



# Edinburgh Research Explorer

## A novel glue attachment approach for precise anchoring of hydrophilic EGCG to enhance the separation performance and antifouling properties of PVDF membranes

**Citation for published version:** Zhuang, GL, Wang, LC, Lin, YC, Li, JY, Setnickova, K & Tseng, HH 2023, 'A novel glue attachment approach for precise anchoring of hydrophilic EGCG to enhance the separation performance and antifouling properties of PVDF membranes', *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 464, 142585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.142585

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1016/j.cej.2023.142585

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In: Chemical Engineering Journal** 

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



A novel glue attachment approach for precise anchoring of hydrophilic EGCG to
enhance the separation performance and antifouling properties of PVDF
membranes
Guo-Liang Zhuang <sup>a,b</sup> , Lei-Chia Wang <sup>c</sup> , Yi-Chen Lin <sup>c,d</sup> , Jing-Yi Li <sup>a</sup> ,
Katerina Setnickova <sup>e</sup> , Hui-Hsin Tseng <sup>a,c,*</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, National Chung Hsing University,
Taichung 402, Taiwan
<sup>b</sup> School of Engineering, University of Edinburgh, Robert Stevenson Road, Edinburgh
EH9 3FB, United Kingdom
<sup>c</sup> School of Occupational Safety and Health, Chung Shan Medical University,
Taichung 402, Taiwan
<sup>d</sup> School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, The University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia
e Department of Bioorganic Compounds and Nanocomposites, The Czech Academy of
Sciences, Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Rozvojova 135, 16502 Prague
6, Czech Republic
Corresponding authors:
* Department of Environmental Engineering, National Chung Hsing University,
Taichung 402, Taiwan. Tel : +886.4-22840441 ext 508: fax: +886.4-22862587
E-mail address: hhtseng@nchu.edu (HH. Tseng)

## 33 Abstract

35	A novel glue attachment approach was proposed to form a durable hydration layer
36	on a hydrophobic PVDF hollow fiber membrane (PVDF HFM) surface to improve its
37	hydrophilicity and antifouling ability during wastewater filtration. The functional glue
38	was synthesized from reclaimed styrene butadiene rubber (SBR) and a hydroxyl group
39	was created with an epoxidation reaction (ESBR). The hydrophilic epigallocatechin-s-
40	gallate (EGCG) was then precisely anchored via hydrogen bonding with multiple
41	phenolic hydroxyl groups in the ESBR without penetrating into the inner matrix of the
42	PVDF to prevent flux decline. The hydrophilicity of the PVDF membrane increased
43	drastically and the water contact angle decreased from 62.7° to 45.1° with only a 25%
44	decline in the pure water flux. Furthermore, due to precise anchoring of the EGCG, the
45	modified EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane showed a higher pure water flux (110.6 L m <sup>-</sup>
46	<sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ) and much higher BSA and oil (kerosene) rejection rates (approximately 94.5%
47	and 99.5%, respectively) compared to membranes directly coated with EGCG (EGCG-
48	PVDF). Moreover, the modified membrane also showed higher water flux recovery
49	after multiple filtration cycles. This promising and efficient hydrophilic modification
50	suggests great potential for application of the eco-friendly material in wastewater
51	treatment.

*Keywords:* Hydrophilic surface modification; Reclaimed rubber; Epigallocatechin
gallate; Hydrogen bond; Anti-fouling performance

54

### 55 **1. Introduction**

56

Membrane filtration is a renewable technique for treating effluent containing small 57 58 and nondegradable contaminants after biological treatment processes, and it features 59 low energy consumption, an environmentally friendly process, and ease of operation [1, 2]. With the development of membrane technology, various functional materials are 60 61 emerging for wastewater treatment. As a desirable material, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)-based ultrafiltration (UF) membranes have been widely applied in various 62 63 industries, such as the dairy industry (biomacromolecule filtration) and oil-water suspension applications, because of their excellent mechanical strength, superior 64 65 thermal stability and good chemical properties [3]. However, the intrinsic hydrophobic property of PVDF membranes is the major barrier. Serious fouling easily occurs on the 66 67 membrane surface due to the attraction of biometrics, such as proteins and organic 68 species, resulting in a high frequency of membrane cleaning, performance decline, and lifetime shortening [4, 5]. In this regard, many experts are devoted to developing new 69 70 strategies for achieving high permeability and excellent anti-fouling ability in real

71 applications.

72	Hydrophilic surface modification is a known method for enhancing the
73	performance of PVDF membranes by linking the hydrophilic modifier onto the
74	membrane surface via physical coating/deposition [6-8] and chemical bonding [9-13],
75	such as molecules with functional groups (hydroxyl, amine and sulfonic acid groups:
76	PEG, PVA, PVP, PEGMA, chitosan, catechin, dopamine, trimethoxysilane, etc. [14-23].
77	The modification solution generally treats the membrane via the dip coating or
78	immersion method [24, 25], which have reduced likelihood of defect formation in the
79	membrane matrix compared to conventional methods (such as the blending method [26-
80	29]). Despite these positive developments, a few challenges remain, especially a
81	negative effect on permeability. Sun and Feng [30] prepared a high rejection of amine-
82	modified membranes by doping an amine solution. These membranes exhibited better
83	surface hydrophilicity but significantly lower water permeability due to the blocking of
84	pores in the membrane. Wang et al. [8] developed a strategy for coating functional
85	cellulose molecules on PVDF membranes, which improved the hydrophilicity and
86	antifouling performance of membranes used in protein filtration. However, over-
87	aggregation of the cellulose molecules occurred inside the membrane pores, negatively
88	impacting water permeability due to the narrow pathway. As discussed above, surface
89	hydrophilic modification generally leads to a decline in water permeance due to the

90	denser structure formed by an extra layer on the membrane surface or inside the pores.
91	In addition, the chemical processability of the PVDF membrane should be
92	considered. Several studies have attempted to modify the molecular structures of PVDF
93	membranes directly with grafting methods designed to effectively improve the surface
94	hydrophilicity and separation performance. However, the stable PVDF molecular
95	chains must be activated first by forming hydroxyl groups with, for example, alkali
96	treatment [22, 31] and irradiation treatment (UV, plasma and high-energy electron beam)
97	[3, 32, 33]. The pretreatment process is required for the grafting reaction of the PVDF
98	membrane, which could limit development due to the complicated and expensive
99	process. Therefore, to overcome these shortcomings, it is necessary to develop an
100	environmentally friendly, low-cost, and sustainable hydrophilic modification
101	technology to expand the application potential.
102	To address these issues, we designed a novel, facile and effective surface
103	modification method for fabricating a highly hydrophilic and anti-fouling PVDF HFM
104	using eco-friendly and inexpensive materials and a green glue attachment approach.
105	Plant-derived epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) is one of the major tea catechins in

107 hydrophilicity due to the abundance of hydroxyl groups [34, 35]. To deposit EGCG

106

108 onto the PVDF membrane surface controllably without penetrating the inner matrix, we

green tea extracts and is a common polyphenol material, and it exhibits good

109	sought to design a glue layer to react with EGCG via hydrogen bonding. Reclaimed
110	styrene butadiene rubber (SBR) derived from waste tires was used as the glue precursor.
111	As shown in Scheme 1, the glue precursor SBR was first coated onto the PVDF
112	HFM surface and went through the epoxidation reaction with peroxyformic acid to form
113	an epoxidized layer ESBR. The ESBR shows high thermal stability and hydrophilicity
114	due to the oxirane group [36, 37]. The epoxide (oxirane ring) group in this ESBR layer
115	is transformed to a hydroxyl group under basic conditions when the EGCG is added,
116	which functions as a precise anchor for the <i>in situ</i> reaction with EGCG. Thus, in this
117	reaction, hydrophilic EGCG was effectively reacted with ESBR through multiple
118	hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl groups of ESBR and the phenolic hydroxyl
119	groups in EGCG. The ESBR acts as a functional glue layer to react precisely with
120	EGCG to form a hydrophilic layer on the membrane surface without clogging its inner
121	pores. Moreover, the strength of this interaction between EGCG and ESBR could
122	endow the modified membrane with a durable performance during the filtration process.
123	Thus, in this work, the time required for the epoxidation reaction was optimized, and
124	the effects of the modified membrane on the filtration efficiencies for separation of
125	proteins and oily pollutants from wastewater were evaluated.



Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the fabrication of the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF
membrane via the *in situ* modification approach.

**2. Experimental** 

```
132 2.1 Material
```

134	A commercial hollow fiber PVDF membrane was obtained from Ray-E Creative
135	Co., Ltd. (Taipei, Taiwan), and the examined PWF was $\sim 150 \text{ L/m}^2\text{h}$ , the BSA rejection
136	was approximately 90%, and the flux recovery rate was 80.2%. Styrene butadiene
137	rubber (SBR) was fully regenerated from discarded inner tires [38]. Toluene (purity of
138	99.8%), methanol (purity of 99.9%), ethanol (EtOH, purity of 99.8%), Tween 60 (purity
139	of 100%), kerosene (purity of >99%) and oil red O (MW = 408.49 g/mol) were
140	purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Reagent grade potassium hydroxide (KOH, purity of
141	85%) was supplied by Duksan Pure Chemicals Co. Ltd.

142	Bovine serum albumin (BSA) (MW = $67,000 \text{ g/mol}$ ), which was purchased from
143	Sigma Aldrich, was used as a model protein foulant. The BSA feed was prepared in
144	phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (pH = 7) at a concentration of $1.0 \text{ mg/ml}$ .
145	EGCG (purity of >98%) was provided by TCI (Shanghai) Development Co. Ltd.
146	
147	2.2 Fabrication of the hollow fiber SBR/PVDF composite membrane
148	
149	The SBR glue layer on the PVDF HFMs was prepared by a vacuum-assisted dip-
150	coating method [39] and a nonsolvent-induced phase separation (NIPS) process. As
151	shown in Fig. 1, 3 wt.% SBR was first introduced into toluene and magnetically stirred
152	overnight until the solutions were homogeneous (the optimal SBR concentration was
153	chosen by the water permeance test and SEM surface image observed, as shown in Fig.
154	S1). Two end sides of the dried PVDF membranes sealed with an epoxy resin were
155	coated with SBR solution by the dip-coating method at an immersion and withdrawal
156	rate of 120 mm/min at a vacuum degree of 1.0 atm for 30 s. Next, the membranes were
157	immersed in a coagulating bath (with the concentration of EtOH in water ranging from
158	0-100 vol%) for phase inversion and then treated with methanol and n-hexane to
159	completely remove the residual solvent by solvent exchange. Finally, the composite
160	membranes were dried under ambient conditions and employed in the hydrophilic

161 modification process.



163 Fig. 1. Preparation of the SBR/PVDF membrane by nonsolvent-induced phase164 separation.

- 165
- 166 2.3 Hydrophilic modification processes
- 167

168 The surface of the SBR/PVDF composite membrane was further modified with 169 hydrophilic chemical groups. The modification included a two-step reaction: (1) the 170 SBR skin layer of the composite membrane was epoxidized to form the ESBR/PVDF 171 membrane, and then (2) EGCG was coated onto the membrane surface via in situ 172 deposition to prepare the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane. Table S1 summarizes the fabrication conditions of the resulting membranes via the aforementioned modification 173 174 processes. 175 In the first step, the SBR intermediate layer was epoxidized *in situ* with an aqueous mixture of formic acid (>98 vol%) and hydrogen peroxide (30 vol%) with a mole ratio 176

177 of 1.5. The dried SBR/PVDF membranes were immersed in the mixture for 0.5-3 h,

178	and the excess solution was drained to obtain the ESBR/PVDF membrane. Next, the
179	EGCG was dissolved in a 0.25 M KOH solution at 85 °C overnight and magnetically
180	stirred to obtain a homogeneous coating solution containing 0.1 mg/ml EGCG. The
181	pristine membrane or the epoxidized membranes were immersed in the EGCG solution,
182	and the deposition reaction was performed for 2 to 12 h. The membrane was then
183	washed with distilled water until a neutral pH was reached. Afterward, the membranes
184	were dried overnight in an oven at 60 °C to obtain the EGCG-PVDF membrane or
185	EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane.

- 186
- 187 2.4 Characterization methods
- 188

189 The surface morphologies and the elemental maps of the membranes were 190 observed with field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Model JEOL 191 JSM-6700F from Hitachi Co., Japan) and an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) detector, respectively. The surface hydrophilicity of the membranes was determined by a contact 192 193 angle (CA) measuring instrument (KRÜSS Instruments, Mobile Drop GH11). All 194 reported CA data were obtained by using the sessile drop technique with deionized 195 water droplets; an average of three drops was applied to each surface. The surface roughnesses of the membrane were analyzed by atomic force microscopy (AFM; 196

197	Bruker Dimension Icon, Bruker, MA, USA). The chemical structure of the membranes
198	was measured by attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR)
199	spectroscopy using an FTIR-4100 spectrophotometer (JASCO, USA). All transmission
200	spectra were recorded in the range of 4000–600 cm <sup>-1</sup> at a scanning rate of 10 kHz with
201	a total of 16 scans. The molecular weight cutoffs (MWCOs) of the membranes were
202	assessed with rejection of polyethylene glycol (PEG) solutes with various molecular
203	weights ranging from 1 to 300 kDa in a 500 mg L <sup>-1</sup> feed solution. A photometer (WTW,
204	photoLab® S6, Germany) was used to determine the PEG concentrations of the feed
205	solutions and the permeate after filtration for 1 h. The rejection rate for each solute was
206	calculated with equation (2) and plotted against the molecular weight. Finally, the
207	MWCO of the membrane was estimated from 90% solute removal.
208	
209	2.5 Assessment of filtration and antifouling performance
210	
211	A lab-scale cross-flow filtration system step-up was used to evaluate the
212	permeation and separation performances of the fabricated membranes. A membrane

- 213 module consisting of a fiber with an effective length of 10 cm was used. The hollow
- fiber membranes were initially prepared by using deionized water at 2.5 bar for 30 min
- 215 in advance of the test. After compaction, the pure water flux (PWF,  $J_w$ , L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was

216 measured under a pressure of 2 bar and calculated with equation (1) [40]:

$$PWF\left(J_{w}, L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}\right) = \frac{V}{A \cdot \Delta t}$$
(1)

217

218 where V is the volume of permeate (L) collected in the determined time interval,  $\Delta t$  (h),

- 219 and A is the effective membrane area  $(m^2)$ .
- A filtration test with a model foulant was subsequently performed to measure the 220 221 anti-fouling properties of the resulting membrane. The foulant feed included both 1 222 mg/ml BSA aqueous solution for protein filtration studies and 1 mg/ml Tween 60-223 stabilized kerosene-in-water emulsions for the oil/water separation studies. During filtration, the permeate flux  $(J_f)$  was measured. The foulant rejection (R) was obtained 224 by using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (U-3900 Spectrophotometer from Hitachi 225 226 Co., Japan) to analyze the concentrations of foulant in the feed  $(C_f, mg/L)$  (wavelength at 280 nm for BSA protein, at 518 nm for oil red O) and the collected permeate ( $C_p$ , 227 228 mg/L), and *R* was calculated with equation (2) [41]:
- 229

$$Rejection (R, \%) = \frac{C_f - C_p}{C_f} \times 100\%$$
(2)

After filtration, the membranes were cleaned by backwashing with pure water for 30 min. The pure water flux  $(J_w)$  of the cleaned membrane was then reevaluated. To determine the antifouling properties of the membranes during the filtration process, the membrane fouling  $(R_m)$ , total fouling  $(R_l)$ , and irreversible and reversible fouling  $(R_{lr}$ and  $R_r)$  were calculated with equations (3), (4), (5), and (6), respectively [42]:

Membrane fouling 
$$(R_m, m^{-1}) = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu \times J_w}$$
 (3)

Total fouling 
$$(R_t, m^{-1}) = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu \times J_f}$$
 (4)

Irreversible fouling 
$$(R_{ir}, m^{-1}) = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu \times J_{w'}}$$
 (5)

Reversible fouling 
$$(R_r, m^{-1}) = R_t - R_m - R_{ir}$$
 (6)

237

where  $\Delta P$  is the transmembrane pressure (Pa);  $\mu$  is the permeate viscosity (Pa s);  $J_{w,l}$  is the PWF before foulant filtration; and the flux recovery rate (FRR), total flux decline ratio (FDR) and irreversible flux decline ratio (DR<sub>ir</sub>) were calculated with equations (7), (8), and (9), respectively [43]:

$$FRR\ (\%) = \frac{J_{w,2}}{J_{w,1}} \times 100\%$$
(7)

$$FDR (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{J_f}{J_{w,1}}\right) \times 100\% \tag{8}$$

$$DR_{ir} (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{J_{w,2}}{J_{w,1}}\right) \times 100\%$$
(9)

#### 243 **3. Results and discussion**

244

245 3.1 Characterization and separation performance of the SBR/PVDF composite
246 membrane

247

248 To investigate the influence of nonsolvents on the surface morphology of the membranes in the NIPS process, AFM and FE-SEM were used to evaluate the surface 249 properties of the composite SBR/PVDF membranes (Fig. 2). The SBR glue layer 250 251 fabricated in the nonsolvent with a low EtOH concentration (a and b) had an irregular 252 surface structure, and macropores were observed. Fig. 2c-e shows the membranes using 253 a 50 vol% and 75 vol% EtOH-water mixture and pure EtOH as nonsolvents. The surface 254 became smoother, and the size and quantity of pores were reduced with increasing 255 EtOH concentration. Similar results were obtained from AFM images of the change in 256 surface roughness (Fig. 2f-j). The average roughness (Ra) of the membrane surface decreased as the EtOH concentration was increased. The presence of EtOH in a 257 nonsolvent can lead to the formation of a relatively smooth membrane surface. The 258 259 obtained surface morphologies were in good agreement with the results of the protein separation experiment. 260





Solubility in a solvent may affect the liquid–liquid demixing speed during phase inversion [44]. The miscibility of the solvent and nonsolvent affects membrane formation, which can be determined by calculating the difference in the solubility parameters ( $\delta$ , MPa<sup>1/2</sup>) of the solvent and nonsolvent. The following equation can be used to predict the interaction parameters between solvent and nonsolvent [45]:

$$\delta_t = \sqrt{\delta_d^2 + \delta_p^2 + \delta_h^2} \tag{10}$$

$$\delta_d = x_1 \delta_{d1} + x_2 \delta_{d2} \tag{11}$$

$$\delta_p = x_1 \delta_{p1} + x_2 \delta_{p2} \tag{12}$$

$$\delta_h = x_1 \delta_{h1} + x_2 \delta_{h2} \tag{13}$$

where  $\delta_t$  is the total solubility parameter, and the contribution can be divided into three parts: dispersion force  $(\delta_d)$ , polar force  $(\delta_p)$  and hydrogen bonding force  $(\delta_h)$ ;  $x_i$  is the volume fraction of chemical compound i. The solubility parameters of toluene and nonsolvent are presented in Table 1.

276

277 The difference in solubility parameter,  $\Delta \delta_{toluene-NS}$ , between the toluene of the dip-278 coating dope and the nonsolvent system of the coagulating bath can be further 279 calculated:

$$\delta_{toluene-NS} = \sqrt{\left[ \left( \delta_{d,toluene} - \delta_{d,NS} \right)^2 + \left( \delta_{p,toluene} - \delta_{p,NS} \right)^2 + \left( \delta_{h,toluene} - \delta_{h,NS} \right)^2 \right]} \quad (14)$$

281	As shown in Table 1, the solubility difference decreased with increasing EtOH
282	concentration in the nonsolvent. The results were correlated with the surface structure
283	of the membranes (shown in Fig. 2), and the higher solubility difference resulted in the
284	formation of a macroporous structure. In contrast, a lower solubility difference caused
285	the formation of a denser structure. In other words, the microporous structure can be
286	inhibited by controlling the EtOH concentration in a nonsolvent. A similar change in
287	the surface morphologies of the rubber membranes in the EtOH-water nonsolvent
288	mixture was observed by Kuźmińska et al. [46]. Ren et al. [47] also studied the effect
289	of EtOH on the membrane microstructure and concluded that the diffusivity in the
290	solvent-nonsolvent system is a major controlling factor in the formation of the pore
291	structure.

**Table 1** The solubility parameter ( $\delta$ ) in toluene solvent [48] and various nonsolvents

Chemical	Solubility parameter (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )				$\sum (\Lambda S)^2$
compound	$\delta_t$	$\delta_d$	$\delta_p$	$\delta_h$	$\sqrt{\sum} (\Delta O_{toluene-NS})^{-}$
Toluene	18.2	18.0	1.4	2.0	-
H <sub>2</sub> O	47.8	15.6	16.0	42.3	42.9
25% EtOH	42.2	15.7	14.2	36.6	36.9
50% EtOH	36.8	15.7	12.4	30.9	31.0
75% EtOH	31.5	15.8	10.6	25.1	25.0
EtOH	26.5	15.8	8.8	19.4	19.0

293 [49]; differences in the solubility parameter in toluene–nonsolvent ( $\delta$  toluene-NS)

294

The pure water flux (PWF) and separation performance of the composite

295 SBR/PVDF membranes fabricated in the different nonsolvents are shown in Fig. 3. The

296 composite membranes coagulated in the mixtures with low EtOH concentrations (0 vol.%, 25 vol.% and 50 vol.%) had a higher pure water flux, approximately 101-105 L 297 m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, while the mixtures with higher EtOH concentrations showed a significant 298 299 decrease in pure water flux. However, the results of protein separation presented a different flux trend. The permeate flux of the membranes increased with the 300 concentration of EtOH, while the permeate flux showed a maximum value of 82 L m<sup>-2</sup> 301  $h^{-1}$  at 50 vol.% EtOH and then decreased to 50.3 L  $m^{-2} h^{-1}$  at 75 vol.% EtOH and 34.1 L 302  $m^{-2}$   $h^{-1}$  in pure EtOH. However, the BSA rejections of the different composite 303 membranes were nearly the same, approximately 95% or above, possibly because the 304 pore sizes of the membranes were all smaller than the BSA size. 305 306 There are two main reasons for the difference in the flux trend of pure water and 307 permeate. First, the high permeability of the membranes was in good agreement with 308 their macropores on the surface of the membrane, as confirmed by the SEM images (Fig. 2) and mean pore size (Table 1). In contrast, further increases in the EtOH 309 concentration led to dense surface formation, resulting in a decrease in pure water flux. 310 311 Second, the higher roughness of the membranes, as noted recently by researchers [50], 312 can easily cause the penetration/adhesion of foulants on the rough surface. Therefore, the resulting membrane at low EtOH concentrations had a lower permeate flux due to 313

314 fouling formation. According to the above results, a comparison of all membranes

315 showed that 50 vol.% EtOH was the most preferred choice as a nonsolvent because it 316 led to a smoother surface with a relatively higher porosity, so it was used to fabricate 317 subsequent membranes for hydrophilic modification.



319 Fig. 3. Fluxes and protein filtration efficiencies of the composite SBR/PVDF

320 membranes coagulated in different nonsolvent baths.

*3.2 Effect of the EGCG coating on the hydrophilic modification of the EGCG-ESBR* 

*layer* 

324	As shown in Fig. 4a, variations are apparent in the carbon double bond ( $C = C$ )
325	adsorption peak at 971 cm <sup>-1</sup> . This peak started at a maximum value and then decreased
326	during the epoxidation process until it was completely lost from the reaction. The
327	oxirane groups had relatively weaker adsorption at 1183 cm <sup>-1</sup> , corresponding to the
328	stretching of C–O–C, which increased with the epoxidation time. The adsorption of the
329	C=O groups at 1717 cm <sup>-1</sup> increased simultaneously due to the side reaction that took
330	place during the epoxidation reaction [36].
331	We examined the optimal reaction time for modifying the surface hydrophilicities
332	of the membranes and estimated it with the water contact angle (WCA) of the
333	membrane surface, as shown in Fig. 4b. The pristine SBR/PVDF composite membrane
334	had a high WCA of 99%. With the increase in the reaction time, the WCA of the
335	modified membranes (ESBR/PVDF) dramatically decreased to 68.2° until the reaction
336	time for the epoxidation reaction reached 1.5 h. The decrease in WCA occurred because
337	the hydrophilic oxirane group was formed on the epoxidized membrane surface.
338	Nevertheless, the WCA remained constant when the reaction time was extended to 3 h.
339	Thus, the optimal epoxidation time of the ESBR/PVDF membrane was 1.5 h, and this

#### 340 was used for the next modification step.



342 **Fig. 4.** Changes in the (a, c) ATR-FTIR spectra and (b, d) water contact angle (WCA)

of the composite membranes undergoing epoxidation and EGCG coating as a function
of the reaction time. (e) ATR-FTIR spectra of the EGCG coating on the ESBR/PVDF
membrane as a function of reaction time at wavenumbers of hydroxyl groups at 3500–
3300 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

347	In the next reaction step, the FTIR spectra of the EGCG-coated membranes
348	(EGCG-ESBR/PVDF) made with different coating times are shown in Fig. 4c. The
349	EGCG-coated membrane has a relatively distinct absorption peak in the range of 3800-
350	3200 cm <sup>-1</sup> , representing the stretching vibration of the OH group, and a weaker
351	absorption peak at 1695 and 1596 cm <sup>-1</sup> due to the C=O functional group [51]. Both of
352	these bands increased in intensity with longer reaction times, verifying the presence of
353	EGCG on the membrane surface.
354	Fig. 4d shows the WCAs of the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membranes. As expected, a
355	decreasing trend in the WCA was observed with increasing coating time from 2 h to 8
356	h, and the WCA of the modified membranes decreased to approximately $46^{\circ}$ and
357	remained constant for 8 to 12 h. Furthermore, the change trend of hydrophilicity can be
358	proven by observing the interaction of hydrogen bonds between EGCG and ESBR in
359	the FTIR spectra. As shown in Fig. 4e, the absorption peak of the hydroxyl group at
360	3400 cm <sup>-1</sup> in the spectrum of the ESBR/PVDF membrane is redshifted to 3393 cm <sup>-1</sup>
361	and 3381 cm <sup>-1</sup> after coating times of 2-8 h and 10-12 h, respectively. It is suggested that
362	the combination of EGCG and ESBR shows a successful adhesive interaction of ESBR
363	and EGCG through an effective hydrogen bond. The results showed that enhanced
364	hydrophilicity was acquired with the increase in EGCG deposition due to the presence
365	of a hydroxyl group on the resultant membrane surface. Therefore, 8 h is the most

366 appropriate coating time for fabricating a highly hydrophilic membrane.

367

#### 368 3.3 Mechanism of the modification reaction

369

The possible mechanism of the proposed two-step modification reaction is illustrated in Fig. 5. In the first step, the epoxidation reaction occurs on the surface of the SBR glue layer, resulting in the formation of oxirane groups. In the second step, the basicity can first induce a ring-opening reaction of the oxirane groups of the ESBR glue to form hydroxyl groups, which react with the hydroxyl groups of EGCG during a condensation reaction (click reaction), enabling hydrogen bonding between EGCG and



the membrane surfaces.

377

378 Fig. 5. Synthetic pathway for (a) the epoxidation of SBR glue and (b) coating of the

379 EGCG.

380 3.4 Effect of hydrophilic modification on surface properties and separation
 381 performance

382

The pristine PVDF membrane was used as a model to investigate the impact of a straight EGCG coating (EGCG-PVDF) and an ESBR intermediate layer-assisted EGCG coating (EGCG-ESBR/PVDF) on the surface properties and separation performance. As shown in Fig. 6a, the presence of EGCG on the modified membranes decreased from  $62.7\pm2^{\circ}$  for the PVDF membrane to  $50.1\pm1.8^{\circ}$  and  $45.1\pm1.9^{\circ}$  for the EGCG-PVDF and EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membranes, respectively.

389



391	Fig. 6. (a) WCAs of the pristine and modified membranes; (b) retention curves of the
392	resulting membranes used to determine the apparent MWCO values; (c) fluxes and BSA
393	rejection rates of the pristine and modified membranes; (d) comparison of recently
394	reported materials with hydrophilic-modified PVDF membranes (PVDF-g-polySBMA
395	[52], both P(VDF-co-CTFE)-g-PMAA-g-fPEG [53] and PVDF-g-PAA@FOOH [54]
396	in 1.0 mg/ml BSA solutions).

To determine the molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) of the pristine and modified 398 399 membranes, different molecular weights of polyethylene glycols (PEGs) (1, 4, 20, 35, 100 and 300 kDa) were used as feed solutions in pressure filtration tests. As shown in 400 401 Fig. 6b, all of the membranes showed MWCO values of approximately 100 to 300 kDa. 402 The MWCO value of the EGCG-ESBR membrane was similar to that of the pristine 403 membrane. However, the EGCG-PVDF membrane showed a lower MWCO value than 404 the other membranes, consistent with the surface morphology observed by SEM images (Fig. S2d-f). As expected, the pore size of the membrane surface decreased when 405 EGCG was directly coated onto the pristine membrane (Fig. S2e). In contrast, for the 406 EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane, the surface morphology still maintained the pore 407 408 structure after EGCG coating (Fig. S2f). As illustrated in Fig. S3, the chemical 409 elemental compositions of the fabricated membrane were measured by using an energy

410 dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) unit attached to the SEM. This result clearly indicated 411 the presence of higher O signals in the bulk of the EGCG-PVDF membrane than in the 412 EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane. This result proved that the ESBR glue layer prevented 413 deposition of the EGCG inside the pores and that an EGCG layer was efficiently 414 deposited onto the membrane surface, which altered the surface properties. The effect of the SBR glue layer on the hydrophilic modifications of the PVDF 415 416 membrane performance is shown in Fig 6c. The PWF and BSA permeate fluxes of the 417 EGCG-PVDF membrane were significantly decreased by 43% and 41%, respectively, compared to those of the pristine membrane. In marked contrast, the EGCG-418 419 ESBR/PVDF membrane showed only slight reductions of 25% and 26% for the PWF 420 and permeate fluxes, respectively. Moreover, the BSA rejection rate of the membrane 421 increased from 91.7% for the PVDF membrane to 95–96% for the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF 422 membrane, which indicated a higher rejection rate with a lower flux decline. As shown by the above surface analyses, the coated ESBR glue layer assisted in the deposition of 423 the EGCG selective layer on the membrane surface. Thus, EGCG effectively improved 424 425 the separation performance of the PVDF membrane without a negative impact on the 426 flux resulting from pore clogging. The performance of the modified membrane was 427 compared to that for the hydrophilic-modified PVDF membrane, as shown in Fig. 6d. The EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane not only showed a lower decline in pure water 428

429	flux than the other modified membranes but also showed a competitive rejection rate
430	during BSA filtration. More importantly, our modified membrane does not use
431	hydrophilic modifiers, which are expensive or difficult to obtain and require
432	cumbersome and high-energy fabrication processes. Therefore, the coated ESBR glue
433	layer improved the pore structure and hydrophilicity of the membrane surface, which
434	improved the permeability after modification.

## 436 *3.5 Anti-fouling performance and the reliability test*

437

To further investigate the anti-fouling properties of the membrane, the cyclic 438 439 filtration performances were evaluated, as shown in Fig. 7a. During each cycle, the BSA 440 permeate flux of the pristine and modified membranes declined rapidly at the start of 441 filtration, especially the pristine membrane, which experienced an obvious decrease in PWF and BSA permeate flux over time during each filtration cycle. Generally, rejected 442 443 protein molecules were easily deposited on the PVDF membrane surface and even 444 inside the membrane pores. This implied that the membrane underwent severe and irreversible membrane fouling due to adsorption/deposition of the proteins [27, 55]. 445 446 Membrane fouling was aggravated, and the FRR value of the pristine membrane had subsequently decreased from 95.8% to 80.2% after the fourth filtration cycle, as shownin Fig. 7b.

449	Compared to the pristine membrane, the permeate flux of the modified membrane
450	can be maintained at an appropriate value during operation, especially the EGCG-
451	ESBR/PVDF membrane. In marked contrast, the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane
452	showed a higher permeate flux during the fourth filtration cycle than the pristine
453	membrane (Fig. 7a). Moreover, as shown in Fig. 7b, the EGCG-PVDF membrane
454	demonstrated a similar trend in the FRR value to the pristine membrane, while the
455	EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane showed only a slight decrease in the FRR value from
456	99.9% to 91.1% after the fourth cycle (Fig. 7b). This was due to the introduction of the
457	ESBR glue layer, which precisely anchored the hydrophilic layer on the membrane
458	surface through hydrophilic modifications with the EGCG coating. Thus, the EGCG-
459	ESBR hydrophilic layer exhibited the benefit of flux recovery and, after BSA filtration,
460	the pure water flux of the membrane was restored to its original value by simple
461	flushing.



<ul> <li>membranes in the 4-cycle protein filtration experiments; (b) FRR values after different</li> <li>numbers of filtration cycles. (c) The long-term filtration stability evaluation of the</li> <li>EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane (after the cyclic filtration test) during a continuous</li> <li>operation for pure water permeation and BSA filtration (The membrane has been stored</li> <li>under atmospheric air over 6 months).</li> </ul>	463	Fig. 7. (a) The fluxes of the pristine PVDF, EGCG-PVDF and EGCG-ESBR/PVDF
<ul> <li>numbers of filtration cycles. (c) The long-term filtration stability evaluation of the</li> <li>EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane (after the cyclic filtration test) during a continuous</li> <li>operation for pure water permeation and BSA filtration (The membrane has been stored</li> <li>under atmospheric air over 6 months).</li> </ul>	464	membranes in the 4-cycle protein filtration experiments; (b) FRR values after different
<ul> <li>466 EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane (after the cyclic filtration test) during a continuous</li> <li>467 operation for pure water permeation and BSA filtration (The membrane has been stored</li> <li>468 under atmospheric air over 6 months).</li> </ul>	465	numbers of filtration cycles. (c) The long-term filtration stability evaluation of the
<ul><li>467 operation for pure water permeation and BSA filtration (The membrane has been stored</li><li>468 under atmospheric air over 6 months).</li></ul>	466	EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane (after the cyclic filtration test) during a continuous
468 under atmospheric air over 6 months).	467	operation for pure water permeation and BSA filtration (The membrane has been stored
	468	under atmospheric air over 6 months).

----

469

....

\_ \_ \_ \_

~

470 The resistance-series model was used to quantitatively analyze the antifouling 471 properties of the membrane in detail, as shown in Fig. 8. The total resistance  $(R_t)$  of the 472 EGCG-PVDF membrane was the highest compared to those of the other membranes due to the larger proportion of membrane resistance  $(R_m)$  at 62%. Generally, the 473 474 membrane resistance to fouling depends on the surface morphologies of the membrane 475 [56]. Thus, this result indicates that the decrease in the pore size of the membrane caused by the direct EGCG coating increases the resistance in the membrane, which 476 477 could result in a dramatic decrease in the permeance of the membrane.



479 Fig. 8. Resistance analysis of PVDF, EGCG-PVDF and EGCG-ESBR/PVDF for BSA
480 filtration.

481 The EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane exhibited a higher reversible resistance  $(R_r)$ 482 of 50% and a lower membrane resistance  $(R_m)$  of 46% despite having an increase in total resistance compared to the pristine membrane. Moreover, the irreversible 483 resistance  $(R_{ir})$  significantly decreased to 4%, in contrast to that of the EGCG-PVDF 484 485 membrane. The surface morphologies and hydrophilic characterization results confirmed that EGCG can bind onto only the near-skin layer rather than the inner-pore 486 487 surface during the coating process with the help of the ESBR glue on the surface of the PVDF membrane. The above result was further verified by an observation of membrane 488 surface properties. The FTIR spectra and AFM images of the PVDF membrane and the 489 EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane are shown in Fig. 9. It can be clearly seen from FTIR 490 491 spectra for the fouled membranes (after the cyclic filtration test) cleaned by water, the

492	amide I band at 1600 to 1700 cm <sup>-1</sup> was still observed on the surface of the cleaned
493	PVDF membrane, which is related to the BSA protein residues [57]. Moreover, the
494	surface roughness of the cleaned PVDF membrane was significantly increased to 224
495	nm from 109 nm of the pristine PVDF membrane. In contrast, the cleaned EGCG-
496	ESBR/PVDF membrane didn't be observed the visible intensity of BSA protein in the
497	FTIR spectra as well as an increase in roughness on the membrane surface after long-
498	term operation. However, it is noteworthy that the membrane roughness has slightly
499	decreased instead of increasing, which could be attributed to the compacted membrane
500	during the cyclic filtration rather than the effect of residual solutes. It means that
501	EGCG-ESBR layer is beneficial to suppressing the residual BSA protein on the
502	membrane surface.

. . . .

1

... .

. . .

. . . .



504 Fig. 9. FTIR spectra (a1 and b1) and AFM images (a2, 3 and b2, 3) of the PVDF and505 the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membranes.

507	The long-term stability of the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane was also further
508	evaluated through a continuous operation time at 12 h for pure water permeation and
509	then 6 h for BSA filtration, respectively. This membrane has been tested the cyclic
510	filtration and then stored under atmospheric air over 6 months. As shown in Fig. 7c, the
511	long-term stored membrane maintained a similar PWF and permeate flux after the long-
512	term storage. Moreover, the rejection of membrane during long-term BSA filtration was
513	a constant value of >98%. However, a slight decrease in the flux was observed during
514	long-term operating time, which could be attributed to the transport model in the
515	outside-in system. The membrane is expected to be more compact during the long-term
516	operation, resulting in an increase in transport resistance. This phenomenon has been
517	verified by the above AFM roughness observed (Fig. 7b2 and b3). Overall, although
518	the performance was not as ideal as expected due to the operating condition limitation,
519	the modified membrane showed good stability for long-term continuous operation.
520	A schematic diagram of the fouling process is displayed in Fig 10. The EGCG-
521	PVDF membrane shows the worst surface property because of the inaccurate deposition
522	of EGCG on the surface of the PVDF membrane. EGCG could not effectively increase

523 the hydrophilicity of the membrane surface due to partial permeation into the interpore 524 of the PVDF membrane and formed the hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the matrix, 525 limiting the improvement of the anti-pollution ability. Compared to the EGCG-PVDF 526 membrane, the hydration layer was formed on the surface of the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane due to the hydrophilicity of EGCG, which prevented foulants from 527 528 becoming trapped or aggregating in the pores and valleys of the membrane surface. 529 Thus, the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane exhibited good separation performance and long-term anti-fouling ability due to its excellent surface properties. 530



532 **Fig. 10.** Schematic illustration of the difference in the fouling process between

- 533 EGCG-PVDF and EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membranes.
- 534
- 535
- 536 *3.6 Oil/water emulsion separation performance*
- 537



- 539 for oil-in-water emulsion separation. As shown in Fig. 11a, a similar initial pure water
- 540 flux decays between the pristine membrane and the modified membrane. However, the

permeation flux of the pristine membrane dropped quickly (from 94.5 to 43.1 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-</sup> 541 <sup>1</sup>) during the 60 min filtration of the oil/water emulsion. The FDR of the pristine 542 543 membrane reached 55%, and the FRR recovered to 91% after cleaning Fig. 11b). In 544 contrast, the modified membrane showed a lower decline in the permeation flux (from 65 to 47 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), the Drir was lower than 1%, and the FRR reached 99%. According 545 546 to the surface wetting properties of the membrane before and after modification (Fig. 547 6a and Fig. 11c), greater improvements in hydrophilicity and oleophobicity were observed. This improvement occurred because of the introduction of the EGCG-ESBR 548 549 selective layer on the membrane surface, which helped improve the membrane hydrophilicity.



551 As shown in Fig. 11d, the feeds and filtrates are displayed in the optical 552 photographs, while many microscale droplets can be seen in the original emulsions. 553 After filtration, no droplets could be detected in the filtered pollutants. Moreover, as 554 shown in Fig. 11e, the modified membrane exhibited stable and high oil rejection during 555 three-time cycle filtration. The anti-fouling performance was further proven by an underwater-oil-adhesion experiment, as shown in Fig. 11f. Compared to the EGCG-556 557 PVDF membrane, the oil droplet can remain in shape when touched and left the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane rather than deform and stay on the membrane surface. It was 558 clear that the highly hydrophilic surface of the modified membrane showed an anti-559

560 fouling ability to avoid oil droplets adhering to the membrane (see the Supplementary Video). This result indicated that the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane successfully 561 562 realized oil-in-water emulsion separation and demonstrated the excellent reusability of 563 the membrane, indicating the excellent surface hydrophilicity and underwater 564 oleophobicity of the EGCG-ESBR deposited on the PVDF membrane. In addition, a comparison of the comprehensive performance for newly developed 565 566 anti-fouling PVDF membranes reported in the literature and the fabricated membrane in this work is shown in Table 2, showing that the EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membrane 567 presented promising anti-fouling performance. This result indicated that the novel 568 EGCG-ESBR layer is beneficial for enhancing the anti-fouling ability of the membrane, 569 570 which exhibited good reusability after recycling. In addition, this modification process 571 with the use of reuse and natural materials is not only environmentally friendly but also 572 cost-effective. As discussed above, the novel method of hydrophilic modification is 573 competitive in the separation membrane for organic wastewater treatment.



**Fig. 11.** (a) Time-dependent normalized fluxes and (b) anti-fouling properties of the pristine membrane and modified membrane in the oil/water emulsion. (c) Underwater oil contact angle (OCA) of the membranes. (d) Optical microscopy images before and after filtration of kerosene in water. (e) The oil rejection of the modified membrane after every cycle during emulsion separation. (f) Real-time recorded underwater-oiladhesion experiment on EGCG-PVDF and EGCG-ESBR/PVDF membranes.

Table 2		ו אוויז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז א		141103 101 011-111-Wall	enoremun	
Membrane	Oil-in-water emulsions	Environmentally Friendly	Recycle time	Recycle changing rate (R, %)	Rejection properties (%)	Ref.
Ag/EGCG-PVDF	SDS emulsified 0.1% diesel	Middle	2	48	>95.4	[58]
TA-Ti@PVDF	Tween 80 emulsified 1.0% petroleum ether	Middle	10	28	99.3	[59]
TA/DEDAPS PVDF	SDS emulsified 0.1% petroleum ether	Middle	9	3.7	>99.2	[09]
Cellulose-TA-PVA-PVDF	CTAB emulsified 0.2% sunflower	High	30	3.1	6.66	[61]
EGCG-ESBR/PVDF	Tween 60 emulsified 0.1% kerosene	High	ς	$\overline{\lor}$	>99.5	This work

#### 583 Conclusion

584 Using a green and facile approach, we designed a green EGCG-ESBR hydration 585 layer to improve the hydrophilic surface of the PVDF membrane. To the best of our 586 knowledge, this is the first work that reports a strategy for fabricating high anti-fouling 587 performance membranes through glue attachment. This approach effectively prevented closing or shrinking of the pores and maintained the surface morphology while 588 improving the membrane performance. The EGCG-ESBR/PVDF demonstrated 589 590 superior protein separation performance to that of the pristine PVDF membrane. The 591 SBR glue layer coated on the membrane surface not only reduced the surface roughness 592 but also enhanced the precise anchoring of the hydrophilic EGCG coating. Under the 593 optimized conditions, EGCG-ESBR/PVDF exhibited the best antifouling performance 594 during BSA rejection (94.5%) and oil rejection (>99.5%). Moreover, the resulting membrane showed remarkable stability during multiple filtration cycles and long-term 595 596 test. If desired, even higher water fluxes should be achievable by further optimizing the 597 thickness of the glue layer on the membrane surface while using another highly 598 hydrophilic modifier as the selective layer. In the future, reliability estimates for use 599 with industrial wastewater and longer operating times will confirm the application 600 potential in practical wastewater. In summary, this work provides new insights into the hydrophilic modifications of membrane surfaces for improving separation performance 601 39

602 and fouling resistance.

603

604 I	Declaration	of interests
-------	-------------	--------------

- 605 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or
- 606 personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this
- 607 paper.

608

#### 609 Acknowledgment

- 610 Financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) in
- 611 Taiwan (Project numbers: MOST 109-2813-C-005-013-E, and MOST 109-2221-E-
- 612 005-083-MY3) is gratefully acknowledged.

- 614 Nomenclature
  - $\Delta P$  filtration pressure (Pa)
  - A effective membrane area  $(m^2)$
  - BSA bovine serum albumin
  - CA contact angle
  - DR<sub>ir</sub> irreversible flux decline ratio
  - EGCG epigallocatechin gallate
  - ESBR epoxidized styrene–butadiene–styrene block copolymer membrane

FDR	flux decline ratio
FRR	flux recovery rate
$J_{f}$	permeation flux (L m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )
$J_{w,}$	water flux $(L m^{-2} h^{-1})$
$J_{w}$ ,	water flux after cleaning $(L m^{-2} h^{-1})$
MWCO	molecular weight cutoff
NIPS	nonsolvent-induced phase separation
NS	nonsolvent
PET	polyethylene terephthalate
PS	polystyrene
PSF	polysulfone
PVDF	polyvinylidene fluoride
PWF	pure water flux
R	foulant rejection (%)
Rir	irreversible fouling (m <sup>-1</sup> )
$R_m$	membrane fouling (m <sup>-1</sup> )
R <sub>r</sub>	reversible fouling (m <sup>-1</sup> )
$R_t$	total fouling (m <sup>-1</sup> )
SA	sulfonic acid group
SBR	styrene-butadiene-styrene block copolymer
t	filtration time (h)
V	volume of permeate (L)
WCA	water contact angle
OCA	underwater oil contact angle
x	volume fraction

- $\delta_d$  solubility parameter of dispersion force
- $\delta_h$  solubility parameter of hydrogen bonding force
- $\delta_p$  solubility parameter of polar force
- $\Delta\delta$  difference in solubility parameter
- $\mu$  permeate viscosity (Pa s)
- 615

#### 616 **References**

- 618 [1] A. Mojiri, M.J. Bashir, Wastewater Treatment: Current and Future Techniques,
  619 Water 14(3) (2022) 448, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w14030448</u>
- [2] C.Y. Tang, Z. Yang, H. Guo, J.J. Wen, L.D. Nghiem, E. Cornelissen, Potable Water
  Reuse through Advanced Membrane Technology, Environ. Sci. Technol. 52(18)
  (2018) 10215-10223, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00562.
- [3] F. Liu, N.A. Hashim, Y. Liu, M.R.M. Abed, K. Li, Progress in the production and
  modification of PVDF membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 375(1) (2011) 1-27,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.03.014.
- [4] J.A. Kharraz, A.K. An, Patterned superhydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)
  membranes for membrane distillation: Enhanced flux with improved fouling and
  wetting resistance, J. Membr. Sci. 595 (2020) 117596,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117596.
- [5] J. Guo, M.U. Farid, E.-J. Lee, D.Y.-S. Yan, S. Jeong, A. Kyoungjin An, Fouling
  behavior of negatively charged PVDF membrane in membrane distillation for
  removal of antibiotics from wastewater, J. Membr. Sci. 551 (2018) 12-19,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.01.016.
- [6] W. Deng, T. Fan, Y. Li, In situ biomineralization-constructed superhydrophilic and
  underwater superoleophobic PVDF-TiO<sub>2</sub> membranes for superior antifouling
  separation of oil-in-water emulsions, J. Membr. Sci. 622 (2021) 119030,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.119030.
- [7] K. Samree, P.-u. Srithai, P. Kotchaplai, P. Thuptimdang, P. Painmanakul, M.
  Hunsom, S. Sairiam, Enhancing the antibacterial properties of PVDF membrane by
  hydrophilic surface modification using titanium dioxide and silver nanoparticles,
  Membranes 10(10) (2020) 289, https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0375/10/10/289.
- [8] Y. Wang, Q. Li, W. Miao, P. Lu, C. You, Z. Wang, Hydrophilic PVDF membrane
  with versatile surface functions fabricated via cellulose molecular coating, J.

- 644
   Membr.
   Sci.
   640
   (2021)
   119817,

   645
   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.119817.
   119817,
- [9] Y.-C. Lin, G.-L. Zhuang, P.-F. Tasi, H.-H. Tseng, Removal of protein, histological
  dye and tetracycline from simulated bioindustrial wastewater with a dual pore size
  PPSU membrane, J. Hazard. Mater. 431 (2022) 128525,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2020.02.005.
- [10] S. Sinha Ray, R. Dangayach, Y.-N. Kwon, Surface engineering for anti-wetting
  and antibacterial membrane for enhanced and fouling resistant membrane
  distillation performance, Chem. Eng. J. 405 (2021) 126702,
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126702</u>.
- [11] A.P. Straub, E. Asa, W. Zhang, T.H. Nguyen, M. Herzberg, In-situ graftpolymerization modification of commercial ultrafiltration membranes for long-term
  fouling resistance in a pilot-scale membrane bioreactor, Chem. Eng. J. 382 (2020)
  122865, <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122865</u>.
- [12] Y.-C. Lin, K.-M. Liu, P.-L. Chiu, C.-M. Chao, C.-S. Wen, C.-Y. Wang, H.-H. Tseng,
  Enhancing the hydrophilicity and biofoulant removal ability of a PVDF
  ultrafiltration membrane via π-π interactions as measured by AFM, J. Membr. Sci.
  661 641 (2022) 119874, <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.119874</u>.
- [13] Y.-C. Lin, C.-M. Chao, D.K. Wang, K.-M. Liu, H.-H. Tseng, Enhancing the
  antifouling properties of a PVDF membrane for protein separation by grafting
  branch-like zwitterions via a novel amphiphilic SMA-HEA linker, J. Membr. Sci.
  665 624 (2021) 119126, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.119126.
- [14] K. Nayak, B.P. Tripathi, Molecularly grafted PVDF membranes with in-air
  superamphiphilicity and underwater superoleophobicity for oil/water separation,
  Sep. Purif. Technol. 259 (2021) 118068,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118068.
- [15] Z.-Y. Xi, Y.-Y. Xu, L.-P. Zhu, Y. Wang, B.-K. Zhu, A facile method of surface
  modification for hydrophobic polymer membranes based on the adhesive behavior
  of poly(DOPA) and poly(dopamine), J. Membr. Sci. 327(1) (2009) 244-253,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.11.037.
- [16] ] X. Huang, W. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Wang, Z. Zhang, W. Fan, L. Li, Treatment of oily
  waste water by PVP grafted PVDF ultrafiltration membranes, Chem. Eng. J. 273
  (2015) 421-429, <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.03.086</u>.
- [17] F. Liu, C.-H. Du, B.-K. Zhu, Y.-Y. Xu, Surface immobilization of polymer brushes
  onto porous poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane by electron beam to improve the
  hydrophilicity and fouling resistance, Polymer 48(10) (2007) 2910-2918,
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2007.03.033</u>.
- 681 [18] W. Shao, H. Ma, T. Yu, C. Wu, Z. Hong, Y. Xiong, Q. Xie, Antifouling PVDF

- Membrane by Surface Covalently Anchoring Functionalized Graphene Quantum
  Dots, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 59(45) (2020) 20168-20180,
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c04360.
- [19] X.-Z. Wei, J. Yang, B.-K. Zhu, Y.-Y. Xu, G.-L. Zhang, Porous poly(vinylidene
  fluoride) membrane modified with hyperbranched poly(amine-ester), Polym.
  Advan. Technol. 23(5) (2012) 850-857,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pat.1979.
- [20] Z. Wu, J. Tian, L. Wu, C. Zhang, A hydrophilic coating capable of withstanding
  acid and alkali to modify PVDF membrane, J. Water Process Eng. 45 (2022) 102519.
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102519</u>.
- [21] G. Zin, J. Wu, K. Rezzadori, J.C.C. Petrus, M. Di Luccio, Q. Li, Modification of
  hydrophobic commercial PVDF microfiltration membranes into superhydrophilic
  membranes by the mussel-inspired method with dopamine and polyethyleneimine,
  Sep. Purif. Technol. 212 (2019) 641-649,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.10.014.
- [22] N. Daems, S. Milis, R. Verbeke, A. Szymczyk, P.P. Pescarmona, I.F.J. Vankelecom,
  High-performance membranes with full pH-stability, RSC Advances 8(16) (2018)
  8813-8827, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA13663C</u>.
- Y. Zhuang, D. Li, P. Ding, Z. Xu, W. Jing, Sulfonic acid-grafted polyvinylidene
  fluoride electrospun mats as electro-Fenton reactor membrane components, RSC
  Advances 7(46) (2017) 29193-29199, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA04660J</u>.
- [24] X. Tang, X. Yan, Dip-coating for fibrous materials: mechanism, methods and
  applications, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 81(2) (2017) 378-404,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-016-4197-7</u>.
- [25] S.R. Ravichandran, C.D. Venkatachalam, M. Sengottian, S. Sekar, B.S.
  Subramaniam Ramasamy, M. Narayanan, A.V. Gopalakrishnan, S. Kandasamy, R.
  Raja, A review on fabrication, characterization of membrane and the influence of
  various parameters on contaminant separation process, Chemosphere 306 (2022)
  135629, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135629.
- [26] P. Wang, J. Ma, Z. Wang, F. Shi, Q. Liu, Enhanced Separation Performance of
  PVDF/PVP-g-MMT Nanocomposite Ultrafiltration Membrane Based on the NVPGrafted Polymerization Modification of Montmorillonite (MMT), Langmuir 28(10)
  (2012) 4776-4786, https://doi.org/10.1021/la203494z.
- [27] F. Chen, X. Shi, X. Chen, W. Chen, Preparation and characterization of amphiphilic
  copolymer PVDF-g-PMABS and its application in improving hydrophilicity and
  protein fouling resistance of PVDF membrane, Appl. Surf. Sci. 427 (2018) 787-797,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.08.096.
- 719 [28] J. Lv, G. Zhang, H. Zhang, C. Zhao, F. Yang, Improvement of antifouling

- performances for modified PVDF ultrafiltration membrane with hydrophilic
  cellulose nanocrystal, Appl. Surf. Sci. 440 (2018) 1091-1100,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.01.256.
- [29] I.V. Maggay, C.-J. Wu, H.-R. Guo, X.-L. Liao, C.-J. Chou, Y. Chang, Y.-F. Lin, A.
  Venault, Superhydrophobic SiO<sub>2</sub>/poly(vinylidene fluoride) composite membranes
  for the gravity-driven separation of drug enantiomers from emulsions, J. Membr.
  Sci. 618 (2021) 118737,
- 727 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118737</u>.
- [30] C. Sun, X. Feng, Enhancing the performance of PVDF membranes by hydrophilic
   surface modification via amine treatment, Sep. Purif. Technol. 185 (2017) 94-102,
   <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.05.022</u>.
- [31] J.-H. Li, X.-S. Shao, Q. Zhou, M.-Z. Li, Q.-Q. Zhang, The double effects of silver
  nanoparticles on the PVDF membrane: Surface hydrophilicity and antifouling
  performance, Appl. Surf. Sci. 265 (2013) 663-670,
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.11.072</u>.
- [32] G.-d. Kang, Y.-m. Cao, Application and modification of poly(vinylidene fluoride)
  (PVDF) membranes A review, J. Membr. Sci. 463 (2014) 145-165,
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.03.055</u>.
- [33] L. Han, Y.Z. Tan, C. Xu, T. Xiao, T.A. Trinh, J.W. Chew, Zwitterionic grafting of
  sulfobetaine methacrylate (SBMA) on hydrophobic PVDF membranes for
  enhanced anti-fouling and anti-wetting in the membrane distillation of oil emulsions,
  J. Membr. Sci. 588 (2019) 117196,
- 742 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117196</u>.
  743 [34] S. Legeay, M. Rodier, L. Fillon, S. Faure, N. Clere, Epigallocatechin gallate: a
- [34] S. Legeay, M. Rodier, L. Fillon, S. Faure, N. Clere, Epigallocatechin gallate: a
  review of its beneficial properties to prevent metabolic syndrome, Nutrients 7(7)
  (2015) 5443-5468, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7075230</u>.
- [35] I. Koyuncu, B. Yavuzturk Gul, M.S. Esmaeili, E. Pekgenc, O. Orhun Teber, G.
  Tuncay, H. Karimi, S. Parvaz, A. Maleki, V. Vatanpour, Modification of PVDF
  membranes by incorporation Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Xanthan gum to improve anti-fouling, antibacterial, and separation performance, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 10(3) (2022) 107784,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.107784.
- [36] J.M. Yang, S.C. Tsai, Biocompatibility of epoxidized styrene–butadiene–styrene
  block copolymer membrane, Mater. Sci. Eng. C 30(8) (2010) 1151-1156,
  <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2010.06.014</u>.
- [37] H. Qiao, M. Chao, D. Hui, J. Liu, J. Zheng, W. Lei, X. Zhou, R. Wang, L. Zhang,
  Enhanced interfacial interaction and excellent performance of silica/epoxy groupfunctionalized styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR) nanocomposites without any
  coupling agent, Compos. B Eng. 114 (2017) 356-364,

- 758 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.02.021</u>.
- [38] G.-L. Zhuang, H.-H. Tseng, M.-Y. Wey, Facile synthesis of CO<sub>2</sub>-selective
  membrane derived from butyl reclaimed rubber (BRR) for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> separation,
  J. CO2. Util. 25 (2018) 226-234,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2018.04.003.
- 102<u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2018.04.003</u>.
- [39] J.-Y. Li, H.-H. Tseng, M.-Y. Wey, Tuning thermal expansion behavior and surface
  roughness of tubular Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates for fabricating high-performance carbon
  molecular sieving membranes for H<sub>2</sub> separation, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy. 44(45)
  (2019) 24746-24758,

767 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.07.196</u>.

- [40] M. Purnima, T. Paul, K. Pakshirajan, G. Pugazhenthi, Onshore oilfield produced
  water treatment by hybrid microfiltration-biological process using kaolin based
  ceramic membrane and oleaginous Rhodococcus opacus, Chem. Eng. J. 453 (2023)
  139850, <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139850</u>.
- [41] H. Jafarian, M. Dadashi Firouzjaei, S. Aghapour Aktij, A. Aghaei, M. Pilevar
  Khomami, M. Elliott, E.K. Wujcik, M. Sadrzadeh, A. Rahimpour, Synthesis of
  heterogeneous metal organic Framework-Graphene oxide nanocomposite
  membranes for water treatment, Chem. Eng. J. 455 (2023) 140851,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.140851.
- [42] T.C.A. Ng, Z. Lyu, Q. Gu, L. Zhang, W.J. Poh, Z. Zhang, J. Wang, H.Y. Ng, Effect
  of gradient profile in ceramic membranes on filtration characteristics: Implications
  for membrane development, J. Membr. Sci. 595 (2020) 117576,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117576.
- [43] N. Debnath, A. Kumar, T. Thundat, M. Sadrzadeh, Investigating fouling at the
  pore-scale using a microfluidic membrane mimic filtration system, Sci. Rep. 9(1)
  (2019) 10587, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47096-6</u>.
- [44] G.R. Guillen, Y. Pan, M. Li, E.M.V. Hoek, Preparation and characterization of
  membranes formed by nonsolvent induced phase separation: a review, Ind. Eng.
  Chem. Res. 50(7) (2011) 3798-3817 https://doi.org/10.1021/ie101928r.
- [45] H.-H. Tseng, G.-L. Zhuang, Y.-C. Su, The effect of blending ratio on the
  compatibility, morphology, thermal behavior and pure water permeation of
  asymmetric CAP/PVDF membranes, Desalination 284 (2012) 269-278
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.09.011.
- [46] A. Kuźmińska, D. Kwarta, T. Ciach, B.A. Butruk-Raszeja, Cylindrical
  polyurethane scaffold fabricated using the phase inversion method: influence of
  process parameters on scaffolds' morphology and mechanical properties, Materials
  14(11) (2021) 2977, https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/11/2977.
- 795 [47] C. Ren, Y. Zhang, Q. Xu, T. Tian, F. Chen, Effect of non-solvent from the phase

- 796 inversion method on the morphology and performance of the anode supported 797 microtubular solid oxide fuel cells, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy. 45(11) (2020) 6926-798 6933, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.104.
- 799 [48] Y.J.T. Hirai, Solvent-induced phase-inversion and electrical actuation of dielectric 800 (2011)copolymer films, Mater. Sci. Appl. 2(3)187-195, 801 https://doi.org/10.4236/msa.2011.23023.
- [49] C. Jin, C. Yang, F. Chen, Effects on microstructure of NiO-YSZ anode support 802 803 fabricated by phase-inversion method, J. Membr. Sci. 363(1) (2010) 250-255, 804 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.07.044.
- 805 [50] N. Nasrollahi, S. Aber, V. Vatanpour, N.M. Mahmoodi, Development of 806 hydrophilic microporous PES ultrafiltration membrane containing CuO 807 nanoparticles with improved antifouling and separation performance, Mater. Chem. 222 808 (2019)338-350, Phys. 809 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2018.10.032.
- 810 [51] J. Kazi, R. Sen, S. Ganguly, T. Jha, S. Ganguly, M. Chatterjee Debnath, Folate 811 decorated epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) loaded PLGA nanoparticles; in-vitro 812 and in-vivo targeting efficacy against MDA-MB-231 tumor xenograft, Int. J. Pharm. 813 Pharm. 585 (2020)119449, 814 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpharm.2020.119449.
- 815 [52] Y.-C. Chiang, Y. Chang, A. Higuchi, W.-Y. Chen, R.-C. Ruaan, Sulfobetaine-816 grafted poly(vinylidene fluoride) ultrafiltration membranes exhibit excellent 817 antifouling J. Membr. Sci. 339(1) (2009)151-159, property, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.04.044. 818
- 819 [53] S. Shen, Y. Hao, Y. Zhang, G. Zhang, X. Zhou, R.B. Bai, Enhancing the Antifouling Properties of Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) Membrane through a 820 821 Novel Blending and Surface-Grafting Modification Approach, ACS Omega 3(12) 822 (2018) 17403-17415, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02569.
- 823 [54] J. Chen, X. Meng, Y. Tian, X. Wang, J. Zhu, H. Zheng, L. Wang, Fabrication of a 824 superhydrophilic PVDF-g-PAA@FeOOH ultrafiltration membrane with visible 825 light photo-fenton self-cleaning performance, J. Membr. Sci. 616 (2020) 118587, 826 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118587.
- 827 [55] J. Lv, G. Zhang, H. Zhang, F. Yang, Graphene oxide-cellulose nanocrystal (GO-CNC) composite functionalized PVDF membrane with improved antifouling 828 829 performance in MBR: Behavior and mechanism, Chem. Eng. J. 352 (2018) 765-830 773, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.07.088.
- [56] ] F. Meng, S.-R. Chae, A. Drews, M. Kraume, H.-S. Shin, F. Yang, Recent advances 831 in membrane bioreactors (MBRs): Membrane fouling and membrane material, 832 833 Water Res. 43(6) (2009)1489-1512,

834 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.044</u>.

- [57] X. Shi, D. Li, J. Xie, S. Wang, Z. Wu, H. Chen, Spectroscopic investigation of the
  interactions between gold nanoparticles and bovine serum albumin, Chinese Sci.
  Bull. 57(10) (2012) 1109-1115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-011-4741-3</u>.
- [58] N. Zhang, N. Yang, L. Zhang, B. Jiang, Y. Sun, J. Ma, K. Cheng, F. Peng, Facile
  hydrophilic modification of PVDF membrane with Ag/EGCG decorated
  micro/nanostructural surface for efficient oil-in-water emulsion separation, Chem.
  Eng. J. 402 (2020) 126200,
- 842 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126200</u>.
- [59] J. Wu, Z. Hou, Z. Yu, J. Lang, J. Cui, J. Yang, J. Dai, C. Li, Y. Yan, A. Xie, Facile
  preparation of metal-polyphenol coordination complex coated PVDF membrane for
  oil/water emulsion separation, Sep. Purif. Technol. 258 (2021) 118022,
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118022.
- [60] Y. Sun, Y. Zong, N. Yang, N. Zhang, B. Jiang, L. Zhang, X. Xiao, Surface
  hydrophilic modification of PVDF membranes based on tannin and zwitterionic
  substance towards effective oil-in-water emulsion separation, Sep. Purif. Technol.
  234 (2020) 116015, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116015.
- [61] J. Gao, J. Wang, Q. Xu, S. Wu, Y. Chen, Regenerated cellulose strongly adhered
  by a supramolecular adhesive onto the PVDF membrane for a highly efficient
  oil/water separation, Green Chem. 23(15) (2021) 5633-5646,
  https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC01998H.