mechanisms underlie worldwide convergent
1070 rice feralization. *Genome Biology* **21**:70.
- 1071 **Retief, J.D.** (2000). Phylogenetic analysis using phylip. In *Bioinformatics methods and*
1072 *protocols*, S. Misener and S.A. Krawetz, eds. (Humana Press: Totowa, New Jersey,
1073 USA), pp. 243-258.
- 1074 **Roy, S., and Lutfar, L.B.** (2012). Bast fibres: Ramie. In *handbook of natural fibres:*
1075 *Types, properties and factors affecting breeding and cultivation*, R.M. Kozłowski,
1076 ed. (Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, England, UK), pp. 47-55.
- 1077 **Santiago, E., Novo, I., Pardiñas, A.F., Saura, M., Wang, J., and Caballero, A.**
1078 (2020). Recent demographic history inferred by high-resolution analysis of
1079 linkage disequilibrium. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **37**:3642-3653.
- 1080 **Schiffels, S., and Wang, K.** (2020). MSMC and MSMC2: The multiple sequentially
1081 markovian coalescent. In *Statistical population genomics* (Human Press: New
1082 York, USA), pp. 147-166.
- 1083 **Sen, T., and Reddy, H.J.** (2011). Various industrial applications of hemp, kinaf, flax
1084 and ramie natural fibres. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and*
1085 *Technology* **2**:192.
- 1086 **Simão, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E.V., and Zdobnov,**
1087 **E.M.** (2015). BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness
1088 with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **31**:3210-3212.
- 1089 **Slater, G.S.C., and Birney, E.** (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for
1090 biological sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* **6**:31.
- 1091 **Stanke, M., Keller, O., Gunduz, I., Hayes, A., Waack, S., and Morgenstern, B.**
1092 (2006). AUGUSTUS: *ab initio* prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids*
1093 *Research* **34**:W435-W439.
- 1094 **Tang, H., Krishnakumar, V., and Li, J.** (2015). JCVI: JCVI utility libraries (v0.5.7).
1095 Zenodo. <https://zenodo.org/record/31631/export/xd>.
- 1096 **Taru, Y., and Watan, B.** (2020). The route to ramie cultural ecology. *Senri*
1097 *Ethnological Studies* **103**:11-20.

1098 **Terhorst, J., Kamm, J.A., and Song, Y.S.** (2017). Robust and scalable inference of
1099 population history from hundreds of unphased whole genomes. *Nature Genetics*
1100 **49:303-309.**

1101 **Thuiller, W., Lafourcade, B., Engler, R., and Araújo, M.B.** (2009). BIOMOD – a
1102 platform for ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Ecography* **32:369-373.**

1103 **Thurber, C.S., Reagon, M., Gross, B.L., Olsen, K.M., Jia, Y., and Caicedo, A.L.**
1104 (2010). Molecular evolution of shattering loci in US weedy rice. *Molecular*
1105 *Ecology* **19:3271-3284.**

1106 **Venter, O., Sanderson, E.W., Magrath, A., Allan, J.R., Beher, J., Jones, K.R.,**
1107 **Possingham, H.P., Laurance, W.F., Wood, P., Fekete, B.M., et al.** (2016).
1108 Global terrestrial human footprint maps for 1993 and 2009. *Scientific Data*
1109 **3:160067.**

1110 **Vigueira, C., Olsen, K., and Caicedo, A.** (2013). The red queen in the corn:
1111 Agricultural weeds as models of rapid adaptive evolution. *Heredity* **110:303-311.**

1112 **Villa, T.C.C., Maxted, N., Scholten, M., and Ford-Lloyd, B.** (2005). Defining and
1113 identifying crop landraces. *Plant Genetic Resources* **3:373-384.**

1114 **Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo,**
1115 **C.A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., and Young, S.K.** (2014). Pilon: An integrated tool
1116 for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly
1117 improvement. *PloS ONE* **9:e112963.**

1118 **Wang, K., Li, M., and Hakonarson, H.** (2010). ANNOVAR: Functional annotation of
1119 genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research*
1120 **38:e164-e164.**

1121 **Wang, L., Yang, H., Liu, R., Fan, G.J.E.S., and Research, P.** (2015). Detoxification
1122 strategies and regulation of oxygen production and flowering of *Platanus*
1123 *acerifolia* under lead (Pb) stress by transcriptome analysis. *Environmental Science*
1124 *and Pollution Research* **22:12747-12758.**

1125 **Wang, Y., Li, F., He, Q., Bao, Z., Zeng, Z., An, D., Zhang, T., Yan, L., Wang, H.,**
1126 **and Zhu, S.** (2021). Genomic analyses provide comprehensive insights into the
1127 domestication of bast fiber crop ramie (*Boehmeria nivea*). *The Plant Journal*
1128 **107:787-800.**

1129 **Warren, D.L., Matzke, N.J., Cardillo, M., Baumgartner, J.B., Beaumont, L.J.,**
1130 **Turelli, M., Glor, R.E., Huron, N.A., Simões, M., Iglesias, T.L., et al.** (2021).
1131 Enmtools 1.0: An R package for comparative ecological biogeography. *Ecography*
1132 **44:504-511.**

1133 **Wedger, M.J., Roma-Burgos, N., and Olsen, K.M.** (2022). Genomic revolution of
1134 US weedy rice in response to 21st century agricultural technologies.
1135 *Communications Biology* **5:885.**

1136 **Wickham, H.** (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis* (Springer-Verlag).

1137 **Wu, D., Lao, S., and Fan, L.** (2021). De-domestication: An extension of crop evolution.
1138 *Trends in Plant Science* **26:560-574.**

1139 **Xiao, X., Haberle, S.G., Li, Y., Liu, E., Shen, J., Zhang, E., Yin, J., and Wang, S.**
1140 (2018). Evidence of Holocene climatic change and human impact in northwestern
1141 Yunnan province: High-resolution pollen and charcoal records from Chenghai

- 1142 Lake, southwestern China. *The Holocene* **28**:127-139.
- 1143 **Xie, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Shen, J., and Wang, Y.** (2021). Increasing human activities
1144 during the past 2,100 years in southwest China inferred from a fossil pollen record.
1145 *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* **30**:477-488.
- 1146 **Xu, X., Zhang, S., Cheng, Z., Li, T., Jia, Y., Wang, G., Yang, Z., Xian, J., Yang, Y.,**
1147 **Zhou, W.J.E.S., et al.** (2020). Transcriptome analysis revealed cadmium
1148 accumulation mechanisms in hyperaccumulator *Siegesbeckia orientalis* L.
1149 *Plant Science* **27**:18853-18865.
- 1150 **Xu, Z., and Wang, H.** (2007). Ltr_finder: An efficient tool for the prediction of full-
1151 length LTR retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**:W265-W268.
- 1152 **Yu, H., and Li, J.** (2022). Breeding future crops to feed the world through de novo
1153 domestication. *Nature Communications* **13**:1171.
- 1154 **Zeng, X., Guo, Y., Xu, Q., Mascher, M., Guo, G., Li, S., Mao, L., Liu, Q., Xia, Z.,**
1155 **Zhou, J., et al.** (2018). Origin and evolution of qingke barley in Tibet. *Nature*
1156 *Communications* **9**:5433.
- 1157 **Zeven, A.C.** (1998). Landraces: A review of definitions and classifications. *Euphytica*
1158 **104**:127-139.
- 1159 **Zhang, S.J., Wang, G.D., Ma, P., Zhang, L.L., Yin, T.T., Liu, Y.H., Otecko, N.O.,**
1160 **Wang, M., Ma, Y.P., Wang, L., et al.** (2020). Genomic regions under selection in
1161 the feralization of the dingoes. *Nature Communications* **11**: 671.
- 1162 **Zhao, Y., Yi, T.-S., Milne, R., Li, Z.-P., Yin-Lei, L., Kipkoech, A., Li, K., Fu, X.-G.,**
1163 **Li, D.-Z., and Wu, Z.-Y.** (2024). *Boehmeria nivea* var. *strigosa* (Urticaceae), a
1164 new variety from southwest China. *Guihaia* **In press**.
- 1165 **Zhou, X., and Stephens, M.** (2012). Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for
1166 association studies. *Nature Genetics* **44**:821-824.
- 1167 **Zsögön, A., Peres, L.E.P., Xiao, Y., Yan, J., and Fernie, A.R.** (2022). Enhancing crop
1168 diversity for food security in the face of climate uncertainty. *The Plant Journal*
1169 **109**:402-414.

1170
1171

1172 **Figure legends**

1173 **Fig. 1. Genomic landscape of feral ramie and comparative genomic analyses**
1174 **between the feral and domesticated genomes. A,** Genomic features of feral ramie. 1)
1175 pseudochromosomes, 2) Gene density per 100 kb window, 3) the distribution of
1176 repetitive sequences, 4) GC content, and 5) the inner lines show syntenic blocks within
1177 the feral genome. **B,** Genome collinearity between the feral and domesticated ramie
1178 assemblies. **C,** Doughnut chart showing the distribution of variants between feral and
1179 domestic ramies. Numbers in parentheses (x/y) indicate x, the total length of each type
1180 of variation, and y, the number of events. **D,** Syntenic analyses between the assemblies
1181 of domesticated (reference) and feral (query) ramies; syntenic regions and SVs are
1182 highlighted with different colors.

1183
1184

Fig. 2. Population structure and genetic diversity of ramie. A, Geographic

1185 distribution of *Boehmeria nivea* based on occurrence points from GBIF (black circles).
1186 Sampling sites for the current study are shown as blue (wild), yellow (feral), and purple
1187 (domesticated) circles. **B**, Admixture analyses with different numbers of groups ($K = 2$
1188 to 5). Each vertical bar represents one ramie accession, and the x axis shows the three
1189 genetic groups. Each color represents one putative ancestral background, and the y axis
1190 quantifies ancestry membership. **C**, Two-dimensional PCA plot showing the clustering
1191 of accessions color-coded in the same scheme as panel b. **D**, Nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$)
1192 within and genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between the groups.

1193

1194 **Fig. 3. Demographic history and candidate genome regions with evidence for**
1195 **selective sweeps between groups. A**, Best scenario when feral ramie is considered as
1196 a whole. **B**, Best scenario when the two largest monophyletic subclades of feral ramie
1197 are treated as discrete populations. **C**, Demographic history of wild (group I), feral (II)
1198 and domesticated (III) ramies using MSMC2. The y axis represents inferred effective
1199 population size over time and the x axis represents time. **D**, Distribution of wild- and
1200 cultivar-specific SNPs for each chromosome in feral material based on log10 of the
1201 ratio of crop specific to wild specific SNPs in 100 kb regions. The box shows the 95%
1202 confidence interval and the black bar within each box is the mean. The horizontal dotted
1203 line represents zero, and positive and negative values represent excesses of domestic-
1204 like and wild-like SNPs, respectively. **E**, Distribution of the regions under selection in
1205 feral ramie. **F**, Distribution of the regions under selection in feral ramie, with horizontal
1206 dotted lines representing the cutoff fulfilling the requirement for the selected regions.

1207

1208 **Fig. 4. Ecological analyses results. A-C**, Niche identity tests among Groups I (wild),
1209 II (feral), and III (domesticated). The arrows indicate the observed niche equivalency,
1210 and the histograms represent the simulated (expected) equivalency. All differences
1211 between the observed index and the expected index rejected the hypothesis that
1212 environmental niches between regions were identical ($P < 0.01$). **D-F**, Niche overlap
1213 analysis based on pairwise comparisons among the three groups. The solid and dashed
1214 contour lines delimit the 100th and 75th quantiles, respectively, of the density at the
1215 available environment. Blue, yellow, and purple represent Group I, Group II, and Group
1216 III, respectively. Pink in each figure means stability between two groups. **G**, Principal
1217 Coordinate Analysis for 12 environmental variables, arrow lengths indicate the relative
1218 contributions of each environmental factor to the principal components. The details of
1219 the variables refer to Table S25.

1220

1221 **Fig. 5. Potential range shift and genome-environment associations. A**, Results of
1222 GEA analysis. Genomic locations of SNPs associated with environmental factors, genes
1223 mentioned in the text are indicated with red arrows. **B-G**, Potential distribution range
1224 of wild ramie (**A-D**) and feral ramie (**E-F**) by ENM using 12 environmental variables
1225 and species occurrence points.

1226

1227 **Fig. 6. Morphological comparison among three varieties. A-C**, *Boehmeria nivea* var.
1228 *nivea*; **A**, habit with unbranched stem; **B**, white abaxial leaf blade; **C**, free and patent

1229 hirsute stem. **D-F**, *B. nivea* var. *strigosa*; **D**, habit with branched stem; **E**, green abaxial
1230 leaf blade; **F**, patent strigose stem and partly connate stipule. **G-I**, *B. nivea* var.
1231 *tenacissima*; **G**, habit with branched stem; **H**, mixed color of abaxial leaf blade; **I**,
1232 appressed hirsute and partly connate stipule.

1233

1234 **Fig. S1.** Hi-C chromatin interaction map of the feral ramie genome assembly.

1235

1236 **Fig. S2.** Genome size estimate for feral ramie based on **(A)** the *K*-mer method and **(B)**
1237 flow cytometry. *Solanum lycopersicum* L. was used as an internal standard.

1238

1239 **Fig. S3.** Syntenic relationship dot plot between feral (y axis) and domesticated (x axis)
1240 ramie genomes. Dots closest to the diagonal line represent collinearity between the two
1241 genomes with fragments <20 Kb filtered out.

1242

1243 **Fig. S4.** Distribution of ramie (*Boehmeria nivea*) and sampling sites for the current
1244 study. Black circles represent herbarium records, blue, yellow, and purple circles
1245 represent wild, feral and domesticated ramies, respectively. **A**, Distribution and
1246 sampling all over the world; **B**, Distribution and sampling in Asia.

1247

1248 **Fig. S5.** Maximum-Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree of ramie resequencing samples
1249 using 120,201 high-confidence SNPs. The numbers on the nodes indicate bootstrap
1250 values. Blue, yellow and purple lines represent wild, feral, and domesticated ramie,
1251 respectively. Each node consists of lab code_variety name_Country_Province, Bs, Bn,
1252 and Bt represent *Boehmeria nivea* var. *strigosa*, *B. nivea* var. *nivea*, and *B. var.*
1253 *tenacissima*, respectively.

1254

1255 **Fig. S6.** A rooted NJ tree of 915 ramie accessions based on single-nucleotide
1256 polymorphisms (SNPs), using *Girardinia diversifolia* as out group; The colored lines
1257 represent the sample source (see Fig. S5)

1258

1259 **Fig. S7.** Population structure analysis in ramie. **A**, Cross validation error with
1260 increasing values of *K*. **B**, ADMIXTURE plots for all accessions. *K* (the number of
1261 clusters) from 2 to 10 are shown.

1262

1263 **Fig. S8. Results from the Approximate Bayesian Computation analysis**
1264 **implemented in the program DIYABC-RF to infer the most likely demographic**
1265 **scenario.** In each panel the best scenario is surrounded by a box. **A**, Feral ramie is
1266 considered as a whole, and six models analyzed. **B**, The two largest monophyletic
1267 subclades of feral ramie are used as discrete populations, and eight models analyzed.
1268 Groups 2A and 2B are showed in Fig. S5. Each colored segment depicts a distinct
1269 effective population size. *t_x* represents coalescence time (in generations), *N_x* represents
1270 estimated population size, and *r_x* represents the proportion of admixture between
1271 groups.

1272

1273 **Fig. S9.** Demographic history of wild (group I), feral (II) and domesticated (III) ramies
1274 using SMC++ (A) and *GONE* (B).
1275

1276 **Fig. S10.** Manhattan plots and Quantile-quantile plots comparing the observed $-\log_{10}(p)$
1277 with expected $-\log_{10}(p)$ for 12 environmental variables in feral ramie. The genome-
1278 wide significant value threshold ($-\log_{10}(p) = 7.83$) is indicated by a horizontal dash-
1279 dot line.
1280

1281 **Table S1.** Summary of assembly and annotation of the feral ramie genome.
1282

1283 **Table S2.** The number and distribution per chromosome of protein-coding genes and
1284 non-coding RNAs in the feral ramie genome.
1285

1286 **Table S3.** Functional annotation of the feral ramie genome.
1287

1288 **Table S4.** BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) evaluation of
1289 genome completeness of feral ramie.
1290

1291 **Table S5.** SVs between feral and domesticated genomes.
1292

1293 **Table S6.** Origin of ramie accessions used in this study and their sequencing and
1294 mapping statistics. Group division is based on the ML tree.
1295

1296 **Table S7.** Summary of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and insertions and
1297 deletions (indels) among 915 ramie accessions.
1298

1299 **Table S8.** Scenario choice in DIY ABC-RF when feral ramie is considered as a whole;
1300 six models analyzed.
1301

1302 **Table S9.** Scenario choice in DIY ABC-RF when the two largest monophyletic
1303 subclades of feral ramie are treated as discrete populations; eight models analyzed.
1304

1305 **Table S10.** Parameter estimates for selected best scenarios and associated 95%
1306 Confidence Intervals defined by the 0.05 and 0.95 quantiles (Q) of the posterior
1307 distribution. Units are number of individuals for effective population size parameters
1308 (N) and years before present (yrs BP) for divergence time parameters (t).
1309

1310 **Table S11.** Result of detecting gene flow using ADMIXTOOLS. A significantly
1311 negative f_3 value indicates that Target is an admixed population of ancestries, gene
1312 flow occurred from Source 1 and Source 2.
1313

1314 **Table S12.** Number and proportion of wild- and domesticated-specific private SNPs in
1315 feral ramie.
1316

1317 **Table S13.** Regions putatively under selection in feral ramie.
1318
1319 **Table S14.** Regions putatively under selection in domesticated ramie.
1320
1321 **Table S15.** GO analysis of feralization-related genes identified by SweeD analyses.
1322
1323 **Table S16.** KEGG analysis of feralization-related genes identified by SweeD analyses.
1324
1325 **Table S17.** GO analysis of domestication-related genes identified by SweeD analyses.
1326
1327 **Table S18.** KEGG analysis of domestication-related genes identified by SweeD
1328 analyses.
1329
1330 **Table S19.** Results of niche overlap analysis and proportion of niche change among
1331 three groups.
1332
1333 **Table S20.** One-way ANOVA for PCA1 and PCA2 followed by LSD multiple
1334 comparison test among three groups. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.
1335
1336 **Table S21.** Phylogenetic signal of each climatic variable. The value represents
1337 Blomberg's *K* and significance was estimated through 999 randomizations with the trait
1338 distribution randomly shuffled across phylogenetic tips.
1339
1340 **Table S22.** Results of genome-environment association in feral ramie.
1341
1342 **Table S23.** List of AUC, the thresholds selected in the ecological niche modeling
1343 (ENM), and environmental variables with VIF<5 for wild and feral ramiess.
1344
1345 **Table S24.** List of 522 individuals in non-admixed dataset.
1346
1347 **Table S25.** A total of 26 environmental variables from four databases were considered
1348 in this study. Y represents those selected after reducing collinearity.
1349
1350 **Table S26.** Environmental data values of feral ramie samples used for genome-
1351 environment association analysis.
1352
1353