ResearchOnline@ND

University of Notre Dame Australia ResearchOnline@ND

Physiotherapy Papers and Journal Articles

School of Physiotherapy

2011

Factors associated with older patients' engagement in exercise after hospital

discharge

Anne-Marie Hill *University of Notre Dame Australia,* Anne-Marie.Hill@nd.edu.au

Tammy Hoffmann

Steven McPhail

Christopher Beer

Keith D. Hill

See next page for additional authors

 $Follow\ this\ and\ additional\ works\ at:\ http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article$

C Part of the <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>, and the <u>Physiotherapy Commons</u>

This article was originally published as:

Hill, A., Hoffmann, T., McPhail, S., Beer, C., Hill, K. D., Brauer, S. G., & Haines, T. P. (2011). Factors associated with older patients' engagement in exercise after hospital discharge. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 92 (9), 1395-1403. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2011.04.009

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article/16. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.



Authors

Anne-Marie Hill, Tammy Hoffmann, Steven McPhail, Christopher Beer, Keith D. Hill, Sandra G. Brauer, and Terrence P. Haines

This is the author version of an article published as:

Hill Anne-Marie, Hoffmann Tammy, McPhail Steven, Beer Christopher, Hill Keith D, Brauer Sandra G, Haines Terrence P. 2011 Factors associated with older patients' engagement in exercise after hospital discharge. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 92(9):1395-403. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.04.009.

Title

Factors associated with older patients' engagement in exercise after hospital discharge.

Authors

Dr Anne-Marie Hill, School of Physiotherapy, Institute for Health Research The University

of Notre Dame Australia Email: <u>Anne-Marie.Hill@nd.edu.au</u>

Tammy Hoffmann, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University

Christopher Beer, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Western Australia

Steven McPhail, School of Public Health and Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation,

^dCentre for Functioning, Disability and Health Research ,Queensland Health

Keith Hill, School of Physiotherapy, La Trobe University

Sandra Brauer, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland

Terrence Haines, School of Primary Health Care, Allied Health Research Unit Kingston Centre, Monash University

Objectives

To identify factors that are associated with older patients' engagement in exercise in the 6 months following hospital discharge.

Design

A prospective observational study using qualitative and quantitative evaluation.

Setting

Follow up of hospital patients in their home setting after discharge from a metropolitan general hospital.

Participants

Participants (n=343) were older patients (mean age79.4±8.5 years) discharged from medical, surgical and rehabilitation wards and followed up for 6 months after discharge.

Interventions

Not applicable.

Main outcome measures

Self perceived awareness and risk of falls measured at discharge using a survey that addressed elements of the Health Belief Model. Engagement and self reported barriers to engagement in exercise measured at 6 months after discharge using a telephone survey.

Results

Six months after discharge 305 participants remained in the study of whom 109 (35.7%) were engaging in a structured exercise program. Multivariable logistic regression analysis demonstrated participants were more likely to be engaging in exercise if they perceived they were at risk of serious injury from a fall (OR 0.61, 95% CI=0.48, 0.78, P<0.001), if exercise was recommended by the hospital physiotherapist (OR 1.93, 95% CI=1.03, 3.59, P=0.04) and if they lived with a partner (OR 1.97, 95% CI=1.18, 3.28, P=0.009). Barriers to exercise identified by 168 (55%) participants included low self efficacy, low motivation, medical problems such as pain and impediments to program delivery.

Conclusions

Older patients have low levels of engagement in exercise following hospital discharge. Researchers should design exercise programs that address identified barriers and facilitators and provide education to enhance motivation and self efficacy to exercise in this population.

Key words

patient discharge; self efficacy; exercise; aged

1 Introduction

2

Older patients are at high risk of falls following a stay in hospital.^{1, 2} Falls may be linked to 3 4 other adverse events that occur during this period including functional decline, onset of disability, unplanned re-admission to hospital, and reduced health related quality of life.³⁻⁸ 5 Participation in exercise programs has been shown to reduce falls and improve mobility and 6 function amongst community dwelling people.⁹⁻¹¹ There is also emerging evidence that 7 exercise may be beneficial for older patients recently discharged from hospital.¹²⁻¹⁴ 8 9 The efficacy of exercise programs can be limited by poor participation levels. Older people 10 are often reluctant to engage in falls prevention activities, especially exercise.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Factors 11 associated with low exercise participation rates include low self efficacy, low self perceived 12 risk of falling, fear of falling, positive attitude to exercise or no previous history of 13 exercise.^{16, 17 20, 21} Trials that have provided exercise interventions aimed at reducing falls 14 rates have reported low levels of engagement by older people who identify barriers such as 15 being sufficiently active and poor cognitive, physical or psychological function.²²⁻²⁴ A recent 16 17 randomized controlled trial (RCT) that provided falls prevention exercises to a post discharge population reported an adherence rate of 69% to the exercise intervention.¹⁴ This was 18 19 achieved with daily 30 minute individual training sessions in hospital by a physiotherapist in addition to regular physiotherapy prior to discharge and a printed handout. 20 21 22 However the factors predicting older patients' engagement in exercise following hospital 23 discharge are not known, in spite of the increased falls risk in this population. Potentially

24 effective interventions such as exercise will have no effect if older people perceive

insurmountable barriers to engaging in these recommended behaviors.²⁵ Therefore 25 researchers need to understand the facilitators and barriers to engaging in exercise in the post 26 discharge period. Previous studies have concluded that older peoples' attitudes and beliefs 27 affect their adherence to falls prevention and other exercise programs^{15-17, 20, 26} and therefore 28 should be measured together with other factors of interest. The Health Belief Model (HBM) 29 is a recognised health behavior model²⁷ that provides an empirically supported framework to 30 measure these factors and has been used previously to understand older peoples' awareness 31 and self perceived risk of falls and falls injuries.^{28, 29} The HBM conceptualises that a person 32 will engage in protective health behavior if they: i) perceive they are at risk of contracting a 33 negative health condition; ii) perceive that its consequences will be severe enough to 34 adversely affect their health; iii) consider that the benefits of engaging in the health behavior 35 outweigh the costs of undertaking it; iv) receive a cue to engage in the behavior. Additionally 36 the person requires self efficacy to engage in the action.²⁷ The aim of this study was to 37 explore and identify factors that are associated with engagement in a structured exercise 38 program in the 6 months following discharge from hospital. The study also aimed to identify 39 40 older patients' self-perceived barriers to engagement in exercise during this period.

41

42 Methods

43

- 44 Design
- 45

A prospective observational study using quantitative and qualitative evaluation was
undertaken through two cross-sectional survey waves (at hospital discharge and 6 months
following discharge).

49

50 Participants and setting

51

52 Participants (n=343) were a cohort of consecutively enrolled patients who were discharged from general medical, surgical, stroke or rehabilitation wards of one hospital. Participants 53 were part of a multi-site RCT (n=1206) that investigated the effect of an education 54 intervention on falls rates in hospital.³⁰ Patients were eligible for inclusion in this trial if they 55 56 were over 60 years old, had been admitted to a participating ward (and not previously 57 enrolled in this study) and they (or their family) provided written consent. As part of the RCT, approximately two thirds of the cohort in this study (n=243, 70.8%) had received 58 59 inpatient falls prevention education in addition to their usual care; the education aimed to empower them to reduce their hospital falls risk (such as ringing the patient call bell for 60 61 assistance and being aware of hazards in the hospital environment). No exercise program was prescribed as part of the intervention though participation in hospital rehabilitation programs 62 was encouraged. Additionally since the present study was an observational follow up to the 63 inpatient RCT, no advice about exercise or falls prevention after discharge was provided to 64 participants. The remaining participants (n=100, 29.2%) received usual care. Usual care for 65 all participants included assessment by discharge teams, discharge information about 66

67 community services and delivery of home support services and ongoing medical and therapy68 outpatient services as required.

69

70 Outcome measures

71

72 The primary outcome measures were:

73 i. Participants' attitudes and beliefs about falls

74 These included awareness and self perceived risk of falls and falls injuries and self efficacy to reduce their risk of falling in the 6 months following discharge from hospital. These items 75 were measured with a face to face survey administered at the point of discharge from 76 77 hospital. The survey items (shown in table 2) were scored using a five point Likert scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree). The survey items were designed using the constructs of 78 the HBM^{31, 32} and also based on a previously designed survey that examined older peoples' 79 attitudes and beliefs about falls and was tested in an older hospital population.²⁸ 80 81 82 ii. Participants' reported engagement in structured exercise. Exercise was defined as a structured program that included strength and balance training, not including 83 household activity or walking and was classified as supervised or unsupervised, 84

85 conducted by a health care professional or other exercise provider and completed in a

group or using a home program. The definition for exercise was based on guideline
recommendations for exercise for older adults^{10, 11}, therefore household activity alone
or walking alone was not classified as a structured program.

89

90 iii. Participants' self-perceived barriers to engaging in structured exercise and their recall
91 of recommendations to engage in exercise at or after discharge
92 Response options for items ii) and iii) (shown in table 3) consisted of a multiple choice
93 format and additional open-ended response. These items were measured at 6 months
94 following hospital discharge using a telephone survey conducted at the conclusion of each
95 participant's time in the study.

96

97 Other data collected at discharge were age, gender, medical diagnosis on admission, discharge destination (community alone, community with partner, community with other, 98 residential care facility), length of stay in hospital, whether or not the participant fell during 99 100 hospital admission, mobility status on discharge (independently mobile, independently mobile with aid, other), visual impairment (presence or absence of glaucoma, cataracts 101 (untreated) or age-related macular degeneration), cognitive status using the Short Portable 102 Mental Status Questionnaire (SPMSQ),³³ mood using the Geriatric Depression Scale,³⁴ and 103 highest education level attained (primary, secondary, technical college, university). 104

105

106 Procedure

107

Research assistants collected discharge measures for each participant within 48 hours of discharge from hospital and administered the face to face survey, in an interview prior to the participant's discharge. The research assistants did not offer any information about exercise or ask participants about their proposed participation in exercise or other activities, so as not to artificially prompt participation. Participants were telephoned at 6 months following discharge from hospital and administered the telephone survey. Open ended responses allowed the research assistants to clarify the type of exercise program reported. Participants
with cognitive impairment were able to be assisted by their support person or carer to respond
to the discharge survey and telephone survey, and research assistants clarified participants'
responses with their support person if required. After completing the final survey, participants
were given information about local falls prevention programs and if required, assistance to
contact the relevant program providers.

120

121 Statistical analysis

122

123 Baseline characteristics of participants were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

Associations between independent and dependent variables were explored using univariable 124 logistic regression analyses. The dependent variable was whether the participant had engaged 125 in exercise following discharge. The analysis considered two definitions for engagement in 126 exercise. The first was whether participants had commenced and sustained engagement in 127 exercise for the 6 months following discharge. The second was whether participants had 128 129 commenced but not sustained their engagement in exercise in the 6 months following discharge. Univariable regression analyses were conducted for these two dependent variables. 130 131 The independent variables were participants' demographic characteristics, such as age and diagnosis, participants' attitudes and beliefs about falls such as their self -perceived risk of 132 falls and self efficacy to reduce their falls risk, and whether participants recalled that a health 133 professional had recommended that they engage in exercise. A multiple regression model that 134 adjusted for each participants' time in the study after discharge was then constructed using a 135 model building process described by Hosmer and Lemeshow.³⁵ Independent variables with an 136 association below a pre-determined criteria (P=0.25) were entered into the preliminary 137 multivariable model. A backwards stepwise procedure was then used to reduce the number of 138

predictors within the multivariable model until all remaining predictors had associations with P<0.05. The preliminary model was tested for goodness of fit using Chi square statistic and finally all borderline variables were added back into the model to check for significance. The final model contained only independent variables with an association of P<0.05.

143

Data for the whole cohort were analyzed first then sub group analyses were performed to
identify any association between the randomized groups in the larger hospital RCT and
engagement in exercise. Data management and analysis were completed using Stata version
10.0 software (StataCorp, Texas).

148

Data obtained from survey items that required verbatim responses were coded using 149 qualitative description,³⁶ whereby the data were presented using quantitative summary 150 (number and percentages) combined with qualitative description of participants' responses. 151 The principal investigator separated verbatim responses with multiple themes into individual 152 response items and coded items using the direct wording of the response to group similar 153 emerging themes into categories.³⁷ Categories were labeled according to how the responses 154 conceptualized the barriers that prevented participants' engagement in exercise and responses 155 156 within each category were broken down into smaller concepts. Categories and concepts were reviewed by two other investigators before final labeling. Finally data were re-examined by 157 the three investigators to evaluate whether the final categories and concepts adequately 158 described all participants' responses. Any disagreements were arbitrated by a fourth 159 investigator. 160

161

162 This study was approved by the local hospital ethics committee and The University of163 Queensland Medical Research Ethics Committee.

Results 164

165

166	There were 350 participants enrolled in the RCT at the study site. Of these 350, 6
167	participants died and one withdrew in hospital leaving 343 participants in the discharge
168	cohort. Participants' characteristics are presented in table 1. There were 90 (26.2%)
169	participants who were classified as having cognitive impairment based on scoring less than 8
170	out of 10 on the SPMSQ. ³³ The research assistants interviewed 333 (97.1%) of the
171	participants at discharge to administer the survey. Ten participants were unable to be
172	interviewed because of earlier than anticipated discharge from hospital. During the 6 month
173	follow-up period, 27 participants died, 7 participants were lost to follow up and 4 participants
174	withdrew from the study. Therefore 305 participants were administered the final survey.
175	
176	Participants' responses to the survey that examined awareness and self-perceived risk of falls
177	and self efficacy to reduce risk of falls are presented in table 2. Only 3.6% of participants
178	disagreed or strongly disagreed that an older person could sustain a serious injury if they fell,
179	but 39.3% disagreed or strongly disagreed that they personally would sustain a serious injury
180	if they fell. Participants' reported engagement in exercise when surveyed at the 6 month point
181	following discharge is presented in table 3. There were 109 (35.7%) of the remaining 305
182	participants who reported that they were engaging in exercise when surveyed at 6 months
183	after hospital discharge.
184	
185	Univariable logistic regression analyses (shown in table 4) demonstrated that participants
186	were significantly more likely to be engaging in exercise if they were living with a partner,
187	recalled the physiotherapist recommending that they do exercise and perceived at discharge

recalled the physiotherapist recommending that they do exercise and perceived at discharge

that they could sustain a serious injury if they fell. Participants were significantly less likely 188

to be engaging in exercise if they lived alone or could not recall that a health professional,
such as a physiotherapist or doctor had recommended that they engage in exercise. There was
no significant association between participants' age, gender, medical diagnosis, education,
visual impairment, cognition, mood or use of a walking aid at discharge, falling in hospital
and participants' engagement in exercise.

194

When analyses were repeated the dependent variable being whether the participant had
commenced but not sustained their engagement in exercise during the 6 months following
discharge, there was one change to the association between independent and dependent
variables. This was that participants who reported completing education to secondary school
level were significantly less likely to engage in exercise [odds ratio 0.65, 95% confidence
interval= 0.42, 1.00, *P*-value=0.05].

201

Multivariable analysis (shown in table 5) indicated that independent predictors of 202 engagement in exercise were if participants were living with a partner, if participants recalled 203 204 their physiotherapist recommending that they do exercise and perceived that they could sustain a serious injury if they fell. Participants were less likely to engage in exercise if they 205 206 only perceived that they would sustain a mild injury (such as a skin cut or bruise) if they fell. The multivariable model correctly classified 68.31% of the predicted participation in exercise 207 (sensitivity 32.14%, specificity87.32%, positive predictive value 57.14%, negative predictive 208 value 70.99%). 209

210

There was no association between group allocation in the RCT and engagement in exercise
programs after discharge, indicating that the falls prevention inpatient education intervention
was unlikely to be associated with engagement in exercise following discharge.

215	There were 188 (61.6%) participants who responded that they were not at present engaging in
216	exercise and of these 168 (89.4%) responded to the survey item that asked them to identify
217	one or more self - perceived barriers to engaging in exercise. This included 46 of the 54
218	participants who reported that they had commenced but not sustained their engagement in
219	exercise. Participants' responses (n=220, shown in figure 1) were classified into three major
220	categories according to the type of barrier reported: attitude (n=123, 55.9%), medical (n=67,
221	30.5%), program delivery (n=30, 13.6%).

223 Discussion

224

This study identified that older patients have low levels of engagement in exercise after discharge and that self - perceived risk of injury from a fall and other social and emotional factors affect engagement in exercise. Older patients also experienced numerous barriers to engaging in exercise after discharge. The most frequently reported barriers included low self efficacy, such as a belief that exercise was not necessary and medical barriers such as experiencing pain on engaging in exercise.

231

Only 35% of participants surveyed reported participating in an exercise program following 232 discharge. This contrasts with evidence that older patients are at increased risk of falls during 233 this period^{1, 2} and that exercise improves function and reduces falls in older populations.⁹⁻¹¹ 234 Exercise programs most often consisted of one formal session per week which is below the 235 levels recommended to improve and maintain health in older adults. ^{10, 11} Although 38 236 237 (12.4%) participants reported that they engaged in other physical activity, such as walking or housework, these physical activities alone also do not meet the levels recommended for older 238 adults^{10, 11} and there is evidence that walking programs alone may increase the risk of falls.³⁸ 239 240 About one third of participants engaged in exercise were attending a group and over half were completing a home program. These findings confirm that older patients may require 241 choice of programs after discharge,¹⁷ such as group exercise which includes social support¹⁷, 242 ³⁹ or a home program which may also be appealing.^{15, 19} A large community survey found that 243 while 36% of older people were willing to do home exercises only 22% were willing to 244 attend a group program¹⁹ and a study that prescribed falls prevention exercises reported that 245 completing home based exercises resulted in increased adherence and reduced drop out when 246 compared to a centre based program.⁴⁰ 247

248

This is the first study to the authors' knowledge to examine older patients' beliefs about the 249 risk of falling and their engagement in exercise in the post discharge period. Responses 250 251 identified that 88% of participants agreed that a fall could result in a serious injury but only 53% agreed that they personally could sustain a serious injury from a fall. This was 252 noteworthy because the analysis indicated that only participants who believed that they were 253 254 at risk of serious injury were significantly likely to be engaging in exercise. Over threequarters of participants agreed that older people were at risk of falls following discharge but 255 only 37% thought that they were personally at risk and even personal awareness of risk did 256 not predict engagement in exercise. These two results support the premise of the HBM which 257 postulates that even when people are aware of the risk to health, they need to perceive that the 258 threat to their health is serious enough to warrant behavior change.²⁷ These findings are also 259 supported by studies conducted in community populations which have reported that older 260 people were aware of falls prevention messages but viewed the information as not personally 261 relevant and rated their own personal risk of falls as low.^{16,41} 262 263

Participants who lived at home with a partner were significantly more likely to be engaging 264 265 in exercise and those who reported that they had been recommended to do exercise by the hospital physiotherapist were nearly twice as likely to be engaging in exercise following 266 discharge. A previous study conducted in a falls clinic reported that low adherence to 267 prescribed exercises was associated with living alone.⁴² Other studies have identified that 268 older peoples' engagement in exercise is improved with support and peer encouragement^{39, 43,} 269 ⁴⁴ and that recommendations by a health professional are associated with uptake of 270 exercise.^{19, 43} These findings may also be explained by the HBM in two ways. First 271 272 recommendations to commence exercise may be an important cue to action. Second,

273 encouragement from a physiotherapist or the participants' partner may have facilitated development of participants' self efficacy to engage in exercise. Since older patients who 274 275 have been recently discharged from hospital are at high risk of falls, functional decline and onset of disability^{1, 4, 6} this population may need individualized training to successfully 276 engage in exercise. Programs that have provided individualized falls prevention exercise 277 instruction delivered by a physiotherapist reported a greater than 50% adherence to exercise 278 in high risk populations.^{14, 22, 42} Additionally since some participants could not recall advice 279 and identified that limited awareness or availability of relevant programs prevented 280 engagement in exercise, program delivery may also form a barrier to the translation of 281 research evidence about falls prevention into practice.⁴⁵ Staff may require education to 282 provide formal recommendations and education for patients at discharge, as well as 283 structured program delivery that enhances older patients' ability to engage in exercise after 284 discharge. 285

286

287 The barrier to engagement in exercise that was most frequently identified by participants was 288 attitude to exercise, including low self efficacy, believing that exercise was unnecessary, dislike of exercise and being too fearful to engage in exercise. These attitudes have also been 289 reported in studies in general community populations.^{15, 16, 25, 26} The HBM theorises that 290 health providers should explore older peoples' attitudes and beliefs about their risk of falls to 291 aid in providing tailored education that alerts older people to the risk of falls, provides 292 information about the potential benefits of engaging in exercise and aids in development of 293 self efficacy to engage in exercise.²⁷ Additionally about one third of participants who were 294 not exercising identified medical barriers to exercise such as pain, even at 6 months after 295 discharge. Medical problems have been described as barriers to engaging in falls prevention 296 programs and physical activity in general older populations.^{17, 18, 26} Patients may need 297

ongoing support following discharge to overcome medical barriers that prevent engagement
in exercise and other physical activities. Other studies have concluded that older patients
require additional rehabilitation after discharge,⁵ and that more attention is required to ensure
effective transition from hospital to home^{8, 46} and promote increased activity levels after
hospitalization.⁴ Further studies are required to confirm the factors that were identified in this
study as facilitating engagement in exercise programs in this population.

304

305 Study limitations

306

The findings of this study are strengthened by the high rate of follow up and the detailed 307 information about what exercise participants were engaged in when surveyed. However the 308 multivariable model did not fully explain participants' engagement in exercise. Limitations of 309 this study were that it did not examine the influence of previous exercise habits on exercise 310 post-discharge which has been found to facilitate engagement in exercise in other 311 populations.^{16, 17} Additionally, patient-level data that identified the exact nature of the 312 313 exercises and advice provided for each participant was not collected. Other variables such as these may need to be added to this model to enhance its ability to predict participation in 314 315 exercise in this population. The generalisability of the results may also be limited as participants were recruited from a single hospital. 316

317

318 Conclusions

319

320 Older patients have low levels of engagement in exercise following discharge although they

are at increased risk of functional decline and falls during this period.^{1, 6} This study identified

322 barriers and facilitators to engagement in exercise during this period that can be used by

- researchers and clinicians to develop and evaluate suitable education and exerciseinterventions for this population. Health care workers who treat older patients in the post
- 325 discharge period should highlight falls risk, address low self efficacy and other barriers to
- 326 engagement in exercise and specifically recommend that their patients engage in exercise
- 327 programs.

328

Acknowledgements

Funding

Supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) (project grant no. 456097); a Menzies Foundation PhD Fellowship; and a National Health and Medical Research Council Career Development Award.

Conflict of Interest

No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting this article has or will confer a benefit on the authors or on any organization with which the authors are associated

References

1. Mahoney JE, Palta M, Johnson J, Jalaluddin M, Gray S, Park S, Sager M. Temporal association between hospitalization and rate of falls after discharge. Arch Intern Med 2000;160(18):2788-95.

Davenport RD, Vaidean GD, Jones CB, Chandler AM, Kessler LA, Mion LC, Shorr
 RI. Falls following discharge after an in-hospital fall. BMC Geriatr 2009;9:53.

3. Forster AJ, Murff HJ, Peterson JF, Gandhi TK, Bates DW. The incidence and severity of adverse events affecting patients after discharge from the hospital. Ann Intern Med 2003;138(3):161-7.

4. Gill TM, Allore HG, Holford TR, Guo Z. Hospitalization, restricted activity, and the development of disability among older persons. JAMA 2004;292(17):2115-24.

Boyd CM, Landefeld CS, Counsell SR, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, Kresevic D,
 Burant C, Covinsky KE. Recovery of activities of daily living in older adults after
 hospitalization for acute medical illness. J Am Geriatr Soc 2008;56(12):2171-9.

6. Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, Counsell SR, Stewart AL, Kresevic D, Burant CJ, Landefeld CS. Loss of independence in activities of daily living in older adults hospitalized with medical illnesses: increased vulnerability with age. J Am Geriatr Soc 2003;51(4):451-8.

7. Haines TP, Russell T, Brauer SG, Erwin S, Lane P, Urry S, Jasiewicz J, Condie P. Effectiveness of a video-based exercise programme to reduce falls and improve health-related quality of life among older adults discharged from hospital: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2009;23(11):973-85.

 Kripalani S, Jackson AT, Schnipper JL, Coleman EA. Promoting effective transitions of care at hospital discharge: a review of key issues for hospitalists. J Hosp Med 2007;2(5):314-23.

Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Lamb SE, Gates S, Cumming RG, Rowe
 BH. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. Cochrane
 Database Syst Rev 2009(CD007146):CD007146

10. Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, Salem GJ, Skinner JS. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2009;41(7):1510-30.

11. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, King AC, Macera CA, Castaneda-Sceppa C, American College of Sports M, American Heart A. Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation 2007;116(9):1094-105.

12. Hauer K, Rost B, Rutschle K, Opitz H, Specht N, Bartsch P, Oster P, Schlierf G. Exercise training for rehabilitation and secondary prevention of falls in geriatric patients with a history of injurious falls. J Am Geriatr Soc 2001;49(1):10-20.

13. Vogler CM, Sherrington C, Ogle SJ, Lord SR. Reducing risk of falling in older people discharged from hospital: a randomized controlled trial comparing seated exercises, weight-bearing exercises, and social visits. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009;90(8):1317-24.

Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Platz A, Orav EJ, Stahelin HB, Willett WC,
Can U, Egli A, Mueller NJ, Looser S, Bretscher B, Minder E, Vergopoulos A, Theiler R.
Effect of high-dosage cholecalciferol and extended physiotherapy on complications after hip
fracture: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2010;170(9):813-20.

15. Evron L, Schultz-Larsen K, Fristrup T. Barriers to participation in a hospital-based falls assessment clinic programme: an interview study with older people. Scand J Public Health 2009;37(7):728-35.

Yardley L, Bishop FL, Beyer N, Hauer K, Kempen GI, Piot-Ziegler C, Todd CJ,
 Cuttelod T, Horne M, Lanta K, Holt AR. Older people's views of falls-prevention
 interventions in six European countries. Gerontologist 2006;46(5):650-60.

17. Bunn F, Dickinson A, Barnett-Page E, McInnes E, Horton K. A systematic review of older people's perceptions of facilitators and barriers to participation in falls-prevention interventions. Ageing Soc 2008;28(04):449-72.

18. McInnes E, Askie L. Evidence review on older people's views and experiences of falls prevention strategies. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs 2004;1(1):20-37.

19. Yardley L, Kirby S, Ben-Shlomo Y, Gilbert R, Whitehead S, Todd C. How likely are older people to take up different falls prevention activities? Prev Med 2008;47(5):554-8.

20. Stiggelbout M, Hopman-Rock M, Crone M, Lechner L, van Mechelen W. Predicting older adults' maintenance in exercise participation using an integrated social psychological model. Health Educ Res 2006;21(1):1-14.

21. Wijlhuizen GJ, de Jong R, Hopman-Rock M. Older persons afraid of falling reduce physical activity to prevent outdoor falls. Prev Med 2007;44(3):260-4.

22. Gardner MM, Robertson MC, McGee R, Campbell AJ. Application of a falls prevention program for older people to primary health care practice. Prev Med 2002;34(5):546-53.

23. Sjosten NM, Salonoja M, Piirtola M, Vahlberg TJ, Isoaho R, Hyttinen HK, Aarnio PT, Kivela SL. A multifactorial fall prevention programme in the community-dwelling aged: predictors of adherence. Eur J Public Health 2007;17(5):464-70.

24. Tiedemann A, Sherrington C, Lord S. Predictors of adherence to a supervised exercise program in older community dwelling people. J Sci Med Sport;12(Supplement 2):e162-e3.

25. Conn VS, Tripp-Reimer T, Maas ML. Older women and exercise: theory of planned behavior beliefs. Public Health Nursing (Boston, Mass) 2003;20(2):153-63.

26. Crombie IK, Irvine L, Williams B, McGinnis AR, Slane PW, Alder EM, McMurdo ME. Why older people do not participate in leisure time physical activity: a survey of activity levels, beliefs and deterrents. Age and Ageing 2004;33(3):287-92.

27. Rosenstock IM, Strecher VJ, Becker MH. Social learning theory and the Health Belief Model. Health Educ Behav 1988;15(2):175-83.

28. Hill A-M, McPhail S, Hoffmann T, Hill KD, Oliver D, Beer C, Brauer S, Haines TP. A randomized trial of digital video disc (DVD) compared to written delivery of falls prevention education for older patients in hospital. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009;57:1458–63.

29. Casteel C, Peek-Asa C, Lacsamana C, Vazquez L, Kraus JF. Evaluation of a falls prevention program for independent elderly. Am J Health Behav 2004;28 Suppl 1:S51-60.

30. Haines TP, Hill AM, Hill KD, McPhail S, Oliver D, Brauer SG, Hoffmann T, Beer C. Patient education to prevent falls amongst older hospital inpatients: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2010:doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.444.

31. Janz NK, Becker MH. The Health Belief Model: a decade later. Health Educ Q 1984;11(1):1-47.

32. Hurley LP, Lindley MC, Harpaz R, Stokley S, Daley MF, Crane LA, Dong F, Beaty
BL, Tan L, Babbel C, Dickinson LM, Kempe A. Barriers to the use of herpes zoster vaccine.
Ann Intern Med 2010;152(9):555-60.

33. Pfeiffer E. A short portable mental status questionnaire for the assessment of organic brain deficit in elderly patients. J Am Geriatr Soc 1975;23(10):433-41.

34. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, Leirer VO.
Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: A preliminary report. J
Psychiatr Res 1982;17(1):37-49.

35. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. New York: JohnWiley & Sons Inc.; 2000.

36. Sandelowski M. Whatever happened to qualitative description? Res Nurs Health 2000;23(4):334-40.

 Corbin J, Strauss A. Basics of Qualitative Research. 3rd ed. California: Sage Publications; 2008.

38. Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JCT.
Effective exercise for the prevention of falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am
Geriatr Soc 2008;56(12):2234-43.

39. Cress ME, Buchner DM, Prohaska T, Rimmer J, Brown M, Macera C, Dipietro L, Chodzko-Zajko W. Best practices for physical activity programs and behavior counseling in older adult populations. J Aging Phys Act 2005;13(1):61-74.

40. Comans TA, Brauer SG, Haines TP. Randomized trial of domiciliary versus centerbased rehabilitation: which is more effective in reducing falls and improving quality of life in older fallers? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2010;65(6):672-9.

41. Hughes K, van Beurden E, Eakin EG, Barnett LM, Patterson E, Backhouse J, Jones S, Hauser D, Beard JR, Newman B. Older persons' perception of risk of falling: implications for fall-prevention campaigns. Am J Public Health 2008;98(2):351-7.

42. Hill KD, Moore KJ, Dorevitch MI, Day LM. Effectiveness of falls clinics: an evaluation of outcomes and client adherence to recommended interventions. J Am Geriatr Soc 2008;56(4):600-8.

43. Snodgrass SJ, Rivett DA, Mackenzie LA. Perceptions of older people about falls injury prevention and physical activity. Australas J Ageing 2005;24(2):114-8.

44. Yardley L, Beyer N, Hauer K, McKee K, Ballinger C, Todd C. Recommendations for promoting the engagement of older people in activities to prevent falls. Qual Saf Health Care 2007;16(3):230-4.

45. Glasziou P, Haynes B. The paths from research to improved health outcomes. Evid Based Nurs 2005;8(2):36-8.

46. Boling PA. Care transitions and home health care. Clin Geriatr Med 2009;25(1):135-48, viii.

Characteristic	Total sample (n=343)
Age (years), mean±SD	79.4 ±8.5
Female, n (%)	210 (61.2)
Average length of stay in hospital (days),	26.7±27.9
mean±SD	
Fall during hospital admission, n (%)	44 (12.8)
Visual impairment, n* (%)	101 (29.4)
Discharge destination, n (%)	
Community alone	114 (33.2)
Community with partner	131 (38.2)
Community with other	40 (11.7)

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants at point of discharge from hospital

Residential care facility	58 (16.9)
Mobility, n (%)	
Uses no aid	130 (37.9)
Uses walking aid	182 (53.1)
Other (uses wheelchair/requires assistance)	31 (9.0)
Mood (GDS), [†] mean±SD	4.3±2.8
Cognition	
SPMSQ, [‡] mean±SD	8.4±2.0
<u>SPSMQ <8, n (%)</u>	<u>90 (26.2)</u>
<u>SPMSQ >8, n (%)</u>	<u>252 (73.8)</u>
Diagnosis, n (%)	
Stroke	33 (9.6)
Other neurological	18 (5.2)
Orthopaedic	51 (14.9)
Cardiac	24 (7.0)

Pulmonary	70 (20.4)
Other geriatric management	75 (21.9)
Other surgery	22 (6.4)
Other medical condition	34 (9.9)
Other (including arthritis, major trauma)	16 (4.7)
Highest education level attained	
Primary	104 (30.5)
Secondary	172 (50.4)
Technical college	48 (14.1)
University	17 (5.0)

*Includes cataracts (untreated), macular degeneration, glaucoma

[†]Geriatric Depression Scale, range 1-15, score greater than 4 indicates

presence of depressive symptoms

[‡]Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire, range 1-10, greater score

indicates better cognitive function

[§]Euro qol visual analogue scale, range 0-100, higher indicates better self

perceived health related quality of life

Table 2. Participants' awareness, self perceived risk of falls and self efficacy to reduce their risk of falls at point of discharge

Item	Item wording	Strongly	$\Delta $ gree n (%)	Undecided n	Disagree n	Strongly
nem	item wording	agree n (%)	Agree II (70)	(%)	(%)	disagree n (%)
1	I think that older people who go home from hospital	78 (23.4)	183 (55.0)	46 (13.8)	25 (7.5)	1 (0.3)
	are at risk of falling over in the first 6 months					
2	I think that I will fall over at some point in the first	36 (10.8)	93 (28.0)	22 (6.6)	134 (40.2)	48 (14.4)
	6 months after I return home					
3	I think that if a person falls over at home they are	135 (40.7)	177 (53.3)	11 (3.3)	9 (2.7)	
	likely to get a mild injury (such as a skin cut or a					
	bruise)					

4	I think if I were to fall over I would be likely to get	127 (38.3)	160 (48.2)	10 (3.0)	32 (9.6)	3 (0.9)
	a mild injury (such as a skin cut or a bruise)					
5	I think that if an older person falls over at home	147 (44.3)	147 (44.3)	26 (7.8)	12 (3.6)	
	they are likely to get a serious injury (such as a					
	sprain, bumped head or broken bone)					
6	I think that if I were to fall over in the first 6	75 (22.6)	103 (31.0)	22 (6.6)	115 (34.7)	17 (5.1)
	months after going home from hospital, I would be					
	likely to get a serious injury (such as a sprain,					
	bumped head or broken bone)					
7	I am confident that I could engage (in identified	166 (50.0)	139 (41.9)	18 (5.4)	8 (2.4)	1 (0.3)
	strategies) to prevent myself from falling when I					
	went home from hospital					
8	I am very motivated to lower my risk of falls at	226 (69.5)	77 (23.7)	8 (2.5)	13 (4.0)	1 (0.3)
	home in the first 6 months after hospitalization by					

using these strategies (referring to strategies that the

participant has identified)

T 11 2 D		•	•	c .1	0 11 1		
Toble 7 Dortioinonte'	an an ann ant 11	A AVATAIGA	110 6	montha	tollourne	r hoon	ital diaphoras
Table Y Fallicinality	епозоещени и	TEXELUSE		<u>, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	1111111111	2 1163813	HALLING THAT DE
			111 \	/ monus	10110 10 1116	L HOBD	nun unsennunge
						, .	1)

Item	Item wording	Response n
		(%)
1	Participating in exercises aimed at improving balance and strength	
	is recognized as a way to reduce the risk of falling. Can you	
	remember being told that you should do exercise to improve your	
	balance and strength by anyone either while you were in hospital	
	or after you left?	
	Don't remember anyone telling me	58 (19)
	Hospital physiotherapist told me at discharge	221 (72.5)
	Other health care worker (e.g. GP, Dr in hospital) told me at	22 (7.2)
	discharge or in the 6 months after discharge	

No response	4 (1.3)
Have you performed an exercise programme aimed at improving	
strength and balance since you left the hospital 6 months ago?	
Yes have done at least once/week	109 (35.7)
Not immediately after discharge but doing now	19 (6.2)
Was but not now	54 (17.7)
Not now but intend to	20 (6.6)
Not now and do not intend to	95 (31.2)
No response	8 (2.6)
Please describe how you have been doing these exercises	
Group run by health care worker (most often once/week in	43 (39.4)
community physiotherapy programme)	

Group run by other activity provider (most often once/week such 6 (5.5) as dancing class, yoga)

Supervised individual home programme (physiotherapist visit; 8 (7.4) most often once/week)

Unsupervised home programme originally prescribed by health 34 (31.2) care worker (most often by physiotherapist)

Unsupervised home programme devised by participant/other	16 (14.7)
--	-----------

2 (1.8)

No response		
-------------	--	--

Table 4. Univariable analysis: Associations between variables of interest and participants' engagement in exercise at 6 months following

Independent variable of interest Unadjusted odds ratio, (95% confidence interval), P-value Participant characteristics Age 1.00, (0.96, 1.02), 0.55 Gender 1.00, (0.61, 1.55), 0.92 1.21, (0.62, 2.34), 0.57 Fall during hospital admission Discharge destination Community alone 0.56, (0.33, 0.39), 0.02 Community with partner 1.76, (1.11, 2.79), 0.02

discharge

Community with other	0.66, (0.31, 1.39), 0.28
Mood (GDS) [*]	0.99, (0.92, 1.08), 0.87
Cognition $(SPMSQ)^{\dagger}$	1.07, (0.94, 1.20), 0.27
Uses walking aid at discharge	1.50, (0.95, 2.37), 0.08
Admission ward (rehabilitation vs acute)	1.25, (0.79, 1.98), 0.35
Survey items at point of discharge*	
I think older people could fall over in 6 months after discharge	1.01, (0.78, 1.34), 0.13
I think I could fall over in the 6months after discharge from hospital	1.03, (0.87, 1.23), 0.37
I think older people could get a mild injury in the 6 months after discharge from hospital	1.00, (0.68, 1.36), 0.83

I think I could get a mild injury in the 6 months after 1.09, (0.86, 1.39), 0.47 discharge from hospital I think older people could get a serious injury in the 6 months 0.88, (0.65, 1.20), 0.42 after discharge from hospital I think I could get a serious injury in the 6 months after 0.72, (0.60, 0.87), 0.001 discharge from hospital* I am confident that I could. engage (in identified strategies) 0.82, (0.59, 1.14), 0.23 to prevent myself from falling when I went home from hospital I am very motivated to lower my risk of falls at home in the 0.90, (0.65, 1.23), 0.51 first 6 months after hospitalization by using these strategies (referring to strategies that the participant has identified) Survey items at 6 months following discharge

Participants could not remember being informed at discharge 0.43, (0.21, 0.86), 0.02

about performing exercise

Participants remembered physiotherapist informing them at 2.90, (1.71, 4.92), <0.001 discharge about performing exercise

*Geriatric Depression Scale, range 1-15, score greater than 4 indicates presence of

depressive symptoms

[†]Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire, range 1-10, greater score indicates better cognitive function

*Measured using Likert scale, range 1 to 5 where 1 indicates strongly agree with survey

item and 5 indicates strongly disagree with survey item

Table 5. Multivariable analysis: Associations between variables of interest and participants' engagement in exercise at 6 months following

discharge

Independent Variable of Interest	Adjusted OR (95% CI), P(Adjusted for Time in Study Postdischarge)
Discharge destination community	
with north or	1.07 (1.19. 2.29) 000
with partner	1.97 (1.18–3.28), .009
Survey items at discharge	
I think I could get a mild injury	
in the 6 months after	
discharge from hospital.*	1.48 (1.09–2.01), .01
I think I could get a serious	
injury in the 6 months after	
discharge from hospital.*	0.61 (0.48–0.78),001
Survey item at 6 months after	
discharge	
Participants remembered	
physiotherapist informing	
them at discharge about	
performing exercise.	1.93 (1.03–3.59), .04

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

*Measured using Likert scale; range, 1–5 where 1 indicates strongly agree with survey item and 5 indicates strongly disagree with survey item.



Figure 1. Participants' identified barriers to engagement in exercise