

University of Notre Dame Australia ResearchOnline@ND

Theses

2012

The effect of an evidence based bowel protocol on time taken to return to normal bowel function in post operative total hip and total knee replacement patients

Gail Ross-Adjie University of Notre Dame Australia

Follow this and additional works at: http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theses
Part of the <u>Nursing Commons</u>

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA Copyright Regulations 1969

WARNING

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further copying or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act. Do not remove this notice.

Publication Details

Ross-Adjie, G. (2012). The effect of an evidence based bowel protocol on time taken to return to normal bowel function in post operative total hip and total knee replacement patients (Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)). University of Notre Dame Australia. http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theses/84

This dissertation/thesis is brought to you by ResearchOnline@ND. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses by an authorized administrator of ResearchOnline@ND. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.



THE EFFECT OF AN EVIDENCE BASED BOWEL PROTOCOL ON TIME TAKEN TO RETURN TO NORMAL BOWEL FUNCTION IN POST OPERATIVE TOTAL HIP AND TOTAL KNEE REPLACEMENT PATIENTS

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

PhD in Nursing

School of Nursing and Midwifery

The University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle

Gail Ross-Adjie RN MClinNurs

22 October 2012

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
Title	i
Table of contents	ii
Appendices	vii
List of tables	viii
List of figures	ix
Abstract	x
Declaration	xii
Acknowledgements	xiii
Chapter 1 – Introduction	1
Background and Context	1
Incidence of Constipation	3
General population	3
Incidence in orthopaedic patients	3
Causes	4
Complications	4
Statement of Purpose	5
Hypotheses	5
Definition of Terms	6
Significance	8
Summary and Organisation of Thesis	9

Chapter 2 – Review of the Literature	10
Part One	11
Search Strategy	11
Normal Bowel Function	11
Incidence of Constipation	12
Role of opioid analgesia	15
Mechanism of opioid action	15
Psychoactive drugs	17
Gender incidence	19
Summary of Incidence	19
Causes and Contributing Factors	19
Gender differences	19
Age, fluid and fibre intake and exercise	21
Race and socioeconomic status	24
Summary of Causes and Contributing Factors	25
Complications	25
Impact on quality of life	25
Complications	26
Reported deaths	27
Economic burden	28
Summary of Complications	31
Treatment Modalities	31
Traditional laxatives	31
Alternative therapies	37
New treatments	40

Summary of Treatment Modalities	41
Local, National and International Constipation Guidelines	41
Local guidelines	41
National guidelines	42
International guidelines	44
Summary of Guidelines	46
Summary of Literature Review	47

Part Two

Baseline Audit	48
Development of the Murdoch Bowel Protocol®	51
Validity of the Murdoch Bowel Protocol [©]	56
Summary of Development of the Murdoch Bowel Protocol®	62

Chapter 3 – Frame of Reference	63
---------------------------------------	----

Summary of the Chapter	73
------------------------	----

Chapter 4 – Methods	74
Design	74
Setting	77
Sample	78
Instrument and Materials	84
Data Collection	85
Training	85
Procedure	87

Interrater Variability	89
Data Analysis	90
Analysis between control and intervention groups	90
Ethical Considerations	91
Chapter 5 – Results	94
Data Analysis	94
Baseline comparison of complete and incomplete cases	97
Baseline comparison of control and intervention group variables	98
Group effect for post-operative variables	100
Comparison of days to normal between groups	101
Group effect for post discharge variables	102
Logistic regression for normal bowel function at day five	104
Generalised linear model for days to normal bowel function	106
Effect of cluster randomisation technique	108
Chapter 6 – Discussion	110
Summary of Findings	112
Comparison of complete and incomplete cases	112
Baseline comparison of variables	112
Effect of possible confounding post-operative variables	114
A comparison of days to normal between groups	114
Post discharge comparison of variables	115

Variables associated with normal bowel function by	
day five	116
Variables affecting days to normal bowel function	117
Summary of Study Findings	117
Comparing the Conceptual Framework with the Empirical Evidence	119
Data Collection Issues	120
Interrater Reliability	121
Limitations and Strengths	122
Limitations	122
Strengths	123
Application of the Murdoch Bowel Protocol [©] to Clinical Practice	124
Summary of the Chapter	125
Chapter 7 – Conclusion, Implications and Recommendations	127
Future Research Directions	128

Summary of Recommendations	129
Clinical nursing	129
Future research	129
Education	129

Appendices

Appendix A	Bristol Stool Chart	130
Appendix B	Summarised Results of Literature Search	131
Appendix C	Letter to Orthopaedic Surgeons	160
Appendix D	Data Collection Form	161
Appendix E	Control Hospital Stool Recording Chart	163
Appendix F	Intervention Hospital Stool Recording and Medication Administration Chart	164
Appendix G	Caregiver Information Sheet	165
Appendix H	Patient Information Letter	166
Appendix I	Patient Consent Form	170
Appendix J	HREC approval: The University of Notre Dame Australia	171
Appendix K	HREC approval: St John of God Health Care	172
Appendix L	Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registration and Universal Trial Number	173
Appendix M	HREC approval for study amendment: St John of God Health Care	175
Appendix N	HREC approval for study amendment: The University of Notre Dame Australia	176

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Summary of opioid receptor response	17
Table 2.2	Summary of systematic review findings	35
Table 3.1	Stressors affecting the post-operative arthroplasty patient	68
Table 4.1	Pre-study estimation of minimum proportion of patients required for sampling	79
Table 4.2	Actual proportion of patients sampled	79
Table 4.3	Final number of patients analysed	80
Table 4.4	Summary of sampling procedure	82
Table 5.1	Comparison of baseline variables for incomplete and complete cases	97
Table 5.2	Baseline comparison of control and group variables	99
Table 5.3	Overall group effect for post-operative variables	101
Table 5.4	Days to normal between control and intervention groups	102
Table 5.5	Overall group effect for post discharge variables	104
Table 5.6	Variables associated with days to normal bowel function at day five	106
Table 5.7	Variables associated with days to normal bowel function	108

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Baseline clinical audit results	51
Figure 2.2	Bristol Stool Chart	54
Figure 2.3	Murdoch Bowel Protocol [®]	57
Figure 2.4	Comparisons of clinical audit results at baseline and one year post implementation of the Murdoch Bowel Protocol [®]	59
Figure 3.1	The Neuman Systems Model	69
Figure 3.2	Model of nursing care for the management of constipation in post-operative arthroplasty patients	70
Figure 4.1	Study design flowchart	76
Figure 5.1	CONSORT Diagram	96

References

177

Abstract

Total hip and knee replacement operations are one of the most commonly performed orthopaedic procedures in Australia. It is estimated however that up to 65% of patients will experience some degree of opioid-related bowel dysfunction in the post operative period. Often considered a mild and selflimiting problem, constipation can lead to significant morbidity and occasional mortality. Several clinical incidents and a lack of robust evidence to guide bowel management in this cohort was the impetus for this study.

This cluster randomised study sought to evaluate the Murdoch Bowel Protocol[®], a simple nursing intervention based on the administration of polyethylene glycol (Movicol[®]) titrated to Bristol Stool Chart type. The Neuman Systems Model was the theoretical framework used to guide this study. The hypothesis was that patients who undergo a knee or hip replacement and receive the study bowel protocol will experience a statistically significant reduction in time taken to return to normal bowel function compared with patients who receive standard bowel management.

Three hundred and thirty one patients were recruited across seven hospitals in two Australian states over a 13 month period. Five hospitals were randomised as controls, two hospitals as interventions. Data was collected from all patients at three intervals: pre-admission, during admission and post discharge. Control participants (n = 171) received post operative bowel management as per that hospital or doctors usual regime whilst intervention participants (n = 160) received post operative bowel management as per the Murdoch Bowel Protocol[®].

Inferential statistics confirmed several highly statistically significant results as well as clinically significant outcomes. Patients treated with the Murdoch Bowel Protocol[®] returned to normal bowel function more quickly than those

х

treated with ad hoc post operative bowel regimes (p = 0.000). In addition intervention patients were more than seven times more likely than controls to return to normal bowel function by day five post operatively (p = 0.000). Age, gender and length of pre-operative fasting were not found to influence this result. Type of anaesthetic was significant with patients who received combined regional and general anaesthesia returning to normal bowel function around two days less than those who received a general anaesthetic (p = 0.014). Type of operation was also significant with total knee replacement patients taking on average one extra day to return to normal bowel function (p = 0.027). Use of the generalised linear mixed model confirmed no cluster effect. These results confirm and support the study hypothesis.

These results support practice changes not only for hip and knee replacement patients but for other patient groups who experience opioid induced bowel dysfunction. Further research will determine whether the protocol is as efficacious in these patient groups.

Declaration

I certify that this thesis does not, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- Incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any institution of higher learning;
- ii. Contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the reference is made in the text; or
- iii. Contain any defamatory material.

Manada Signatur₋

Gail Ross-Adjie

Date: 22 October 2012

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude and thanks to the following people and organisations without whom this doctoral work would not have been possible.

Firstly I would like to thank my husband, children, friends and family for their unwavering support while I have been undertaking this study.

My sincere thanks to my supervisors Professor Leanne Monterosso RN RM PhD and Professor Max Bulsara PhD for their ongoing support, guidance, encouragement and assistance. My thanks also to Professor Selma Alliex RN PhD who encouraged me to undertake this study and supported my early efforts.

I would like to acknowledge the support of St John of God Health Care, in particular Group Director of Nursing Kate Birrell, Directors of Nursing from all participating hospitals and nursing caregivers involved in the study. The study would not have been possible without your help. I am also grateful to Adam Coleman Director of Nursing at St John of God Murdoch Hospital for supporting my leave whilst writing up this study.

I would also like to acknowledge and sincerely thank the Nurses Memorial Centre Melbourne and Miss Rosemary Norman whose generosity in enabling the Reginald 'Babe' Norman scholarship supported my PhD. © Gail Ross-Adjie

This thesis is copyright. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), no part of this publication may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission.

Inquiries should be addressed to the author Gail Ross-Adjie.