

# University of Groningen



# Can perioperative pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps predict complications in patients undergoing major elective abdominal surgery randomized to goal-directed therapy or standard care?

de Keijzer, Ilonka N.; Kaufmann, Thomas; de Waal, Eric E.C.; Frank, Michael; de Korte-de Boer, Dianne; Montenij, Leonard M.; Buhre, Wolfgang; Scheeren, Thomas W.L.

*Published in:* Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing

*DOI:* 10.1007/s10877-023-01117-y

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version* Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2024

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

*Citation for published version (APA):* de Keijzer, I. N., Kaufmann, T., de Waal, E. E. C., Frank, M., de Korte-de Boer, D., Montenij, L. M., Buhre, W., & Scheeren, T. W. L. (in press). Can perioperative pCO\_gaps predict complications in patients undergoing major elective abdominal surgery randomized to goal-directed therapy or standard care? : A secondary analysis. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-023-01117-y

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



# Can perioperative pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps predict complications in patients undergoing major elective abdominal surgery randomized to goal-directed therapy or standard care? A secondary analysis

Ilonka N. de Keijzer<sup>1</sup> · Thomas Kaufmann<sup>1</sup> · Eric E.C. de Waal<sup>2</sup> · Michael Frank<sup>3</sup> · Dianne de Korte-de Boer<sup>4</sup> · Leonard M. Montenij<sup>5</sup> · Wolfgang Buhre<sup>2</sup> · Thomas W.L. Scheeren<sup>1,6</sup>

Received: 26 February 2023 / Accepted: 3 December 2023 © The Author(s) 2024

#### Abstract

The difference between venous and arterial carbon dioxide pressure (pCO<sub>2</sub> gap), has been used as a diagnostic and prognostic tool. We aimed to assess whether perioperative pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps can predict postoperative complications. This was a secondary analysis of a multicenter RCT comparing goal-directed therapy (GDT) to standard care in which 464 patients undergoing high-risk elective abdominal surgery were included. Arterial and central venous blood samples were simultaneously obtained at four time points: after induction, at the end of surgery, at PACU/ICU admission, and PACU/ICU discharge. Complications within the first 30 days after surgery were recorded. Similar pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps were found in patients with and without complications, except for the pCO<sub>2</sub> gap at the end of surgery, which was higher in patients with complications (6.0 mmHg [5.0–8.0] vs. 6.0 mmHg [4.1–7.5], p=0.005). The area under receiver operating characteristics curves for predicting complications from pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps at all time points were between 0.5 and 0.6. A weak correlation between ScvO<sub>2</sub> and pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps was found for all timepoints ( $\rho$  was between -0.40 and -0.29 for all timepoints, p<0.001). The pCO<sub>2</sub> gap as a prognostic tool after high-risk abdominal surgery. pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps were comparable between GDT and standard care. *Clinical trial registration* Netherlands Trial Registry NTR3380.

Keywords  $pCO_2$  gap  $\cdot$  Hemodynamic monitoring  $\cdot$  Postoperative Complications  $\cdot$  Cardiac output  $\cdot$  Goal-directed therapy

Ilonka N. de Keijzer and Thomas Kaufmann have co-first authorship, contributed equally.

☑ Ilonka N. de Keijzer i.n.de.keijzer@umcg.nl

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, PO Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Albert Schweitzer Hospital, Dordrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Catharina Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- <sup>6</sup> Edwards Lifesciences, Garching, Germany

# 1 Introduction

The  $pCO_2$  gap is the difference between venous and arterial carbon dioxide pressure and might be used for diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic purposes. First, an association between pCO<sub>2</sub> gap and postoperative complications was found in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery;  $pCO_2$  gap was found to be higher in the proportion of patients suffering from postoperative complications [1]. In patients with septic shock, a persistently high pCO<sub>2</sub> gap was associated with worse outcomes [2] and was found to be a modest predictor of mortality [3]. Second, pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps reflect the adequacy of cardiac output and tissue perfusion [4]. An inverse relationship exists between cardiac output and pCO<sub>2</sub> gap, and an increase in pCO<sub>2</sub> gap to more than 6 mmHg is considered abnormal. Additionally, pCO2 gaps have been shown to reliably estimate cardiac index in a perioperative setting [5]. Third,  $pCO_2$  gaps may be used as a target in

a goal-directed therapy (GDT) protocol. GDT uses preset hemodynamic targets to guide hemodynamic interventions, such as vasopressors, inotropes, and fluids [6]. GDT can focus on any given hemodynamic variable; however, it is recommended to use variables representing blood flow [6]. It has been shown that perioperative GDT reduces morbidity and mortality [7, 8].

The majority of studies investigating pCO<sub>2</sub> gap have been conducted in critically-ill patients and the evidence for the use of  $pCO_2$  gaps in the perioperative setting is limited [9]. The primary aim of this study was to assess the association and predictive value of the perioperative pCO<sub>2</sub> gap with a composite outcome of major postoperative complications using patient data from a randomized controlled trial comparing GDT versus standard care in patients undergoing elective high-risk abdominal surgery [10]. The secondary aim of this study was to assess the correlation between ScvO<sub>2</sub> and pCO<sub>2</sub> gap. Central venous oxygen saturation ( $SevO_2$ ) is a representation of oxygen delivery and demand and can be used to assess global tissue oxygenation [11], similar to  $pCO_2$  gap, which reflects adequacy of cardiac output and tissue perfusion. Yet, the correlation between the two has not been assessed in patients undergoing elective high-risk abdominal surgery.

Finally,  $pCO_2$  gaps were compared between standard care and GDT as a measure of adequacy of cardiac output, since actual cardiac output measurements were not available in this study.

# 2 Materials & methods

This study concerned a secondary analysis of a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing the incidence of major complications in the first 30 days between a GDT and standard care in patients undergoing elective, high-risk abdominal surgery [10]. The study was approved by all necessary ethical review boards and written informed consent was obtained before any study procedures were conducted. This manuscript adheres to the CONSORT reporting guidelines [12]. Patients aged 18 years or older were included when they were undergoing elective, high-risk abdominal surgery. Exclusion criteria were emergency surgery, aortic valve insufficiency grade > 1, cardiac arrhythmias, contraindications to the passive leg raising test, and indication for invasive cardiac output monitoring during surgery. A CON-SORT flow diagram can be found in Fig. 1.



#### 2.1 Study procedures

The detailed study protocol, randomization sequence, and primary study outcomes have been previously published [10, 13]. In all patients, an arterial and central venous catheter was inserted. The GDT group was additionally monitored using arterial waveform analysis (FloTrac - Vigileo, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) and treated according to a cardiac index (CI) targeted GDT algorithm [10]. The GDT algorithm was initiated after anesthesia induction and continued for a maximum of 24 h or until PACU/ICU discharge, whichever occurred first. Stopping criteria were arrhythmia, (suspected) myocardial ischemia, and cardiac decompensation.

Arterial and central venous blood samples were simultaneously obtained at four time points: after induction, at the end of surgery, at PACU/ICU admission, and at PACU/ ICU discharge. All blood samples were directly analyzed per local practice.

# 2.2 Outcomes

The primary outcome was to assess the association between pCO<sub>2</sub> gap and a composite outcome of major complications. The composite outcome of major complications, as considered by the Accordion Severity Grading system [14], consisted of the following: death, cardiac arrest, acute myocardial infarction, acute pulmonary edema, cerebrovascular accident, prolonged mechanical ventilation, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, respiratory insufficiency, acute kidney injury, anastomotic leakage, other gastro-intestinal complications, wound infection and severe sepsis [10]. Therefore, all subjects from the original study who did not have at least one pCO<sub>2</sub> gap value were excluded from this analysis, resulting in 464 remaining subjects of the original 482 subjects.  $pCO_2$  gaps larger than + 30.0 mmHg or smaller than -7.5 mmHg were considered artifacts and were removed [15].

Additionally, arterial and central venous oxygen saturation, lactate, and pH were compared between both groups. Arterial oxygen saturation measurements, which were lower than their venous counterpart, were considered artifacts, and both the venous and arterial measurements were removed (n=2). An additional 12 venous oxygen saturation values were removed as they were in the range of 5 to 7% and were considered artifacts. For lactate, three erroneous entries were removed, one was a negative value and the other two were below the detectable limit. Subsequently, the correlation between pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps and central venous oxygen saturation was assessed.

Last, the difference in perioperative  $pCO_2$  gaps between GDT and standard care were assessed as a measure of the adequacy of cardiac output.

#### 2.3 Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interguartile ranges (IOR) when indicated. Normality was visually assessed using Q-Q plots. Categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. Continuous data were compared using the Mann-Whitney-U test since none of the continuous data met the parametric assumption. Categorical data were compared using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test when indicated. A Spearman correlation was used to assess the correlation between pCO<sub>2</sub> gap and ScvO<sub>2</sub>. A logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the association between pCO<sub>2</sub> gap and major complications. Subsequently, Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves were plotted and the areas under the ROC (AUROC) curve were assessed. Missing data were coded as missing, and no imputation was used. A p-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using RStudio (version 1.4.1106, RStudio, Vienna, Austria).

## **3 Results**

# 3.1 Patient characteristics

A total of 464 patients were included in this secondary analysis (Fig. 1). Eighteen patients were excluded from the original analysis since  $pCO_2$  gaps for all four time points were missing. The age in the GDT group was 65 [59–73] years versus 67 [61–75] years in the control group. Other patient characteristics, e.g., gender, body mass index, American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, comorbidities, and type of surgery, can be found in Table 1.

# 3.2 Association between pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps and major complications

Two hundred patients (43%) suffered from major complications. pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps after induction of anesthesia, PACU/ ICU admission, and PACU/ICU discharge were similar for patients with and without postoperative complications (Table 2; Fig. 2). At the end of surgery, the patients with major complications had a higher pCO<sub>2</sub> gap than those without major complications (6.0 mmHg [5.0–8.0] vs. 6.0 mmHg [4.1–7.5], p=0.005).

Logistic regression showed an association between pCO<sub>2</sub> gap at the end of surgery and major complications ( $\chi^2(1) = 5.77$ , p = 0.016 (Table 3)) with an odds ratio of 1.08 (95%CI 1.01–1.16). The AUROCs for predicting post-operative complications were 0.508 (95%CI 0.454–0.563) for pCO<sub>2</sub> gap after induction, 0.578 (95%CI 0.524–0.633) at the end of surgery, 0.524 (95%CI 0.468–0.580) at

	GDT (N=240)	Control (N=224)
Age (years)	65 [59–73]	67 [61–75]
Gender, male	163 (68)	146 (65)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.2 [22.8–28.4]	25.8 [23.0-28.8]
ASA		
Ι	16 (7)	23 (10)
II	129 (54)	120 (54)
III	90 (38)	80 (36)
IV	4 (2)	1 (0)
Comorbidities		
Hypertension	106 (44)	112 (50)
Heart failure	25 (11)	29 (13)
CAD/Myocardial infarction	44 (18)	35 (16)
Diabetes Mellitus	49 (20)	52 (23)
COPD/Asthma	42 (18)	41 (18)
Restrictive lung disease	7 (3)	7 (3)
Impaired renal function	12 (5)	18 (8)
Impaired liver function	12 (5)	11 (5)
Inflammatory bowel disease	2(1)	2(1)
Musculoskeletal pathology	8 (3)	10 (4)
Increased bleeding tendency	77 (33)	79 (35)
Type of surgery		
AAA	32 (14)	34 (16)
Colorectal surgery	23 (10)	24 (11)
Resection of large soft tissue mass	12 (5)	11 (5)
Esophageal resection	41 (18)	35 (16)
Total gastrectomy	12 (5)	9 (4)
Whipple/PPPD	101 (43)	92 (42)
Other abdominal surgery	13 (6)	13 (6)

Data are presented as median [interquartile range], or numbers (%) GDT goal-directed therapy, BMI Body Mass Index, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists, CAD Coronary Artery Disease, COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, AAA Abdominal Aorta Aneurysm, PPPD Pylorus Preserving Pancreaticoduodenectomy. ICU intensive care unit, PACU Post Anesthesia Care Unit

PACU/ICU admission, and 0.499 (95%CI 0.438–0.560) at PACU/ICU discharge. Since all AUROCs were between 0.5 and 0.6, cut-off values were not further explored.

# 3.3 Correlation central venous oxygen saturation and pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps

A weak correlation was found between ScvO<sub>2</sub> and pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps at all four time points (after induction  $\rho = -0.39$  (p < 0.001), at the end of surgery  $\rho = -0.29$  (p < 0.001), at PACU/ICU admission  $\rho = -0.39$  (p < 0.001), and at PACU/ICU discharge  $\rho = -0.40$  (p < 0.001), Fig. 3).

### 3.4 Blood gas analyses GDT vs. control

Arterial pCO<sub>2</sub>, venous pCO<sub>2</sub>, and pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps at all time points did not significantly differ between GDT and standard care (Table 4). Central venous oxygen saturation after surgical closure was significantly higher in the GDT group compared to the control group (83% [79–87%] vs. 82% [77–86%], p=0.032, Table 4). Lactate was higher in the GDT group compared to the control group after surgical closure (2.1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> [1.3–3.0 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>] vs. 1.8 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> [1.3–2.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>], p=0.046, Table 4). The pH was similar between the two groups at any of the time points (Table 4).

### 4 Discussion

This study is the largest multicenter trial to date concerning the prognostic ability of  $pCO_2$  gaps in a non-cardiac surgical population. We found that patients who suffered from major postoperative complications had a statistically significantly higher  $pCO_2$  gap at the end of surgery than patients without major complications. However, this difference cannot be considered clinically significant. Furthermore,  $pCO_2$ gap was a poor predictor of major postoperative complications at any of the selected time points. The GDT group did however, have higher ScvO<sub>2</sub> and higher lactate at the end of surgery than the control group. Perioperative  $pCO_2$ gaps were similar for the GDT and control group in patients undergoing high-risk abdominal surgery.

The patients who suffered from major postoperative complications had a higher  $pCO_2$  gap at the end of surgery (6.0 mmHg [5.0-8.0]) compared to patients without major complications (6.0 mmHg [4.1-7.5], p=0.005). Although the small difference in pCO<sub>2</sub> gap between both groups was statistically significant, it was not considered clinically relevant and may also be explained by multiple testing. A difference in pCO<sub>2</sub> gap observations was reported in several studies for patients with and without complications after elective surgery. In the first study of 70 patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, the mean pCO<sub>2</sub> gap was found to be higher in patients suffering from complications (n=24, 34%)compared to those patients without complications  $(7.8 \pm 2)$ mmHg vs.  $5.6 \pm 2$  mmHg, p <  $10^{-6}$ ) [1]. pCO<sub>2</sub> gap values were determined at baseline and then hourly until discharge from the PACU. In a second study performed in 115 highrisk surgical patients, the mean pCO<sub>2</sub> gap was also higher in patients who developed postoperative complications (n = 78,68%) compared to patients without complications  $(8.7 \pm 2.8)$ mmHg vs.  $5.1 \pm 2.6$  mmHg, p < 0.001) [16]. The studied population underwent elective major abdominal and vascular surgery and was admitted to the ICU, pCO<sub>2</sub> gap values were obtained at baseline and then hourly until discharge from the PACU. A third study included 90 patients undergoing major **Fig. 2**  $pCO_2$  gaps at different timepoints: **A** After induction, **B** At the end of surgery, **C** At PACU/ICU admission, **D** At PACU/ICU discharge. The boxes represent the 25th through 75th percentile including the median. The whiskers represent the highest or lowest values to a maximum of 1.5 times the IQR, otherwise the data point is considered an outlier (black dots)



**Table 2** pCO2 gap in patientswith and without majorcomplications

	Without major complications (N=264)	With major com- plications (N=200)	p-value
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap after induction of anesthesia (mmHg)	5.3 [3.8-7.0]	5.3 [3.8–7.5]	0.762
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at the end of surgery (mmHg)	6.0 [4.1–7.5]	6.0 [5.0-8.0]	0.005*
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at ICU/PACU admission (mmHg)	6.0 [4.0-8.3]	6.8 [4.5-8.3]	0.402
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at ICU/PACU discharge (mmHg)	6.0 [4.0-7.5]	6.0 [3.8–7.5]	0.966

pCO2 gap in patients with and without major complications

\*p<0.05. Data are presented as median [interquartile ranges]

abdominal surgery with scheduled admission to the ICU. The median *intra*operative pCO<sub>2</sub> gap was higher in patients with complications (n = 39, 43%) compared to patients without complications (6.5 mmHg [5.5–7.3] vs. 5.0 mmHg [3.9–5.8], p < 0.001) [17]. The median *post*operative pCO<sub>2</sub> gap was higher in patients with complications compared to patients without complications (6.8 mmHg [5.7–8.7] vs. 6.0 mmHg [4.7–7.1], p=0.03). pCO<sub>2</sub> gap values were obtained every two hours from baseline to the end of surgery, at ICU admission, and 12 and 24 h after ICU admission.

For comparison purposes, we pooled  $pCO_2$  gaps at all time points showing similar median  $pCO_2$  gaps for patients with complications (n = 139, 46%) compared to patients without complications (6.0 mmHg [5.3-7.0] vs. 5.8 mmHg [4.5-7.0], p = 0.078). pCO<sub>2</sub> gap of patients with complications in our population was low compared to the three previously mentioned studies. Our population consisted of a broader mix of surgical procedures and were not necessarily postoperatively admitted to the ICU. It may be that a relatively healthier population has undergone a relatively Table 3 Logistic regression of  $pCO_2$  gap at the end of surgery for the prediction of major complications

	B (SE)	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Intercept	-0.74 (0.23)**	
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at the end of surgery	0.08 (0.03)*	1.08 (1.01–1.16)

Logistic regression of  $pCO_2$  gap at the end of surgery for the prediction of major complications

Model  $\chi^2(1)=5.77$ , p=0.016. R<sup>2</sup>=0.01 (Hosmer-Lemeshow), R<sup>2</sup>=0.01 (Cox-Snell), R<sup>2</sup>=0.02 (Nagelkerke)

\*p<0.01, \*\*p<0.001

less invasive surgery which may partly explain the lack of difference in  $pCO_2$  gap in our population.

 $pCO_2$  gap was a poor predictor of major postoperative complications at any of the given time points in our population. Better discrimination was found in the previously mentioned studies as a result of a larger difference in  $pCO_2$  gap between the groups with and without complications [1, 16, 17].

Pitfalls exist in the interpretation of  $pCO_2$  gaps. A few mechanisms that affect  $pCO_2$  gap are the Haldane effect and hyperventilation [18]. Thus, it was suggested that only variations of > 2 mmHg should be considered real variations [19].



**Fig.3** Correlation between  $pCO_2$  gap and  $ScvO_2$ .  $pCO_2$  gap = difference between venous and arterial carbon dioxide pressure.  $ScvO_2$ =central venous oxygen saturation **A** Correlation between  $pCO_2$  gap and  $ScvO_2$  obtained after induction of anesthesia. **B** Correlation

relation between  $pCO_2$  gap and  $ScvO_2$  obtained at the end of surgery. C Correlation between  $pCO_2$  gap and  $ScvO_2$  obtained at PACU/ICU admission. D Correlation between  $pCO_2$  gap and  $ScvO_2$  obtained at PACU/ICU discharge

Table 4Blood gas analysisbetween GDT group and controlgroup

	GDT	Control	p-value
Arterial $pCO_2$ after induction of anesthesia (mmHg)	40.0 [37.5–42.9]	40.0 [37.0-42.8]	0.839
Arterial pCO <sub>2</sub> at the end of surgery (mmHg)	40.5 [37.5-44.3]	41.0 [38.3-44.3]	0.463
Arterial pCO2 at ICU/PACU admission (mmHg)	42.0 [38.3-45.0]	42.0 [38.3-46.0]	0.386
Arterial pCO <sub>2</sub> at ICU/PACU discharge (mmHg)	40.5 [37.5-44.0]	40.5 [38.3-43.5]	0.442
Venous pCO <sub>2</sub> after induction of anesthesia (mmHg)	45.8 [42.8-48.0]	45.0 [42.0-48.0]	0.090
Venous pCO <sub>2</sub> at the end of surgery (mmHg)	47.0 [44.0-50.3]	47.0 [44.0-49.9]	0.966
Venous pCO <sub>2</sub> at ICU/PACU admission (mmHg)	48.0 [45.0–51.0]	48.8 [45.0-52.5]	0.262
Venous pCO <sub>2</sub> at ICU/PACU discharge (mmHg)	46.5 [43.5-49.5]	45.8 [43.5–50.1]	0.925
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap after induction of anesthesia (mmHg)	6.0 [3.8–7.5]	5.3 [3.2–6.9]	0.094
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at the end of surgery (mmHg)	6.0 [5.0-8.0]	6.0 [4.0-7.5]	0.111
pCO2 gap at ICU/PACU admission (mmHg)	6.0 [4.5-8.3]	6.0 [4.0-8.3]	0.882
pCO <sub>2</sub> gap at ICU/PACU discharge (mmHg)	6.0 [3.8–7.6]	6.0 [3.8–7.5]	0.431
Arterial $O_2$ saturation after induction of anesthesia (%)	99 [98–99]	99 [98–99]	0.411
Arterial $O_2$ saturation at the end of surgery (%)	99 [98–99]	99 [98–99]	0.982
Arterial O2 saturation at ICU/PACU admission (%)	98 [97–99]	98 [96–99]	0.062
Arterial O <sub>2</sub> saturation at ICU/PACU discharge (%)	97 [95–98]	96 [95–98]	0.724
Venous $O_2$ saturation after induction of anesthesia (%)	82 [77-87]	83 [78–87]	0.496
Venous $O_2$ saturation at the end of surgery (%)	83 [79–87]	82 [77–86]	0.032*
Venous O2 saturation at ICU/PACU admission (%)	76 [71–82]	75 [69–80]	0.111
Venous $O_2$ saturation at ICU/PACU discharge (%)	71 [66–77]	71 [66–78]	0.915
Arterial pH after induction of anesthesia	7.40 [7.37–7.43]	7.40 [7.36–7.43]	0.438
Arterial pH at the end of surgery	7.36 [7.31–7.39]	7.36 [7.33–7.40]	0.105
Arterial pH at ICU/PACU admission	7.35 [7.32–7.38]	7.35 [7.32–7.38]	0.529
Arterial pH at ICU/PACU discharge	7.38 [7.35–7.41]	7.38 [7.35–7.40]	0.874
Lactate after induction of anesthesia (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4 [1.1–1.8]	1.4 [0.5–1.8]	0.851
Lactate at the end of surgery (mmol $L^{-1}$ )	2.1 [1.3-3.0]	1.8 [1.3–2.6]	0.046*
Lactate at ICU/PACU admission (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	1.9 [1.2–2.8]	1.7 [1.2–2.4]	0.178
Lactate at ICU/PACU discharge (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4 [1.0–2.0]	1.4 [1.0–2.0]	0.834

Blood gas analysis between GDT group and control group

GDT goal-directed therapy, ICU intensive care unit, PACU post anesthesia care unit

\*p<0.05. Data are presented as median [interquartile ranges]

Bold means significant, but can be omitted since there is also a \*

pCO<sub>2</sub> gap obtained at the end of surgery in patients with and without complications did not exceed this threshold.

The GDT group had a significantly higher  $\text{ScvO}_2$  at the end of surgery, which could be related to the interventions as indicated by the treatment algorithm. In addition, higher lactate was found in this group at the end of surgery. This could be caused by the administration of higher volumes of packed red blood cells (not reported here) [10]. With a longer storage time of packed red blood cells lactate concentrations increase [20, 21], although we did not collect this data. For ScvO<sub>2</sub> as well as lactate, the statistical difference cannot be considered a clinically relevant difference.

We did not find a difference in perioperative  $pCO_2$  gaps between the GDT and control group in patients undergoing high-risk abdominal surgery. Since cardiac output measurements were absent in the control group of the study, we felt the need to compare adequacy of cardiac output between GDT and standard care by comparing perioperative  $pCO_2$  gaps as a measure of cardiac output. We therefore hypothesize that both GDT and standard clinical practice led to an adequate cardiac output in our studied population. This may partly help explain why we did not find a difference in major and minor complications and hospital and PACU/ICU length of stay in the original study [10].

Our study has several limitations. First, the control group did not receive additional hemodynamic monitoring and therefore cardiac output was not available. This forced us to use  $pCO_2$  gap solely as a surrogate for cardiac output without being able to assess the relationship between  $pCO_2$  gap and cardiac output for this group. Second, it is recommended to use mixed venous  $pCO_2$  to calculate  $pCO_2$  gap instead of central venous  $pCO_2$  [4, 22]. However, since mixed and central venous  $pCO_2$  have a good agreement, central venous  $pCO_2$  can be used for this purpose as long as it is not used

interchangeably during treatment [22]. Third, we did not obtain data on variables that could have affected  $pCO_2$  gaps, e.g., hemodilution and body temperature. Fourth, more frequent measurements would have minimized the effect of outliers.

So far, the evidence is inconsistent with the prognostic value of pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps in a surgical population. Recently, the first trial incorporating pCO<sub>2</sub> gap in a GDT treatment algorithm has been published [23]. One hundred ASA I/II patients were included and allocated to GDT with ScvO<sub>2</sub> as a primary target and pCO<sub>2</sub> gap as a secondary target or to a control group with only arterial blood gas analysis available. No difference was found between the groups in postoperative organ dysfunction (defined by SOFA scores), although the GDT group had a lower length of ICU stay ( $1.52 \pm 0.82$  vs.  $2.18 \pm 1.08$  days). Future studies should focus on clarifying the prognostic abilities of pCO<sub>2</sub> gap by increasing measurement frequencies, including high-risk patients, and recording factors that influence pCO<sub>2</sub> gaps.

# 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, an association was found between  $pCO_2$  gap at the end of surgery and major postoperative complications, but  $pCO_2$  gap was a poor predictor of major postoperative complications at all given time points in our population. Therefore, the use of  $pCO_2$  gap as a prognostic tool in patients undergoing high-risk abdominal surgery is limited. Moreover, we did not find a difference in of  $pCO_2$  gap between a GDT and a control group of patients undergoing high risk abdominal surgery, indicating that both patient groups were sufficiently hemodynamically optimized.

Acknowledgements We thank Gerda Kuipers, Rob Spanjersberg, Ada van Kampen and Gea Mulder, as members of the trial agencies of the respective hospitals for valuable help to recruit patients and collect patient data.

Author contributions EECW, LMM, TWLS and WB contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by INK, TK and TWLS. The first draft of the manuscript was written by INK and TK and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by an unrestricted grant of the Janivo Stichting, Zeist, Netherlands.

Data availability Dataset are not publicly available.

#### Declarations

**Competing interests** Wolfgang Buhre has received honoraria for lectures and was a consultant for both Pulsion Medical Systems/Maquet and Edwards Lifesciences. Thomas Scheeren received research grants and honoraria from Masimo Inc. (Irvine, CA, USA) for consulting and

lecturing (all payments made to institution) and Edwards Lifesciences (Irvine, CA, USA), is currently employed by Edwards Lifesciences. The other authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical approval This trial was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht, The Netherlands (10–173/O) and registered in the Dutch Trial Register (registration number NTR3380) on April 3rd 2012. Local approval was obtained in each participating center. This trial was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht, The Netherlands (10–173/O) and registered in the Dutch Trial Register (registration number NTR3380) on April 3rd 2012. Local approval was obtained in each participating center.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Futier E, Robin E, Jabaudon M, Guerin R, Petit A, Bazin JE, et al. Central venous O<sub>2</sub> saturation and venous-to-arterial CO<sub>2</sub> difference as complementary tools for goal-directed therapy during high-risk Surgery. Crit Care. 2010;14:R193. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/cc9310.
- Ospina-Tascón GA, Bautista-Rincón DF, Umaña M, Tafur JD, Gutiérrez A, García AF, et al. Persistently high venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide differences during early resuscitation are associated with poor outcomes in septic shock. Crit Care. 2013;17:R294. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc13160.
- Bakker J, Vincent JL, Gris P, Leon M, Coffernils M, Kahn RJ. Veno-arterial carbon dioxide gradient in human septic shock. Chest. 1992;101:509–15. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.101.2.509.
- Ltaief Z, Schneider AG, Liaudet L. Pathophysiology and clinical implications of the veno-arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> gap. Crit Care. 2021;25:318. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03671-w.
- Tsaousi GG, Karakoulas KA, Amaniti, Ekaterini N, Soultati ID, Zouka, Maria D, Vasilakos DG. Correlation of central venous– arterial and mixed venous–arterial carbon dioxide tension gradient with cardiac output during neurosurgical procedures in the sitting position. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2010;27:882–9. https://doi.org/10. 1097/EJA.0b013e32833d126f.
- Kaufmann T, Saugel B, Scheeren TWL. Perioperative goaldirected therapy-what is the evidence? Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol. 2019;33:179–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpa.2019.05. 005.
- Chong MA, Wang Y, Berbenetz NM, McConachie I, et al. Does goal-directed haemodynamic and fluid therapy improve peri-operative outcomes? Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2018;35:469–83. https://doi. org/10.1097/EJA.000000000000778.
- Teboul J, Mercat A, Lenique F, Berton C, Richard C. Value of the venousarterial PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient to reflect the oxygen supply

to demand in humans: effects of dobutamine. Crit Care Med. 1998;26:1007–10. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-19980 6000-00017.

- Huette P, Ellouze O, Abou-Arab O, Guinot PG. Venous-to-arterial pCO<sub>2</sub> difference in high-risk surgical patients. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11:1551–7. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2019.01.109.
- de Waal EEC, Frank M, Scheeren TWL, Kaufmann T, de Korte DJD, Cox B, et al. Perioperative goal-directed therapy in high-risk abdominal Surgery. A multicenter randomized controlled superiority trial. J Clin Anesth. 2021;75:110506. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jclinane.2021.110506.
- Van Beest P, Wietasch G, Scheeren T, Spronk P, Kuiper M. Clinical review: use of venous oxygen saturations as a goal—a yet unfinished puzzle. Crit Care. 2011;15:232. https://doi.org/10. 1186/cc10351.
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, Group C. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:726–32. https://doi.org/ 10.7326/0003-4819-152-11-201006010-00232.
- Montenij L, De Waal E, Frank M, et al. Influence of early goaldirected therapy using arterial waveform analysis on major Complications after high-risk abdominal Surgery: study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled superiority trial. Trials. 2014;15:360. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-15-360.
- Strasberg SM, Linehan DC, Hawkins WG. The accordion severity grading system of Surgical Complications. Ann Surg. 2009;250:177–86. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181 afde41.
- Shastri L, Boulain T, Rees SE, Thomsen LP. Comparison of two methods for converting central venous values of acid-base status to arterial values in critically ill patients. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2021;203:106022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb. 2021.106022.
- Robin E, Futier E, Pires O, Fleyfel M, Tavernier B, Lebuffe G, et al. Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference as a prognostic tool in high-risk surgical patients. Crit Care. 2015;19:227. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0917-6.

- Guilherme E, Delignette M-C, Pambet H, Lebreton T, Bonnet A, Pradat P, et al. PCO<sub>2</sub> gap, its ratio to arteriovenous oxygen content, ScvO<sub>2</sub> and lactate in high-risk abdominal surgery patients: an observational study. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med. 2022;41:101033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2022.101033.
- Saludes P, Proença L, Gruartmoner G, Enseñat L, Pérez-Madrigal A, Espinal C, et al. Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference and the effect of venous hyperoxia: a limiting factor, or an additional marker of severity in shock? J Clin Monit Comput. 2017;31:1203–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-016-9954-1.
- Mallat J, Lemyze M, Tronchon L, Vallet B, Thevenin D. Use of venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide tension difference to guide resuscitation therapy in septic shock. World J Crit Care Med. 2016;5:47. https://doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v5.i1.47.
- Ratcliffe J, Elliott M, Wyse R, Hunter S, Alberti K. The metabolic load of stored blood. Implications for major transfusions in infants. Arch Dis Child. 1986;61:1208–14. https://doi.org/10. 1136/adc.62.11.1199.
- Schroeder TH, Hansen M. Effects of fresh versus old stored blood in the priming solution on whole blood lactate levels during paediatric cardiac Surgery. Perfusion. 2005;20:17–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1191/0267659105pf784oa.
- Van Beest PA, Lont MC, Holman ND, Loef B, Kuiper MA, Boerma EC. Central venous-arterial pCO<sub>2</sub> difference as a tool in resuscitation of septic patients. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:1034–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-013-2888-x.
- 23. Kumar LHN, Tripathy S, Kumar Das P. Central venous-to-arterial CO<sub>2</sub> difference–assisted goal-directed hemodynamic management during major surgery—a randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg. 2022;134:1010–20. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.00000 00000005833.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.