



### University of Groningen

## Three dimensional fibrotic extracellular matrix directs microenvironment fiber remodeling by fibroblasts

Nizamoglu, Mehmet; Alleblas, Frederique; Koster, Taco; Borghuis, Theo; Vonk, Judith M; Thomas, Matthew J; White, Eric S; Watson, Carolin K; Timens, Wim; El Kasmi, Karim C *Published in:* Acta Biomaterialia

DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2024.02.008

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version* Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2024

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

*Citation for published version (APA):* Nizamoglu, M., Alleblas, F., Koster, T., Borghuis, T., Vonk, J. M., Thomas, M. J., White, E. S., Watson, C. K., Timens, W., El Kasmi, K. C., Melgert, B. N., Heijink, I. H., & Burgess, J. K. (2024). Three dimensional fibrotic extracellular matrix directs microenvironment fiber remodeling by fibroblasts. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *177*, 118-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2024.02.008

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Acta Biomaterialia



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/actbio

### Full length article

# Three dimensional fibrotic extracellular matrix directs microenvironment fiber remodeling by fibroblasts



Mehmet Nizamoglu<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Frederique Alleblas<sup>a</sup>, Taco Koster<sup>a</sup>, Theo Borghuis<sup>a</sup>, Judith M. Vonk<sup>b,c</sup>, Matthew J. Thomas<sup>d</sup>, Eric S. White<sup>e</sup>, Carolin K. Watson<sup>d</sup>, Wim Timens<sup>a,b</sup>, Karim C. El Kasmi<sup>d</sup>, Barbro N. Melgert<sup>b,f</sup>, Irene H. Heijink<sup>a,b,g</sup>, Janette K. Burgess<sup>a,b,h,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen Research Institute for Asthma and COPD (GRIAC), Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>c</sup> University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Epidemiology, Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Immunology & Respiratory Diseases Research, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Biberach an der Riss, Germany

<sup>e</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ridgefield, CT, United States

<sup>f</sup> University of Groningen, Department of Molecular Pharmacology, Groningen Research Institute for Pharmacy, Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>g</sup> University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Pulmonology, Groningen, the Netherlands

h University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, W.J. Kolff Institute for Biomedical Engineering and Materials Science-FB41, Groningen, the

Netherlands

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 October 2023 Revised 12 January 2024 Accepted 5 February 2024 Available online 11 February 2024

Keywords: Fibrosis ECM-derived hydrogels Collagen organization Crosslinking Biomechanics Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

### ABSTRACT

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), for which effective treatments are limited, results in excessive and disorganized deposition of aberrant extracellular matrix (ECM). An altered ECM microenvironment is postulated to contribute to disease progression through inducing profibrotic behavior of lung fibroblasts, the main producers and regulators of ECM. Here, we examined this hypothesis in a 3D in vitro model system by growing primary human lung fibroblasts in ECM-derived hydrogels from non-fibrotic (control) or IPF lung tissue. Using this model, we compared how control and IPF lung-derived fibroblasts responded in control and fibrotic microenvironments in a combinatorial manner. Culture of fibroblasts in fibrotic hydrogels did not alter in the overall amount of collagen or glycosaminoglycans but did cause a drastic change in fiber organization compared to culture in control hydrogels. High-density collagen percentage was increased by control fibroblasts in IPF hydrogels at day 7, but decreased at day 14. In contrast, IPF fibroblasts only decreased the high-density collagen percentage at day 14, which was accompanied by enhanced fiber alignment in IPF hydrogels. Similarly, stiffness of fibrotic hydrogels was increased only by control fibroblasts by day 14 while those of control hydrogels were not altered by fibroblasts. These data highlight how the ECM-remodeling responses of fibroblasts are influenced by the origin of both the cells and the ECM. Moreover, by showing how the 3D microenvironment plays a crucial role in directing cells, our study paves the way in guiding future investigations examining fibrotic processes with respect to ECM remodeling responses of fibroblasts.

### Statement of significance

In this study, we investigated the influence of the altered extracellular matrix (ECM) in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF), using a 3D *in vitro* model system composed of ECM-derived hydrogels from both IPF and control lungs, seeded with human IPF and control lung fibroblasts. While our results indicated that fibrotic microenvironment did not change the overall collagen or glycosaminoglycan content, it resulted in a dramatically alteration of fiber organization and mechanical properties. Control fibroblasts responded differently from IPF fibroblasts, highlighting the unique instructive role of the fibrotic ECM and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2024.02.008

1742-7061/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Acta Materialia Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, Hanzeplein 1 [IPC EA11], 9713 GZ Groningen, the Netherlands.

*E-mail addresses*: m.nizamoglu@umcg.nl (M. Nizamoglu), j.k.burgess@umcg.nl (J.K. Burgess).

the interplay with fibroblast origin. These results underscore the importance of 3D microenvironments in guiding pro-fibrotic responses, offering potential insights for future IPF therapies as well as other fibrotic diseases and cancer.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Acta Materialia Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### 1. Introduction

Tissue fibrosis results from an increase in fibroblasts with an aberrant deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) and abnormal alterations of the ECM structure and composition [1]. While fibrosis is recognized as a coinciding phenomenon in some diseases, such as in inflammatory diseases or several cancers, organ fibrosis itself is one of the leading causes of death worldwide each year [2]. Among these diseases, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) has a worse prognosis than most cancers and remains incurable to date [3]. Currently, IPF is thought to originate from repeated (micro)injuries to the lung epithelium resulting in an aberrant tissue repair response [4]. During this anomalous tissue repair response, fibroblasts emerge as key players that deposit ECM in an abnormal manner, resulting in scarring of lung interstitium that impairs gas exchange in lungs of patients with IPF [5]. Although there is an urgent unmet need for developing novel treatment strategies, lack of appropriate animal models that recapitulate this human disease hinders this process [6]. For new and improved therapeutics targeting IPF, our understanding of how fibrotic responses are perpetuated and how IPF progresses needs to be advanced.

ECM is drastically altered in fibrotic lung diseases both biochemically and biomechanically [7]. While collagen deposition in the alveolar septa is considered one of the hallmarks of fibrotic scar development, numerous other ECM components such as fibronectin, hyaluronic acid (a type of glycosaminoglycan), periostin and fibulin-1 are also present to a greater extent in fibrotic lung ECM [8]. In addition to altered ECM composition, collagen fiber structure in fibrotic ECM is also substantially different compared to healthy ECM: fibrotic lungs having a higher percentage of disorganized collagen [9,10]. Such changes in the fiber organization and content are also postulated to translate into the well-documented changes in the mechanical properties of fibrotic tissue: IPF lungs are many-fold stiffer than control counterparts [11]. The influence of the increased stiffness in fibrotic lungs has been shown, in in vitro model systems, to induce greater mechanotransduction in fibroblasts, resulting in enhanced pro-fibrotic responses [12-16]. Recently, decreased stress relaxation properties of fibrotic lungs were also described, illustrating not only stiffness but also additional mechanical parameters accompany lung fibrosis [17]. Although initially thought of as an inert structure that only provided a physical scaffold, ECM has now been shown to instruct behavior of resident and transmigratory cells [18]. ECM deposited by fibroblasts in fibrosis resulted in activation of naïve fibroblasts seeded onto this ECM [19]. In addition to the origin of the microenvironment, the dimensionality of the environment (two-dimensional (2D) vs. three-dimensional (3D)) has been shown to influence how fibroblasts respond to their microenvironment [20,21]. While these pioneering studies illustrate that a fibrotic microenvironment instructs cellular behavior, the influence of a 3D fibrotic microenvironment on fibroblasts remains less explored.

Hydrogels, which are water-swollen polymeric networks, have been used as an *in vitro* tool to mimic the 3D organ microenvironment. Synthetic hydrogels, such as those based on dextran [21], and natural hydrogels based on collagen type I [9] have been used for *in vitro* studies. While synthetic hydrogels provide opportunities to fine-tune the structural arrangement of the polymer chains

and mechanical properties, they lack the biological implications of the altered biochemical microenvironment as found in IPF lungs [20]. Natural hydrogels can provide bioactive cues to cells encapsulated in them; however, mechanically tuning these hydrogels to mimic a diseased microenvironment is rather limited [22]. Hydrogels made from decellularized ECM (dECM) are emerging as advantageous alternatives [23-25]. dECMs retain most of the biochemical composition of the native organs and tissues [26], although the decellularization process is recognized to remove some of the glycosaminoglycans without impacting collagen amounts [11,27,28]. In addition, hydrogels derived from these dECMs have been shown to recapitulate the mechanical properties of their native tissues [23,24], highlighting the tissue-specific nature of these hydrogels. Specifically, ECM-derived hydrogels prepared from lung tissue from patients with IPF show the increased stiffness characteristics of native tissue, making these hydrogels an ideal candidate for recapitulating the (fibrotic) microenvironment in vitro [17]. Previous studies utilizing poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-hybrid murine [29], porcine [30] or human [31] lung ECM-derived hydrogels illustrated ECM-fibroblast dynamics in 2D cultures by comparing the responses of fibroblasts seeded onto these native (soft) and modified (stiff) ECM-derived hydrogels. The unexplored interaction between the 3D fibrotic ECM and fibroblasts can therefore be mimicked using such hydrogels to improve our understanding of how the fibrotic response is perpetuated by the feedback from the fibrotic microenvironment itself during IPF.

In this study, we hypothesized that an altered microenvironment in fibrotic lungs contributes to perpetuation of fibrosis by inducing profibrotic behavior of lung fibroblasts. To address this, we used an *in vitro* model using IPF and control lung ECM-derived hydrogels cultured with either IPF or control lung-derived primary fibroblasts in a combined fashion for 7 and 14 days. We investigated the influence of the fibrotic microenvironment on both IPF and control fibroblasts by comparing the ECM remodeling responses of the fibroblasts encapsulated in IPF and control ECM in 3D. We characterized fibroblast induced changes to the microenvironment with respect to modulation of two major ECM components: collagens and glycosaminoglycans, as well as collagen fiber organization and mechanical properties by comparing the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses with empty hydrogels.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Experimental design

The experimental approach adopted in this study is described in Fig. 1. The specific details of the methods are described below.

### 2.2. Lung decellularization

Decellularized control (macroscopically normal tissue, referred to as control throughout the manuscript) and lung tissue from patients with IPF were kindly provided by Dr. Steven Huang, University of Michigan, USA. De-identified control and IPF human lung tissue were provided by the University of Michigan; as the tissues were de-identified and coming from deceased donors, the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board deemed this work



**Fig. 1.** Overview of the experimental design and readouts measured in this study. A) Control and IPF lungs were decellularized, ground to a fine powder and digested using pepsin in acidic medium. The solubilized lung ECM was used to prepare lung ECM-derived hydrogels. B) Combinatorial approach used in the experiments. Fibroblasts and ECM groups were cross-combined to have combinations of control and IPF originated samples in every experimental batch. C) The readout applied in this study: PicroSirius Red and Alcian Blue stained sections of empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels were scanned and digitally analyzed for area only or area and intensity (Characterization Readout #1). Fluorescence images of PicroSirius Red stained sections of empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels were analyzed using TWOMBLI plugin in ImageJ to analyze the fiber characteristics (Characterization Readout #2). The mechanical properties (stiffness and stress relaxation) of empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels were measured using low load compression tester (Characterization Readout #3). IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

exempt from oversight. The decellularization procedure was performed as described previously [11]. Briefly, the fresh lung tissue samples were washed with 1X PBS (Gibco, Waltham, MA, United States). The tissue sample was washed for 24 h per step at 4 °C (unless otherwise stated) with the series of different solutions under constant agitation conditions: 1 % (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 2 % (wt/v) sodium deoxycholate (Sigma Aldrich), 1 M NaCl (Sigma Aldrich), 30 mg/L DNase (Sigma Aldrich) with 1.3 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (Sigma Aldrich) and 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> (Sigma Aldrich) at 37 °C. The washing series was performed twice with three times PBS washes between every different solution. Afterwards, the ECM samples were treated with 0.18% peracetic acid solution (32% w/w; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in 4.8 % ethanol (Fresenius Kabi, Bad Homburg vor der Höhe, Germany) solution for 24 h at 4 °C with constant shaking. Lastly, the ECM samples were washed using PBS and kept in PBS (+1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco)) at 4 °C until the next step.

### 2.3. Lyophilization and grinding of decellularized lung samples

Decellularized lung samples were lyophilized in order to remove excess water. Briefly, the samples were snap-frozen using liquid nitrogen, then freeze-dried using a Labconco Freezone 2.5 Liter Benchtop Lyophilizer (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) until the samples reached complete dryness. Once the lung scaffold samples were dry, they were brought to room temperature for the grinding process. An IKA A11 Basic Analytical Mill (IKA, Germany) was used to grind the lung scaffold pieces to a fine powder. Lung ECM powders were kept at room temperature with desiccant until use.

### 2.4. Preparation of lung ECM-derived hydrogels

Decellularized lung ECM powders were pooled (n = 7 each for both control and IPF lung ECM samples) with equal amounts of powder dry weight per donor in order to minimize the patientto-patient variation. Using this powder, pepsin digestion was performed using 2 mL of 2 mg/mL pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich) solution in 0.01 M HCl for 40 mg dry ECM powder in a 7.5 mL glass vial as previously described [32]. The digestion was performed for 72 h with constant stirring at room temperature. After the incubation, the pH was brought back to physiological conditions (pH = 7.4) using 0.1 M NaOH (Sigma-Aldrich) solution before being mixed with 10X PBS (Gibco) solution to supplement the solution (will be referred to as pre-gel from here on) with physiological salts and ions. Resulting control and IPF pre-gels were cast into the wells of a 48well plate or in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and incubated for 1–2 h to allow gelation and after the incubation, the hydrogel formation was checked.

#### 2.5. Primary lung fibroblast isolation and cell culture

Control and IPF primary human lung fibroblasts were isolated from lung tissue of patients undergoing surgery for tumor resection or lung transplantation at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) as previously described [33]. Control tissue was obtained from macroscopically normal look-

#### Table 1

Patient characteristics of the fibroblasts donors used in this study. \*: tested with Mann-Whitney U test. <sup>†</sup>: tested with Fisher's exact test. ES: Ex-smoker, F: Female, IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, M: Male, NS: Non-smoker.

	Control	IPF	P value
Age (median, (min-max))	65, (59–72)	63.5, (61–68)	0.688 *
Sex (M/F)	6/0	6/0	>0.999 <sup>†</sup>
Smoking status	5 EX, 1 NS	4 EX, 2 NS	>0.999 <sup>†</sup>

ing tissue from tumor excision surgery, as far as possible from the tumor. IPF primary human lung fibroblasts were isolated from the peripheral lung tissue of explanted lung tissue of patients who underwent lung transplantation as previously described. This study protocol was consistent with the Research Code of the University Medical Center Groningen (https://www.umcg.nl/documents/770534/2183586/umcgresearch-code-2018-en.pdf/9680a460-3feb-543d-7d58-

bc9d4f7277de?t=1614951313016, 18/07/2023) last accessed professional and national ethical and guidethe of conduct for Health (only lines ("Code Research Dutch):Gedragscode-Gezondheidsonderzoek-2022.pdf in (https://www.coreon.org/gedragscode-gezondheidsonderzoek, last accessed 18/07/2023). Lung tissues used in this study were derived from leftover lung material after lung surgery from archival materials that are exempt from consent in compliance with applicable laws and regulations (Dutch laws: Medical Treatment Agreement Act (WGBO) art 458 / GDPR art 9/ UAVG art 24). This material was not subject to the Medical Research Human Subjects Act in the Netherlands, and, therefore, an ethics waiver was provided by the Medical Ethical Committee of the University Medical Center Groningen.

The characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The fibroblast cultures were maintained in complete growth media (DMEM Low Glucose (Gibco), supplemented with 10 % FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco) and 1 % GlutaMAX (Gibco)) and only used when tested negative against *mycoplasma* infection using a PCR screening. Fibroblasts were used at passage 5.

### 2.6. Seeding primary lung fibroblasts in lung ECM-derived hydrogels

Primary human lung fibroblasts (n = 6 for both control and IPF. all at passage 5) were harvested using 0.25 % Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco) and centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 min. Afterwards, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended using 10 mL full complete growth media to count the cells using an automated cell counter NC-200 (Chemometec, Denmark). Then, the cell suspension was transferred to new tubes at a concentration of 2.5  $\times$   $10^{6}$ cells per tube and centrifuged again. The supernatants were discarded and the pellets were resuspended using 2.5 mL pre-gel of each type. After ensuring the proper dispersal of the cell pellet in the pre-gel solution, 200  $\mu$ L cell suspension was cast per well of 48-well plates and incubated for 2 h. For each experimental set, empty hydrogels from control or IPF pre-gels were also cast and used as fibroblast-free controls. After observing hydrogel formation, the empty and fibroblast-encapsulated hydrogels were supplemented with 400  $\mu$ L complete growth media for the culture period. The gels were incubated for 7 and 14 days with complete growth media in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator (5 % CO<sub>2</sub>, 37 °C), with half change of growth media at days 4, 7 and 11. The hydrogels cultured in the well plates were not released from the walls of the culture during the culture period.

### 2.7. Paraffin embedding, sectioning, and deparaffinization

ECM-derived hydrogels (both fibroblast-encapsulated and empty) were fixed using 500  $\mu$ L 2 % paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at room temperature after removing the growth media from the wells at the end of 7- or 14-day culture period. Afterwards, they were embedded in 1 % agarose (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) solution to prevent dehydration. Agarose-embedded hydrogels then were fixed with 4 % formalin and embedded in paraffin. Five  $\mu$ m sections from the paraffinembedded samples were cut and placed on Star Frost (Knittel Glass, Braunschweig, Germany) glass slides and incubated at 65 °C for 1 hour to ensure retention of the sections on the slides. Then, the slides were deparaffinized using serial ten minute incubations in xylene (Klinipath BV, Duiven, Netherlands) solution, 100 % ethanol (Fresenius Kabi), 96 % ethanol, 70 % ethanol and distilled water.

### 2.8. Picrosirius red staining for visualization of collagens

Collagens were visualized using PicroSirius Red (PSR), which binds to all collagen molecules through electrostatic interactions [34]. PSR solution was prepared using 0.5 g Sirius red F3B (Sigma) in 500 mL saturated aqueous picric acid solution. Deparaffinized slides were washed with distilled water and then incubated with the PSR solution for an hour. Afterwards, the slides were washed with acidified water (5 mL glacial acetic acid (Merck) in 11 mL distilled water) twice and dehydrated through 75 %, 96 %, 100 % ethanol (Fresenius Kabi) and xylene (Klinipath BV) solutions. After airdrying the slides, they were mounted using a non-aqueous mounting medium and kept in dark until imaging.

### 2.9. Alcian blue staining for visualization of acidic glycosaminoglycans

Alcian blue solution was prepared using 1 g Alcian blue (Sigma-Aldrich) powder in 100 mL 3 % acetic acid solution. Nuclear fast red solution was prepared using 0.1 g nuclear fast red powder in 100 mL distilled water with 5 g  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  (Sigma-Aldrich). Deparaffinized slides were washed with distilled water and then incubated with the Alcian blue solution for 30 min at room temperature. Afterwards, the slides were washed with running tap water for 2 min and rinsed in distilled water. Counter-staining was performed through incubation with nuclear fast red solution for 5 min and the slides were washed in running tap water for 1 min. The slides then were dehydrated through 75 %, 96 %, 100 % ethanol (Fresenius Kabi) and xylene (Klinipath BV) solutions. After airdrying the slides, they were mounted using a non-aqueous mounting medium and kept in dark until imaging.

### 2.10. Imaging

Immunohistochemical staining results were imaged using a Hamamatsu scanner (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Herrsching, Germany) at 40X magnification. Fluorescent microscopy images of the PSR stained hydrogels were captured with Leica SP8X white light laser confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) with UV–vis absorption ( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) 561 nm and emission ( $\lambda_{em}$ ) 566 / 670 nm using 63x/1.40 Oil immersion lens with a digital zoom 2X.

### 2.11. Image analysis

### 2.11.1. Analysis of light microscopy images

Specific image areas with stained gel were extracted into TIF (LZW) files using Aperio ImageScope V12.4.0.5043 (Leica Biosystems, Amsterdam, Netherlands). These TIF files were opened in

Adobe Photoshop CS6 Extended (San Jose, California, USA) and artifacts, such as obvious background staining, hairs or other contaminations and folded areas of gels, were removed. Ten areas from ten distinct regions per image (1 image per gel) were randomly selected and saved as separate image files to enable calculation of the average lowest threshold for identifiable specific staining for all images. These 10 images were opened in FIJI 1.53F51 (LOCI, University of Wisconsin) [35] as 8-bit images and split into different channels that captured the different staining colors, using the appropriate vector for the thresholds of the staining using color deconvolution. An in-house built macro (Supplementary Document 1 for PSR Images and Supplementary Document 2 for AB Images) was used along with the FIJI SlideJ plugin (tile size: 20,000) to calculate the outputs from all images. Results were saved as a text to tab file and opened in R studio 4.1.1 (Boston, MA, USA) to sort the percentage area of positively stained pixels and the average intensity of the positively stained pixels data of the different staining levels (Supplementary Figure 1) using an in-house built macro as previously described [36]. Briefly, the strength of the signal from each pixel was determined and categorized as "weak", "moderate" or "strong" based on the level of the strength. The pixels that belong to each of these categories were then combined per image and used in the following steps. Area percentage and intensity values for each category per image were calculated in Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft, Resmond, WA, USA). For these calculations, the sorted rows Area\_Colour (area) and RawIntDen\_Colour (intensity) were used. Stained area (%) and intensity values (arbitrary units) of each category was calculated using equation (i) and equation (ii), respectively, as shown below.

Area (%)= 
$$\frac{\text{Number of pixels meeting category criteria}}{\text{Total number of pixels}} \times 100\%$$
(i)

Intensity (au) = 255-

### 2.11.2. Analysis of fluorescence microscopy images

For every sample, images were generated of 6 randomly selected areas and saved as TIF (LZW) files. Each image was analyzed using the The Workflow Of Matrix BioLogy Informatics (TWOMBLI) plugin in FIJI 1.53F51 (LOCI) [37]. For the global fiber parameters, the following parameters were examined: the area, percentage of high density matrix, which shows percentage area covered by fibers that are detected to have a highly dense arrangement (many fibers within a small area) based on pixel saturation, and alignment of fibers, which denotes the percentage of fibers with similar orientation. For the individual fiber parameters, the following parameters were examined: total fiber length, end points, branchpoints. The curvature analysis was performed using curvature windows 20 and 50 in TWOMBLI plugin to investigate low and high curvature windows, respectively, to capture the individual wavinessof the fibers (how widely spread are the points at which the components of the curve cross a center line) through low curvature window analysis and changes in the peak height of the curves through high curvature window analysis.

#### 2.12. Mechanical testing with low load compression testing (LLCT)

Stiffness values and viscoelastic relaxation properties of the hydrogels at day 7 and 14 were measured using a Low Load Compression Tester as previously described [38–40]. The LLCT analysis was performed on three different randomly selected locations on each hydrogel using 20 % fixed strain rate. The measurement locations had at least 2 mm distance between them and 2 mm from the edges to ensure robustness and representativeness of the measurements. The stress ((Equation (iii)) and strain (Equation (iv)) values were calculated from the linear elastic region as described below and the slope of the line was used to calculate Young's modulus (E, stiffness) (Equation (v)) until the peak point for the highest measurement observed). Relaxation values were calculated starting from the time point at which highest stiffness was observed by using the formula (Equation (vi)). Representative stress-strain curves and stress relaxation profiles for each group are illustrated in Supplementary Figures 2&3. Time duration for reaching 100 % relaxation was recorded as 'Relaxation time'. Time duration for reaching 50 % of the total relaxation was recorded as 'Time to Reach 50 %Stress Relaxation'. All calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel 2016.

$$Stress = \frac{Load \times g}{Area}$$
(iii)

$$Strain = \frac{Deformation}{Thickness}$$
(iv)

$$E(t) = \frac{Stress}{Strain} \tag{v}$$

Relaxation 
$$(t) = \frac{E(t_0) - E(t)}{E(t_0)}$$
 (vi)

### 2.13. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses of the measured parameters were performed using an interaction analysis in a mixed model analysis in IBM SPSS Statistics 26 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). For each parameter analyzed, the interactions between the disease status of the hydrogels and disease status of the fibroblasts, as well as fibroblast encapsulation status of the hydrogels were used. Fig. 2 illustrates the analysis strategy performed. For all analyses presented, a random effect was used for the intercept per experimental batch (i.e. same combination of control or IPF fibroblasts and control or IPF hydrogel for the 6 experimental conditions (n = 6 batches)). TWOMBLI results were analyzed using 6 different images generated per sample to address the sample heterogeneity. Mechanical characterization results were analyzed using triplicated measurements performed on the same sample to tackle the heterogeneity within samples. Presented results show estimate  $\pm$  95 % confidence interval for all results. For all the graphs presented in the main text, the dotted line shows the intrinsic differences that were measured between the empty IPF and control hydrogels. In any given graph, a dotted line that does not fall at 0 shows the difference between these two empty hydrogel groups: a dotted line above 0 indicates IPF hydrogels had a higher measurement for that parameter, while a dotted line below 0 indicates the IPF hydrogels had a lower measurement of that parameter, compared to the control, in the empty hydrogels. When assessing the response of the fibroblasts to the hydrogel environment, a value above the dotted line in these graphs for any fibroblast group indicates that the changes in the measured parameter introduced by the fibroblasts in the IPF hydrogels, compared to the change in the control hydrogels, was greater than the intrinsic differences between empty IPF and control hydrogels. In contrast, any value below the dotted line in these graphs for any fibroblast group indicates that the differences between the ECM-remodeling changes (as measured with the parameters in this study) introduced by the fibroblasts in the control hydrogels, compared to the changes in the IPF hydrogels, was greater than the intrinsic differences between empty IPF and control hydrogels. The numbers with positive and negative signs in



**Fig. 2.** Analysis approach used throughout the study. For each measurement, six IPF and control lung donor-derived fibroblasts were encapsulated in both types of hydrogels were used as biological replicates and compared with empty hydrogels prepared in the same experimental batch. A mixed-model analysis was used to evaluate the statistical differences between the groups. The differences between the empty and fibroblast-encapsulated hydrogels were calculated for both IPF and control ECM-derived hydrogels. The differential ECM-remodeling responses of the fibroblasts with respect to the measurement performed were calculated by subtracting the fibroblast-originated ECM-remodeling responses measured in control hydrogels from those measured in IPF hydrogels. IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

the final graphs indicate the direction of the difference: A (more) positive response indicates greater response in IPF hydrogels, while a (more) negative response indicates a greater response in the control hydrogels.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Fibroblasts change collagen organization only in IPF hydrogels

Hydrogels harvested at day 7 and day 14 were macroscopically assessed for any global changes in form or size. No global changes were detected in any of the hydrogel groups. We first assessed the impact of interactions between a fibrotic microenvironment and fibroblasts on totalcollagen presence in the lung ECMderived hydrogels by analyzing the collagen content at day 7 and 14. PicroSirius Red (PSR)-stained sections of empty or fibroblastencapsulated control and IPF hydrogels were analyzed using an automated image analysis that separated and then compared the amount of collagen present in the hydrogels at three different levels of pixel strength: weak, moderate and strong (Supplementary Figure 1). In all three categories of pixel strengths, we did not detect any differences in the percentage of PSR-stained area present among the different groups (Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Tables 1-6). Similarly, the mean intensities of staining compared within the weak, moderate or strong pixel strengths of PSRstaining were not different between the tested groups (Supplementary Figure 5, Supplementary Tables 7-12). We also examined the distribution of glycosaminoglycans in all groups by staining sections with Alcian Blue. Comparable to collagen content, the pecentage area of glycosaminoglycan content was similar in control and IPF empty hydrogels, and this did not change in hydrogels in which control or IPF fibroblasts were encapsulated (Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Tables 13-18).

We then investigated whether fibroblasts induced changes in collagen organization and whether this was different in fibrotic versus control hydrogels using TWOMBLI. This analysis revealed the changes triggered in fibrotic ECM (Fig. 3). The first parameter we studied was the percentage area occupied by high density matrix, which describes the collagen fiber organization at a global level (Fig. 3A). Intrinsic differences between control and IPF hydrogels were not detected on day 7, but were clearly visible on day 14 with IPF hydrogels having more high density matrix than control hydrogels (Supplementary Tables 19&20, also denoted as the dotted lines in Fig. 3B-C). When we encapsulated fibroblasts in these hydrogels, we found that control fibroblasts increased the percentage of high density matrix in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels below the already existing differences, on day 7 and then decreased it day 14 (Fig. 3B and C, respectively). On the other hand, IPF fibroblasts decreased the percentage of high density matrix of IPF hydrogels only on day 14 (Fig. 3C). The differential modulation of the hydrogels by control and IPF fibroblasts was significantly different ( $p = 5.12 \times 10^{-6}$  for day 7 and p = 0.027 for day 14), indicating that not only hydrogel type but also the fibroblast origin contributes to dysregulated collagen organization in IPF.

We then characterized the degree of fiber alignment within the hydrogels (Fig. 3D). We did not detect any intrinsic differences between control and IPF hydrogels with respect to fiber alignment (*Supplementary Tables 21&22*). When control fibroblasts were encapsulated in each type of hydrogel, they did not change the percentage fiber alignment in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels at any time point (Fig. 3E and F). However, when encapsulating IPF fibroblasts, we found fiber alignment was greater in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels compared to control hydrogels on both day 7 and day 14 (Fig. 3E and F,  $p = 4.00 \times 10^{-3}$  for day 7 and  $p = 2.57 \times 10^{-6}$  for day 14). The differential modulation of the fiber alignment by

control and IPF fibroblasts was significantly different on both days ( $p = 5.00 \times 10^{-3}$  for day 7,  $p = 7.64 \times 10^{-4}$  for day 14).

### 3.2. Fibroblasts modify individual collagen fibers differently in fibrotic compared to control ECM

In addition to global fiber organization, individual fiber structure could also be altered by the encapsulated fibroblasts. Individual fiber structure was therefore assessed using TWOMBLI. Three individual fiber structural parameters were analyzed: average fiber length (AFL,  $\mu$ m), number of endpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length, and number of branchpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length (Fig. 4).

The analysis of average fiber length illustrated that, intrinsically, IPF hydrogels had shorter fibers on average, compared to control hydrogels (*Supplementary Tables 23&*24, also shown as the dotted line in Fig. 4A and D for day 7 and 14, respectively). When control fibroblasts were encapsulated in IPF hydrogels, the average fiber length was further decreased in IPF hydrogels on day 7 (Fig. 4A,  $p = 7.44 \times 10^{-5}$ ), while this modulation was not detected on day 14 (Fig. 4D). IPF fibroblasts, on the other hand, increased the average fiber length in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels only on day 14 (Fig. 4D,  $p = 1.26 \times 10^{-4}$ ). These modulations by control and IPF fibroblasts were significantly different from each other both on day 7 ( $p = 6.30 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and day 14 ( $p = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$ ).

The number of individual fibers (number of endpoints) was higher in IPF hydrogels compared with control hydrogels on day 7, but this difference was not detected on day 14 (*Supplementary Tables 25&26*, also shown as the dotted line in Fig. 4B and E for day 7 and 14, respectively). When control fibroblasts were encapsulated in these hydrogels, we found more endpoints in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels on day 7, above the existing intrinsic difference between these two hydrogels (Fig. 4B). This modulation, however, was not observed on day 14 (Fig. 4E). In contrast, IPF fibroblasts did not change the number of endpoints in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels on either day 7 or day 14. These differential fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses between control and IPF fibroblasts, differed significantly on both day 7 (p = 0.005) and day 14 ( $p = 5.56 \times 10^{-4}$ ) (Fig. 4B and E, respectively).

We also investigated the number of branchpoints as a measure of the number of fibers that had connections with other fibers. IPF hydrogels had more branchpoints than control hydrogels on day 7 (p = 0.007) while this intrinsic difference was not detectable on day 14 (*Supplementary Tables 27&28*, also shown as the dotted line in Fig. 4C and F for day 7 and 14, respectively). When either control or IPF fibroblasts were encapsulated in these hydrogels, no additional changes in the number of branchpoints induced by fibroblasts were detected in either control or IPF hydrogels on day 7 (Fig. 4C). However, on day 14 both control ( $p = 5.57 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and IPF fibroblasts ( $p = 2.13 \times 10^{-5}$ ) strongly decreased the number of branchpoints in IPF hydrogels but not in control hydrogels (Fig. 4F).

### 3.3. Fibrotic microenvironment triggered differential regulation of fiber curvature by fibroblasts

We then moved on to characterize changes in the curvature of the collagen fibers in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated ECMderived hydrogels as another parameter for comparing ECM remodeling responses of control and IPF fibroblasts to their microenvironment. The curvature of fibers was analyzed with respect to low and high curvature windows (Fig. 5A). Low curvature windows capture the individual waviness (periodicity) of the fibers (more micro-scale) while higher curvature windows detect the global changes in the fiber shapes (changes in the peak height of the



**Fig. 3.** Changes in the global fiber organization in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels. Control and IPF primary lung fibroblasts were encapsulated in control or IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels and cultured for 7 or 14 days. Fluorescence images of PicroSirius Red (PSR) stained sections of paraffinembedded hydrogels were analyzed for global fiber characteristics and compared with their corresponding empty hydrogel samples. A) Schematic representation and example fluorescence images of high and low percentages of high-density matrix (HDM). B) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for HDM (% area), D) Schematic representation and example fluorescence images of high and low percentages of fiber alignment. E) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for HDM (% area), D) Schematic representation and example fluorescence images of high and low percentages of fiber alignment. E) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for fiber alignment (% fibers), F) Day 14 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for fiber alignment (% fibers). The dotted line shows the intrinsic difference between the empty IPF and control hydrogels. The estimate ( $\pm$  95 % confidence interval) shows the difference between the IPF and control hydrogels compared to the intrinsic difference between IPF and control hydrogels. *P* values above the estimates indicate the differences between the responses of IPF and control fibroblasts in the differences between IPF and control empty hydrogels. P-values above the estimates indicate the differences between the responses of IPF and control fibroblasts in the differences between the responses of IPF and control fibroblasts in the difference between IPF and control empty hydrogels. P-values above the estimates indicate the differences between the responses of IPF and control fibroblasts in the difference between the responses. IPF and control fibroblasts in the differences here the responses of IPF and con

curves). These parameters are useful for describing the topographical arrangement of the fibers within the ECM hydrogel. Intrinsic differences between IPF and control hydrogels in the low curvature window were present in day 7 samples (p = 0.004), while they were not apparent for the high curvature windows (Supplementary Table 29&31, respectively, also shown as the dotted lines in Fig. 5B). Control fibroblasts encapsulated in IPF hydrogels increased the fiber periodicity in low curvature windows (p = 0.001, Fig. 5B) while they did not change the curvature heights in the higher curvature windows. IPF fibroblasts did not induce any periodicity changes (as measured in the low curvature windows); however, these fibroblasts reduced the peak heights of the fiber curves (as measured in the high curvature windows) in IPF hydrogels beyond the existing differences between IPF and empty hydrogels (p = 0.049, Fig. 5B). Both low and high curvature window ECM remodeling responses of control and IPF fibroblasts to fibrotic hydrogels differed from each other ( $p = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$  for low and p = 0.006 for high curvature windows). Empty hydrogels analyzed on day 14 revealed intrinsic differences between IPF and control hydrogels in low ( $p = 1.15 \times 10^{-11}$ ), and high (p = 0.026) curvature window samples (*Supplementary Table 30&32*, respectively, also shown as dotted line in Fig. 5C). The existing differences between the IPF and control hydrogels were decreased by both control ( $p = 3.57 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and IPF ( $3.01 \times 10^{-10}$ ) fibroblasts in low curvature window. While both groups of fibroblasts decreased the fiber curvature periodicity in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels, their ECM remodeling responses significantly differed from each other as well ( $p = 7.74 \times 10^{-4}$ ). On the other hand, only IPF fibroblasts responded to fibrotic hydrogels in measurements in the high curvature window (p = 0.002). Even though only IPF fibroblasts altered the fiber curvature height, there were no apparent differences in the responses of IPF and control fibroblasts in this setting. These data indicate that IPF fibroblasts altered the topographical arrangement of the collagen fibers within their 3D microenvironment to a greater extent than the control fibroblasts.

### 3.4. Stiffness increased but stress relaxation remained comparable when fibroblasts were in fibrotic hydrogels

Lastly, we characterized mechanical properties of both empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF hydrogels after 7 or 14



**Fig. 4.** Individual collagen fiber structure in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels. Control and IPF primary lung fibroblasts were encapsulated in control or IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels and cultured for 7 or 14 days. Fluorescence images of PicroSirius Red (PSR) stained sections of paraffin-embedded hydrogels were analyzed for individual fiber characteristics and compared with their corresponding empty hydrogel samples. Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses with respect to A) average fiber length (AFL) ( $\mu$ m), B) number of endpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length, C) number of branchpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length, F) number of branchpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length, F) number of branchpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length, F) number of branchpoints per 1000  $\mu$ m fiber total length. The dotted line shows the intrinsic difference between empty IPF and control hydrogels. The estimate ( $\pm$  95 % confidence interval) shows the difference between the IPF and control hydrogels laden with control (light blue, triangle) or IPF (dark blue, square) fibroblasts. *P* values below each fibroblast group represent the differences between the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses of IPF and control hydrogels. net estimates indicate the differences between the fibroblast-driven ECM remodel analysis. ns: not significant. IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *n* = 6 for fibroblast donors, 6 images per sample were captured analyzed.

days of culture. Stiffness (Fig. 6A and B) and viscoelastic stress relaxation (Fig. 6E and F) behavior of hydrogels were measured using a Low-Load Compression Tester (LLCT). Empty IPF hydrogels were stiffer than empty control hydrogels on both day 7 ( $p = 5.57 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and day 14 ( $p = 4.93 \times 10^{-22}$ ) (*Supplementary Tables 33&*34, also shown as the dotted line in Fig. 6C and D for day 7 and 14, respectively), reflecting previous reports [17]. When control fibroblasts were encapsulated in IPF hydrogels, they stiffened the hydrogels significantly more than they stiffened control hydrogels on day 7 (Fig. 6C, p = 0.002). Similar to control fibroblasts, IPF fibroblasts also increased stiffness of IPF hydrogels more than they stiffened control hydrogels (Fig. 6D, p = 0.002). The ECM remodeling responses of control and IPF fibroblasts in IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels were analogous. However, on day 14 only control fibroblasts increased the stiffness of IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels beyond the already existing stiffness differences (Fig. 6D,  $p = 9.22 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Modulation of IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels by control fibroblasts on day 14 was significantly different from how IPF fibroblasts modulated the two types of hydrogels (p = 0.027).

Viscoelastic stress relaxation was the other mechanical parameter analyzed after 7 and 14 days of culture of control and IPF fibroblasts in control and IPF hydrogels. The time to reach 50 % stress relaxation was measured in seconds and compared between the groups (Fig. 6E). IPF hydrogels relaxed significantly slower than control hydrogels both on day 7 ( $p = 1.47 \times 10^{-12}$ ) and day 14 ( $p = 5.82 \times 10^{-14}$ ) (*Supplementary Tables 35&36*, also shown as the dotted line in Fig. 6G and 6H for day 7 and 14, respectively). Seeding of either control or IPF fibroblasts into the hydrogels did not significantly change stress relaxation beyond the intrinsic dif-



**Fig. 5.** Investigation of collagen fiber curvature in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels. Control and IPF primary lung fibroblasts were encapsulated in control or IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels and cultured for 7 or 14 days. Fluorescence images of PicroSirius Red (PSR) stained sections of paraffin-embedded hydrogels were analyzed for the differences in collagen fiber curvature and compared with their corresponding empty hydrogel samples. A) Schematic representation of low and high curvature windows with respect to periodicity of peaks and peak height, B) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for fiber curvature with respect to number of peaks and peak height, C) Day 14 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for fiber curvature with respect to number of peaks and peak height, C) Day 14 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for fiber curvature with respect to number of peaks and peak height. The dotted line shows the intrinsic difference between empty IPF and control hydrogels. The estimate (± 95 % confidence interval) shows the difference between the IPF and control hydrogels laden with control (light blue, triangle) or IPF (dark blue, square) fibroblasts. *P* values below each fibroblast group represent the differences between the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses of IPF and control empty hydrogels. P-values above the estimates indicate the differences between the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses of IPF and control empty hydrogels. Applied statistical test: mixed-model analysis. ns: not significant, IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. n = 6 for fibroblast donors, 6 images per sample were captured and analyzed.

ferences that were already present on day 7 (Fig. 6G). On day 14, however, only control fibroblasts caused a slower relaxation of IPF hydrogels compared to control hydrogels, in addition to the existing differences (Fig. 6H, p = 0.033). IPF fibroblasts did not change the relaxation properties of the hydrogels beyond the existing differences between control and IPF.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we used a 3D *in vitro* model composed of human lung ECM and human primary lung fibroblasts to assess the influence of the microenvironment on fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses. We showed that while collagen content and glycosaminoglycan content were unchanged by the fibroblast groups, fiber organization directed by the fibroblasts differed substantially due to influence of the ECM microenvironment (*Supplementary Figures 4 – 6*). When examining individual collagen fiber characteristics the fibrotic microenvironment did not induce significant changes (Fig. 4). However, the global structure of the ECM arrangement, as illustrated by fiber alignment and high density matrix proportion was impacted by the nature of the microenvironment (Fig. 3B,C and Fig. 3E,F). Control fibroblasts did not alter the

fiber alignment, while fibrotic fibroblasts increased fiber alignment and this happened to a greater extent in the fibrotic microenvironment (Fig. 3E,F). In contrast, control fibroblasts modulated the percentage of high density matrix in a temporal manner in the fibrotic microenvironment, while the IPF fibroblasts reduced the percentage of high density matrix (Fig. 3B,C). In addition, control fibroblasts altered the topographical arrangement of the collagen fibers, giving them a greater degree of curvature than that seen with the IPF fibroblasts (Fig. 5). The mechanical characteristics of the fibrotic microenvironment were increased by fibroblasts from both control and IPF donors, whereas this change was not seen in the control hydrogels (Fig. 6). These findings illustrate that the fibrotic microenvironment imparts a powerful message that drives cellular responses. Overall, our results illustrate that the fibroblastencapsulated lung ECM-derived hydrogel model is a powerful in vitro tool for understanding cell interactions with the local microenvironment, and divulging greater knowledge of feedback by the fibrotic ECM and how fibroblasts remodel the microenvironment during this response.

Collagen amount and organization are known to be drastically altered in IPF; higher amounts of collagen with an increased disorganization of the fiber structure have been consistently docu-



**Fig. 6.** Mechanical properties in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels. Control and IPF primary lung fibroblasts were encapsulated in control or IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels and cultured for 7 or 14 days. Fibroblast-encapsulated hydrogels were mechanically tested using low load compression testing (LLCT) and compared with their corresponding empty hydrogels. A) Schematic representation of the stiffness analysis performed using compression. B) An example stress-strain curve obtained using LLCT, C) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for stiffness of the hydrogels (kPa), E) Schematic representation of the stress relaxation analysis performed using compression. F) An example relaxation profile obtained using LLCT, G) Day 7 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for time to reach 50 % stress relaxation (s), H) Day 14 fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling response analysis for time to reach 50 % stress relaxation (s). The dotted line shows the intrinsic difference between empty IPF and control hydrogels. The estimate ( $\pm$  95 % confidence interval) shows the difference between the IPF and control hydrogels laden with control (light blue, triangle) or IPF (dark blue, square) fibroblasts. *P* values below each fibroblast group represent the differences between the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses of IPF and control hydrogels. Applied statistical test: mixed-model analysis. All measurements were performed ta 20 % strain rate. Applied statistical test: mixed-model analysis. In s: not significant, IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrobiast donors, 3 independent locations per sample were measured.

mented [8,11,18]. In our hydrogel system, fibroblasts did not induce global changes in collagen amount between empty and fibroblastencapsulated hydrogels, suggesting that these fibroblasts did not deposit detectable new collagens in this model and timeframe (Supplementary Figures 4 & 5). A recent study reported an increase in protein levels of collagen types VII, X and XIV, detected using mass spectrometry, by control fibroblasts cultured in spheroid form with presence of IPF lung ECM, compared to non-IPF ECM [41]. This apparent difference may be due to the method for quantification of the amounts of collagens. In our study detection of total collagen was based on the quantification of total intensity of deposited color reagent that bound to all collagens, relative to the area in which the color was detected (reported as (%)), while the mass spectrometry results identified specific peptides from defined collagens that were more abundant in the IPF ECM. Potentially, differences exist in the orientation and spatial arrangement of the cells in spheroids compared to how they were oriented in our hydrogel model, which may also alter fibroblast responses. Regardless, these data provide further evidence, supporting prior reports [11,19,42,43] that the IPF ECM provides a pro-fibrotic signal for fibroblasts. While our data appear to contrast the previous studies, the use of mass spectrometry may provide additional sensitivity that would enable a more penetrating investigation of the collagen changes. In our model system, the fibroblast-induced differences in high density matrix and collagen fiber alignment as directed by the type of environment (hydrogel) in which the cells were grown, implies that the lack of detectable changes in global total collagen amount does not necessarily reflect a lack in pro-fibrotic responses by fibroblasts.

Increased fiber density was previously proposed as a mechanism for triggering activation of fibroblasts [21]. Therefore, in a fibrotic microenvironment, with higher amounts of dense fibers [44,45], greater fibroblast responses would be expected. Interestingly, control fibroblasts appeared to have opposite ECM remodeling responses at different time points, with an initial increase in high density matrix after 7 days but a subsequent decrease after 14 days (Fig. 3B & C). These differences in time might reflect how naïve fibroblasts are imprinted by a fibrotic microenvironment as time passes so their ECM remodeling responses induced by the fibrotic environment are changed. In IPF fibroblasts, the decrease in high density matrix with a concomitant increase in fiber alignment, points at exaggerated ECM remodeling responses of these fibroblasts, when confronted with fibrotic ECM. These specific responses of IPF fibroblasts suggest that the origin of both microenvironment and fibroblasts play a crucial role in determining the organizational changes of collagen fibers.

Enhanced collagen crosslinking is recognized to be enhanced in IPF lung tissues [9,46]. Our results showing decreased amounts of high density matrix in fibrotic ECM modulated by both types of fibroblasts in day 14 samples illustrated in Fig. 3F do not initially seem to be in concert with previous reports showing enhanced fibroblast activation by increased ECM crosslinking in IPF [46]. Further research is required to examine if the high density matrix in this hydrogel model is composed of crosslinked collagen fibers or if it is non-covalent aggregations of fibers. The latter may have different cellular signaling implications than the highly cross-linked ECM in IPF tissue, parallel to the previous reports showing different levels of myofibroblast activation in chemically crosslinked hydrogels compared with physically crosslinked hydrogels [47]. While it was out of the scope of this study, it is important to recognize that a role of proteoglycans in collagen crosslinking and fiber organization has been previously reported [48], and their presence might also play a role in directing the collagen organization measured in this model.

The organization of individual collagen fibers is also an important element for determining cellular responses to the microenvironment in which they reside [11]. With respect to the individual fiber organization parameters that were analyzed in our study, control and IPF fibroblasts responded differently from each other, with the exception of the alterations to the number of branchpoints (Fig. 4C & F). The opposite ECM remodeling responses elicited by the fibroblasts to fibrotic and control hydrogels highlights that not only the origin of the microenvironment but also the origin of fibroblasts plays a role in dictating the collagen organization. Fiber curvature (collagen topographical arrangement), as both an individual and global fiber parameter, was differentially regulated by control and IPF fibroblasts encapsulated in fibrotic hydrogels compared to control hydrogels. It is intriguing that these changes coincided with the changes in the mechanical parameters initiated by the fibroblasts. In particular, control fibroblasts had an exaggerated response to the fibrotic microenvironment, resulting in an increased stiffness compared to control hydrogels (Fig. 6C & D). While the decrease in high density matrix and increase in stiffness do not seem to go hand-in-hand, fibroblasts might be realigning the fibers that are dissociated from the high density matrix in a manner that leads to the increased stiffness. The lack of changes in the peak height of the collagen fiber curves in control fibroblastencapsulated hydrogels shown in Fig. 5 could also be one of the key factors playing a role in increased stiffness in these hydrogels. Together with previous reports showing the influence of fiber curvature amplitude and wavelength on fibroblast migration and polarization [49], investigating the influence of fibrotic ECM curvature on fibroblasts might reveal new insights into how fibroblast-ECM interactions are regulated by the physical state of the matrix structure. The stress relaxation behavior of the empty and fibroblastencapsulated hydrogels showed that the changes in high density matrix and stiffness do not strongly influence the stress relaxation capacity of the fibers. It would be of interest to further investigate the altered stress relaxation of the fibers with respect to activation of the fibroblasts, as previously pre-stress in ECM fibers has been shown to release stored TGF- $\beta$  from the ECM [50,51]. Regardless, a recent report indicates that a microenvironment with slow stress capacity hinders cellular migration of mesenchymal spheroids [52]. Understanding how cellular migration plays a role in the profibrotic activation of fibroblasts with respect to organization of ECM requires further studies.

While our study establishes the interplay between the native microenvironment and the fibroblast-driven ECM remodeling responses in 3D, it has a few limitations. Human lung ECM (both control and IPF) hydrogels were variable between experimental runs. Although we generated a combined batch of ECM derived from 7 different donors to minimize this variation, sample-tosample variation was still present in our results. Empty hydrogels harvested at each of the assessment time points also reflected this variation. However, we accounted for this variation during our analyses and only compared empty hydrogels to fibroblastencapsulated hydrogels within the same time point and the same experimental run. Even with this comparison, it is not possible to rule out the fact that spontaneous fiber reorganization still continues during the course of 14 day cell culture in empty and fibroblast-encapsulated hydrogels. In addition to this, exploring single patient-derived ECM and fibroblast combinations, instead of ECM sample pooling, could generate further information about individual patient-specific measurements. Another potential limitation of the study is related to collagen detection: as the starting weights of control and IPF lung ECM powders were the same, and as the majority of the remaining proteins within the dECMs were collagens, detecting small changes that might have been induced by the fibroblasts may not have been possible with the methodologies used in this study. While investigating changes in different collagens individually and also other ECM proteins was outside of the scope of this project, it is not possible to rule out that specific collagens may have been altered by the fibroblasts more than others, or that other ECM proteins including proteoglycans may have been involved. The fibroblasts encapsulated in this study were initially expanded using established standard protocols, with the caveat of expanding them on stiff tissue culture plastic. Therefore, it is not possible to rule out that the phenotypes of these fibroblasts were influenced by this culturing experience [53]. Lastly, viscoelastic stress relaxation of the control and IPF lung ECM-derived hydrogels is difficult, if at all possible, to resemble native tissue (a recognized limitation of this model [17,38]), as opposed to the stiffness values that do recapitulate the patterns seen in lung tissues.

The model described in this study also provides opportunities for further research. Although our current model is based on fibroblast-ECM interactions, introducing other cell types such as epithelial cells, circulating immune cells or other mesenchymal cells would help mimicking the complex interplay between these cells and ECM during IPF or other fibrotic lung diseases. Moreover, this model system can greatly advance investigating cell responses following treatment with Nintedanib or Pirfenidone, which were initially performed on cell-derived matrices [54]. While our study focused on IPF, it has important implications for understanding the interplay between fibroblast-driven ECM-remodeling responses and the fibrotic environment in many other fibrotic diseases and even cancer, reflecting the remodeled ECM associated with tumors. Understanding how activation of fibroblasts occurs in a fibrotic microenvironment has the potential to reveal additional intervention possibilities for the treatment of diseases involving fibrotic responses. Alternatively, investigating the impact of the increased tension that is applied to the collagen fibers in fibrotic tissues during disease development, compared to a control microenvironment with less tension, would be interesting to explore. Such studies would be possible by employing additional approaches for modulating the adherence and tension exerted on the hydrogels used in this system. By investigating the nature of the ECM remodeling that takes place with respect to changes in (collagen) fiber length, fiber topography and organization, our understanding of processes that underlie the progression of fibrotic responses can be furthered. Through going beyond examining only the amount of ECM or collagen deposition, our results increase our understanding of the structural changes that occur during ECM remodeling in this process. Future studies utilizing this model could investigate if the fibroblast ECM remodeling responses differ in the presence of other cells, additional mechanical stress or antifibrotic treatments that are currently approved for pulmonary fibrosis. Such studies should help with understanding what are the physiological implications for structural changes in the ECM fibers. It is interesting to speculate that changes in the curvature (shape) of the ECM, the length of individual fibers and their alignment may have consequences when cells pull on the ECM (contract), potentially impacting the unfolding of the network generating less stress transmission between cells. Future studies will be very revealing.

In summary, we examined how both primary lung control and IPF fibroblasts in a 3D fibrotic microenvironment interact with this environment and subsequently remodel their environment. Through employing native ECM from control and IPF lungs, most biochemical and biomechanical properties of control and IPF lungs were mimicked in these hydrogels, thereby presenting an innovative model system for investigating the interplay between the microenvironment and fibroblasts during the fibrotic process. Considering the lack of physiologically replicative models available for basic and translational research for generating treatment strategies targeting fibrosis, employing *in vitro* models derived from human-sourced materials can pave the way towards better understanding of fibrosis and potential drug discovery processes.

### Data and materials availability

Estimates used to reach to reach the presented conclusions in this manuscript are included within the manuscript and/or added in the Supplementary Materials (*Supplementary Figures 7–42* for graphs with individual estimate points and statistical analyses of these individual points in *Supplementary Tables 1–36* are included in *Supplementary Document 3*). The raw data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

### Funding

MN, TK, BNM, IHH and JKB receive unrestricted research funds from Boehringer Ingelheim. JKB also acknowledges support from the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO) (Aspasia 015.013.010). This collaboration project is cofinanced by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy, the Netherlands, by means of the PPP-allowance made available by the Top Sector Life Sciences & Health to stimulate public-private partnerships.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

M.N., T.K., B.N.M., I.H.H. and J.K.B. receive unrestricted research funds from Boehringer Ingelheim. M.J.T., C.K.W., E.S.W. and K.C.K. are employees of Boehringer Ingelheim.

### Acknowledgments

Authors thank Mr. Albano Tosato for assistance in preparation of visuals.

### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2024.02.008.

#### References

- T.A. Wynn, Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis, J. Pathol. 214 (2) (2008) 199–210.
- [2] N.C. Henderson, F. Rieder, T.A. Wynn, Fibrosis: from mechanisms to medicines, Nature 587 (7835) (2020) 555–566.
- [3] C. Vancheri, M. Failla, N. Crimi, G. Raghu, Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a disease with similarities and links to cancer biology, Eur. Respir. J. 35 (3) (2010) 496–504.
- [4] D.J. Lederer, F.J. Martinez, Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis, New Engl. J. Med. 378 (19) (2018) 1811–1823.
- [5] F.J. Martinez, H.R. Collard, A. Pardo, G. Raghu, L. Richeldi, M. Selman, J.J. Swigris, H. Taniguchi, A.U. Wells, Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 3 (1) (2017) 17074.
- [6] R.G. Jenkins, B.B. Moore, R.C. Chambers, O. Eickelberg, M. Konigshoff, M. Kolb, G.J. Laurent, C.B. Nanthakumar, M.A. Olman, A. Pardo, M. Selman, D. Sheppard, P.J. Sime, A.M. Tager, A.L. Tatler, V.J. Thannickal, E.S. White, A.T.S.A.o.R. Cell, B. Molecular, An Official American Thoracic society workshop report: use of animal models for the preclinical assessment of potential therapies for pulmonary fibrosis, Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 56 (5) (2017) 667–679.
- [7] M. Nizamoglu, J.K. Burgess, The multi-faceted extracellular matrix: unlocking its secrets for understanding the perpetuation of lung fibrosis, Curr. Tissue Microenviron. Rep. 2 (4) (2022) 53–71.
- [8] J.K. Burgess, T. Mauad, G. Tjin, J.C. Karlsson, G. Westergren-Thorsson, The extracellular matrix - the under-recognized element in lung disease? J. Pathol. 240 (4) (2016) 397–409.
- [9] G. Tjin, E.S. White, A. Faiz, D. Sicard, D.J. Tschumperlin, A. Mahar, E.P.W. Kable, J.K. Burgess, Lysyl oxidases regulate fibrillar collagen remodelling in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, Dis. Model. Mech. 10 (11) (2017) 1301–1312.
- [10] M.G. Jones, O.G. Andriotis, J.J. Roberts, K. Lunn, V.J. Tear, L. Cao, K. Ask, D.E. Smart, A. Bonfanti, P. Johnson, A. Alzetani, F. Conforti, R. Doherty, C.Y. Lai, B. Johnson, K.N. Bourdakos, S.V. Fletcher, B.G. Marshall, S. Jogai, C.J. Brereton, S.J. Chee, C.H. Ottensmeier, P. Sime, J. Gauldie, M. Kolb, S. Mahajan, A. Fabre, A. Bhaskar, W. Jarolimek, L. Richeldi, K.M. O'Reilly, P.D. Monk, P.J. Thurner, D.E. Davies, Nanoscale dysregulation of collagen structure-function disrupts mechano-homeostasis and mediates pulmonary fibrosis, Elife 7 (2018).
- [11] A.J. Booth, R. Hadley, A.M. Cornett, A.A. Dreffs, S.A. Matthes, J.L. Tsui, K. Weiss, J.C. Horowitz, V.F. Fiore, T.H. Barker, B.B. Moore, F.J. Martinez, L.E. Niklason, E.S. White, Acellular normal and fibrotic human lung matrices as a culture system for in vitro investigation, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 186 (9) (2012) 866–876.
- [12] F. Liu, D. Lagares, K.M. Choi, L. Stopfer, A. Marinkovic, V. Vrbanac, C.K. Probst, S.E. Hiemer, T.H. Sisson, J.C. Horowitz, I.O. Rosas, L.E. Fredenburgh, C. Feghali– Bostwick, X. Varelas, A.M. Tager, D.J. Tschumperlin, Mechanosignaling through YAP and TAZ drives fibroblast activation and fibrosis, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 308 (4) (2015) L344–L357.
- [13] F. Liu, J.D. Mih, B.S. Shea, A.T. Kho, A.S. Sharif, A.M. Tager, D.J. Tschumperlin, Feedback amplification of fibrosis through matrix stiffening and COX-2 suppression, J. Cell Biol. 190 (4) (2010) 693–706.
- [14] D.J. Tschumperlin, D. Lagares, Mechano-therapeutics: targeting mechanical signaling in fibrosis and tumor stroma, Pharmacol. Ther. 212 (2020) 107575.
- [15] K.E.C. Blokland, M. Nizamoglu, H. Habibie, T. Borghuis, M. Schuliga, B.N. Melgert, D.A. Knight, C.A. Brandsma, S.D. Pouwels, J.K. Burgess, Substrate stiffness engineered to replicate disease conditions influence senescence and fibrotic responses in primary lung fibroblasts, Front. Pharmacol. 13 (2022) 989169.
- [16] A.J. Haak, E. Kostallari, D. Sicard, G. Ligresti, K.M. Choi, N. Caporarello, D.L. Jones, Q. Tan, J. Meridew, A.M. Diaz Espinosa, A. Aravamudhan, J.L. Maiers, R.D. Britt Jr., A.C. Roden, C.M. Pabelick, Y.S. Prakash, S.M. Nouraie, X. Li, Y. Zhang, D.J. Kass, D. Lagares, A.M. Tager, X. Varelas, V.H. Shah, D.J. Tschumperlin, Selective YAP/TAZ inhibition in fibroblasts via dopamine receptor D1 agonism reverses fibrosis, Sci. Transl. Med. 11 (516) (2019).
- [17] R.H.J. de Hilster, P.K. Sharma, M.R. Jonker, E.S. White, E.A. Gercama, M. Roobeek, W. Timens, M.C. Harmsen, M.N. Hylkema, J.K. Burgess, Human lung extracellular matrix hydrogels resemble the stiffness and viscoelasticity of native lung tissue, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 318 (4) (2020) L698–L704.
- [18] G. Burgstaller, B. Oehrle, M. Gerckens, E.S. White, H.B. Schiller, O. Eickelberg, The instructive extracellular matrix of the lung: basic composition and alterations in chronic lung disease, Eur. Respir. J. 50 (1) (2017).
- [19] M.W. Parker, D. Rossi, M. Peterson, K. Smith, K. Sikstrom, E.S. White, J.E. Connett, C.A. Henke, O. Larsson, P.B. Bitterman, Fibrotic extracellular matrix activates a profibrotic positive feedback loop, J. Clin. Invest. 124 (4) (2014) 1622–1635.

- [20] D.R. Campbell Jr., C.N. Senger, A.L. Ryan, C.M. Magin, Engineering tissue-informed biomaterials to advance pulmonary regenerative medicine, Front. Med. 8 (2021) 647834.
- [21] D.L. Matera, K.M. DiLillo, M.R. Smith, C.D. Davidson, R. Parikh, M. Said, C.A. Wilke, I.M. Lombaert, K.B. Arnold, B.B. Moore, B.M. Baker, Microengineered 3D pulmonary interstitial mimetics highlight a critical role for matrix degradation in myofibroblast differentiation, Sci. Adv. 6 (37) (2020).
- [22] K.E. Bailey, M.L. Floren, T.J. D'Ovidio, S.R. Lammers, K.R. Stenmark, C.M. Magin, Tissue-informed engineering strategies for modeling human pulmonary diseases, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 316 (2) (2019) L303–L320.
   [23] L.T. Saldin, M.C. Cramer, S.S. Velankar, L.J. White, S.F. Badylak, Extracellular ma-
- [23] L.T. Saldin, M.C. Cramer, S.S. Velankar, LJ. White, S.F. Badylak, Extracellular matrix hydrogels from decellularized tissues: structure and function, Acta Biomater. 49 (2017) 1–15.
- [24] M. Nizamoglu, J.K. Burgess, Current possibilities and future opportunities provided by three-dimensional lung ECM-derived hydrogels, Front. Pharmacol. 14 (2023) 1154193.
- [25] M. Nizamoglu, M.M. Joglekar, C.R. Almeida, A.-K.L. Callerfelt, I. Dupin, O.T. Guenat, P. Henrot, L. Van Os, J. Otero, L. Elowsson, R. Farre, J.K. Burgess, Innovative three-dimensional models for understanding mechanisms underlying lung diseases: powerful tools for translational research, Eur. Respirat. Rev. 32 (169) (2023) 230042.
- [26] D.E. Wagner, R.W. Bonvillain, T. Jensen, E.D. Girard, B.A. Bunnell, C.M. Finck, A.M. Hoffman, D.J. Weiss, Can stem cells be used to generate new lungs? Ex vivo lung bioengineering with decellularized whole lung scaffolds, Respirology 18 (6) (2013) 895–911.
- [27] J.L. Balestrini, A.L. Gard, K.A. Gerhold, E.C. Wilcox, A. Liu, J. Schwan, A.V. Le, P. Baevova, S. Dimitrievska, L. Zhao, S. Sundaram, H. Sun, L. Rittié, R. Dyal, T.J. Broekelmann, R.P. Mecham, M.A. Schwartz, L.E. Niklason, E.S. White, Comparative biology of decellularized lung matrix: implications of species mismatch in regenerative medicine, Biomaterials 102 (2016) 220–230.
- [28] F.E. Uhl, F. Zhang, R.A. Pouliot, J.J. Uriarte, S. Rolandsson Enes, X. Han, Y. Ouyang, K. Xia, G. Westergren-Thorsson, A. Malmstrom, O. Hallgren, R.J. Linhardt, D.J. Weiss, Functional role of glycosaminoglycans in decellularized lung extracellular matrix, Acta Biomater. 102 (2020) 231–246.
- [29] K.S. Saleh, R. Hewawasam, P. Serbedzija, R. Blomberg, S.E. Noreldeen, B. Edelman, B.J. Smith, D.W.H. Riches, C.M. Magin, Engineering hybrid-hydrogels comprised of healthy or diseased decellularized extracellular matrix to study pulmonary fibrosis, Cell. Mol. Bioeng. 15 (5) (2022) 505–519.
- [30] C.L. Petrou, T.J. D'Ovidio, D.A. Bolukbas, S. Tas, R.D. Brown, A. Allawzi, S. Lindstedt, E. Nozik-Grayck, K.R. Stenmark, D.E. Wagner, C.M. Magin, Clickable decellularized extracellular matrix as a new tool for building hybrid-hydrogels to model chronic fibrotic diseases in vitro, J. Mater. Chem. B 8 (31) (2020) 6814–6826.
- [31] R.S. Hewawasam, R. Blomberg, P. Šerbedžija, C.M. Magin, Chemical modification of human decellularized extracellular matrix for incorporation into phototunable hybrid-hydrogel models of tissue fibrosis, ACS. Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 15 (12) (2023) 15071–15083.
- [32] R.A. Pouliot, P.A. Link, N.S. Mikhaiel, M.B. Schneck, M.S. Valentine, F.J. Kamga Gninzeko, J.A. Herbert, M. Sakagami, R.L. Heise, Development and characterization of a naturally derived lung extracellular matrix hydrogel, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A 104 (8) (2016) 1922–1935.
- [33] J.A. Noordhoek, D.S. Postma, L.L. Chong, L. Menkema, H.F. Kauffman, W. Timens, J.F. van Straaten, Y.M. van der Geld, Different modulation of decorin production by lung fibroblasts from patients with mild and severe emphysema, COPD. 2 (1) (2005) 17–25.
- [34] K.A. Wegner, A. Keikhosravi, K.W. Eliceiri, C.M. Vezina, Fluorescence of picrosirius red multiplexed with immunohistochemistry for the quantitative assessment of collagen in tissue sections, J. Histochem. Cytochem. 65 (8) (2017) 479–490.
- [35] J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, A. Cardona, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis, Nat. Methods 9 (7) (2012) 676–682.
- [36] M.L. Koloko Ngassie, M. De Vries, T. Borghuis, W. Timens, D.D. Sin, D. Nickle, P. Joubert, P. Horvatovich, G. Marko-Varga, J.J. Teske, J.M. Vonk, R. Gosens, Y.S. Prakash, J.K. Burgess, C.A. Brandsma, Age-associated differences in the human lung extracellular matrix, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 324 (6) (2023) L799–L814.

- [37] E. Wershof, D. Park, D.J. Barry, R.P. Jenkins, A. Rullan, A. Wilkins, K. Schlegelmilch, I. Roxanis, K.I. Anderson, P.A. Bates, E. Sahai, A FIJI macro for quantifying pattern in extracellular matrix. Life Sci. Alliance 4 (3) (2021).
- [38] F.D. Martinez-Garcia, R.H.J. de Hilster, P.K. Sharma, T. Borghuis, M.N. Hylkema, J.K. Burgess, M.C. Harmsen, Architecture and composition dictate viscoelastic properties of organ-derived extracellular matrix hydrogels, Polymers 13 (18) (2021) 3113.
- [39] M. Nizamoglu, R.H.J. de Hilster, F. Zhao, P.K. Sharma, T. Borghuis, M.C. Harmsen, J.K. Burgess, An in vitro model of fibrosis using crosslinked native extracellular matrix-derived hydrogels to modulate biomechanics without changing composition, Acta Biomater. 147 (2022) 50–62.
- [40] P.K. Sharma, H.J. Busscher, T. Terwee, S.A. Koopmans, T.G. van Kooten, A comparative study on the viscoelastic properties of human and animal lenses, Exp. Eye Res. 93 (5) (2011) 681–688.
- [41] A. Radwanska, C.T. Cottage, A. Piras, C. Overed-Sayer, C. Sihlbom, R. Budida, C. Wrench, J. Connor, S. Monkley, P. Hazon, H. Schluter, M.J. Thomas, C.M. Hogaboam, L.A. Murray, Increased expression and accumulation of GDF15 in IPF extracellular matrix contribute to fibrosis, JCI. Insight. 7 (16) (2022).
- [42] K.E.C. Blokland, H. Habibie, T. Borghuis, G.J. Teitsma, M. Schuliga, B.N. Melgert, D.A. Knight, C.A. Brandsma, S.D. Pouwels, J.K. Burgess, Regulation of cellular senescence is independent from profibrotic fibroblast-deposited ECM, Cells 10 (7) (2021) 1628.
- [43] G.F. Vasse, L. Van Os, M. De Jager, M.R. Jonker, T. Borghuis, L.T. Van Den Toorn, P. Jellema, E.S. White, P. Van Rijn, M.C. Harmsen, I.H. Heijink, B.N. Melgert, J.K. Burgess, Adipose stromal cell-secretome counteracts profibrotic signals from IPF lung matrices, Front. Pharmacol. 12 (2021) 669037.
  [44] K. Tilbury, J. Hocker, B.L. Wen, N. Sandbo, V. Singh, P.J. Campagnola, Second
- [44] K. Tilbury, J. Hocker, B.L. Wen, N. Sandbo, V. Singh, P.J. Campagnola, Second harmonic generation microscopy analysis of extracellular matrix changes in human idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, J. Biomed. Opt. 19 (8) (2014) 086014.
- [45] M. Tisler, S. Alkmin, H.Y. Chang, J. Leet, K. Bernau, N. Sandbo, P.J. Campagnola, Analysis of fibroblast migration dynamics in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis using image-based scaffolds of the lung extracellular matrix, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 318 (2) (2020) L276–L286.
- [46] C.J. Philp, I. Siebeke, D. Clements, S. Miller, A. Habgood, A.E. John, V. Navaratnam, R.B. Hubbard, G. Jenkins, S.R. Johnson, Extracellular matrix cross-linking enhances fibroblast growth and protects against matrix proteolysis in lung fibrosis, Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 58 (5) (2018) 594–603.
- [47] K.G. Grønlien, M.E. Pedersen, S.B. Rønning, N.T. Solberg, H.H. Tønnesen, Tuning of 2D cultured human fibroblast behavior using lumichrome photocrosslinked collagen hydrogels, Mater. Today Commun. 31 (2022) 103635.
- [48] T. Douglas, S. Heinemann, S. Bierbaum, D. Scharnweber, H. Worch, Fibrillogenesis of collagen types I, II, and III with small leucine-rich proteoglycans decorin and biglycan, Biomacromolecules 7 (8) (2006) 2388–2393.
- [49] R.S. Fischer, X. Sun, M.A. Baird, M.J. Hourwitz, B.R. Seo, A.M. Pasapera, S.B. Mehta, W. Losert, C. Fischbach, J.T. Fourkas, C.M. Waterman, Contractility, focal adhesion orientation, and stress fiber orientation drive cancer cell polarity and migration along wavy ECM substrates, PNAS 118 (22) (2021) e2021135118.
- [50] P.J. Wipff, D.B. Rifkin, J.J. Meister, B. Hinz, Myofibroblast contraction activates latent TGF-beta1 from the extracellular matrix, J. Cell Biol. 179 (6) (2007) 1311–1323.
- [51] F. Klingberg, M.L. Chow, A. Koehler, S. Boo, L. Buscemi, T.M. Quinn, M. Costell, B.A. Alman, E. Genot, B. Hinz, Prestress in the extracellular matrix sensitizes latent TGF-beta1 for activation, J. Cell Biol. 207 (2) (2014) 283–297.
- [52] D.T. Wu, M. Diba, S. Yang, B.R. Freedman, A. Elosegui-Artola, D.J. Mooney, Hydrogel viscoelasticity modulates migration and fusion of mesenchymal stem cell spheroids, Bioeng. Transl. Med. 8 (3) (2023) e10464.
- [53] X. Huang, N. Yang, V.F. Fiore, T.H. Barker, Y. Sun, S.W. Morris, Q. Ding, V.J. Thannickal, Y. Zhou, Matrix stiffness-induced myofibroblast differentiation is mediated by intrinsic mechanotransduction, Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 47 (3) (2012) 340–348.
- [54] G.E. Shochet, L. Wollin, D. Shitrit, Fibroblast-matrix interplay: nintedanib and pirfenidone modulate the effect of IPF fibroblast-conditioned matrix on normal fibroblast phenotype, Respirology 23 (8) (2018) 756–763.