

University of Notre Dame Australia ResearchOnline@ND

Theology Papers and Journal Articles

School of Theology

2012

Renewal and reform in the Liturgy: Fording the impasse

Russell Hardiman
University of Notre Dame Australia, rhardiman@westnet.com.au

Follow this and additional works at: http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theo_article
Part of the Religion Commons

This article was originally published as:

Hardiman, R. (2012). Renewal and reform in the Liturgy: Fording the impasse. Pastoral Liturgy, 42 (2-3), 16-20.

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at $http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theo_article/104. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.$





Renewal and Reform in the Liturgy

Fording the Impasse

By Russell Hardiman

Introduction

At roughly the same time as the First Fleet was sailing from European climes towards its momentous first landfall in the Great South Land in 1788, another event was taking place in Europe which would create its own waves and have an effect on the Church in our day. This was the Synod of Pistoia, convened in 1786. political and economic objectives. In this essay, I intend to move from two historical scenarios to the contemporary scene. Using a local his- Recommendations of the Synod of Pistoia torical perspective, which is roughly contemporaneous with the European development of Australia, I will outline some of the recommendations of the Synod of Pistoia in 1786. Most of those elements we would all readily see as normal and logical - yet they were all . condemned just over 200 years ago. However, in the living experience of many of us we have seen these same elements approved and become standard practice in the Catholic Church notably in the Latin Rite. How did that capacity for the management of change happen in tangible ways, in visible processes that we can recognise, and how does this historical precedent give . us insight and perhaps a necessary vision of hope and . confidence in the way ahead to ford the impasse?

A second step will be to go back beyond the Synod of Pistoia to highlight why such intransigence to any reasonable reform had become institutionalised. This will lead us to look at some of the principles of • the Council of Trent and the timeframes in which its process of reform and renewal was carried out.

The third step will be to indicate the factors and personalities which led to a new culture in which legislative change was initiated at the Papal Level, with pastoral goals in mind. Once the flood gates of legislative change were opened, the long held back trickle began to build momentum with the consequence of the major summit and source of change which was Vatican II itself.

The conclusions we can draw may not be at the Papal Authority immediate functional level of new directions or pracmomentum for change was built up and communicated to the broader cross sections within the church.

In short, we can learn from our history because we have a history from which we can learn.

Attempts at Change and Reform

We can use an interesting example of what is called in Australia the "it's time" factor - as in Gough dations of the Synod of Pistoia in Italy in 1786? This cepted. How did that difference emerge? Synod of Pistoia was simply a local diocesan synod in Italy, in a practice more common then than now.

To continue the Australian contextualisation, that was precisely the time when the decision had been made in London to initiate a new colony at Botany Bay, allegedly to overcome the issue of the overcrowding of the prisons and the hulks since the American War of Independence, but in fact with other geo-

- The obligation to give sermons:
- Translation of the Missal into the vernacular;
- Communion during Mass, not before or after;
- Reform of the Breviary;
- Revision of the hagiographies (lives of the
- Rejection of private masses, of the cult of the Sacred Heart and of indulgences;
- Strengthening of parish life;
- Education of youth;
- Residence obligations for parish priests;
- Equalisation of benefices:
- Concentration of Mass stipends for the benefit of poor priests;
- Norms to be established about parish bankruptcy;
- Study in Episcopal seminaries instead of monastic schools;
- Minimum age of 24 for religious profession;
- Abolition of the Inquisition.

It is not hard to assert that many of these issues tices but rather at the level of insights into how the are both reasonable and have been brought into Church law in our own life-time. Yet, in the era of Pistoia, Pius VI, in 1794, condemned seven of the 85 propositions and the others were proscribed as offering multiple meanings in which they could be presented as false, rash, scandalous, near-heresy etc. The basis of rejection was the influence of Jansenism and Gallicanism in the era when the appeal to the authority of Rome called Ultramontanism was growing to be as-Whitlam's slogan leading to Australian Labor Party to cendent. The "it's time" factor shows that, while con-Government in 1972. What happened the recommendemned at one point, the issues were eventually ac-

> Ironically, the pathway to changing the culture of opposition came about by a new generation of Italian scholars whose work brought about the re-birth of

which was formulated from Tübingen in the 1870s. of printing. The gradual confluence of all these movements created a climate which allowed for a new legislative Limitations of Trent's Principles and the capacity that finally changed the status quo by then Availability of Scholarship fixed for 350 years.

The Era of Rigidity

The era of rigidity is synonymous with the Counter Reformation era after the Council of Trent (1545 - 1563). Trent did not set out to achieve a synthesis or integrated vision of the theology and mission of the Church; rather it tended to express things contrary to the assertions of the reformers. For our purposes here we shall simply list the years of publication of major books. These had been commissioned to the Holy Father by the Bishops at Trent. Working with the view to restore the Missal "in conformity with the original norms and rite laid down by the holy Fathers" (ad pristinam sanctorum patrum norman ac ritum). Ironically this appeal to the standards of practice in the early church was the same claim of the Protestant reformers, yet neither camp had access to the scholarship of recent centuries that could justifiably follow that principle.

The Form of Mass and Changes

The greatest and most consequential innovation era. of the Mass book of Pius V was the enactment, clearly expressed in the Bull of introduction, that this book was to be, from then on, the standard in every church and that no charges were to be made therein. Only churches which could demonstrate a two-hundred years' custom for their own usage, were permitted to retain that usage. This was the case with the ancient religious orders which since the eleventh century had produced their own variants of the Romano-Frankish Mass-liturgy and which have kept them, for the most part, till the present. The Norbertines and Dominicans are examples of orders who maintained their own ordo and calendar until Vatican II. Most dioceses also took advantage of this stipulation, among them - besides Milan and the remnant of the Mozarabic rite in Spain -Trier, Cologne, Liege, Braga and Lyons. Of these only now.

The Two Hundred Year Rule

In spite of the primacy given to the Roman the Apostles". Missal the presumption was not to insist on absolute uniformity. There was still provision for pluriformity in the famous 200 year rule. This meant that unless approved from the very beginning by the Apostolic

liturgical studies, such as Cardinals Bona and Lamber- See, or by custom or that the liturgical books used in a tini and especially the historian Ludovico Muratori. diocese were beyond 200 years old, then in every When the work of these pioneers was given firstly a church the Roman order of the celebration of Mass monastic environment and then pastoral impetus, the was to be assiduously observed. At times it becomes changing scriptural and theological movements espe- obvious that many people do not know their own tradicially as regards ecclesiology and the recapture of a tion; pluriformity was the standard before uniformity biblical theology of church as the Body of Christ, became technologically possible through the invention

In his classic study, The Shape of Liturgy Dom Gregory Dix (1945) wrote of the confusion in the Reformation's eras because of the lack of critico-historical liturgical scholarship.

The advantage of the Counterreformation was that it conserved the text of a liturgy which dated in substance from long before the mediaeval development. With this it preserved those primitive statements which indicated the true solution of the mediaeval difficulty, even though it was a long while before the post Tridentine church made much use of them for that purpose. The protestants on the contrary discarded the whole text of the liturgy. and especially those elements in it which were a genuine monument of that primitive church they professed to restore. They introduced in its place forms which derived from and expressed the mediaeval tradition from which their own movement sprang ...

He went on to highlight how we are all products of our formation, with the strengths and weaknesses of that

> These men, were, like most of us, very largely creatures of their own training. As one reads their works it is obvious that they were never able to clear their own minds of the late mediaeval scholastic and devotional outlook.

> This was the only mental world they had ever known, and its limitations were hardly even beginning to be revealed. [For example] The first known edition of [The Apologia] of Justin Martyr was only issued in 1551, of the liturgy of S. James in 1560, of the Apostolic Constitutions in 1563. Such documents might have made both sides aware that they were arguing from much too narrow a basis in taking the mediaeval Western tradition alone...

the last two with Milan have kept their own rite until This same problem of too narrow a basis is obvious then as it is now, when newspaper advertisements for the Tridentine Mass emphasise the absurd claim "come and see the Mass as celebrated from the days of

> It is the same frame of mind which made their contemporaries paint the centurion on Calvary in the early sixteenth century armour and S. Clement of Rome in a cope and mitre.

In art this is harmless and even good inter- year. Unfortunately Fontaine was involved in a gun might have provided the only possible solu-Western Christendom.

tion and uniformity which have become the litmus of role of music in encouraging active participation in orthodoxy as regards the definition of the Roman Rite liturgical celebrations. It says something about Pius and the adherence to the rubrics. Such uniformity was X's unique perspective that Leo XIII had written seven only able to be achieved through the technological encyclicals on the Rosary, yet by Motu Proprio, a advancement which was the benefit of the printing document issued through his own authority, not press. Beyond the technology, the medieval culture of through the specific Congregation for Rites, Pius X theocracy emphasised the compenetration of church gave his document the title Tra le sollecitudini, writing and state in the way the Church saw itself as the new incarnation as the Holy Roman Empire. One is reminded of the marvelous insight of John Reilly SJ lecturing to the priests of my diocese. He remarked on the role Rome undertook in the vacuum following the collapse of the Roman Empire which has resulted many times in history in Rome's inability to resist the temptation of falling back into the sins of the empire's pagan past namely centralisation, uniformity, and control. It was in the era of rigidity that many pioneers were able to work towards a consensus that eventually changed the matrix of rigidity.

Consensus Building: Liturgical Biblical and Catechetical Movements. New Ecclessiology

Ed Campion says that we can learn from history because we have a history from which to learn. Continuing the Australian contextual motif, in 1829 the very year of the founding of the Swan River Colony - Dom Prosper Gueranger was developing his dream to buy an abandoned monastery from Revolution times, where together with his brother and companions he was to re-establish the monastic life at the famous Solesmes Monastery. In the context of the Enlightenment and the search for classical models and the return to origins, Solesmes became renowned as the centre for the restoration of Gregorian Chant and the arbiter of interpretation as to its notation. It was subsequently to become the Mother House of an extended network of Benedictine monasteries which key principle arose from the fruits of baptism which spread through Belgium, Holland, Germany and so to incorporates all the baptised into worship which is the the United States to Collegeville. Gueranger was re- very nature of the Church. This was eventually to be nowned also for his Institutions liturgiques and his the foundation of the Vatican II reform. Beauduin also multiple volumes on the liturgical year. With the first echoed Pius X's call for the restoration of Gregorian band of clergy at Fremantle in 1846, one of the Benedictine members was Leanne Fontaine who was a nov- Liturgy. He went further and called for the translation ice from Solesmes. His letters to Gueranger, from of the Roman Missal into the vernacular, which was Southampton and Cape Town, give indications of his liturgical convictions as he wrote to his Abbot requesting further copies of his meditations on the liturgical Books in 1897.

pretation. But in the vital doctrinal discus- accident and never recovered his mind. The Australian sion, where accurate historical interpretation liturgical movement took a long time to develop!

We can pass briefly over the developments tion apart from schism is fatal. The lack of over the 19th century which gradually saw the impetus historical perspective, due to the mediaeval of the liturgical movement grow, not only through the ignorance of history, was perhaps the great- network of monasteries, but also in issues of social est single contributory cause in the intellec- justice and the dream of ecumenical unity. Eventually tual fields of the sixteenth century breakup of it also led to levels of acceptance by the formal authorities of the Church.

The election of Pope Pius X in 1903 as succes-And so followed four centuries of centralisa- sor to Leo XIII saw his first statement dealing with the in Italian not in Latin, to emphasise his concerns that:

"Chief among the anxieties of the Pastoral Office ... [is] where the Christian people assemble ... to join the common prayer of the Church in the public and solemn liturgical offices".

This was followed by a decree of the Sacred Congregation of the Council in 1905 encouraging the daily reception of communion and a decree the Sacred Congregation of Sacraments in 1910 reducing the age for communion to the age of discretion. To take the big picture view of things these pastoral initiatives of Pius X, they have meant that in about 50 years of the 20th century the Latin Rite achieved a practice of frequent and even regular reception of communion by the vast majority of participants which has not attained by the Orthodox in nearly a thousand years nor the Reformed traditions in four centuries.

In the same decade at the Catholic Congress of Malines, the official name of the Episcopal See of Brussels, another new direction for the liturgical movement was launched by Dom Lambert Beauduin OSB. Formerly a diocesan priest, he was concerned to emphasise the need for a profound pastoral and parish grounded emphasis, instead the monastic ethos that had been the trend of the liturgical movement to this time. His call that the goal of active participation in liturgy was to share in the worship of the Church where the work of redemption was continued. This Chants and the centering of the Christian life on the radical in his day, for translations of the Missal had only been removed from the Index of Forbidden

There is hope for institutional change in the lessons of history. The impact of the liturgical movement in its origins gives us encouragement now for the continued struggle and a renewed vision for the objectives of the pioneers.

Some of the lessons we can learn include:

- An appreciation of the ambivalence of the Roman swings;
- To understand the strategies/outreach/tactics of the liturgical movement;
- To integrate the vision, hope, theology, pastoral insight and social justice connections of the pioneers;
- To alleviate the problems inherent in the lack of a theological underpinning of practices of worship;
- To know our tradition, to know the rites, the full rites:
- Courage in the journey still to be made.

Growing Impact of the Liturgical Movement

After 70 years of progression the liturgical movement had finally been given a form of approval through Papal legislative action with the statements of Pius X. The liturgical movement itself took on a new focus with the incorporation of the primacy of the pastoral dimension through the vision of Beauduin. The high profile legislative change and the pastoral focus were like the tip of the iceberg, with many facets and aspects not so obvious below the surface. Either side of Vatican II were some changes of such a serendipitous nature that they underline the importance of pastoral sensitivity arising from grass roots experience in papal ministry. John XXIII introduced the name of St Joseph into the Roman Canon almost overnight to support a bishop recently released from prison in the Communist era. Paul VI, after visiting Roman parishes in Lent, found how schizoid was the practice of an Italian dialogue to the Preface, and Latin preface, an Italian Holy Holy, and then reverting to Latin for the rest of the Eucharistic Prayer. Likewise, almost overnight, came a modification of the principles of the Constitution on the Liturgy that presumed the Eucharistic Prayer was totally the celebrant's prayer now the use of the vernacular in the Canon of the Mass was to be allowed.

The pastoral era set new goals whose objectives are still serviceable today. These include:

- The theology of the Mystical Body of Christ, head and members in worship;
- Active participation in the liturgy is achieved in praying the Mass;
- Liturgy as the most influential school of faith;
- If liturgy is to be intelligible then vernacular language is a must;
- Availability of missals in Latin/ vernacular translations;

- Connection with social justice reform issues; and
- Influence of ecumenical interests.

By way of conclusion to ground the historical meanderings, allow me to offer an opinion that may help to summarise some of the issues raised and which may set the context for specific questions and issues arising from Gerard Moore's paper elsewhere in this volume. In general I would affirm that the best illustration of the theological goals of Vatican II is expressed in §2 of the Liturgy Constitution:

Through the liturgy, especially the divine Eucharistic sacrifice, "the work of our redemption is exercised". The liturgy is thus the outstanding means by which the faithful can express in their lives and manifest to others the mystery of Christ and real nature of the church.

In my opinion the most consistent illustration of this ideal is not in Sunday Masses, not in School Masses, not in Youth Masses, but in funeral Masses, I would suggest that this is true because on these occasions the true role of the assembly is more often achieved. The family are more likely to own their capacity to contribute in personalising the occasion; the majority are there because they consciously choose to be present; they assemble at some conscious level of solidarity with and for the bereaved. With this complex of positives the shared roles of presider and the assembly seem to come together without the millstone of so many other occasions when so many literally sit back, and at the back, waiting to be entertained. Many non-Catholics too, can acknowledge Catholics do good funerals.

Such occasions are a challenge to reflect on what is the role and function of liturgical worship and what is the ministry of the presider and others. So often we presume that there is a consensus about the nature of prayer, the function of music, the image of God we worship whereas in fact all the elements can be interpreted in many ways. People's expectations flow from their personal concepts but we rarely elaborate on what is commonly held and understood. The patterns of worship over centuries have varied considerably but some are inclined to assume that what they prefer was what Jesus mandated, whereas others would claim that Jesus did not celebrate the Passover correctly!

The immensity of the change occurring with Vatican II and after has only begun to be interpreted now. The rapidity of change and the breadth of issues impacting on people's previous experience and personal model of faith had many negative repercussions. This was certainly not alleviated entirely by the level of formation in explaining the change. The lack of preparation, in fact became a lack of implementation. As Tom Talley puts it "too many community have already been brought to despair by the discovery that,

Fording the Impasse

having rearranged the sanctuary and instituted and Weakland, Archbishop Rembert (1990) "From dream

The need for renewed formation or effort and vice. Vol 20 (18) November 10 pp 289-293. education at all levels, not just clergy, is as real now as forty years ago. What passed for formation then was Endnotes often lectures on what were the new rubrics with little 1. New Catholic Encyclopedia Vol 11 sv Pistoia, Synod of effort at the theology and spirituality of church worship, in the epochs when the experience of the church when worship was most profound. With the proposed 2. Jungmann SJ, Josef A. (1949) "12. The close of the middle programmes of formation allied with the release of the Third Edition of the Roman Missal maybe there will be the opportunity for a response like the disciples at Emmaus that empowered them to know there could be the chance of "once more again with feeling".

References

(1570) Papal Bull of Pope Pius V Quo primum tempore authorizing the publication of the Missale Romanum in the Marietti edition 1954.

(2000) "Liturgy at the turn of the century" in The Summit Vol 27 (2) June p17.

Dix OSB, Dom Gregory (1945) The shape of the liturgy. London: Dacre Press/Adam & Charles Black.

Hardiman, Russell (2000) "The liturgical journey since Vatican II" in Pastoral Liturgy vol 31 (1) pp 6-10.

Hibbard, John G. (1995) "The next thirty years" in National [Canadian] Bulletin on Liturgy Vol 28 (143) Winter pp 207-211.

Jungmann SJ, Josef A. (1949) "12. The close of the middle ages and the Trinentine Reform" in [Missarum Sollemnia: English] The Mass of the Roman Rite: its orgins and development. New and abridged edition in one volume. New York: Benziger Brother.

Jungmann SJ, Josef A. (1976) "[Chapter 8] From the Council of Trent to the present" in The Mass. Collegeville: Liturgical Press pp 85-94.

Pilarczyk, Archbishop Daniel (2002) "Liturgy, law and life" in Origins: CNS documentary service Vol 31 (39) March 14 pp 654-650 also published in Rite (2000) Vol 33 (6) Aug-Sept pp 4-8.

Smolarski SJ, Dennis (1995) "Chapter 1 Liturgica Semper Reformanda" in Sacred Mysteries: sacramental principles and liturgical practice. New York: Paulist Press pp 7-11.

Trautman, Bishop Donald (2002) "Liturgical renewal: its achievements and remaining challenges" in Origins: CNS documentary service. Vol 31 (39) March 14 pp 650-653.

offertory procession, they still don't love one another". to reality to vision" in Origins: CNS documentary ser-

- pp 388, 389. In Denzinger-Schonmetzer there are 27 pages of summary and condemnation (DS 2600-2700).
- ages and the Tridentine Reform" in [Missarum Sollemnia: English] The Mass of the Roman Rite: its orgins and devel opment. New and abridged edition in one volume. New York: Benziger Brother p97.
- 3. (1570) Papal Bull of Pope Pius V Quo primum tempore authorizing the publication of the Missale Romanum in the Marietti edition 1954.
- 4. Dix OSB, Dom Gregory (1945) The Shape of the Liturgy. London: Dacre Press/Adam & Charles Black pp 626-627.
- 5. Campion, Edmund (1985) "Finding an Australian identity" in Faith and Culture vol 10 pp 14-32 here page 30.
- 6. Johnson OSB, Cuthbert (1984) Prosper Gueranger (1805-1875): a liturgical theologican. An introduction to his litur gical writing and work. (Analecta liturgica 9) Rome: Pontificio ateneo S. Anselmo.
- 7. Tjuranga
- 8. Tra le sollecitudini (ASS 36, 1903, 329-339) as quoted in R. Kevin Seasoltz, editor, (1966) The new liturgy: a docu mentation, 1903-1965. New York: Herder & Herder p3,
- 9. Texts in Seasoltz op cit, pp 11, 17.
- 10. CSL n22, 23, Instruction II (Tres abhinc annos) para 28, and the Letter of the Consilium (21.6.1967) Dans sa re cente allocution n7.
- 11. As quoted in Smolarski, SJ, D.C. (1995) Sacred mysteries: sacramental principles and liturgical praxis. New York: Paulist Press p11.